

Extended gauge invariance and electroweak interactions

Bernd A. Berg

Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4350, USA

(Dated: November 1, 2009.)

Gauge invariance is extended to allow for a U(1) – SU(2) mixing term, which can cause a SU(2) deconfining transition.

PACS numbers: 12.15.-y, 12.60.Cn, 12.60.-i, 14.80.Bn, 11.15.Ha

Recently, it was shown in a lattice gauge theory simulation [1] that a U(1) – SU(2) interaction term can cause a SU(2) deconfining phase transition quite similar to the confinement-Higgs transition observed in [2]. This interaction requires unusual gauge transformations, which are written down here for the continuum formulation. So, the subsequent construction is motivated by making the interaction

$$L^{\text{int}} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Tr} (F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{int}} F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{int}}), \quad (1)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{int}} = g_a \partial_\mu A_\nu - g_b \partial_\nu B_\mu + i g_a g_b [B_\mu, A_\nu]. \quad (2)$$

gauge invariant (the commutator term is missing in [1], because the continuum limit was extracted there in a gauge in which A_μ is diagonal). The interaction (1) leads to a mixing of U(1) and SU(2) fields as found in the standard model after the Higgs mechanism. However, it is presently not clear whether our approach will altogether lead to similar physics.

In the following we use Euclidean notation. Let us first consider the usual gauge-covariant derivative

$$D_\mu^{\text{em}} = \partial_\mu + i g_a A_\mu \quad (3)$$

of an electromagnetic field $a_\mu(x)$ on a complex fermion field $\psi(x)$. With the gauge transformations

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi, \quad (4)$$

$$a_\mu(x) \rightarrow a'_\mu = a_\mu - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \alpha(x) \quad (5)$$

one finds

$$D_\mu^{\text{em}} \psi \rightarrow D_\mu^{\text{em}} \psi' = e^{i\alpha(x)} D_\mu^{\text{em}} \psi, \quad (6)$$

so that the Lagrangian

$$L^{\text{em}} = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma_\mu D_\mu^{\text{em}} - m) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{em}} F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{em}} \quad (7)$$

is gauge invariant, where

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{em}} = \frac{1}{ig} [D_\mu^{\text{em}}, D_\nu^{\text{em}}]. \quad (8)$$

is the field tensor.

Assume now that $\psi(x)$ is a complex doublet, which transforms under U(1)⊗SU(2) gauge transformations

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = G(x) \psi, \quad (9)$$

$$G(x) = \exp \left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=0}^3 \tau_i \alpha_i(x) \right). \quad (10)$$

Here τ_0 is the 2×2 unit matrix and τ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the Pauli matrices. We still can couple ψ in a gauge invariant way to an electromagnetic field. We define the gauge covariant derivative by

$$D_\mu^a = \partial_\mu + i g_a A_\mu \quad \text{with} \quad A_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \tau_0 a_\mu \quad (11)$$

and gauge transformation of A_μ by (compare, e.g., [3])

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = G A_\mu G^{-1} + \frac{i}{g_a} (\partial_\mu G) G^{-1} \quad (12)$$

which adds the transformation of a null SU(2) field and yields the desired result

$$D_\mu^a \psi \rightarrow D_\mu^a \psi' = G D_\mu^a \psi. \quad (13)$$

The field tensor defined by

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{i g_a} [D_\mu^a, D_\nu^a] \quad (14)$$

transforms as

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}^{\prime a} = G F_{\mu\nu}^a G^{-1} \quad (15)$$

so that the Lagrangian

$$L^a = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma_\mu D_\mu^a - m) \psi - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a) \quad (16)$$

stays gauge invariant. The U(1)⊗SU(2) gauge transformations do not destroy the fact that A_μ describes just an electromagnetic field. Any $A_\mu(x)$ field is gauge equivalent to one for which A_μ is diagonal. Consequently, $F_{\mu\nu}^{\prime a}$ stays always diagonal and the G matrices could be omitted in (15). Note that all arguments hold as well for U(1)⊗SU(N).

Similarly, we can extend gauge transformations of a SU(2) field $B_\mu(x)$ by a phase and couple it with the complex doublet (9). The gauge covariant derivative is

$$D_\mu^b = \partial_\mu + i g_b B_\mu \quad \text{with} \quad B_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{b}_\mu \quad (17)$$

and the gauge transformation of B_μ are

$$B_\mu \rightarrow B'_\mu = G B_\mu G^{-1} + \frac{i}{g_b} (\partial_\mu G) G^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

Equations (13) to (16) carry simply over by replacing all labels a by b .

An electroweak Lagrangian of the type

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a) - \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu}^b F_{\mu\nu}^b) \quad (19)$$

$$+ \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu^a - m)\psi + \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu D_\mu^b - m)\psi$$

allows then to add the interaction term (1), for which a zero-temperature SU(2) deconfining phase transition was found in [1]. Under gauge transformations (12) for A_μ and (18) for B_μ , the $F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{int}}$ tensor (2) transforms according to

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{int}} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}'^{\text{int}} = G F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{int}} G^{-1}, \quad (20)$$

so that L^{int} is gauge invariant. The algebra for (20) is given in the appendix.

The interaction (1) is expected to result in a mixing of a_μ and b_μ^3 into orthogonal combinations

$$A_\mu^\gamma = +a_\mu \cos \phi + b_\mu^3 \sin \phi, \quad (21)$$

$$Z_\mu = -a_\mu \sin \phi + b_\mu^3 \cos \phi, \quad (22)$$

where estimating the mixing angle may require non-perturbative methods due to the nature of the SU(2) deconfining phase transition.

Acknowledgments

This research was in part supported by the DOE grant DE-FG02-97ER41022 and by a Humboldt Research Award. Some of the work was done at Leipzig University and I am indebted to Wolfhard Janke and his group for their kind hospitality.

APPENDIX A: GAUGE INVARIANCE OF L^{int} .

Extending the calculation of [3] slightly, we find

$$g_a \partial_\mu A'_\nu - g_b \partial_\nu B'_\mu = \quad (A1)$$

$$\partial_\mu [G g_a A_\nu G^{-1} + i(\partial_\nu G) G^{-1}]$$

$$- \partial_\nu [G g_b B_\mu G^{-1} + i(\partial_\mu G) G^{-1}] =$$

$$G(g_a \partial_\mu A_\nu - g_b \partial_\nu B_\mu) G^{-1} \quad (A2)$$

$$+ [(\partial_\mu G) g_a A_\nu - (\partial_\nu G) g_b B_\mu] G^{-1}$$

$$+ G[g_a A_\nu (\partial_\mu G^{-1}) - g_b B_\mu (\partial_\nu G^{-1})]$$

$$+ i[(\partial_\nu G)(\partial_\mu G^{-1}) - (\partial_\mu G)(\partial_\nu G^{-1})]$$

Using $(\partial_\mu G^{-1})G + G^{-1}(\partial_\mu G) = \partial_\mu(G^{-1}G) = 0$, this can be transformed to

$$g_a \partial_\mu A'_\nu - g_b \partial_\nu B'_\mu = \quad (A3)$$

$$G(g_a \partial_\mu A_\nu - g_b \partial_\nu B_\mu) G^{-1}$$

$$+ G\{[G^{-1}(\partial_\mu G), g_a A_\nu] - [G^{-1}(\partial_\nu G), g_b B_\mu]\} G^{-1}$$

$$- iG[(\partial_\mu G^{-1})(\partial_\nu G) - (\partial_\nu G^{-1})(\partial_\mu G)] G^{-1}.$$

The commutator term transforms as

$$i g_a g_b [B'_\mu, A'_\nu] = \quad (A4)$$

$$i g_a g_b [(G B_\mu G^{-1} + (i/g_b)(\partial_\mu G) G^{-1}),$$

$$(G A_\nu G^{-1} + (i/g_a)(\partial_\nu G) G^{-1})]$$

$$= i g_a g_b G[B_\mu, A_\nu] G^{-1} \quad (A5)$$

$$- G\{[G^{-1}(\partial_\mu G), g_a A_\nu] - [G^{-1}(\partial_\nu G), g_b B_\mu]\} G^{-1}$$

$$+ iG[(\partial_\mu G^{-1})(\partial_\nu G) - (\partial_\nu G^{-1})(\partial_\mu G)] G^{-1}.$$

Combining (A3) and (A5) yields (20).

[1] B.A. Berg, arXiv0909.3340.

[2] C.B. Lang, C. Rebbi, and M. Virasoro, Phys. Lett. B **104**, 294 (1981); H. Kühnelt, C.B. Lang, and G. Vones, Nucl. Phys. B **230** [FS10], 16 (1984); M. Tomiya and T. Hattori, Phys. Lett. B **140**, 370 (1984); I. Montvay, Phys. Lett. B

150, 441 (1985); W. Langguth and I. Montvay, Phys. Lett. B **165**, 135 (1985).

[3] C. Quigg, *Gauge Theories of the Strong, Weak, and Electromagnetic Interactions*, Addison-Wesley, 1983, p. 57.