

Two bodies gravitational system with variable mass and damping-anti damping effect due to star wind

G.V. López* and E. M. Juárez

Departamento de Física de la Universidad de Guadalajara,
Blvd. Marcelino García Barragán 1421, esq. Calzada Olímpica,
44430 Guadalajara, Jalisco, México

PACS: 45.20.D-, 45.20.3j, 45.50.Pk, 95.10.Ce, 95.10.Eg, 96.30.Cw,
03.67.Lx, 03.67.Hr, 03.67.-a, 03.65w

July, 2010

Abstract

We study two-bodies gravitational problem where the mass of one of the bodies varies and suffers a damping-anti damping effect due to star wind during its motion. A constant of motion, a Lagrangian and a Hamiltonian are given for the radial motion of the system, and the period of the body is studied using the constant of motion of the system. An application to the comet motion is given, using the comet Halley as an example.

*gulopez@udgserv.cencar.udg.mx

1 Introduction

There is not doubt that mass variable systems have been relevant since the foundation of the classical mechanics and modern physics too [0] which have been known as Gylden-Meshcherskii problems [1]. Among these type of systems one could mention: the motion of rockets [2], the kinetic theory of dusty plasma [3], propagation of electromagnetic waves in a dispersive-nonlinear media [4], neutrinos mass oscillations [5], black holes formation [6], and comets interacting with solar wind [7]. This last system belong to the so called "gravitational two-bodies problem" which is one of the most studied and well known system in classical mechanics [8]. In this type of system, one assumes normally that the masses of the bodies are fixed and unchanged during the dynamical motion. However, when one is dealing with comets, beside to consider its mass variation due to the interaction with the solar wind, one would like to have an estimation of the the effect of the solar wind pressure on the comet motion. This pressure may produces a dissipative-antidissipative effect on its motion. The dissipation effect must be felt by the comet when this one is approaching to the sun (or star), and the antidissipation effect must be felt by the comet when this one is moving away from the sun.

In previous paper [14]. a study was made of the two-bodies gravitational problem with mass variation in one of them, where we were interested in the difference of the trajectories in the spaces (x, v) and (x, p) . In this paper, we study the two-bodies gravitational problem taking into consideration the mass variation of one of them and its damping-anti damping effect due to the solar wind. The mass of the other body is assumed big and fixed , and the reference system of motion is chosen just in this body. In addition, we will assume that the mass lost is expelled from the body radially to its motion. Doing this, the three-dimensional two-bodies problem is reduced to a one-dimensional problem. Then, a constant of motion, the Lagrangian, and the Hamiltonian are deduced for this one-dimensional problem, where a radial dissipative-antidissipative force proportional to the velocity square is chosen. A model for the mass variation is given, and the damping-anti damping effect is studied on the period of the trajectories, the trajectories themselves, and the aphelion distance of a comet. We use the parameters associated to comet Halley to illustrate the application of our results.

2 Equations of Motion.

Newton's equations of motion for two bodies interacting gravitationally, seen from arbitrary inertial reference system, and with radial dissipative-antidissipative force acting in one of them are given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(m_1 \frac{d\mathbf{r}_1}{dt} \right) = - \frac{Gm_1m_2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^3} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \quad (1a)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(m_2 \frac{d\mathbf{r}_2}{dt} \right) = - \frac{Gm_1m_2}{|\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1|^3} (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1) - \frac{\gamma}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \left[\frac{d|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}{dt} \right]^2 (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1), \quad (1b)$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the two bodies, $\mathbf{r}_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $\mathbf{r}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ are their vectors positions from the reference system, G is the gravitational constant ($G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} m^3/Kg s^2$), γ is the nonnegative constant parameter of the dissipative-antidissipative force, and

$$|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| = |\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1| = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2}$$

is the Euclidean distance between the two bodies. Note that if $\gamma > 0$ and $d|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|/dt > 0$ one has dissipation since the force acts against the motion of the body, and for $d|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|/dt < 0$ one has anti-dissipation since the force pushes the body. If $\gamma < 0$ this scheme is reversed and corresponds to our actual situation with the comet mass lost.

It will be assumed the mass m_1 of the first body is constant and that the mass m_2 of the second body varies. Now, It is clear that the usual relative, \mathbf{r} , and center of mass, \mathbf{R} , coordinates defined as $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{R} = (m_1\mathbf{r}_1 + m_2\mathbf{r}_2)/(m_1 + m_2)$ are not so good to describe the dynamics of this system. However, one can consider the case for $m_1 \gg m_2$ (which is the case star-comet), and consider to put our reference system just on the first body ($\mathbf{r}_1 = \tilde{\mathbf{0}}$). In this case, Eq. (1a) and Eq. (1b) are reduced to the equation

$$m_2 \frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{dt^2} = - \frac{Gm_1m_2}{r^3} \mathbf{r} - \dot{m}_2 \dot{\mathbf{r}} - \gamma \left[\frac{dr}{dt} \right]^2 \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (2)$$

where one has made the definition $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_2 = (x, y, z)$, r is its magnitude, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/r$ is the unitary radial vector. Using spherical coordinates (r, θ, φ) ,

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad y = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad z = r \cos \theta, \quad (3)$$

one obtains the following coupled equations

$$m_2(\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 - r\dot{\varphi}^2 \sin^2 \theta) = -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r^2} - \dot{m}_2\dot{r} - \gamma\dot{r}^2, \quad (4)$$

$$m_2(2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta} - r\dot{\varphi}^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta) = -\dot{m}_2r\dot{\theta}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$m_2(2\dot{r}\dot{\varphi} \sin \theta + r\ddot{\varphi} \sin \theta + 2r\dot{\varphi}\dot{\theta} \cos \theta) = -\dot{m}_2r\dot{\varphi} \sin \theta. \quad (6)$$

Taking $\dot{\varphi} = 0$ as solution of this last equation, the resulting equations are

$$m_2(\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2) = -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r^2} - \dot{m}_2\dot{r} - \gamma\dot{r}^2, \quad (7)$$

and

$$m_2(2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta}) + \dot{m}_2r\dot{\theta} = 0. \quad (8)$$

From this last expression, one gets the following constant of motion (usual angular momentum of the system)

$$l_\theta = m_2r^2\dot{\theta}, \quad (9)$$

and with this constant of motion substituted in Eq. 7, one obtains the following one-dimensional equation of motion for the radial part

$$\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} = -\frac{Gm_1}{r^2} - \frac{\dot{m}_2}{m_2} \left(\frac{dr}{dt} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{m_2} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{l_\theta^2}{m_2^2 r^3}. \quad (10)$$

Now, let us assume that m_2 is a function of the distance between the first and the second body, $m_2 = m_2(r)$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\dot{m}_2 = m_2' \dot{r}, \quad (11)$$

where m_2' is defined as $m_2' = dm_2/dr$. Thus, Eq. (10) is written as

$$\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} = -\frac{Gm_1}{r^2} + \frac{l_\theta^2}{m_2^2 r^3} - \frac{m_2' + \gamma}{m_2} \left(\frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2, \quad (12)$$

which, in turns, can be written as the following autonomous dynamical system

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = v; \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{Gm_1}{r^2} + \frac{l_\theta^2}{m_2^2 r^3} - \frac{m_2' + \gamma}{m_2} v^2. \quad (13)$$

Note from this equation that m_2' is always a non-positive function of r since it represents the mass lost rate. On the other hand, γ is a negative parameter in our case.

3 Constant of Motion, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian

A constant of motion for the dynamical system (13) is a function $K = K(r, v)$ which satisfies the partial differential equation [9]

$$v \frac{\partial K}{\partial r} + \left[\frac{-Gm_1}{r^2} + \frac{l_\theta^2}{m_2^2 r^3} - \frac{m_2' + \gamma}{m_2} v^2 \right] \frac{\partial K}{\partial v} = 0 . \quad (14)$$

The general solution of this equation is given by [10]

$$K(x, v) = F(c(r, v)) , \quad (15a)$$

where F is an arbitrary function of the characteristic curve $c(r, v)$ which has the following expression

$$c(r, v) = m_2^2(r) e^{2\gamma\lambda(r)} v^2 + \int \left(\frac{2Gm_1}{r^2} - \frac{2l_\theta^2}{m_2^2 r^3} \right) m_2^2(r) e^{2\gamma\lambda(r)} dr , \quad (15b)$$

and the function $\lambda(r)$ has been defined as

$$\lambda(r) = \int \frac{dr}{m_2(r)} . \quad (15c)$$

During a cycle of oscillation, the function $m_2(r)$ can be different for the comet approaching the sun and for the comet moving away from the sun. Let us denote $m_{2+}(r)$ for the first case and $m_{2-}(r)$ for the second case. Therefore, one has two cases to consider in Eqs. (15a), (15b) and (15c) which will be denoted by (\pm) . Now, if $m_{2\pm}^0$ denotes the mass at aphelium (+) or perihelium (-) of the comet, $F(c) = c^\pm / 2m_{2\pm}^0$ represents the functionality in Eq. (15a) such that for m_2 constant and γ equal zero, this constant of motion is the usual gravitational energy. Thus, the constant of motion can be chosen as $K^\pm = c(r, v) / 2m_{2\pm}^0$, that is,

$$K^\pm = \frac{m_{2\pm}^2(r)}{2m_{2\pm}^0} e^{2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} v^2 + V_{eff}^\pm(r) , \quad (16a)$$

where the effective potential V_{eff} has been defined as

$$V_{eff}^\pm(r) = \frac{Gm_1}{m_{2\pm}^0} \int \frac{m_{2\pm}^2(r) e^{2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} dr}{r^2} - \frac{l_\theta^2}{m_{2\pm}^0} \int \frac{e^{2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} dr}{r^3} \quad (16b)$$

This effective potential has an extreme at the point r_* defined by the relation

$$r_* m_2^2(r_*) = \frac{l_\theta^2}{Gm_1} \quad (17)$$

which is independent on the parameter γ and depends on the behavior of $m_2(r)$. This extreme point is a minimum of the effective potential since one has

$$\left(\frac{d^2 V_{eff}^\pm}{dr^2} \right)_{r=r_*} > 0 . \quad (18)$$

Using the known expression [11-13] for the Lagrangian in terms of the constant of motion,

$$L(r, v) = v \int \frac{K(r, v) dv}{v^2} , \quad (19)$$

the Lagrangian, generalized linear momentum and the Hamiltonian are given by

$$L^\pm = \frac{m_{2\pm}^2(r)}{2m_{2\pm}^o} e^{2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} v^2 - V_{eff}^\pm(r) , \quad (20)$$

$$p = \frac{m_{2\pm}^2(r)}{m_{2\pm}^o} v e^{2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} , \quad (21)$$

and

$$H^\pm = \frac{m_{2\pm}^o p^2}{2m_{2\pm}^2(r)} e^{-2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} + V_{eff}^\pm(r) . \quad (22)$$

The trajectories in the space (x, v) are determined by the constant of motion (16a). Given the initial condition (r_o, v_o) , the constant of motion has the specific value

$$K_o^\pm = \frac{m_{2\pm}^2(r_o)}{2m_{2\pm}^o} e^{2\gamma\lambda_\pm(r_o)} v_o^2 + V_{eff}^\pm(r_o) , \quad (23)$$

and the trajectory in the space (r, v) is given by

$$v = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2m_{2\pm}^o}{m_{2\pm}^2(r)}} e^{-\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} \left[K_o^\pm - V_{eff}^\pm(r) \right]^{1/2} . \quad (24)$$

Note that one needs to specify θ_o also to determine Eq. (9). In addition, one normally wants to know the trajectory in the real space, that is, the acknowledgment

of $r = r(\theta)$. Since one has that $v = dr/dt = (dr/d\theta)\dot{\theta}$ and Eqs. (9) and (24), it follows that

$$\theta(r) = \theta_o + \frac{l_\theta^2}{\sqrt{2m_{2\pm}^o}} \int_{r_o}^r \frac{m_{2\pm}(r)e^{\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} dr}{r^2 \sqrt{K_o^\pm - V_{eff}^\pm(r)}}. \quad (25)$$

The half-time period (going from aphelion to perihelion (+), or backward (-)) can be deduced from Eq. (24) as

$$T_{1/2}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_{2\pm}^o}} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{m_{2\pm}(r)e^{\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} dr}{\sqrt{K_o^\pm - V_{eff}^\pm(r)}}, \quad (26)$$

where r_1 and r_2 are the two return points resulting from the solution of the following equation

$$V_{eff}^\pm(r_i) = K_o^\pm \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, the trajectory in the space (r, p) is determine by the Hamiltonian (21), and given the same initial conditions, the initial p_o and H_o^\pm are obtained from Eqs. (21) and (22). Thus, this trajectory is given by

$$p = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2m_{2\pm}^2(r)}{m_{2\pm}^o}} e^{\gamma\lambda_\pm(r)} \left[H_o^\pm - V_{eff}^\pm(r) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (28)$$

It is clear just by looking the expressions (24) and (28) that the trajectories in the spaces (r, v) and (r, p) must be different due to complicated relation (21) between v and p (see reference [14]).

4 Mass-Variable Model and Results

As a possible application, consider that a comet loses material as a result of the interaction with star wind in the following way (for one cycle of oscillation)

$$m_{2\pm}(r) = \begin{cases} m_{2-}(r_{2(i-1)}) \left(1 - e^{-\alpha r} \right) & \text{incoming(+)} \quad v < 0 \\ m_{2+}(r_{2i-1}) - b \left(1 - e^{-\alpha(r-r_{2i-1})} \right) & \text{outgoing(-)} \quad v > 0 \end{cases}$$

(29)

where the parameters $b > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ can be chosen to match the mass loss rate in the incoming and outgoing cases. The index "i" represent the ith-semi-cycle, being $r_{2(i-1)}$ and r_{2i-1} the aphelion(r_a) and perihelion(r_p) points (r_o is given by the initial conditions, and one has that $m_{2-}(r_o) = m_o$). For this case, the functions $\lambda_+(r)$ and $\lambda_-(r)$ are given by

$$\lambda_+(r) = \frac{1}{\alpha m_a} \ln \left(e^{\alpha r} - 1 \right), \quad (30a)$$

and

$$\lambda_-(r) = \frac{-1}{\alpha(b - m_p)} \left[\alpha r + \ln \left(m_p - b(1 - e^{-\alpha(r-r_p)}) \right) \right]. \quad (30b)$$

where we have defined $m_a = m_2(r_a)$ and $m_p = m_2(r_p)$. Using the Taylor expansion, one gets

$$e^{2\gamma\lambda_+(r)} = e^{2\gamma r/m_a} \left[1 - \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha m_a} e^{-\alpha r} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha m_a} \left(\frac{2\gamma}{\alpha m_a} - 1 \right) e^{-2\alpha r} + \dots \right], \quad (31a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\gamma\lambda_-(r)} &= \frac{e^{-\frac{2\gamma r}{(b-m_p)}}}{(m_p - b)^{\frac{2\gamma}{\alpha(m_p-b)}}} \left[1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha(m_p - b)} \frac{e^{-\alpha(r-r_p)}}{m_p - b} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha(m_p - b)} \left(\frac{2\gamma}{\alpha(m_p - b)} - 1 \right) \frac{e^{-2\alpha(r-r_p)}}{(m_p - b)^2} + \dots \right] \end{aligned} \quad (31b)$$

The effective potential for the incoming comet can be written as

$$V_{eff}^+(r) = \left[-\frac{Gm_1 m_a}{r} + \frac{l_\theta^2}{2m_a} \frac{1}{r^2} \right] e^{2\gamma r/m_a} + W_1(\gamma, \alpha, r), \quad (32)$$

and for the outgoing comet as

$$V_{eff}^-(r) = \left[-\frac{Gm_1 m_a}{r} + \frac{l_\theta^2}{2m_a} \frac{1}{r^2} \right] \frac{e^{\frac{2\gamma r}{(m_p-b)}}}{(m_p - b)^{\frac{2\gamma}{\alpha(m_p-b)}}} + W_2(\gamma, \alpha, r), \quad (33)$$

where W_1 and W_2 are given in the appendix.

We will use the data corresponding to the sun mass ($1.9891 \times 10^{30} Kg$) and the Halley comet [15-17],

$$m_c \approx 2.3 \times 10^{14} Kg, \quad r_p \approx 0.6 au, \quad r_a \approx 35 au, \quad l_\theta \approx 10.83 \times 10^{29} Kg \cdot m^2/s, \quad (34)$$

with a mass lost of about $\delta m \approx 2.8 \times 10^{11} Kg$ per cycle of oscillation. Although, the behavior of Halley comet seem to be chaotic [18], but we will neglect this fine detail here. Now, the parameters α and "b" appearing on the mass lost model, Eq. (29), are determined by the chosen mass lost of the comet during the approaching to the sun and during the moving away from the sun (we have assumed the same mass lost in each half of the cycle of oscillation of the comet around the sun). Using Eqs. (32) and (33), Eq. (24), the trajectories can be calculated in the spaces (r, v) . Fig. 1 shows these trajectories using $\delta m = 2 \times 10^{10} Kg$ (or $\delta m/m = 0.0087\%$) for $\gamma = 0$ and (continuous line), and for $\gamma = -3 Kg/m$ (dashed line), starting both cases from the same aphelion distance. As one can see on the minimum, dissipation causes to reduce a little bit the velocity of the comet, and the antidissipation increases the comet velocity, reaching a further away aphelion point. Also, when only mass lost is considered ($\gamma = 0$) the comet returns to aphelion point a little further away from the initial one during the cycle of oscillation. Something related with this effect is the change of period as a function of mass lost ($\gamma = 0$). This can be see on Fig. 2, where the period is calculated starting always from the same aphelion point (r_a). Note that with a mass lost of the order $2.8 \times 10^{11} Kg$ (Halley comet), which correspond to $\delta m/m = .12\%$, the comet is well within 75 years period. The variation of the ratio of the change of aphelion distance as a function of mass lost ($\gamma = 0$) is shown on Fig.3. On Fig. 4, the mass lost rate is kept fixed to $\delta m/m = 0.0087\%$, and the variation of the period of the comet is calculated as a function of the dissipative-antidissipative parameter $\gamma < 0$ (using $|\gamma|$ for convenience). As one can see, antidissipation always wins to dissipation, bringing about the increasing of the period as a function of this parameter. The reason seems to be that the antidissipation acts on the comet when this ones is lighter than when dissipation was acting (dissipation acts when the comet approaches to the sun, meanwhile antidissipation acts when the comet goes away from the sun). Since the period of Halley comets has not changed much during many turns, we can assume that the parameter γ must vary in the interval $(-0.01, 0] Kg/m$. Finally, Fig. 5 shows the variation, during a cycle of oscillation, of the ratio of the new aphelion (r'_a) to old aphelion (r_a) as a function of the parameter γ .

5 Conclusions and comments

The Lagrangian, Hamiltonian and a constant of motion of the gravitational attraction of two bodies were given when one of the bodies has variable mass and the dissipative-antidissipative effect of the solar wind is considered. By choosing the reference system in the massive body, the system of equations is reduced to 1-D problem. Then, the constant of motion, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian were obtained consistently. A model for comet-mass-variation was given, and with this model, a study was made of the variation of the period of one cycle of oscillation of the comet when there are mass variation and dissipation-antidissipation. When mass variation is only considered, the comet trajectory is moving away from the sun, the mass lost is reduced as the comet is farther away (according to our model), and the period of oscillations becomes bigger. When dissipation-antidissipation is added, this former effect becomes higher as the parameter γ becomes higher.

It is important to mention that if instead of losing mass the body would had winning mass, the period of oscillation of the system would decrease. One can imagine, for example, a binary stars system where one of the star is winning mass from the interstellar space or from the other star companion. So, due to this winning mass, the period of the star would decrease depending on how much mass the star is absorbing.

6 Appendix

Expression for W_1 and W_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 = & \frac{Gm_{2-}^2}{m_{2+}^o} \left\{ -\frac{p(p-1)e^{(-4+p)\alpha r}}{2r} + \alpha p E_i(\alpha p r) - 2\alpha p(p-1) E_i((-4+p)\alpha r) \right. \\
& + \frac{\alpha p^2(p-1)}{2} E_i((-4+p)\alpha r) + \frac{p(p-1)}{r} [e^{(p-3)\alpha r} + 3\alpha(1-p)r E_i((p-3)\alpha r)] \\
& + \frac{p(p+3)}{2} \left[-\frac{e^{(p-2)\alpha r}}{r} + \alpha(p-2) E_i((p-2)\alpha r) \right] \\
& \left. + \frac{p+2}{r} [e^{(p-2)\alpha r} + \alpha(p-1)r E_i((p-1)\alpha r)] \right\} \\
& + \frac{l_\theta^2}{2m_{2+}^2 r^2} \left\{ \frac{p(p-1)}{2} e^{(p-2)\alpha r} - p e^{(p-1)\alpha r} - \alpha p(p-1) e^{(p-2)\alpha r} + \frac{\alpha p(p-1)}{2} e^{p\alpha r} \right. \\
& + \frac{\alpha^2 p(p-1)r}{2} e^{(p-2)\alpha r} + p\alpha r e^{(p-1)\alpha r} - p^2 \alpha r e^{(p-1)\alpha r} - p^2 \alpha^2 r^2 E_i(p\alpha r) \\
& - \frac{\alpha^2(p-2)^2 p(p-1)r^2}{2} E_i((p-2)\alpha r) + p\alpha^2 r^2 E_i((p-1)\alpha r) \\
& \left. - 2\alpha^2 p^2 r^2 E_i((p-1)\alpha r) + p^3 \alpha^2 r^2 E_i((p-1)\alpha r) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

where m_a is the mass of the body at the aphelion, and we have made the definitions

$$p = \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha m_a} \tag{A2}$$

and the function E_i is the exponential integral,

$$E_i(z) = \int_{-z}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt \tag{A3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_2 = & \frac{Gm_{2-}^2}{m_{2+}^o} \left\{ \frac{e^{(q-2)\alpha r}}{r} \left[1 + \frac{q(q-1)}{2(m_p + \alpha q)} e^{2q\alpha r} + \frac{2q}{m_p + \alpha q} e^{q\alpha r} \right] \right. \\
& + q\alpha E_i(q\alpha r) - \frac{q(q-1)e^{2q\alpha r}}{(m_p + \alpha q)^2 r} \left[e^{(q-3)\alpha r} - \alpha(q-3)r E_i((q-3)\alpha r) \right] \\
& + \frac{qe^{q\alpha r}}{(m_p + \alpha q)r} \left[e^{(q-3)\alpha r} - \alpha(q-3)r E_i((q-3)\alpha r) \right] - 2\alpha E_i((q-2)\alpha r) \\
& + \alpha q E_i((q-2)\alpha r) - \frac{q(q-1)\alpha e^{2q\alpha r}}{(m_p + \alpha q)^2} E_i((q-2)\alpha r) \\
& + \frac{q^2(q-1)\alpha e^{2q\alpha r}}{2(m_p + \alpha q)^2} E_i((q-2)\alpha r) - \frac{4\alpha e^{q\alpha r}}{m_p + \alpha q} E_i((q-2)\alpha r) \\
& + \frac{2q^2\alpha e^{q\alpha r}}{(m_p + \alpha q)r} E_i((q-2)\alpha r) + \frac{2}{r} \left[e^{(q-1)\alpha r} - (q-1)\alpha r E_i((q-1)\alpha r) \right] \\
& \left. + \frac{qe^{q\alpha r}}{(m_p + \alpha q)r} \left[e^{(q-1)\alpha r} - (q-1)\alpha r E_i((q-1)\alpha r) \right] \right\} \\
& + \frac{l_\theta^2}{2m_{2+}^2(m_p + \alpha q)^q} \left\{ -\frac{q\alpha e^{q\alpha r}}{r} + q^2\alpha^2 E_i(q\alpha r) \right. \\
& + \frac{q(q-1)e^{(3q-2)\alpha r}}{2(m_p + \alpha q)^2 r^2} \left[-1 + 2\alpha r - q\alpha r + (2-q)^2\alpha^2 r^2 e^{(2-q)\alpha r} E_i((q-2)\alpha r) \right] \\
& \left. - \frac{qe^{(2q-1)\alpha r}}{(m_p + \alpha q)r^2} \left[-1 + \alpha r + q\alpha r + (q-1)^2\alpha^2 r^2 e^{(1-q)\alpha r} E_i((q-1)\alpha r) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

where m_p is the mass of the body at the perihelion, and we have made the definition

$$q = \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha(m_p - b)} \tag{A5}$$

7 Bibliography

0. G. López, L.A. Barrera, Y. Garibo, H. Hernández, J.C. Salazar, and C.A. Vargas, *One-Dimensional Systems and Problems Associated with Getting Their Hamiltonians*, Int. Jour. Theo. Phys., **43**,10 (2004),1.

1. H. Gylden, *Die Bahnbewegungen in einem Systeme von zwei Körpern in dem Falle, dass die Massen Veränderungen unterworfen sind*,

Astron. Nachr., **109**, no. 2593 (1884),1.

I.V. Meshcherskii, *Ein Specialfall des Gylden'schen Problems (A. N. 2593)*,

Astron. Nachr., **132**, no. 3153 (1893),93.

I.V. Meshcherskii, *Ueber die Integration der Bewegungsgleichungen im Probleme zweier Krper von vernderlicher Masse*,

Astron. Nachr., **159**, no. 3807 (1902),229.

E.O. Lovett, *Note on Gylden's equations of the problem of two bodies with masses varying with the time*,

Astron. Nachr., **158**, no. 3790 (1902), 337.

J.H. Jeans, *Cosmogonic problems associated with a secular decrease of mass*,

MNRAS, **85**, no. 1 (1924),2.

L.M. Berkovich, *Gylden-Mescerskii problem*,

Celestial Mechanics, **24** (1981),407.

A.A. Bekov, *Integrable Cases and Trajectories in the Gylden-Meshcherskii Problem*, Astron. Zh., **66** (1989),135.

C. Prieto and J.A. Docobo, *Analytic solution of the two-body problem with slowly decreasing mass*, Astron. Astrophys., **318** (1997),657.

2. A. Sommerfeld, *Lectures on Theoretical Physics*, Vol. I, Academic Press (1964).

3. A.G. Zagorodny, P.P.J.M. Schram, and S.A. Trigger, *Stationary Velocity and Charge Distributions of Grains in Dusty Plasmas*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **84** (2000),3594.

4. O.T. Serimaa, J. Javanainen, and S. Varró, *Gauge-independent Wigner functions: General formulation*, Phys. Rev. A, **33**, (1986), 2913.

5. H.A. Bethe, *Possible Explanation of the Solar-Neutrino Puzzle*,

- Phys. Rev. Lett., **56**, (1986), 1305.
 E.D. Commins and P.H. Bucksbaum, *Weak Interactions of Leptons and Quarks*, Cambridge University Press (1983).
- 6.** F.W. Helhl, C. Kiefer and R.J.K. Metzler, *Black Holes: Theory and Observation*, Springer-Verlag (1998).
- 7.** P.W. Daly, *The use of Kepler trajectories to calculate ion fluxes at multi-gigameter distances from Comet*,
 Astron. Astrophys., **226** (1989) 318.
- 8.** H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, M.A., (1950).
- 9.** G. López, *Partial Differential Equations of First Order and Their Applications to Physics*, World Scientific, 1999.
- 10.** F. John, *Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag New York (1974).
- 11.** J.A. Kobussen, *Some comments on the Lagrangian formalism for systems with general velocity-dependent forces*,
 Acta Phys. Austr. **51**, (1979), 193.
- 12.** C. Leubner, *Inequivalent lagrangians from constants of the motion*,
 Phys. Lett. A **86**, (1981), 2.
- 13.** G. López, *One-Dimensional Autonomous Systems and Dissipative Systems* ,
 Ann. of Phys., **251**, 2 (1996), 372.
- 14.** G. López, *Constant of Motion, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian of the Gravitational Attraction of Two Bodies with Variable Mass* ,
 Int. Jour. Theo. Phys., **46**, no. 4, (2007), 806.
- 15.** G. Cevolani, G. Bortolotti and A. Hajduk, *Debris from comet Halley, comet's mass loss and age* ,
 IL Nuo. Cim. C, **10**, no.5 (1987) 587.
- 16.** J.L. Brandy, *Halley's Comet: AD 1986 to 2647 BC*,

J. Brit. astron. Assoc., **92**, no. 5 (1982) 209.

17. D.C. Jewitt, *From Kuiper Belt Object to Cometary Nucleus: The Missing Ultrared Matter*,
Astron. Jour., **123**, (2002) 1039.

18. B.V. Chirikov and V.V. Vecheslavov, *Chaotic dynamics of Comet Halley*
Astron. Astrophys., **221**, (1989) 146.




Figure 1: Trajectories in the (r, v) space with $\delta m/m = 0.009$.




Figure 2: Period of the comet as a function of the mass lost ratio.




Figure 3: Ratio of aphelion distance change as a function of the mass lost rate.




Figure 4: Period of the comet as a function of the parameter γ .




Figure 5: Ratio of the aphelion increasing as a function of the parameter γ .