Radiation back-reaction in relativistically strong and QED-strong laser fields

Igor V. Sokolov*

Space Physics Research Laboratory, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

John A. Nees and Victor P. Yanovsky

Center for Ultrafast Optical Science and FOCUS Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Natalia M. Naumova

Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, ENSTA, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, 91761 Palaiseau, France

Gérard A. Mourou

Institut de la Lumière Extrême, ENSTA, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, 91761 Palaiseau, France

(Dated: October 2, 2018)

The emission from an electron in the field of a relativistically strong laser pulse is analyzed. At the pulse intensities of $\geq 10^{22}$ W/cm² the emission from counter-propagating electrons is modified by the effects of Quantum ElectroDynamics (QED), as long as the electron energy is sufficiently high: $\mathcal{E} \geq 1$ GeV. The radiation force experienced by an electron is for the first time derived from the QED principles and its applicability range is extended towards the QED-strong fields.

In Quantum ElectroDynamics (QED) an electric field should be treated as strong if it exceeds the Schwinger limit: $E_S = m_e c^2/(|e|\lambda_C)$ [1]. Such field is potentially capable of separating a virtual electron-positron pair providing an energy, which exceeds the electron rest mass energy, $m_e c^2$, to a charge, e, over an acceleration length as small as the Compton wavelength, $\lambda_C = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c}$. Particularly, a QED-strong Lorentz-transformed electric field, $E_0 = |\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{B}|/(m_e c)$, may be exerted by a charged particle with the momentum \mathbf{p} , gyrating in the magnetic field, \mathbf{B} , if $|\mathbf{p}| \gg m_e c$ and/or the magnetic field is strong enough.

Consider QED-effects in a strong pulsed laser field [2]:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{a}^2} \gg 1, \qquad \mathbf{a} = \frac{e\mathbf{A}}{m_e c^2},$$
 (1)

A being the vector potential of the wave. In the laboratory frame of reference the electric field is not QEDstrong for achieved laser intensities, ~ 10^{22} W/cm² [3], and even for the ~ 10^{25} W/cm² intensity projected [4]. Nonetheless, a counter-propagating particle in a 1D wave, $\mathbf{a}(\xi), \xi = \omega t - (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$, may experience a QED-strong field, $E_0 = |d\mathbf{A}/d\xi|\omega(\mathcal{E} - p_{\parallel})/c$, because the laser frequency, ω , is Doppler upshifted in the frame of reference comoving with the electron. Herewith the electron dimensionless energy, \mathcal{E} , and its momentum are related to m_ec^2 , and m_ec correspondingly, and subscript \parallel herewith denotes the vector projection on the direction of the wave propagation. The Lorentz-transformed field exceeds the Schwinger limit, if $\chi \sim E_0/E_S = \frac{\chi_C}{\chi}(\mathcal{E} - p_{\parallel}) \left| \frac{d\mathbf{a}}{d\xi} \right| \gg 1$, where $\chi = \frac{c}{\omega}$.

Within classical theory a signature for E_0 is a radiation loss rate, $I_{\rm cl}(E_0) = 2e^4 E_0^2/(3m_e^2 c^3)$. Therefore, the QED-strength of the electromagnetic field may be determined in evaluating I_{cl} and its ratio to $I_C = I_{cl}(2E_S/3)$:

$$\chi = \sqrt{\frac{I_{\rm cl}}{I_C}}, \qquad I_C = \frac{8e^2c}{27\lambda_C^2}.$$
 (2)

If $\chi \geq 1$ then the actual radiation loss rate differs from $I_{\rm cl}$. The condition of $\chi > 1$ also separates the parameter range of the Compton effect from that of the Thomson effect, under the condition of Eq.(1). The distinctive feature of the Compton effect is an electron recoil, which is significant, if a typical emitted photon energy, $\hbar\omega_c$, is comparable with the electron energy [5]. Their ratio, $\chi = \lambda_C \omega_c / (c\mathcal{E})$, equals χ as defined in Eq.(2) with the proper numerical factors (cf Eq.(13)).

Counter-propagating electrons can be generated in the course of laser pulse interaction with a solid target, that is why the radiation effects in the course of laser-plasma interaction are widely investigated (see [5, 6]). The principle matter in this paper is an account of the radiation back-reaction acting on a charged particle. This can be consistently done by solving the modified Lorentz-Abraham-Dirac equation as derived in [7], in which the radiation back-reaction on the electron motion is expressed in terms of the emission probability. The calculation of this probability in relativistically strong and QED-strong laser pulses is given in Section I. In Section II we discuss the radiation effect on the electron motion in strong fields.

I. ELECTRON IN A 1D WAVE: THE EMISSION PROBABILITY

The emission probability in the strong 1D wave field may be found in \S 40,90,101 in [8], as well as in [9],[10]. However, to simulate highly dynamical effects in pulsed

^{*}Electronic address: igorsok@umich.edu



FIG. 1: The volume over which to integrate the matrix element while finding the emission probability: in the standard scheme for the dipole emission (in dashed lines) and in the TST (in solid lines). Arrows show the direction, along which the Heisenberg operator advances the wave functions.

fields, one needs a reformulated emission probability, related to short time intervals (not $(-\infty, +\infty)$).

Consider the classical motion of an electron in a 1D field, $a = a^{\mu}(\xi)$, $\xi = (k \cdot x)$, $(k \cdot a) = 0$, a, k and x being the 4-vectors of the potential, the wave and the coordinates. Herewith the 4-dot-product is introduced in a usual manner: $(k \cdot x) = \omega t - (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ etc., 3-vectors in contrast with 4-vectors being denoted in bold, 4-indices are omitted below. Introduce a Transformed Space-Time (TST) : $x^{0,1} = (ct \mp x_{\parallel})/\sqrt{2}$, $x^{2,3} = \mathbf{x}_{\perp}$, subscript \perp denoting the vector components orthogonal to \mathbf{k} . Note, that: (1) $dx^0 = \lambda d\xi/\sqrt{2}$, $p^0 = \lambda (k \cdot p)/\sqrt{2}$, $(p \cdot k) = (\mathcal{E} - p_{\parallel})/\lambda$; (2) the momentum components, p^0 and $\mathbf{p}_{\perp} + \mathbf{a}$, are conserved; (3) the metric tensor in the TST is: $G^{01} = G^{10} = 1$, $G^{22} = G^{33} = -1$; and (4) $p^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}p^{\nu} = 1$ gives: $p^1 = (1 + \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2)/(2p^0)$. These properties allow us to find:

$$I_{\rm cl} = -\frac{2e^2c}{3}\frac{dp_j}{ds}G^{jk}\frac{dp_k}{ds} = \frac{2e^2c(k\cdot p)^2}{3}\left(\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{d\xi}\right)^2,\quad(3)$$

and to relate 4-momenta at different time instants:

$$p(\xi) = p(\xi') - \delta a + \frac{2(p(\xi') \cdot \delta a) - (\delta a)^2}{2(k \cdot p)}k, \qquad (4)$$

where $ds = \sqrt{c^2 dt^2 - (\mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{x}/\mathcal{E})^2}$ and $\delta a = a(\xi) - a(\xi')$.

A QED solution of the Dirac equation in the TST is given by a plane electron wave (N = const),

$$\psi = \frac{u(p(\xi))}{\sqrt{N}} C(\xi) \exp\left[\frac{i(\mathbf{p}_{\perp 0} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\perp})}{\lambda_C} - \frac{i(k \cdot p)x^1}{(\lambda_C/\lambda)\sqrt{2}}\right].$$
 (5)

The Dirac equation, $\left(\left(\gamma \cdot (i\lambda_C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - a)\right) - 1\right)\psi = 0$, is satisfied under the following conditions: $u(p(\xi))$ is plane wave bi-spinor amplitude, $\left(\left(\gamma \cdot p(\xi)\right) - 1\right)u(p(\xi)) = 0$, $\hat{u} \cdot u = 2$, γ^{μ} are the Dirac matrices, and $C(\xi) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\lambda_C}\int_{\xi'}^{\xi}\frac{1+\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2(\xi_1)}{2(k \cdot p)}d\xi_1\right)$. Using Eq.(4), we find:

$$u(p(\xi)) = \left[1 + \frac{(\gamma \cdot k)(\gamma \cdot \delta a)}{2(k \cdot p)}\right] u(p(\xi')).$$
(6)

The emission probability. Introduce domain, $\Delta^4 x = (\Delta x^1 * S_{\perp}) * \Delta x^0$, bounded by two hypersurfaces, $\xi = \xi_-$ and $\xi = \xi_+$ (see Fig.1). The difference $\xi_+ - \xi_-$ is bounded as decribed below, so that $\Delta^4 x$ covers only a minor part of the pulse. A volume $V = S_{\perp} \lambda (\xi_+ - \xi_-)$, is a section of $\Delta^4 x$ subtended by a line t = const. With the choice of the coefficient in Eq.(5), $N = 2S_{\perp} \lambda \int_{\xi_-}^{\xi_+} \mathcal{E}(\xi_2) d\xi_2$, the integral $S_{\perp} \lambda \int_{\xi_-}^{\xi_+} \hat{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi d\xi_1$ is set to unity, i.e. there is a single electron in the volume V. The emission probability, dW, for a photon of wave vector, k', polarization vector, l, and wave function, $A' = \frac{\exp[-i(k' \cdot x)]}{N_p^{1/2}} l$, $N_p = \frac{\omega' V}{2\pi \hbar c^2}$, is given by an integral over $\Delta^4 x$:

$$dW = \frac{\alpha L_f L_p}{\hbar c} \left| \int \hat{\psi}_f (\gamma \cdot (A')^*) \psi_i dx^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 \right|^2, \quad (7)$$

 $L_p = d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}' d(k \cdot k') \hbar c N_p / ((2\pi)^2 (k \cdot k')) \text{ is the number of states for the emitted photon, a subscript$ *i*,*f* $denotes the electron in the initial (i) or final (f) state, and <math>\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137}$. The number of electron states, $L_{i,f}$, per $d^3 \mathbf{p}_{i,f} = d^2 \mathbf{p}_{\perp i,f} d(k \cdot p)_{i,f} \mathcal{E}_{i,f}(\xi) / (k \cdot p)_{i,f}$, in the wave field can be found by calculating the Hamiltonian invariant, $d^3 \mathbf{p} dV \sim \mathcal{E}(\xi) d\xi$, with no field: $L_{i,f} = \frac{d(k \cdot p)_{i,f} d^2 \mathbf{p}_{\perp i,f} N_{i,f}}{2(2\pi)^3 \lambda_C^3 (k \cdot p)_{i,f}}$.

Conservation laws. The integration by $dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 = c\sqrt{2}dtd^2\mathbf{x}_{\perp}$ results in three δ - functions, expressing the conservation of totals of \mathbf{p}_{\perp} and $(k \cdot p)$, for particles in initial and final states. Twice integrated with respect to dx^1 , the probability dW is proportional to a long time interval, $\Delta t = \Delta x^1/(c\sqrt{2})$, if the boundary condition for the electron wave at $\xi = \xi_-$ is maintained within that long time. For a single electron, which locates between the wave fronts $\xi = \xi_-$ and $\xi = \xi_+$ during a shorter time,

$$\delta t(\xi_{-},\xi_{+}) = (1/c) \int_{\xi_{-}}^{\xi^{+}} \mathcal{E}_{i}(\xi) d\xi_{2}/(k \cdot p_{i}), \qquad (8)$$

the emission probability is: $dW_{fi}(\xi_{-},\xi_{+}) = \delta t dW/\Delta t$. Using δ -functions we integrate Eq.(7) over $d\mathbf{p}_{\perp f} d(k \cdot p_{f})$:

$$\frac{dW_{fi}(\xi_{-},\xi_{+})}{d(k\cdot k')d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'} = \frac{\alpha \left| \int_{\xi_{-}}^{\xi_{+}} F(\xi)\hat{u}(p_{f})(\gamma \cdot l^{*})u(p_{i})d\xi \right|^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}(k\cdot k')(k\cdot p_{i})(k\cdot p_{f})}, \quad (9)$$

where $F(\xi) = \exp[i \int^{\xi} (k' \cdot p_i(\xi_2)) d\xi_2 / (k \cdot p_f)]$ and

$$p_f(\xi) = p_i(\xi) - \lambda_C k' + \frac{(k' \cdot p_i(\xi))\lambda_C}{(k \cdot p_i) - (k \cdot k')\lambda_C}k.$$
 (10)

To integrate Eq.(9), we re-write it as the double integral over $d\xi d\xi_1$ and reduce the matrices $u(p_{i,f}(\xi)) \otimes \hat{u}(p_{i,f}(\xi_1))$ in the integrand to the polarization matrices of the electron at ξ or at ξ_1 using Eq.(6). Although in a strong wave electrons may be polarized (see [11]), we then average over electron and photon polarizations and find:

$$\frac{dW_{fi}}{d(k \cdot k')d^2\mathbf{k}'_{\perp}} = \frac{\alpha \int_{\xi_{-}}^{\xi_{+}} \int_{\xi_{-}}^{\xi_{+}} F(\xi)F(-\xi_{1})]D(\xi,\xi_{1})d\xi d\xi_{1}}{(2\pi)^{2}(k \cdot k')(k \cdot p_{i})(k \cdot p_{f})},$$

where $D = -\left(\frac{[\mathbf{a}(\xi) - \mathbf{a}(\xi_1)]^2 ((k \cdot p_i)^2 + (k \cdot p_f)^2)}{4(k \cdot p_i)(k \cdot p_f)} + 1\right)$. Now we develop the dot-product, $(k' \cdot p_i)$, in $F(\xi)$ in the TST metric G and find: $F(\xi)F(-\xi_1) = \exp[i(F_1 + F_2)]$, where

$$F_{1} = \frac{(k \cdot p_{i})}{2(k \cdot k')(k \cdot p_{f})} \left(\frac{(k \cdot k')}{(k \cdot p_{i})} \langle \mathbf{p}_{\perp i} \rangle - \mathbf{k}'_{\perp}\right)^{2} (\xi - \xi^{*}),$$

$$F_{2} = \frac{(k \cdot k') \left\{ (\xi - \xi_{1}) + \int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi} [\mathbf{a}(\xi_{2}) - \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle]^{2} d\xi_{2} \right\}}{2(k \cdot p_{i})(k \cdot p_{f})}, \quad \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle = \frac{\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi} \mathbf{a} d\xi_{2}}{\xi - \xi_{1}} \text{ and }$$

$$\frac{dW_{fi}(\xi_{-}, \xi_{+})}{d(k \cdot k')} = \frac{\alpha \int_{\xi_{-}}^{\xi_{+}} \int_{\xi_{-}}^{\xi_{+}} \frac{i \exp(iF_{2})}{\xi - \xi_{1}} D(\xi, \xi_{1}) d\xi d\xi_{1}}{2\pi (k \cdot p_{i})^{2}}. \quad (11)$$

In the strong field as in Eq.(1) the formulae simplify. In F_2 we estimate: $\xi - \xi_1 \sim |d\mathbf{a}/d\xi|^{-1}$, and $(k \cdot k') \sim (k \cdot p_i)(k \cdot p_i) |d\mathbf{a}/d\xi|$. Now we can *constently* introduce the bounds for $\xi_+ - \xi_-$:

$$|d\mathbf{a}/d\xi|^{-1} \ll \xi_{+} - \xi_{-} \ll \min\left(\alpha^{-1} |d\mathbf{a}/d\xi|^{-1}, 1\right).$$

Under these bounds, the emission probability: (1) is linear in $\xi_+ - \xi_-$: $dW_{fi}(\xi_-, \xi_+) = (dW_{fi}/d\xi)(\xi_+ - \xi_-)$; (2) is less than unity: $\int dW_{fi} < 1$; and (3) can be expressed in terms of the local electric field. By introducing $\langle \xi \rangle = (\xi + \xi_1)/2$, $\theta = (\xi - \xi_1)|d\mathbf{a}/d\xi|/2$, $(\mathbf{a}(\xi) - \mathbf{a}(\xi_1))^2 \approx 4\theta^2$ and expressing the integral over θ in terms of the MacDonald functions we find:

$$\frac{dW_{fi}}{dr_0 d\xi} = \frac{\alpha \chi \left(\int_{r_\chi}^{\infty} K_{\frac{5}{3}}(y) dy + r_0 r_\chi \chi^2 K_{\frac{2}{3}}(r_\chi) \right)}{\sqrt{3}\pi (k \cdot p_i) \lambda_C}, \quad (12)$$

$$r_{\chi} = \frac{r_0}{1 - \chi r_0}, \quad \chi = \frac{3}{2} (k \cdot p_i) \left| \frac{d\mathbf{a}}{d\xi} \right| \lambda_C = \sqrt{\frac{I_{\rm cl}}{I_C}},$$

Probability (similar to that found in [9]) is expressed in terms of functions of $r_0 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{(k \cdot k')}{(k \cdot p_i)^2 |\mathbf{d}\mathbf{a}/d\xi|}$, and related to interval of dr_0 . Below we demonstrate the way to use this probability to describe the electron motion and emission.

II. RADIATION AND ITS BACK-REACTION

At $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 \gg 1$ the wave vector of the emitted photon is almost parallel to the electron momentum. For colinear k' and p_i , one has $\omega' / \mathcal{E}_i \approx c(k \cdot k') / (k \cdot p_i)$, therefore,

$$r_0 = \frac{\omega'}{\omega_c}, \quad \omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{E}c(k \cdot p_i) \left| \frac{d\mathbf{a}}{d\xi} \right| = \mathcal{E}c\chi/\lambda_C. \tag{13}$$

The assumption, $\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 \gg 1$ also allows us to find the momentum of the emitted radiation, which we relate to the interval of the electron *proper* time, using Eqs.(8,12):

$$\frac{dp_{\rm rad}}{d\tau} = \int \lambda_C k' \frac{c(k \cdot p_i) dW}{d(k \cdot k') d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp d\xi} d(k \cdot k') d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp =
= [p + k \ O((k \cdot p_i)^{-1})] \int c \lambda_C (k' \cdot k) \frac{dW}{dr_0 d\xi} dr_0.$$
(14)



FIG. 2: Emission spectra for various values of χ .



FIG. 3: Emitted radiation power in the QED approach vs classical (solid); an interpolation formula $I_{\rm QED} = I_{\rm cl}/(1 + 1.04\sqrt{I_{\rm cl}/I_C})^{4/3}$ (dashed).

As with other 4-momenta, $p_{\rm rad}$ is related to m_ec . To prove the 4-vector relationship (14), we expand its components in the TST metric and integrate them over \mathbf{k}_{\perp} using the symmetry of F_1 . The small term, $O(1/(kp_i))$, arises from the electron rest mass energy and from the small (~ $1/|p_{\perp}|$) but finite width of the photon angular distribution. Below we neglect this term and find: $dp_{\rm rad}/d\tau = p_i \frac{1}{m_ec^2} (dI_{\rm QED}/dr_0) dr_0$ where $I_{\rm QED} =$ $m_ec^2 \int c\lambda_C (k\dot{k}') \frac{dW}{d\xi dr_0} dr_0$ is the radiation loss rate.

Thus, the angular distribution can be represented as $\delta(\mathbf{\Omega} - \mathbf{p}/\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2})d\mathbf{\Omega}$, with $\mathbf{\Omega}$ being the solid angle of the photon direction. The photon energy spectrum, dI_{QED}/dr_0 , is described as function only of the random scalar, $r_0 = \omega'/\omega_c$, using only the parameter, χ (see Fig.2). The latter may be parameterized in terms of the radiation loss rate, evaluated within the framework of classical theory (see Eq.(2) and Fig.3). The expressions for $q(I_{\text{cl}}) = I_{\text{QED}}/I_{\text{cl}}$ and for the normalized spectrum function, $Q(r_0, \chi)$, coincide with formulae known from the gyrosynchrotron emission theory (see §90 in [8]):

$$q = \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty dr_0 r_0 \left(\int_{r_\chi}^\infty K_{\frac{5}{3}}(y) dy + r_0 r_\chi \chi^2 K_{\frac{2}{3}}(r_\chi) \right),$$
$$Q(r_0, \chi) = \frac{9\sqrt{3}r_0}{8\pi q} \left(\int_{r_\chi}^\infty K_{\frac{5}{3}}(y) dy + r_0 r_\chi \chi^2 K_{\frac{2}{3}}(r_\chi) \right)$$



FIG. 4: The emission spectrum for 600 MeV electrons interacting with 30-fs laser pulses of intensity $2 \cdot 10^{22} W/cm^2$: with (solid) or without (dashed) accounting for the QED effects. Here $\hbar\omega_{c0} \approx 1.1$ MeV for $\lambda = 0.8\mu$ m.

Radiation back-reaction. While emitting a photon, an electron also acquires 4-momentum from the external field, equal to $dp_F = \frac{(k' \cdot p_i(\xi))\lambda_C}{(k \cdot p_i) - (k \cdot k')\lambda_C} k \approx k\lambda_C(k' \cdot p_i)/(k \cdot p_i)$ (see Eq.(10)). Usually this is small compared to $dp_{\rm rad}$. However, the account for the interaction with the field ensures that the *total* effect of emission on the electron not to break the entity $(p_f \cdot p_f) = 1$. The choices of near-unity correction coefficients in dp_F are somewhat different in the cases $\chi \leq 1$ and $\chi \gg 1$. For moderate values of χ the *radiation force*, $(dp_F - dp_{\rm rad})/dt$, may be found by integrating both dp_F and $dp_{\rm rad}$ over dk':

$$\frac{d(p_f - p_i)}{d\tau} = \left(k\frac{(p_i \cdot p_i)}{(k \cdot p_i)} - p_i\right)\frac{I_{\text{QED}}}{m_e c^2},\tag{15}$$

where the choice of the coefficient in dp_F , first, ensures that the radiation force maintains the abovementioned entity (since $(p_i \cdot d(p_f - p_i)/d\tau) = 0$), and, second, makes Eq.(15) applicable with dimensional momenta as well.

We already mentioned in [7], that QED is not compatible with the traditional approach to the radiation force in classical electrodynamics and suggested an alternative equation of motion for a radiating electron:

$$\frac{dp^{i}}{d\tau} = \Omega^{ik} p_{k} - \frac{I_{\text{QED}}}{m_{e}c^{2}} p^{i} + \tau_{0} \frac{I_{\text{QED}}}{I_{\text{cl}}} \Omega^{ik} \Omega_{kl} p^{l}, \qquad (16)$$

where $\Omega^{ij} = eF^{ij}/(m_ec)$, F^{ij} is the field tensor and $\tau_0 = 2e^2/(3m_ec^3)$. In the 1D plane wave $\tau_0\Omega^{ik}\Omega_{kl}p^l = k^i(p \cdot p)I_{\rm cl}/(m_ec^2(k \cdot p))$, so that the radiation force in Eq.(16) is the same as its QED formulation in Eq.(15). This proves that the earlier derived Eq.(16) has a wide range of applicability including an electron quasi-classical motion in QED strong fields. The way to solve Eq.(16) and integrate the emission is described in [7].

In Fig.4 we show the numerical result for an electron interacting with a laser pulse. We see that the QED effects essentially modify the radiation spectrum even with laser intensities which are already achieved.

We conclude that in a wide range of applications, including the case of very strong laser fields with essential QED effects, the electron motion may be successfully described within the radiation force approximation. The necessary corrections in the radiation force and the emission spectra to account for the QED effects are parameterized by the *sole* parameter, $I_{\rm cl}$.

The future application to QED Monte-Carlo simulations may be based on the total probability of emission per interval of proper time: $W = \Delta \tau (I_{\text{QED}}/(m_e c^2))\tilde{\omega}^{-1}$, where $\tilde{\omega}^{-1} = \int Q(r_0, \chi)/(\chi r_0) dr_0$. The expression of the only scalar to gamble, $\lambda_C \omega' / \mathcal{E}c$, in terms of a random number, $0 \leq R < 1$, is given by an integral equation as follows:

$$\int_0^{\lambda_C \omega'/(\mathcal{E}c\chi)} Q/(r_0\chi) dr_0 = R < \lambda_C \omega'/\mathcal{E}c >^{-1}.$$

This method will be described in a forthcoming publication in detail, including the pair production (see [12] regarding the latter effect).

- J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951); E. Brezin and C. Itzykson, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1191 (1970).
- M. Marklund and P. K. Shukla, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 591 (2006); Y. I. Salamin *et al*, Phys. Reports 427, 41 (2006)
- [3] S.-W. Bahk *et al*, Opt. Lett. **29**, 2837 (2004); V.
 Yanovsky *et al*, Optics Express **16**, 2109 (2008).
- [4] http://eli-laser.eu/; E. Gerstner, Nature 446, 16 (2007).
- [5] J. Koga, T. Zh. Esirkepov and S. V. Bulanov, Phys. Plasmas 12, 093106 (2005).
- [6] Y. Y. Lau et al, Phys. Plasmas 10, 2155 (2003); F. He et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 055002 (2003); A. Zhidkov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 185002 (2002); S. V. Bulanov et al, Plasma Phys. Rep. 30, 196 (2004); N. M. Naumova et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 063902 (2004); N. M. Naumova et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 195003 (2004); J. Nees et al, J.

Mod. Optics **52**, 305 (2005); N. M. Naumova, J. A. Nees and G. A. Mourou, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 056707 (2005).

- [7] I. V. Sokolov, JETP **109**, 207 (2009); I. V. Sokolov *et al*, Phys. Plasmas **16**, 093115 (2009).
- [8] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1982).
- [9] A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Sov. Phys. Usp. 13, 303 (1970); see also the papers cited there.
- [10] M. V. Galynsky and S. M. Sikach, Physics of Particles and Nuclei **29**, 469 (1998); L. Dongguo *et al*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **42**, 5376 (2003).
- [11] T. Omori et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 114801 (2006).
- [12] A. R. Bell and J. G. Kirk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 200403 (2008).