

INCONSTANCE OF DISCRETE CURVES

JEAN-PAUL ALLOUCHE AND LAURENCE MAILLARD-TEYSSIER

The voyage of the best ship is a zig-zag line of a hundred tacks. See the line from a sufficient distance, and it straightens itself to the average tendency... (Ralph Waldo Emerson, Emerson Essays, 1899)

ABSTRACT. In order to study large variations or fluctuations of piecewise affine functions or time series, we bring to light a 1868 paper of Crofton and the (Cauchy-)Crofton theorem. After surveying occurrences of this result in the literature, we introduce the *inconstance* of a curve and we show why it seems more pertinent than other criteria for measuring the variational complexity of the curve. We also compute the inconstance of classical binary sequences including automatic sequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

How is it possible to define *and* to detect large variations or fluctuations of functions? Being mainly interested in addressing the (discrete) time evolution of biological, financial, musical... phenomena, we will restrict ourselves to *piecewise affine functions*. The usual approach is based on computing the distance to the linear regression line, i.e., on computing the *residual variance*. But this quantity somehow describes total distance to “regularity”, and says nothing about possibly large local fluctuations: for example it may not discriminate between an exponentially growing function and a fractal-like chaotic curve. In particular one should remember that dictionaries defining “fluctuation” use words with a similar meaning among which “wavering”, “unsteadiness”, “vacillation”, “erraticness”, “variability”...

We suggest here to bring to light – especially for applications to discrete curves – a paper of Crofton dated 1868 [20] (see also the papers of Cauchy [13, 14] and the papers of Steinhaus [57] and of Dupain, Kamae and Mendès France [22]). Crofton studies the average number of intersection points of a curve with random straight lines. But this average number can be thought somehow as a measure of the fluctuations of the curve. Namely for a straight line or a curve “looking like a straight line”, this average number is equal to 1, while it has a very large value for a “very complicated” curve. Following this idea we propose a measure of large variations of a function and we compare it with the residual variance. Conversely this measure will allow to *decide* whether a discrete curve is “more complicated” than another in cases where the visual aspect does not suffice to suggest an intuitive answer. We will also show that this measure can be applied to infinite sequences taking only finitely many values (in particular *automatic sequences*, see, eg., [5]) to describe their “complexity”.

As will be recalled, the ideas of Cauchy and Crofton were already used in various contexts: one of our purposes is *to insist on their usefulness for measuring the complexity of discrete*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C65, 52C45, 37M10, 11B85, 52A38, 51M25, 28A75, 62M10, 52A10.

Key words and phrases. Fluctuations, time series, discrete curve, Cauchy-Crofton theorem, inconstance, entropy of a curve, automatic sequences.

phenomena, as a compromise between measuring intensity, time and consecutive repetitions. These ideas will be applied in a subsequent paper to fluctuations of biological parameters, e.g., the weight, or the *Quetelet index*¹, often called the *BMI* (Body Mass Index, see, e.g., [51, 8, 54, 53, 52]) for children: are “large fluctuations” of the BMI risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in relation with the *metabolic syndrome*? This question was addressed with other tools in [59] (see also the references therein). We also aim to try to apply this measure of fluctuations to other questions, e.g., analyzing fluctuations of the stockmarket, or even quantifying the “smoothness” of musical themes.

2. DEFINING THE *Inconstance* OF A CURVE

A possible approach for describing large variations or large fluctuations of a curve is to “compare” it with a straight line. More precisely we can count the number of intersection points of random straight lines with the given curve: if this number is small on average, the curve behaves roughly as a straight line; if this number is large, the curve is “complicated”. Now is there an “easy” way to compute this number? The Cauchy-Crofton theorem answers the question.

2.1. Cauchy-Crofton’s theorem. Consider a plane curve Γ . Denote by $\ell(\Gamma)$ the length of Γ and by $\delta(\Gamma)$ the perimeter of the convex hull of Γ . Let $\Omega(\Gamma)$ be the set of straight lines which intersect Γ . Any line can be defined as the set of (x, y) such that $x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta - \rho = 0$, where θ belongs to $[0, \pi)$ and ρ is a real number. A straight line is therefore completely determined by (ρ, θ) . Denoting by μ the Lebesgue measure on the set $\{(\rho, \theta), \rho \geq 0, \theta \in [0, \pi)\}$, the *average* number of intersection points between the curve Γ and a line in Ω is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}(\Gamma) := \int_{D \in \Omega(\Gamma)} \#(\Gamma \cap D) \frac{d\rho d\theta}{\mu(\Omega(\Gamma))}.$$

The following result can be found in [20, p. 184-185], see also the papers of Cauchy [13, 14].

Theorem 2.1 (Cauchy-Crofton). *The average number of intersection points between the curve Γ and the straight lines in Ω satisfies*

$$\mathcal{N}(\Gamma) = \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{\delta(\Gamma)}.$$

Remark 2.2. In his paper, Crofton speaks of “Local or Geometrical Probability”; he writes about Probabilities “The rigorous precision, as well as the extreme beauty of the methods and results... the subtlety and delicacy of the reasoning...”, and he quotes Laplace: “ce calcul délicat”. Crofton’s result is explained in Steinhaus’ paper [57]. It is presented in an illuminating way with several examples in the paper of Dupain, Kamae, and Mendès France [22]: these authors studied the notion of *entropy of a curve* and of *temperature of a curve* introduced by Mendès France in [37]. Note that the occurrence of the number 2 in the numerator can be understood by considering the case where Γ is a segment: the average number of intersection points is equal to 1, while the convex hull of the segment is twice as long as the segment itself (replace the segment by a thin rectangle whose width tends to zero).

¹In [51] Quetelet asserts that weights vary like heights squared for adults but more like (heights)^{5/2} for kids (see p. 52–53, and p. 61), while the “simplified” definition of the BMI is the ratio of the weight by the height squared.

Remark 2.3. The reader will have noted that Crofton’s approach has much to do with the famous Buffon needle problem [11, p. 100–104] also known as the Buffon-Laplace needle problem, see [31, p. 359–360]. The area of this kind of results is known as “Integral Geometry”. This terminology seems to have been introduced by Blaschke in his “Vorlesungen über Integralgeometrie” [9, 10]. More recent references are the book of Santaló [55], and the forthcoming book of Langevin [33] (see also [32]). An interesting review of the books of Blaschke and of the 1936 edition of the book of Santaló is [44]. A nice exposition of the (proof of the) theorem of Cauchy-Crofton, where the curve is only supposed to be rectifiable, can be found in the paper of Ayari and Dubuc [6]. We also recommend for a first approach the texts of Mendès France [41] and of Teissier [58]. Note that Crofton’s theorem is also (and more correctly) called Cauchy-Crofton’s theorem in the literature.

Remark 2.4. Using the theorem of Cauchy-Crofton to define a measure of complexity of a curve was first suggested by Mendès France [35, page 92], also see [36, 37]. It was also proposed later, e.g., in [15] where the name “folding index” is used. Also note that Crofton’s formulas in [20] are used frequently in many fields. To give but a few samples: complex motor behaviour in human movements [16] (also see [17, 18]), study of human blood and transfusion [61], simulation of gravitational evolution [56], anisotropies of the secondary cosmic microwave background [25], grain size distribution analysis for polycrystalline thin films [19], image analysis of crystalline agglomerates [48], measurement of convolution in cotton fibers [28], all applications of LIS (Line-Intercept Sampling), e.g., to the statistical analysis of vegetation or wildlife, see for example [62] and references therein (in particular [30] in the references below), spatial analysis of urban maps [24], in a discussion about examples of information processing coming from neurophysiology, cognitive psychology, and perception [47, pp. 1182–1185], and even relations between art and complexity [42] (also see [45, 46]).

2.2. The *inconstance* of a curve. The theorem of Cauchy-Crofton suggests the following definition.

Definition 2.5. Let Γ be a plane curve of length $\ell(\Gamma)$ and such that the perimeter of its convex hull is equal to $\delta(\Gamma)$. The *inconstance* of the curve Γ , denoted $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) := \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{\delta(\Gamma)}.$$

3. COMPARISON WITH OTHER CRITERIA

Other criteria for measuring fluctuations of a discrete curve can be found in the literature for real (e.g., biological) phenomena: qualitative classification with predetermined cut-off points, maximal values, residual variance... (see, e.g., the discussion in [59, pp. 316–317] for weight fluctuations). By oversimplifying most of the various definitions, one could say that they aim to measure the “distance” between the considered curve and a straight line, but this distance can be computed globally or locally. We recall the definition of *regression line*, of *residual variance*, and of *mean square error*.

Definition 3.1. Let $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ be a family of $n \geq 3$ points. Their *regression line* is the straight line that minimizes the sum of squares of distances from the (x_i, y_i) ’s to it. Letting $\bar{x} = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i)/n$ and $\bar{y} = (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i)/n$ denote the averages of the x_i ’s and of the y_i ’s,

the equation of the regression line is

$$Y = \hat{a}X + \hat{b}, \text{ where } \hat{a} = \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \text{ is the correlation, and } \hat{b} = \bar{y} - \hat{a}\bar{x}.$$

The *MSE* (i.e., *mean square error*) and the *RMSE* (i.e., *root mean square error*) of the (x_i, y_i) 's are defined by

$$MSE := \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (y_i - \hat{a}x_i - \hat{b})^2 \text{ and } RMSE := \sqrt{MSE}.$$

By abuse of terminology we will call *residual variance* the mean square error (strictly speaking the mean square error only gives an estimation of the residual variance).

We also introduce a notation.

Definition 3.2. Let n be a positive integer. We define $\Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ to be the union of the n segments $(0, 0) - (1, a_1)$, $(1, a_1) - (2, a_2)$, \dots , $(n-1, a_{n-1}) - (n, a_n)$. (Note that we have $(n+1)$ points, and that, without loss of generality, we suppose that the curve begins at the origin.)

3.1. Why is MSE not satisfactory to measure fluctuations? We show in this section two curve having same length: one is “fluctuating”, the other increases quickly, but their residual variances are both equal to 6, see Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Same MSE

3.2. Trying to compare MSE and inconstance? Are residual variance and inconstance of a curve comparable? We will prove that this is not the case, even for very simple curves, thanks to two easy lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma(a_1, a_2))$ be the residual variance of the curve $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma(a_1, a_2)) = \frac{(2a_1 - a_2)^2}{6}.$$

Proof. The computation is straightforward. The linear regression straight line is parallel to $(0, 0) - (2, a_2)$, and it contains the center of gravity of the triangle $(0, 0)$, $(1, a_1)$, $(2, a_2)$. Or simply compute from Definition 3.1: $\bar{x} = 1$, $\bar{y} = (a_1 + a_2)/3$, $\hat{a} = a_2/2$, and $\hat{b} = (2a_1 - a_2)/6$, hence $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma(a_1, a_2)) = (2a_1 - a_2)^2/6$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$ be the curve defined as the union of the two straight line-segments $(0, 0) - (1, a_1)$ and $(1, a_1) - (2, a_2)$. Then, $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(a_1, a_2))$, the inconstance of $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$, is given by*

$$\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(a_1, a_2)) = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{a_2^2 + 4}}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(a_2 - a_1)^2 + 1}}}$$

Proof. The proof is again straightforward. The length of $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$ and the perimeter of the convex hull of $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$ are given respectively by

$$\sqrt{a_1^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(a_2 - a_1)^2 + 1}$$

and

$$\sqrt{a_1^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(a_2 - a_1)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{a_2^2 + 4}.$$

\square

We are now ready for stating the non-comparability of residual variance and inconstance.

Proposition 3.5. *Residual variance and inconstance of a curve are not comparable. More precisely, there exist three curves Γ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that denoting by $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_i)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_i)$ their residual variance and inconstance, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_1) &< \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_2) < \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_3) < \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_4) \\ \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_4) &< \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_2) < \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_1) < \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_3). \end{aligned}$$

(See Figure 2.)

Proof. Use Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 above, with the following curves Γ_i , we get their residual inconstances $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_i)$ and inconstances $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_i)$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \Gamma_1 & := & \Gamma(1, 0) & \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_1) = \frac{2}{3} & \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_2) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}} & \approx & 1.17 \\ \Gamma_2 & := & \Gamma(0, 3) & \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_2) = \frac{3}{2} & \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_2) = \frac{1+\sqrt{10}}{1+\sqrt{10}+\sqrt{13}} & \approx & 1.07 \\ \Gamma_3 & := & \Gamma(2, 0) & \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_3) = \frac{8}{3} & \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_3) = \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{1+\sqrt{5}} & \approx & 1.38 \\ \Gamma_4 & := & \Gamma(0, 5) & \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_4) = \frac{25}{6} & \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_4) = \frac{2+2\sqrt{26}}{1+\sqrt{26}+\sqrt{29}} & \approx & 1.06 \end{array}$$

\square




FIGURE 2. Comparing residual variance and inconstance

Remark 3.6. There are other quantities that also “measure” the fluctuations of a curve. For example, keeping the notations of Definition 3.1: the *total variation* is defined as the mean of $(y_i - \bar{y})^2$, i.e., as $(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2)/n$; the *maximal distance* is defined as $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |y_i - \hat{a}x_i - \hat{b}|$. The reader can easily compute these quantities for the curve $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$ and check that they are not comparable to the inconstance of $\Gamma(a_1, a_2)$.

$$\text{Total variation: } \frac{2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 - a_1 a_2)}{9} \quad \text{Maximal distance: } \frac{|2a_1 - a_2|}{3}.$$

4. PERTINENCE OF THE USE OF INCONSTANCE: SIMPLE ARGUMENTS

4.1. A single fluctuation. Taking again the example in the previous section of a curve consisting of two straight line segments, let us vary the value a_1 , say $x := a_1$, and fix $a_2 = a$ (see Figure 3). The inconstance $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a))$ is thus given by

$$\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a)) = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 4}}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + \sqrt{(a-x)^2 + 1}}}$$

This map is increasing, which is in agreement with what a “fluctuation” should be.

It is clear that $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a)) = \mathcal{I}(\Gamma(a-x, a))$, which shows that the line $x = a/2$ is a symmetry axis. In other words, “exchanging” the two segments, more precisely replacing $((0, 0) - (1, x))$, $((1, x) - (2, a))$ by $((0, 0) - (1, a-x))$, $((1, a-x) - (2, a))$, does not change the inconstance (see Figure 4). Of course this is a necessary condition for a fluctuation criterion.

It is easy to show that $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(a/2, a)) = 1$ (no fluctuation) and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a)) = 2$ (when x is large, the value of x is not really important, the inconstance is close to 2). We




FIGURE 3. Varying the intermediate value






FIGURE 4. Symmetry

also have that $(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a)))' = 0$ if and only if $x = a/2$. In particular the graph of the function $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a))$ has the aspect shown on Figures 5 and 6.

We note that the curve is “flat” in the neighborhood of $a/2$, or even for $x \in (0, a)$. This means that the inconstance $(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a)))$, which is equal to 1 for $x = a/2$, remains close to 1 when the two slopes of the curve have the same sign, while it is larger when the signs of the slopes are opposite, which correctly describes what a fluctuation should be (the MSE does not have this property). Also the inconstance $(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a)))$ tends quickly to 2 when a is small, see Figure 7.

4.2. General remarks. If we look more generally at the inconstance of $\Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, what will clearly matter for its size is the sequence of slopes: growth and signs of consecutive terms are crucial characteristics of the sequence, which corresponds to the intuitive idea of “fluctuation”. Of course we always have the straightforward bounds

$$1 \leq \mathcal{I}(\Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) \leq n.$$

FIGURE 5. Graph of $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a))$ FIGURE 6. Varying a in the graph of $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma(x, a))$

Conversely the inconstance may be used to discriminate between curves, i.e., to decide whether a curve is more fluctuating than another, when the “visual aspect” does not suffice to assert an intuitive answer. We give two examples.

4.3. Fluctuations of curves with four points. In Figure 8 inconstances permit to discriminate between “less fluctuating” and “more fluctuating” curves, though there is no visual evidence of which curve is more fluctuating. It is interesting to note that the maximum of the function is not really taken into account, only the variations count.




FIGURE 7. Signs of slopes

4.4. **A case where inconstance does not discriminate.** The lengths and inconstances of the two curves $\Gamma(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}, 0)$ and $\Gamma(2\sqrt{6}/5, 4\sqrt{6}/5, 0)$ (see Figure 9) are the same.

5. INCONSTANCE OF FINITE AND INFINITE SEQUENCES

Inconstance of finite or infinite sequences can be defined in a straightforward way from what precedes.

Definition 5.1. Let $(u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ be a finite sequence of real numbers, with $u_0 = 0$ say. Let Γ_n be the union of the straight line segments $(0, 0) - (1, u_1), (1, u_1) - (2, u_2), \dots, (n-1, u_{n-1}) - (N, u_N)$, then the inconstance of $(u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}((u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}) := \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_N).$$

Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an infinite sequence of real numbers, with $u_0 = 0$ say. Then the inconstance of $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}((u_n)_{n \geq 0}) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}((u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}) \quad (\text{or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}((u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}) \text{ if the limit exists}).$$

The inconstance of an infinite sequence depends in particular of how long and frequently the sequence levels off: this is particularly clear for binary sequences as shown in Theorem 5.2 below.

Theorem 5.2. Let $(u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ be a finite sequence taking two values 0 and $h > 0$, with $u_0 = 0$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ the largest index such that $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = u_{\alpha-1} = 0$ and $u_\alpha \neq 0$. In other words α is the length of the longest initial string of 0's. Analogously let β be the length of the longest final string of 0's. Let $\mathcal{N}_{00}, \mathcal{N}_{hh}, \mathcal{N}_{0h}, \mathcal{N}_{h0}$ be respectively the number of blocks




FIGURE 8. Inconstance discriminates between fluctuations

of the form 00 , hh , $0h$, $h0$ in the sequence. Then

$$\mathcal{I}((u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}) = \begin{cases} 2 \frac{\mathcal{N}_{00} + \mathcal{N}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1+h^2})(\mathcal{N}_{0h} + \mathcal{N}_{h0})}{\sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha - \beta + \sqrt{h^2 + \beta^2} + N} & \text{if } \beta > 0 \\ 2 \frac{\mathcal{N}_{00} + \mathcal{N}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1+h^2})(\mathcal{N}_{0h} + \mathcal{N}_{h0})}{\sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha + \sqrt{h^2 + N^2}} & \text{if } \beta = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an finite sequence taking two values 0 and $h > 0$, with $u_0 = 0$. We make the assumption that the frequencies of occurrences of the blocks 00 , hh , $0h$, $h0$ in the sequence exist and are respectively equal to \mathcal{F}_{00} , \mathcal{F}_{hh} , \mathcal{F}_{0h} , \mathcal{F}_{h0} . Then

$$\mathcal{I}((u_n)_{n \geq 0}) = \mathcal{F}_{00} + \mathcal{F}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1+h^2})(\mathcal{F}_{0h} + \mathcal{F}_{h0}).$$

Proof. First let $(u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ be a finite sequence taking two values 0 and $h > 0$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ be the largest index such that $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = u_{\alpha-1} = 0$ and $u_\alpha \neq 0$. In other words α is the length of the longest initial string of 0's. Analogously let $\beta \geq 0$ be the length of the longest




FIGURE 9. Same inconstance

final string of 0's. It is almost immediate that the convex hull of the curve Γ_N consists of the four straight line segments $((0,0) - (\alpha, h))$, $((\alpha, h) - (N - \beta, h))$, $((N - \beta, h) - (N, 0))$, $((0,0) - (N,0))$ if $\beta > 0$, and $((0,0) - (\alpha, h))$, $((\alpha, h) - (N, h))$ if $\beta = 0$. Hence

$$\delta(\Gamma_N) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha - \beta + \sqrt{h^2 + \beta^2} + N & \text{if } \beta > 0 \\ \sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha + \sqrt{h^2 + N^2} & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

while the length of the curve is

$$\ell(\Gamma_N) = \mathcal{N}_{00} + \mathcal{N}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1 + h^2})(\mathcal{N}_{0h} + \mathcal{N}_{h0}).$$

This gives the first part of the theorem, namely

$$\mathcal{I}((u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}) = \begin{cases} 2 \frac{\mathcal{N}_{00} + \mathcal{N}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1 + h^2})(\mathcal{N}_{0h} + \mathcal{N}_{h0})}{\sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha - \beta + \sqrt{h^2 + \beta^2} + N} & \text{if } \beta > 0 \\ 2 \frac{\mathcal{N}_{00} + \mathcal{N}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1 + h^2})(\mathcal{N}_{0h} + \mathcal{N}_{h0})}{\sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha + \sqrt{h^2 + N^2}} & \text{if } \beta = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an infinite sequence taking two values 0 and $h > 0$ and satisfying the assumptions in the theorem. Note that for any nonnegative real number x and for any positive real number h , we have

$$0 = \sqrt{x^2} - x < \sqrt{h^2 + x^2} - x \leq \sqrt{h^2 + 2hx + x^2} - x = x + h - x = h$$

hence, $0 < \sqrt{h^2 + x^2} - x \leq h$. This shows that in the first part of the theorem

$$\delta(\Gamma_N) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha - \beta + \sqrt{h^2 + \beta^2} + N & \text{if } \beta > 0 \\ \sqrt{h^2 + \alpha^2} + N - \alpha + \sqrt{h^2 + N^2} & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\delta(\Gamma_N) = 2N + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

when N goes to infinity. This implies

$$\mathcal{I}((u_n)_{n \geq 0}) = \mathcal{F}_{00} + \mathcal{F}_{hh} + (\sqrt{1 + h^2})(\mathcal{F}_{0h} + \mathcal{F}_{h0}).$$

which shows that, in this case, the inconstance is a barycenter of the frequencies of occurrences of the blocks of length 2 in the sequence. \square

Example 5.3. We give the value of inconstance for some binary sequences.

- (i) The sequence $(0^d 1)^\infty = (00\dots 01)^\infty$ (periodic of period $(d + 1)$, where the period pattern consists of d symbols 0 followed by one symbol 1). It is easy to compute $\mathcal{F}_{00} = \frac{d-1}{d+1}$, $\mathcal{F}_{11} = 0$, $\mathcal{F}_{01} = \mathcal{F}_{10} = \frac{d}{d+1}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{I}((0^d 1)^\infty) = \frac{d - 1 + 2\sqrt{2}}{d + 1}.$$

In particular $\mathcal{I}((01)^\infty) = \sqrt{2} = 1.414\dots$ while $\mathcal{I}((0^d 1)^\infty)$ tends to 1 when d tends to infinity: this corresponds to the fact that the curve becomes more and more flat when d increases. The case $d = 1$ is somehow the worst case among periodic and nonperiodic binary sequences in terms of levelling off (or flatness).

- (ii) A random sequence of 0's and 1's. For almost all binary sequences we have $\mathcal{F}_{00} = \mathcal{F}_{11} = \mathcal{F}_{01} = \mathcal{F}_{10} = \frac{1}{4}$. Hence if $(r_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is “a random sequence” of 0's and 1's, then

$$\mathcal{I}((r_n)_{n \geq 0}) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2} = 1.207\dots$$

- (iii) The Thue-Morse sequence with values 0 and 1. Recall that this sequence can be defined as the fixed point of the morphism $0 \rightarrow 01, 1 \rightarrow 10$ (see, e.g., [4]) and that it is the most famous example of *automatic sequences* (see, e.g., [5]). The first few terms of the Thue-Morse sequence $(m_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are

$$0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots$$

The frequencies of occurrences of blocks of length 2 are given by $\mathcal{F}_{00} = \mathcal{F}_{11} = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{01} = \mathcal{F}_{10} = \frac{1}{3}$ (this is a classical exercise that involves the morphism on four letters defined by $a \rightarrow ab, b \rightarrow ca, c \rightarrow cd, d \rightarrow ac$). Hence

$$\mathcal{I}((m_n)_{n \geq 0}) = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{2}}{3} = 1.276\dots$$

Remark 5.4. A possible application of inconstance of infinite sequences can be to “predict” the n th term of a very long (or infinite) sequence knowing its first $n - 1$ terms: if n is large enough, u_n “should” be close to a value minimizing the difference $|\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_n) - \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_{n-1})|$.

Remark 5.5. A different way of defining the inconstance of a binary sequence could be to interpret it as a sequence on the alphabet $\{\text{L}(\text{eft}), \text{R}(\text{ight})\}$. Then to associate with this (LR) sequence a 2D curve drawn on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 , consisting of horizontal and vertical segments. The first segment is $(0, 0) \text{---} (1, 0)$; then for each value of the LR sequence we make a $\pm\pi/2$ turn. The inconstance of the sequence could be defined as the inconstance of the curve obtained that way. The reader will have recognized curves studied, e.g., in [43], where paperfolding sequences enter the picture. This notion of inconstance for sequences would thus be terminologically closer to the “folding index” of [15].

6. ALGORITHMIC ASPECTS

In order to compute the inconstance $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) := \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{\delta(\Gamma)}$ of a piecewise affine curve Γ , the perimeter of the convex hull of Γ is needed. Hence we need to construct the convex hull of a finite set consisting of, say, n points. Several algorithms are available, their complexity is in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ (see, e.g., the Graham scan studied in [27], the Jarvis march studied in [29]; see also, e.g., the papers [50, 49] – in particular [49] gives an optimal real-time algorithm for planar convex hulls).

Implementations of these algorithms are classical in usual softwares: for example the command `convhull` in `Maple` (with the package `Convex`), the command `ConvexHull` in `Mathematica`, the command `convex_hull` in `Scilab`, or the command `convhull` (see also `convhulln`) in `Matlab`. Also note that `Qhull` computes convex hulls, Delaunay triangulations, Voronoi diagrams, halfspace intersections about a point, furthest-site Delaunay triangulations, and furthest-site Voronoi diagrams (see <http://www.qhull.org/>). Demonstrations of computations can be found on several sites, see e.g.,

<http://www.piler.com/convexhull/>

http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~ah/alg_anim/version1/GrahamScan.html

<http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~lambert/java/3d/hull.html>

7. CONCLUSION

Inspired by the theorem of Cauchy-Crofton, the inconstance of a curve could be a way of detecting large fluctuations of a curve, different from (and hopefully better than) usual indexes such as the residual variance. We intend to test this idea in three domains: fluctuations of biological parameters [60], fluctuations of the stockmarket [3], smoothness of musical themes [2]. Two other directions could be the following. First, a way of discriminating between models that describe a given phenomenon with the same error bound (e.g., prediction of electric load and consumption) could be to choose the model for which the difference between data and predictions has maximal inconstance (when the inconstance is close to 1, this difference is quasi-constant; this means that there is a quasi-constant bias in the model that can/should be corrected *a priori*). Second, we alluded to fractal-like chaotic curves in the introduction; coming accross, e.g., the paper [12] we recall that measuring the “complexity” of geographic objects classically involves their fractal dimension and e.g., their “length”; we could also think of looking at their inconstance (typically how complicated a river can be, i.e., how far from straight it looks, can be measured by the number of intersection points with a random straight line). A natural question then occurs: to what extent fractal dimension and inconstance are related? Or what can be said of the intersection with straight lines of a set with given fractal dimension? Such questions also make sense for (in)finite sequences,

in particular in view of Remark 5.5. Some answers and ideas about these questions can be found, e.g., in [57, 34, 1, 43, 39, 40], in particular in relation with the *entropy* of a curve, as discussed in several papers of Mendès France. We will conclude this paper with that notion of *entropy* for a plane curve. Let p_n be the probability that a straight line cuts the plane curve Γ in exactly n points, then the theorem of Cauchy-Crofton says that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} np_n = \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{\delta(\Gamma)}.$$

It is natural to define the *entropy* of Γ by

$$H(\Gamma) := \sum_{n \geq 1} p_n \log \frac{1}{p_n}.$$

It can be proven (see [22] for details, also see [38]), up to replace the Lebesgue measure (for the probability of intersections) by a maximizing measure, that

$$H(\Gamma) = \log \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{\delta(\Gamma)} + \frac{\beta}{e^\beta - 1}, \text{ where } \beta := \log \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{2\ell(\Gamma) - \delta(\Gamma)}$$

(the quantity β can be seen as the inverse of the *temperature* of the curve).

A modified definition is proposed in [37], namely

$$H(\Gamma) := \log \frac{2\ell(\Gamma)}{\delta(\Gamma)}.$$

This definition was used in several papers (see, e.g., [26, 21, 7]). With our terminology, it reads, as noted by Mendès France, “the entropy is the logarithm of the inconstance”. The reader might think of comparing this statement with the classical Weber-Fechner law in psychophysics according to which “sensation is proportional to the logarithm of excitation” ([23], see also <http://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Fechner/>).

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank very warmly M. Mendès France for interesting discussions and for several suggestions after he read a previous version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akiyama, K. Scheicher, Intersecting two-dimensional fractals with lines, *Acta Sci. Math.* **71** (2005) 555–580.
- [2] J.-P. Allouche, L. Maillard-Teyssier, Smoothness of musical pieces and inconstance of discrete curves, *in preparation*.
- [3] J.-P. Allouche, L. Maillard-Teyssier, Another way of quantifying the fluctuations of the stockmarket, *in preparation*.
- [4] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, The ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, in C. Ding, T. Hellesteth, H. Niederreiter (Eds.), *Sequences and their applications, Proceedings of SETA '98*, Springer, 1999, pp. 1–16.
- [5] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, *Automatic sequences. Theory, applications, generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [6] S. Ayari, S. Dubuc, La formule de Cauchy sur la longueur d’une courbe, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **40** (1997) 3–9.
- [7] A. Balestrino, A. Caiti, E. Crisostomi, Generalised entropy of curves for the analysis and classification of dynamical systems, *Entropy* **11** (2009) 249–270.

- [8] W. Z. Billewicz, W. F. F. Kemsley, A. M. Thomson, Indices of adiposity, *Br. J. Prev. Soc. Med.* **16** (1962) 183–188.
- [9] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Integralgeometrie I*, Hamburger Math. Einzelschr. **20**, Leipzig, B. G. Teubner, 1935.
- [10] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Integralgeometrie II*, Hamburger Math. Einzelschr. **22**, Leipzig, B. G. Teubner, pp. 61–127, 1937.
- [11] G. L. Leclerc Buffon, *Histoire naturelle générale et particulière*, Supplément, Tome quatrième, Imprimerie Royale, 1777.
- [12] B. Buttenfield, Treatment of the cartographic line, *Cartographica* **22** (1985) 1–26.
- [13] A. Cauchy, Notes sur divers théorèmes relatifs à la rectification des courbes, et à la quadrature des surfaces, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **13** (1841) 1060–1063. (Also in *Œuvres complètes* **6**, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, pp. 369–375, 1888.)
- [14] A. Cauchy, Mémoire sur la rectification des courbes et la quadrature des surfaces courbes, *Mém. Acad. Sci. Paris* **22** (1850) 3–15. (Also in *Œuvres complètes* **2**, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, pp. 167–177, 1908.)
- [15] Y. K. Choi, D. M. Yan, W. Wang, A folding index of 2D curves, *Comput.-Aided Des. Appl.* **1** (2004) 741–749.
- [16] P. Cordier, M. Mendès France, P. Bolon, J. Pailhous, Entropie degrees of freedom and free climbing: a thermodynamic study of a complex behavior based on trajectory analysis, *Int. J. Sport Psychol.* **24** (1993) 370–378.
- [17] P. Cordier, M. Mendès France, P. Bolon, J. Pailhous, Thermodynamics study of motion behavior optimization, *Acta Biotheoretica* **42** (1994) 187–201.
- [18] P. Cordier, M. Mendès France, J. Pailhous, P. Bolon, Entropy as a global variable of learning process, *Human Mov. Sci.* **13** (1994) 745–763.
- [19] M. Cremona, M. H. P. Mauricio, L. C. Scavarda Do Carmo, R. Prioli, V. B. Nunes, S. I. Zanette, A. O. Caride, M. P. Albuquerque, Grain size distribution analysis in polycrystalline LiF thin films by mathematical morphology techniques on AFM images and X-ray diffraction data, *J. Microscopy* **197** (2000) 260–267.
- [20] M. W. Crofton, On the theory of local probability, applied to straight lines drawn at random in a plane; the methods used being also extended to the proof of certain new theorems in the Integral Calculus, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **158** (1868) 181–199.
- [21] A. Denis, F. Crémoux, Using the entropy of curves to segment a time or spatial series, *Math. Geol.* **34** (2002) 899–914.
- [22] Y. Dupain, T. Kamae, M. Mendès France, Can one measure the temperature of a curve?, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **94** (1986) 155–163. Corrigenda, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **98** (1987) 395.
- [23] G. T. Fechner, *Elemente der Psychophysik*, Breitkopf und Härtel, Leipzig, 1860.
- [24] M. Furuyama, Applications of L-mosaic map to spatial analysis of urban map, *Mem. Fac. Ind. Arts, Kyoto Technical University, Science and Technology*, **25** (1976) 113–134.
- [25] N. Y. Gnedin, S. F. Shandarin, Morphology of the secondary cosmic microwave background anisotropies: the key to ‘smouldering’ reionization, *Monthly Notices Royal Astron. Soc.* **337** (2002) 1435–1440.
- [26] G. Gouesbet, M. E. Weill, Complexities and entropies of periodic series with application to the transition to turbulence in the logistic map, *Phys. Rev. A* **30** (1984) 1442–1448.
- [27] R. L. Graham, An efficient algorithm for determining the convex hull of a finite planar set, *Inf. Process. Lett.* **1** (1972) 132–133.
- [28] Y. J. Han, Y.-J. Cho, W. E. Lambert, C. K. Bragg, Identification and measurement of convolutions in cotton fiber using image analysis, *Art. Intel. Review* **12** (1998) 201–211.
- [29] R. A. Jarvis, On the identification of the convex hull of a finite set of points in the plane, *Inf. Proc. Letters* **2** (1973) 18–21.
- [30] L. Kaiser, Unbiased estimation in line-intercept sampling, *Biometrics* **39** (1983) 965–976.
- [31] P. S. de Laplace, *Théorie Analytique des Probabilités*, V. Courcier, 1812.
- [32] R. Langevin, *Introduction to integral geometry*, Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática. [21st Brazilian Mathematics Colloquium], Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 1997.

- [33] R. Langevin, *Integral geometry from Buffon to the use of twentieth century mathematics*, 2007, preprint available at http://math.u-bourgogne.fr/topolog/langevin/07_12_introdintegral_prov.pdf
- [34] J. M. Marstrand, Some fundamental geometrical properties of plane sets of fractional dimensions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III. Ser.* **4** (1954) 257–302.
- [35] M. Mendès France, Paper folding, space-filling curves and Rudin-Shapiro sequences, *Contemp. Math.* **9** (1982) 85–95.
- [36] M. Mendès France, Chaotic curves, in *Rhythms in biology and other fields of application*, Proc. Journ. Soc. Math. France, Luminy, 1981, Lect. Notes Biomath. **49** (1983) 352–367.
- [37] M. Mendès France, Les courbes chaotiques, *Images de la Physique (CNRS)*, **51** (1983) 5–9, available electronically at <http://www.cnrs.fr/publications/imagesdelaphysique/Archives-1975-1989/1983/1983-5-9.pdf>
- [38] M. Mendès France, Folding paper and thermodynamics, *Phys. Rep.* **103** (1984) 161–172.
- [39] M. Mendès France, Chaos implies confusion, in *Number theory and dynamical systems*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **134** (1989) 137–152.
- [40] M. Mendès France, The Planck constant of a curve, in *Fractal geometry and analysis (Montreal, PQ, 1989)*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **346**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1991, pp. 325–366.
- [41] M. Mendès France, Poincaré et les probabilités géométriques, in *L'héritage scientifique d'Henri Poincaré*, sous la direction d'É. Charpentier, É Ghys, A. Lesne, Belin, 2006, ch. 15, pp. 316–330.
- [42] M. Mendès France, A. Hénaut, Art, therefore entropy, *Leonardo* **27**, Art and Science Similarities, Differences and Interactions: Special Issue (1994) 219–221.
- [43] M. Mendès France, G. Tenenbaum, Dimension des courbes planes, papiers pliés et suites de Rudin-Shapiro, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **109** (1981) 207–215.
- [44] S. B. Myers, Review: W. Blaschke, *Integralgeometrie*, and L. A. Santalo, *Integralgeometrie*, and W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Integralgeometrie*, Vol. 1, and W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Integralgeometrie*, Vol. 2, and W. Blaschke, *über eine geometrische Frage von Euclid bis Heute*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1938) 614–615.
- [45] J. Nešetřil, Art of graph drawing and art, *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.* **6** (2002) 131–147.
- [46] J. Nešetřil, Aesthetics for computers or how to measure harmony, in *The visual mind, II*, M. Emmer ed., Leonardo Books, 2005, pp. 35–58.
- [47] J. S. Nicolis, Chaotic dynamics applied to information processing, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **49** (1986) 1109–1196.
- [48] M. N. Pons, V. Plagnieux, H. Vivier, D. Audet, Comparison of methods for the characterisation by image analysis of crystalline agglomerates: the case of gibbsite, *Powder Technol.* **157** (2005) 57–66.
- [49] F. P. Preparata, An optimal real-time algorithm for planar convex hulls, *Comm. ACM* **22** (1979) 402–405.
- [50] F. P. Preparata, S. J. Hong, Convex hulls of finite sets of points in two and three dimensions, *Comm. ACM* **20** (1977) 87–93.
- [51] A. Quetelet, *Sur l'homme et le développement de ses facultés ou Essai de physique sociale*, tome second, Bachelier, Paris, 1835.
- [52] M.-F. Rolland-Cachera, Rate of growth in early life: a predictor of later health?, in *Early Nutrition and its Later Consequences: New Opportunities, Perinatal Programming of Adult Health – EC Supported Research*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology **569**, N. Back, I. R. Cohen, D. Kritchevsky, A. Lajtha, R. Paoletti, B. Koletzko, P. Dodds, H. Akerblom, M. Ashwell, eds., Springer, 2005, pp. 35–39.
- [53] M.-F. Rolland-Cachera, M. Deheeger, F. Bellisle, M. Sempé, M. Guilloud-Bataille, É. Patois, Adiposity rebound in children: a simple indicator for predicting obesity, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **39** (1984) 129–135.
- [54] M.-F. Rolland-Cachera, M. Sempé, M. Guilloud-Bataille, É. Patois, F. Pequignot-Guggenbuhl, V. Fauchard, Adiposity indices in children, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **36** (1982) 178–184.
- [55] L. A. Santaló, *Introduction to integral geometry*, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1198, Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Nancago II. Herman et Cie, Paris, 1953.
- [56] J. Schmalzing, T. Buchert, A. L. Melott, V. Sahni, B. S. Sathyaprakash, S. F. Shandarin, Disentangling the cosmic web I: morphology of isodensity contours, *Astrophys. J.* **526** (1999) 568–578.

- [57] H. Steinhaus, Length, shape, and area, *Colloquium Math.* **3** (1954) 1–13.
- [58] B. Teissier, Volumes des corps convexes, géométrie et algèbre, Leçon 7 in *Leçons de mathématiques d'aujourd'hui* **3**, sous la direction d'É. Charpentier et N. Nikolski, Cassini, 2007.
- [59] A.-C. Vergnaud, S. Bertrais, J.-M. Oppert, L. Maillard-Teyssier, P. Galan, S. Hercberg, S. Czernichow, Weight fluctuations and risk for metabolic syndrome in an adult cohort, *Int. J. Obesity* **32** (2008) 315–321.
- [60] A.-C. Vergnaud, L. Maillard-Teyssier, J.-P. Allouche, S. Hercberg, Inconstance of discrete curves and fluctuations of biological parameters, *in preparation*.
- [61] T. Wagner, A. Vetter, N. Dimovic, S. E. Guber, W. Helmberg, W. Krüll, G. Lanzer, W. R. Mayr, J. Neumüller, Ultrastructural changes and activation differences in platelet concentrates stored in plasma and additive solution, *Transfusion* **42** (2002) 719–727.
- [62] K. Zhao, S. Popescu, R. Nelson, Quantifying variances of Line-Intercept-Sampling estimators of percentage cover, available at http://sslsnap02.tamu.edu/EXCHANGE/KZhao/Kaiguang_dissertation/japanese_manuscript/Zhao_text.doc [see also *J. Forest Planning* (Japanese Society of Forest Planning) **13** (2008) 195–205].

CNRS, LRI, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, BÂTIMENT 490, F-91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE. EMAIL: ALLOUCHE@LRI.FR

RTE, DMA, IMMEUBLE LE COLBERT, 9 RUE DE LA PORTE DE BUC, BP 561, 78005 VERSAILLES CEDEX, FRANCE. EMAIL: LAURENCE.TEYSSIER-MAILLARD@RTE-FRANCE.COM, AND FACULTÉ SMBH LÉONARD DE VINCI, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-NORD, 74 RUE MARCEL CACHIN, F-93017 BOBIGNY, FRANCE. EMAIL: L.MAILLARD@UREN.SMBH.UNIV-PARIS13.FR