

# SEMI-INVARIANTS OF SYMMETRIC QUIVERS OF FINITE TYPE

Riccardo Aragona

Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata"  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome (Italy)

E-mail: ric\_aragona@yahoo.it

ABSTRACT. Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver, where  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$  is a finite quiver without oriented cycles and  $\sigma$  is a contravariant involution on  $Q_0 \sqcup Q_1$ . The involution allows us to define a nondegenerate bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on a representation  $V$  of  $Q$ . We shall call the representation orthogonal if  $\langle, \rangle$  is symmetric and symplectic if  $\langle, \rangle$  is skew-symmetric. Moreover we can define an action of products of classical groups on the space of orthogonal representations and on the space of symplectic representations. For symmetric quivers of finite type, we prove that the rings of semi-invariants for this action are spanned by the semi-invariants of determinantal type  $c^V$  and, in the case when matrix defining  $c^V$  is skew-symmetric, by the Pfaffians  $pf^V$ .

## INTRODUCTION

The representations of symmetric quivers, introduced by Derksen and Weyman in [7], provide a formalization of some problems related to representations of classical groups. Magyar, Weyman and Zelevinsky in [17] classified products of flag varieties with finitely many orbits under the diagonal action of general linear groups. The representations of symmetric quivers could be a tool to solve similar problems for classical groups.

Igusa, Orr, Todorov and Weyman in [13] generalized the semi-invariants of quivers to virtual representations of quivers. They associated, via virtual semi-invariants of quivers, a simplicial complex  $\mathcal{T}(Q)$  with each quiver  $Q$ . In particular, if  $Q$  is of finite type, then the simplices of  $\mathcal{T}(Q)$  correspond to tilting objects in a corresponding Cluster category (defined in [4]). It would be interesting to carry out a similar construction for semi-invariants of symmetric quivers of finite type and to relate it to Cluster algebras (see [11] and [12]).

For usual quivers, Derksen and Weyman in [6] proved that the rings of semi-invariants are spanned by semi-invariants of determinantal type  $c^V$  (introduced by Schofield in [20]), where  $V$  is a representation of the quiver in question. An analogous result has been independently proved with a different approach by Domokos and Zubkov in [8].

In this paper, which is extract from my PhD thesis, supervised by Professor Jerzy Weyman, whom I gratefully thank, we provide similar results for symmetric quivers of finite type. In next paper we will deal with generators of rings of semi-invariants for symmetric quivers of tame type.

A symmetric quiver is a pair  $(Q, \sigma)$  where  $Q$  is a quiver (called *underlying quiver of*  $(Q, \sigma)$ ) and  $\sigma$  is a contravariant involution on the union of the set of arrows and the set of vertices of  $Q$ . The involution allows us to define a nondegenerate bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on a representation  $V$  of  $Q$ . We call the pair  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  orthogonal representation (respectively symplectic)

of  $(Q, \sigma)$  if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is symmetric (respectively skew-symmetric). We define  $SpRep(Q, \beta)$  and  $ORep(Q, \beta)$  to be respectively the space of symplectic  $\beta$ -dimensional representations and the space of orthogonal  $\beta$ -dimensional representations of  $(Q, \sigma)$ . Moreover we can define an action of a product of classical groups, which we call  $SSp(Q, \beta)$  in the symplectic case and  $SO(Q, \beta)$  in the orthogonal case, on these space. We describe a set of generators of the ring of semi-invariants  $OSI(Q, \beta)$  of  $ORep(Q, \beta)$  and of the ring of semi-invariants  $SpSI(Q, \beta)$  of  $SpRep(Q, \alpha)$ .

Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver and  $V$  a representation of the underlying quiver  $Q$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Euler form of  $Q$ . Let

$$0 \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d^V} P_0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0$$

be the canonical projective resolution of  $V$  (see [19]). We define the semi-invariant  $c^V := \det(\text{Hom}_Q(d^V, \cdot))$  of  $OSI(Q, \beta)$  and  $SpSI(Q, \beta)$  (see [6] and [20]).

Let  $C^+$  be the Coxeter functor and let  $\nabla$  be the duality functor. We will prove in the symmetric case the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of finite type and let  $\beta$  be a symmetric dimension vector. The ring  $SpSI(Q, \beta)$  is generated by semi-invariants*

- (i)  $c^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $pf^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,  $C^+V = \nabla V$  and the almost split sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nabla V \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  has the middle term  $Z$  in  $ORep(Q)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of finite type and let  $\beta$  be a symmetric dimension vector. The ring  $OSI(Q, \beta)$  is generated by semi-invariants*

- (i)  $c^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $pf^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,  $C^+V = \nabla V$  and the almost split sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nabla V \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  has the middle term  $Z$  in  $SpRep(Q)$ .

A similar result has been obtained by Lopatin in [14] in a different setting, using ideas from [15].

The strategy of the proofs is the following. First we set the technique of reflection functors on the symmetric quivers. Then we prove that we can reduce Theorems 1 and 2, by this technique, to particular orientations of the symmetric quivers. Finally, we check Theorems 1 and 2 for these orientations.

In the first section we give general notions and results about usual and symmetric quivers and their representations. We state main results 1 and 2 and we recall some results of representations of general linear groups and of invariant theory.

In the second section we adjust to symmetric quivers the technique of reflection functors and we prove general results about semi-invariants of symmetric quivers.

In the third section we check we can reduce Theorems 1 and 2 to a particular orientation of symmetric quivers of finite type. Finally, using classical invariant theory and the technique of Schur functors, we prove case by case Theorems 1 and 2 for symmetric quivers of finite type with this orientation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am deeply grateful to my PhD thesis advisor Jerzy Weyman for his constant guidance and for all suggestions and ideas he shared me. I am grateful to Elisabetta Strickland for the great helpfulness she showed me during the three years of my graduate studies. I also wish

to thank Fabio Gavarini and Alessandro D'Andrea for several useful discussions. I thank Alexander Zubkov for pointing out some interesting references.

## 1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{k}$  denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

**1.1. Representations of quivers.** A quiver  $Q$  is a quadruple  $(Q_0, Q_1, t, h)$  where  $Q_0$  is a set of vertices,  $Q_1$  is a set of arrows and  $t, h : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$  are two maps which assign to each arrow  $a \in Q_1$  respectively its tail  $ta \in Q_0$  and its head  $ha \in Q_0$ . Throughout the paper we consider quivers  $Q$  without oriented cycles, i.e. in which there are no paths  $a_1 \cdots a_n$  such that  $ta_1 = ha_n$ .

A representation  $V$  of  $Q$  is a family of finite dimensional vector spaces  $\{V(x) | x \in Q_0\}$  and of linear maps  $\{V(a) : V(ta) \rightarrow V(ha)\}_{a \in Q_1}$ . The dimension vector of  $V$  is a function  $\underline{dim}(V) : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $\underline{dim}(V)(x) := \dim V(x)$ . For a dimension vector  $\alpha$  we have

$$Rep(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_0} Hom(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)}, \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ha)})$$

the variety of representations of  $Q$  of dimension  $\alpha$ . Moreover we define

$$SL(Q, \alpha) = \prod_{x \in Q_0} SL(\alpha(x)) < \prod_{x \in Q_0} GL(\alpha(x)) = GL(Q, \alpha).$$

The action of these groups on  $Rep(Q, \alpha)$  is defined by

$$g \cdot V = \{g_{ha} V(a) g_{ta}^{-1}\}_{a \in Q_1}$$

where  $g = (g_x)_{x \in Q_0} \in GL(Q, \alpha)$  and  $V \in Rep(Q, \alpha)$ .

A morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$  of two representations is a family of linear maps  $\{f(x) : V(x) \rightarrow W(x) | f(ha)V(a) = W(a)f(ta) \forall a \in Q_1\}_{x \in Q_0}$ . We denote the space of morphisms from  $V$  to  $W$  by  $Hom_Q(V, W)$  and the space of extensions of  $V$  by  $W$  by  $Ext_Q^1(V, W)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *The non symmetric bilinear form on the space  $\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$  of dimension vectors given by*

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{x \in Q_0} \alpha(x)\beta(x) - \sum_{a \in Q_1} \alpha(ta)\beta(ha)$$

is the Euler form of  $Q$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ .

A vertex  $x \in Q_0$  is said to be a sink (resp. a source) of  $Q$  if  $x = ha$  (resp.  $x = ta$ ) for every  $a \in Q_1$  connected to  $x$ .

Let  $x \in Q_0$  be a sink (resp. a source) of a quiver  $Q$  and let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  be the arrows connected to  $x$ . We define the quiver  $c_x(Q)$  as follows

$$c_x(Q)_0 = Q_0$$

$$c_x(Q)_1 = \{c_x(a) | a \in Q_1\}$$

where  $tc_x(a_i) = ha_i$ ,  $hc_x(a_i) = ta_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $tc_x(b) = tb$ ,  $hc_x(b) = hb$  for every  $b \in Q_1 \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ . Moreover, we can define the reflection  $c_x : \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$  given for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$  by formula

$$c_x(\alpha)(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha(y) & \text{if } y \neq x \\ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha(ta_i) - \alpha(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally it is known (see [3] and [5]) that for every  $x \in Q_0$  sink or a source of  $Q$  we can define respectively the functors

$$C_x^+ : \text{Rep}(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(c_x(Q), c_x(\alpha))$$

and

$$C_x^- : \text{Rep}(Q, \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(c_x(Q), c_x(\alpha))$$

called *reflection functors*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver with  $n$  vertices without oriented cycles. We choose the numbering  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of vertices such that  $ta > ha$  for every  $a \in Q_1$ . We define

$$C^+ := C_{x_n}^+ \cdots C_{x_1}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad C^- := C_{x_1}^- \cdots C_{x_n}^-.$$

The functors  $C^+, C^- : \text{Rep}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q)$  are called *Coxeter functors*.

One proves that these functors don't depend on the choice of numbering of vertices (see [2] chap. VII Lemma 5.8).

## 1.2. Symmetric quivers.

**Definition 1.3.** A symmetric quiver is a pair  $(Q, \sigma)$  where  $Q$  is a quiver (called *underlying quiver* of  $(Q, \sigma)$ ) and  $\sigma$  is an involution on  $Q_0 \sqcup Q_1$  such that

- (i)  $\sigma(Q_0) = Q_0$  and  $\sigma(Q_1) = Q_1$ ,
- (ii)  $t\sigma(a) = \sigma(ha)$  and  $h\sigma(a) = \sigma(ta)$  for all  $a \in Q_1$ ,
- (iii)  $\sigma(a) = a$  whenever  $a \in Q_1$  and  $\sigma(ta) = ha$ .

Let  $V$  be a representation of the underlying quiver  $Q$  of a symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$ . We define the duality functor  $\nabla : \text{Rep}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q)$  such that  $\nabla V(x) = V(\sigma(x))^*$  for every  $x \in Q_0$  and  $\nabla V(a) = -V(\sigma(a))^*$  for every  $a \in Q_1$ . If  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism of representations  $V, W \in \text{Rep}(Q)$ , then  $\nabla f : \nabla W \rightarrow \nabla V$  is defined by  $\nabla f(x) = f(\sigma(x))^*$ , for every  $x \in Q_0$ . We call  $V$  *selfdual* if  $\nabla V = V$ .

**Definition 1.4.** An orthogonal (resp. symplectic) representation of a symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$  is a pair  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , where  $V$  is a representation of the underlying quiver  $Q$  with a nondegenerate symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\bigoplus_{x \in Q_0} V(x)$  such that

- (i) the restriction of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to  $V(x) \times V(y)$  is 0 if  $y \neq \sigma(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle V(a)(v), w \rangle + \langle v, V(\sigma(a))(w) \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V(ta)$  and all  $w \in V(\sigma(a))$ .

By properties (i) and (ii) of definition 1.4, an orthogonal or symplectic representation  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  of a symmetric quiver is selfdual.

We shall say that a dimension vector  $\alpha$  is *symmetric* if  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(\sigma(x))$  for every  $x \in Q_0$ . Since each orthogonal or symplectic representation is selfdual, then dimension vector of an orthogonal (resp. symplectic) representation, which we shall call respectively orthogonal and symplectic dimension vector, is symmetric.

**Definition 1.5.** An orthogonal (respectively symplectic) representation is called *indecomposable orthogonal* (respectively *indecomposable symplectic*) if it cannot be expressed as a direct sum of orthogonal (respectively symplectic) representations.

**Definition 1.6.** A symmetric quiver is said to be of *finite representation type* if it has only finitely many indecomposable orthogonal (resp. symplectic) representations up to isomorphisms.

Derksen and Weyman classified the symmetric quiver of finite type in [7]

**Theorem 1.7.** *A symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$  is of finite type if and only if the underlying quiver  $Q$  is of type  $A_n$ .*

*Proof.* See [7], theorem 3.1 and proposition 3.3.  $\square$

We describe the space of orthogonal (resp. symplectic) representations of a symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$ .

We denote  $Q_0^\sigma$  (respectively  $Q_1^\sigma$ ) the set of vertices (respectively arrows) fixed by  $\sigma$ . Thus we have partitions

$$Q_0 = Q_0^+ \sqcup Q_0^\sigma \sqcup Q_0^-$$

$$Q_1 = Q_1^+ \sqcup Q_1^\sigma \sqcup Q_1^-$$

such that  $Q_0^- = \sigma(Q_0^+)$  and  $Q_1^- = \sigma(Q_1^+)$ , satisfying:

- i)  $\forall a \in Q_1^+$ , either  $\{ta, ha\} \subset Q_0^+$  or one of the elements in  $\{ta, ha\}$  is in  $Q_0^+$  while the other is in  $Q_0^\sigma$ ;
- ii)  $\forall x \in Q_0^+$ , if  $a \in Q_1$  with  $ta = x$  or  $ha = x$ , then  $a \in Q_1^+ \sqcup Q_1^\sigma$ .

The space of orthogonal  $\alpha$ -dimensional representations of a symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$  can be identified with

$$(1) \quad ORep(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1^+} Hom(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)}, \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ha)}) \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1^\sigma} \bigwedge^2 (\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)})^*.$$

The space of symplectic  $\alpha$ -dimensional representations can be identified with

$$(2) \quad SpRep(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1^+} Hom(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)}, \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ha)}) \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1^\sigma} S_2(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)})^*.$$

We define the group

$$(3) \quad SO(Q, \alpha) = \prod_{x \in Q_0^+} SL(\alpha(x)) \times \prod_{x \in Q_0^\sigma} SO(\alpha(x)),$$

where  $SO(\alpha(x))$  is the group of special orthogonal transformations for the symmetric form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  restricted to  $V(x)$ .

Assuming that  $\alpha(x)$  is even for every  $x \in Q_0^\sigma$ , we define the group

$$(4) \quad SSp(Q, \alpha) = \prod_{x \in Q_0^+} SL(\alpha(x)) \times \prod_{x \in Q_0^\sigma} Sp(\alpha(x)),$$

where  $Sp(\alpha(x))$  is the group of isometric transformations for the skew-symmetric form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  restricted to  $V(x)$ .

The action of these groups is defined by

$$g \cdot V = \{g_{ha} V(a) g_{ta}^{-1}\}_{a \in Q_1^+ \cup Q_1^\sigma}$$

where  $g = (g_x)_{x \in Q_0} \in SO(Q, \alpha)$  (respectively  $g \in SSp(Q, \alpha)$ ) and  $V \in ORep(Q, \alpha)$  (respectively in  $SpRep(Q, \alpha)$ ). In particular we can suppose  $g_{\sigma(x)} = (g_x^{-1})^t$  for every  $x \in Q_0$ .

**1.3. Semi-invariants of quivers without oriented cycles and main results.** In this section first we define semi-invariants which appear in main results of paper and we describe some property of these for any quiver  $Q$ ; then we state main theorems.

Let  $Q$  be a quiver with  $n$  vertices. We denote

$$SI(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[Rep(Q, \alpha)]^{SL(Q, \alpha)}$$

the ring of semi-invariants of a quiver  $Q$ .

For every  $g \in GL(Q, \alpha)$  the character  $\tau$  at  $g$  is  $\tau(g) = \det(g)^{\chi_1} \dots \det(g)^{\chi_n}$ , where  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is also called *weight* if  $\tau$  is a weight for some semi-invariant. So the ring  $SI(Q, \alpha)$  decomposes in graded components as

$$SI(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{char}(GL(Q, \alpha))} SI(Q, \alpha)_\tau$$

where  $SI(Q, \alpha)_\tau = \{f \in \mathbb{k}[Rep(Q, \alpha)] \mid g \cdot f = \tau(g)f \ \forall g \in GL(Q, \alpha)\}$ .

We define the semi-invariants which appear in the main theorems.

For every  $V \in Rep(Q, \alpha)$ , we can construct a projective resolution, called *canonical resolution* of  $V$ :

$$(5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1} V(ta) \otimes P_{ha} \xrightarrow{d^V} \bigoplus_{x \in Q_0} V(x) \otimes P_x \xrightarrow{p_V} V \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $P_x$  is the indecomposable projective associated to vertex  $x$  for every  $x \in Q_0$  (see [2]),  $d^V|_{V(ta) \otimes P_{ha}}(v \otimes e_{ha}) = V(a)(v) \otimes e_{ha} - v \otimes a$  and  $p_V|_{V(x) \otimes P_x}(v) = v \otimes e_x$ . Applying the functor  $Hom_Q(\cdot, W)$  to  $d^V$  for  $W \in Rep(Q, \beta)$ , we have that the matrix associated to  $Hom_Q(d^V, W)$  is square if and only if  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  (see [20] Lemma 1.2).

**Definition 1.8.** For  $V \in Rep(Q, \alpha)$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ , where  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , we define

$$c^V : Rep(Q, \beta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k} \\ W \longmapsto c^V(W) = \det(Hom_Q(d^V, W)).$$

These are semi-invariants of weight  $\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle$ , called *Schofield semi-invariants* (see [20] Lemma 1.4).

**Remark 1.9.** (i) Any projective resolution of  $V$  can be used to calculate  $c^V$  (see [20]). Moreover if  $P$  is a projective representation, then  $c^P = 0$ .

(ii) If  $\langle \underline{dim} V, \underline{dim} W \rangle = 0$ , then  $c^V(W) = 0$  if and only if  $Hom_Q(V, W) \neq 0$  (see [6]).

Now we formulate the result of Derksen and Weyman about the set of generators of the ring of semi-invariants of a quiver without oriented cycles  $Q$ .

**Theorem 1.10** (Derksen-Weyman). Let  $Q$  be a quiver without oriented cycles and let  $\beta$  be a dimension vector. The ring  $SI(Q, \beta)$  is spanned by semi-invariants of the form  $c^V$  of weight  $\langle \underline{dim}(V), \cdot \rangle$ , for which  $\langle \underline{dim}(V), \beta \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* See [6] Theorem 1. □

We give some property of Schofield semi-invariants.

**Lemma 1.11.** Suppose that  $V', V, V''$  and  $W$  are representations of  $Q$ , that  $\langle \underline{dim}(V), \underline{dim}(W) \rangle = 0$  and that there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow 0$$

then

(i) If  $\langle \underline{dim}(V'), \underline{dim}(W) \rangle < 0$ , then  $c^V(W) = 0$

(ii) If  $\langle \underline{\dim}(V'), \underline{\dim}(W) \rangle = 0$ , then  $c^V(W) = c^{V'}(W)c^{V''}(W)$ .

*Proof.* See [6] Lemma 1. □

We recall definition and properties of the *Pfaffian* of a skew-symmetric matrix.

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}$  be a skew-symmetric  $2n \times 2n$  matrix. Given  $2n$  vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$  in  $\mathbb{k}^{2n}$ , we define

$$F_A(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 < j_1, \dots, i_n < j_n \\ i_1 < \dots < i_n}} \text{sgn}(s) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_{s(2i-1)}, x_{s(2i)}),$$

where  $\text{sgn}(s)$  is the sign of permutation

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & 2n-1 & 2n \\ i_1 & j_1 & \dots & i_n & j_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the skew-symmetric bilinear form associated to  $A$ . So  $F_A$  is a skew-symmetric multilinear function of  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ . Since, up to a scalar, the only one skew-symmetric multilinear function of  $2n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{k}^{2n}$  is the determinant, there is a complex number  $Pf(A)$ , called *Pfaffian of  $A$* , such that

$$F_A(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = Pf(A) \det[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}]$$

where  $[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}]$  is the matrix which has the vector  $x_i$  for  $i$ -th column. In particular we note that

$$Pf(A) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 < j_1, \dots, i_n < j_n \\ i_1 < \dots < i_n}} \text{sgn} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & 2n-1 & 2n \\ i_1 & j_1 & \dots & i_n & j_n \end{bmatrix} \right) a_{1_1 j_1} \cdots a_{i_n j_n}.$$

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $A$  be a skew-symmetric  $2n \times 2n$  matrix.*

(i) *For every invertible  $2n \times 2n$  matrix  $B$ ,*

$$Pf(BAB^t) = \det(B) Pf(A);$$

(ii)  $\det(A) = Pf(A)^2$ .

*Proof.* See [18], chap. 5 sec. 3.6. □

Let  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$  and let  $\beta$  be a dimension vector such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_Q(d_{\min}^V, \cdot)$  is skew-symmetric on  $\text{Rep}(Q, \beta)$ , we can define

$$\begin{aligned} pf^V : \text{Rep}(Q, \beta) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k} \\ W &\longmapsto pf^V(W) = Pf(\text{Hom}_Q(d_{\min}^V, W)). \end{aligned}$$

In this work we describe a set of generators of the rings of semi-invariants of symmetric quivers of finite type.

Let  $\alpha$  be a dimension vector of an orthogonal or symplectic representation, we denote

$$OSI(Q, \alpha) := \mathbb{k}[\text{ORep}(Q, \alpha)]^{SO(Q, \alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad SpSI(Q, \alpha) := \mathbb{k}[\text{SpRep}(Q, \alpha)]^{SSp(Q, \alpha)}$$

respectively the ring of orthogonal semi-invariants and the ring of symplectic semi-invariants of a symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$ .

We state the main theorems

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of finite type and let  $\beta$  be a symmetric dimension vector. The ring  $SpSI(Q, \beta)$  is generated by semi-invariants*

(i)  $c^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,

- (ii)  $pf^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,  $C^+V = \nabla V$  and the almost split sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nabla V \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  has the middle term  $Z$  in  $O\text{Rep}(Q)$ .

**Theorem 1.14.** Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of finite type let  $\beta$  be a symmetric dimension vector. The ring  $OSI(Q, \beta)$  is generated by semi-invariants

- (i)  $c^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,  
(ii)  $pf^V$  if  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  is such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \beta \rangle = 0$ ,  $C^+V = \nabla V$  and the almost split sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nabla V \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  has the middle term  $Z$  in  $Sp\text{Rep}(Q)$ .

The strategy of the proofs is the following. First we set the technique of reflection functors on the symmetric quivers. Then we prove that we can reduce theorems 1.13 and 1.14, by this technique, to particular orientations of the symmetric quivers. Finally, we check theorems 1.13 and 1.14 for these orientations using technique of Schur functors.

**Definition 1.15.** Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver. We will say that  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  satisfies property (Op) if

- (i)  $V = C^- \nabla V$   
(ii) the almost split sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nabla V \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  has the middle term  $Z$  in  $O\text{Rep}(Q)$ .

Similarly we will say that  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  satisfies property (Spp) if

- (i)  $V = C^- \nabla V$   
(ii) the almost split sequence  $0 \rightarrow \nabla V \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  has the middle term  $Z$  in  $Sp\text{Rep}(Q)$ .

**1.4. Invariant theory and Schur modules.** Let  $G$  be an algebraic group,  $V$  a rational representation of  $G$  and  $\mathbb{k}[V]$  the algebra of regular functions of  $V$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}(G)$  is the set of characters of  $G$ , then the ring of the semi-invariants of  $G$  on  $V$  is defined by

$$SI(G, V) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(G)} SI(G, V)_{\chi}$$

where  $SI(G, V)_{\chi} = \{f \in \mathbb{k}[V] \mid g \cdot f = \chi(g)f, \forall g \in G\}$  is called weight space of weight  $\chi$ . The following lemma describes  $SI(G, V)$  in the case when  $G$  has an open orbit on  $V$ .

**Lemma 1.16** (Sato-Kimura). Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group and  $V$  a rational representation of  $G$ . We suppose that the action of  $G$  on  $V$  has an open orbit. Then  $SI(G, V)$  is a polynomial  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra and the weights of the generators of  $SI(G, V)$  are linearly independent in  $\mathcal{X}(G)$ . Moreover, the dimensions of the spaces  $SI(G, V)_{\chi}$  are 0 or 1.

*Proof.* See [21] sect. 4, Lemma 4 and Proposition 5. □

Let  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{k})$  be the general linear group over  $\mathbb{k}$ . There exists an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathcal{X}(G)$  which sends an element  $a$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $(\det)^a$  (where  $\det$  associates to  $g \in G$  its determinant). We identify  $G$  with the group  $GL(V)$  of linear automorphisms of a vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ . So we have

$$SI(G, V) = \mathbb{k}[V]^{SL(V)}.$$

Let  $T$  and  $\mathcal{X}(T)$  respectively be the maximal torus in  $G$  (i.e. the group of diagonal matrices) and the set of characters of  $T$ . The irreducible rational representations of  $G$  are parametrized by the set

$$\mathcal{X}^+(T) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n\}$$

of the integral dominant weights for  $GL_n(\mathbb{k})$ . The irreducible rational representations  $S_{\lambda}V$  of  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{k})$  corresponding to the dominant weight  $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}^+(T)$  are called Schur modules.



In the case when  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  (i.e.  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ ), a description of  $S_\lambda V$  is given in [1], [9] and [18]. For every  $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}^+(T)$ , we can define  $S_\lambda V$  as follows

$$S_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} V = S_{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n, 0)} V \otimes \left( \bigwedge^n V \right)^{\otimes \lambda_n}.$$

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be a partition of  $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ . We call *height* of  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $ht(\lambda)$ , the number  $k$  of nonzero components of  $\lambda$  and we denote the transpose of  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda'$ .

**Theorem 1.17** (Properties of Schur modules). *Let  $V$  be vector space of dimension  $n$  and  $\lambda$  be an integral dominant weight.*

(i)  $S_\lambda V = 0 \Leftrightarrow ht(\lambda) > 0$ .

(ii)  $\dim S_\lambda V = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \overbrace{(k, \dots, k)}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(iii)  $(S_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} V)^* \cong S_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} V^* \cong S_{(-\lambda_n, \dots, -\lambda_1)} V$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 6.3 in [10]. □

**Theorem 1.18** (Cauchy formulas). *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two finite dimensional vector spaces. Then*

(i) *As representations of  $GL(V) \times GL(W)$ ,*

$$S_d(V \otimes W) = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=d} S_\lambda V \otimes S_\lambda W \quad \text{and} \quad \bigwedge^d(V \otimes W) = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=d} S_\lambda V \otimes S_{\lambda'} W.$$

(ii) *As representations of  $GL(V)$ ,*

$$S_d(S_2(V)) = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=d} S_{2\lambda} V \quad \text{and} \quad S_d(\bigwedge^2(V)) = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=d} S_{2\lambda'} V,$$

where  $2\lambda = (2\lambda_1, \dots, 2\lambda_k)$  if  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ .

*Proof.* See [18] chap. 9 sec. 6.3 and sec 8.4, chap 11 sec. 4.5. □

The decomposition of tensor product of Schur modules è

$$S_\lambda V \otimes S_\mu V = \bigoplus_{\nu} c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} S_{\nu} V,$$

where the coefficients  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  are called Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

There exists a combinatorial formula to calculate  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ , called *Littlewood-Richardson rule* (see in [18] chap. 12 sec. 5.3).

Finally we state other two results on Schur modules and invariant theory.

**Proposition 1.19.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$ .*

$$(S_\lambda V)^{SL(V)} \neq 0 \iff \lambda = (k^n)$$

for some  $k$  and in this case  $S_\lambda V$ , and so also  $(S_\lambda V)^{SL(V)}$ , have dimension one.

*Proof.* See Corollary p. 388 in [18]. □

**Proposition 1.20.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  and let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be two integral dominant weights. Then*

$$(S_\lambda V \otimes S_\mu V)^{SLV} \neq 0$$

$$\iff$$

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = \mu_{n-i} - \mu_{n-i+1}$$

for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and in this case the semi-invariant is unique (up to a non zero scalar) and has weight  $\lambda_1 + \mu_n = \lambda_2 + \mu_{n-1} = \dots = \lambda_n + \mu_1$ .

*Proof.* It is a corollary of (7.11) in [16] chap. 1 sec. 5.  $\square$

Let  $Sp(2n) = \{A \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{k}) | AJA = J\}$  be the symplectic group, let  $O(n) = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{k}) | A^t A = I\}$  be the orthogonal group and  $SO(n) = \{A \in O(n) | \det A = 1\}$  be the special orthogonal group, where  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Proposition 1.21.** *Let  $V$  be an orthogonal space of dimension  $n$  and let  $W$  be a symplectic space of dimension  $2n$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \dim (S_\lambda V)^{O(V)} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 2\mu \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ \text{(b)} \quad \dim (S_\lambda V)^{SO(V)} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 2\mu + (k^n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ \text{(c)} \quad \dim (S_\lambda W)^{Sp(W)} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 2\mu' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for some partition  $\mu$  and for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* See [18] chap. 11 cor. 5.2.1 and 5.2.2.  $\square$

## 2. REFLECTION FUNCTORS AND SEMI-INVARIANTS OF SYMMETRIC QUIVERS

**2.1. Reflection functors for symmetric quivers.** We adjust the technique of reflection functors to symmetric quivers.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver. A sink (resp. a source)  $x \in Q_0$  is called admissible if there are no arrows connecting  $x$  and  $\sigma(x)$ .*

By definition of  $\sigma$ ,  $x$  is a sink (resp. a source) if and only if  $\sigma(x)$  is a source (resp. a sink). We call  $(x, \sigma(x))$  the admissible sink-source pair. So we can define  $c_{(x, \sigma(x))} := c_{\sigma(x)} c_x$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $(Q, \sigma)$  is a symmetric quiver and  $x$  is an admissible sink or source, then  $(c_{(x, \sigma(x))}(Q), \sigma)$  is symmetric.*

*Proof.* It follows from definition of  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver. A sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in Q_0$  is an admissible sequence of sinks (or sources) for admissible sink-source pairs if  $x_{i+1}$  is an admissible sink (resp. source) in  $c_{(x_i, \sigma(x_i))} \cdots c_{(x_1, \sigma(x_1))}(Q)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ .*

One proves by a simple combinatorial argument the following

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  and  $(Q', \sigma)$  be two symmetric connected quivers without cycles, with the same underlying graph but with different orientations. Then there exists an admissible sequence of sinks (or source) for admissible sink-source pairs  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in Q_0$  such that*

$$Q' = c_{(x_m, \sigma(x_m))} \cdots c_{(x_1, \sigma(x_1))}(Q).$$

Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver and  $(x, \sigma(x))$  a sink-source admissible pair. For every  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$ , we define the reflection functors

$$C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V := C_{\sigma(x)}^- C_x^+ V \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- V := C_x^- C_{\sigma(x)}^+ V.$$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver and  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$ . If  $(x, \sigma(x))$  is a sink-source admissible pair, then*

$$\nabla C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V = C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ \nabla V \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- V = C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- \nabla V.$$

*In particular for every  $x$  admissible sink and  $y$  admissible source we have*

$$V = \nabla V \Leftrightarrow C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V = \nabla C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V \Leftrightarrow C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- V = \nabla C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- V.$$

*Proof.* It follows from definition of reflection functors for symmetric quivers and from definition of duality functor  $\nabla$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  and  $(Q', \sigma)$  be two symmetric quivers with the same underlying graph. We suppose that  $Q' = c_{(x_m, \sigma(x_m))} \cdots c_{(x_1, \sigma(x_1))}(Q)$  for some admissible sequence of sinks  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in Q_0$  for admissible sink-source pairs and let  $V' = C_{(x_m, \sigma(x_m))}^+ \cdots C_{(x_1, \sigma(x_1))}^+ V \in \text{Rep}(Q')$ . Then*

$$V = C^- \nabla V \Leftrightarrow V' = C^- \nabla V'.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver and let  $x$  be an admissible sink. Then  $V$  is an orthogonal (resp. symplectic) representation of  $(Q, \sigma)$  if and only if  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V$  is an orthogonal (resp. symplectic) representation of  $(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, \sigma)$ .*

*Similarly for  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^-$  if  $x$  is an admissible source.*

*Proof.* By proposition 2.5 we have  $V = \nabla V$  if and only if  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V = \nabla C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V$ . To define an orthogonal (respectively symplectic) structure on  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V$  the only problem could occur at the vertices fixed by  $\sigma$ . But, by definition of admissible sink and of the involution  $\sigma$ , fixed vertices and fixed arrows don't change under our reflection. The proof is similar for  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^-$  with  $x$  an admissible source.  $\square$

**2.2. Orthogonal and symplectic semi-invariants.** If  $W$  is a vector space of dimension  $n$ , we denote  $\widetilde{Gr}(r, W)$  the set of all decomposable tensors  $w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_r$ , with  $w_1, \dots, w_r \in W$ , inside  $\bigwedge^r W$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $x$  is an admissible sink or source for a symmetric quiver  $(Q, \sigma)$  and  $\alpha$  is a dimension vector such that  $c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha(x) \geq 0$ , then*

i) *if  $c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha(x) > 0$  there exist isomorphisms*

$$\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{\text{Sp}}} \text{SpSI}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha)$$

*and*

$$\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{\text{O}}} \text{OSI}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha),$$

ii) *if  $c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha(x) = 0$  there exist isomorphisms*

$$\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{\text{Sp}}} \text{SpSI}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha)[y]$$

*and*

$$\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{\text{O}}} \text{OSI}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha)[y]$$

*where  $A[y]$  denotes a polynomial ring with coefficients in  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the lemma for the symplectic case because the orthogonal case is similar. Let  $x \in Q_0$  be an admissible sink. Put  $r = \alpha(x)$  and  $n = \sum_{ha=x} \alpha(ta)$ . We note that  $c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha(x) = n - r$ . Put  $V = \mathbb{k}^r$ ,  $V' = \mathbb{k}^{n-r}$  and  $W = \bigoplus_{ha=x} \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)} \cong \mathbb{k}^n$ . We define

$$Z = \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in Q_1^+ \\ ha \neq x}} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)}, \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ha)}) \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1^\sigma} S^2(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)})^*$$

and

$$G = \prod_{\substack{y \in Q_0^+ \\ y \neq x}} SL(\alpha(y)) \times \prod_{y \in Q_0^\sigma} Sp(\alpha(y)).$$

*Proof of i).* If  $c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha(x) > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} SpSI(Q, \alpha) &= \mathbb{k}[SpRep(Q, \alpha)]^{SSp(Q, \alpha)} = \\ &= \mathbb{k}[Z \times \text{Hom}(W, V)]^{G \times SLV} = (\mathbb{k}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{k}[\text{Hom}(W, V)]^{SLV})^G = \\ &= (\mathbb{k}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{k}[\widetilde{Gr}(r, W^*)])^G \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} SpSI(c_{(x,\sigma(x))}Q, c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha) &= \\ &= \mathbb{k}[SpRep(c_{(x,\sigma(x))}Q, c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha)]^{SSp(c_{(x,\sigma(x))}Q, c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha)} = \\ &= \mathbb{k}[Z \times \text{Hom}(V', W)]^{G \times SLV'} = (\mathbb{k}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{k}[\text{Hom}(V', W)]^{SLV'})^G = \\ &= (\mathbb{k}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{k}[\widetilde{Gr}(n-r, W)])^G. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\widetilde{Gr}(r, W^*)$  and  $\widetilde{Gr}(n-r, W)$  are isomorphic as  $G$ -varieties, it follows that  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  and  $SpSI(c_{(x,\sigma(x))}Q, c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha)$  are isomorphic.

*Proof ii).* If  $c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha(x) = 0$ , then  $n = r$  and  $V' = 0$ . So  $\widetilde{Gr}(0, W)$  is a point and hence

$$(6) \quad SpSI(Q, \alpha) = (\mathbb{k}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{k}[\text{Hom}(W, V)])^{G \times SLV}$$

is isomorphic to

$$SpSI(c_{(x,\sigma(x))}Q, c_{(x,\sigma(x))}\alpha) = (\mathbb{k}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{k}[\text{Hom}(V', W)])^{G \times SLV} = \mathbb{k}[Z]^{G \times SL(V)}.$$

Now let  $A = \{a \in Q_1^+ \mid ha = x\}$ . Using theorem 1.18, each summand of (6) contains  $(\bigotimes_{a \in A} S_{\lambda(a)} V)^{SLV}$  as factor. By proposition 1.20 each  $\lambda(a)$ , with  $a \in A$ , has to contain a column of height  $\alpha(ta)$ , hence  $\lambda(a) = \mu(a) + (1^{\alpha(ta)})$ , for some  $\mu(a)$  in the set of partitions  $\Lambda$ . So as factor we have

$$\bigotimes_{a \in A} (S_{(1^{\alpha(ta)})} \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)})^{SLV_{ia}} \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{a \in A} S_{(1^{\alpha(ta)})} V \right)^{SLV}$$

which is generated by  $\det(\bigoplus_{ha=x} \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(x)})$ . On the other hand we have  $\mathbb{k}[\text{Hom}(W, V)]^{G \times SLV} = \mathbb{k}[\det(\bigoplus_{ha=x} \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(x)})]$  and so we have the statement *ii)*, with  $y = \det(\bigoplus_{ha=x} \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(ta)} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\alpha(x)})$ .  $\square$

We recall that, by definition, symplectic groups or orthogonal groups act on the spaces which are defined on the vertices in  $Q_0^\sigma$ , so we have

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $V$  be a representation of the underlying quiver  $Q$  with  $\underline{\dim}V = \alpha$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  for some symmetric dimension vector  $\beta$ . The weight of  $c^V$  on  $SpRep(Q, \beta)$  (respectively on  $ORep(Q, \beta)$ ) is  $\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle - \sum_{x \in Q_0^s} \varepsilon_{x, \alpha}$ , where

$$(7) \quad \varepsilon_{x, \alpha}(y) = \begin{cases} \langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle(x) & y = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall say that a weight is *symmetric* if  $\chi(i) = -\chi(\sigma(i))$  for every  $i \in Q_0$ .

**Remark 2.10.** Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver and  $V \in Rep(Q, \alpha)$ . We note that

$$\langle \underline{\dim}(C^- \nabla V), \cdot \rangle(i) = -\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle(\sigma(i))$$

for every  $i \in Q_0$ . So, if  $C^- \nabla V = V$  then  $\chi = \langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle$  is a symmetric weight.

We show the relation between  $c^V$  and  $C_x^+$  (respectively  $C_x^-$ ) and between  $c^V$  and duality functor  $\nabla$ .

If  $f$  is a semi-invariant of a quiver  $Q$ , we call  $Z(f)$  the vanishing set of  $f$ . We have following lemma

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $f$  and  $f'$  be two semi-invariants of a quiver  $Q$  such that  $Z(f) = Z(f')$  is irreducible. Then  $f = k \cdot f'$  for some non zero  $k \in \mathbb{k}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Z(f)$  is irreducible, also  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial. From  $Z(f) = Z(f')$  it follows that  $f' \mid f$  and so  $f = k \cdot f'$  for some non zero  $k \in \mathbb{k}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.12.** Let  $\alpha$  be a dimension vector. For any finite set  $S$  of generators of  $SI(Q, \alpha)$ , we can find a finite generating set  $S'$  consisting of irreducible polynomials such that every element in  $S$  is the product of elements in  $S'$ .

**Lemma 2.13.** Let  $V$  be an indecomposable representation of  $Q$  of dimension  $\alpha$  such that  $Z(c^V)$  is irreducible and let  $x$  be a sink of  $Q$ . Then

$$c^V = k \cdot (c^{C_x^+ V} \circ C_x^+)$$

on  $Rep(Q, \beta)$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  and for some non zero  $k \in \mathbb{k}$ .

*Proof.* First we note that, by remark 2.12 and by theorem 1.10, it's not restrictive to suppose  $Z(c^V)$  is irreducible. By remark 1.9(ii), the vanishing set of  $c^V$  is the hypersurface

$$Z(c^V) = \{W \in Rep(Q, \beta) \mid Hom_Q(V, W) \neq 0\}$$

and the vanishing set of  $c^{C_x^+ V}$  is the hypersurface

$$Z(c^{C_x^+ V}) = \{C_x^+ W \in Rep(c_x(Q), c_x(\beta)) \mid Hom_Q(C_x^+ V, C_x^+ W) \neq 0\}.$$

By definition of reflection functor, for every  $W \in Rep(Q, \beta)$ ,

$$Hom_Q(V, W) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow Hom_Q(C_x^+ V, C_x^+ W) \neq 0.$$

Hence  $Z(c^V) = Z(c^{C_x^+ V})$ .

So, by lemma 2.11, we conclude that there exist non zero  $k \in \mathbb{k}$  such that  $c^V = k \cdot (c^{C_x^+ V} \circ C_x^+)$ .  $\square$

Similarly one proves the following two lemmas

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $V$  be an indecomposable representation of  $Q$  of dimension  $\alpha$  such that  $Z(c^V)$  is irreducible and let  $x$  be a source of  $Q$ . Then*

$$c^V = k \cdot (c^{C_x^- V} \circ C_x^-)$$

on  $\text{Rep}(Q, \beta)$  such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$  and for some non zero  $k \in \mathbb{k}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver. For every representation  $V$  of the underlying quiver  $Q$  such that  $Z(c^V)$  is irreducible, we have*

$$(8) \quad c^V = k \circ (c^{C^- \nabla V} \circ \nabla)$$

for some non zero  $k \in \mathbb{k}$ .  $\square$


**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver. For every representation  $V$  of the underlying quiver  $Q$  and for every orthogonal or symplectic representation  $W$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim}(V), \underline{\dim}(W) \rangle = 0$ , we have*

$$c^V(W) = c^{C^- \nabla V}(W).$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from lemma 2.15.  $\square$

We conclude this section with a lemma which will be useful later.

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let*



be a symmetric quiver. Assume there exist only two arrows in  $Q_1^+$  incident to  $x \in Q_0^+$ ,  $a : y \rightarrow x$  and  $b : x \rightarrow z$  with  $y, z \in Q_0^+ \cup Q_0^\sigma$ . Let  $V$  be an orthogonal or symplectic representation with symmetric dimension vector  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in Q_0} = \alpha$  such that  $\alpha_x \geq \max\{\alpha_y, \alpha_z\}$ .

We define the symmetric quiver  $Q' = ((Q'_0, Q'_1), \sigma)$  with  $n-2$  vertices such that  $Q'_0 = Q_0 \setminus \{x, \sigma(x)\}$  and  $Q'_1 = Q_1 \setminus \{a, b, \sigma(a), \sigma(b)\} \cup \{ba, \sigma(a)\sigma(b)\}$  and let  $\alpha'$  be the dimension of  $V$  restricted to  $Q'$ . We have:

(Sp) Assume  $V$  symplectic. Then

- (a) if  $\alpha_x > \max\{\alpha_y, \alpha_z\}$  then  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{SpSI}(Q', \alpha')$ ,
- (b) if  $\alpha_x = \alpha_y > \alpha_z$  then  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{SpSI}(Q', \alpha')[\det V(a)]$ ,
- (b') if  $\alpha_x = \alpha_z > \alpha_y$  then  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{SpSI}(Q', \alpha')[\det V(b)]$ ,
- (c) if  $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = \alpha_z$  then  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{SpSI}(Q', \alpha')[\det V(a), \det V(b)]$ .

(O) Assume  $V$  orthogonal. Then

- (a) if  $\alpha_x > \max\{\alpha_y, \alpha_z\}$  then  $\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{OSI}(Q', \alpha')$ ,
- (b) if  $\alpha_x = \alpha_y > \alpha_z$  then  $\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{OSI}(Q', \alpha')[\det V(a)]$ ,
- (b') if  $\alpha_x = \alpha_z > \alpha_y$  then  $\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{OSI}(Q', \alpha')[\det V(b)]$ ,
- (c) if  $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = \alpha_z$  then  $\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha) = \text{OSI}(Q', \alpha')[\det V(a), \det V(b)]$ .

*Proof.* By Cauchy formulas (Theorem 1.18), we can decompose  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha)$  and  $\text{OSI}(Q, \alpha)$  in tensor products of Schur modules. Now it's enough to adjust the proof of Lemma 28 in [22] to symmetric quivers using properties of Schur modules (Proposition 1.17) and Propositions 1.19 and 1.20.  $\square$

## 3. SEMI-INVARIANTS OF SYMMETRIC QUIVERS OF FINITE TYPE

We recall that by Theorem 1.7 a symmetric quiver of finite type has the underlying quiver of type  $A_n$ . Throughout this section we enumerate vertices with  $1, \dots, n$  from left to right and we call  $a_i$  the arrow connecting  $i$  and  $i+1$ , moreover we define  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma(i) = n - i + 1$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\sigma(a_i) = \sigma(a_{n-i})$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

We shall denote  $V_{i,j}$  the indecomposable representations of  $A_n$  such that

$$(\underline{\dim} V_{i,j})_k = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq i \leq k \leq j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of type  $A_n$  and let  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$  be indecomposable such that  $C^{-\nabla}V = V$ . By Auslander-Reiten quiver of  $Q$ , we note that  $V = V_{i, \sigma(i+1)}$  and the middle term  $Z$  of the almost split sequence of  $V$  is  $V_{i, \sigma(i)} \oplus V_{i+1, \sigma(i+1)}$ , for some  $i \in Q_0$ . Finally we note that we can define on  $Z$  an orthogonal structure if  $n$  is odd and a symplectic structure if  $n$  is even. So, for an indecomposable representation of  $Q$ , if  $n$  is even then (i) and (ii) of property (Spp) are equivalent and if  $n$  is odd then (i) and (ii) of property (Op) are equivalent. Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver and  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q)$ . We consider

$$0 \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_{min}^V} P_0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0$$

the minimal projective resolution of  $V$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(A_n, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of type  $A$ . Let  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$  such that  $V = C^{-\nabla}V$  and let  $\beta$  a symmetric dimension vector such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ , then we have the following.*

- (i) *If  $n$  is even,  $\text{Hom}_Q(d_{min}^V, \cdot)$  is skew-symmetric on  $O\text{Rep}(Q, \beta)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $n$  is odd,  $\text{Hom}_Q(d_{min}^V, \cdot)$  is skew-symmetric on  $Sp\text{Rep}(Q, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$  be such that  $C^{-\nabla}V = V$ . So the weight  $\chi = \langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle - \sum_{x \in Q_0^s} \varepsilon_{x, \alpha}$  associated to  $\alpha$  is symmetric (see Remark 2.10). If  $m_1$  is the first vertex such that  $\chi(m_1) \neq 0$ , in particular we suppose  $\chi(m_1) = 1$ , then the last vertex  $m_s$  such that  $\chi(m_s) \neq 0$  is  $m_s = \sigma(m_1)$  and  $\chi(m_s) = -1$ . Between  $m_1$  and  $m_s$ ,  $-1$  and  $1$  alternate in correspondence respectively of sinks and of sources. Moreover, by definition of symmetric weight, we have  $s = 2l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We call  $i_2, \dots, i_l$  the sources,  $j_1, \dots, j_{l-1}$  the sinks,  $i_1 = m_1$  and  $j_l = m_s$ . Hence we have  $\sigma(i_t) = j_{l-t+1}$  and  $i_1 < j_1 < \dots < i_l < j_l$ . Now the minimal projective resolution for  $V$  is

$$(9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=j_1}^{j_l} P_j \xrightarrow{d_{min}^V} \bigoplus_{i=i_1}^{i_l} P_i \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0$$

and so we have

$$(10) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=j_1}^{j_l} P_j \xrightarrow{d_{min}^V} \bigoplus_{j=j_1}^{j_l} P_{\sigma(j)} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0,$$

with

$$(11) \quad (d_{min}^V)_{hk} = \begin{cases} -a_{i_{k+1}, j_k} & \text{if } h = l - k \\ a_{i_k, j_k} & \text{if } h = l - k + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $a_{i,j}$  is the oriented path from  $i$  to  $j$ .

Hence

$$(12) \quad \text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W) : \bigoplus_{j=j_1}^{j_l} W(\sigma(j)) = \bigoplus_{j=j_1}^{j_l} W(j)^* \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=j_1}^{j_l} W(j)$$

where

$$(13) \quad (\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{hk} = \begin{cases} -W(a_{i_{h+1}, j_h}) & \text{if } k = l - h \\ W(a_{i_h, j_h}) & \text{if } k = l - h + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now  $W$  is orthogonal or symplectic, so for  $k \neq h$ , if  $k = l - h + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{hk} &= W(a_{i_h, j_h}) = W(a_{\sigma(j_{l-h+1}), j_h}) = -W(a_{\sigma(j_h), j_{l-h+1}})^t = \\ &= -W(a_{i_{l-h+1}, j_{l-h+1}})^t = -W(a_{i_k, j_k})^t = -((\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{kh})^t. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way it proves that if  $k = l - h$  then  $(\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{hk} = -((\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{kh})^t$ . Finally the only cases for which  $(\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{hh} \neq 0$  are when  $h = l - h + 1$  and  $h = l - h$ . In the first case (the second one is similar) we have  $(\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{hh} = W(a_{i_h, j_h}) = W(a_{\sigma(j_h), j_h})$  and  $-((\text{Hom}(d_{min}^V, W))_{hh})^t = -W(a_{i_h, j_h})^t = -W(a_{\sigma(j_h), j_h})^t$ . But  $W(a_{\sigma(j_h), j_h}) = -W(a_{\sigma(j_h), j_h})^t$  for  $n$  even if and only if  $W \in \text{ORep}(Q)$ , for  $n$  odd if and only if  $W \in \text{SpRep}(Q)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** For  $V \in \text{Rep}(Q, \alpha)$  satisfying property (Spp) (resp. satisfying property (Op)) such that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ , where  $\beta$  is an orthogonal (resp. symplectic) dimension vector, we define

$$\begin{aligned} pf^V : \text{Rep}(Q, \beta) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k} \\ W &\longmapsto pf^V(W) = Pf(\text{Hom}_Q(d_{min}^V, W)). \end{aligned}$$

By following Propositions and by Lemma 2.8, it follows that if Theorem 1.13 and Theorem 1.14 are true for  $(Q, \sigma)$ , then they are true for  $(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of finite type. Let  $\alpha$  be a symmetric dimension vector,  $x$  be an admissible sink and  $\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{Sp}$  be as defined in lemma 2.8.

Then  $\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{Sp}(c^V) = c^{C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ V}$  and  $\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{Sp}(pf^W) = pf^{C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^+ W}$ , where  $V$  and  $W$  are indecomposables of  $Q$  such that  $\langle \dim V, \alpha \rangle = 0 = \langle \dim W, \alpha \rangle$  and  $W$  satisfies property (Op). In particular

- (i) if  $0 = \alpha_x \neq \alpha_{x-1} + \alpha_{x+1}$ , then  $(\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{Sp})^{-1}(c^{S_x}) = 0$ ;
- (ii) if  $0 \neq \alpha_x = \alpha_{x-1} + \alpha_{x+1}$ , then  $\varphi_{x, \alpha}^{Sp}(c^{S_{\sigma(x)}}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We consider the same notation of proof of Lemma 2.8. If  $x$  is an admissible sink of  $(Q, \sigma)$ , then we have, by definition of  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^-$ ,

$$C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- |_{Z(\text{SpRep}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha))} = Z$$

and

$$C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- |_{\text{Hom}(V', W)(\text{SpRep}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha))} = \text{Hom}(W, V).$$

Now  $C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^-$  induces a ring morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{x, \alpha}^{Sp} : \mathbb{k}[\text{SpRep}(Q, \alpha)] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[\text{SpRep}(c_{(x, \sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x, \sigma(x))} \alpha)] \\ f &\longmapsto f \circ C_{(x, \sigma(x))}^- \end{aligned}$$



By proof of Lemma 2.8, we note that

$$\mathbb{k}[C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^- Z \times C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^- \text{Hom}(V', W)]^{SSp(Q,\alpha)} = \mathbb{k}[Z \times \text{Hom}(W, V)]^{SSp(Q,\alpha)}$$

is isomorphic by  $\varphi_{x,\alpha}^{Sp}$  to  $\mathbb{k}[Z \times \text{Hom}(V', W)]^{SSp(c_{(x,\sigma(x))} Q, c_{(x,\sigma(x))} \alpha)}$ . Hence  $\varphi_{x,\alpha}^{Sp} = \phi_{x,\alpha}^{Sp}|_{SpSI(Q,\alpha)}$  and so for every representation  $Z$  of dimension vector  $\alpha$  of  $(Q, \sigma)$  we have

$$(14) \quad \varphi_{x,\alpha}^{Sp}(c^V)(C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^+ Z) = (c^V \circ C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^-)(C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^+ Z) = c^V(Z)$$

and

$$(15) \quad \varphi_{x,\alpha}^{Sp}(pf^W)(C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^+ Z) = (pf^W \circ C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^-)(C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^+ Z) = pf^W(Z).$$

By Lemma 2.13 and 2.14 we have  $c^V(Z) = k \cdot c_{(x,\sigma(x))}^{+V}(C_{(x,\sigma(x))}^+ Z)$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{k}$ . So, by

$$(14), \varphi_{x,\alpha}^{Sp} \text{ sends } c^V \text{ to } c_{(x,\sigma(x))}^{+V} \text{ up to a constant in } \mathbb{k}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be a symmetric quiver of finite type. Let  $\alpha$  be a symmetric dimension vector,  $x$  be an admissible sink and  $\varphi_{x,\alpha}^O$  be as defined in lemma 2.8.*

*Then  $\varphi_{x,\alpha}^O(c^V) = c_{(x,\sigma(x))}^{+V}$  and  $\varphi_{x,\alpha}^O(pf^W) = pf_{(x,\sigma(x))}^{+W}$ , where  $V$  and  $W$  are indecomposables of  $Q$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V, \alpha \rangle = 0 = \langle \underline{\dim} W, \alpha \rangle$  and  $W$  satisfies property (Spp). In particular*

- (i) *if  $0 = \alpha_x \neq \alpha_{x-1} + \alpha_{x+1}$ , then  $(\varphi_{x,\alpha}^O)^{-1}(c^{S_x}) = 0$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $0 \neq \alpha_x = \alpha_{x-1} + \alpha_{x+1}$ , then  $\varphi_{x,\alpha}^O(c^{S_{\sigma(x)}}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* One proves similarly to Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

In next sections we prove Theorem 1.13 and 1.14 for symmetric quivers of type  $A$  in equioriented case, i.e. the case in which all the arrows have the same orientation. We shall call  $\Lambda$ ,  $ER\Lambda$  and  $EC\Lambda$  respectively the set of partitions, the set of partition with even rows and the set of partition of even columns.

**3.1. The symplectic case for  $A_{2n}$ .** We can restate Theorem 1.13 in the following way

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n}$  and let  $\alpha$  be a symplectic dimension vector. Then  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:*

- (i)  $c^{V_{j,i}}$  of weight  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \cdot \rangle$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-1$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \alpha \rangle = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $c^{V_{i,2n-i}}$  of weight  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{i,2n-i}, \cdot \rangle$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The result follows from the following statement

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n}$ , where*

$$Q = A_n^{eq} : 1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \cdots n \xrightarrow{a_n} n+1 \cdots 2n-1 \xrightarrow{a_{2n-1}} 2n,$$

*and let  $V$  be a symplectic representation,  $\underline{\dim}(V) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha$ . Then  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:*

- (i)  $\det(V(a_i) \cdots V(a_j))$  with  $j \leq i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  if  $\min\{\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_i\} > \alpha_j = \alpha_{i+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\det(V(a_{2n-i}) \cdots V(a_i))$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  if  $\min\{\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n\} > \alpha_i$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$X := SpRep(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} V(ta_i)^* \otimes V(ha_i) \oplus S_2 V_n^*.$$

We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we have the symplectic representation

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{V(a)} V_1^*$$

where  $V_1$  is a vector space of dimension  $\alpha$  and  $V(a)$  is a linear map such that  $V(a) = V(a)^t$ . So

$$SpRep(Q, \alpha) = S^2V_1^*$$

and by theorem 1.18

$$SpSI(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in ERA} (S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)},$$

By proposition 1.19 and since  $\lambda \in ERA$ ,  $SpSI(Q, \alpha) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = \overbrace{(2k, \dots, 2k)}^\alpha$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and we have that  $(S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $2k$ . We note that  $V(a) \in S_2V_1^* \mapsto (\det V(a))^k$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $2k$ . So  $SpSI(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[\det V(a)]$ .

Now we prove the induction step. By theorem 1.18 we obtain

$$SpSI(Q, \alpha) = (\mathbb{k}[X])^{SL(V)} =$$

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda^{(a_1), \dots, \lambda^{(a_{n-1})} \text{ and} \\ \lambda^{(a_n)} \in ERA}} (S_{\lambda^{(a_1)}} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{\lambda^{(a_1)}} V_2^* \otimes S_{\lambda^{(a_2)}} V_2)^{SL(V_2)} \otimes \dots \otimes (S_{\lambda^{(a_{n-1})}} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda^{(a_n)}} V_n)^{SL(V_n)}.$$

We suppose that there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$  such that  $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_{i+i} < \alpha_i$ . By lemma 2.17,

$$SpSI(Q, \alpha) = SpSI(Q^1, \alpha^1)$$

where  $Q^1$  is the smaller quiver  $1 \rightarrow 2 \dots i-1 \rightarrow i+1 \dots 2n-i+1 \rightarrow 2n-i+3 \dots 2n-1 \rightarrow 2n$  and  $\alpha^1$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$  in  $Q^1$ .

If  $i$  doesn't exist, we have  $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$ . So, by lemma 2.17, we have the generators  $\det V(a_i) = \det V(\sigma(a_i))$  if  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ .

We note that, by proposition 1.19,

$$\lambda(a_1) = \overbrace{(k_1, \dots, k_1)}^{\alpha_1}$$

is a rectangle with  $k_1$  columns of height  $\alpha_1$ , for some  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$ , by proposition 1.20, we obtain that there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lambda(a_i) = \overbrace{(k_i + \dots + k_1, \dots, k_i + \dots + k_1)}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{(k_i, \dots, k_i)}^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}},$$

for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . We also know that  $\lambda_n$  must have even rows. If  $\alpha_n = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  then  $S_{\lambda_{n-1}} V_n^* = 0$  unless  $k_{n-1} + \dots + k_{j+1} = 0$ , so  $\lambda(a_{n-1}) = \dots = \lambda(a_{j+1}) = \lambda(a_j)$ . By proposition 1.20,  $(S_{\lambda^{(a_{n-1})}} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda^{(a_n)}} V_n)^{SL(V_n)} = (S_{\lambda^{(a_j)}} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda^{(a_n)}} V_n)^{SL(V_n)}$  contains a semi-invariant if and only if

$$\lambda(a_n) = \overbrace{(k_n + k_{j-1} + \dots + k_1, \dots, k_n + k_{j-1} + \dots + k_1)}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{(k_n, \dots, k_n)}^{\alpha_n - \alpha_{j-1}},$$

but  $k_n + k_{j-1} + \dots + k_1, k_n + k_{j-1} + \dots + k_2, \dots, k_n$  have to be even and then  $k_n, k_{j-1}, \dots, k_1$  have to be even. As before, by lemma 2.17, we can consider the smaller quiver  $Q^2 : 1 \rightarrow 2 \dots j \rightarrow n \rightarrow n+1 \rightarrow 2n-j+1 \dots 2n-1 \rightarrow 2n$  and then

$$SpSI(Q, \alpha) \cong SpSI(Q^2, \alpha^2) =$$

$$(S_{\lambda^{(a_1)}} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes (S_{\lambda^{(a_{j-1})}} V_j^* \otimes S_{\lambda^{(a_j)}} V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda^{(a_j)}} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda^{(a_n)}} V_n)^{SL(V_n)}.$$

Now to complete the proof it's enough to find the generators of  $SpSI(Q^2, \alpha^2)$  for  $\alpha_n = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$ .

By proposition 1.20, for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ ,  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})} V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)} V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $k_l = 2h$  with  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , is  $l$ -th component. Since  $V(a_{2n-l}) \cdots V(a_l) \in SpSI(Q, \alpha) \mapsto (\det(V(a_{2n-l}) \cdots V(a_l)))^h$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so it generates  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})} V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)} V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$ . Now  $\lambda(a_l) = \lambda(a_{l-1}) + (k_l^{\alpha_l})$  hence  $\det(V(a_{2n-l}) \cdots V(a_l))$  is a generator of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$ .

In the summand of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  indexed by the families of partitions in which  $\lambda(a_j) = \overbrace{(k_j, \dots, k_j)}^{\alpha_j = \alpha_n}$ , with  $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_n^*)^{SL(V_n)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight

$(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, -k_j)$  where  $k_j$  and  $-k_j$  are respectively the  $j$ -th and the  $n$ -th component and we note, as before, that  $(\det(V(a_{n-1}) \cdots V(a_j)))^{k_j}$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, -k_j)$ . Since  $\lambda(a_j) = \lambda(a_{j-1}) + (k_j^{\alpha_j = \alpha_n})$ ,  $\det(V(a_{n-1}) \cdots V(a_j))$  is a generator of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$ .

In the summand of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  indexed by the families of partitions in which  $\lambda(a_n) = \overbrace{(k_n, \dots, k_n)}^{\alpha_n}$  with  $k_n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ , we note again that  $(S_{\lambda(a_n)} V_n)^{SL(V_n)}$  is generated by  $(\det(V(a_n)))^{k_n}$  of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_n)$  where  $n$ -th component  $k_n$  is even. Since  $\lambda(a_n) = \lambda(a_{j-1}) + (k_n^{\alpha_n})$ ,  $\det(V(a_n))$  is a generator of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.5.* First we note that  $\alpha_j = \alpha_{i+1}$  is equivalent to  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \underline{\dim} V \rangle = 0$ . If we consider the minimal projective resolution of  $V_{j,i}$ , we have

$$0 \longrightarrow P_{i+1} \xrightarrow{a_i \cdots a_j} P_j \longrightarrow V_{j,i} \longrightarrow 0$$

and applying the  $Hom_Q$ -functor we have

$$Hom_Q(a_i \cdots a_j, V) : Hom_Q(P_j, V) = V_j \xrightarrow{V(a_i \cdots a_j)} V_{i+1} = Hom_Q(P_{i+1}, V).$$

So,  $\det(V(a_i) \cdots V(a_j)) = \det(Hom_Q(a_i \cdots a_j, V)) = c^{V_{j,i}}(V)$ .

In the same way one proves that  $\det(V(a_{2n-i}) \cdots V(a_i)) = \det(V_i \longrightarrow V_{2n-i+1} = V_i^*) = c^{V_{i,2n-i}}(V)$ , but in this case, since  $\underline{\dim} V = \underline{\dim} \nabla V$ , we have  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{2n-i+1}$  and so  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{i,2n-i}, \underline{\dim} V \rangle = 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Moreover we note that

- (i)  $c^{V_{2n-i,2n-j}}(V) = c^{V_{j,i}}(V)$ , by lemma 2.16, since  $\tau^- \nabla V_{j,i} = V_{2n-i,2n-j}$ ;
- (ii) for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and for every  $i \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n-1\} \setminus \{2n-j\}$  there exists  $k > j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $2n-k = i$  and so  $c^{V_{j,i}}(V) = c^{V_{j,k-1}}(V) \cdot c^{V_{k,2n-k}}(V)$ .

Now, using theorem 3.6, we obtain the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3.2. The orthogonal case for $A_{2n}$ .

We restate Theorem 1.14 in the following way

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n}$  and let  $\alpha$  be the dimension vector of an orthogonal representation of  $(Q, \sigma)$ .*

*Then  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:*

- (i)  $c^{V_{j,i}}$  of weight  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \cdot \rangle$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-1$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \alpha \rangle = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $pf^{V_{i,2n-i}}$  of weight  $\frac{\langle \underline{\dim} V_{i,2n-i}, \cdot \rangle}{2}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_i$  is even.

The result follows from the following statement

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n}$ , where*

$$Q = A_n^{eq} : 1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \cdots n \xrightarrow{a_n} n+1 \cdots 2n-1 \xrightarrow{a_{2n-1}} 2n,$$

and let  $V$  be an orthogonal representation,  $\underline{\dim}(V) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \alpha$ . Then  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:

- (i)  $\det(V(a_i) \cdots V(a_j))$  with  $j \leq i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  if  $\min(\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_i) > \alpha_j = \alpha_{i+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $pf(V(a_{2n-i}) \cdots V(a_i))$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  if  $\min(\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) > \alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  is even.

*Proof.* We have

$$X := ORep(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} V(ta_i)^* \otimes V(ha_i) \oplus \bigwedge^2 V_n^*.$$

We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we have the orthogonal representation

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{V(a)} V_1^*$$

where  $V_1$  is a vector space of dimension  $\alpha$  and  $V(a)$  is a linear map such that  $V(a) = -V(a)^t$ .

$$ORep(Q, \alpha) = \bigwedge^2 V_1^*$$

and by theorem 1.18

$$OSI(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in ECA} (S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)}$$

By proposition 1.19 since  $\lambda \in ECA$ ,  $OSI(Q, \alpha) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = \overbrace{(k, \dots, k)}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha$  even, for some  $k$ . We note that  $V(a) \in \bigwedge^2 V^* \mapsto (pf V(a))^k$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $k$  so  $(S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)}$  is generated by the semi-invariant  $(pf V(a))^k$  if  $\alpha$  is even and  $OSI(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[pf V(a)]$ .

Now we prove the induction step. By theorem 1.18 we obtain

$$OSI(Q, \alpha) = (\mathbb{k}[X])^{SL(V)} =$$

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda(a_1), \dots, \lambda(a_{n-1}) \text{ and} \\ \lambda(a_n) \in ECA}} (S_{\lambda(a_1)} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_1)} V_2^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_2)} V_2)^{SL(V_2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{n-1})} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_n)} V_n)^{SL(V_n)}$$

The proof of this theorem is the same of the proof of the theorem 3.6 up to when we have to consider  $\alpha_n$ . As in the previous proof we can suppose  $\alpha_1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$ , otherwise, by induction, we can reduce to a smaller quiver.

By lemma 2.17, we have the generators  $\det V(a_i) = \det V(\sigma(a_i))$  if  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ . By proposition 1.20, we obtain that there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lambda(a_i) = \overbrace{(k_i + \cdots + k_1, \dots, k_i + \cdots + k_1)}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{(k_i, \dots, k_i)}^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}},$$

for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Now we consider the hypothesis on  $\lambda(a_n)$  by which it must have even columns. If  $\alpha_n = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  then  $S_{\lambda(a_{n-1})} V_n^* = 0$  unless  $k_{n-1} + \cdots + k_{j+1} = 0$ ,

so  $\lambda(a_{n-1}) = \cdots = \lambda(a_{j+1}) = \lambda(a_j)$ . By proposition 1.20,  $(S_{\lambda(a_{n-1})}V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_n)^{SL(V_n)} = (S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_n)^{SL(V_n)}$  contains a semi-invariant if and only if

$$\lambda(a_n) = (\overbrace{k_n + k_{j-1} + \cdots + k_1, \dots, k_n + k_{j-1} + \cdots + k_1, \dots}^{\alpha_1}, \overbrace{k_n, \dots, k_n}^{\alpha_n - \alpha_{j-1}}),$$

but  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n - \alpha_{j-1}$  have to be even and then  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_n$  have to be even. As before, by lemma 2.17, we can consider the smaller quiver  $Q^1 : 1 \rightarrow 2 \cdots j \rightarrow n \rightarrow n+1 \rightarrow 2n-j+1 \cdots 2n-1 \rightarrow 2n$  and then

$$OSI(Q, \alpha) \cong (S_{\lambda(a_1)}V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{j-1})}V_j^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_n)^{SL(V_n)}.$$

Now to complete the proof it's enough to find the generator of this algebra for  $\alpha_n = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n-1}$ .

By proposition 1.20, for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  such that  $\alpha_l$  is even,  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})}V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)}V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $k_l \in \mathbb{N}$ , is  $l$ -th component. We note that  $V(a_{2n-l}) \cdots V(a_l) \in OSI(Q, \alpha) \mapsto (pf(V(a_{2n-l}) \cdots V(a_l)))^{k_l}$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so it generates

$$(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})}V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)}V_l)^{SL(V_l)}.$$

Since  $\lambda(a_l) = \lambda(a_{l-1}) + (k_l^{\alpha_l})$ ,  $pf(V(a_{2n-l}) \cdots V(a_l))$  is a generator of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$ . In the summand of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  indexed

by the families of partitions in which  $\lambda(a_j) = (\overbrace{k_j, \dots, k_j}^{\alpha_j = \alpha_n})$ , with  $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_n^*)^{SL(V_n)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, -k_j)$  where  $k_j$  and  $-k_j$  are respectively the  $j$ -th and the  $n$ -th component and we note, as before, that  $(\det(V(a_{n-1}) \cdots V(a_j)))^{k_j}$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, -k_j)$ . Since  $\lambda(a_j) = \lambda(a_{j-1}) + (k_j^{\alpha_j = \alpha_n})$ ,  $\det(V(a_{n-1}) \cdots V(a_j))$  is a generator of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$ .

In the summand of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  indexed by the families of partitions in which  $\lambda(a_n) = (\overbrace{k_n, \dots, k_n}^{\alpha_n})$  with  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we note again that if  $\alpha_n$  is even  $(S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_n)^{SL(V_n)}$  is generated by  $(pf(V(a_n)))^{k_n}$  of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_n)$ . Since  $\lambda(a_n) = \lambda(a_{j-1}) + (k_n^{\alpha_n})$ ,  $pf(V(a_n))$  is a generator of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.7.* By lemma 3.1, we can define  $pf^V$  if  $V = \tau^- \nabla V$ , since we are dealing with orthogonal case. Moreover we note that  $V_{i, 2n-i} = \tau^- \nabla V_{i, 2n-i}$ . Hence using the theorem 3.8, the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**3.3. The symplectic case for  $A_{2n+1}$ .** We can restate theorem 1.13 in the following way

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n+1}$  and let  $\alpha$  be a symplectic dimension vector. Then  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:*

- (i)  $c^{V_{j,i}}$  of weight  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \cdot \rangle - \varepsilon_{n+1, \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}}$  (see Definition 2.9) for every  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \alpha \rangle = 0$ .
- (ii)  $pf^{V_{i, 2n+1-i}}$  of weight  $\frac{\langle \underline{\dim} V_{i, 2n+1-i}, \cdot \rangle}{2}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_i$  is even.

The result follows from the following statement

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n+1}$ , where*

$$Q : 1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \cdots n \xrightarrow{a_n} n+1 \xrightarrow{a_{n+1}} n+2 \cdots 2n \xrightarrow{a_{2n}} 2n+1,$$

and let  $V$  be an symplectic representation,  $\underline{\dim}(V) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) = \alpha$ . Then  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:

- (i)  $\det(V(a_i) \cdots V(a_j))$  with  $j \leq i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  if  $\min(\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_i) > \alpha_j = \alpha_{i+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{pf}(V(a_{2n-i+1}) \cdots V(a_i))$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  if  $\min(\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) > \alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  is even.

*Proof.* First we recall that if  $V$  is a symplectic representation of dimension  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$  of a symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n+1}$ , in the symplectic case,  $V_{n+1} = V_{n+1}^*$  is a symplectic space, so if  $V_{n+1} \neq 0$  then  $\dim V_{n+1}$  has to be even. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we have the symplectic representation

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{V(a)} V_2 = V_2^* \xrightarrow{-V(a)^t} V_1^*.$$

By theorem 1.18

$$\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_\lambda V_2)^{Sp(V_2)}.$$

By proposition 1.19 and proposition 1.21,  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = \overbrace{(k, \dots, k)}^{\alpha_1}$ , for some  $k$ , and  $ht(\lambda)$  has to be even. Moreover we have that  $(S_{(k^{\alpha_1})} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{(k^{\alpha_1})} V_2)^{Sp(V_2)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(k, 0)$ . If  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  then  $S_\lambda V_2 = 0$  unless  $\lambda = 0$  and in this case  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}$ . If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  then  $ht(\lambda) = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . We note that  $\det V(a)^k$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(k, 0)$ . Hence  $(S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_\lambda V_2)^{Sp(V_2)}$  is generated by the semi-invariant  $\det V(a)^k$ , so  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[\det V(a)]$ . Finally if  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  then  $ht(\lambda) = \alpha_1$  has to be even. We recall that in the symplectic case  $-V(a)^t V(a)$  is skew-symmetric. We note that  $\text{pf}(-V(a)^t V(a))^k$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(k, 0)$  so  $(S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_\lambda V_2)^{Sp(V_2)}$  is generated by the semi-invariant  $\text{pf}(-V(a)^t V(a))^k$  if  $\alpha_1$  is even and thus  $\text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[\text{pf}(-V(a)^t V(a))]$ .

Now we prove the induction step. Let  $X = \text{SpRep}(Q, \alpha)$  and by theorem 1.18 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) &= (\mathbb{k}[X])^{Sp(V)} = \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda(a_1), \dots, \lambda(a_n) \in \Lambda} (S_{\lambda(a_1)} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_1)} V_2^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_2)} V_2)^{SL(V_2)} \otimes \\ &\quad \cdots \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{n-1})} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_n)} V_n)^{SL(V_n)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_n)} V_{n+1})^{Sp(V_{n+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this theorem is the same of the proof of the theorem 3.6 up to when we have to consider  $\alpha_{n+1}$ . As in the proof of theorem 3.6 we can suppose  $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ , otherwise, by induction, we can reduce to a smaller quiver.

By lemma 2.17, we have the generators  $\det V(a_i) = \det V(\sigma(a_i))$  if  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

By proposition 1.20, we obtain that there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lambda(a_i) = \overbrace{(k_i + \dots + k_1, \dots, k_i + \dots + k_1)}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{(k_i, \dots, k_i)}^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}},$$

for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Now, by proposition 1.21,  $\lambda(a_n)$  must have even columns. If  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $S_{\lambda(a_n)} V_{n+1}^* = 0$  unless  $k_n + \dots + k_{j+1} = 0$ , so  $\lambda(a_n) = \dots = \lambda(a_{j+1}) = \lambda(a_j)$ . As before, by lemma 2.17, we can consider the smaller quiver  $Q^1 : 1 \rightarrow 2 \cdots j \rightarrow n+1 \rightarrow 2n-j+2 \cdots 2n \rightarrow 2n+1$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SpSI}(Q, \alpha) &\cong (S_{\lambda(a_1)} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{j-1})} V_j^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes \\ (16) \quad &(S_{\lambda(a_{j-1})} V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_n)^{SL(V_n)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_{n+1})^{Sp(V_{n+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda(a_j) = (\overbrace{k_j + \cdots + k_1, \dots, k_j + \cdots + k_1}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{k_j, \dots, k_j}^{\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{j-1}}),$$

and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{j-1}$  have to be even otherwise, by proposition 1.21,  $(S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_{n+1})^{Sp(V_{n+1})} = 0$ . Now to complete the proof it's enough to find the generators of the algebra (16) for  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_n$ .

By proposition 1.20, for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  such that  $\alpha_l$  is even,  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})} V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)} V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $k_l \in \mathbb{N}$ , is  $l$ -th component. We note that  $V(a_{2n-l+1}) \cdots V(a_l) \in SpSI(Q, \alpha) \mapsto (pf(V(a_{2n-l+1}) \cdots V(a_l)))^{k_l}$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so it generates  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})} V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)} V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$ . Since  $\lambda(a_l) = \lambda(a_{l-1}) + (k_l)^{\alpha_l}$ , then  $pf(V(a_{2n-l+1}) \cdots V(a_l))$  is a generator of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$ .

In the summand of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$  indexed by the families of partitions in which  $\lambda(a_j) = (\overbrace{k_j, \dots, k_j}^{\alpha_j = \alpha_{n+1}})$ , with  $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_{n+1})^{Sp(V_{n+1})}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$  where  $k_j$  is the  $j$ -th component and we note, as before, that  $(\det(V(a_n) \cdots V(a_j)))^{k_j}$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$ . Since  $\lambda(a_j) = \lambda(a_{j-1}) + (k_j)^{\alpha_j = \alpha_{n+1}}$ ,  $\det(V(a_n) \cdots V(a_j))$  is a generator of  $SpSI(Q, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.9.* By lemma 3.1, we can define  $pf^V$  if  $V = \tau^{-1} \nabla V$ , since we are dealing with symplectic case. Moreover we note that  $V_{i, 2n+1-i} = \tau^{-1} \nabla V_{i, 2n+1-i}$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence using the theorem 3.10, the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**3.4. The orthogonal case for  $A_{2n+1}$ .** We restate the Theorem 1.14 in the following way

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n+1}$  and let  $\alpha$  be an orthogonal dimension vector. Then  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:*

- (i)  $c^{V_{j,i}}$  of weight  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \cdot \rangle - \varepsilon_{n+1, \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}}$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$  such that  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{j,i}, \alpha \rangle = 0$ .
- (ii)  $c^{V_{i, 2n+1-i}}$  of weight  $\langle \underline{\dim} V_{i, 2n+1-i}, \cdot \rangle$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The result follows from the following statement

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $(Q, \sigma)$  be an equioriented symmetric quiver of type  $A_{2n+1}$ , where*

$$Q : 1 \xrightarrow{a_1} 2 \cdots n \xrightarrow{a_n} n+1 \xrightarrow{a_{n+1}} n+2 \cdots 2n \xrightarrow{a_{2n}} 2n+1,$$

and let  $V$  be an orthogonal representation,  $\underline{\dim}(V) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) = \alpha$ . Then  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  is generated by the following indecomposable semi-invariants:

- (i)  $\det(V(a_i) \cdots V(a_j))$  with  $j \leq i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  if  $\min(\alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_i) > \alpha_j = \alpha_{i+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\det(V(a_{2n-i+1}) \cdots V(a_i))$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  if  $\min(\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) > \alpha_i$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  we have the orthogonal representation

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{V(a)} V_2 = V_2^* \xrightarrow{-V(a)^t} V_1^*$$

where  $V_1$  is a vector space of dimension  $\alpha_1$ ,  $V_2$  is a orthogonal space of dimension  $\alpha_2$  and  $V(a)$  is a linear map. By theorem 1.18

$$OSI(Q, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S_{\lambda} V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{\lambda} V_2)^{SO(V_2)}.$$

By proposition 1.19 and proposition 1.21,  $OSI(Q, \alpha) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = \overbrace{(k, \dots, k)}^{\alpha_1}$ , for some  $k \in 2\mathbb{N}$ . Moreover we have that  $(S_{(k^{\alpha_1})}V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{(k^{\alpha_1})}V_2)^{SO(V_2)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(k, 0)$ . If  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  then  $S_\lambda V_2 = 0$  unless  $\lambda = 0$  and in this case  $OSI(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}$ . If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  then  $ht(\lambda) = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . We note that  $\det V(a)^k$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(k, 0)$ . Hence  $(S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_\lambda V_2)^{SO(V_2)}$  is generated by the semi-invariant  $\det V(a)^k$ , so  $OSI(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[\det V(a)]$ . Finally if  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  we note that  $\det(-V(a)^t V(a))^k$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(k, 0)$  so  $(S_\lambda V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_\lambda V_2)^{SO(V_2)}$  is generated by the semi-invariant  $\det(-V(a)^t V(a))^k$  and thus  $OSI(Q, \alpha) = \mathbb{k}[\det(-V(a)^t V(a))]$ .

Now we prove the induction step. Let  $X = ORep(Q, \alpha)$  and by theorem 1.18 we obtain

$$OSI(Q, \alpha) = (\mathbb{k}[X])^{SO(V)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda(a_1), \dots, \lambda(a_n) \in \Lambda} (S_{\lambda(a_1)}V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_1)}V_2^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_2)}V_2)^{SL(V_2)} \otimes \dots \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{n-1})}V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_n)^{SL(V_n)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_{n+1})^{SO(V_{n+1})},$$

The proof of this theorem is the same of the proof of the theorem 3.6 up to when we have to consider  $\alpha_{n+1}$ . As in the proof of theorem 3.6 we can suppose  $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ , otherwise, by induction, we can reduce to a smaller quiver.

By lemma 2.17, we have the generators  $\det V(a_i) = \det V(\sigma(a_i))$  if  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ . By proposition 1.20, we obtain that there exist  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lambda(a_i) = \overbrace{(k_i + \dots + k_1, \dots, k_i + \dots + k_1)}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{(k_i, \dots, k_i)}^{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}},$$

for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Now, by proposition 1.21,  $\lambda(a_n)$  must have even rows. If  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $S_{\lambda(a_n)}V_{n+1}^* = 0$  unless  $k_n + \dots + k_{j+1} = 0$ , so  $\lambda(a_n) = \dots = \lambda(a_{j+1}) = \lambda(a_j)$ . As before, by lemma 2.17, we can consider the smaller quiver  $Q^1 : 1 \rightarrow 2 \dots j \rightarrow n+1 \rightarrow 2n-j+2 \dots 2n \rightarrow 2n+1$  and then

$$(17) \quad OSI(Q, \alpha) \cong (S_{\lambda(a_1)}V_1)^{SL(V_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{j-1})}V_j^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_{j-1})}V_n^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_n)^{SL(V_n)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_{n+1})^{SO(V_{n+1})},$$

where

$$\lambda(a_j) = \overbrace{(k_j + \dots + k_1, \dots, k_j + \dots + k_1)}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overbrace{(k_j, \dots, k_j)}^{\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{j-1}},$$

and  $k_j + \dots + k_1, \dots, k_j$  have to be even otherwise, by proposition 1.21,  $(S_{\lambda(a_j)}V_{n+1})^{SO(V_{n+1})} = 0$ . Hence  $k_l$  has to be even for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ . Now to complete the proof it's enough to find the generators of the algebra (17) for  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_j \leq \alpha_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ .

By proposition 1.20, for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ ,  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})}V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)}V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $k_l \in 2\mathbb{N}$ , is  $l$ -th component. We note that

$$V(a_{2n-l+1}) \dots V(a_l) \in OSI(Q, \alpha) \mapsto (\det(V(a_{2n-l+1}) \dots V(a_l)))^{\frac{k_l}{2}}$$

is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_l, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so it generates  $(S_{\lambda(a_{l-1})}V_l^* \otimes S_{\lambda(a_l)}V_l)^{SL(V_l)}$ . Since  $\lambda(a_l) = \lambda(a_{l-1}) + (k_l)^{\alpha_l}$ , then  $\det(V(a_{2n-l+1}) \dots V(a_l))$  is a generator of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$ .



In the summand of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$  indexed by the families of partitions in which  $\lambda(a_j) = \overbrace{(k_j, \dots, k_j)}^{\alpha_j = \alpha_{n+1}}$ , with  $k_j \in 2\mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_j)^{SL(V_j)} \otimes (S_{\lambda(a_j)} V_{n+1})^{SO(V_{n+1})}$  is generated by a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$  where  $k_j$  is the  $j$ -th component and we note, as before, that  $(\det(V(a_n) \cdots V(a_j)))^{k_j}$  is a semi-invariant of weight  $(0, \dots, 0, k_j, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$ . Since  $\lambda(a_j) = \lambda(a_{j-1}) + (k_j)^{\alpha_j = \alpha_{n+1}}$ ,  $\det(V(a_n) \cdots V(a_j))$  is a generator of  $OSI(Q, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.11.* Using the theorem 3.12, the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 3.5.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Akin, D. Buchsbaum, J. Weyman, *Schur functors and Schur complexes*, Advances Math. 44 (1982), 207-277.
- [2] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowronski, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras*, volume 1, London Mathematical Society Students Texts 65, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [3] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, V. A. Ponomarev, *Coxeter functors and Gabriel's theorem*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 28, no. 2(170) (1973), 19-33.
- [4] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. 204 (2006), 572-618.
- [5] V. Dlab, C. M. Ringel, *Indecomposable Representations of Graphs and Algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 173 (1976).
- [6] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, *Semi-invariants of quivers and saturation for Littlewood-Richardson coefficients*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2000), 467-479.
- [7] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, *Generalized quivers associated to reductive groups*, Colloq. Math. 94 (2002), No. 2, 151-173.
- [8] M. Domokos, A. N. Zubkov, *Semi-invariants of quivers as determinants*, Transform. Groups 6 (2001), no. 1, 9-24.
- [9] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux, with applications to representation theory and geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 35, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [10] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory; the first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 129, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [11] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster Algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 497-529.
- [12] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster Algebras II: Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), 63-121.
- [13] K. Igusa, K. Orr, G. Todorov, and J. Weyman, *Cluster complexes via semi-invariants*, Compos. Math. 145 (2009), no. 4, 1001-1034.
- [14] A. A. Lopatin, *Invariants of quivers under the action of classical groups*, J. Algebra 321 (2009), no. 4, 1079-1106.
- [15] A. A. Lopatin, A. N. Zubkov, *Semi-invariants of mixed representations of quivers*, Transform. Groups 12 (2007), no. 2, 341-369.
- [16] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second edition, with contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [17] P. Magyar, J. Weyman and A. Zelevinsky, *Multiple flag varieties of finite type*, Adv. Math. 141 (1999), no. 1, 97-118.
- [18] C. Procesi, *Lie Groups. An Approach through Invariants and Representations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [19] C. M. Ringel, *Representations of K-species and bimodules*, J. Algebra 41 (1976), 269-302.
- [20] A. Schofield, *Semi-invariants of quivers*, J. London Math. Soc. (3) 65 (1992), 46-64.
- [21] M. Sato, T. Kimura, *A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector spaces and their relative invariants*, Nagoya J. Math 65 (1977), 1-155.
- [22] A. Skowronski, J. Weyman, *The algebras of semi-invariants of quivers*, Trans. Groups 5 (2000), no. 4, 361-402.