

Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs

G. Mancini* and K. Sandeep†

Abstract

We prove that a sharp Moser-Trudinger inequality holds true on a conformal disc if and only if the metric is bounded from above by the Poincaré metric. We also derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of a sharp Moser Trudinger inequality on a simply connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2

1 Introduction

In 1971 Moser, sharpening an inequality due to Trudinger, proved that

$$\sup_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx < +\infty \quad (1.1)$$

for every bounded open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (in [18]). This inequality is sharp, in the sense that the 'critical' constant 4π cannot be improved. Referred as 'Moser-Trudinger inequality', (1.1) also implies the estimate

$$\ln \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} e^u \leq C + \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad (1.2)$$

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi "Roma Tre", Largo S. Leonardo Murialdo, 1 - 00146 Roma, Italy. E-mail mancini@mat.uniroma3.it.

†TIFR Centre for Applicable Mathematics, Sharadanagar, Chikkabommasandra, Bangalore 560 065. E-mail sandeep@math.tifrbng.res.in

for some universal constant $C > 0$ and any bounded domain Ω and, again, the constant $\frac{1}{16\pi}$ is sharp (see [16]).

Inequality (1.1) has been extended to any 2-d compact Riemannian manifold with or without boundary (see [5], [12]) or even to some subriemannian manifolds (see [7] and references therein). However, little is known in case Ω is a non compact 2-d Riemannian manifold, even in the simplest cases $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\Omega| = \infty$ (see [1], [21]) or $\Omega = \mathcal{H}^2$, the 2-d hyperbolic space.

We address here the case of conformal discs, i.e. $\Omega = D$, the unit open disc in \mathbb{R}^2 , endowed with a conformal metric $g = \rho g_e$, where g_e denotes the euclidean metric and $\rho \in C^2(D)$, $\rho > 0$. Denoted by $dV_g = \rho dx$ the volume form, by conformal invariance of the Dirichlet integral (1.1) takes the form

$$\sup_{u \in C_0^\infty(D), \int_D |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1} \int_D \left(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1 \right) dV_g < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

A relevant case is the hyperbolic metric $g_h := \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^2 g_e$. We will show that (1.3) holds true in this case. Actually, we have the following

Theorem 1.1. *Given a conformal metric g on the disc, (1.3) holds true if and only if $g \leq c g_h$ for some positive constant c .*

After a personal communication, in [3] the inequality (1.3) with $g = g_h$ found an application in the study of blow up analysis and eventually a different proof of (1.3) when $g = g_h$.

As for (1.1) in case $|\Omega| = +\infty$, the supremum therein will be in general infinite. To have it finite, an obvious necessary condition is that

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \int_\Omega |u|^2 = 1 \right\} > 0$$

As a partial converse, it was shown by D.M. Cao [9] that $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$ implies subcritical exponential integrability, i.e. for every $\alpha < 4\pi$ it results

$$\sup_{u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1} \int_\Omega \left(e^{\alpha u^2} - 1 \right) dx < \infty \quad (1.4)$$

(see also [19] and [1], for a scale invariant version of Trudinger inequality which implies (1.4)). However, *no information is provided for the critical case* $\alpha = 4\pi$. We will show that $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$ is, on simply connected domains, also sufficient for (1.1) to hold true. To state our result, let

$$\omega(\Omega) := \sup\{r > 0 : \exists D_r(x) \subset \Omega\}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a simply connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then*

$$(1.1) \text{ holds true} \iff \lambda_1(\Omega) > 0 \iff \omega(\Omega) < +\infty$$

Remark 1. *The topological assumption on Ω cannot be dropped: in Appendix we exhibit domains Ω with $\omega(\Omega) < +\infty$ and $\lambda_1(\Omega) = 0$, for which, henceforth, (1.1) fails. However, we suspect that $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$ is sufficient to insure (1.1).*

2 Proof of the main results and asymptotics for L^p Sobolev inequalities

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us write $g := \rho g_e = \zeta g_h$ which $\zeta := \rho^{\frac{(1-|x|^2)^2}{4}}$. We first prove that if there is $x_n \in D$ such that $\zeta(x_n) \rightarrow_n +\infty$, then there are $u_n \in H_0^1(D)$ with $\int_D |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq 1$ such that $\int_D \left(e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1 \right) \zeta dV_h \rightarrow_n +\infty$. To this extent, let φ_n be a conformal diffeomorphism of the disc such that $\varphi_n(0) = x_n$. Then

$$\exists \epsilon_n > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad |x| \leq \epsilon_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \zeta(\varphi_n(x)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \zeta(\varphi_n(0)) \rightarrow_n +\infty$$

Let now $v_n(x) = v_n(|x|)$ be the Moser function defined as

$$v_n(r) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \left[\left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{[0, \epsilon_n)} + \left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{1}{r} \chi_{[\epsilon_n, 1]} \right]$$

Notice that $\int_D |\nabla v_n|^2 = 1$. Let $u_n := v_n \circ \varphi_n^{-1}$. Then, by conformal invariance and because φ_n are hyperbolic isometries, $\int_D |\nabla u_n|^2 = 1$ and

$$\int_D \left(e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1 \right) \zeta dV_h = \int_D \left(e^{4\pi v_n^2} - 1 \right) \zeta \circ \varphi_n dV_h$$

$$\geq \frac{\zeta(x_n)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_n^2} - 1 \right) \int_{|x| \leq \epsilon_n} dV_h = \frac{\zeta(x_n)}{2} \frac{1 - \epsilon_n^2}{\epsilon_n^2} \frac{4\pi\epsilon_n^2}{1 - \epsilon_n^2} \rightarrow_{\tau_n} +\infty$$

Hence a bound for g in terms of g_h is necessary for (1.1) to hold true.

We now prove that boundedness of ζ is also sufficient for (1.1) to hold true.

Under this assumption, (1.1) reduces to

$$\sup_{u \in C_0^\infty(D), \int_D |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1} \int_D \left(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1 \right) dV_h < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

Let u^* be the symmetric decreasing hyperbolic rearrangement of u , i.e.

$$\mu_h(\{u^* > t\}) = \mu_h(\{u > t\})$$

By the properties of the rearrangement (see [6]), it is enough to prove (2.1) for u radially symmetric. For u radial, inequality (2.1) rewrites, in hyperbolic polar coordinates $|x| = \tanh \frac{t}{2}$, as

$$\sup_{2\pi \int_0^\infty |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \leq 1} \int_0^\infty \left(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1 \right) \sinh t \, dt < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

To prove (2.2), observe first that from $\int_D |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1$ it follows, for $t < \tau$,

$$|u(\tau) - u(t)| = \left| \int_t^\tau u'(s) \, ds \right| \leq \left(\int_t^\infty |u'|^2 \sinh s \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{\sinh s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \sinh t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and since $\int_D u^2 dV_h = 2\pi \int_0^\infty u^2 \sinh t \, dt < +\infty$ implies $\liminf_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} u(\tau) = 0$, we get

$$\int_D |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |u(t)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \sinh t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall t \quad (2.3)$$

Now, let $2\pi \sinh T > 1$ so that $\int_D |\nabla u|^2 \leq 1$ implies $u(T) < 1$, and set

$$\begin{aligned} v &:= u - u(T), \quad w := \sqrt{1 + u^2(T)} v \quad \text{so that} \quad w(T) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\pi \int_0^T |w'|^2 t \, dt \\ &\leq [1 + u^2(T)] 2\pi \int_0^T |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \leq \left[1 + \left(\int_T^\infty |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \right) \left(\int_T^\infty \frac{dt}{\sinh t} \right) \right] \times \\ &\left[1 - \int_T^\infty 2\pi |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \right] \leq \left[1 + \left(\int_T^\infty |u'|^2 \frac{\sinh t}{\sinh T} \, dt \right) \right] \times \left[1 - \int_T^\infty 2\pi |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left[1 + 2\pi \int_T^\infty |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \right] \times \left[1 - 2\pi \int_T^\infty |u'|^2 \sinh t \, dt \right] \leq 1.$$

Now, an application of (1.1) gives $2\pi \int_0^T e^{4\pi w^2} t \, dt \leq cT^2$ and, since $u^2 = v^2 + 2vu(T) + u^2(T) \leq v^2 + v^2 u(T)^2 + 1 + u^2(T) \leq w^2 + 2$ implies $2\pi \int_0^T e^{4\pi u^2} \sinh t \, dt \leq 2e^{8\pi} \pi \frac{\sinh T}{T} \int_0^T e^{4\pi w^2} t \, dt \leq c(T)T^2$, we get

$$2\pi \int_0^T [e^{4\pi u^2} - 1] \sinh t \, dt \leq c(T) \quad (2.4)$$

for some constant $c(T)$ which does not depend on u .

Now, using (2.3) and Hardy inequality $\int_D |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_D |u|^2 dV_h$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^\infty [e^{4\pi u^2} - 1] \sinh t \, dt &\leq \left[2 \int_D |u|^2 dV_h + \sum_2^\infty \frac{(4\pi)^p}{p!} \int_T^\infty |u|^{2p} \sinh t \, dt \right] \leq \\ &\left[8 \int_D |\nabla u|^2 dV_h + \sum_2^\infty \frac{(4\pi)^p}{p!} \int_T^\infty \left[\frac{1}{2\pi \sinh t} \right]^p \sinh t \, dt \right] \left[8 + \sum_2^\infty \frac{2^p}{p!} \int_T^\infty \frac{dt}{(\sinh t)^{p-1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From $\int_T^\infty \frac{dt}{(\sinh t)^{p-1}} = \int_T^\infty \left[\frac{2}{e^t - e^{-t}} \right]^{p-1} dt = 2^{p-1} \int_T^\infty \frac{e^{-(p-1)t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{p-1}} dt \leq \left[\frac{2}{1 - e^{-2T}} \right]^{p-1} \frac{e^{-(p-1)T}}{p-1}$
 $= \frac{1}{p-1} \left[\frac{1}{\sinh T} \right]^{p-1} \leq \left[\frac{1}{\sinh T} \right]^{p-1}$ if $p \geq 2$ and the above inequality we get

$$2\pi \int_T^\infty [e^{4\pi u^2} - 1] \sinh t \, dt \leq 2\pi \left[8 + \sinh T e^{\frac{2}{\sinh T}} \right] = c(T) \quad (2.5)$$

Inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) give (2.2) and hence (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1.1) implies $4\pi \int_\Omega \left(\frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|} \right)^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega \left(e^{4\pi \left(\frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|} \right)^2} - 1 \right) dx \leq c(\Omega)$ and hence $\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq \frac{4\pi}{c(\Omega)}$. In turn, this clearly implies $\omega(\Omega) < +\infty$.

To complete the proof, it remains to show that if Ω is simply connected then $\omega(\Omega) < +\infty$ implies (1.1). Let $\varphi : D \rightarrow \Omega$ be a conformal diffeomorphism, so that (1.1) rewrites as (1.3) where $g := \varphi^* g_e = |\det J_\varphi| g_e$. Let us show that

$$\omega(\Omega) < R \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\det J_\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{16R^2}{(1 - |x|^2)^2} \quad (2.6)$$

so that Theorem 1.1 applies to give the conclusion. Now, (2.6) follows from Koebe's covering Theorem (see [15]): *if $\psi : D \rightarrow \Omega$ is a conformal diffeomorphism and $z \notin \psi(D)$ for some $z \in D_r(\psi(0))$, then $|\psi'(0)| \leq 4r$.* In

fact, given $w \in D$, let $\varphi_w(z) := \varphi(w + (1 - |w|)z)$, $z \in D$. By assumption, $\varphi_w(D) = \varphi(D_{1-|w|}(w))$ cannot cover the disc $D_R(\varphi(w))$, and hence $|\det J_\varphi(w)|^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - |w|) = |\varphi'(w)(1 - |w|)| = |\varphi'_w(0)| \leq 4R$.

We end this Section deriving, from Moser-Trudinger inequalities, an asymptotic formula for best constants in L^p Sobolev inequalities on 2-d Riemannian manifolds (M, g) (see [20], [2], for smooth bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^2). For notational convenience, we say that (M, g) is an MT-manifold if

$$\sup_{u \in C_0^\infty(M), \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \leq 1} \int_M \left(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1 \right) dV_g < \infty \quad (2.7)$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let (M, g) be an MT-manifold. Then*

$$S_p = S_p(M, g) := \inf_{u \in C_0^\infty(M), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g}{\left(\int_M |u|^p dV_g \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}} = \frac{8\pi e + o(1)}{p} \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Let us prove first

$$\liminf_p pS_p \geq 8\pi e \quad (2.9)$$

By assumption, there is $C > 0$ such that, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, it results

$$\int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C \geq \int_M (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dV_g \geq \frac{(4\pi)^p}{p!} \int_M |u|^{2p} dV_g$$

and hence

$$\left(\int_M |u|^{2p} dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq \frac{C^{\frac{1}{2p}} (p!)^{\frac{1}{2p}}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(D)$$

If $n \leq p \leq n+1$, let $\alpha = \frac{n(n+1-p)}{p}$ and get, by interpolation,

$$\|u\|_{2p} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} C^{\frac{\alpha}{2n}} (n)!^{\frac{\alpha}{2n}} \times C^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2(n+1)}} (n+1)!^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2(n+1)}} \|\nabla_g u\| \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$S_{2p} \geq \frac{4\pi}{C^{\frac{1}{p}} (n!)^{\frac{1}{p}} (n+1)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{n+1}}} \geq \frac{4\pi}{C^{\frac{1}{p}} (n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} (n+1)^{1-\frac{n}{p}}}. \quad \text{By Stirling's formula we obtain}$$

$$2pS_{2p} \geq \frac{8p\pi e}{C^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{(1+o(1))\sqrt{2\pi n}} \frac{1}{n} (n+1)^{1-\frac{p}{2}}} \geq \frac{8\pi e}{1+o(1)} \quad \text{and hence (2.9).}$$

To prove the reverse inequality, we use again the Moser function. For fixed $R > 0$ and $0 < l < R$, define $M_l(x) = M_l(|x|)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 as follows:

$$M_l(r) = \sqrt{\log\left(\frac{R}{l}\right)} \left[\chi_{[0,l)} + \frac{\log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{R}{l}\right)} \chi_{[l,R)} \right], \quad r \geq 0$$

Let $q \in M$ and choose $R > 0$ strictly less than the injectivity radius of M at q and define $u_l(z) := M_l(\text{Exp}_q^{-1}(z))$ where Exp_q is the exponential map at q . Note that u_l is well defined and in $H^1(M)$. Now calculating in normal coordinates we get

$$\int_M |\nabla_g u_l|^2 dV_g = \int_{B(0,R)} g^{i,j}(x) (M_l)_{x_i} (M_l)_{x_j} \sqrt{g(x)} dx$$

Since the metric is smooth and $g_{i,j}(0) = \delta_{i,j}$ we get $g^{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} + O(|x|)$ and $\sqrt{g(x)} = 1 + O(|x|)$. Using this we get

$$\int_M |\nabla_g u_l|^2 dV_g = 2\pi + O(1) \left(\log \frac{R}{l}\right)^{-1}$$

Similarly

$$\int_M |u_l|^p dV_g \geq \int_{B(0,l)} |M_l(x)|^p \sqrt{g(x)} dx = C \left(\log \frac{R}{l}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} l^2$$

for some $C > 0$. Taking $\log \frac{R}{l} = \frac{p}{4}$ and sending p to infinity, we get

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} pS_p \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_M |\nabla_g u_l|^2 dV_g}{\left(\int_M |u_l|^p dV_g\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}} \leq 8\pi e$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *If $g \leq cg_h$ then $S_p(D, g) = \frac{8\pi e + o(1)}{p}$*

Remark 2. *Let $p \in [1, 2)$ and $u_p = (1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then $u_p \in H_0^1(D)$ and $\int_D |u_p|^p dV_h = \int_D |e^u - 1| dV_h = +\infty$. In particular, $S_p = 0$ for $p \in [1, 2)$.*

Let us now derive from Proposition 2.1 an inequality analogous to (1.2).

Corollary 2.2. *Let (M, g) be an MT-manifold. Then, chosen $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there is a constant $C(\delta) > 0$ such that, for every $u \in H_0^1(M)$, it results*

$$\ln \int_M [e^u - 1]^2 dV_g \leq \ln \int_M [e^{2u} - 2u - 1] dV_g \leq C(\delta) + \frac{1}{4\delta\pi} \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. After fixing $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we get, by Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M [e^u - u - 1] dV_g &= \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \int_M u^p dV_g \leq \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p!}} \left[\frac{\|\nabla_g u\|^2}{8\pi\delta} \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\frac{8\pi\delta}{S_p} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p!}} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \left(\frac{\|\nabla_g u\|^2}{8\pi\delta} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left[\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \left(\frac{8\pi\delta}{S_p} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Stirling's formula and (2.9) $\limsup_p \frac{1}{(p!)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{8\pi\delta}{S_p} \leq \delta < 1$ we

conclude, also using the inequality $(e^t - 1)^2 \leq e^{2t} - 2t - 1$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, that

$$\int_M [e^u - 1]^2 dV_g \leq \int_M [e^{2u} - 2u - 1] dV_g \leq c(\delta) \left(e^{\frac{\|\nabla_g u\|^2}{2\pi\delta}} - \frac{\|\nabla_g u\|^2}{2\pi\delta} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.11)$$

□

Remark 3. *We believe that (2.10) holds with $\delta = 1$ (and $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ is optimal). Actually, as it is clear from the proof, subcritical exponential integrability (1.4) is enough to get (2.10). In particular, (2.10) holds true if $M = \Omega$, a smooth open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$.*

3 Application to a geometric PDE

Here we apply Moser-Trudinger inequality to the following problem.

Let Ω be a smooth open set in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $K \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Is it K the Gauss curvature of a conformal metric $g = \rho g_e$ in Ω ?

It is known that solving this problem amounts to solve the equation

$$\Delta v + K e^{2v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.1)$$

In fact, if $v \in C^2(\Omega)$ solves (3.1) then $e^{2v}g_e$ is a conformal metric having K as Gauss curvature. Equation (3.1) is not solvable in general, e.g. if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, $K \leq 0$ and $K(x) \leq -|x|^{-2}$ near ∞ (a result due to Sattinger, see [10] or [13]). In [13] it is also noticed, as a Corollary of a general result, that if Ω is bounded and $K \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p > 2$, then (3.1) is solvable. We prove

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, g) be an MT-manifold. Let $K_i \in L^2(M)$. Then equation*

$$\Delta_g v + K_1 + K_2 e^{2v} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

has a solution in $H_0^1(M) + \mathbb{R}$

Remark 4. *In view of Remark 3, Theorem 3.1 applies to any smooth open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for which $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$.*

When Ω is the unite disc, sharp existence/nonexistence results for (3.1) have been obtained by Kalka and Yang [14] in the case of *nonpositive* K . The following result is a restatement of Theorem 3.1 in [14]:

Theorem 3.2. *(Kalka and Yang) Let $K \in C(D)$, $K < 0$ in D . Assume*

$$\exists \alpha > 1, C > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad K \geq - \frac{C}{(1 - |x|^2)^2 |\log(1 - |x|^2)|^\alpha}$$

Then equation (3.1) has a C^2 solution. If

$$K \leq - \frac{C}{(1 - |x|^2)^2 |\log(1 - |x|^2)|} \quad \text{for } |x| \text{ close to } 1$$

then (3.1) has no C^2 solution in D .

Existence is proved by monotone iteration techniques. We present here a variational existence result *without sign assumptions on K* .

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\int_D K^2(1 - |x|^2)^2 dx < +\infty$. Then equation (3.1) has a solution in $H_0^1(D) + \mathbb{R}$.*

Remark 5. *This result is far from being sharp. For instance, if one takes $K_\alpha = -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^{2-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, (3.1) has the solution $v_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{2} \log \frac{2}{1-|x|^2}$, so that K_α is the curvature of $g_\alpha = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^\alpha g_e$. So, negative α give examples of positive curvatures K_α with arbitrary blow up.*

Proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 rely on inequality (2.11). We state below some consequences of (2.11) that we need.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, g) be an MT manifold. Let $K \in L^2(\mu_g)$. Then $I_K(v) := \int_M K[e^v - 1]dV_g$ is uniformly continuous on bounded sets of $H_0^1(M)$. Furthermore, $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_0^1(M)$ implies $I_K(v_n) \rightarrow I_K(v)$.*

Proof. Let $\|\nabla_g u\| + \|\nabla_g v\| \leq R$. Writing $e^t - e^s = (e^{t-s} - 1)(e^s - 1) + (e^{t-s} - 1)$, we see, using the inequality $(e^t - 1)^2 \leq |e^{2t} - 1| \forall t$ and (2.11), that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_K(u) - I_K(v)| &\leq \left(\int_M K^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\int_M |e^u - e^v|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\ c(K) &\left[\left(\int_M |e^{2v} - 1|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \times \left(\int_M |e^{2(u-v)} - 1|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \left(\int_M |e^{u-v} - 1|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \leq \\ &c(K, R, \delta) \left(e^{\frac{2\|\nabla_g(u-v)\|^2}{\pi\delta}} - \frac{2\|\nabla(u-v)\|^2}{\pi\delta} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \leq C(K, R, \delta) \|\nabla_g(u-v)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Next, assume $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H_0^1(M)$ and a.e. From $\sup_n \int_M |\nabla_g v_n|^2 < \infty$ and Lemma 2.2 we get $\sup_n \int_M (e^{v_n} - 1)^2 dV_g < +\infty$ and hence Vitali's convergence theorem applies to get $\int_A K(e^{v_n} - 1)dV_g \rightarrow_n \int_A K(e^v - 1)dV_g$. \square

We state without proof the following property

Corollary 3.4. *Let (M, g) be an MT manifold. Let $I(v) := \int_M [e^v - 1]^2 dV_g$, $J(v) := \int_M [e^v - v - 1]dV_g$. Then $I, J \in Lip_{loc}(H_0^1(M))$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let $O := \{v \in H_0^1(M) : \int_M K_2(e^{2v} - 1)dV_g > 0\}$. By Lemma 3.1, O is open. Let

$$E_K(v) = \int_M |\nabla_g v|^2 dV_g - 2 \int_M K_1 v dV_g - \log \int_M K_2(e^{2v} - 1)dV_g \quad v \in O$$

Since (2.7) implies $\lambda_1(g) := S_2(M, g) > 0$, we get from Corollary 2.2 and the assumption on K_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_K(v) &\geq \int_M |\nabla_g v|^2 dV_g - \\ &- \left[\frac{2}{\lambda_1(M)} \left(\int_M K_1^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g v|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c(K_2) + \frac{1}{2} \log \int_M (e^{2v} - 1)^2 dV_g \right] \geq \\ &\geq \left(\int_M |\nabla v|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi\delta} \right) \left(\int_M |\nabla v|^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - c(K_1, M) \right] - c(K_2, \delta) \end{aligned}$$

for every $v \in O$. Thus E_K is bounded below and coercive on O . Hence, if $v_n \in O$, $E_K(v_n) \rightarrow \inf_O E_K$, we can assume v_n converges weakly to some v . By Lemma 3.1 and boundedness of $E_K(v_n)$ we infer that $v \in O$ and $E_K(v) = \inf_O E_K$. Since O is open, we see that

$$\int_M [\nabla_g v \nabla_g \varphi - K_1 \varphi] dV_g - \frac{\int_M K_2 e^{2v} \varphi dV_g}{\int_M K_2 (e^{2v} - 1) dV_g} = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$$

and hence $v - \frac{1}{2} \log \int_M K_2 (e^{2v} - 1) dV_g$ solves (3.1).

Proof of Theorem 3.3 It goes like above, with the obvious modification

$$\begin{aligned} E_K(v) &:= \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \log \int_D K (e^{2v} - 1) dx \geq \\ &\int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \log \left(\int_D K^2 (1 - |x|^2)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_D \frac{(e^{2v} - 1)^2}{(1 - |x|^2)^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \\ &\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi\delta} \right) \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - c(K, \delta) \quad \forall v \in O \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6. In [22] a similar result is proven, but under the stronger assumption $|K(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1-|x|)^\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

The result in Theorem 3.3, when applied to negative K , is weaker than the one in Kalka-Yang. But, even more, the solutions we find don't address the

main point in [14], which is to find *complete metrics* of prescribed (nonpositive) Gaussian curvature on noncompact Riemannian surfaces: a solutions of (3.1) has to blow to $+\infty$ along ∂D to give rise to a complete metric, and this is not the case for the solutions obtained in Theorem 3.3. A first step in this direction is to build solutions of (3.1) with prescribed boundary values. Since without sign assumptions on K one cannot expect K to be the curvature of a complete metric g (e.g., if $K \geq 0$ around ∂D , then K cannot be the curvature of a complete conformal metric on the disc (see [14])) we restrict our attention to $K < 0$. Assuming again $\int_D K^2(1 - |x|^2)^2 dx < +\infty$, we see that the strictly convex functional

$$J_K(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_D K(e^{2v} - 1) dx \quad v \in H_0^1(D)$$

is well defined, uniformly continuous and weakly lower semicontinuous by Lemma 3.1. Furthermore, by Hardy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} J_K(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_D K v dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_D K(e^{2v} - 2v - 1) dx \geq \\ &\frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_D K^2(1 - |x|^2)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(D) \end{aligned}$$

Thus J_K achieves its global minimum, which is the unique $H_0^1(D)$ solution of (3.1). The same arguments, applied to $K_\Phi = Ke^{2\Phi}$, where Φ is the harmonic extension of some boundary data φ , lead to the following

Theorem 3.5. *Let $K \leq 0$ and $\int_D K^2(1 - |x|^2)^2 dx < +\infty$.*

Given a smooth boundary data φ , (3.1) has a unique solution which takes the boundary data φ and which writes as $u = v + \Phi$, $v \in H_0^1(D)$.

In particular, K is the curvature of the conformal metric $g = e^{2(v+\Phi)}g_e$.

To get a *complete* conformal metric with curvature K , one can build, following [17], a sequence u_n of solutions of (3.1) taking $\varphi \equiv n$ and try to show that it converges to a solution u of (3.1) such that $u(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ suitably fast as $|x| \rightarrow 1$. We don't pursue the details.

A more natural approach to find a complete conformal metric with curvature K , is to look for a *bounded* C^2 solution of the equation

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}u + 1 + Ke^{2u} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the hyperbolic laplacian (notice that solutions u of (3.3) and v of (3.1) are simply related: $v - u = \log \frac{2}{1-|x|^2}$). We recall the following pioneering result ([4], see also [8])

Theorem 3.6. (*Aviles-McOwen*) *Let $K \in C^\infty(D)$, $K \leq 0$ in D and such that $-\frac{1}{c} \leq K \leq -c$ in $\{c \leq |x| < 1\}$ for some $c \in (0, 1)$. Then there is a unique metric conformal and uniformly equivalent to the hyperbolic metric having K as its Gaussian curvature.*

We end this section with a result which might provide complete conformal metrics with prescribed nonpositive gaussian curvature. Given a conformal metric g on the disc, let us denote by K_g its curvature. Given K , $e^{2u}g$ is a conformal metric with curvature K if $u \in C^2(D)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_g u - K_g + Ke^{2u} = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

If, in addition, u is bounded, then $e^{2u}g$ is quasi isometric to g . In this case, if g is complete then $e^{2u}g$ is complete as well.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $g \leq cg_h$ be a conformal metric. Let $K = K_g + H$ be nonpositive in D .*

Assume $H \in L^2(D, \mu_g)$. Then (3.4) has a solution in H_0^1 .

Proof. Solutions for (3.4) can be obtained as critical points of the functional

$$J_K(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_D H v dV_g - \frac{1}{2} \int_D K(e^{2v} - 2v - 1) dV_g \quad v \in H_0^1(D)$$

The assumption on g implies $\lambda_1(g) := S_2(D, g) > 0$ and hence

$$J_K(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1(g)}} \left(\int_D H^2 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(D)$$

Thus J_K is a (possibly infinite somewhere) convex coercive functional in $H_0^1(D)$. By Fatou's Lemma it is also weakly lower semicontinuous, and hence it achieves its infimum at some \underline{v} .

Notice that $J_K(\underline{v} + t\varphi) < +\infty$ for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ because

$$\int_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} (-K)(e^{2(\underline{v}+t\varphi)} - 2(\underline{v}+t\varphi) - 1)dV_g \leq \sup_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} (-K) \int_D (e^{2\underline{v}} - 2\underline{v} - 1)dV_g < +\infty$$

by Trudinger exponential integrability. Hence

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} J_K(\underline{v} + t\varphi)|_{t=0} = \int_D \nabla_g \underline{v} \nabla_g \varphi - (K - K_g)\varphi - K(e^{2\underline{v}}\varphi - \varphi)dV_g$$

i.e. \underline{v} solves (3.4). □

Remark 7. *In particular, following [4], one can take $K = f + H$, $f \in L^2(D, \mu_h)$ and $H \leq 0$ bounded and bounded away from zero around ∂D .*

Remark 8. *The above result slightly improves a result by D.M. Duc [11], where, in addition, conditions are given to insure the metric is complete.*

4 Appendix

We present an example of a domain for which $\omega(\Omega) < +\infty$ and $\lambda_1(\Omega) = 0$. Let

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{n,m} \quad D_{n,m} = D_{r_{n,m}}(n, m) \quad \log \frac{1}{r_{n,m}} = 2^{|n|+|m|}$$

We are going to exhibit a sequence $u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\sup_k \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 < \infty \quad \int_{\Omega} u_k^2 \rightarrow_k +\infty$$

Let $\psi_k \in C_0^\infty(D_{3k}, [0, 1])$, $\psi_k \equiv 1$ in D_k , be radial with $|\nabla \psi_k| \leq \frac{1}{k}$, so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi_k|^2 \leq 8\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_k|^2 \geq \pi k^2$$

Let $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = 2(1 - \frac{\log|x|}{\log\epsilon})$ in $A_\epsilon := \{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}\}$ and $\varphi_\epsilon \equiv 0$ in $|x| \leq \epsilon$, so that

$$\int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}} |\nabla \varphi_\epsilon|^2 \leq -\frac{4\pi}{\log\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}} |\varphi_\epsilon|^2 \leq \epsilon\pi$$

Finally, let $\varphi = \varphi_{r_{n,m}}(x - (n, m))$ in $D_{\sqrt{r_{n,m}}}(n, m)$, $\varphi = 1$ elsewhere, and let

$$u_k(x) = \min\{\varphi(x), \psi_k(x)\}$$

so that $u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 \leq 8\pi + 4\pi \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{2^{|n|+|m|}} \leq 44\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_k|^2 \geq \pi k^2 - \pi \sum_{n,m} r_{n,m}$$

References

- [1] S. Adachi and K. Tanaka, *Inequalities in \mathbb{R}^N and their best exponents*, Proc. A.M.S., Vol. 128, n.o 7 (2000) 2051-2057
- [2] Adimurthi and M.Grossi, *Asymptotic estimates for a two-dimensional problem with polinomial nonlinearity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132, n. 4, 1013-1019
- [3] Adimurthi and Tintarev, *On a version of Trudinger Moser Inequality with Mobius shift invariance*, Preprint, 2009.
- [4] P.Aviles, R. McOwen, *Conformal deformations of complete manifolds with negative curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 21 (1985), 269-281
- [5] W. Beckner, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of math. 138 (1993), 213-242
- [6] Baernstein, Albert, II *A unified approach to symmetrization*, Partial differential equations of elliptic type (Cortona, 1992), 47-91, Sympos. Math., XXXV, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [7] T.P.Branson, L.Fontana and C.Morpurgo, *Moser-Trudinger and Beckner-Onofri's inequalities on the CR sphere*, arXiv:0712.3905v2 [math.AP] 21 May 2008

- [8] J. Bland-M. Kalka, *Complete metrics conformal to the hyperbolic disc* Proc. AMS, 97 (1986) 128-132.
- [9] D.M. Cao, *Nontrivial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponent in \mathbb{R}^2* , Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 17 (1992) 407-435)
- [10] K.S. Cheng and C.S. Lin, *Conformal metrics with prescribed nonpositive Gaussian curvature on \mathbb{R}^2* , Cal. Var., II, 203-231 (2000)
- [11] D.M. Duc *Complete metrics with nonpositive curvature on the disc*, Proc. AMS, 113, n. 1, 1991
- [12] L. Fontana *Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helvetici 68 (1993) 415-454
- [13] J. Kazhdan and Warner *Curvature functions for open 2-manifolds*, Ann. of Math., Vol. 99, n. 2 (1974) 203-219
- [14] M. Kalka, D. Yang, *On conformal deformation of nonpositive curvature on noncompact surfaces*, Duke Math. J., 72, no. 2, 405-430 (1993)
- [15] G.M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of functions of one complex variable* Translations of Math. Monographs, Vol. 26, AMS, 1969
- [16] B. Kawohl, M. Lucia *Best constants in some exponential sobolev inequalities*, Indiana Univ. Math. Journ., vol 57, 4, (2008) 1907-1927.
- [17] C. Loewner and L. Nirenberg, *Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations*, in: L. Ahlfors, et al., (Eds.), Contributions to Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1974, pp. 245-272.
- [18] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N.Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1970/71), 1077-1092
- [19] T. Ogawa, *A proof of Trudinger's inequality and its application to nonlinear Schrodinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 14 (1990), 765-769
- [20] X. Ren, J. Wei *On a two dimensional elliptic problem with large exponent in nonlinearity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 343 (1994), 749-763

- [21] B. Ruf, *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in \mathbb{R}^2* , J. Funct. Anal. 219 (2005), no. 2, 340–367.
- [22] Sanxing Wu and Hongying Liu, *On the elliptic equation $\Delta u + K(x)e^{2u} = 0$ on B^2* , Proceedings of the AMS, 132, 10, pages 3083–3088, 2004.