Factorization and entanglement in general XYZ spin arrays in non-uniform transverse fields

R. Rossignoli, N. Canosa, J.M. Matera

Departamento de Física-IFLP, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, C.C. 67, La Plata (1900) Argentina

We determine the conditions for the existence of a pair of degenerate parity breaking separable eigenstates in general arrays of arbitrary spins connected through XYZ couplings of arbitrary range and placed in a transverse field, not necessarily uniform. Sufficient conditions under which they are ground states are also provided. It is then shown that in finite chains, the associated definite parity states, which represent the actual ground state in the immediate vicinity of separability, can exhibit entanglement between any two spins regardless of the coupling range or separation, with the reduced state of any two subsystems equivalent to that of a pair of entangled qubits. The corresponding concurrences are exactly determined. The same properties persist in the mixture of both definite parity states. These effects become more relevant as the XXZ limit is approached. The possibility of field induced alternating separable solutions with controllable entanglement limits is also discussed.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 75.10.Jm

Quantum entanglement constitutes one of the most fundamental, complex and counter-intuitive aspects of quantum mechanics. It is an essential resource in quantum information theory [1], playing a key role in quantum teleportation [2] and computation [1, 3, 4]. It also provides a rigorous characterization of quantum correlations in many-body systems [5]. In particular, a great effort has been devoted in recent years to analyze entanglement and its connection with critical phenomena in spin chains [5, 6, 7, 8]. Studies of *finite* chains, of most interest for quantum information applications, are presently also motivated by the possibility of their controllable simulation through quantum devices [9, 10].

A remarkable feature of interacting spin chains is the possibility of exhibiting exactly *separable* ground states (GS) for special values of the external magnetic field, first discovered in [11, 12] in a 1D XYZ chain with first neighbor coupling. It was recently investigated in more general arrays under uniform fields [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20], with a completely general method for determining separability introduced in [18]. Even more remarkable is the fact that in the immediate vicinity of these separability points (SP) the entanglement between two spins can reach *infinite range* [15, 17]. In [17] we have shown that the SP in finite cyclic spin 1/2 arrays corresponds actually to a GS transition between opposite parity states (the last level crossing for increasing field), with the entanglement between any two spins reaching there finite side limits irrespective of the coupling range. In a small chain, this SP plays then the role of a "quantum critical point". In contrast, the entanglement range remains typically finite and low at the conventional phase transition [6].

Is it possible to generalize previous results to XYZ arrays of *arbitrary* spins and geometry in a *general* transverse field? Moreover, can the exact limits of the entanglement between *any* two subsystems (including those for the block entropy and those for any two spins or group of spins) be analytically determined for *any* spin value at the SP? Here we will provide the positive answer to

both questions. A non-uniform field will be shown in addition to allow exact separability with infinite entanglement range in its vicinity in quite diverse systems (such as open or non-uniform chains), including the possibility of *field induced alternating solutions* along separability curves with *controllable* entanglement side limits.

We consider n spins s_i (which can be regarded as qudits of dimension $d_i = 2s_i + 1 \ge 2$) not necessarily equal, interacting through XYZ couplings of arbitrary range in the presence of a transverse external field b^i , not necessarily uniform. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \sum_{i} b^{i} s^{z}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (v^{ij}_{x} s^{x}_{i} s^{x}_{j} + v^{ij}_{y} s^{y}_{i} s^{y}_{j} + v^{ij}_{z} s^{z}_{i} s^{z}_{j}), \quad (1)$$

and commutes with the global S_z parity or phase-flip $P_z = \exp[i\pi \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i^z + s_i)] \forall b^i, v_{\mu}^{ij}$ or s_i . Self-energy terms (i = j), non-trivial for $s_i \geq 1$, are for instance present in recent coupled cavity based simulations of arbitrary spin XXZ models [10] and will be allowed if $s_i \geq 1$.

We now seek the conditions for which such system will possess a *separable parity breaking eigenstate* of the form

$$|\Theta\rangle = \otimes_{i=1}^{n} \exp[i\theta_i s_i^y]|0_i\rangle \tag{2}$$

$$= \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{2s_i} \sqrt{\binom{2s_i}{k}} \cos^{2s_i - k} \frac{\theta_i}{2} \sin^k \frac{\theta_i}{2} |k_i\rangle \right], \quad (3)$$

where $s_i^z |k_i\rangle = (k - s_i)|k_i\rangle$ and $e^{i\theta_i s_i^y} |0_i\rangle$ is a rotated minimum spin state (coherent state [21]). The choice of y as rotation axis does not pose a loss of generality as any state $e^{i\phi_i \cdot s_i} |0_i\rangle$ corresponds to a suitable complex θ_i in (2) [22]. Replacing s_i^{μ} in (1) by $e^{-i\theta_i s_i^y} s_i^{\mu} e^{i\theta_i s_i^y}$, i.e., $s_i^{z,x} \to s_i^{z,x} \cos \theta_i \pm s_i^{x,z} \sin \theta_i$, $s_i^y \to s_i^y$, the equation $H|\Theta\rangle = E_{\Theta}|\Theta\rangle$, i.e., $H_{\Theta}|0\rangle = E_{\Theta}|0\rangle$ with $|0\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} |0_i\rangle$ and $H_{\Theta} = e^{-i\sum_i \theta_i s_i^y} H e^{i\sum_i \theta_i s_i^y}$, leads to the equations

$$v_y^{ij} = v_x^{ij} \cos \theta_i \cos \theta_j + v_z^{ij} \sin \theta_i \sin \theta_j , \qquad (4)$$

$$b^{i}\sin\theta_{i} = \sum_{j} (s_{j} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}) (v_{x}^{ij}\cos\theta_{i}\sin\theta_{j} - v_{z}^{ij}\sin\theta_{i}\cos\theta_{j})$$

which determine, for instance, the values of v_y^{ij} and b^i in terms of v_x^{ij} , v_z^{ij} , s_i and θ_i . The energy is then given by

$$E_{\Theta} = -\sum_{i} s_{i} [b^{i} \cos \theta_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} (s_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}) (v_{x}^{ij} \sin \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{j} + v_{z}^{ij} \cos \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{j}) + \frac{1}{4} (v_{x}^{ii} + v_{y}^{ii} + v_{z}^{ii})].$$
(6)

For a 1*D* spin *s* cyclic chain with first neighbor couplings $(v_{\mu}^{ij} = v_{\mu}\delta_{i,j\pm 1})$ in a uniform field $(b^i = b)$ we recover the original GS separability conditions of ref. [12] for both the ferromagnetic $(v_{\mu} \ge 0, \theta_i = \theta)$ and antiferromagnetic $(v_{\mu} \le 0, \theta_i = (-1)^i \theta)$ cases. Eqs. (4)–(6) are however completely general and actually hold also for *complex* values of θ_i, v_{μ}^{ij} and b^i : If satisfied $\forall i, j, H$ will have a separable eigenstate (2) with eigenvalue (6). If $\sin \theta_i \neq 0$ for some *i*, this eigenvalue is *degenerate*: $|\Theta\rangle$ will break parity symmetry and therefore, the partner state

$$|-\Theta\rangle = P_z|\Theta\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \exp[-i\theta_i s_i^y]|0_i\rangle, \qquad (7)$$

will be an exact eigenstate of H as well, with the same energy (6). The points in parameter space where the states $|\pm\Theta\rangle$ become exact eigenstates correspond necessarily to the crossing of at least two opposite parity levels.

For real θ , Eq. (5) is just the stationary condition for the energy (6) at fixed b^i , v^{ij}_{μ} . The state (2) can thus be regarded as a mean field trial state, with Eq. (5) the associated self-consistent equation. Eq. (4), which is spin independent (at fixed v^{ij}_{μ}), ensures that it becomes an exact eigenstate by canceling the residual one and twosite matrix elements connecting $|\Theta\rangle$ with the remaining states. Moreover, if $\theta_i \in (0, \pi) \forall i$ and

$$|v_y^{ij}| \le v_x^{ij} \quad \forall \, i, j \,, \tag{8}$$

we can ensure that $\[mu]{\pm}\Theta\]$ will be ground states of H: In the standard basis formed by the states $\{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} |k_i\rangle\}$, the terms in H depending on $\{s_i^z\}$ are diagonal whereas the rest lead to real non-positive off-diagonal matrix elements, as $\sum_{\mu=x,y} v_{\mu}^{ij} s_i^{\mu} s_j^{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=\pm} v_{\nu}^{ij} (s_i^{+} s_j^{-\nu} + s_i^{-} s_j^{\nu})$, where $s_j^{\pm} = s_j^x \pm i s_j^y$ and $v_{\pm}^{ij} = \frac{1}{4} (v_x^{ij} \pm v_y^{ij}) \ge 0$ by Eq. (8). Hence, $\langle H \rangle$ can be minimized by a state with all coefficients real and of the same sign in this basis (different signs will not decrease $\langle H \rangle$), which then, cannot be orthogonal to $|\Theta\rangle$ (Eq. (3)). With suitable phases for θ_i , $|\pm\Theta\rangle$ can also be GS in other cases: A π rotation around the z axis at site i leads to $\theta_i \to -\theta_i$ and $v_{\mu}^{ij} \to -v_{\mu}^{ij}$ for $i \neq j$ and $\mu = x, y$.

Definite parity eigenstates of H in the subspace generated by the states $|\pm\Theta\rangle$ can be constructed as

$$|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle = \frac{|\Theta\rangle \pm |-\Theta\rangle}{\sqrt{2(1\pm O_{\Theta})}},\tag{9}$$

$$O_{\Theta} \equiv \langle -\Theta | \Theta \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \cos^{2s_i} \theta_i,$$
 (10)

which satisfy $P_z |\Theta^{\pm}\rangle = \pm |\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$, $\langle \Theta^{\nu} |\Theta^{\nu'}\rangle = \delta^{\nu\nu'}$. Here we have set θ_i real $\forall i$, since by local rotations around the z axis we can always choose y_i in the direction of ϕ_i (and hence θ_i real) in the final state $|\Theta\rangle$. Moreover, we may also set $|\theta_i| \leq \pi/2$ (and hence $O_{\Theta} \geq 0$) since a local rotation of π around the x axis leads to $\theta_i \to \pi - \theta_i$. The overlap (10) will play an important role in the following.

When the degeneracy at the SP is indeed 2, the states (9) (rather than (2)) are the actual side limits at the SP of the corresponding non-degenerate (and hence definite parity) exact eigenstates of H. For small variations δb_i , the degeneracy will be broken if $O_{\Theta} \neq 0$, with an energy gap given by $\Delta E \approx \sum_i \delta b_i \Delta M_i$, where

$$\Delta M_i \equiv \langle \Theta^- | s_i^z | \Theta^- \rangle - \langle \Theta^+ | s_i^z | \Theta^+ \rangle = \frac{2s_i \sin^2 \theta_i O_\Theta}{\cos \theta_i (1 - O_\Theta^2)}$$

(In contrast, $\langle \pm \Theta | s_i^z | \pm \Theta \rangle = -s_i \cos \theta_i$). When $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$ are GS, a GS parity transition $|\Theta^{-}\rangle \rightarrow |\Theta^{+}\rangle$, characterized by a magnetization step $\Delta M = \sum_i \Delta M_i$, will then take place at the SP if all or some of the fields are increased across the factorizing values (5). If ΔE or ΔM can be resolved or measured, the realization of the states (9) is then ensured. Their magnitude is governed by the overlap (10), appreciable in small systems (if $\theta_i \neq \pi/2$) as well as in finite systems with small angles $\theta_i^2 \approx \delta_i/n$, such that $O_{\Theta} \approx e^{-\sum_i s_i \delta_i/n}$. This implies (Eq. (4)) systems close to the XXZ limit $(v_y^{ij} = v_x^{ij})$. In this limit $(\theta_i \rightarrow 0)$, $\Delta M \rightarrow 1$, with $|\Theta^+\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle$ and $|\Theta^-\rangle \propto \sum_i \sqrt{s_i} \theta_i |1_i\rangle$ (weighted W-type state), where $|1_i\rangle \equiv \otimes_{j=1}^n |(\delta_{ji})_j\rangle$.

In contrast with $\models \Theta \rangle$, the states (9) are entangled. If $\sin \theta_i \neq 0 \forall i$ the Schmidt number for any global bipartition (A, \overline{A}) is 2 and the Schmidt decomposition is

$$\Theta^{\pm}\rangle = \sqrt{p_{A^{\pm}}^{\pm}} |\Theta_{A}^{\pm}\rangle |\Theta_{\bar{A}}^{\pm}\rangle + \sqrt{p_{A^{-}}^{\pm}} |\Theta_{A}^{-}\rangle |\Theta_{\bar{A}}^{\pm}\rangle, \quad (11)$$

$$p_{A^{\nu}}^{\pm} = \frac{(1+\nu O_A)(1\pm\nu O_{\bar{A}})}{2(1\pm O_{\Theta})}, \quad O_A = \langle -\Theta_A | \Theta_A \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $|\Theta_{\bar{A}}^{\pm}\rangle$, $|\Theta_{\bar{A}}^{\pm}\rangle$ denote the analogous normalized definite parity states for the subsystems A, \bar{A} , with $\nu = \pm$, $O_A O_{\bar{A}} = O_{\Theta}$ and $p_{A^+}^{\pm} + p_{A^-}^{\pm} = 1$. Hence, $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$ can be effectively considered as *two qubit states* with respect to any bipartition (A, \bar{A}) , with $|\Theta_{A}^{\pm}\rangle$, $|\Theta_{\bar{A}}^{\pm}\rangle$ representing the orthogonal states of each qubit. Accordingly, the reduced density matrix ρ_A^{\pm} of subsystem A in the state $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$ is

$$\rho_A^{\pm} = p_{A^+}^{\pm} |\Theta_A^+\rangle \langle \Theta_A^+| + p_{A^-}^{\pm} |\Theta_A^-\rangle \langle \Theta_A^-| \,. \tag{13}$$

The entanglement between A and its complement A can be measured through the global concurrence (square root of the tangle [23]) $C_{A\bar{A}} = \sqrt{2(1 - \operatorname{tr} \rho_A^2)}$, which for a rank 2 density is just an increasing function of the entropy $E_{A\bar{A}} = -\operatorname{tr} \rho_A \log_2 \rho_A$, with $C_{A\bar{A}} = E_{A\bar{A}} = 0$ (1) for a separable (Bell) state. In the states (11) we obtain

$$C_{A\bar{A}}^{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{(1 - O_A^2)(1 - O_{\bar{A}}^2)}}{1 \pm O_{\Theta}}.$$
 (14)

These values represent the side limits of $C_{A\bar{A}}$ at the SP. For $O_{\Theta} > 0$, $C^{-}_{A\bar{A}} > C^{+}_{A\bar{A}}$, with $C^{-}_{A\bar{A}} = 1$ if $O_{A} = O_{\bar{A}}$. Note that $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$ are simultaneous Bell states for (A, \bar{A}) only if $O_A = O_{\bar{A}} = 0$ (GHZ limit of $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$). Increasing overlaps will in general decrease the global entanglement.

At the SP, the entanglement entropy of a block of L spins in a 1D first neighbor spin 1/2 XY chain in a constant field was found in [24] to be $S_L = -\text{tr}\rho_L \ln \rho_L = \ln 2$ (i.e., $C_{L\bar{L}} = E_{L\bar{L}} = 1$) in the thermodynamic limit, in agreement with Eq. (14) for vanishing overlaps. Eq. (14) extends this result to general *finite* chains, leading to a smaller parity splitted value: For small O_A , $O_{\bar{A}}$, $C_{A\bar{A}}^{\pm} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}(O_A \pm O_{\bar{A}})^2$ and $S_L^{\pm} \approx \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}(O_L \pm O_{\bar{L}})^2$ (with $O_L = (\frac{v_y}{v_c})^{\frac{L}{2}}$ in the s = 1/2 XY chain).

Subsystem entanglement, on the other hand, is enabled by non-zero overlaps. A remarkable feature of the states (9) is that any two spins or disjoint subsystems B, C will also be entangled if the complementary overlap $O_{\overline{B+C}}$ is non-zero and $O_B^2 < 1$, $O_C^2 < 1$. Moreover, this entanglement can be characterized by the concurrence

$$C_{BC}^{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{(1 - O_B^2)(1 - O_C^2)}O_{\overline{B+C}}}{1 \pm O_{\Theta}}.$$
 (15)

Eq. (15) reduces to Eq. (14) for B = A, $C = \overline{A}$. For a pair of spins $i \neq j$, $O_B = \cos^{2s_i} \theta_i$, $O_C = \cos^{2s_j} \theta_j$ and the result of [17] is recovered if $s_i = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta_i = \theta \ \forall i$.

Proof: For A = B + C, we first note that if $O_{\bar{A}} = 0$, Eq. (13) becomes $\rho_A^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(|\Theta_A\rangle\langle\Theta_A| + |-\Theta_A\rangle\langle-\Theta_A|)$, i.e., ρ_A^{\pm} coincident and separable (convex combination of product densities [25]). Entanglement between B and C can then only arise if $O_{\overline{B+C}} \neq 0$. Next, using similar Schmidt decompositions (11) of the states $|\Theta_A^{\pm}\rangle$, Eq. (13) can also be considered as an effective two-qubit mixed state with respect to any bipartition (B, C) of A: Its support will lie in the subspace spanned by the four states $\{|\Theta_B^{\mu}\rangle|\Theta_C^{\nu'}\rangle, \nu, \nu' = \pm\}$, such that

$$\rho_{A}^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{A^{+}}^{\pm} q_{BC^{+}}^{\pm} & 0 & 0 & p_{A^{+}}^{\pm} \alpha_{BC}^{\pm} \\ 0 & p_{A^{-}}^{\pm} q_{BC^{+}}^{-} & p_{A^{-}}^{\pm} \alpha_{BC}^{-} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{A^{-}}^{\pm} \alpha_{BC}^{-} & p_{A^{-}}^{\pm} q_{BC^{-}}^{-} & 0 \\ p_{A^{+}}^{\pm} \alpha_{BC}^{\pm} & 0 & 0 & p_{A^{+}}^{\pm} q_{BC^{-}}^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $q_{BC^{\nu}}^{\pm} = \frac{(1+\nu O_B)(1\pm\nu O_C)}{2(1\pm O_B O_C)}$, $\alpha_{BC}^{\pm} = \sqrt{q_{BC^+}^{\pm}q_{BC^-}^{\pm}}$ and $q_{BC^+}^{\pm} + q_{BC^-}^{\pm} = 1$. ρ_A^{\pm} will be entangled if its partial transpose has a negative eigenvalue [26], a condition here equivalent to a positive effective *pairwise concurrence* [27] $C_{BC}^{\pm} = \text{Max}[C_+^{\pm}, C_-^{\pm}, 0]$, where $C_{\nu}^{\pm} = 2[p_{A\nu}^{\pm}\alpha_{BC}^{\nu} - p_{A-\nu}^{\pm}\alpha_{BC}^{-\nu}]$ represent parallel ($\nu = +$) or antiparallel ($\nu = -$) concurrences, i.e. driven by $|\Theta_A^+\rangle$ or $|\Theta_A^-\rangle$ in Eq. (13). This leads to Eq. (15), with C_{BC}^+ (C_{BC}^-) *parallel (antiparallel)*. Again, $C_{BC}^- > C_{BC}^+$ if $O_{\Theta} > 0$. The concurrences (15) fulfill the monogamy inequali-

The concurrences (15) fulfill the monogamy inequalities [28] $C_{B,C+D}^2 \ge C_{BC}^2 + C_{BD}^2$ for any three disjoint subsystems B, C, D. We actually obtain here

$$C_{BC}^2 + C_{BD}^2 = C_{B,C+D}^2 \left[1 - \frac{(1 - O_C^2)(1 - O_D^2)}{1 - O_C^2 O_D^2}\right].$$
 (16)

Let us remark that the subsystem entanglement persists, though attenuated, in the uniform mixture

$$\rho^{0} = \frac{1}{2} (|\Theta^{+}\rangle \langle \Theta^{+}| + |\Theta^{-}\rangle \langle \Theta^{-}|), \qquad (17)$$

which differs from $\frac{1}{2}(|\Theta\rangle\langle\Theta| + |-\Theta\rangle\langle-\Theta|)$ if $O_{\Theta} \neq 0$ and represents the $T \to 0^+$ limit of the thermal state $\rho \propto e^{-\beta H}$ at the SP when $|\pm\Theta\rangle$ are GS (and the GS degeneracy there is 2). Replacing $p_{A\nu}^{\pm}$ by $\frac{1}{2}(p_{A\nu}^{+} + p_{A\nu}^{-})$ in (13), we find now *antiparallel global and subsystem concurrences*, given for any disjoint subsystems B, C by

$$C_{BC}^{0} = \frac{1}{2}(C_{BC}^{-} - C_{BC}^{+}) = C_{BC}^{-}O_{\Theta}/(1 + O_{\Theta}), \quad (18)$$

i.e., half the parity splitting of C_{BC} . Eq. (18) remains valid for a global bipartition $(B = A, C = \overline{A})$.

The order of magnitude of the subsystem concurrence (15) is governed by the complementary overlap $O_{\overline{B+C}}$. For small subsystems (like a pair of spins) in a large system, C_{BC}^{\pm} will be appreciable just for sufficiently small angles in the complementary system, i.e., $\theta_i^2 \approx \delta_i/n$, such that $O_{\overline{B+C}} \approx e^{-\sum_{i \in A} s_i \delta_i/n}$ remains finite. This leads again to systems with small XY anisotropy.

Uniform Solution. Let us now examine the possibility of a common angle $\theta_i = \theta \forall i$. Eq. (4) leads then to

$$v_y^{ij} - v_z^{ij} = (v_x^{ij} - v_z^{ij})\cos^2\theta, \qquad (19)$$

implying a fixed ratio $\chi \equiv (v_y^{ij} - v_z^{ij})/(v_x^{ij} - v_z^{ij}) = \cos^2 \theta$ for all pairs with $v_x^{ij} \neq v_z^{ij}$, and an isotropic coupling $v_y^{ij} = v_x^{ij}$ if $v_x^{ij} = v_z^{ij}$. A subset of isotropic couplings will not spoil this eigenstate [29]. Eq. (5) implies then b^i arbitrary if $\theta = 0$ or $\pi (XXZ \operatorname{case} v_y^{ij} = v_x^{ij})$ or otherwise

$$b^{i} = \cos\theta \sum_{j} (v_{x}^{ij} - v_{z}^{ij})(s_{j} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}).$$
(20)

A general field allows then a uniform separable eigenstate (a global coherent state) in cyclic as well as open chains with arbitrary spins s_i in any dimension if (19) holds $\forall i, j$ (for instance, in an open 1D spin *s* chain with first neighbor couplings $v_{\mu}^{ij} = v_{\mu}\delta_{i,j\pm 1}$, Eq. (20) yields $b^i = b_s = 2s\sqrt{(v_y - v_z)(v_x - v_z)}$ at inner sites but $b^1 = b^n = \frac{1}{2}b_s$ at the borders). The energy (6) becomes

$$E_{\Theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} s_i [s_j (v_x^{ij} + v_y^{ij} - v_z^{ij}) + \delta_{ij} v_z^{ii}].$$
(21)

Eqs. (19)–(21) are actually valid for general complex θ , but real fields imply $\cos \theta$ real ($\chi \ge 0$). The case $\cos^2 \theta >$ 1 (imaginary θ) corresponds to a rotation around the xaxis but can be recast as a rotation around the y axis by a global rotation around the z axis. Hence, we may set $\cos^2 \theta \in [0, 1]$. $|\pm \Theta\rangle$ will then be GS if Eq. (8) holds.

The concurrence (15) becomes, setting $\cos^2 \theta = \chi$,

$$C_{BC}^{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{(1-\chi^{2S_B})(1-\chi^{2S_C})}\chi^{S-(S_B+S_C)}}{1\pm\chi^S}$$
(22)

where $S_B = \sum_{i \in B} s_i$ is the subsystem total spin and $S = \sum_i s_i$ the total spin. It is independent of separation and coupling range, depending solely on χ^S and the ratios S_B/S , S_C/S . If $\chi = 1 - \delta/S$, with $\delta > 0$ and finite, $\chi^S \approx e^{-\delta}$ remains finite for large S. Eq. (22) leads then

to $O(1/\sqrt{S})$ and O(1/S) global and subsystems concurrences for small S_A , S_B and S_C :

$$C_{A\bar{A}}^{\pm} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2S_A\delta}{S}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2\delta}}}{1 \pm e^{-\delta}}, C_{BC}^{\pm} \approx \frac{2\delta}{S} \frac{\sqrt{S_BS_C}e^{-\delta}}{1 \pm e^{-\delta}} (23)$$

On the other hand, for $S_A = \frac{1}{2}S$, $C_{A\bar{A}}^- = 1$ whereas $C_{A\bar{A}}^+ = \tanh \frac{1}{2}\delta$. Thus, while for large δ both $C_{A\bar{A}}^{\pm}$ rapidly approach 1 as S_A increases, for small δ (XXZ limit) this occurs just for $C_{A\bar{A}}^-$ and S_A close to S/2 (here $|\Theta^+\rangle \to |0\rangle$ but $|\Theta^-\rangle$ approaches the W-type state $\propto \sum_i \sqrt{s_i} |1_i\rangle$).

Alternating solution and controllable entanglement at the SP. Among other possibilities allowed by Eqs. (4)–(5), let us examine that of a *field induced two-angle solution* in a 1D chain (cyclic or open) of spin s with first neighbor XY couplings $(v_{\mu}^{ij} = \delta_{i,j\pm 1}v_{\mu}, \text{ with } v_z = 0)$. We assume $\chi = v_y/v_x \in [0, 1]$. A separable eigenstate with $\theta_{2i} = \theta_e$, $\theta_{2i-1} = \theta_o$ is feasible if there is an alternating field $b^{2i} =$ $b_e, b^{2i-1} = b_o$ in inner sites satisfying (Eqs. (4)–(5))

$$b_e b_o = (2s)^2 v_x v_y \,.$$
 (24)

This leads to a transverse *separability curve*. The ensuing angles satisfy $\cos \theta_o \cos \theta_e = v_y / v_x$ and are given by

$$\cos^2 \theta_{\sigma} = \frac{b_{\sigma}^2 + (2sv_y)^2}{b_{\sigma}^2 + (2sv_x)^2}, \ \sigma = o, e, \qquad (25)$$

being *field dependent*. For $b_e = b_o$ we recover the previous uniform solution. In an open chain we should just add, according to Eq. (5), the border corrections $b^1 = \frac{1}{2}b_o$,

- [1] M.A. Nielsen and I. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge Univ. Press (2000).
- C.H. Bennett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993); [2]Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 722 (1996).
- [3] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, Nature 404, 247 (2000).
- [4] R. Raussendorf and H.J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5188 (2001); R. Raussendorf, D.E. Browne and H.J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A 68, 022312 (2003).
- L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh and V. Vedral, Rev. [5] Mod. Phys. 80, 516 (2008).
- T.J. Osborne, M.A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A 66, 032110 [6](2002).
- A. Osterloh et al, Nature 416, 608 (2002).
- G. Vidal et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 227902 (2003). [8]
- M.J. Hartmann, F.G.S.L. Brandão and M.B. Plenio, [9] Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 160501 (2007).
- [10] J. Cho, D.G. Angelakis and S. Bose, Phys. Rev. A 78, 062338(2008).
- [11] J. Kurmann, H. Thomas and G. Müller, Physica A 112, 235(1982).
- [12] G. Müller, R.E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. B 32, 5845 (1985).
- [13] T. Roscilde et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 167203 (2004); **94** 147208 (2005).
- [14] S. Dusuel and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 71, 224420 (2005).
- [15] L. Amico et al, Phys. Rev. A 74, 022322 (2006); F. Baroni et al, J. Phys. A 40 9845 (2007).

 $b^n = \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma_n}$. The states $|\pm \Theta\rangle$ will then be GS setting $\theta_{o,e} > \tilde{0}$ when $v_x > 0$ and $\theta_o > 0$, $\theta_e < 0$ in the antiferromagnetic case $v_x < 0$ (for even *n* if chain is cyclic).

The definite parity states $|\Theta^{\pm}\rangle$ will again lead to infinite entanglement range, but with three different field dependent (and hence *controllable*) pairwise concurrences between any two spins (Eq. (15)): even-even, odd-odd and even-odd, satisfying $C_{oe}^{\pm} = \sqrt{C_{oo}^{\pm}C_{ee}^{\pm}}$, with $C_{oo}^{\pm} > C_{oe}^{\pm} > C_{ee}^{\pm}$ if $|b_o| < |b_e|$. Hence, C_{oo}^{\pm} can be made larger than C_{oe}^{\pm} despite the absence of odd-odd direct coupling.

In summary, we have determined the conditions for the existence of separable parity breaking (and locally coherent) eigenstates in XYZ arrays of arbitrary spins in general fields, and determined the complete entanglement properties of the associated definite parity states. The latter, which approach both GHZ and W-states in particular limits, exhibit infinite entanglement range when non-orthogonal, and can be seen as effective two qubit entangled mixed states for anybipartition. The same holds for their uniform mixture as well as for the reduced density of any two subsystems. These effects become relevant in finite arrays close to the XXZ limit, where the definite parity states can be detected through the energy gap or the magnetization step, and allow non-zero entanglement between any two subsystems in the vicinity of the SP. The possibility of field induced alternating separable solutions leading to controllable entanglement at these points has also been disclosed.

The authors acknowledge support from CIC (RR) and CONICET (NC, JMM) of Argentina.

- [16] S.M. Giampaolo and F. Illuminati, Phys. Rev. A 76, 042301 (2007); S.M. Giampaolo et al, Phys. Rev. A 77, 012319 (2008).
- [17] R. Rossignoli, N. Canosa, J.M. Matera, Phys. Rev. A 77, 052322 (2008).
- S.M. Giampaolo, G. Adesso, F.Illuminati, Phys. Rev. [18] Lett. 100, 197201 (2008); Phys. Rev. B 79 224434 (2009).
- [19] G.L. Giorgi, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 060405(R) (2009).
- [20] S.M.Giampaolo, G.Adesso, F.Illuminati, arXiv:0906.4451
- F. Arecchi et al, Phys. Rev. A 6, 2211 (1972). [21]
- [21] F. Arecchi et al, Fuys. Lett. G. 2211 (1012). [22] In terms of Euler angles, $e^{i\alpha s^{z}}e^{i\beta s^{y}}e^{i\gamma s^{z}}|0\rangle = ce^{i\theta s^{y}}|0\rangle$, with $\tan \frac{\theta}{2} = e^{i\alpha} \tan \frac{\beta}{2}$ and $c = e^{-is(\alpha+\gamma)} (\frac{\cos \beta/2}{\cos \theta/2})^{2s}$ (Eq. 3). ϕ can obviously be restricted the x, y plane.
- [23] P. Rungta, C.M. Caves, Phys. Rev. A 67, 012307 (2003).
- [24] F. Franchini et al, J. Phys. A 40, 8467 (2007).
- [25] R.F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4277 (1989).
- [26] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996).
- [27]S.Hill, W.K.Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 5022 (1997); W.K. Wootters, *ibid* 80, 2245 (1998).
- [28] V. Coffman, J. Kundu and W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 61, 052306 (2000); T.J. Osborne and F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 220503 (2006).
- [29] For a full isotropic coupling $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} v^{ij} s_i \cdot s_j$, θ remains arbitrary while Eq. (5) leads to $b^i = 0$ if $\sin \theta \neq 0$: At zero

field any global coherent state is here an exact eigenstate.