

Skin Friction in Simple Wall - Bounded Shear Flows in the Large Reynolds Number Limit.

Victor Yakhot*

*Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Boston University, Boston, MA 02215
(Dated: today)*

A global approach to analysis of fully developed turbulent flows in pipes/channels and zero pressure gradient boundary layers is proposed. A new dynamic definition of the boundary layer thickness $\delta(x)$, where x is the distance to the plate origin, is proposed. The Coles - Fernholtz empirical correlation for skin friction $\lambda = \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho U_0^2} \propto 1/\ln^2 \delta(x)$ and $\delta(x) \propto x/\ln^2(\frac{x}{x_0})$ are derived from the Navier-Stokes equations in the limit $Re_x \rightarrow \infty$. Here τ_w and U_0 are the wall shear stress and free stream velocity, respectively. The theory is formulated as an expansion in powers of a small dimensionless parameter $\frac{d\delta(x)}{dx} \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The law of variation of skin friction with Reynolds number in turbulent wall flows is one of the oldest riddles of physics of turbulence. In addition to the difficulties associated with a general problem of strong isotropic turbulence, the presence of solid walls is responsible for appearance of two different characteristic velocities. The so called friction velocity, reflecting properties of the near-wall sublayer, is defined as $u_*^2 = \nu |\frac{\partial U(y)}{\partial y}|_{wall}$, so that for the dimensionless distance to the wall $y_+ = \frac{yu_*}{\nu} = O(1)$, the ratio $U_+ = U/u_*$ is independent upon Reynolds number. In fully developed pipe/channel flows, the parameter u_* can be expressed in terms of a prescribed constant pressure gradient (or gravity) and the friction factor relates mean velocity to a driving force. In the vicinity of the centerline ($y/H \approx 1$), the velocity $U(y) \approx U_{cL}$ must be found as a solution to dynamic equations of motion. In the zero-pressure-gradient boundary layers with externally prescribed free stream velocity U_0 , this parameter is related to the shear stress at the plate and, in addition, to the boundary layer thickness $\delta(x)$, which in this case, depends upon distance to the origin x . It is the interplay of these two characteristic velocities which makes theoretical evaluation of velocity profiles $U(y)$ a very difficult problem.

Since the skin friction $\lambda = \frac{2\tau_w}{\rho U_0^2} \approx (\frac{u_*}{U})^2$, all previous calculations heavily relied on a detailed knowledge of the theoretically (and experimentally) uncertain function $U(y)$ needed for calculation of mean velocity \bar{U} . The analysis of pipe/channel flows is typically based on an assumed scaling relation for velocity represented in the "inner" and "outer" regions of the flow as $U(y) = u_* f(y_+)$ and $U(y) = U_{cL} - u_0 g(\frac{y}{H})$, respectively [1]. The parameters u_* and u_0 are corresponding characteristic velocities. Then, different matching conditions applied in the "overlap" region lead to different shapes of velocity pro-

file $U(y)$. To obtain the functional form of $U(y)$ from a systematic *local* theory, one must derive an expression for the distribution of the Reynolds stress $\tau_{x,y}(y)$ which is equivalent to solution of a proverbial "turbulence problem". Therefore, at the present time, scaling of skin friction with Reynolds number remains an unsolved problem. In a recent paper, assuming the logarithmic velocity profile across a zero-pressure-gradient boundary layer, Nagib et al [2] developed an asymptotic expansion, leading to the so called Coles-Fernholtz relation [3]:

$$\lambda \propto \frac{1}{\ln^2 Re_{\delta(x)}} \quad (1)$$

widely accepted as an accurate large Reynolds number asymptotics. While this work is based on a solid mathematical analysis, its starting point, logarithmic profile, is an assumption not following the Navier-Stokes equations. In the present paper we present a simple global approach, leading to the expression (1) for the skin friction and $\delta(x) \propto x/\ln^2 \frac{x}{x_0}$ for the thickness of zero-pressure-gradient boundary layers not relying upon any information about local features of wall flows. The theory is based on the following concept: Isotropic and homogeneous turbulence can be characterized by a *single dimensionless* parameter, called Reynolds number: $Re = u_{rms}L/\nu \rightarrow \infty$ where $u_{rms}^2 = \overline{u^2}$ and L is an integral scale at which energy is pumped into the system due to external forcing or large-scale instability. Various renormalization procedures based on perturbation expansions in powers of this ("bare") Reynolds number, led to effective or renormalized, viscosity $\nu_T \approx u_{rms}L$, widely used in engineering turbulence modeling. The main outcome of the method is a reformulated perturbation series in powers of "dressed" or renormalized Reynolds number $Re_T = u_{rms}L/\nu_T = O(1)$, for which no resummation method has been developed. It is the lack of a small parameter approaching zero in the limit $Re \rightarrow \infty$ which makes this problem so hard.

*Electronic address: vy@bu.edu

In this respect, the situation with wall flows is at least as difficult and evaluation of the energy spectrum and scaling exponents of structure functions is an unsolved problem. However, in this case, as $Re \rightarrow \infty$, the *global dimensionless parameters* $u_*/\bar{U} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{d\delta(x)}{dx} \rightarrow 0$ are small and can be used for construction of the well-behaved perturbation expansion leading to prediction of global properties of wall flows. This is the main goal of this paper.

Channel/Pipe flows. First, we consider a steady fully developed flow between two infinite plates separated by a gap $y_g = 2H$, so that $H \leq y \leq -H$. (The centerline is at $y = 0$). The flow is driven by the pressure gradient $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{p(x+L)-p(x)}{L} = \text{const}$. Using the Reynolds decomposition of velocity field $\mathbf{v} = U\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{u}$ where $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = U(y)\mathbf{i}$, the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible fluid ($\rho = \text{const}$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$) can be written as:

$$\partial_i(u_i \mathbf{u}) + U \partial_x \mathbf{u} + u_y \partial_y U \mathbf{i} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} + \mathbf{i} \nu \partial_y^2 U + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

and, since all derivatives $\partial_x \bar{\Psi} = \partial_z \bar{\Psi} = 0$, where $\bar{\Psi}$ is the mean value of an arbitrary flow property Ψ and z is a coordinate in the span-wise direction, we have:

$$\partial_x p / \rho - \partial_y \tau_{x,y} = \nu \partial_y^2 U \quad (3)$$

where the Reynolds stress $-\overline{u_x u_y} = \tau_{x,y}$. The relation (3) expresses the Reynolds stress in terms of an unknown velocity distribution $U(y)$. To close the problem, one has to write a differential equation for $\tau_{x,y}$, which involves a new unknown function, for which one has to derive another equation and so on *ad infinitum*. The procedure, leading to an infinite chain of partial differential equations, can easily be formally written down but is too hard to solve. Here we propose a global approach not relying upon information about local properties of the flow.

Integrating (3) in the interval $0 \leq y \leq H$ yields $H \partial_x p / \rho = -u_*^2 = \nu \partial U|_H$ and denoting $\tau_{xy} \equiv \tau$ and the centerline velocity $U_{cL} \equiv U(y=0)$, we derive:

$$U(y) - U_{cL} = -\frac{u_*^2 H}{2\nu} \frac{y^2}{H^2} - \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \tau(y') dy$$

Finally, introducing dimensionless parameters $Z = y/H = y_+/R_*$, $y_+ = y u_* / \nu$, $U_+ = U/u_*$, $R_* = u_* H / \nu$ and $\tau_{x,y} = u_*^2 \tau_+$, the equation valid for both laminar and turbulent flows is readily derived:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U} - U_{cL} &= -\frac{u_*^2 H}{6\nu} - \frac{1}{\nu H} \int_0^H dy \int_0^y \tau(y') dy' \\ &= -\frac{u_*}{6} R_* - u_* R_* \int_0^1 dZ \int_0^Z \tau_+(Z') dZ' \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

To evaluate the integral (4) we need an expression for $\tau_+(y)$, which, at this time, is impossible to derive without generating an infinite chain of partial differential equations. Instead, let us define a thickness of sublayer $y = y_{sL}$, which combined with the expression (4), gives an exact magnitude of a global property $\bar{U} - U_{cL}$. In other words, the integral in the right side of (4) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U} - U_{cL} &= -\frac{u_*}{6} R_* + u_* R_* \int_0^{1-\frac{y_{sL}^+}{R_*}} dZ \int_0^Z Z' dZ' - I \\ &\approx -\frac{u_* y_{sL}^+}{2} - I \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$I = u_* R_* \int_{1-\frac{y_{sL}^+}{R_*}}^1 dZ \int_0^Z \tau_+(Z') dZ' = u_* y_{sL}^+ \langle \tau_+ \rangle$$

and $\langle \tau_+ \rangle < 0$ denoting the mean value of dimensionless Reynolds stress in the sublayer $R_* - y_{sL}^+ \leq y_+ \leq R_*$. Thus,

$$\bar{U} - U_{cL} = -\alpha u_* + O(1/R_*) \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha = y_{sL}^+ (\frac{1}{2} + \langle \tau_+ \rangle)$. The formula (6) defines the Taylor expansion in powers of a small parameter u_*/\bar{U} . We can see that as $R_* \rightarrow \infty$, the dimensionless parameter $\psi = 1 - \frac{\bar{U}}{U_{cL}} \propto \frac{u_*}{U_{cL}} \rightarrow 0$ which reflects the fact that, with increase of the Reynolds number, the velocity profile $U(y)$ flattens. This small parameter is crucial for the theory developed below. Based on numerical and experimental data $y_{sL}^+ \approx 30$, and $|\langle \tau_+ \rangle| \approx 0.4 - 0.45$ gives $\alpha \approx 4$. (See, for example Ref. [4]).

The formally exact relation (6) has recently been verified by Zagarola et al [5] in experiments on the Princeton SuperPipe giving $\alpha \approx 4.3$ for $10^5 \leq Re_D \leq 10^7$. Similar result can be obtained by integrating the relation $U_{cL} - U(y) = u_* F(\frac{y}{R})$ [6] in the interval $0 \leq y \leq R$. This gives $U_{cL} - \bar{U} = \alpha u_*$ where $\alpha = \int_0^1 F(x) dx$. The shape of the function $F(x)$, consistent with logarithmic velocity distribution, was used by Prandtl who, based on experimental information, obtained $\alpha \approx 3.75$. The later, probably more accurate, measurements gave $\alpha \approx 4.0$ (see Ref. [7] and references therein).

It follows from (6), that the skin friction in the pipe flow is equal to: $\lambda = 8(\frac{u_*}{\bar{U}})^2 = \frac{8}{\alpha^2} (\frac{U_{cL}}{\bar{U}} - 1)^2$

The predictions from this relation with $\frac{8}{\alpha^2} \approx 0.42$, are very close to experimental data collected from a smooth pipe by McKeon et al and from honed and commercial rough pipes studied by Schockling et al and Langelansvik et al [8], respectively, which is close $\alpha \approx 4$. estimated above.

As $Re \rightarrow \infty$, the sublayer dominated by intermittent bursts of velocity derivatives, dissipation and production, can be considered as a low - Re turbulent flow with

the mean velocity $U(y_{sL}) \propto u_*$ and the y-component of the fluctuating velocity $w(y_{sL}) \propto u_*$. The kinetic energy generated in the sublayer ($0 \leq y_+ \leq 15 - 30$) is rapidly mixed and dissipated in the bulk. It is clear that in the large Reynolds number limit $y_{sL} \rightarrow 0$, the mean energy flux through the separating surface (x, y_{sL}, z) is $\rho K(y_{sL})w(y_{sL})LW$ and the energy balance can be written as :

$$\rho K(y_{sL})w(y_{sL})LW = O(u_*^3 LW) = \rho \bar{\mathcal{E}}LWH \quad (7)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{H} \int_0^H \mathcal{E}(y)dy = O(u_*^3/H)$.

Flat Plate Boundary Layer. We consider a flat plate $0 \leq x \leq \infty$ and $y = 0$. The freestream velocity of incoming flow is $\mathbf{U}_0 = U_0 \mathbf{i}$ and we are to analyze the Navier-Stokes -Prandtl equations in the boundary layer approximation:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\nu \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \tau_{ij}) \quad (9)$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$ we, assuming self-similarity of the velocity profile write: $U = U(\frac{y}{\delta(x)}) \equiv U(\eta)$, $V = V(\frac{y}{\delta(x)}) \equiv V(\eta)$ and $\tau_{x,y} = \tau_{x,y}(\frac{y}{\delta(x)}) \equiv \tau_{x,y}(\eta)$ where the defined below width of the boundary layer $\delta(x)$ must be found from equations of motion. The incompressibility constraint (8) gives:

$$V(x, y) = - \int_0^y \frac{\partial U(x, y')}{\partial x} dy' = \frac{\partial \delta(x)}{\partial x} \int_0^\eta \eta' \frac{dU(\eta')}{d\eta'} d\eta' \quad (10)$$

Integrating (9) over the interval $0 \leq y \leq \infty$, and introducing the ‘displacement thickness’ θ we, using (10), express the skin friction in terms of the boundary layer thickness δ :

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx} = \frac{\partial \delta(x)}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \frac{U(\eta)}{U_0} (1 - \frac{U(\eta)}{U_0}) d\eta = \frac{u_*^2}{U_0^2} \propto \lambda \quad (11)$$

where $u_*^2 = \nu \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}|_0$. Based on as yet unknown function $\delta(x)$, we define an averaged- over- the -boundary -layer property $\bar{\Psi} \approx \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \Psi(y)dy = \int_0^1 \Psi(\eta)d\eta$. Since at the edge of a boundary layer $y = \delta(x)$, the velocity is $U = U(\delta(x))$ and kinetic energy $K = K(\delta(x))$, the familiar integral balance equations must be somewhat modified. For example, integrating the differential energy balance equation:

$$U \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} = -\tau_{xy} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - \mathcal{E} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\nu \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} + Q)$$

in the interval $0 \leq y \leq \delta(x)$ and recalling that

$$\int_0^\delta V \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} dy = V(\delta)K(\delta) - \int_0^\delta K \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} dy$$

we, using an incompressibility constraint, derive:

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{d}{dx} K(x, y)U(x, y)dy + V(x, \delta)K(\delta) = - \int_0^\delta \tau_{xy} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} dy - \delta \bar{\mathcal{E}} + Q(\delta) \quad (12)$$

where $Q(\delta(x)) = \overline{w(\delta)u_*^2(\delta)}$ is small. In the limit $\delta \rightarrow \infty$, $V(x, \delta) \rightarrow 0$ and the relation (12) tends to a familiar energy balance (see for example Hinze [xx]). With $V(x, \delta(x)) = -\frac{d}{dx}(\delta \bar{U}) + \frac{d\delta}{dx}U(\delta)$, directly following from (10), the relation (12) takes a very simple form:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\delta \bar{K}U) - K(\delta) \frac{d}{dx}(\delta \bar{U}) = \int_0^\delta \tau_{xy} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} dy - \delta \bar{\mathcal{E}} + Q(\delta) \quad (13)$$

Now, based on the results of a previous section, we introduce a new definition of a boundary layer thickness:

$$U_0 - \bar{U} \approx \alpha u_*; \quad \bar{K} \approx \beta u_*^2; \quad K(\delta) \approx c u_*^2 \quad (14)$$

Since $U_0 > U(\delta) > \bar{U}$, then, according to proposition (14), $1 - \frac{U(\delta)}{U_0} = \frac{\bar{U}}{U_0} - \frac{U(\delta)}{U_0} + \alpha \frac{u_*}{U_0} > 0$. Therefore, $U(\delta) - \bar{U} = O(u_*)$, which crucially differs from a widely accepted ad hoc engineering definition of the boundary layer thickness $U(\delta) = 0.99U_0$ implying $\psi = 1 - \bar{U}/U_0 = O(1)$. Let us demonstrate that the ansatz (14), combined with the energy balance (13), leads the well-known empirical relation $\lambda \propto \frac{1}{\ln^2 Re_\delta}$. As follows from (14) and (11), $\bar{K}U \approx \beta u_*^2(U_0 - \alpha u_*)$ and:

$$\frac{d\delta}{dx} u_*^2 U_0 = O(\frac{u_*^4}{U_0}) \ll u_*^3; \quad \frac{d\delta}{dx} u_*^3 = O(\frac{u_*^5}{U_0^2}) \ll \frac{u_*^4}{U_0}; \quad (15)$$

It will become clear below that as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $\delta u_*^2 \frac{du_*}{dx} = O(u_*^5/U_0^2)$. Substituting the ansatz (14) into the energy balance (13) and accounting for the estimates (15) we, equating the terms of the same powers in u_* and neglecting the $O(u_*^5/U_0^2)$ contributions, derive $\beta = c$,

$$\int_0^\delta \tau_{xy} \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} dy = \delta \bar{\mathcal{E}} + Q(\delta) = O(u_*^3)$$

and

$$U_0 \delta \frac{du_*^2}{dx} = \alpha_1 u_*^3 \frac{d\delta}{dx} \quad (16)$$

where $\alpha_1 = a - \alpha < 0$ is an unknown parameter of this global approach which must be obtained from a full local theory. It will become clear below that the balance (13) is possible only if $\alpha_1 < 0$.

It is easy to see that the expression:

$$\lambda = 2\left(\frac{u_*}{U_0}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{\ln^2 \delta}; \quad \lambda \propto \frac{d\delta}{dx} \quad (17)$$

with $\kappa = 8/\alpha_1^2$ is a solution to (16). Indeed, integrating (16) and dividing the outcome by U_0^3 , we obtain:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{|\alpha_1|\sqrt{\kappa}}{4\sqrt{2}} \int \frac{d\lambda}{dx} dx = \frac{|\alpha_1|\sqrt{\kappa}}{4\sqrt{2}} \lambda$$

This result shows that the ansatz (14) with $\lambda \propto \frac{1}{\ln^2 \delta}$ is a solution to the Navier-Stokes-Prandtl equations of motion.

Setting for a time being all proportionality coefficients equal to unity, we introducing $\delta_0 = \frac{\nu}{U_0}$, $Re_\delta = \frac{U_0 \delta}{\nu}$ and $Re_x = \frac{U_0 x}{\nu}$ solve the the differential equations (17) with the result: $Re_\delta[(\ln Re_\delta)^2 - 2 \ln \frac{Re_\delta}{e}] = Re_x$ and, as $Re_\delta \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\delta(x) \rightarrow \frac{x}{\ln^2 \frac{x}{\delta_0}} \quad (18)$$

Summary and discussion. 1. In this paper, based on the Navier-Stokes equations, for a channel/pipe flow we derived the scaling relation (6), valid in pipe/channel flows the large-Reynolds number limit. Known for many years, this formula was previously obtained from analysis of experimental data or assumed scaling of velocity profile $U(y)$. While the linear scaling with u_* is an exact consequence of the Navier-Stokes equations, the magnitude of parameter $\alpha \approx 4$. was estimated in this work from the near - wall data on the Reynolds stress.

2. This result led to a new dynamic definition of the boundary layer thickness given by (14).

3. The expression (17) for friction factor (skin friction) was found as a solution to the Navier-Stokes-Prandtl equations.

4. These results are accurate up to the $O(u_*/U_0)$ -corrections.

I would like to thank S. Bailey and J. Schumacher for their comments and invaluable help in comparing the results of this paper with experimental and numerical data. All ideas involved in this work were discussed in long and interesting conversations with J. Schumacher, K.R. Sreenivasan, A. Smits, A. Polyakov, P. Monkiewicz and A. Yakhot.

-
- [1] Smits, A.J. & Marusic, I., 1999, *High Reynolds number flows: a challenge for experiment and simulation*, AIAA 99-3530.
- [2] Nagib, H.M., Chauhan, K.A., & Monkiewicz, P.A. 2007, *Asymptotic state for zero pressure gradient turbulent boundary layers*, Phil Trans. R. Soc. A **365**, 755-770.
- [3] Fernholtz, H.H & Finley, P.J., 1996, *The incompressible zero-pressure gradient turbulent boundary layer*, Prog. Aerosp. Sci. **32**, 245-211.
- [4] Boeck, T., Krasnov, D & Schumacher, J., 2009, *Statistics of velocity gradients in wall-bounded shear flow turbulence*, Physica D, submitted; Wu & Moin(2008)] em A direct numerical simulation study on the mean velocity characteristics in turbulent pipe flow, J. Fluid Mech. **608**, 81-112; Kim, J., Moin, P. and Moser, R., 1987 em Turbulence statistics in fully developed channel flow at low. Reynolds number, J. Fluid Mech., **177**, pp. 133-166;
- [5] Zagarola, M.V. & Smits, A.J. 1998, *Mean flow scaling of turbulent pipe flow.*, J. Fluid. Mech. **373**, 33-79.
- [6] Stanton, T.E. & Pannel, J.R. 1914, *Similarity of motion in relation of the surface friction of fluids*, Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc. **A214**, 199.
- [7] Schlichting, H. 1968, *Boundary-Layer Theory*, New York, NY, McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- [8] McKeon, B.J., Swanson, C.J., Zagarola M.V., Donnelly, R.J., & Smits.A.J. 2004, *Friction factors for smooth pipe flow*, J. Fluid Mech **511**, 41-44; McKeon, B.J., Zagarola, M., & Smits, A.J. 2005, *A new friction factor relationship for fully developed pipe flow*, J.Fluid Mech. **538** 429-443; Langelansvik, L. I., Kunkel, G.J. & Smits, A.J. 2008, *Flow in a commercial steel pipe*. J.Fluid Mech. **595**, 323-339; Shockling, M.A., , Allen, J.J., & Smits, A.J. 2005, *Roughness effects in turbulent pipe flow*, F.Fluid Mech **564**, 267-285.
- [9] Hinze, J.O., 1959, *Turbulence*, New York, NY, McGraw Hill Book Co.