

The Consequences of the Charge for the Mass of the Elementary Particles.

E.L. Koschmieder

Center for Statistical Mechanics
The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX 78712. USA
e-mail: koschmieder@utexas.edu

We study the consequences which the presence of an electric charge e^\pm in the pion π^\pm and the muon μ^\pm has for the rest mass of π^\pm and μ^\pm . The addition of the electric charges e^\pm to the massive neutral bodies of these particles does not increase the energy in the rest mass of the pion and muon, but rather decreases their energy by the binding energy of the electric charge to the neutral bodies of the pion and muon. The addition of a charge e^\pm to the neutral neutrino lattices of the pion or muon changes the simple cubic lattices of the neutral particles to face-centered cubic lattices of the charged particles, which is essential for the stability of the particles.

Key words: electron, muon, pion, particle masses, lattice theory.

Introduction

The electric charge e^\pm is bound to the neutral bodies which make up most of the pion and muon masses. The mass of the electron or positron is but a very small part of the mass of μ^\pm and π^\pm , $m(\mu^\pm) \cong 207m(e^\pm)$, $m(\pi^\pm) \cong 273m(e^\pm)$. It has to be explained how the electric charges e^\pm affect the mass of the pions and muons. We have described in [1] an explanation of the neutral bodies of the pions and muons as consisting of simple cubic lattices made up by muon neutrinos, anti-muon neutrinos, electron neutrinos and anti-electron neutrinos and their oscillations. In Section 11 in [1] we have also explained the rest mass of the electron or positron as consisting of an

electron neutrino or anti-electron neutrino lattice and of electric oscillations. The accurately known ratios $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ and $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ seem to be the most sensitive gauge for the influence of the charge on the mass of the particles. Any valid model of the elementary particles must, in the end, be able to determine the ratios of the particle masses to the electron mass. In particular the one hundred years old problem of why is the mass of the proton 1836 times larger than the mass of the electron has to be solved.

The ratios $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ and $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ were first discussed by Nambu [2] who noted that $m(\mu^\pm)$ is approximately $3/2\alpha_f \cdot m(e^\pm)$ and $m(\pi^\pm)$ is approximately $2/\alpha_f \cdot m(e^\pm)$, where $\alpha_f = e^2/\hbar c \cong 1/137$ is the fine structure constant. More accurate ratios $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ and $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ were later suggested by Barut [3]. We have shown in Section 12 of [1] that fairly accurate values of the ratios $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ and $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ can be obtained from our explanation of the masses of the muons, pions and electron. Even when the consequences of the charge of μ^\pm and π^\pm were neglected we arrived at values for the mass ratios which differed from the measured $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ by only 0.38% and from the measured $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ by 1.08%. We will now improve our calculations of the ratios $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ and $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ by taking also into account the consequences of the charge on the mass of the particles.

1 The charge in the π^\pm mesons

When a π^\pm meson is formed $N/4$ electron neutrinos ν_e or anti-electron neutrinos $\bar{\nu}_e$, as well as $N/4$ electric oscillations come, according to Eq.(85) in [1], with the electron or positron into the π^\pm lattice. $N/4$ electron neutrinos or anti-electron neutrinos make up $1/2$ of the mass of the electron. The $N/2$ electric oscillations or $N/4$ charge elements Q_k make up the other half of the mass of the electron, as the explanation of the electron, we have put forward in [1], demands. The number N of all neutrinos in the neutrino lattice of the π^\pm mesons without their charge is

$$N = 2.854 \cdot 10^9, \quad (1)$$

Eq.(17) in [1]. N is an absolute number, neutrinos and antineutrinos are counted equally.

The $N/4$ electron neutrinos ν_e originating from the electron cannot be part of the cubic $\nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu, \nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$ lattice of the π^\pm mesons, because the corners of the cubic cells are already filled with neutrinos. The additional electron- or anti-electron neutrinos must sit in the centers of the cell-sides. Likewise, the $N/4$ charge elements Q_k can only sit in the centers of the cell-sides, because the corners of the cubic cells of the neutrino lattice are filled with neutrinos. The cells would then be *face-centered cubic*, Fig. 1. It is fundamental for the stability of the π^\pm mesons that their lattice is face-centered. The lifetime of the π^\pm mesons is $2.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ sec, whereas the lifetime of the π^0 meson, which does not carry a charge, but has also a simple cubic lattice, is $8.4 \cdot 10^{-17}$ sec. Lattice theory finds that simple cubic lattices are not stable, whereas face-centered lattices are stable, which is reflected in the lifetime of the particles.




Fig.1: A face-centered cubic cell. The cells of a face-centered lattice can have, but do not have to have, a particle in the center of each side of the cells. The thin lines connecting the points at the centers of the cell-sides are only a visual aid.

The neutral cubic neutrino lattices of μ^\pm and π^\pm seem to exist only in conjunction with an electric charge e^\pm . As noted on p.18 of [1], a simple cubic lattice held together by a central force between the particles of the lattice is not stable according to Born [4]. A cubic lattice consisting only of neutrinos falls into this category. The charge e^\pm added to the neutral neutrino lattices of μ^\pm and π^\pm makes their neutrino lattices “stable”, because

the neutrino lattices are then no longer simple cubic, but face-centered cubic. *Face-centered cubic* lattices are stable according to Born [4].

The N/4 charge elements Q_k of a free charge e^\pm brought by e^\pm into the neutrino lattice of the pion must remain intact, because the sum of the charge elements is equal to the electric charge e^\pm , which must be conserved. Where then do the charge elements of e^\pm sit in the lattice of the π^\pm mesons? According to our explanation of the electron in [1] the charge elements of e^- , and the electron neutrinos ν_e in e^- , form a simple cubic lattice, Fig. 8 in [1]. If this structure is maintained when a charge e^\pm attaches to the neutral cubic lattice of the π^\pm meson, the charge elements should sit in the center of cell-sides which are perpendicular to the sides of the cells on which the additional electron- or anti-electron neutrinos sit. The charged cubic cells of the π^\pm lattice would then be face-centered, as on Fig. 1.

The N/4 neutrinos and the N/4 charge elements Q_k of the charge of π^\pm cannot oscillate with the frequency of the neutrinos in the cell corners. The oscillation energy of the π^\pm lattice would then be proportional to $6 \cdot N/4$, which is not possible, because the oscillation energy of the π^\pm mesons would then exceed by 50% the oscillation energy of the neutral neutrino lattice, which is proportional to $4 \cdot N/4$. The additional neutrinos and the charge elements in the centers of the cell-sides oscillate with the same frequencies they have in e^\pm , namely with a frequency proportional to $\alpha_f \nu_0$, as required by the oscillation frequencies in the electron or positron, given in Eq.(79) in [1]

$$E_\nu(e^\pm) = \frac{N h \nu_0 \cdot \alpha_f}{(e^{h\nu/kT} - 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi d\phi = N \cdot \frac{e^2}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{f(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi d\phi = 1/2 \cdot m(e^\pm) c^2. \quad (2)$$

In Eq.(2) h is Planck's constant, the frequency ν_0 is given by $\nu_0 = c/2\pi a$, where c is the velocity of light, a is the lattice constant, and $\phi = 2\pi a/\lambda$. The term e^2/a in the second part of Eq.(2) comes from the relation $h\nu_0\alpha_f = e^2/a$. There are N/2 electric oscillations in e^\pm or N/4 charge elements. Because the fine structure constant α_f is $\cong 1/137$, the oscillation energy in the electron adds little to the oscillation energy of the pions, $E_\nu(\pi^\pm)$.

As we have shown in [1] the ratio $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ is, not considering the

consequences of the charge on the mass of π^\pm , given by Eq.(103) therein

$$\frac{m(\pi^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(theor) = 2 \left[\frac{m(\nu_\mu)}{m(\nu_e)} + 1 \right] \cong \frac{2}{\alpha_f} + 2 = 276.072 = 1.0107 \frac{m(\pi^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(exp), \quad (3)$$

with

$$m(\nu_e) = \alpha_f \cdot m(\nu_\mu), \quad (4)$$

from Eq.(72) in [1]. The empirical formula of Nambu [2] for the ratio $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ is

$$\frac{m(\pi^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(emp) = \frac{2}{\alpha_f} - 1 = 273.072 = 0.99978 \frac{m(\pi^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(exp). \quad (5)$$

The experimental ratio for $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ is

$$m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)(exp) \cong 273.132, \quad (6)$$

with $m(\pi^\pm)c^2 = 139.570$ MeV and $m(e^\pm)c^2 = 0.5109989$ MeV.

The charge elements Q_k brought by e^\pm into the neutral neutrino lattice of the pions add to the oscillation energy in the pion lattice. The oscillation energy in the sum of the charge elements is equal to

$$\sum_k Q_k = 1/2 \cdot m(e^\pm)c^2 = N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2,$$

from Eq.(84) in [1]. On the other hand, there may be a binding energy when an electron or positron is brought into the neutrino lattice of the neutral pions. The oscillation energy of the neutrino lattice of the π^\pm mesons is, Eq.(34) of [1],

$$E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm) = N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]c^2, \quad (7)$$

the subscript 0 in π_0^\pm means that this deals with the pion without its charge, in other words with the neutrino lattice of π^\pm . The oscillation energy in the electron or positron is

$$E_\nu(e^\pm) = 1/2 \cdot m(e^\pm)c^2 = N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2 = N/4 \cdot m(\bar{\nu}_e)c^2, \quad (8)$$

from Eq.(84) in [1]. Using

$$m(\bar{\nu}_\mu) = m(\nu_\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad m(\bar{\nu}_e) = m(\nu_e), \quad (9)$$

from Eqs.(68,71) in [1], we arrive, from the sum of Eq.(7) and Eq.(8), at the oscillation energy in the *charged* pions

$$E_\nu(\pi^\pm) = N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]c^2 + N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2. \quad (10)$$

The sum of the masses of the $\nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu, \nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$ neutrinos in the lattice of the neutral pions is $\Sigma_i m(\text{neutrinos})(\pi_0^\pm) = N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]$, from Eq.(33) in [1]. Adding to that the $N/4 \nu_e$ or $\bar{\nu}_e$ neutrinos which come with the charge e^\pm into the lattice, we find the energy in the sum of the neutrino masses in the *charged* pions

$$\Sigma_i m(\text{neutrinos})(\pi^\pm)c^2 = [N/2 \cdot m(\nu_\mu) + N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)]c^2 + N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2. \quad (11)$$

Adding to the oscillation energy in the neutral neutrino lattice of the pion $E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm)$ (Eq.7) the energy in the sum of the masses of the neutrinos in the neutral pion lattice, $N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]c^2$, and the energy in the mass of e^\pm , which is $m(e^\pm)c^2 = N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2$, Eq.(86) in [1], we find for the total energy $m(\pi^\pm)c^2$ of the *charged* pions

$$\begin{aligned} m(\pi^\pm)c^2(\text{theor}) &= E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm) + \Sigma_i m(\text{neutrinos})(\pi_0^\pm)c^2 + m(e^\pm)c^2 \\ &= E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm) + N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]c^2 + N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2 \\ &= Nm(\nu_\mu)c^2 + 3N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

making use of $E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm) = \Sigma_i m(\text{neutrinos})(\pi_0^\pm)c^2$ in the neutrino lattice of the pion, and of $m(e^\pm)c^2 = N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2$.

After division by $m(e^\pm)c^2$ it follows from Eq.(12) that the ratio of the mass of the charged pions to the mass of the electron or positron is, using also Eq.(4),

$$\frac{m(\pi^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(\text{theor}) = 2\frac{m(\nu_\mu)}{m(\nu_e)} + 3 = \frac{2}{\alpha_f} + 3 = 277.072 = 1.0144 \frac{m(\pi^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(\text{exp}). \quad (13)$$

Nambu's empirical ratio $m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ is $2/\alpha_f - 1 = 273.072$, Eq.(5); the experimental mass ratio is 273.132, Eq.(6). The term $2/\alpha_f$ in Eq.(13) comes from the two neutrinos, a muon neutrino and an antimuon neutrino, which are in opposite corners of the cell-sides of the cubic neutrino lattice of π^\pm . The two $\nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu$ neutrinos make up nearly the entire mass in a cell-side. In

all cell-sides are then $N/2 \cdot \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ neutrinos. Since the oscillation energy is equal to the energy in the sum of the neutrino masses, the energy in the lattice is proportional to $N \cdot \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. This divided by $m(e^\pm) = N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)(\bar{\nu}_e)$ gives, with Eq.(4), the $2/\alpha_f$ term.

From the difference between the experimental mass of the pions and the theoretical mass of the charged pions follows the binding energy of the electric charge. *The binding energy of the electron or positron* to the neutrino lattice of the pions follows from the difference between Eq.(13) and Eq.(6) and is

$$\Delta E_b(\pi_0^\pm, e^\pm) = 3.94 m(e^\pm)c^2 \cong 4 m(e^\pm)c^2. \quad (14)$$

The binding energy can come only from the oscillation energy of the neutrinos in the corners of the cell-sides in π^\pm , because of conservation of neutrino numbers, and because the oscillation energy of the charge elements brought by e^\pm into π^\pm must be conserved, since the sum of the charge elements represents the charge of the electron. The introduction of a neutrino and a charge element into the center of the sides of the cells of the cubic neutrino lattice causes a reduction of the oscillation frequencies of the neutrinos in the corners of the cell-sides.

We now subtract the binding energy in Eq.(14), divided by the energy in the rest mass of the electron, that means $\Delta E_b(\pi_0^\pm, e^\pm)/m(e^\pm)c^2 = 4$, from Eq.(13) and find that the ratio of the mass of the charged pion to the mass of the electron is, after the binding energy is taken into account,

$$m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm)(theor) = 2/\alpha_f - 1, \quad (15)$$

the same as given by Nambu's formula (Eq.5). It also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m(\pi^\pm)c^2(theor) &= (2/\alpha_f - 1) \cdot m(e^\pm)c^2 = 139.5395 \text{ MeV} \\ &= 0.99978 m(\pi^\pm)c^2(exp), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

or that we have explained the mass of the charged pions with an accuracy of $2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

In simple terms, Eq.(103) in [1] gives the ratio of the mass of the neutrino lattice of the neutral pion to the mass of e^\pm

$$m(\pi_0^\pm)/m(e^\pm)(theor) \cong 2/\alpha_f + 2. \quad (17)$$

Adding to the right hand side of this equation $+ 1$ for the mass of the electron or positron added to the neutrino lattice of the neutral pions, and deducting 4 for the binding energy of e^\pm to the neutrino lattice of the neutral pions, gives Nambu's empirical formula $2/\alpha_f - 1$, Eq.(5), for the ratio of the mass of the charged pions to the mass of the electron or positron, as it must be.

To summarize: We have found that the electron neutrinos or anti-electron neutrinos brought by e^\pm into the neutral neutrino lattice of the pions must sit in the center of the sides, e.g. the front and rear sides, of the simple cubic cells in the neutral neutrino lattice of the pions, and that the charge elements sit in the centers of cell-sides which are perpendicular to the sides with the ν_e or $\bar{\nu}_e$ neutrinos coming from e^\pm . That means that the cells in the π^\pm lattice are face-centered cubic. And we have found that bringing e^\pm into the neutral neutrino lattice of the pions releases a binding energy which is $\approx 4m(e^\pm)c^2$, and that then the mass $m(\pi^\pm)$ has been explained with an accuracy of $2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

2 The charge in the μ^\pm muons

In [1] we have shown that the masses of the muons and pions can be explained, within 1% accuracy, by the sum of the masses of electron neutrinos and muon neutrinos and their antiparticles in simple cubic lattices, and by the mass in the energy of the oscillations of the neutrinos. Similarly the electron mass can be explained by the sum of the masses of electron neutrinos in a cubic lattice plus the mass in the sum of the energy of electric oscillations. The oscillation energy of the neutrinos in the neutral cubic lattice of the muons and pions is given by Eqs.(63) and (78) in [1]

$$E_\nu(\mu_0^\pm) = E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm) = \frac{Nh\nu_0}{(e^{h\nu/kT} - 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\phi)d\phi. \quad (18)$$

Although the oscillations of a neutrino lattice are neutral, we must retain the \pm superscript of μ and π in Eq.(18) because the neutrino lattices of e.g. μ^+ and μ^- are not the same, but they have the same oscillation energy.

In μ^\pm an electric charge e^\pm is bound to the massive neutral body of the muons, which is about $205.768 m(e^\pm)$. The body of the muons contains the

remains of the body of the pions from which the muons emerge in the decay $\pi^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm + \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. In a good approximation the body of the muons is 3/4 of the body of the pions, $m(\mu^\pm) = 1.00937 \cdot 3/4 \cdot m(\pi^\pm)$. Either all ν_μ or all $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ neutrinos leave in the π^\pm decay, the neutral body of the muons consists therefore of $3/4 \cdot N$ neutrinos. The composition of μ^\pm is given by Eq.(66) in [1]. This equation does not consider the consequences of the charge on the mass of μ^\pm , it reads

$$m(\mu_0^\pm) = E_\nu(\mu_0^\pm)/c^2 + N/4 \cdot m(\nu_\mu) + N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e) + N/4 \cdot m(\bar{\nu}_e), \quad (19)$$

or correspondingly with the antiparticles. $m(\nu_\mu) = 49.91$ milli-eV/ c^2 is the mass of the muon neutrino, and $m(\nu_e) = 0.365$ milli-eV/ c^2 is the mass of the electron neutrino, Eqs.(67,70) in [1], both can be exchanged by their antiparticles. Actually, conservation of neutrino numbers requires that $N/8$ muon neutrinos and $N/8$ anti-muon neutrinos leave the π^\pm lattice in the decay of π^\pm . Eq.(19) means that the rest mass of the muon $m(\mu^\pm)(\text{exp}) = 105.658$ MeV/ c^2 is equal to the oscillation energy $E_\nu(\mu^\pm)/c^2$ of the neutrinos in the lattice of the muons, plus the sum of the masses of the neutrinos in this lattice.

The oscillation energy in the neutral muon lattice is the same as the oscillation energy in the neutral pion lattice, as follows from the measured masses of the muons and pions. According to Eq.(63) in [1] we have

$$E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm) = E_\nu(\mu_0^\pm). \quad (20)$$

The formula for the oscillation energy of a neutral neutrino lattice, Eq.(18), applies to the neutrino lattice of the muons only if N neutrinos are in μ^\pm , not the $3/4 \cdot N$ neutrinos one expects after either $N/4$ muon neutrinos or $N/4$ anti-muon neutrinos have been removed from the lattice of the pions in the π^\pm decay $\pi^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm + \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. Applied to the *neutral* lattices of μ^\pm and π^\pm the difference in the number of the neutrinos is expressed by Eq.(64) in [1] which reads

$$m(\pi_0^\pm) - m(\mu_0^\pm) = N/4 \cdot m(\nu_\mu) = N/4 \cdot m(\bar{\nu}_\mu). \quad (21)$$

According to our explanation of the electron in Section 11 of [1] the charge e^- carries $N/4$ electron neutrinos and the positron carries carries $N/4$ anti-electron neutrinos. When a charge e^\pm is added to the neutral lattice of the

muon with $3/4 \cdot N$ neutrinos, the $N/4$ electron- or anti-electron neutrinos of e^\pm fill the lattice points made vacant by the emission of the muon- or antimuon neutrinos in the π^\pm decay. With the $N/4$ neutrinos coming from e^\pm there are then N neutrinos in the lattice of the charged muon, that means that the lattice is cubic, and Eq.(18) applies. It is likely that the $N/4$ charge elements brought also by e^\pm into the neutral, cubic neutrino lattice of the muon occupy the centers of the cell-sides. The charge elements sitting in the centers of the cell-sides of the π^\pm lattice do apparently not change their position during the conversion from the π^\pm lattice to the μ^\pm lattice in $\pi^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm + \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. The lattice of μ^\pm would then be face-centered cubic. We must now add the energy in the sum of the charge elements, which is according to Eq.(84) in [1] equal to $1/2 \cdot m(e^\pm)c^2$ or equal to $N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2$, and the energy in the $N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2$ neutrinos in the electron, to the energy in the muon, Eq.(19), in order to obtain the energy in the charged muon.

The oscillation energy of the N neutrinos in the lattice of the pions is given by Eq.(7). The oscillation energy $E_\nu(\pi_0^\pm)$ is equal to the energy in the sum of the neutrino masses in π^\pm , that means equal to $N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]c^2$, Eq.(7). The oscillation energy $E_\nu(\mu_0^\pm)$ of the neutrino lattice of the neutral muons is the same as in π^\pm according to Eq.(20). To $E_\nu(\mu_0^\pm)$ we now add the oscillation energy in the electron or positron, which is given by Eq.(8)

$$E_\nu(e^\pm) = 1/2 \cdot m(e^\pm)c^2 = N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2 = N/4 \cdot m(\bar{\nu}_e)c^2, \quad (22)$$

The oscillation energy in a free electron or positron must be preserved when they are transferred to the neutral neutrino lattice of μ^\pm , because the oscillation energy in the electron or positron represents the electric charge. The oscillation energy in the charged muons is then

$$E_\nu(\mu^\pm) = N/2 \cdot [m(\nu_\mu) + m(\nu_e)]c^2 + N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2, \quad (23)$$

or we have

$$E_\nu(\mu^\pm) = N/2 \cdot m(\nu_\mu)c^2 + 3/4 \cdot N m(\nu_e)c^2. \quad (24)$$

We must now add to the oscillation energy of the charged muons, Eq.(24), the energy in the sum of the masses of the neutrinos in the charged muon lattice. The sum of the neutrino masses in the charged lattice of the muons is equal to the sum of the neutrino masses in Eq.(19) plus $N/4 \cdot m(\nu_e)$ from the

charge. In other words, in μ^\pm are N/4 muon neutrinos ν_μ plus N/4 electron neutrinos ν_e and N/4 anti-electron neutrinos $\bar{\nu}_e$, which come from the π^\pm lattice, and N/4 electron- or anti-electron neutrinos which come from e^\pm . In total N neutrinos are in the charged cubic μ^\pm lattice. That means that

$$\Sigma_i m(\text{neutrinos})(\mu^\pm)c^2 = N/4 \cdot m(\nu_\mu)c^2 + 3/4 \cdot N m(\nu_e)c^2, \quad (25)$$

with

$$m(\bar{\nu}_\mu) = m(\nu_\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad m(\bar{\nu}_e) = m(\nu_e), \quad (26)$$

as in Eq.(9). Adding Eq.(25) and Eq.(24), we find, not considering a possible binding energy of the electron to the neutrino lattice of the muon, the energy in the *charged* muon

$$m(\mu^\pm)c^2(\text{theor}) = [3/4 \cdot N m(\nu_\mu) + 3/2 \cdot N m(\nu_e)]c^2 = 108.395 \text{ MeV}, \quad (27)$$

whereas $m(\mu^\pm)c^2(\text{exp}) = 105.6584 \text{ MeV}$.

We will now see where the discrepancy between Eq.(27) and the experimental value of $m(\mu^\pm)c^2$ comes from. For this we need the energy in the rest mass of e^\pm which is, in our model of the electron, according to Eq.(86) in [1],

$$m(e^\pm)c^2 = N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)c^2 = N/2 \cdot m(\bar{\nu}_e)c^2. \quad (28)$$

Dividing Eq.(27) by Eq.(28) gives the ratio of the mass of the charged muon to the mass of the electron or positron

$$\frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(\text{theor}) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{m(\nu_\mu)}{m(\nu_e)} + 3 = \frac{3}{2\alpha_f} + 3 = 208.554 = 1.0086 \frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(\text{exp}), \quad (29)$$

using $m(\nu_e) = \alpha_f \cdot m(\nu_\mu)$, Eq.(4). The term $3/2\alpha_f$ in Eq.(29) comes from the *single* muon or antimuon neutrinos in the cell-sides of the neutrino lattice of μ^\pm . In the lattice are then $N/4 \cdot \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ neutrinos. With the oscillation energy which is, as in the pion, proportional to $N/2 \cdot m(\nu_\mu)(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$, the energy in the lattice is proportional to $3/4 \cdot N \cdot m(\nu_\mu)(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$, neglecting $m(\nu_e)$. Divided by $m(e^\pm) = N/2 \cdot m(\nu_e)(\bar{\nu}_e)$ gives, with Eq.(4), the $3/2\alpha_f$ term. The $3/2\alpha_f$ term in Eq.(29) makes the theoretical ratio $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ independent of the values of N, $m(\nu_e)$ and $m(\nu_\mu)$.

The ratio $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ in Eq.(29) differs by +2 from the empirical formula of Barut [3]

$$\frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(\text{emp}) = \frac{3}{2\alpha_f} + 1 = 206.554 = 0.99896 \frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(\text{exp}), \quad (30)$$

with $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)(exp) = 206.768$. We attribute the difference $+ 2$ between Eq.(29) and Eq.(30) to the *binding energy of the electron or positron to the neutrino lattice of the neutral muon*. That means that

$$\Delta E_b(\mu_0^\pm, e^\pm) = 2 m(e^\pm)c^2. \quad (31)$$

Subtracting from Eq.(27) the binding energy $\Delta E_b(\mu_0^\pm, e^\pm) = 2 m(e^\pm)c^2 = N m(\nu_e)c^2$, we find the total energy in the *charged* muons. Simplifying with Eq.(26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mu^\pm)c^2(theor) &= [3/4 \cdot N m(\nu_\mu) + 1/2 \cdot N m(\nu_e)]c^2 \\ &= 107.35 \text{ MeV} = 1.0160 m(\mu^\pm)c^2(exp), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

whereas $m(\mu^\pm)c^2(exp) = 105.658 \text{ MeV}$. We attribute the difference between $m(\mu^\pm)c^2(theor)$ and $m(\mu^\pm)c^2(exp)$ to the uncertainty of the parameters N , $m(\nu_\mu)$ and $m(\nu_e)$, all of which are uncertain by about 1%.

We can, however, eliminate the dependence of Eq.(32) on N , by dividing Eq.(32) by the mass of e^\pm , Eq.(28). It follows for the ratio of the mass of the *charged* muons to the mass of e^\pm that

$$\frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(theor) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{m(\nu_\mu)}{m(\nu_e)} + 1, \quad (33)$$

which does not depend on N any more, but still on $m(\nu_\mu)$ and $m(\nu_e)$. We now eliminate also the uncertainty of the neutrino masses through $m(\nu_e) = \alpha_f \cdot m(\nu_\mu)$ (Eq.4), and find that

$$\frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(theor) = \frac{3}{2\alpha_f} + 1 = 206.554 = 0.99896 \frac{m(\mu^\pm)}{m(e^\pm)}(exp), \quad (34)$$

which is equal to Barut's formula, Eq.(30). The experimental ratio $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)(exp) = 206.768$ is 1.00104 times larger than our theoretical value for $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm) = 206.554$, which we find when we take the binding energy into account. The fine structure constant α_f in Eq.(34) is an exactly known constant, so the theoretical value of $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ is very firm. Taking the binding of the electron to the neutrino lattice of the muon into account we have explained from our model of the muon the empirical formula for the ratio $m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm)$ suggested by Barut [3], following an earlier suggestion by Nambu [2]. Barut's formula is accurate to about one part in a thousand.

Eq.(34) means also that we have determined theoretically the rest mass of the muon with an accuracy of one part in a thousand.

We have thus shown that the binding energy of the electric charge e^\pm brought into the neutrino lattice of the neutral muons is $2m(e^\pm)c^2$. We have also found from equations (14) and (31) that the binding energy of an electron or positron in the π^\pm lattice is twice the binding energy of e^\pm in the μ^\pm lattice. The difference of both binding energies appears to be a consequence of the presence of only one muon neutrino or anti-muon neutrino in a side of each cell of the muon lattice, whereas one muon neutrino *and* one anti-muon neutrino are in the sides of each cell of the pion lattice.

Conclusions

We have been concerned with the consequences of the presence of the electric charge e^\pm in the mass of the muon μ^\pm and the pion π^\pm , and with the explanation of the experimental ratios of the masses of μ^\pm and π^\pm to the mass of the electron or positron,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mu^\pm)/m(e^\pm) &= 206.7683 = 1.00104 \cdot (3/2\alpha_f + 1), \\ m(\pi^\pm)/m(e^\pm) &= 273.1320 = 1.00022 \cdot (2/\alpha_f - 1). \end{aligned}$$

In both cases the terms on the right hand side of the equations with half integer or integer multiples of $1/\alpha_f$ agree exactly with what one expects from our model of the particles consisting of lattices of muon neutrinos, anti-muon neutrinos, electron neutrinos and anti-electron neutrinos, whose masses are related by $m(\nu_e) = \alpha_f \cdot m(\nu_\mu)$.

From the ratios of the measured particle masses to the mass of the electron or positron follows furthermore that integer multiples of $1/\alpha_f$ are in

$$\begin{aligned} m(K^\pm)/m(e^\pm) &= 966.102 = 0.99984 \cdot (7/\alpha_f + 7), \\ m(K^0)/m(e^\pm) &= 973.873 = 0.99961 \cdot (7/\alpha_f + 15), \\ m(n)/m(e^\pm) &= 1838.684 = 1.000098 \cdot (14/\alpha_f - 80), \\ m(p)/m(e^\pm) &= 1836.153 = 1.000081 \cdot (14/\alpha_f - 82.5), \\ m(D^\pm)/m(e^\pm) &= 3658.13 = 0.997 \cdot (28/\alpha_f - 168), \end{aligned}$$

as it should be if $m(n) \cong 2m(K^0)$, and as it should be if $m(D^\pm) \cong m(p + \bar{n})$.

The integer numbers added to the $1/\alpha_f$ terms in the mass ratios above do, however, not agree, in each of the mass ratios listed, with what one expects from our model of the neutral neutrino bodies of the particles. These differences are caused by the introduction of the electric charges e^\pm into the neutrino bodies of the particles. The introduction of e^\pm into the neutral body of a particle changes the number of the electron neutrinos or anti-electron neutrinos in the particles, not the number of the muon neutrinos or anti-muon neutrinos.

When an electric charge e^\pm is introduced into the large neutrino bodies of the pion and muon an exothermic binding energy is released, which amounts in the case of μ^\pm to $2m(e^\pm)c^2$, and in the case of π^\pm to $4m(e^\pm)c^2$. We have learned that the ratio of the mass of the charged muons to the mass of the electron or positron can be explained with an accuracy of about $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$, and that the ratio of the mass of the charged pions to the mass of the electron or positron can be explained with an accuracy of $2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$, if the binding energy of the charge to the neutrino lattices of the muons and pions is taken into account.

We have also learned that the electron neutrinos or anti-electron neutrinos, as well as the charge elements, brought by the charge into the simple cubic neutrino lattice of the pions must sit in the center of the sides of the cubic cells of the neutrino lattice of the pions, making them face-centered cubic cells, which is essential for the stability of the π^\pm mesons. It seems likely that the electron or anti-electron neutrinos brought by e^\pm into the muon lattice occupy the places vacated by the emission of the muon neutrinos or anti-muon neutrinos in the π^\pm decay, that means that the muon lattice is cubic. The charge elements brought also with e^\pm into the neutral lattice of the muons make the cells in the charged muons face-centered cubic.

References

- [1] Koschmieder, E.L. <http://arXiv:0804.4848v8> (2013).
- [2] Nambu, Y. Prog.Th.Phys. **7**,595 (1952).
- [3] Barut, A.O. Phys.Lett.B. **73**,310 (1978).
- [4] Born, M. Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc. **36**,160 (1940).