

Localness of energy cascade in hydrodynamic turbulence, I. Smooth coarse-graining

Gregory L. Eyink^{a)} and Hussein Aluie^{b)}

Department of Applied Mathematics & Statistics

The Johns Hopkins University

3400 North Charles Street

Baltimore, MD 21218-2682

Abstract

We introduce a novel approach to scale-decomposition of the fluid kinetic energy (or other quadratic integrals) into band-pass contributions from a series of length-scales. Our decomposition is based on a multiscale generalization of the “Germano identity” for smooth, graded filter kernels. We employ this method to derive a budget equation that describes the transfers of turbulent kinetic energy both in space and in scale. It is shown that the inter-scale energy transfer is dominated by local triadic interactions, assuming only the scaling properties expected in a turbulent inertial-range. We derive rigorous upper bounds on the contributions of non-local triads, extending the work of Eyink (2005)¹ for low-pass filtering. We also propose a physical explanation of the differing exponents for our rigorous upper bounds and for the scaling predictions of Kraichnan (1966,1971)^{2,3}. The faster decay predicted by Kraichnan is argued to be the consequence of additional cancellations in the signed contributions to transfer from non-local triads, after averaging over space. This picture is supported by data from a 512³ pseudospectral simulation of Navier-Stokes turbulence with phase-shift dealiasing.

Key Words: Turbulence, Locality, Filtering, Multi-scale Analysis

^{a)}Email: eyink@ams.jhu.edu

^{b)}Email: hussein@jhu.edu

I Introduction

The traditional Richardson-Kolmogorov-Onsager picture of turbulence supposes an energy cascade local in scale. That is, the triad interactions responsible for energy flux across a given length-scale are supposed to involve three modes all of comparable scale, differing at most by some fixed ratio. This statement also implies that the energy transfer is between modes at roughly the same scale. The scale-locality property is fundamental to justify the concept of universality of small-scale statistics in turbulent flow. In a recent work¹ one of us has given a rigorous proof of the scale-locality property for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation, based on the sole assumption that the velocity field has the regularity/scaling properties observed in turbulent flow. The argument employed a smooth coarse-graining (or low-pass filtering) technique to resolve the velocity fields simultaneously both in space and in scale. The results in Eyink (2005)¹ apply to individual Navier-Stokes solutions, with no statistical averaging, pointwise in space. They therefore give substantial support to the classical picture of a local energy cascade.

Recent numerical studies^{4,5,6,7,8,9} have largely supported these conclusions about energy flux. All of these groups verify the individual dominance of nonlocal triadic interactions but conclude that the net energy flux from summation over triads is dominated by local interactions. In particular, the studies^{7,8,9} calculated the locality function $W(s)$ of Kraichnan^{2,3} which measures the contribution to energy flux from wavenumber triads (k, q, p) with a fixed scale-ratio $s = \min\{k, q, p\}/\text{med}\{k, q, p\}$. They verify to reasonable accuracy the prediction of Kraichnan that $W(s) \propto s^{4/3}$ for $s \ll 1$, in agreement with some earlier DNS results^{14,15}. Note that this decay of nonlocal contributions in s is even faster than that proved in Eyink (2005)¹, which gives $W(s) = O(s^{2/3})$ as a rigorous upper bound. The studies^{7,8,9} find also no difference between graded and sharp filters, at least with bands defined for a geometric sequences of scales.

Nevertheless, debate continues on this important issue. In addition to using low-pass filtering as in Eyink (2005)¹, the numerical works^{4,5,6,7,8,9} have studied the problem also by using *band-pass filtering*. These studies employed both sharp-spectral and graded kernels to define modal bands. The relevant nonlinear transfer functions for band-pass fields are no longer energy flux but *interband energy transfers*. In this setting, one group^{4,5,6} has claimed to verify the importance of *nonlocal* triadic interactions. Their DNS results at resolutions up to 2048³ support earlier observations of “local transfer by nonlocal triads”^{10,11,12,13}. This really should be called *ultralocal transfer* because it is

between wavenumber modes k, p differing by a fixed amount $p - k = k_0$, where $k_0 \approx 1/L$ is the low wavenumber at the energy peak. This is not to be confused with the traditional idea of local transfer, in which the wavenumber *ratio* p/k is fixed and of order 2.

How does one explain the apparent discrepancy between these various DNS results and the theoretical analysis in Eyink (2005)¹? At least three possibilities come to mind. One is that there may be an essential difference between transfers for band-pass filtered fields and energy flux for low-pass filtered fields. A second possibility is that the key difference is between sharp spectral and graded filters. Finally, a third possibility is that the important difference is between wavenumber bands of constant size on a linear scale versus those with constant size on a logarithmic scale. We stress that the rigorous results in Eyink (2005)¹ were proved for graded low-pass filters with logarithmic binning (and logarithmic grading of the filter kernel). The logarithmic wavenumber scale is necessary in order to achieve simultaneous resolution of the dynamics both in space and in scale.

In this paper we shall address the first possibility, by extending the rigorous results of Eyink (2005)¹ to a band-pass decomposition via dilated, smooth filters. As in the previous low-pass analysis, we shall show that there are simple equations that describe the dynamics of the band-pass energy densities, all terms of which have intuitive physical interpretations. Furthermore, we show that band-to-band transfers are local in both scale and in space by deriving rigorous upper bounds on the nonlocal triadic contributions. We shall also explain on physical grounds the difference between the rigorous upper bounds and the more refined scaling predictions of Kraichnan^{2,3}. In essence, the contribution of the nonlocal triads is smaller than implied by those bounds due to *decorrelation* of disparate scales, which implies substantial cancellations of signed contributions to transfer. This idea was already proposed by Tennekes & Lumley¹⁶ (Section 8.2) and Kraichnan (1971)³. We shall demonstrate these cancellation effects with data from a 512³ DNS of Navier-Stokes turbulence. These results taken all together confirm the conclusions of Eyink (2005)¹ that turbulent energy cascade is a scale-local process, in agreement with the traditional view. Furthermore, they show that analysis of band-pass filtered energies give results essentially the same as the analysis of low-pass filtered energies. In the second part of this study¹⁷ (hereafter referred to as II) we shall consider the remaining possibilities, related to sharp-spectral versus graded filters and linear versus logarithmic scale-resolution. These turn out to be more subtle and difficult issues to explicate.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section II we present our coarse-graining approach. Section II.A gathers some material on low-pass filtered fields and their energy

budgets. Section II.B applies these techniques to develop a band-pass decomposition of the kinetic energy. In section III we establish the scale-locality of transfer in this decomposition. Section III.A establishes rigorous upper bounds on non-local transfers. Section III.B discusses decorrelation effects that lead to reduced non-local contributions to mean flux. Finally, section IV states our conclusions and two appendices provide some mathematical details.

II Band-Pass Filtering via Smooth Coarse-Graining

A The Low-Pass Filter Technique

The filtering approach by smooth coarse-graining of the velocity field is standard in the LES literature. See, for example, papers^{18,1} and references therein. Any real-valued function $G(\mathbf{r})$ may be chosen as a filter kernel, as long as it is sufficiently smooth, decays sufficiently rapidly for large r , and is normalized so that $\int d^d r G(\mathbf{r}) = 1$. It is assumed furthermore that G satisfies $\int d^d r \mathbf{r} G(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\int d^d r |\mathbf{r}|^2 G(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{O}(1)$, so that the main support of G is in the ball of radius 1 about the origin. Its dilation in d dimensions $G_\ell(\mathbf{r}) = \ell^{-d} G(\mathbf{r}/\ell)$ shares these properties, except that it is supported mainly in the ball of radius ℓ . One then defines the “coarse-grained” or (low-pass) filtered velocity field at length-scale ℓ by

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} G_\ell(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}). \quad (1)$$

In order to interpret this as a local space-average, G must also be positive, or $G(\mathbf{r}) \geq 0$ for all \mathbf{r} . It is often convenient to choose G satisfying other desirable properties, such as radial symmetry. Note, for example, that $G(\mathbf{r})$ may be chosen so that both it and its Fourier transform $\hat{G}(\mathbf{k})$ are positive and infinitely differentiable, with also $\hat{G}(\mathbf{k})$ compactly supported inside the ball of radius 1 in Fourier space and with $G(\mathbf{r})$ decaying faster than any power as $r \rightarrow \infty$. See Appendix 1.

By applying the filtering operation to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, one obtains an evolution equation for the coarse-grained velocity $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell$:

$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell + (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell \cdot \nabla) \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell = -\nabla \bar{p}_\ell - \nabla \cdot \bar{\tau}_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) + \nu \Delta \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell, \quad \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell = 0 \quad (2)$$

This is the same as the Navier-Stokes equation itself but with an additional contribution from the *turbulent (Reynolds) stress*,

$$\bar{\tau}_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv \overline{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u})}_\ell - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell. \quad (3)$$

In the rest of the paper, we shall take the liberty of dropping the ℓ sub-script whenever there is no risk of ambiguity and use the shorthand $\bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ to denote the stress (3). An energy budget for the large scales may be easily derived from (2), as:

$$\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \right) + \partial_j \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + \bar{p} \right) \bar{u}_j + \bar{u}_i \bar{\tau}_{ij} - \nu \partial_j \left(\frac{1}{2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \right) \right] = -\bar{\Pi} - \nu |\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \quad (4)$$

where

$$\bar{\Pi} \equiv -(\partial_j \bar{u}_i) \bar{\tau}_{ij} \quad (5)$$

is what is usually called the sub-grid scale (SGS) dissipation or the SGS energy flux. It acts as a sink term in (4), representing the energy transferred from scales larger than ℓ to the smaller (sub-grid) scales at point \mathbf{x} in the flow. The terms inside the divergence represent energy transported in space.

A small-scale energy or ‘‘subgrid kinetic energy’’ may be defined as

$$\bar{k} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u_i, u_i). \quad (6)$$

It is a positive quantity at every point in the flow if and only if the filtering kernel $G(\mathbf{r})$ is positive for all \mathbf{r} , as was proved by Vreman et al. (1994)¹⁹. Indeed, it can be rewritten as $\int d\mathbf{r} G(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|^2$, which is the energy density averaged over a region of size ℓ around \mathbf{x} in a frame co-moving with the local large-scale velocity $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$ ¹⁹. Furthermore, integrating $\frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u_i, u_i)$ in space gives $\int d\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|^2 - \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|^2$, which is the total energy less the energy at large scales. It is easy to derive the energy budget of the small scales as:

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u_i, u_i) + \partial_j \left[\frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u_i, u_i) \bar{u}_j + \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(p, u_j) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u_i, u_i, u_j) - \nu \partial_j \frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(u_i, u_i) \right] = \bar{\Pi} - \nu \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\partial_i u_j, \partial_i u_j). \quad (7)$$

For example, see Germano (1992)¹⁸. The energy flux $\bar{\Pi}$ now acts as source, representing the energy gained by the small scales from scales larger than ℓ at point \mathbf{x} .

B The Smooth Band-Pass Approach

Following the same ideas, we may define a band-passed kinetic energy density at length-scales between $\tilde{\ell}$ and $\bar{\ell}$, where $\tilde{\ell} > \bar{\ell}$, as

$$k_{[\tilde{\ell}, \bar{\ell}]} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}; \bar{\mathbf{u}}) = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{|\bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2} - \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}|^2 \quad (8)$$

for filter functions $\widetilde{G} = G_{\widetilde{\ell}}$ and $\overline{G} = G_{\overline{\ell}}$. The band-passed energy (8) is a straightforward generalization of the small-scale kinetic energy presented in the previous sub-section. Positivity again holds, $\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}; \overline{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$, locally for all \mathbf{x} when $G(\mathbf{r}) \geq 0$. Globally, this band-pass energy is

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^d x \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}; \overline{\mathbf{u}}) &= \int d^d x \frac{1}{2}|\overline{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|^2 - \int d^d x \frac{1}{2}|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k [1 - |\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k}\widetilde{\ell})|^2] |\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k}\overline{\ell})|^2 \frac{1}{2}|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2, \end{aligned}$$

by the Plancherel identity. This is clearly a reasonable representation of the energy in the wavenumber range $[1/\widetilde{\ell}, 1/\overline{\ell}]$. If a sharp-spectral filter with $\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \theta(2\pi - k)$ is used, then this band-pass energy reduces to $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\{2\pi/\widetilde{\ell} < |\mathbf{k}| < 2\pi/\overline{\ell}\}} d^d k \frac{1}{2}|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2$, which is the quantity considered in recent numerical studies^{4,5,6,7,8,9}.

One of the great advantages of the present approach is that very simple dynamical equations can be written for the band-pass energy densities above, which resolve the relevant physical process both in space and in scale. It is straightforward to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(\overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_i) \right) + \partial_k \left[\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(\overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_i)\widetilde{u}_k + \widetilde{\tau}(\overline{p}, \overline{u}_k) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(\overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_k) + \widetilde{\tau}(\overline{\tau}(u_i, u_k), \overline{u}_i) - \nu\partial_k \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\tau}(\overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_i) \right] \\ = -\widetilde{S}_{ij}\widetilde{\tau}(u_i, u_j) + \widetilde{\tau}(u_i, u_j)\widetilde{S}_{ij} - \nu\widetilde{\tau}(\partial_i\overline{u}_j, \partial_i\overline{u}_j). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If the total energy $(1/2) \int |\mathbf{u}|^2$ remains finite in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ (as expected), then the viscous terms are easily seen to be negligible in a fixed band $[\overline{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell}]$ for small ν . The terms inside the space gradient once again represent space-transport of energy. The non-viscous terms on the righthand side of (9) represent the nonlinear transfer into and out of the band. Note that double overlining $\widetilde{\widetilde{(\cdot)}}$ is associated to the convolved filter $\widetilde{\widetilde{G}} = \widetilde{G} * \overline{G}$, which has a length-scale $\widetilde{\widetilde{\ell}} \approx \widetilde{\ell}$ for $\overline{\ell} \ll \widetilde{\ell}$. Thus, the transfer terms have the meaning of a flux $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Pi}}$ into scales smaller than $\widetilde{\ell}$ and a reverse flux $\widetilde{\widetilde{(-\Pi)}}$ out of scales smaller than $\overline{\ell}$.

This is a good point to remark that the flux terms in eqs. (4), (7), and (9) have all been defined in a Galilean-invariant way, due to the subtracted mean terms in the definition (3) of the stress. Other definitions of an “energy flux” are possible, such as the “unsubtracted flux”

$$\overline{\Pi}^{uns} \equiv -\partial_j \overline{u}_i \overline{u}_i u_j = \overline{\Pi} - \partial_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{u}_j |\overline{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \right).$$

This differs from the standard SGS flux $\overline{\Pi}$ by a total gradient, which can be included in the space-transport term. This “unsubtracted flux” is, in fact, often employed in

literature that considers the sharp-spectral filter. Unfortunately, this definition is not pointwise Galilean-invariant, so that the amount of “energy cascade” at any point in the fluid according to this definition would differ for observers moving at different uniform velocities! Kraichnan²⁰, Speziale²¹ and Germano¹⁸ have all emphasized the importance of Galilean invariance. Our definitions are the unique ones which preserve the pointwise Galilean-invariance of energy flux. There are non-Galilean-invariant terms in our balance equations, but, as is physically natural, they are all associated to space-transport of kinetic energy.

The previous methods may be used to introduce a band-pass decomposition of the energy at a geometric sequence of scales $\ell_n = \rho^{-n}L$ with $\rho > 1$. The result is easiest to state for the special case of filter kernels G which satisfy the “S-type”, or sharp-spectral-like, condition that

$$\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\mathbf{k}| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |\mathbf{k}| > \rho \end{cases}. \quad (10)$$

As we show in Appendix 1, it is possible to construct kernels of this type for which $\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k})$ is C^∞ and thus $G(\mathbf{r})$ decays faster than any power of r as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We introduce $G_n(\mathbf{r}) = \ell_n^{-d}G(\mathbf{r}/\ell_n)$ and the corresponding low-pass filter $\bar{f}_n = G_n * f$ for any space function $f(\mathbf{x})$. It is then possible to show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int |\mathbf{u}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int |\bar{\mathbf{u}}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \int \tau_{n-1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n; \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n) + \frac{1}{2} \int \tau_N(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}). \quad (11)$$

for any integer $N \geq 1$. For the proof of this formula, and also a more general result without condition (10), see Appendix 2. It is easy to see that series (11) converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $(1/2) \int |\mathbf{u}|^2 < \infty$.

Using (4),(9),(7) one can infer evolution equations for the total band energies:

$$\begin{aligned} (d/dt) \int \frac{1}{2} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}_0|^2 &= - \int \Pi_0, \\ (d/dt) \int \frac{1}{2} \tau_{n-1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_n; \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n) &= \int \Pi_{n-1} - \int \Pi_n \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N \\ (d/dt) \int \frac{1}{2} \tau_N(\bar{\mathbf{u}}; \bar{\mathbf{u}}) &= \int \Pi_N - \nu \int \tau_N(u_{i,j}, u_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that viscous terms may be neglected as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ in all of these equations except the final one, for $n = N$, where it balances the flux from the larger scales. If the turbulence is driven by a body force at length-scales $> L$, then an additional source term would appear

in the first equation for $n = 0$. This hierarchy makes apparent the stepwise nature of the cascade, if each of the flux terms $\Pi_n = -\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n : \tau_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ for inertial-range length-scales ℓ_n depends only upon velocity modes of comparable scale.

In a recent paper, Cheskidov et al. (2008)²² have studied turbulent cascade dynamics by a band-pass decomposition of the velocity field with graded filters similar to ours. They derived thereby a number of estimates related to scale-locality of energy transfer. However, as noted by Domaradzki & Carati (2007)⁷ the naive decomposition of the kinetic energy following from such a decomposition of the velocity field in general will contain off-diagonal terms:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int |\mathbf{u}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int |\bar{\mathbf{u}}_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \int \bar{\mathbf{u}}_n \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_m.$$

In the approach of Cheskidov et al. (2008)²² the summation is limited to indices with $|n - m| \leq 1$ but still retains such off-diagonal terms of indefinite sign. It is thus not clear in this approach how precisely to identify the kinetic energy at a given length-scale. By contrast, our decomposition (11) of kinetic energy contains only non-negative, diagonal terms. The complications with the naive band-pass decomposition lead to related difficulties in the analysis of scale transfers of energy. For example, the “band-flux” defined in eq.(27) of Cheskidov et al. (2008)²² is not the difference of the usual energy fluxes into and out of the band, as we found above in our approach, but contains an additional term [see their eq.(30)] with unclear physical interpretation, which those authors had to estimate.

III Locality of Energy Cascade

One of the advantages of our approach to band-pass decomposition of kinetic energy is that the proofs of scale-locality of Eyink (2005)¹ directly apply. In fact, the flux quantities Π_n were exactly the objects shown in that work to be local! To make connection with standard spectral approaches, we define the *total transfer* into the n th band by

$$T_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \equiv \Pi_{n-1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) - \Pi_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}).$$

Clearly, this quantity must be dominated by the contributions of local triads if this is true separately for both Π_{n-1} and Π_n . The total spectral transfer is often further decomposed as $T_n = \sum_p T_{n,p} = \sum_{m,p} T_{n,m,p}$ into triadic contributions from distinct wavenumber bands. If we do so in the present approach of smooth coarse-graining, then it follows that transfer for non-local modal triads is negligibly small.

It should be emphasized that there *are* non-local triadic interactions in turbulent dynamics. For example, non-local interactions dominate in the space-transport of energy by convective sweeping. However, space-transport effects disappear upon integration over space and make no net contribution to the transfer of energy between scales. On the other hand, the energy fluxes Π_n which are responsible for the inter-scale exchange of energy are scale-local, under well-defined conditions that exist in the inertial-range of turbulent flows. We give below a brief summary of the demonstration from Eyink (2005)¹.

A The Proof of Scale-Locality

The energy flux $\bar{\Pi}_\ell$ is a triplet quantity that depends upon three velocity modes. This dependence may be made explicit by writing

$$\bar{\Pi}_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \equiv -\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell : \tau_\ell(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

(In the discussion of locality it is important to indicate the scale ℓ , which we do in this whole section). We say that the energy flux $\bar{\Pi}_\ell = \Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ is *infrared local* if the contribution from \mathbf{u} at scales much larger than ℓ is negligible. In other words, if \mathbf{u} in the three arguments $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, *or any subset thereof*, were to be replaced by $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta$, then the result would be $\ll \bar{\Pi}_\ell$ for $\Delta \gg \ell$. We can define a corresponding concept by employing the “small-scale” or high-pass filtered field

$$\mathbf{u}'_\delta \equiv \mathbf{u} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\delta, \tag{12}$$

which contains no modes at scales $> \delta$. We say that $\bar{\Pi}_\ell = \bar{\Pi}_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ is *ultraviolet local* if replacing \mathbf{u} by \mathbf{u}'_δ in the three arguments $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, *or any subset thereof*, were to give a result $\ll \bar{\Pi}_\ell$ whenever $\delta \ll \ell$. This means that the contribution to energy flux from modes at scales much smaller than ℓ is negligible. If a quantity is both infrared and ultraviolet local, then we say that it is (scale-)local.

The key to proving scale-locality of the energy flux is the observation that all of the quantities $\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell$, $\tau_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$, and \mathbf{u}'_ℓ can be written entirely in terms of *velocity-increments*:

$$\delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{13}$$

for separation distances $|\mathbf{r}| < \ell$ (or some moderate multiple of ℓ) and do not depend upon the absolute velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ itself. Heuristically,

$$\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta u(\ell)/\ell) \tag{14}$$

$$\bar{\tau}_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta u^2(\ell)) \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{u}'_\ell \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta u(\ell)) \quad (16)$$

The symbol $\sim \mathcal{O}$ stands for “same order-of-magnitude as.” For rigorous details, see Eyink (2005)¹.

It thus becomes sufficient to show that velocity-increments themselves are scale-local. This is not generally true, for arbitrary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, but it is true under conditions that exist in the inertial-range of turbulent flows. The velocity fields in such turbulent solutions are not space-differentiable but only *Hölder continuous*²³. A field \mathbf{u} is said to be Hölder continuous at \mathbf{x} with exponent $0 < h < 1$ if its increments satisfy a rigorous big- \mathcal{O} upper bound

$$|\delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{r})| = \mathcal{O}(r^h). \quad (17)$$

The (maximal) Hölder exponent at point \mathbf{x} is the largest value h such that the inequality (17) still holds. We now observe that infrared contribution to the increment, $\delta \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{r}) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta(\mathbf{x})$, is negligible if $h < 1$. Since the low-pass filtered field $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta$ is very smooth, we can approximate it well by the leading term in its Taylor expansion:

$$\delta \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{x}) \approx \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta(\mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{O}\left(r \frac{\delta u(\Delta)}{\Delta}\right) = \mathcal{O}(r \Delta^{h-1}). \quad (18)$$

Compared with the full increment $\delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \mathcal{O}(r^h)$, the large-scale contribution is smaller by a factor of $\mathcal{O}((r/\Delta)^{1-h})$, which is indeed negligible if $\Delta \gg r$ and $h < 1$. We next observe that the ultraviolet contribution to the increment $\delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{u}'_\delta(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{u}'_\delta(\mathbf{x})$ is negligible if $h > 0$. This is even easier to see, since, from (16), the high-pass filtered field itself is small: $\mathbf{u}'_\delta \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta u(\delta))$. In that case,

$$\delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{r}) \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta u(\delta)) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^h). \quad (19)$$

Compared with the full increment, the small-scale contribution is smaller by a factor of $\mathcal{O}((\delta/r)^h)$, which is also negligible if $\delta \ll r$ and $h > 0$. All of these results hold pointwise, locally in space¹.

One difficulty with the above pointwise analysis is that inequality (17) does not hold as a scaling law^{24,25}. The upper bound in (18) is vanishing for $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ and the bound in (19) is vanishing for $\delta \rightarrow 0$. However, because Hölder continuity does not correspond to pointwise scaling, one cannot make precise statements about the *fractional contributions* from scales $> \Delta$ or $< \delta$. On the other hand, it is well-known that there *is* scaling of

p th structure functions of velocity increments in the turbulent inertial-range. That is, $\langle |\delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})|^p \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}(u_{rms}^p (r/L)^{\zeta_p})$ where $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1/V) \int_V (\cdot)$ denotes volume-average. A global analogue of (17) thus holds for the L_p -norm $\| \cdot \|_p = \langle | \cdot |^p \rangle^{1/p}$ as a true scaling law, i.e. for some dimensionless constant A_p

$$\| \delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) \|_p \sim u_{rms} A_p (r/L)^{\sigma_p} \quad (20)$$

with $\sigma_p = \zeta_p/p$. Experiments and simulations indicate that $0 < \sigma_p < 1$, and, in particular, $\sigma_3 \doteq 1/3$. The relation (20) corresponds to *Besov regularity*^{26,27} in place of the Hölder continuity relation (17). It is not difficult to derive global L_p -mean analogues of IR-locality of velocity-increments

$$\| \delta \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta(\mathbf{r}) \|_p = O(r \Delta^{\sigma_p - 1}). \quad (21)$$

and UV-locality of velocity-increments

$$\| \delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta(\mathbf{r}) \|_p = O(\delta^{\sigma_p}). \quad (22)$$

For details, see Eyink (2005)¹. Together with (20) we may make the precise statement that the modes $> \Delta$ give a fractional contribution $O((\ell/\Delta)^{1-\sigma_p})$ and the modes $< \delta$ a fractional contribution $O((\delta/\ell)^{\sigma_p})$.

These results for velocity-increments imply the locality of the energy flux. Here we shall just state two concrete estimates which correspond closely to classical statements of locality in the literature. First we consider the contribution to the flux from a large-scale strain, or $\Pi_\ell(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Delta, \ell} : \tau_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$. Using the Hölder inequality $|\langle fgh \rangle| \leq \|f\|_3 \|g\|_3 \|h\|_3$ it is easy to show from the foregoing that

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle = O(\varepsilon (\ell/\Delta)^{1-\sigma_3}). \quad (23)$$

We have used the relation $\varepsilon \sim u_{rms}^3/L$ in order to introduce the mean flux ε . Next we consider the contribution from the small-scale stress, or $\Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) = -\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell : \tau_\ell(\mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta)$. Note that *both* of the modes in the stress must be small-scale together, if the filter kernel G is ‘‘S-type’’, because of wavenumber conservation constraints. Using again the Hölder inequality and the previous estimates on increments, one finds that

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) \rangle = O(\varepsilon (\delta/\ell)^{2\sigma_3}) \quad (24)$$

In deriving (23),(24) it was assumed that $\sigma_3 \doteq 1/3$, so that the exponents in both of the bounds are very close to $2/3$. Also, to conclude that the non-local flux contributions in

(23) and (24) are much smaller than $\langle \Pi_\ell \rangle$ for $\Delta \gg \ell$ and $\delta \ll \ell$, it must be assumed that the mean flux is non-zero. Of course, this is a completely realistic assumption in a constant-flux inertial range.

B Decorrelation Effects

At first sight, the results stated in (23) and (24) contradict the predictions of Kraichnan^{2,3} using closure calculations, which would yield a larger exponent 4/3 instead of 2/3. However, one must keep in mind that our estimates above are only *upper bounds* or so-called big- O bounds, which crudely replace all the velocity-increments with their absolute magnitudes. On the other hand, Kraichnan's estimates are more refined (but non-rigorous) scaling predictions which take into account cancellations in the signed contributions. See the heuristic discussion in Section 1 of Kraichnan (1971)³ and the similar discussion by Tennekes and Lumley¹⁶, sections 3.2 and 8.2. Their considerations can be carried over to our framework and then yield similar results. We now discuss this briefly.

The easiest case to consider is infrared locality of the flux. In the average over space

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{V} \int_V d^d x \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Delta, \ell} : \tau_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}),$$

the velocity-gradient $\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\Delta, \ell}$ varies on a long length-scale $\sim \Delta$ whereas the stress $\tau_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ varies on the short scale $\sim \ell$. The average is only nonzero because of correlations between these two quantities, when the turbulence is either homogeneous or isotropic so that $\langle \nabla \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$. An estimate of the correlation factor proposed in^{16,3} is that

$$\rho(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \boldsymbol{\tau}_\ell) = O(S(\Delta)/S(\ell))$$

where $S(\ell) \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta u(\ell)/\ell)$ is the strain-rate at scale ℓ . This can be plausibly justified by appealing to ergodicity to rewrite the space-averages as time-averages and then arguing that the correlation should be proportional to the ratio of the turnover rates at the two length-scales. Taking decorrelation into account yields an improved estimate^{c)}

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle \sim \left\langle \frac{\delta u(\Delta)}{\Delta} \delta u^2(\ell) \rho(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \boldsymbol{\tau}_\ell) \right\rangle \sim \frac{\ell}{\Delta^2} \langle \delta u^2(\Delta) \delta u(\ell) \rangle.$$

The latter expression is a “multiscale structure-function” of a type much discussed in the literature. It can be estimated by means of a multiplicative cascade ansatz^{28,23} or,

^{c)}We remark here that the additional decorrelation resulting from space averaging of signed quantities can explain why Benzi et al.³¹ see a faster than expected decay rate of the multi-scale structure functions shown in their Figure 8.

equivalently, by so-called “fusion rules”^{29,30,31}. The result is that

$$\langle \delta u^2(\Delta) \delta u(\ell) \rangle \sim \langle \delta u^3(\Delta) \frac{\delta u(\ell)}{\delta u(\Delta)} \rangle \sim u_{rms}^3 \left(\frac{\Delta}{L} \right)^{\zeta_3} \left(\frac{\ell}{\Delta} \right)^{\zeta_1}.$$

This gives an estimate for the flux contribution from the large-scale strain

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_\Delta, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle \sim \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon \left(\frac{\ell}{\Delta} \right)^{1+\zeta_1} \right)$$

if we take $\zeta_3 = 1$. The above estimate incorporates possible intermittency effects, but, assuming K41 scaling so that $\zeta_1 = 1/3$, we recover Kraichnan’s predicted 4/3 exponent^{2,3}.

A similar discussion may be given for ultraviolet locality of the flux. Note first that $\tau_\ell(\mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) = \overline{(\mathbf{u}'_\delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta)_\ell}$ for small enough δ , if the filter kernel G is “S-type” so that $\overline{(\mathbf{u}'_\delta)_\ell} = 0$ for $\delta < \ell/\rho$. Thus,

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) \rangle = -\frac{1}{V} \int_V d^d x \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell,\ell} : \mathbf{u}'_\delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta$$

Since the velocity-gradient $\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell,\ell}$ varies on a long length-scale $\sim \ell$ whereas the factors \mathbf{u}'_δ vary on the short scale $\sim \delta$, we can expect decorrelation by a factor $S(\ell)/S(\delta)$. This gives

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) \rangle \sim \left\langle \frac{\delta u(\ell)}{\ell} \delta u^2(\delta) \rho(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell, \boldsymbol{\tau}_\delta) \right\rangle \sim \frac{\delta}{\ell^2} \langle \delta u^2(\ell) \delta u(\delta) \rangle.$$

Using the same heuristic estimation as before by the cascade ansatz or fusion-rule formula, one obtains the similar result

$$\langle \Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) \rangle \sim \mathcal{O} \left(\varepsilon \left(\frac{\delta}{\ell} \right)^{1+\zeta_1} \right).$$

This again yields the 4/3 scaling predicted by Kraichnan^{2,3} if we assume the K41 value $\zeta_1 = 1/3$.

In fact, we can expect strong *pointwise* decorrelation effects in the ultraviolet contributions to the energy flux, due to local averaging over the scale ℓ even without the global average over space. If the statistics of \mathbf{u}'_δ were isotropic in the ball of radius $\sim \ell$ around point \mathbf{x} , then $\overline{(\mathbf{u}'_\delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta)_\ell} = \frac{1}{d} \overline{(|\mathbf{u}'_\delta|^2)_\ell} \mathbf{I}$. In reality, the statistics will not be exactly isotropic, because there is a local mean velocity-gradient $\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_\ell(\mathbf{x})$ which distorts the smaller scales. Thus, we expect that

$$\overline{(\mathbf{u}'_\delta \mathbf{u}'_\delta)_\ell} = \frac{1}{d} \overline{(|\mathbf{u}'_\delta|^2)_\ell} \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{O}(S(\ell)/S(\delta) |u'_\delta|^2),$$

based on the same plausible reasoning as Tennekes & Lumley¹⁶ and Kraichnan (1971)³. The leading term does not make a contribution to energy flux, because of the zero trace of the velocity-gradient. Thus, pointwise, we expect that

$$\Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'_\delta, \mathbf{u}'_\delta) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\delta}{\ell^2} \delta u^2(\ell) \delta u(\delta)\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{\ell}\right)^{1+h}\right) \Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}),$$

where $\Pi_\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{O}(\delta u^3(\ell)/\ell)$ and we estimate $\delta u(\delta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^h)$ with local Hölder exponent h at \mathbf{x} . This is considerably smaller than the rigorous pointwise upper bound established by Eyink (2005)¹.

C Numerical Results

The arguments in the preceding section are plausible but non-rigorous. It is therefore worthwhile to investigate the predicted decorrelation effects in numerical simulations. We present here the results of a 512^3 DNS of Navier-Stokes turbulence, whose details are discussed in paper II. We use Gaussian filters $G_\ell(r) = (\pi/\ell^2)^{3/2} \exp(-\pi^2 r^2/\ell^2)$ to scale-decompose the simulated velocity field. To facilitate comparison with results for spectral filters we employ an “equivalent wavenumber” K with $\ell_K = 2\pi/K$.

We first check the decorrelation argument in the case of IR locality, by measuring both the mean absolute flux $\langle |\Pi_{\ell_K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_Q}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})| \rangle$ and the mean signed flux $\langle \Pi_{\ell_K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_Q}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle$, for $\ell_Q \geq 2\ell_K$. The former is immune to cancellation effects, whereas the second should experience decorrelation from space averaging. The plots in figure 1 are consistent with a $Q^{2/3}$ scaling for absolute flux and a $Q^{4/3}$ scaling for signed flux, exhibiting the faster decay due to decorrelation effects. The fitted IR exponents are slightly smaller than those predicted, consistent with the results of Domaradzki & Carati^{7,9}.

We also check the decorrelation argument for UV locality by measuring the absolute flux $\langle |\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_K, \ell_K} : \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P} \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P}| \rangle$ and the signed flux $\langle \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_K, \ell_K} : \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P} \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P} \rangle$, for $\ell_P \leq \ell_K/2$. The plots in figure 2 are again consistent with respective decays of $P^{-2/3}$ and $P^{-4/3}$, as expected from our arguments. The fitted UV exponents are somewhat larger than predicted, also as observed by Domaradzki & Carati^{7,9}.




Figure 1: For $K = 100$, we plot as functions of $Q \leq K/2$ both $\langle |\Pi_{\ell_K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_Q}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})| \rangle / \langle |\Pi_{\ell_K}| \rangle$ (\circ) and $\langle \Pi_{\ell_K}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_Q}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle / \langle \Pi_{\ell_K} \rangle$ (\times) using a gaussian filter. Straight lines of slopes $2/3$ and $4/3$ are included for reference. They extend over the fitting region which gives a slope 0.7 for the absolute flux and 1.1 for the signed flux. The difference in slope demonstrates the decorrelation effect due to space averaging.




Figure 2: For $K = 4$, we plot as functions of $P \geq 2K$ both $\langle |\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_K, \ell_K} : \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P} \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P}| \rangle / \langle |\Pi_{\ell_K}| \rangle$ (\circ) and $\langle \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell_K, \ell_K} : \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P} \mathbf{u}'_{\ell_P} \rangle / \langle \Pi_{\ell_K} \rangle$ (\times) using a gaussian filter. Straight lines with slopes $2/3$ and $4/3$ are added for reference. They extend over the fitting region which gives a slope 0.9 for the absolute flux and 1.9 for the signed flux, demonstrating the decorrelation effect due to space averaging. The kink at very large P is due to negative values of the function when plotted on a log-log graph.

IV Conclusions

Let us summarize our main results. First, we have shown how to obtain a band-pass decomposition of kinetic energy with a smooth, graded filter, corresponding to length-scales in a geometric sequence. This decomposition permits simultaneously resolution of the turbulence dynamics both in scale and in physical space. Second, we have demonstrated that the interband transfers in this decomposition are dominated by local triads of modes, assuming only the scaling properties of velocity-increments that are expected (and observed) to hold in turbulent flows. Finally, we have explained the apparent discrepancy between our rigorous upper bounds and the scaling predictions of Kraichnan^{2,3}, as due to cancellations that appear in averages over space. These decorrelation effects have been confirmed by results from a DNS of homogeneous, isotropic Navier-Stokes turbulence.

We should emphasize the importance of the pointwise results on scale locality that were deduced here and in the previous work¹. If local triadic interactions dominated the energy cascade only “on average” and not pointwise in space, for individual flow realizations, then there would be scant basis for the usual hypothesis of small-scale universality. Higher-order statistics in particular could show non-universal effects from such non-local interactions, if they were sizable. Fortunately, this is not the case. It should be noted, however, that simultaneous resolution of the turbulence fields both in space and in scale requires that our decomposition employ bands of constant width on a logarithmic scale. Our upper bounds on non-local contributions are exponentially small in the logarithmic band-number. However, this corresponds to only a slow, power-law decay in length-scale ratios, in agreement with earlier conclusions of Kraichnan^{32,2} and Tennekes & Lumley¹⁶ about the “diffuseness” or “leakiness” of the energy cascade. Thus, very high Reynolds numbers and long inertial ranges could be required before universal statistics are obtained at small scales.

A final conclusion of this paper is that smooth band-decompositions which provide space-scale resolution have energy transfer between bands due mainly to local triadic interactions. It remains to account for the disagreement with DNS studies^{10,11,12,13,4,5,6} that claim to observe transfer predominantly by non-local triads. This is the subject of the following Part II of this work.

Acknowledgements. H.A. wishes to thank Shiyi Chen, Minping Wan and Dmitry Shapovalov for assistance in developing the simulation code used in this work. Computer time was provided by the Digital Laboratory for Multi-Scale Science at the Johns Hopkins University. This work

was supported by NSF grant # ASE-0428325 at the Johns Hopkins University.

Appendix 1: Filter Kernels with Compact Spectral Support

In this appendix we explain briefly some elementary methods to construct filter kernels with compact spectral support, used to simplify several arguments in the text.

The first construction yields a filter kernel whose Fourier transform \widehat{G} is C^∞ and supported inside the ball in Fourier space with $|\mathbf{k}| < 1$. Thus, G is decaying faster than any power of $|\mathbf{r}|$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$ and is analytic in \mathbf{r} . We shall show that both G and \widehat{G} may be chosen, furthermore, to be nonnegative and rotationally symmetric. To begin the construction, define a function $\widehat{G}_0(\mathbf{k})$ of $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ which is C^∞ and supported inside the ball $|\mathbf{k}| < 1/2$. This is a standard problem in analysis. For example, one may take

$$\widehat{G}_0(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{1/4-k^2}\right) & |\mathbf{k}| < 1/2 \\ 0 & |\mathbf{k}| \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

To show that this function is infinitely differentiable it is enough to check that radial k -derivatives of all orders are zero at $k = 1/2$, both from the left and the right. The above property implies that the inverse Fourier transform

$$G_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \widehat{G}_0(\mathbf{k}) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$$

decays faster than any power $|\mathbf{r}|^{-p}$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $G_0(\mathbf{r})$ is real-analytic, i.e. has a convergent power series representation with an infinite radius of convergence. The function $G_0(\mathbf{r})$ is not everywhere positive, but $G(\mathbf{r}) = [G_0(\mathbf{r})]^2$ is so. Also, $G(\mathbf{r})$ is real-analytic and decays faster than any power as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$. It follows easily that

$$\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d p \widehat{G}_0(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{G}_0(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})$$

is positive, C^∞ and compactly supported in the ball $|\mathbf{k}| < 1$. In Figs.3 and 4 we have plotted the 1-dimensional versions of G and \widehat{G} that follow from this construction, with the choice of \widehat{G}_0 given above.

As discussed in the text, it is often convenient to use filter kernels of ‘‘S-type’’, which satisfy the condition (10) in the text for some $\rho > 1$. To construct such a kernel, we first define a function $H(k)$ in Fourier space by

$$H(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq k \leq 1 \\ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{(k-1)(\rho-k)}\right) & 1 < k < \rho \\ 0 & k \geq \rho \end{cases}$$




Figure 3: A filter kernel $G(x)$ which is positive, real analytic, and decays faster than any power at large distances.




Figure 4: The Fourier transform $\hat{G}(k)$ of the kernel in Fig.3 is positive, C^∞ , and compactly supported in the unit ball.

which is C^∞ and compactly supported in the interval $[1, \rho]$. We can now define $\widehat{G}(k)$ by

$$\widehat{G}(k) = 1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \int_0^k dp H(p)$$

with $\mathcal{H} = \int_1^\rho dp H(p) > 0$. Clearly,

$$\widehat{G}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > \rho \end{cases} .$$

$\widehat{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{G}(k)$ is also C^∞ so that its d -dimensional inverse Fourier transform $G(r)$ decays faster than any power r^{-p} as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $G(r)$ is real-analytic in \mathbf{r} , because $\widehat{G}(k)$ is compactly supported.

Note, however, that filter kernels G of ‘‘S-type’’ cannot be non-negative, since

$$\int d^d r |\mathbf{r}|^{2n} G(\mathbf{r}) = \left((-\Delta)^n \widehat{G} \right) (\mathbf{k}) \Big|_{\mathbf{k}=0} = 0$$

for all positive integers n . In that respect, they are similar to the sharp spectral filter, whose physical-space kernel is proportional to a Bessel function that also takes on negative values. The primary advantage of the above construction is that the kernel G is much better localized in physical space than is the kernel of the sharp-spectral filter, which decays only as a power-law r^{-p} for $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Appendix 2: Band-Pass Decomposition of Quadratic Integrals

We show here how to construct a band-decomposition of quadratic integrals for a graded filter. Let us first make some definitions. We denote the filter function at length-scale $\ell_n = \rho^{-n}\ell$ by

$$G_n(\mathbf{r}) = \ell_n^{-d} G(\mathbf{r}/\ell_n)$$

for a smooth filter kernel G in space dimension d . The corresponding low-pass filter is

$$\bar{f}_n(\mathbf{x}) = (G_n * f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} G_n(\mathbf{r}) f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r})$$

for any space function $f(\mathbf{x})$. In our development below we need also the *repeated low-pass filter*

$$\bar{f}_{m,n}(\mathbf{x}) = (G_n * G_{n+1} * \cdots * G_{m-1} * G_m * f)(\mathbf{x})$$

for any $n < m$. Note that we have successively removed larger and larger length-scales, so that this quantity is another type of low-pass filter at length-scale ℓ_n , for any $n < m$. Finally, we define

$$\tau_n(f, g) = \overline{(fg)_n} - \bar{f}_n \bar{g}_n.$$

This is the Germano (1992) “generalized central moment” of 2nd-order, at length-scale ℓ_n .

We observe the following identity for arbitrary space functions f, g and integer $N \geq 0$:

$$\overline{(fg)_{N,0}} = \bar{f}_{N,0} \bar{g}_{N,0} + \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{(\tau_{n-1}(\bar{f}_{N,n}, \bar{g}_{N,n}))}_{n-2,0} + \overline{(\tau_N(f, g))}_{N-1,0}.$$

It is not hard to see that successive terms cancel in this telescoping sum. This identity generalizes the well-known Germano identity¹⁸, to which it reduces when $N = 1$: $\tau_{1,0}(f, g) = \tau_0(\bar{f}_1, \bar{g}_1) + \overline{(\tau_1(f, g))}_0$. Integration over space gives an exact band decomposition of a general quadratic integral,

$$\int fg = \int \bar{f}_{N,0} \bar{g}_{N,0} + \sum_{n=1}^N \int \tau_{n-1}(\bar{f}_{N,n}, \bar{g}_{N,n}) + \int \tau_N(f, g). \quad (\text{A-1})$$

Here we have used the fact that $\int \bar{f} = \int f$ for any filtered quantity. The physical interpretation of (A-1) is that the first term corresponds to the contribution from length-scales $> \ell_0$, the next terms for $n = 1, \dots, N$ correspond, respectively, to contributions

from length-scales between ℓ_{n-1} and ℓ_n , and the final term corresponds to contributions from length-scales $< \ell_N$.

A simplified formula holds for filter kernels of “S-type”. In this case, $\bar{f}_{N,n} = \bar{f}_n$ for all $n < N$. Therefore,

$$\int fg = \int \bar{f}_0 \bar{g}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \int \tau_{n-1}(\bar{f}_n, \bar{g}_n) + \int \tau_N(f, g)$$

This gives the formula (11) of the text if one takes $f = g = u_i$ and then sums over i .

References

- ¹ G. L. Eyink. Locality of turbulent cascades. *Physica D*, 207:91–116, 2005.
- ² R. H. Kraichnan. Isotropic turbulence and inertial-range structure. *Phys. Fluids*, 9:1728–1752, 1966.
- ³ R. H. Kraichnan. Inertial-range transfer in two- and three-dimensional turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 47:525–535, 1971.
- ⁴ A. Alexakis, P. D. Mininni, and A. Pouquet. Imprint of large-scale flows on turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95(26):264503, 2005.
- ⁵ P. D. Mininni, A. Alexakis, and A. Pouquet. Large-scale flow effects, energy transfer, and self-similarity on turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E*, 74(1):016303, 2006.
- ⁶ P. D. Mininni, A. Alexakis, and A. Pouquet. Nonlocal interactions in hydrodynamic turbulence at high Reynolds numbers: The slow emergence of scaling laws. *Phys. Rev. E*, 77(3):036306, 2008.
- ⁷ J. A. Domaradzki and D. Carati. An analysis of the energy transfer and the locality of nonlinear interactions in turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 19(8):085112, 2007.
- ⁸ J. A. Domaradzki and D. Carati. A comparison of spectral sharp and smooth filters in the analysis of nonlinear interactions and energy transfer in turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 19(8):085111, 2007.
- ⁹ J. A. Domaradzki, B. Teaca, and D. Carati. Locality properties of the energy flux in turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 21(2):025106, 2009.
- ¹⁰ J. G. Brasseur and S. Corrsin. Spectral evolution of the Navier-Stokes equations for low order couplings of Fourier modes. In *Advances in turbulence; Proceedings of the First European Turbulence Conference, Ecully, France, July 1-4, 1986*. Springer-Verlag, New York., pages 152–162, 1987.
- ¹¹ P. K. Yeung and J. G. Brasseur. The response of isotropic turbulence to isotropic and anisotropic forcing at the large scales. *Phys. Fluids*, 3:884–897, 1991.
- ¹² J. A. Domaradzki and R. S. Rogallo. Local energy transfer and nonlocal interactions in homogeneous, isotropic turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 2:413–426, 1990.

- ¹³ K. Ohkitani and S. Kida. Triad interactions in a forced turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 4:794–802, 1992.
- ¹⁴ Y. Zhou. Degrees of locality of energy transfer in the inertial range. *Phys. Fluids*, 5:1092–1094, 1993.
- ¹⁵ Y. Zhou. Interacting scales and energy transfer in isotropic turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 5:2511–2524, 1993.
- ¹⁶ H. Tennekes and J. L. Lumley. *A First Course in Turbulence*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1972.
- ¹⁷ H. Aluie and G. L. Eyink. Localness of energy cascade in hydrodynamic turbulence, II. Sharp-spectral filter. *Phys. Fluids*, 2009. companion paper in this issue.
- ¹⁸ M. Germano. Turbulence - The filtering approach. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 238:325–336, 1992.
- ¹⁹ B. Vreman, B. Geurts, and H. Kuerten. Realizability conditions for the turbulent stress tensor in large-eddy simulation. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 278:351–362, 1994.
- ²⁰ R. H. Kraichnan. Kolmogorov’s hypotheses and Eulerian turbulence theory. *Phys. Fluids*, 7:1723–1734, 1964.
- ²¹ C. G. Speziale. Galilean invariance of subgrid-scale stress models in the large-eddy simulation of turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 156:55–62, 1985.
- ²² A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander, and R. Shvydkoy. Energy conservation and onsager’s conjecture for the euler equations. *Nonlinearity*, 21(6):1233–1252, 2008.
- ²³ U. Frisch. *Turbulence. The legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 1995.
- ²⁴ U. Frisch and M. Vergassola. Wavelet transforms of self-similar processes. *Physica D*, 54:58–64, 1991.
- ²⁵ A. Arnéodo, E. Bacry, and J. F. Muzy. Oscillating singularities in locally self-similar functions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74:4823–4826., 1995.
- ²⁶ G. L. Eyink. Besov spaces and the multifractal hypothesis. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 78:353–375, 1995.

- ²⁷ V. Perrier and C. Basdevant. Besov norms in terms of the continuous wavelet transform: Application to structure functions. *Math. Model. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 6:649–664, 1996.
- ²⁸ A. N. Kolmogorov. A refinement of previous hypotheses concerning the local structure of turbulence in a viscous incompressible fluid at high Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 13:82–85, 1962.
- ²⁹ G. L. Eyink. Lagrangian field theory, multifractals, and universal scaling in turbulence. *Phys. Lett. A*, 172:355–360, 1993.
- ³⁰ V. L’vov and I. Procaccia. Fusion rules in turbulent systems with flux equilibrium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 76:2898–2901, 1996.
- ³¹ R. Benzi, L. Biferale, G. Ruiz-Chavarria, S. Ciliberto, and F. Toschi. Multiscale velocity correlation in turbulence: Experiments, numerical simulations, synthetic signals. *Phys. Fluids*, 11:2215–2224, 1999.
- ³² R. H. Kraichnan. The structure of isotropic turbulence at very high Reynolds numbers. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 5:497–543, 1959.