

Graphene, neutrino mass and oscillation

Zhong-Yue Wang

Research Center, SBSC, No. 580, Songhuang Road

Shanghai 201703, China

E-mail: zhongyuewang(at)yahoo(dot)com(dot)cn

Abstract: In 2007 a sonic Michelson-Morley experiment was carried out and the result is also null[1] consistent with the hypothesis to establish a system similar to special relativity provided the light speed c is replaced by the constant speed c_s of mechanical waves[2]. Thereby we interpreted why the energy-momentum relation $E = pc_s \propto p$ of a phonon seems like a photon, the extreme relativistic particle. Furthermore, we thought other constant velocities can play the role of c as well. Recently, electrons in graphene were discovered to behave as the coefficient is a Fermi velocity V_F [3]. Finally, a conjecture for neutrinos to avoid the contradiction among two-component theory, negative rest mass-square [4] and oscillation is presented.

Key words: Abraham-Minkowski controversy; Cherenkov effect; transition radiation; graphene; Josephson junction; Unruh effect ; gravitational redshift; neutrino;

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p; 14.60.Pq; 14.60.Lm; 04.80.Cc; 03.50.De; 41.60.Bq; 41.60.Dk; 78.67.-n;

1. Introduction

Two main theories to the energy-momentum tensor of an electromagnetic field in media were proposed by Minkowski (1908) and Abraham (1909) [5].

Minkowski's momentum $E/c = hf/n < hf/c$

accords with de Broglie formula $p = h/\lambda$ of quantum mechanics. But Abraham's expression

$E/cn = hf/cn < hf/c$ can be deduced from the

theory of relativity($p = mV, m = E/c^2, V = c/n$).

How to resolve this puzzle?

Actually, mechanical equations of relativity

$$E = mc^2 = \frac{m_0 c^2}{\sqrt{1 - V^2/c^2}}$$

$$p = mV = \frac{m_0 V}{\sqrt{1 - V^2/c^2}}$$

$$\frac{E}{p} = \frac{c^2}{V}$$

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4$$

are merely tenable to free particles. They cannot be

applied to describe photons in media due to interactions between the field and matter.

2. Solution

Permittivity ϵ and permeability μ of media are different to ϵ_0 and μ_0 in vacuum which has contained the mentioned interaction. Therefore, we

try to introduce $u = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$ to take the place of $c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$

$$E = mu^2 = \frac{m_0 u^2}{\sqrt{1 - V^2/u^2}}$$

$$p = mV = \frac{m_0 V}{\sqrt{1 - V^2/u^2}}$$

$$\frac{E}{p} = \frac{u^2}{V}$$

$$E^2 = p^2 u^2 + m_0^2 u^4$$

to study particles in a medium as if they are free because the interaction is equivalent to the change of

$1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ to $u = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$. The correctness can be

judged by comparison with other theories and experiments. For instance, the energy-mass and energy-momentum relation of a photon within media is

$$\frac{E}{m} = u^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu}$$

$$\frac{E}{p} = \frac{u^2}{V} = \frac{u^2}{u} = u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}$$

whose velocity V is the energy flow velocity $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}$. They are in agreement with following outcomes of electrodynamics

$$\frac{w}{M} = \frac{w\mathbf{V}}{M\mathbf{V}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} / \mu}{\varepsilon \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu} = u^2$$

$$\frac{w}{g} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon E^2 + \frac{1}{\mu} B^2)}{\varepsilon E B} = \frac{\varepsilon E^2}{\varepsilon E B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}$$

(w _energy density, M _mass density, \mathbf{V} _velocity, \mathbf{S} _Poynting's vector, \mathbf{g} _momentum density)

In vacuum, $u = c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}$ and they reduce to known equations of relativity. Minkowski's formula $p = nE/c$ is correct.

3. Cherenkov effect

These equivalent mechanical equations are still valid to massive particles. Consider the radiation of an electron moving in the medium.

Momentum conservation: $\mathbf{p}_1 - \hbar\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p}_2$

\mathbf{p}_1 is the initial momentum of the particle and $\hbar\mathbf{k}$ is the momentum of the emitted photon. Thus,

$$p_1^2 - 2p_1\hbar k \cos\theta + \hbar^2 k^2 = p_2^2$$

$$\cos\theta = \frac{p_1^2 + \hbar^2 k^2 - p_2^2}{2p_1\hbar k}$$

Meanwhile, energy conservation is

$$E_1 - \hbar\omega = E_2$$

If the energy is $E = \sqrt{m_0^2 u^4 + p^2 u^2}$,

$$m_0^2 u^4 + p_1^2 u^2 + (\hbar\omega)^2 - 2\hbar\omega E_1 = m_0^2 u^4 + p_2^2 u^2$$

$$p_1^2 + (\hbar\omega/u)^2 - 2\hbar\omega E_1/u^2 = p_2^2$$

Substituting it into the expression of the angle,

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2 - (\hbar\omega/u)^2 + 2\hbar\omega E_1/u^2}{2p_1\hbar k}$$

Owing to $u = \omega/k = c/n$ and $E_1/p_1 = u^2/V_1$,

$$\cos\theta = \frac{2\hbar\omega E_1/u^2}{2p_1\hbar k} = \frac{\omega/k}{V_1} = \frac{c/n}{V_1}$$

In the case of $V_1 < c/n$, clearly, $\cos\theta > 1$ and the radiation is forbidden. The Cherenkov effect occurs when the charged particle is faster than the phase velocity ω/k .

4. Transition radiation

According to the equivalent theory, the energy to a charged particle in the medium n_1 and n_2 is

$$E_1 = \sqrt{m_0^2 u_1^4 + p_1^2 u_1^2} \quad (u_1 = c/n_1)$$

$$E_2 = \sqrt{m_0^2 u_2^4 + p_2^2 u_2^2} \quad (u_2 = c/n_2)$$

There should exist a radiation to keep energy-momentum conservation while the particle entering n_2 from n_1 . It is just the transition radiation pointed out by Frank and Ginzburg in 1940s. Here,

$$E_1 = E_2 + \hbar\omega \quad (\hbar k = \frac{\hbar\omega}{u_2} = \frac{n_2 \hbar\omega}{c})$$

$$m_0^2 u_1^4 + p_1^2 u_1^2 + (\hbar\omega)^2 - 2\hbar\omega E_1 = m_0^2 u_2^4 + p_2^2 u_2^2$$

$$m_0^2 \frac{u_1^4}{u_2^2} + p_1^2 \frac{u_1^2}{u_2^2} + (\hbar k)^2 - \frac{2\hbar\omega E_1}{u_2^2} = m_0^2 u_2^2 + p_2^2$$

$$p_1^2 + (\hbar k)^2 - p_2^2 = m_0^2 \left(u_2^2 - \frac{u_1^4}{u_2^2} \right) + p_1^2 \left(1 - \frac{u_1^2}{u_2^2} \right) + \frac{2\hbar\omega E_1}{u_2^2}$$

$$\cos\theta = \frac{m_0^2 c^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_2^2} - \frac{n_2^2}{n_1^4} \right) + p_1^2 \left(1 - \frac{n_2^2}{n_1^2} \right)}{2p_1 \hbar \omega n_2 / c} + \frac{c/n_2}{V_1} \frac{n_2^2}{n_1^2}$$

which is the Cherenkov angle $\cos\theta = \frac{c/n}{V_1}$ on the

condition of $n_1 = n_2 = n$.

5. Graphene and superconductors

The Fermi velocity of condensed matter physics can take the place of c too [3]. So, the energy gap is treated as $E_g = 2m_0c^2 \rightarrow 2m_0V_F^2$ in view of Dirac's theory to antiparticles and the equivalent equation to electrons in an uniform electric field F should be

$$\left\{ \alpha V_F \mathbf{p} + \beta \frac{E_g}{2} - eFz - E \right\} \psi = 0$$

The exact solution of

$$\left\{ \alpha \mathbf{c} \mathbf{p} + \beta m_0 c^2 - eFz - E \right\} \psi = 0$$

had been given [6] and $c \longleftrightarrow V_F$,

$m_0 c^2 \longleftrightarrow \frac{E_g}{2}$. The tunneling probability[7]

$$P = \frac{e^2 F^2}{4\pi^3 \hbar^2 c} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{n\pi m_0^2 c^3}{e\hbar F}\right)$$

is now [8]

$$P \propto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{n\pi E_g^2}{4e\hbar F V_F}\right)$$

On the other hand, the Unruh effect

$$k_B T = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi c} a \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{2\pi V_F} a \quad \text{of general relativity}$$

(k_B _Boltzman constant; T _temperature;

a _acceleration) is helpful to estimate the coherent

length ξ of superconducting carriers. The energy

gap $E_g = 2\Delta$ equals twice of the rest energy of a

quasiparticle $m_0 c^2 \rightarrow m_0 V_F^2$. Consequently, the

force between two particles is

$$m_0 a_c = \frac{\Delta}{V_F^2} \frac{2\pi V_F k_B T_c}{\hbar} = \frac{2\pi \Delta k_B T_c}{\hbar V_F}$$

The work is $m_0 a_c \xi = E_g$ and

$$\xi = \frac{E_g}{m_0 a_c} = \frac{2\Delta}{m_0 a_c} = \frac{\hbar V_F}{\pi k T_c}$$

has the same order of magnitude of

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar V_F}{\Delta} \xrightarrow{2\Delta=3.53kT_c} \frac{\hbar V_F}{1.76kT_c}$$

in the BSC theory.

The frequency of the a.c.Joesphson effect is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = \frac{2eU}{\hbar} = \frac{2 \int e(F_1 - F_2) dl}{\hbar}$$

When the voltage is zero and a heat flow is across the junction,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = \frac{2 \int m_0(a_1 - a_2) dl}{\hbar} = \frac{4\pi k \Delta}{\hbar^2 V_F} \int (T_1 - T_2) dl$$

Δ is a variation related to the temperature and a more precise formula to $T_1 \neq T_2$ should be

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = \frac{2 \int (m_1 a_1 - m_2 a_2) dl}{\hbar} = \frac{4\pi k}{\hbar^2 V_F} \int (\Delta_1 T_1 - \Delta_2 T_2) dl$$

Such a frequency is in the radio region[9]. This cannot be interpreted to be the thermoelectric effect because an extra phase rather than current is induced. The temperature-phase phenomenon can be regarded as the analogue of the converse Unruh effect in condensed matter physics. It should be dependent on temperature gradient and not the quantity of heat.

6. Optical experiment to test the hypothesis

The addition rule of collinear velocities is

$$v_{sum} = \frac{v_1 + v_2}{1 + \frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2}}$$

Hence, in Fizeau's experiment(1850s),

$$\frac{\frac{c}{n} \pm V_w}{1 \pm \frac{n V_w}{c}} \approx \left(\frac{c}{n} \pm V_w\right) \left(1 \mp \frac{V_w}{cn}\right) \approx \frac{c}{n} \pm \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) V_w$$

where $v_1 = \frac{c}{n}$ is the light speed in still water,

$$v_2 = \pm V_w \text{ is the velocity of water and } 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} = k$$

is the Fresnel drag coefficient. Shifts of interference fringes are

$$\Delta N = \frac{\Delta t}{T} = f \left(\frac{2L}{\frac{c}{n} - kV_w} - \frac{2L}{\frac{c}{n} + kV_w} \right) \approx \frac{4Ln^2 f k V_w}{c^2}$$

Postulate to do the experiment in a transparent medium whose refractive index is $n' = c/u$. The transformation corresponding to those equivalent mechanical equations is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \\ t' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -V \\ \sqrt{1-\frac{V^2}{u^2}} & & & \sqrt{1-\frac{V^2}{u^2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -V/u^2 & & & 1 \\ \sqrt{1-\frac{V^2}{u^2}} & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1-\frac{V^2}{u^2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{pmatrix}$$

and reduced to the Lorentz transformation in vacuum. Herewith, the addition rule of velocities will be

$$\frac{v_1 + v_2}{1 + \frac{v_1 v_2}{u^2}} \quad (u = \frac{c}{n'})$$

In this experiment,

$$v_{sum} = \frac{\frac{c}{n} \pm V_w}{1 \pm \frac{n V_w}{c}} \approx \left(\frac{c}{n} \pm V_w\right) \left(1 \mp \frac{V_w}{cn}\right) \approx \frac{c}{n} \pm \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) V_w$$

The drag coefficient is now $k = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$. In

vacuum or air, $n' \rightarrow 1$ and the coefficient is

$$\text{Fresnel's } k \approx 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

7. Test in a gravitational field

The metric of the extended Lorentz transformation is

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 - u^2 dt^2$$

Obviously, in a gravitational field

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2GM}{u^2 r}} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{u^2 r}\right) u^2 dt^2$$

$$\frac{f_1}{f_2} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{u^2 r_2}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{u^2 r_1}}} \approx \frac{1 - \frac{GM}{u^2 r_2}}{1 - \frac{GM}{u^2 r_1}}$$

On the surface of the earth, $\Delta f / f \approx g H / u^2$ is in accordance with the result of Newton's theory.

$$\Delta E = mg H$$

$$E = hf, \quad \Delta E = h\Delta f, \quad m = E / u^2 = E\epsilon\mu$$

$$h\Delta f = \frac{hf}{u^2} g H$$

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \frac{g H}{u^2}$$

The shift depends upon the product $\epsilon\mu$ instead of the phase velocity, group velocity, etc. The value of a γ -photon is approximate to $1/c^2$ in the well-known experiment of Pound, *et al* [10] and we suggest to

measure wherein $1/\epsilon\mu$ is low enough such as ultra-high dielectric constant media. As to a left-handed-material(LHM), the shift is reversed.

Likewise, it is interesting to test the gravitational shift of mechanical waves experimentally[2]. The mass-energy equation of a phonon is $E = mc_s^2$ [2] and

$$hf_1 - \frac{GM}{r_1} \frac{hf_1}{c_s^2} = hf_2 - \frac{GM}{r_2} \frac{hf_2}{c_s^2}$$

Generally speaking, $\frac{GM}{c_s^2 r} \gg 1$ so that

$$-\frac{GM}{r_1} \frac{hf_1}{c_s^2} = -\frac{GM}{r_2} \frac{hf_2}{c_s^2}$$

$$\frac{f_1}{f_2} = \frac{r_1}{r_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} \approx -\frac{H}{R} \quad (R = 6371 \text{ km} \text{ _radius of earth})$$

According to "general sonic relativity",

$$\frac{f_1}{f_2} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{c_s^2 r_2}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{c_s^2 r_1}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{c_s^2 r_2} - 1}}{\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{c_s^2 r_1} - 1}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f} \approx -\frac{H}{2R}$$

The difference between the Newton theory is detectable.

8. Neutrinos

Assume the neutrino has a constant speed c_v . It is

possible but unnecessary to be the light speed c . Thereafter, we can establish a mechanical system similar to special relativity and c is replaced by c_v , whose formula should be

$$E = mc_v^2 = \frac{m_0 c_v^2}{\sqrt{1 - V^2 / c_v^2}}$$

$$p = mV = \frac{m_0 V}{\sqrt{1 - V^2 / c_v^2}}$$

$$\frac{E}{p} = \frac{c_v^2}{V}$$

$$E^2 = p^2 c_v^2 + m_0^2 c_v^4$$

The rest mass of a neutrino is zero and energy-momentum relation is $E = pc_v$, on account of

$V = c_v$, and thus parity is non-conservational.

Whereas, people are used to choose

$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4$ to fit experimental data

$$E^2 = \frac{E^2}{c_v^2} c^2 + m_0^2 c^4$$

that m_0^2 must be negative[4] if $c_v < c$. Maybe three flavours have different velocities, e.g.

$c_{\nu\tau} < c_{\nu\mu} < c_{\nu e} < c$. In brief,

$c_{\nu e}$ is constant, $m_{0(\nu e)} = 0$, Parity violation;

$c_{\nu\mu}$ is constant, $m_{0(\nu\mu)} = 0$, Parity violation;

$c_{\nu\tau}$ is constant, $m_{0(\nu\tau)} = 0$, Parity violation;

$c_{\nu\tau} \neq c_{\nu\mu} \neq c_{\nu e}$ behaves as oscillation.

$c_v \approx c$ in light of the rest mass of ν_e from experiments is proximal to zero.

References:

- [1]. Liu,W., Su,B., Xi,D., *et al.* Michelson-Morley Experiment in Sound Interference of Compressible Fluid, ***Mechanical Science and Technology for Aerospace Engineering***, volume26, No.,9, 1144-1146 (in Chinese)
- [2]. Wang, Z., On the dynamics of mechanical waves and phonons, ***Journal of Pure and Applied Ultrasonics***, **22**(4), 106-110(2000)

-
- [3]. Novoselov, K.S., Gein, A.K., Morozov,S.V., *et al.* Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene *Nature* 438, 197–200(2005)
- [4]. Review of Particles Physics, *Phys. Rev. D* 54,280(1996)
- [5]. Bowyer,P., The momentum of light in media: the Abraham-Minkowski controversy (2005),
http://peter.mapledesign.co.uk/writings/physics/2005_dissertation_The_Abraham-Minkowski_Controversy.pdf
- [6]. Ley, K., Wang, R., Ren,S., *et al.* Charged spin-1/2 particles in uniform electrical field, *Journal of University of Science and Technology of China*, vol.13, No.2,167–181(1983)
- [7]. Itzykson, C., Zuber, J-B., *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw-Hill(1980), Chap.4-3-3
- [8]. Jena, D., Fang, T., Zhang,Q., *et al.* Zener Tunneling in semiconducting nanotube and graphene nanoribbon p–n junctions, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 112106(2008)
- [9]. Panaitov,G.I., Ryazanov, V.V., Ustinov,A.V., *et al.*, Thermoelectric a.c.Josephson effect in SNS junctions, *Phys. Lett. A* **100**, 301-303(1984)
- [10]. Pound, R.V., Rebka, G.A., Apparent weight of photons, *Phys Rev.Lett.* **4**, 337-341(1960)