

**KOLMOGOROV EQUATION ASSOCIATED  
TO THE STOCHASTIC REFLECTION PROBLEM ON  
A SMOOTH CONVEX SET OF A HILBERT SPACE**

BY VIOREL BARBU,<sup>1</sup> GIUSEPPE DA PRATO<sup>2</sup> AND LUCIANO TUBARO<sup>2</sup>

*University Al. I. Cuza, Scuola Normale Superiore and University of Trento*

We consider the stochastic reflection problem associated with a self-adjoint operator  $A$  and a cylindrical Wiener process on a convex set  $K$  with nonempty interior and regular boundary  $\Sigma$  in a Hilbert space  $H$ . We prove the existence and uniqueness of a smooth solution for the corresponding elliptic infinite-dimensional Kolmogorov equation with Neumann boundary condition on  $\Sigma$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let us consider a stochastic differential inclusion in a Hilbert space  $H$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + N_K(X(t))) dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

Here  $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  is a self-adjoint operator,  $K = \{x \in H : g(x) \leq 1\}$ , where  $g: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and of class  $C^\infty$ ,  $N_K(x)$  is the normal cone to  $K$  at  $x$  and  $W(t)$  is a cylindrical Wiener process in  $H$  (see Hypothesis 1.1 for more precise assumptions). Obviously the expression in (1.1) is formal and its precise meaning should be defined.

When  $H$  is finite-dimensional a solution to (1.1) is a pair of continuous adapted processes  $(X, \eta)$  such that  $X$  is  $K$ -valued,  $\eta$  is of bounded variation with  $d\eta$  concentrated on the set of times where  $X(t) \in \Sigma$  (the boundary of  $K$ ) and

$$X(t) + \int_0^t AX(s) ds + \eta(t) = x + W(t), \quad t \geq 0, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

---

Received May 2008; revised September 2008.

<sup>1</sup>Supported by Grant PN-II ID\_404 (2007–2010) of Romanian Minister of Research.

<sup>2</sup>Supported in part by the Italian National Project MURST “Equazioni di Kolmogorov.”

*AMS 2000 subject classifications.* 60J60, 47D07, 15A63, 31C25.

*Key words and phrases.* Reflected process, convex sets, Dirichlet forms, Kolmogorov operators, Gaussian measures, infinite-dimensional Neumann problem.

<p>This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the <a href="#">Institute of Mathematical Statistics</a> in <i>The Annals of Probability</i>, 2009, Vol. 37, No. 4, 1427–1458. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.</p>
---

$$\int_0^T (d\eta(t), X(t) - z(t)) \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

for all  $z \in C([0, T]; K)$ . The existence and uniqueness of a solution  $(X, \eta)$  to latter equation was first proven by Cépa in [5]. (See also [3] for a slightly different formulation.)

Therefore, under the assumptions of [3] or [5], one can construct a transition semigroup in  $C(K)$  by the usual formula

$$P_t\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))], \quad t \geq 0, \varphi \in C(K).$$

The infinitesimal generator  $L$  of  $P_t$  is the Kolmogorov operator

$$L\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi + \langle Ax, D\varphi \rangle$$

equipped with a Neumann condition at the boundary  $\Sigma$  of  $K$ . (See, e.g., [3], where the more general case of oblique derivative boundary conditions were also considered.)

Let us go now to the infinite-dimensional situation. In this context (1.1) was first studied by Nualart and Pardoux [18], when  $H = L^2(0, 1)$ ,  $A$  is the Laplace operator with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions and  $K$  is the convex set of all nonnegative functions of  $L^2(0, 1)$ ; see also [13].

The Kolmogorov operator in this situation was described by Zambotti [21], in the space  $L^2(H, \nu)$  where  $\nu$  is the law of the 3D-Bessel Bridge which coincides with the unique invariant measure of (1.1). Zambotti was able to show that the Dirichlet form

$$a(u, v) = \int_K \langle Du, Dv \rangle d\nu$$

is closable by proving a suitable integration by parts formula and to construct the corresponding Markov semigroup.

Except the situation mentioned above, no existence and uniqueness results for (1.1) are known for the infinite-dimensional equation (1.1). Also it was so far open the characterization of the of the domain of the corresponding Kolmogorov operator.

In this paper we shall consider a regular convex set  $K$  with nonempty interior and, though this does not cover the case considered by [21], we are able, however, to get sharp informations on the Kolmogorov generator for a quite general class of convex sets  $K$ . In this way, though we are not able to approach directly the stochastic variational problem (1.1), we can instead find a regular solution of the corresponding infinite-dimensional Kolmogorov equation equipped with the Neumann boundary condition,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}[D^2\varphi] - \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle = f, & x \in K, \\ \langle D\varphi, N_K(x) \rangle = 0, & \forall x \in \Sigma, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$ .

In this way we obtain a Markov semigroup  $P_t$  which by the results of [16] provides a process corresponding to a martingale solution of (1.1) (see also the forthcoming paper [1]).

A basic tool we are using is a co-area formula from Malliavin; see [17] valid for  $g$  of class  $C^\infty$ . Moreover, in the Appendix we present a direct proof of this formula when  $g$  is  $C^2$  and fulfills some additional conditions which are covered in several situations, for instance when  $K$  is a ball; in that case the co-area formula was proved (1979) by Hertle [14].

Let us explain the content of this paper. As we said, we take a convex set of the form  $K = \{x \in H : g(x) \leq 1\}$  where  $g : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^\infty$  and with second order derivative  $D^2g$  positive definite. Then we consider the probability measure  $\nu$  given for any Borel set  $I$  of  $K$  by

$$\nu(I) = \frac{\mu(I)}{\mu(K)},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Gaussian measure (corresponding to the linear problem without reflection) of mean 0 and covariance  $Q = \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}$ .

In Section 2, by exploiting a basic infinite-dimensional co-area formula, see [17], we are able to prove an integration by parts formula for  $\nu$ . This allows us to show in Section 3 that the Dirichlet form

$$a(u, v) = \int_K \langle Du, Dv \rangle d\nu$$

is closable (see also [1] for a different approach). In this way, by the usual variational theory, we can define its generator  $N$  and construct the corresponding Markov transition semigroup  $P_t$ , which is reversible since  $N$  is self adjoint.

In Section 4 we study the Kolmogorov equation (1.2) by the classical method of penalization

$$\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}[D^2\varphi_\varepsilon] + \langle x, AD\varphi_\varepsilon \rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\langle x - \Pi_K(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon \rangle = f, \quad x \in H, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Pi_K(x)$  is the projection of  $x$  on  $K$ . We show that  $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$  strongly converges to the solution  $\varphi = (\lambda I - N)^{-1}f$  of (1.2) and that

$$D(N) \subset \left\{ \varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu) : \int_K |A^{1/2}D\varphi|^2 d\nu < +\infty \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \langle D\varphi, N_K(x) \rangle = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

These results seem to be new in infinite dimensions; see [2, 3, 7] for the finite-dimensional case.

Finally, Section 5 is devoted to equations of the form

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + F(X(t)) + N_K(X(t))) dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where  $F: H \rightarrow H$  is a nonlinear perturbation of  $A$ .

In Section 5.1 we assume that  $F = DV$  where  $V: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a regular potential. This case is an easy generalization of the previous one (i.e., when  $F = 0$ ), namely measure  $\nu$  is replaced by the following one:

$$\zeta(dx) = \frac{e^{-2V(x)}}{\int_K e^{-2V(y)} \nu(dy)} \nu(dx).$$

This extension is briefly described in that section.

In Section 5.2 the case of a bounded Borel function  $F$ , not necessarily of potential type, is considered. Here we can solve the Kolmogorov equation

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[D^2\varphi] + \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle - \langle F(x), D\varphi \rangle = f, & x \in K, \\ \langle D\varphi, N_K(x) \rangle = 0, & \forall x \in \Sigma \end{cases}$$

by a straightforward perturbation argument, taking advantage of the inclusion (1.4). In this way we obtain a solution  $\varphi \in D(N)$  of (1.6) only for  $\lambda$  sufficiently large. Also, obviously, measure  $\nu$  is not invariant for the corresponding semigroup  $Q_t$ . However, using the fact that operator  $Q_t$  is compact in  $L^2(K, \nu)$ , we can show the existence of an invariant measure  $\zeta$  for  $Q_t$  so that the extension of  $Q_t$  to  $L^1(K, \zeta)$  is the natural transition semigroup associated with (1.5). Notice, however, that this semigroup is not reversible (when  $F$  is not of potential type).

We conclude this section by precisising assumptions and notation which will be used throughout in what follows.

*Assumptions.* We are given a real separable Hilbert space  $H$  (with scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm denoted by  $|\cdot|$ ). Concerning  $A$ ,  $K$  and  $W$  we shall assume that:

**HYPOTHESIS 1.1.** (i)  $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  is a linear self-adjoint operator on  $H$  such that  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \delta|x|^2, \forall x \in D(A)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Moreover,  $A^{-1}$  is of trace class.

(ii) There exists a convex  $C^\infty$  function  $g: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $D^2g$  positively defined, that is,  $\langle D^2g(x)h, h \rangle \geq \gamma|h|^2, \forall h \in H$  where  $\gamma > 0$ , such that

$$K = \{x \in H : g(x) \leq 1\}, \quad \Sigma = \{x \in H : g(x) = 1\}.$$

(iii)  $W$  is a cylindrical Wiener process on  $H$  of the form

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(t) e_k, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $\{\beta_k\}$  is a sequence of mutually independent real Brownian motions on a filtered probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  (see, e.g., [8]) and  $\{e_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $H$  which will be taken as a system of eigen-functions for  $A$  for simplicity, that is,

$$Ae_k = \alpha_k e_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\alpha_k \geq \delta$ .

We notice that the interior  $K^\circ$  is nonempty since  $D^2g$  is positive definite.

*Notation.* We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  [resp.  $\mathcal{B}(K)$ ] the  $\sigma$ -field of all Borel subsets of  $H$  (resp.  $K$ ) and by  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  [resp.  $\mathcal{P}(K)$ ] the set of all probability measures on  $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$  [resp.  $(K, \mathcal{B}(K))$ ].

Everywhere in the following  $D\varphi$  is the derivative of a function  $\varphi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By  $D^2\varphi: H \rightarrow L(H, H)$  we shall denote the second derivative of  $\varphi$ . We shall denote also by  $C_b(H)$  and  $C_b^k(H)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the spaces of all continuous and bounded functions on  $H$  and, respectively, of  $k$ -times differentiable functions with continuous and bounded derivatives. The space  $C^k(K)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is defined as the space of restrictions of functions of  $C_b^k(H)$  to the subset  $K$ .

The boundary of  $K$  will be denoted by  $\Sigma$ .  $N_K(x)$  is the normal cone to  $K$  at  $x$ , that is,

$$N_K(x) = \{z \in H : \langle z, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in K\}.$$

Moreover, we shall denote by  $d_K(x)$  the distance of  $x$  from  $K$  and by  $I_K$  the indicator function of  $K$ ,

$$I_K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in K, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $U_\varepsilon$  will represent the Moreau approximation of  $I_K$  given by

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = \inf \left\{ I_K(y) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} |x - y|^2, y \in H \right\} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} d_K(x)^2, \quad x \in H.$$

It is well known that

$$DU_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x - \Pi_K(x)), \quad x \in H, \varepsilon > 0,$$

where  $\Pi_K(x)$  is the projection of  $x$  over  $K$ . In particular, we have

$$(1.7) \quad D(d_K^2(x)) = x - \Pi_K(x) \quad \forall x \in K^c,$$

( $K^c$  is the complement of  $K$ ) which implies

$$(1.8) \quad Dd_K(x) = \frac{x - \Pi_K(x)}{d_K(x)} \quad \forall x \in K^c.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x))$  the exterior normal at  $\Pi_K(x)$ ,

$$\mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)) = \frac{x - \Pi_K(x)}{d_K(x)} \quad \forall x \in K^c.$$

From (1.8) we deduce that

$$(1.9) \quad D(x - \Pi_K(x)) = Dd_K(x) \otimes Dd_K(x) + d_K(x)D^2d_K(x) \quad \forall x \in K^c.$$

Finally,  $\mu$  will represent the Gaussian measure in  $H$  with mean 0 and covariance operator

$$Q := \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}.$$

Since  $A$  is strictly positive  $\mu$  is nondegenerate and full. We set

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{2\alpha_k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that

$$Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$  the space of all real and imaginary parts of exponential functions  $e^{i\langle h, x \rangle}$ ,  $h \in D(A)$ . Then the operator  $D: \mathcal{E}_A(H) \subset L^2(H, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(H, \mu; H)$  is closable in  $L^2(H, \mu)$  and the domain of its closure is denoted by  $W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$  (the Sobolev space).

The following integration by parts formula for the measure  $\mu$  is well known (see, e.g., [9]). For any  $\varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$  and  $z \in H$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad \int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \psi d\mu = - \int_H \langle D\psi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \varphi d\mu + \int_H W_z \varphi \psi d\mu,$$

where  $W_z$  represents the *white noise* function,

$$(1.11) \quad W_z(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \langle x, e_k \rangle \langle z, e_k \rangle \quad \forall z \text{ and } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in H.$$

We recall that  $W_z$  is a Gaussian random variable in  $L^2(H, \mu)$  with mean 0 and covariance  $|z|^2$ .

**2. The measure  $\mu$  conditioned to  $K$ .** We denote by  $\nu$  the Gaussian measure  $\mu$  conditioned to  $K$ , that is,

$$\nu(I) = \frac{\mu(K \cap I)}{\mu(K)} \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Since  $\mu$  is full and  $K^\circ$  is nonempty, this definition is meaningful. We notice that, thanks to Hypothesis 1.1(ii) the surface measure  $\mu_\Sigma$  is well defined (see [17]).

We want now to prove an integration by parts formula with respect to measure  $\nu$  which generalizes (1.10). For this it is convenient to introduce a sequence of approximating measures  $\{\nu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  defined by,

$$(2.1) \quad \nu_\varepsilon(dx) = \rho_\varepsilon(x)\mu(dx), \quad x \in H,$$

where,

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_\varepsilon(x) = Z_\varepsilon^{-1} e^{-1/\varepsilon d_K^2(x)}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad Z_\varepsilon = \int_H e^{-1/\varepsilon d_K^2(y)} \mu(dy).$$

Notice that, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_\varepsilon = Z_0 = \mu(K),$$

whereas

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

So, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_\varepsilon = \nu \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{P}(H).$$

Moreover

$$(2.7) \quad D\rho_\varepsilon(x) = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \rho_\varepsilon(x)(x - \Pi_K(x)),$$

so that  $\rho_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$ .

We shall denote by  $L^2(K, \nu)$  the space of all  $\nu$ -square-integrable functions on  $K$  with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(K, \nu)} = \int_K u(x)v(x)\nu(dx)$$

and the norm  $\|u\|_{L^2(K, \nu)}^2 = \langle u, u \rangle_{L^2(K, \nu)}$ .

2.1. *The integration by parts formula.* Here we are going to derive from (1.10), an integration by parts formula for the measure  $\nu_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ ,  $z \in H$ , then, since  $\rho_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$ , we find from (1.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon &= \int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \rho_\varepsilon d\mu \\ &= - \int_H \varphi \langle D \log \rho_\varepsilon, Q^{1/2}z \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H W_z \varphi d\nu_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$D \log \rho_\varepsilon(x) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x - \Pi_K x),$$

we find the formula

$$(2.8) \quad \int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \nu_\varepsilon(dx) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_H \varphi(x) \langle x - \Pi_K(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \\ + \int_H W_z(x) \varphi(x) \nu_\varepsilon(dx).$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ ,  $z \in H$ . Then there exists the limit*

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_H \varphi(x) \langle x - \Pi_K x, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \\ = \int_\Sigma \varphi(y) \langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \mu_\Sigma(dy),$$

where  $\mathbf{n}(y) = \nabla g(y)/|\nabla g(y)|$  is the exterior normal to  $\Sigma$  at  $y$  and  $\mu_\Sigma$  is the surface measure on  $\Sigma$  induced by  $\mu$  (see [17]).

PROOF. First we notice that

$$J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon Z_\varepsilon} \int_{\{d_K(x) > 0\}} \varphi(x) d_K(x) \langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)), Q^{1/2}z \rangle e^{-d_K^2(x)/\varepsilon} \mu(dx).$$

By the co-area formula (see [17], page 140) (see also Theorem A.5 below) we have

$$(2.10) \quad \int_H f \mu(dx) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_{\Sigma_r} f(y) \mu_{\Sigma_r}(dy) \right] dr.$$

Notice that the surface measure is defined for all  $r \geq 0$  taking into account ([17], Theorem 6.2, Chapter V); moreover ([17], Theorem 1.1, Corollary 6.3.2, Chapter V), give the continuity property in Theorem 6.3.1 of [17], Chapter V. Setting in (2.10)

$$f = (1 - \mathbb{1}_K) \varphi(x) d_K(x) \langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)), Q^{1/2}z \rangle e^{-d_K^2(x)/\varepsilon},$$

we get

$$J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon Z_\varepsilon} \int_0^{+\infty} \xi e^{-\xi^2/\varepsilon} d\xi \int_{\Sigma_{\xi+1}} \varphi(y) \langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \mu_{\Sigma_\xi}(dy).$$

Hence, setting  $\xi = \sqrt{\varepsilon}s$ , yields

$$J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) = \frac{1}{Z_\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty s e^{-s^2} ds \int_{\Sigma_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}s+1}} \varphi(y) \langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(y)), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \mu_{\Sigma_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}s}}(dy).$$



So (2.9) follows.  $\square$

We are now in position to prove the announced integration by parts formula.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H), z \in H$ . Then for any  $z \in H$  we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \int_K \langle D\varphi(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \nu(dx) = \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_{\Sigma} \varphi(y) \langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \mu_{\Sigma}(dy) \\ + \int_K W_z(x) \varphi(x) \nu(dx).$$

**PROOF.** The conclusion of the theorem follows letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (2.8) and taking into account Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

2.2. *The Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ .* We shall define space  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  by proving, as it is usual, closability of the gradient. For this we need a lemma.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *The space*

$$C_0^1(K) := \{\varphi \in C^1(K) : \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma\}$$

*is dense in  $L^2(K, \nu)$ .*

**PROOF.** It is enough to show that if  $\varphi \in C^1(K)$  then there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\} \subset C_0^1(K)$  such that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha} = \varphi \quad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu).$$

Let  $\{\chi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \subset C^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a sequence such that,

$$\chi_{\alpha}(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } r \in [0, 1 - \alpha], \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Setting now

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(x) = \chi_{\alpha}(g(x))\varphi(x) \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

we see that  $\{\varphi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \subset C_0^1(K)$  and (2.12) follows from the dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *The mapping*

$$D : C^1(K) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(K, \nu; H), \quad \varphi \rightarrow D\varphi,$$

*is closable.*

PROOF. Let  $(\varphi_n) \subset C^1(K)$  be such that

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu), \quad D\varphi_n \rightarrow F \quad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu; H)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have to show that  $F = 0$ . Let  $\psi \in C_0^1(K)$  and  $z \in H$ . Then by (2.11) with  $\varphi_n \psi$  replacing  $\varphi$  (see Theorem 2.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \langle D\varphi_n(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \psi(x) \nu(dx) \\ &= - \int_K \langle D\psi(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \varphi_n(x) \nu(dx) \\ (2.13) \quad &+ \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \varphi_n(y) \psi(y) \langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ &+ \int_K W_z(x) \varphi_n(x) \psi(x) \nu(dx) \\ &= - \int_K \langle D\psi(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \varphi_n(x) \nu(dx) + \int_K W_z(x) \varphi_n(x) \psi(x) \nu(dx), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\psi$  vanishes on  $\Sigma$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we find that

$$\int_H \langle F(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \psi(x) \mu(dx) = 0.$$

This implies  $F = 0$  in view of the arbitrariness of  $\psi$  and  $z$  [recall Lemma 2.3 and that  $Q^{1/2}(H)$  is dense in  $H$ ].  $\square$

We shall still denote by  $D$  the closure of  $D$  and by  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  its domain of definition.  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W^{1,2}(K, \nu)} = \int_K [\varphi\psi + \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle] d\nu.$$

2.3. *The trace of a function of  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ .* In order to define the trace of a function  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  we need a technical lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. *Assume that  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ . Then the following estimate holds,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad & \int_\Sigma |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 \varphi^2(y) \mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ & \leq C \left( \int_K \varphi^2(x) \nu(dx) + \int_K |D\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a suitable constant.

PROOF. Here we follow [12]. Let  $\varphi \in C^1(K)$ . Set  $F(x) = Dg(x)$ . In particular  $F(x) = |Dg(x)|\mathbf{n}(x)$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ . Then, replacing in (2.11)  $\varphi$  with  $\lambda_k F_k \varphi^2$  and  $z$  with  $e_k$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \lambda_k D_k F_k \varphi^2 d\nu + 2\lambda_k \int_K F_k \varphi D_k \varphi d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_{\Sigma} \lambda_k |Dg(y)| \langle \mathbf{n}(y), e_k \rangle^2 \varphi^2(y) \mu_{\Sigma}(dy) + \int_K x_k F_k \varphi^2 \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_{\Sigma} \lambda_k |Dg(y)| \langle \mathbf{n}(y), e_k \rangle^2 \varphi^2(y) \mu_{\Sigma}(dy) \\ & \leq \int_K \lambda_k D_k^2 g \varphi^2 d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_K F_k^2 \varphi^2 \nu(dx) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_k^2 \int_K |D_k \varphi|^2 \nu(dx) \\ & \quad - \int_K x_k F_k \varphi^2 \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Now the conclusion follows summing up over  $k$ , since  $|Dg|$  is bounded below on  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

Now we can define the *trace*  $\gamma(\varphi)$  on  $\Sigma$  of a function  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ . Let us consider a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^1(K)$  strongly convergent to  $\varphi$  in  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ . Then by (2.14) it follows that the sequence  $\{|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|(\varphi_n)_{\Sigma}\}$  is convergent in  $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_{\Sigma})$  to some element  $\tilde{\gamma}(\varphi) \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_{\Sigma})$ . Then we set

$$\gamma(\varphi)(y) = \frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|} \tilde{\gamma}(\varphi)(y), \quad \mu_{\Sigma}\text{-a.s.}$$

By inequality (2.14) it follows that this definition is consistent, that is, is independent of the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  and the map  $\varphi \rightarrow |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(\varphi)$  is continuous from  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma, \mu_{\Sigma})$ . Notice also that though  $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)| > 0$  for all  $y \in \Sigma$  it is not however bounded from below in infinite dimensions. Now the following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5 and the density of  $C_b^1(H)$  in  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Assume that  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ . Then:*

- (i)  $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(\varphi) \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_{\Sigma})$ ,
- (ii) *the following estimate holds,*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 \varphi^2(y) \mu_{\Sigma}(dy) \\ & \leq C \left( \int_K \varphi^2(x) \nu(dx) + \int_K |D\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that if  $H$  is finite-dimensional and  $Q = I$  formula (2.15) reduces to a classical result since  $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)| = 1$  on  $\Sigma$ .

2.4. *Compactness of embedding  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \subset L^2(K, \nu)$ .* We first show the log-Sobolev estimate for  $\nu$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. *For all  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$  we have*

$$(2.16) \quad \int_K \varphi^2 \log(\varphi^2) d\nu \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu)}^2 \log(\|\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu)}^2).$$

PROOF. It is enough to show (2.16) for  $\varphi \in C^1(H)$ . By [6] (see also [9] and [10]) we know that the log-Sobolev estimate holds for the measure  $\nu_\varepsilon$ ,

$$(2.17) \quad \int_H \varphi^2 \log(\varphi^2) d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)}^2 \log(\|\varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)}^2).$$

Now the conclusion follows by (2.6) letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0.  $\square$

We can now prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *The embedding  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \subset L^2(K, \nu)$  is compact.*

PROOF. Let  $\{\varphi_n\}$  be a sequence in  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  such that

$$(2.18) \quad \int_K (\varphi_n^2 + |D\varphi_n|^2) d\nu \leq C.$$

We have to show that there exists a subsequence of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  convergent in  $L^2(K, \nu)$ . For this we proceed as in [6] noticing that, thanks to the log-Sobolev inequality (2.16),  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is uniformly integrable and so, it is enough to find a subsequence of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  pointwise convergent to an element of  $L^2(K, \nu)$ . Let  $\{\chi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \subset C^1(\mathbb{R})$  be such that,

(i) we have

$$\chi_\alpha(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } r \in [0, 1 - 2\alpha], \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq 1 - \alpha. \end{cases}$$

(ii)  $|\chi'_\alpha(r)| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}, \forall \alpha > 0$ .

Set now

$$\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \chi_\alpha(g(x))\varphi_n(x) \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1/2).$$

We claim that for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$  the sequence  $\{\varphi_n^\alpha\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$ . We have in fact

$$\int_H |\varphi_n^\alpha|^2 d\mu = \int_H |\varphi_n^\alpha|^2 d\nu \leq C$$

and, since

$$D\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \chi_\alpha(g(x))D\varphi_n(x) + \chi'_\alpha(g(x))\varphi_n(x)Dg(x),$$

we have

$$|D\varphi_n^\alpha(x)| \leq |D\varphi_n(x)| + \frac{2}{\alpha}|Dg|_\infty|\varphi_n(x)|.$$

Therefore, there is a positive constant  $C'_\alpha$  such that

$$\int_H |D\varphi_n^\alpha|^2 d\mu \leq C'_\alpha.$$

Recalling that the embedding  $W^{1,2}(H, \mu) \subset L^2(H, \mu)$  is compact (see, e.g., [8]), we can construct a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{n_k}^\alpha\}$  which is convergent in  $L^2(H, \mu)$  and then another subsequence which is pointwise convergent. This implies that for each  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $\{\varphi_{n_k}^\alpha\}$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. convergent on  $K_\alpha = \{x : g(x) \leq 1 - 2\alpha\}$ .

Now, by a standard diagonal procedure we can find a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{n_k}\}$  pointwisely convergent as required.  $\square$

2.5. *The Sobolev space  $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ .* It is easily seen that for all  $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$  the linear operator

$$D_h D_k : C^2(K) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(K, \nu), \quad \varphi \mapsto D_h D_k \varphi,$$

is closable. If  $\varphi$  belongs to the domain of the closure of  $D_h D_k$  (which we shall still denote by  $D_h D_k$ ) we shall say that  $D_h D_k \varphi$  belongs to  $L^2(K, \nu)$ . Now we define  $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$  as the space of all functions  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  such that  $D_h D_k \varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$  for all  $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_h D_k \varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) < +\infty.$$

$W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W^{2,2}(K, \nu)} = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W^{1,2}(K, \nu)} + \sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} \int_K D_h D_k \varphi(x) D_h D_k \psi(x) \nu(dx).$$

If  $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$  we can define a Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $D^2\varphi(x)$  on  $K$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $x \in K$  by setting

$$\langle D^2\varphi(x)y, z \rangle = \sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} D_h D_k \varphi(x) \langle y, e_h \rangle \langle z, e_k \rangle \quad \forall y, z \in H.$$

We show now that if  $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ , then one can define the trace on  $\Sigma$  of  $D\varphi$ . Similarly to the definition of the trace of  $\varphi$  on  $\Sigma$  we define  $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(D\varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(D\varphi_n)$  in  $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$  for all  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^2(K)$ ,  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ .

Proposition 2.9 below shows that this trace is well defined.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Assume that  $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ . Then:*

- (i)  $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)||\gamma(D\varphi)| \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ ,
- (ii) *the following estimate holds,*

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 |\gamma(D\varphi(y))|^2 \mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ & \leq C \left( \int_K |D\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \int_K |\operatorname{Tr}[(D^2\varphi(x))^2]| \nu(dx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$  and let  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^2(K)$  strongly convergent to  $\varphi$  in  $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we apply (2.15) to  $D_i\varphi_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} |Dg(y)||Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 |D_i\varphi_n(y)|^2 \mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ & \leq C \left( \int_K |D_i\varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \int_K |DD_i\varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up on  $i$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} |Dg(y)||Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 |D\varphi_n(y)|^2 \mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ & \leq C \left( \int_K |D\varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \int_K |D_j D_i \varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we see that  $\{Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(D\varphi_n)\}$  is strongly convergent in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  and so (i) and (ii) follow.  $\square$

When it will be no danger of confusion we shall simply set  $D\varphi$  instead of  $\gamma(D\varphi)$ .

2.6. *The Sobolev space  $W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ .* We define  $W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  as the space of all functions  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  such that

$$\sum_h \lambda_h \int_H |D_h \varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) < +\infty.$$

It is easy to see that  $W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)} = \int_K \varphi(x)\psi(x)\nu(dx) + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h \int_K D_h \varphi(x) D_h \psi(x) \nu(dx).$$

If  $\varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  we can define an element of  $K$ ,  $A^{1/2}D\varphi(x)$  for  $\nu$ -almost all  $x \in K$  by setting

$$\langle A^{1/2}D\varphi(x), y \rangle = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h D_h \varphi(x) \langle y, e_h \rangle \quad \forall y \in H.$$

**3. The Dirichlet form associated to  $\nu$ .** We define the symmetric Dirichlet form

$$a(\varphi, \psi) = \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle d\nu \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in D(a) = W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \times W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

Since, as seen earlier,  $D$  is closed in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  we infer that the form  $a$  is closed in the sense of [15], page 315, and as a matter of fact the form  $a$  is the closure of  $a_0(\varphi, \psi) = \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle d\nu, \forall \varphi, \psi \in C_b^1(H)$ .

By the Lax–Milgram theorem there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N}: W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \rightarrow (W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*$$

[where  $(W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*$  is the dual space of  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ ] such that

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle + a(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \mathcal{N}\varphi, \psi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

(Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  means the duality between  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  and  $(W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*$  which coincides with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(K, \nu)}$  on  $L^2(K, \nu)$ .) We can identify  $L^2(K, \nu)$  with its dual and, so, we have the well-known continuous and dense inclusions

$$W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \subset (W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*.$$

Now we define a linear operator  $N: D(N) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(K, \nu)$  as follows. We say that  $\varphi \in D(N)$  if it belongs to  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  and that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle d\nu \right| \leq C |\psi|_{L^2(K, \nu)} \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

This inequality implies that  $\mathcal{N}\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$ . Finally, if  $\varphi \in D(N)$  we set

$$N\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(I - \mathcal{N})\varphi.$$

In other words,

$$(3.2) \quad \langle N\varphi, \psi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}a(\varphi, \psi) \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Operator  $N$  is self adjoint in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  and  $\nu$  is an invariant measure for  $N$ ,*

$$(3.3) \quad \int_K N\varphi d\nu = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

**PROOF.** By the closedness and symmetry of  $a$  it follows that  $N$  is closed and symmetric. Moreover, by the Lax–Milgram theorem, applied to symmetric bilinear form  $(u, v) \rightarrow \lambda \langle u, v \rangle + a(u, v)$ , we see that the range  $R(\lambda I - N)$  of  $\lambda I - N$  coincides with  $L^2(K, \nu)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Notice also that by (3.1)

$$(3.4) \quad \langle N\varphi, \varphi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}|D\varphi|_{L^2(K, \nu)}^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

As regards (3.3) it is immediate by definition of  $N$ .  $\square$

It is useful to notice also that for each  $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - N)^{-1} f &= \{ \varphi : \lambda \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(K, \nu)} + \frac{1}{2} a(\varphi, \psi) \\ &= \langle f, \psi \rangle_{L^2(K, \nu)}, \forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \}. \end{aligned}$$

**4. The penalized problem.** We are here concerned with the penalized equation

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX_\varepsilon(t) + (AX_\varepsilon(t) + \beta_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(t))) dt = dW_t, \\ X_\varepsilon(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and

$$\beta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x - \Pi_K(x)) \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Since  $\beta_\varepsilon$  is Lipschitz, (4.1) has a unique mild solution  $X_\varepsilon(t, x)$ .

The corresponding Kolmogorov operator reads as follows,

$$(4.2) \quad N_\varepsilon \varphi = L\varphi - \langle \beta_\varepsilon(x), D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H), \varepsilon > 0,$$

where  $L$  is the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator

$$L\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[D^2\varphi] - \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

It is well known that  $\nu_\varepsilon$  [defined in (2.1)–(2.3)] is an invariant measure for  $N_\varepsilon$  and that

$$(4.3) \quad \int_H N_\varepsilon \varphi \psi d\nu_\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

Moreover, since  $\beta_\varepsilon$  is Lipschitz continuous, operator  $N_\varepsilon$  is essentially  $m$ -dissipative in  $L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$  (we still denote by  $N_\varepsilon$  its closure) and  $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$  is a core for  $N_\varepsilon$  see [9].

Section 4.1 below is devoted to prove several estimates for the  $(\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f$  where  $f \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ . Then these estimates are used in Section 4.2 to prove that  $(\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f$  converges as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for any  $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$  to  $(\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$ . Moreover we shall end up the section giving sharp informations about the domain of  $N$ .

4.1. *Estimates for  $(\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f$ .* We need a lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$  and set*

$$(4.4) \quad f_\varepsilon = \lambda \varphi - N_\varepsilon \varphi.$$



Then the following estimates hold

$$(4.5) \quad \int_H \varphi^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2}D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{K^c} \langle (I - D\Pi_K(x))D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle \nu_\varepsilon \leq 4 \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

PROOF. Multiplying both sides of (4.4) by  $\varphi$ , taking into account (4.3) and integrating in  $\nu_\varepsilon$  over  $H$ , yields

$$(4.8) \quad \lambda \int_H \varphi^2 d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H \varphi f_\varepsilon d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Now (4.5) and (4.6) follow easily from the Hölder inequality. To prove (4.7) let us differentiate in the direction of  $e_k$  both sides of (4.4). We obtain

$$\lambda D_k \varphi - N_\varepsilon D_k \varphi + \alpha_k D_k \varphi + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (\delta_{h,k} - \langle \Pi_K(x) e_h, e_k \rangle) D_h \varphi = D_k f_\varepsilon.$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.4) by  $D_k \varphi$ , taking into account (4.3), integrating in  $\nu_\varepsilon$  over  $H$  and then summing up over  $k$ , yields

$$(4.9) \quad \lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2}D\varphi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{K^c} \langle (I - D\Pi_K(x))D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H \langle D\varphi, Df_\varepsilon \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Noting finally that, again in view of (4.3),

$$\int_H \langle D\varphi, Df_\varepsilon \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon = 2 \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 d\nu_\varepsilon - 2\lambda \int_H f_\varepsilon \varphi d\nu_\varepsilon \leq 4 \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Now we are able to prove the announced estimates.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$  and let  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  be the solution of the equation*

$$(4.10) \quad \lambda \varphi_\varepsilon - N_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon = f.$$

Then  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in W^{2,2}(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ ,  $A^{1/2}D\varphi_\varepsilon \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$  and the following estimates hold

$$(4.11) \quad \int_H \varphi_\varepsilon^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_H f^2 d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H f^2 d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \lambda \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2\varphi_\varepsilon)^2] d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2}D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{K^c} \langle (I - D\Pi_K(x))D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\varphi_\varepsilon \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon \leq 4 \int_H f^2 d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

PROOF. Inequality (4.11) is obvious since  $N_\varepsilon$  is dissipative. Let us prove (4.12). Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$  and let  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  be the solution of (4.10). Since  $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$  is a core for  $N_\varepsilon$  there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}_A(H)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow \varphi_\varepsilon, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_\varepsilon \varphi_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow N_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon).$$

Set  $f_{\varepsilon,n} = \lambda \varphi_{\varepsilon,n} - N_\varepsilon \varphi_{\varepsilon,n}$ . Clearly,  $f_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ .

We claim that  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$  and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow D\varphi_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon; H).$$

Let in fact  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by (4.6) it follows that

$$\int_H |D\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} - D\varphi_{\varepsilon,m}|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_H |f_{\varepsilon,n} - f_{\varepsilon,m}|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore the sequence  $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $W^{1,2}(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$  and the claim follows. Estimate (4.13) can be proved similarly.  $\square$

We conclude this section with an integration by parts formula needed later. We set

$$V = \{\psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu) : |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}|\psi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)\}.$$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $\varphi \in D(N_\varepsilon)$  and  $\psi \in V$ . Then the following identity holds.*

$$(4.14) \quad \int_K N_\varepsilon \varphi \psi d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle d\nu \\ + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \psi d\mu_\Sigma.$$

PROOF. Taking in account that  $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$  is a core for  $N_\varepsilon$ , it is sufficient to prove (4.14) for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ . By the basic integration by parts formula we deduce, for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi \in V$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K D_i \varphi D_i \psi \, d\nu &= - \int_K D_i^2 \varphi \psi \, d\nu + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \gamma(D_i \varphi)(\mathbf{n}(y))_i \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_K x_i D_i \varphi \psi \, d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Now, summing up on  $i$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu &= - \int_K \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi] \psi \, d\nu + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma \\ &\quad + 2 \int_K \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \psi \, d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

That is nothing else but (4.14).  $\square$

4.2. *Convergence of  $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ .* We are going to show that the sequence  $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$  is convergent in  $L^2(K, \nu)$ . We first note that for  $f \in C_b(H)$  we have

$$(4.15) \quad \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(X_\varepsilon(t, x)) \, dt \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Now, by a standard argument it follows that from (4.15) that if  $f \in C_b^1(H)$  we have

$$(4.16) \quad \sup_{x \in H} |D\varphi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|Df\|_{C_b(H)} \quad \forall \varepsilon, \lambda > 0.$$

Theorem 4.4 is the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$  and let  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  be the solution of (4.10). Then  $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$  is strongly convergent in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  to  $\varphi = (\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$  where  $N$  is defined by (3.1).*

*Moreover, the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} D\varphi_\varepsilon = D\varphi$  in  $L^2(K, \nu; H)$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(H, \nu) \cap W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ ,
- (iii)  $\varphi$  fulfills the Neumann condition

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dn}(x) = \langle D\varphi(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

where  $\langle D\varphi(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle$  is defined by Proposition 2.9 and  $|Q^{1/2} \mathbf{n}(x)| \langle D\varphi(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ .

PROOF. Without danger of confusion we shall denote again by  $f$  the restriction  $f|_K$  of  $f$  to  $K$ . In fact each  $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$  can be extended by 0 outside  $K$  to a function in  $L^2(H, \nu)$ . By this convention, everywhere in the sequel  $(\lambda I - N)^{-1}f$  for  $f \in L^2(H, \nu)$  means  $(\lambda I - N)^{-1}f|_K$ .

*Step 1.* We have

$$(4.18) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_\varepsilon = (\lambda I - N)^{-1}f \quad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu).$$

In fact by (4.11), (4.12) and the compactness of the embedding of  $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  it follows that there exist a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\varepsilon_k} &\rightarrow \varphi && \text{strongly in } L^2(K, \nu), \\ D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} &\rightarrow D\varphi && \text{weakly in } L^2(K, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi \in C_b^1(H)$  and consider the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H (f - \lambda\varphi_\varepsilon)\psi d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{K^c} \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H (f - \lambda\varphi_\varepsilon)\psi d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Since, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{K^c} \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle d\nu_\varepsilon \right|^2 &\leq \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \int_{K^c} |D\psi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H f^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \int_{K^c} |D\psi|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce, letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (4.19) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle d\nu = \int_K (f - \lambda\varphi)\psi d\nu \quad \forall \psi \in C_b^1(H).$$

Obviously, this identity extends to all  $\psi \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$ , which implies that  $\varphi = (\lambda I - N)^{-1}f$  and that  $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$  strongly in  $L^2(K, \nu)$ .

*Step 2.* We have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} D\varphi_\varepsilon = D\varphi \quad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu; K).$$

We first assume that  $f \in C_b^1(H)$ . Let us start from the identity (4.8),

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_K (\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - f)\varphi_\varepsilon d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

which implies

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon &= \int_K (\lambda\varphi - f)\varphi d\nu \\ &= -\langle N\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_K |D\varphi|^2 d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K^c} |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon(x) = 0,$$

which follows taking into account (4.16).

Therefore there exists a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\varepsilon_k} &\rightarrow \varphi, & \text{strongly in } L^2(K, \nu), \\ D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} &\rightarrow D\varphi, & \text{weakly in } L^2(K, \nu; H), \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_K |D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k}|^2 d\nu = \int_K |D\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

This implies that  $D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow D\varphi$  strongly in  $L^2(K, \nu; H)$ .

We finally assume that  $f \in L^2(H, \nu)$ . Since  $C_b^1(H)$  is dense in  $L^2(K, \nu)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset C_b^1(H)$  strongly convergent in  $L^2(K; \nu)$  to  $f$ . Set  $\varphi_{n,\varepsilon} = (\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f_n$ . By (4.12) we have

$$\int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon - D\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|^2 d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_K |f - f_n|^2 d\nu,$$

which implies

$$\int_K |D\varphi_\varepsilon - D\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_K |f - f_n|^2 d\nu.$$

So, again  $D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow D\varphi$  strongly in  $L^2(K, \nu; H)$ .

*Step 3.* We have

$$(4.22) \quad \varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu; H) \cap W^{2,2}(K; \nu).$$

By estimate (4.13) we have that  $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$  is bounded in  $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ . Therefore there is a subsequence, still denoted  $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$  which converges to  $\varphi$  in  $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ . In the same way we see that  $\varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu; H)$ .

*Step 4.* Checking the Neumann condition for  $\varphi$ .

From (4.14) we get

$$\int_K N_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon \psi d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle d\nu + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \psi \langle \gamma(D\varphi_\varepsilon), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle d\mu_\Sigma.$$

Recalling that  $N_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon = \lambda \varphi_\varepsilon - f \longrightarrow \lambda \varphi - f = N\varphi$  in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  and that  $|Q^{1/2} \mathbf{n}(y)| \langle \gamma(D\varphi_\varepsilon), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \rightarrow |Q^{1/2} \mathbf{n}(y)| \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle$  in  $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$  by Proposition 2.9, by (i) and by (3.4) we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in V,$$

which implies (4.17) as claimed. [The set  $\{\gamma(\psi) : \psi \in V\}$  is dense in  $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ .] This completes the proof.  $\square$

In particular, taking into account that  $D(N)$  is equal to the range of  $(\lambda I - N)^{-1}$  we derive by Theorem 4.4 the following result, which gives a sharp information on the structure of the domain of  $N$ .

COROLLARY 4.5. *We have*

$$(4.23) \quad D(N) \subset \left\{ \varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(H, \nu) \cap W^{2,2}(K, \nu) : \frac{d}{dn} \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \right\}.$$

We notice also that for  $\varphi \in D(N)$  regular  $N\varphi$  is the classical elliptic differential operator in  $H$ . More precisely, we have

COROLLARY 4.6. *If  $\text{Tr } D^2 \varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$ ,  $\langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \in L^2(K, \nu)$  and  $\frac{d\varphi}{dn}(y) = 0, \forall y \in \Sigma$  then  $\varphi \in D(N)$  and*

$$(4.24) \quad N\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } D^2 \varphi - \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \quad \forall x \in \overset{\circ}{K}.$$

PROOF. By integration by parts formula (2.11) we see that

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \nu(dx) &= - \int_K \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } D^2 \varphi - \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \right) \nu(dx) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_{\Sigma} \psi(y) \frac{d\varphi}{dn}(y) \mu_\Sigma(dy) \quad \forall \psi \in V, \end{aligned}$$

which in virtue of (iv) and (3.2) implies (4.24) as claimed.  $\square$

REMARK 4.7. We conjecture that in Corollary 4.5 one has equality in relation (4.23), but we failed to prove it. This happens when  $N$  is replaced by the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck generator  $L$  and  $\nu$  by the Gaussian measure  $\mu$  (see [9]).

Notice also that if  $\varphi \in D(N)$  we cannot conclude that  $\text{Tr } D^2 \varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$  and  $\langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \in L^2(K, \nu)$ . This is obviously true if  $H$  is finite-dimensional.

### 5. Perturbation results.

5.1. *Perturbation by a regular gradient.* Let us consider the stochastic differential inclusion,

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + DV(X(t)) + N_K(X(t))) dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where  $A, K$  and  $W$  are as before and  $V : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^2$  function such that  $DV \in C_b^1(H; H)$ .

Let us introduce a probability measure  $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  by setting

$$\zeta(dx) = Z_\zeta^{-1} e^{-2V(x)} \nu(dx),$$

where

$$Z_\zeta = \int_K e^{-2V(y)} \nu(dy).$$

Arguing as in the proof of (2.11), we can show the following integration by parts formula.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ . Then for any  $z \in H$  we have*

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_K \langle D\varphi(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \zeta(dx) \\ &= \int_K \varphi(x) \langle DV(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \zeta(dx) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\mu(K)Z_\zeta} \int_\Sigma \varphi(y) \langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z \rangle e^{-2U(y)} \mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ &+ \int_K W_z(x) \varphi(x) \zeta(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Now all considerations of Sections 2, 3 and 4 can be easily generalized. In particular, estimate (4.7) reads as follows

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^2 d\zeta_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] d\zeta_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2}D\varphi|^2 d\zeta_\varepsilon \\ &+ \int_H \langle D^2V \cdot D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle d\zeta_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{K^c} \langle (I - D\Pi_K(x))D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle d\zeta_\varepsilon \\ &\leq 4 \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 d\zeta_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we arrive at the following result.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *The operator  $N$  (defined as in Section 3 with the Dirichlet form induced by  $\zeta$ ) is self adjoint in  $L^2(K, \zeta)$  and  $\zeta$  is an invariant measure for  $N$ ,*

$$(5.4) \quad \int_K N\varphi d\zeta = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(5.5) \quad D(N) \subset \left\{ \varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(H, \zeta) \cap W^{2,2}(K, \zeta) : \frac{d}{dn}\varphi(x) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \right\}.$$

(Details are omitted.)

**5.2. Perturbation by a bounded Borel drift.** Let  $F: H \rightarrow H$  be bounded and Borel and consider the stochastic differential inclusion,

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + F(X(t)) + N_K(X(t))) dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

Let moreover  $G$  be the linear operator in  $L^2(K, \nu)$  defined as

$$(5.7) \quad G\varphi = N\varphi + \langle F(x), D\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in D(N).$$

**PROPOSITION 5.3.**  *$G$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous compact semigroup  $Q_t$  on  $L^2(K, \nu)$ . Moreover its resolvent  $(\lambda I - G)^{-1}$  is given by*

$$(5.8) \quad (\lambda I - G)^{-1} = (\lambda I - N)^{-1}(1 - T_\lambda)^{-1}, \quad \lambda > \lambda_0,$$

where

$$(5.9) \quad \lambda_0 = 2\|F\|_0^2 = 2 \sup_{x \in H} |F(x)|^2$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad T_\lambda \psi(x) = \langle F(x), D(\lambda I - N)^{-1} \psi(x) \rangle, \quad \psi \in L^2(K, \nu), \quad x \in K.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$ . Consider the equation

$$(5.11) \quad \lambda\varphi - N\varphi - \langle F(x), D\varphi \rangle = f.$$

Setting  $\psi = \lambda\varphi - N\varphi$  (5.11) becomes

$$(5.12) \quad \psi - T_\lambda \psi = f,$$

where  $T_\lambda$  is defined by (5.10).

On the other hand, by (4.12) it follows that

$$\int_H |D(\lambda I - N)^{-1} \psi|^2 d\nu \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H \psi^2 d\nu,$$



so that

$$\|T_\lambda \psi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \|F\|_0 \|\psi\|_{L^2(H, \mu)}.$$

Therefore if  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  (5.11) has a unique solution and the conclusion follows.

Finally, the compactness property of  $Q_t$  for  $t > 0$  follows from (5.9) and the compactness of operator  $(\lambda I - N)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

We want now to show that operator  $G$  possesses an invariant measure  $\zeta$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ . For this let us consider the adjoint semigroup  $Q_t^*$ ; we denote by  $G^*$  its infinitesimal generator, and by  $\Sigma^*$  the set of all its stationary points:

$$\Sigma^* = \{\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu) : Q_t^* \varphi = \varphi, t \geq 0\}.$$

Though the following lemma is standard, we give a proof, however, for reader's convenience. We shall denote by  $\mathbb{1}$  the functions identically equal to 1.

LEMMA 5.4.  $Q_t^*$  has the following properties:

- (i) For all  $\varphi \geq 0$   $\nu$ -a.e., one has  $Q_t^* \varphi \geq 0$   $\nu$ -a.e.
- (ii)  $\Sigma^*$  is a lattice, that is, if  $\varphi \in \Sigma^*$  then  $|\varphi| \in \Sigma^*$ .

PROOF. Let  $\psi_0 \geq 0$   $\nu$ -a.e. Then for all  $\varphi \geq 0$   $\nu$ -a.e. and all  $t > 0$  we have

$$\int_K Q_t \varphi \psi_0 d\nu = \int_K \varphi Q_t^* \psi_0 d\nu \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $\psi_0 \geq 0$   $\nu$ -a.e., and (i) is proved.

Let us prove (ii). Assume that  $\varphi \in \Sigma^*$ , so that  $\varphi(x) = Q_t^* \varphi(x)$ . Then we have

$$(5.13) \quad |\varphi(x)| = |Q_t^* \varphi(x)| \leq Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x).$$

We claim that

$$|\varphi(x)| = Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x), \quad x - \nu \text{ a.s.}$$

Assume by contradiction that there is a Borel subset  $I \subset K$  such that  $\nu(I) > 0$  and

$$|\varphi(x)| < Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x) \quad \forall x \in I.$$

Then we have

$$(5.14) \quad \int_K |\varphi(x)| \nu(dx) < \int_K Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x) \nu(dx).$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_K Q_t^*(|\varphi|) d\mu = \langle Q_t^*(|\varphi|), \mathbb{1} \rangle_{L^2(K, \nu)} = \langle |\varphi|, \mathbb{1} \rangle_{L^2(K, \mu)} = \int_K |\varphi| d\mu,$$

which is in contradiction with (5.14).  $\square$

The following result is a generalization of a similar result concerning the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup proved in [8].

**PROPOSITION 5.5.** *There exists an invariant measure  $\zeta$  of  $Q_t$  which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ . Moreover*

$$\rho := \frac{d\zeta}{d\nu} \in L^2(K, \nu).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\lambda > 0$  be fixed. Clearly  $\mathbb{1} \in D(G)$  and we have  $G\mathbb{1} = 0$ . Consequently  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $(\lambda I - G)^{-1}$  since

$$(\lambda I - G)^{-1} \mathbb{1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{1}.$$

Moreover  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  has finite multiplicity because  $(\lambda I - G)^{-1}$  is compact. Therefore  $((\lambda I - G)^{-1})^*$  is compact as well and  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue for  $((\lambda I - G)^{-1})^*$ . Consequently there exists  $\rho \in L^2(K, \nu)$  not identically equal to zero such that

$$(5.15) \quad (((\lambda I - G)^{-1})^*) \rho = \frac{1}{\lambda} \rho.$$

It follows that  $\rho \in D(G)$  and  $G^* \rho = 0$ . Since  $\Sigma^*$  is a lattice,  $\rho$  can be chosen to be nonnegative and such that  $\int_K \rho d\nu = 1$ .

Now set

$$\zeta(dx) = \rho(x) \nu(dx), \quad x \in K.$$

We claim that  $\zeta$  is an invariant measure for  $Q_t$ . In fact taking the inverse Laplace transform in (5.15) we find that

$$Q_t^* \rho = \rho,$$

which implies that for any  $\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$ ,

$$\int_K Q_t \varphi d\zeta = \int_K Q_t \varphi \rho d\nu = \int_K \varphi Q_t^* \rho d\nu = \int_K \varphi d\zeta.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Notice now that, since  $\frac{d\zeta}{d\nu} \in L^2(K, \nu)$  there is a natural inclusion of  $L^2(K, \nu)$  in  $L^1(K, \zeta)$  so, we can introduce the linear operator in  $L^1(K, \zeta)$ ,

$$(5.16) \quad N_F : D(N) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \rightarrow L^1(K, \zeta), \quad N_F \varphi := G\varphi.$$

This is the final result of the paper.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Operator  $N_F$  defined by (5.16) is dissipative in  $L^1(K, \zeta)$  and its closure is  $m$ -dissipative.*

PROOF. The dissipativity of operator  $N_F$  in  $L^1(K, \zeta)$  follows from the fact that measure  $\zeta$  is invariant for  $N_F$  and a standard argument; see [11]. Moreover the range of  $\lambda I - N_F$  contains  $L^2(K, \nu)$  for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  which is dense in  $L^1(K, \zeta)$ . So, the conclusion follows from the Lumer–Phillips theorem.  $\square$

## 6. An example.

EXAMPLE 6.1. Consider the stochastic equation

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) - \Delta X(t) dt + N_K(X(t)) dt \ni dW_t, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{O}, \\ X(t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ X(0) = x, & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a bounded and open interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

$$K = \{x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \|x\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq \rho\}.$$

Then the previous results apply with  $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $A = -\Delta$ ,  $D(A) = H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{O})$ .

Thus the Markov semigroup  $P_t$  generated by  $N$  in this case is given by  $(P_t \varphi_0)(x) = \varphi(t, x)$  where

$$\varphi \in C^1([0, \infty); L^2(L^2(\mathcal{O}), \nu)) \cap C([0, \infty); W^{2,2}(K, \nu)) \cap W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$$

is the solution to infinite-dimensional parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \int_K \varphi(t, x) \psi(x) \nu(dx) \\ \quad + \int_K \left( \int_{\mathcal{O}} D\varphi(t, x)(\xi) D\psi(x)(\xi) d\xi \right) \nu(dx), & \forall t \geq 0, \\ \varphi(0, x) = \varphi_0(x), & x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) \end{cases}$$

for all  $\psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ .

A more general case is that where

$$(6.2) \quad K = \left\{ x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \int_{\mathcal{O}} j(x(\xi)) d\xi \leq \rho^2 \right\},$$

where  $j: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function such that  $0 \leq j(r) \leq C_1 r^2$ ,  $j''(r) \geq C_2 > 0$ ,  $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this latter case

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x : \int_{\mathcal{O}} j(x(\xi)) d\xi = \rho^2 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_K(x)(\xi) = \{\lambda \nabla j(x(\xi))\}_{\lambda > 0} \quad \forall x \in \Sigma.$$

## APPENDIX

Here we shall present for the reader's convenience a few results on co-area formula used in Section 2.1, under additional conditions on  $g$ , Hypothesis A.1.

**A.1. The co-area formula.** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $\mu = N_Q$  a nondegenerate Gaussian measure in  $H$ . Let  $(e_k)$  be the complete orthonormal basis in  $H$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_k)$ , a sequence of positive numbers, that is,  $Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us recall the integration by parts formula

$$(A.1) \quad \int_H D_h \varphi \psi d\mu = - \int_H D_h \psi \varphi d\mu + \frac{1}{\lambda_h} \int_H x_h \varphi \psi d\mu$$

for any  $\varphi$  bounded and Borel.

We are given a Borel bounded mapping  $g: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $C^2$  such that

HYPOTHESIS A.1.

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_H \frac{\text{Tr}[QD^2g(x)]}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx) < \infty, \\ I_2 &:= \int_H \frac{\langle D^2g(x) \cdot Q^{1/2}g(x), Q^{1/2}g(x) \rangle}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^4} \mu(dx) < \infty, \\ I_3 &:= \int_H \frac{\langle x, Dg(x) \rangle}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK A.2. Let  $\rho$  be a nonnegative  $C^2$  real function such that for some  $c > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\rho'(r)| + |\rho''(r)| \leq c(1 + r^m), \quad |\rho'(r)| \geq c$$

and set  $g(x) = \rho(|x|^2)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{2\rho''(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2}x|^2 + \rho'(|x|^2) \text{Tr} Q}{\rho'(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2}x|^2}, \\ I_2 &= \frac{4(2\rho''(|x|^2) + \rho'(|x|^2))}{\rho'(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2}x|^2}, \\ I_3 &= \frac{|x|^2}{\rho'(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2}x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is not difficult to see that Hypothesis A.1 is fulfilled. Let us check for instance that

$$(A.3) \quad J_1 := \int_H \frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}x|^2} \mu(dx) < +\infty.$$

We have in fact

$$\frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}x|^2} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t|Q^{1/2}x|^2} dt,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_0^{+\infty} dt \int_H e^{-t|Q^{1/2}x|^2} \mu(dx) = \int_0^{+\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2t\lambda_k^2}} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \prod_{k=1}^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2t\lambda_k^2}} dt < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\mu_g := g_{\#}\mu$  the law of  $g$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Then for any  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  it holds

$$(A.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(r) \mu_g(dr) = \int_H \varphi(g(x)) \mu(dx).$$

We are going to show following [4] that, under Hypothesis A.1,  $g_{\#}\mu \ll \ell$ , where  $\ell$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , using the well-known sufficient condition

$$(A.5) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(r) \mu_g(dr) \right| = \left| \int_H \varphi'(g(x)) \mu(dx) \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b^1(H).$$

PROPOSITION A.3. *Assume that Hypothesis A.1 is fulfilled. Then  $g_{\#}\mu = \mu_g \ll \ell$ .*

PROOF. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_H \varphi'(g(x)) \mu(dx) \\ &= - \int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{\text{Tr}[QD^2g(x)]}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx) \\ (A.6) \quad &- 2 \int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{\langle D^2g(x) \cdot Q^{1/2}g(x), Q^{1/2}g(x) \rangle}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^4} \mu(dx) \\ &+ \int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{\langle x, Dg(x) \rangle}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx), \end{aligned}$$

which will yield the conclusion.

Since

$$\langle D\varphi(g(x)), QDg(x) \rangle = \varphi'(g(x)) |Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \varphi'(g(x)) \mu(dx) &= \int_H \frac{1}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \langle D\varphi(g(x)), Q Dg(x) \rangle \mu(dx) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_H D_k \varphi(g(x)) \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \varphi'(g(x)) \mu(dx) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_H D_k \varphi(g(x)) \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_H \varphi(g(x)) D_k \left[ \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \right] \mu(dx) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_H x_k \varphi(g(x)) \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$D_k \left[ \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \right] = \frac{D_k^2 g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} - 2 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j D_k D_j g(x) D_j g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^4}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H \varphi'(g(x)) \mu(dx) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_H D_k \varphi(g(x)) \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{D_k^2 g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx) \\ &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \int_H \varphi(g(x)) D_k g(x) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j D_k D_j g(x) D_j g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^4} \mu(dx) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_H x_k \varphi(g(x)) \frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2} Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

So, (A.5) follows.  $\square$

The following result can be proved similarly.

**COROLLARY A.4.** *Assume that Hypothesis A.1 is fulfilled and let  $f$  be bounded and Borel. Then  $\mu_{fg} \ll \ell$ .*

**A.2. Surface measure.** We denote by  $K$  the closed set  $K = \{g(x) \leq 1\}$  and set

$$\Sigma_r = \{g(x) = r\}, \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_1.$$

We recall the disintegration formula, see, for example, [19, 20]. For any  $\varphi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded and Borel we have.

$$(A.7) \quad \int_H \varphi(x) \mu(dx) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ \int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi(x) m_r(dx) \right] \mu_g(dr),$$

where  $(m_r)_{r \geq 0}$  is a family of Borel measures on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that the support of  $m_r$  is included on  $\Sigma_r$ .

Set

$$\alpha(r) = \int_{\{g \leq r\}} d\mu = \mu_g([0, r]).$$

By Proposition A.3  $\alpha$  is a.e. differentiable on  $(0, \infty)$ . We set

$$\sigma_\mu(\Sigma_r) := \alpha'(r) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h \leq g(x) \leq r+h} \mu(dx).$$

Now let  $f$  bounded and Borel and set

$$\alpha_f(r) = \int_{\{g \leq r\}} f d\mu = (f\mu)_g([0, r]).$$

Then by Corollary A.4 it follows that  $\alpha_f$  is a.e. differentiable. We set

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} f(y) \sigma_{\mu_r}(dy) := \alpha_f'(r) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h \leq g(x) \leq r+h} f(x) \mu(dx), \quad \text{a.e. } r > 0.$$

We finally prove.

**THEOREM A.5.** *Let  $f \in B_b(H)$ . Then we have*

$$(A.8) \quad \int_H f(x) \mu(dx) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ \int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma) \sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma) \right] dr.$$

**PROOF.** Using the disintegration formula (A.7) we have a.e. on  $(0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma) \sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h \leq g(x) \leq r+h} f(x) \mu(dx) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h}^{r+h} \left[ \int_{g^{-1}(r)} f(x) m_r(dx) \right] \sigma_{\mu_r}(\Sigma_r) dr. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's theorem we deduce that

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma) \sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma) = \int_{g^{-1}(r)} f(x) m_r(dx) \sigma_{\mu_r}(\Sigma_r), \quad \text{a.e. } r > 0,$$

which yields

$$\int_{g^{-1}(r)} f(x) m_r(dx) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu_r}(\Sigma_r)} \int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma) \sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma), \quad \text{a.e. } r > 0.$$

Now the conclusion follows by substituting this into (A.7).  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the anonymous referee for pertinent observations which have improved the presentation of this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] AMBROSIO, L., SAVARÉ, G. and ZAMBOTTI, L. (2008). Existence and stability for Fokker–Planck equations with log-concave reference measure. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*. In press.
- [2] BARBU, V. and DA PRATO, G. (2005). The Neumann problem on unbounded domains of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and stochastic variational inequalities. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **30** 1217–1248. [MR2180301](#)
- [3] BARBU, V. and DA PRATO, G. (2008). The generator of the transition semigroup corresponding to a stochastic variational inequality. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **33** 1318–1338. [MR2450160](#)
- [4] BOGACHEV, V. I. (1998). *Gaussian Measures. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **62**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. [MR1642391](#)
- [5] CÉPA, E. (1998). Problème de Skorohod multivoque. *Ann. Probab.* **26** 500–532. [MR1626174](#)
- [6] DA PRATO, G., DEBUSSCHE, A. and GOLDYS, B. (2002). Invariant measures of non-symmetric dissipative stochastic systems. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **123** 355–380. [MR1918538](#)
- [7] DA PRATO, G. and LUNARDI, A. (2004). Elliptic operators with unbounded drift coefficients and Neumann boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **198** 35–52. [MR2037749](#)
- [8] DA PRATO, G. and ZABCZYK, J. (1996). *Ergodicity for Infinite-Dimensional Systems. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **229**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. [MR1417491](#)
- [9] DA PRATO, G. and ZABCZYK, J. (2002). *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **293**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. [MR1985790](#)
- [10] DEUSCHEL, J.-D. and STROOCK, D. W. (1989). *Large Deviations. Pure and Applied Mathematics* **137**. Academic Press, Boston, MA. [MR997938](#)
- [11] EBERLE, A. (1999). *Uniqueness and Nonuniqueness of Semigroups Generated by Singular Diffusion Operators. Lecture Notes in Math.* **1718**. Springer, Berlin. [MR1734956](#)
- [12] FUHRMAN, M. (1995). Analyticity of transition semigroups and closability of bilinear forms in Hilbert spaces. *Studia Math.* **115** 53–71. [MR1347432](#)
- [13] HAUSSMANN, U. G. and PARDOUX, É. (1989). Stochastic variational inequalities of parabolic type. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **20** 163–192. [MR998402](#)
- [14] HERTLE, A. (1980). Gaussian surface measures and the Radon transform on separable Banach spaces. In *Measure Theory, Oberwolfach 1979 (Proc. Conf., Oberwolfach, 1979). Lecture Notes in Math.* **794** 513–531. Springer, Berlin. [MR577995](#)



- [15] KATO, T. (1976). *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, 2nd ed. Springer, Berlin. [MR0407617](#)
- [16] MA, Z. M. and RÖCKNER, M. (1992). *Introduction to the Theory of (Nonsymmetric) Dirichlet Forms*. Springer, Berlin. [MR1214375](#)
- [17] MALLIAVIN, P. (1997). *Stochastic Analysis. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]* **313**. Springer, Berlin. [MR1450093](#)
- [18] NUALART, D. and PARDOUX, É. (1992). White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **93** 77–89. [MR1172940](#)
- [19] SCHWARZ, L. (1975). *Lectures on Disintegration of Measures*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.
- [20] SKOROHOD, A. V. (1974). *Integration in Hilbert Space*. Springer, New York. [MR0466482](#)
- [21] ZAMBOTTI, L. (2002). Integration by parts formulae on convex sets of paths and applications to SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **123** 579–600. [MR1921014](#)

V. BARBU  
UNIVERSITY AL. I. CUZA  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OCTAV MAYER  
BLVD. CAROL, 11  
700506 IASI  
ROMANIA  
E-MAIL: [vb41@uaic.ro](mailto:vb41@uaic.ro)

G. DA PRATO  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE  
PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7  
56126 PISA  
ITALY  
E-MAIL: [daprato@sns.it](mailto:daprato@sns.it)

L. TUBARO  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA  
VIA SOMMARIVE, 14  
38050 POVO (TRENTO)  
ITALY  
E-MAIL: [tubaro@science.unitn.it](mailto:tubaro@science.unitn.it)