

# A characterization of $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs

Aleksandar Jurišić, Paul Terwilliger, Arjana Žitnik

August 3, 2009

## Abstract

We obtain the following characterization of  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E$  denote a minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$  which is not the trivial idempotent  $E_0$ . Let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  denote the dual eigenvalue sequence for  $E$ . We show that  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if (i) the entry-wise product  $E \circ E$  is a linear combination of  $E_0$ ,  $E$ , and at most one other minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ ; (ii) there exists a complex scalar  $\beta$  such that  $\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^*$  is independent of  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ ; (iii)  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we give a new characterization of the  $Q$ -polynomial property for distance-regular graphs. In order to motivate and describe our result, we first recall some notions. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and vertex set  $X$  (see Section 2 for formal definitions). Recall that there exists a minimal idempotent  $E_0$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $E_0 = |X|^{-1}J$ , where  $J$  is the all 1's matrix. We call  $E_0$  *trivial*. Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^d$  denote an ordering of the nontrivial minimal idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . It is known that for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$  the entry-wise product  $E_i \circ E_j$  is a linear combination of the minimal idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , so that

$$E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{h=0}^d q_{ij}^h E_h.$$

The coefficients  $q_{ij}^h$  are called the *Krein parameters* of  $\Gamma$ . They are real and nonnegative; see for example [2, p. 48–49]. Now consider when is a Krein parameter zero. Note that  $J \circ E_j = E_j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq d$ , so  $q_{0j}^h = \delta_{hj}$  for  $0 \leq h, j \leq d$ . The ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is called  *$Q$ -polynomial* whenever for all  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$  the Krein parameter  $q_{ij}^1$  is zero if  $|i-j| > 1$  and nonzero if  $|i-j| = 1$ . Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . We say that  $E$  is  *$Q$ -polynomial* whenever there exists a  $Q$ -polynomial ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^d$  of the nontrivial minimal idempotents of  $\Gamma$  such that  $E = E_1$ . We now explain the  $Q$ -polynomial property in terms of representation diagrams. Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ , and for notational convenience write  $E = E_1$ . The *representation diagram*  $\Delta_E$  is the undirected graph with vertex set  $\{0, \dots, d\}$  such that

vertices  $i, j$  are adjacent whenever  $i \neq j$  and  $q_{ij}^1 \neq 0$ . By our earlier comments,  $q_{0j}^1 = \delta_{1j}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq d$ . Therefore, in  $\Delta_E$  the vertex 0 is adjacent to the vertex 1 and no other vertex, see Figure 1(a). Observe that  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if  $\Delta_E$  is a path, and in this case the natural ordering  $0, 1, \dots$  of the vertices in  $\Delta_E$  agrees with the  $Q$ -polynomial ordering associated with  $E$ . See Figure 1(c).




Figure 1: For  $E = E_1$  the representation diagram  $\Delta_E$  around vertices 0 and 1: (a) in general; (b) when  $E = E_1$  is a tail; (c) when  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial.

Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . In this paper we give a condition that is necessary and sufficient for  $E$  to be  $Q$ -polynomial. We now describe the condition, which has three parts.

The first part has to do with the representation diagram  $\Delta_E$ . According to Lang [5],  $E$  is a *tail* whenever  $E \circ E$  is a linear combination of  $E_0$ ,  $E$ , and at most one other minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . In terms of the diagram  $\Delta_E$ , and writing  $E = E_1$  for notational convenience,  $E$  is a tail if and only if vertex 1 is adjacent to at most one vertex besides vertex 0, see Figure 1(b). Note that if  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial, then  $E$  is a tail.

The next part of our condition involves the dual eigenvalue sequence  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  for  $E$ . This sequence satisfies  $E = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* A_i$ , where  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are the distance matrices of  $\Gamma$ . Following [5], we say that  $E$  is *three-term recurrent* (in short TTR) whenever there exists a complex scalar  $\beta$  such that  $\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^*$  is independent of  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ . If  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial, then  $E$  is TTR by [2, Theorem 8.1.2], cf. [7].

The third part of our condition involves both the diagram  $\Delta_E$  and the dual eigenvalues  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ . By [2, Proposition 2.11.1],  $\Delta_E$  is connected if and only if  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . These equivalent statements hold if  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial, since in this case  $\Delta_E$  is a path.

We now state our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent for  $\Gamma$  and let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  denote the corresponding dual eigenvalue sequence. Then  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if*

- (i)  $E$  is a tail,
- (ii)  $E$  is TTR,
- (iii)  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

In Section 4 we discuss the minimality of assumptions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 1.1. We show that in general, no proper subset of (i)–(iii) is sufficient to imply that  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. Theorem 1.1 gives a characterization of the  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs. A similar characterization, where assumption (i) is replaced by some equations involving the dual eigenvalues and intersection numbers, is given by Pascasio [8].

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we review some definitions and basic concepts. See Brouwer, Cohen and Neumaier [2] and Terwilliger [9] for more background information. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the complex number field and  $X$  a nonempty finite set. Let  $\text{Mat}_X\mathbb{C}$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra consisting of all matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by  $X$  and whose entries are in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^X$  denote the vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by  $X$  and whose entries are in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Observe that  $\text{Mat}_X\mathbb{C}$  acts on  $V$  by left multiplication. For all  $y \in X$ , let  $\hat{y}$  denote the element of  $V$  with 1 in the  $y$ -th coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates.

From now on  $\Gamma$  denotes a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set  $X$ , the shortest path-length distance function  $\partial$  and diameter  $d := \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ . For a vertex  $x \in X$  and integer  $i \geq 0$  define

$$\Gamma_i(x) = \{y \in X \mid \partial(x, y) = i\}.$$

For notational convenience abbreviate  $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_1(x)$ . For an integer  $k \geq 0$ , the graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *regular with valency  $k$*  whenever  $|\Gamma(x)| = k$  for all  $x \in X$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ) and vertices  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number  $p_{ij}^h = |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$  is independent of  $x, y$ . The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  are called the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ . From now on assume  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k = p_{11}^0$ .

We recall the Bose-Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $A_i$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X\mathbb{C}$  with  $(x, y)$ -entry equal to 1 if  $\partial(x, y) = i$  and 0 otherwise. We call  $A_i$  the  *$i$ -th distance matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . Note that  $A_i$  is real and symmetric. We observe that  $A_0 = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix, and abbreviate  $A = A_1$ . We observe that  $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J$  and  $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^d p_{ij}^h A_h$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ . Let  $M$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $A$ . By [2, p. 127] the matrices  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis for  $M$ . We call  $M$  the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of  $\Gamma$ . By [2, p. 45],  $M$  has a basis  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  such that (i)  $E_0 = |X|^{-1}J$ ; (ii)  $I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i$ ; (iii)  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ . By [1, p. 59, 64] the matrices  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are real and symmetric. We call  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  the *minimal idempotents* of  $\Gamma$ . We call  $E_0$  *trivial*. Since  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis for  $M$ , there exist complex scalars  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  such that

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i. \quad (1)$$

By (1) and since  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$  we have

$$A E_i = E_i A = \theta_i E_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (2)$$

We call the scalar  $\theta_i$  the *eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $E_i$ . Note that the eigenvalues  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are mutually distinct since  $A$  generates  $M$ . Moreover  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are real, since  $A$  and  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are real. Let  $E$  denote a minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis for  $M$ , there exist complex scalars  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  such that

$$E = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* A_i. \quad (3)$$

We call  $\theta_i^*$  the  *$i$ -th dual eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $E$ . Note that  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  are real, since  $E$  and  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are real. Let  $\circ$  denote the entry-wise product in  $\text{Mat}_X \mathbb{C}$ . Observe  $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ , so  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ . Therefore there exist complex scalars  $q_{ij}^h$  such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{h=0}^d q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \quad (4)$$

We call the  $q_{ij}^h$  the *Krein parameters* of  $\Gamma$ . These parameters are real and nonnegative [2, p. 48–49]. For the moment fix integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ). By construction  $q_{ij}^h = q_{ji}^h$ . By [2, Lemma 2.3.1] we have  $m_h q_{ij}^h = m_i q_{jh}^i = m_j q_{hi}^j$ . Therefore

$$q_{ij}^h = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad q_{jh}^i = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad q_{hi}^j = 0. \quad (5)$$

We recall the dual Bose-Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$  [9, p. 378]. For the rest of this section, fix a vertex  $x \in X$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X \mathbb{C}$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1; & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i \\ 0; & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (y \in X).$$

We call  $E_i^*$  the  *$i$ -th dual idempotent of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$* . We observe that  $I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i^*$  and  $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{ij} E_i^*$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ . Therefore the matrices  $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis for a commutative subalgebra  $M^* = M^*(x)$  of  $\text{Mat}_X \mathbb{C}$ . We call  $M^*$  the *dual Bose-Mesner algebra* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X \mathbb{C}$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(A_i^*)_{yy} = |X| (E_i)_{xy} \quad (y \in X).$$

We call  $A_i^*$  the *dual distance matrix* corresponding to  $E_i$ . By [9, p. 379] the matrices  $\{A_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis for  $M^*$ . Select an integer  $\ell$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ ) and set  $E := E_\ell$ ,  $A^* := A_\ell^*$ . Let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  denote the dual eigenvalues corresponding to  $E$ . Then using (3) we find

$$A^* = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i^* E_i^*. \quad (6)$$

Moreover

$$A^*E_i^* = E_i^*A^* = \theta_i^*E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (7)$$

We now recall how  $M$  and  $M^*$  are related. By the definition of the distance matrices and dual idempotents, we have

$$E_h^*A_iE_j^* = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p_{ij}^h = 0 \quad (0 \leq h, i, j \leq d). \quad (8)$$

By [9, Lemma 3.2],

$$E_hA_i^*E_j = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q_{ij}^h = 0 \quad (0 \leq h, i, j \leq d). \quad (9)$$

Let  $T = T(x)$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $M$  and  $M^*$ . We call  $T$  the *subconstituent algebra* or *Terwilliger algebra* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  [9, p. 380]. By a  $T$ -module we mean a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  such that  $BW \subseteq W$  for all  $B \in T$ . Let  $W$  denote a  $T$ -module. Then  $W$  is said to be *irreducible* whenever  $W$  is nonzero and contains no  $T$ -modules other than 0 and  $W$ .

We mention a special irreducible  $T$ -module. Let  $\mathbf{j} = \sum_{y \in X} \hat{y}$  denote the all 1's vector in  $V$ . Observe that  $A_i\hat{x} = E_i^*\mathbf{j}$  and  $|X|E_i\hat{x} = A_i^*\mathbf{j}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Therefore  $M\hat{x} = M^*\mathbf{j}$ . Denote this common space by  $V_0$  and note that  $V_0$  is a  $T$ -module. This  $T$ -module is irreducible by [9, Lemma 3.6]. For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  the vector  $A_i\hat{x}$  is a basis for  $E_i^*V_0$  and  $E_i\hat{x}$  is a basis for  $E_iV_0$ . We call  $V_0$  the *primary*  $T$ -module.

### 3 The main result

In this section we prove our characterization of  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$  that is TTR and let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  be the corresponding dual eigenvalues. Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma^*$  denote complex scalars such that  $\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* = \gamma^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ . Then the expression*

$$\theta_{i-1}^{*2} - \beta\theta_{i-1}^*\theta_i^* + \theta_i^{*2} - \gamma^*(\theta_{i-1}^* + \theta_i^*) \quad (10)$$

*is independent of  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta_i^*$  denote the expression in (10). For  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  the difference  $\delta_i^* - \delta_{i+1}^*$  is equal to

$$(\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)(\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^* - \gamma^*),$$

and is therefore 0. It follows that  $\delta_i^*$  is independent of  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$  that is TTR. Fix a vertex  $x$  of  $\Gamma$  and let  $A^* = A^*(x)$  denote the dual distance matrix corresponding to  $E$ . Then*

$$0 = [A^*, A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(AA^* + A^*A) - \delta^*A], \quad (11)$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma^*$  are from Lemma 3.1 and  $\delta^*$  is the common value of (10). Here  $[r, s]$  means  $rs - sr$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the expression on the right in (11). We show that  $C = 0$ . Observe

$$C = ICI = \left( \sum_{i=0}^d E_i^* \right) C \left( \sum_{j=0}^d E_j^* \right) = \sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{j=0}^d E_i^* C E_j^*.$$

To show  $C = 0$  it suffices to show  $E_i^* C E_j^* = 0$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ . For notational convenience define a polynomial  $P$  in two variables

$$P(u, v) = u^2 - \beta uv + v^2 - \gamma^*(u + v) - \delta^*.$$

For  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$  we have

$$E_i^* C E_j^* = E_i^* A E_j^* P(\theta_i^*, \theta_j^*) (\theta_i^* - \theta_j^*)$$

by (7), where  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  are the dual eigenvalues corresponding to  $E$ . By (8) we find  $E_i^* A E_j^* = 0$  if  $|i - j| > 1$ . By Lemma 3.1 we find  $P(\theta_i^*, \theta_j^*) = 0$  if  $|i - j| = 1$ . Of course  $\theta_i^* - \theta_j^* = 0$  if  $i = j$ . Therefore  $E_i^* C E_j^* = 0$  as desired. We have now shown  $C = 0$ .  $\square$

In (9) we gave a characterization of a vanishing Krein parameter. We will need a variation on that result. We will obtain this variation after a few lemmas. The first lemma follows directly from the definitions of  $\hat{x}$  and the dual adjacency matrices.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial minimal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . Fix a vertex  $x$  of  $\Gamma$  and let  $A^* = A^*(x)$  denote the dual distance matrix corresponding to  $E$ . Then  $A^*v = |X|(E\hat{x}) \circ v$  for all  $v \in V$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and let  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote the minimal idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . Fix  $x \in X$ . Then for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ,*

$$E_h A_i^* E_j \hat{x} = q_{ij}^h E_h \hat{x},$$

where  $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.3 we find  $A_i^* E_j \hat{x} = |X|(E_i \hat{x}) \circ (E_j \hat{x})$ , and observe that this equals  $|X|(E_i \circ E_j) \hat{x}$ . Now

$$E_h A_i^* E_j \hat{x} = |X| E_h (E_i \circ E_j) \hat{x} = E_h \sum_{\ell=0}^d q_{ij}^\ell E_\ell \hat{x} = q_{ij}^h E_h \hat{x}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and let  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote the minimal idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and let  $V_0$  denote the primary module for  $T(x)$ . Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ .*

(i)  $q_{ij}^h = 0$ .

(ii)  $E_h A_i^* E_j$  vanishes on  $V_0$ , where  $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) fail. Then  $E_h A_i^* E_j V_0 = E_h V_0$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $E_h A_i^* E_j$  is zero by (9) and hence vanishes on  $V_0$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Observe  $\hat{x} \in V_0$  so  $E_h A_i^* E_j \hat{x} = 0$ . Therefore  $q_{ij}^h E_h \hat{x} = 0$  in view of Lemma 3.4. The vector  $E_h \hat{x}$  is a basis for  $E_h V_0$  so  $E_h \hat{x} \neq 0$ . Thus  $q_{ij}^h = 0$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) fail. Then  $E_h A_i^* E_j V_0$  is a nonzero subspace of the one-dimensional space  $E_h V_0$  and is therefore equal to  $E_h V_0$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First suppose that  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. Then condition (i) holds by definition of a tail and the definition of the  $Q$ -polynomial property, condition (ii) holds by [2, Theorem 8.1.2], cf. Leonard [7], and condition (iii) holds by [2, Proposition 4.1.8].

To obtain the converse, assume that  $E$  satisfies (i)–(iii). We show  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. To do this we consider the representation diagram  $\Delta_E$  from the introduction. We will show that  $\Delta_E$  is a path.

Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^d$  denote an ordering of the nontrivial minimal idempotents of  $\Gamma$  such that  $E = E_1$ . Let  $X$  denote the vertex set of  $\Gamma$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and let  $A^* = A_1^*(x)$ . We first show that  $\Delta_E$  is connected. To do this we follow an argument given in [11, Theorem 3.3]. Suppose that  $\Delta_E$  is not connected. Then there exists a nonempty proper subset  $S$  of  $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $i, j$  are not adjacent in  $\Delta_E$  for all  $i \in S$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\} \setminus S$ . Invoking (9) we find  $E_i A^* E_j = 0$  and  $E_j A^* E_i = 0$  for  $i \in S$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\} \setminus S$ . Define  $F := \sum_{i \in S} E_i$  and observe

$$A^* F = I A^* F = \left( \sum_{i=0}^d E_i \right) A^* F = F A^* F.$$

By a similar argument  $F A^* = F A^* F$ , so  $A^*$  commutes with  $F$ . Since  $F \in M$  there exist complex scalars  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^d$  such that  $F = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i A_i$ . We have

$$0 = A^* F - F A^* = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i (A^* A_i - A_i A^*). \quad (12)$$

We claim that the matrices  $\{A^* A_i - A_i A^* \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$  are linearly independent. To prove the claim, for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  define  $B_i = A^* A_i - A_i A^*$ , and observe  $B_i \hat{x} = (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*) A_i \hat{x}$ . The vectors  $\{A_i \hat{x}\}_{i=1}^d$  are linearly independent and  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  so the vectors  $\{B_i \hat{x}\}_{i=1}^d$  are

linearly independent. Therefore the matrices  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^d$  are linearly independent and the claim is proved. By the claim and (12) we find  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Now  $F = \alpha_0 I$ . But  $F^2 = F$  so  $\alpha_0^2 = \alpha_0$ . Thus  $\alpha_0 = 0$ , in which case  $S = \emptyset$ , or  $\alpha_0 = 1$ , in which case  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ . In either case we have a contradiction so  $\Delta_E$  is connected.

As we mentioned in the introduction, in  $\Delta_E$  the vertex 0 is adjacent to vertex 1 and no other vertex of  $\Delta_E$ . By the definition of a tail and since  $\Delta_E$  is connected, vertex 1 is adjacent to vertex 0 and exactly one other vertex in  $\Delta_E$ . To show that  $\Delta_E$  is a path, it suffices to show that each vertex in  $\Delta_E$  is adjacent to at most two other vertices in  $\Delta_E$ . We assume this is not the case and obtain a contradiction. Let  $v$  denote a vertex in  $\Delta_E$  that is adjacent to more than two vertices of  $\Delta_E$ . Of all such vertices, we pick  $v$  such that the distance to 0 in  $\Delta_E$  is minimal. Call this distance  $i$ . Note that  $2 \leq i \leq d - 1$  by our above comments and the construction. For notational convenience and without loss of generality we may assume that the vertices of  $\Delta_E$  are labelled such that for  $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$  the vertex  $j$  is adjacent to  $j - 1$  and  $j + 1$  and no other vertex in  $\Delta_E$ . By construction the chosen vertex  $v$  is labelled  $i$ . This vertex is adjacent to  $i - 1$  and at least two other vertices in  $\Delta_E$ . Let  $t$  denote a vertex in  $\Delta_E$  other than  $i - 1$  that is adjacent to vertex  $i$ . Since  $E$  is TTR there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\theta_{j-1}^* - \beta\theta_j^* + \theta_{j+1}^*$  is independent of  $j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d - 1$ . We claim that

$$\theta_t - (\beta + 1)\theta_i + (\beta + 1)\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-2} = 0. \quad (13)$$

To prove the claim we consider the equation (11). In that equation we expand the right-hand side to get

$$0 = A^{*3}A - (\beta + 1)(A^{*2}AA^* - A^*AA^{*2}) - AA^{*3} - \gamma^*(A^{*2}A - AA^{*2}) - \delta^*(A^*A - AA^*).$$

In this equation we multiply each term on the left by  $E_{i-2}$  and on the right by  $E_t$ . To help simplify the results we make some comments. Using (2) we find  $E_{i-2}A^{*3}AE_t = E_{i-2}A^{*3}E_t\theta_t$ . Using (9) we find

$$E_{i-2}A^{*3}E_t = E_{i-2}A^* \left( \sum_{r=0}^d E_r \right) A^* \left( \sum_{s=0}^d E_s \right) A^* E_t = E_{i-2}A^* E_{i-1} A^* E_i A^* E_t.$$

Similarly we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i-2}A^{*2}AA^*E_t &= E_{i-2}A^* E_{i-1} A^* E_i A^* E_t \theta_i, \\ E_{i-2}A^*AA^{*2}E_t &= E_{i-2}A^* E_{i-1} A^* E_i A^* E_t \theta_{i-1}, \\ E_{i-2}AA^{*3}E_t &= E_{i-2}A^* E_{i-1} A^* E_i A^* E_t \theta_{i-2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i-2}A^{*2}AE_t &= 0, & E_{i-2}AA^{*2}E_t &= 0, \\ E_{i-2}A^*AE_t &= 0, & E_{i-2}AA^*E_t &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these comments we find

$$0 = E_{i-2}A^* E_{i-1} A^* E_i A^* E_t (\theta_t - (\beta + 1)\theta_i + (\beta + 1)\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-2}). \quad (14)$$



We show that  $E_{i-2}A^*E_{i-1}A^*E_iA^*E_t \neq 0$ . By the last assertion of Corollary 3.5 and since the sequence  $(i-2, i-1, i, t)$  is a path in  $\Delta_E$ , we find  $E_iA^*E_tV_0 = E_iV_0$  and  $E_{i-1}A^*E_iV_0 = E_{i-1}V_0$  and  $E_{i-2}A^*E_{i-1}V_0 = E_{i-2}V_0$ . Therefore

$$E_{i-2}A^*E_{i-1}A^*E_iA^*E_tV_0 = E_{i-2}V_0.$$

Observe  $E_{i-2}V_0 \neq 0$  so  $E_{i-2}A^*E_{i-1}A^*E_iA^*E_t \neq 0$ , as desired. By this and (14) we obtain (13). By (13) the scalar  $\theta_t$  is uniquely determined. The scalars  $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_d$  are mutually distinct so  $t$  is uniquely determined, for a contradiction. We have shown that  $\Delta_E$  is a path and therefore  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial.  $\square$

## 4 Remarks

In this section we make some remarks concerning the three conditions in Theorem 1.1. Throughout the section assume  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Pick a nontrivial minimal idempotent  $E = E_j$  of  $\Gamma$  and let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  denote the corresponding dual eigenvalue sequence. Abbreviate  $\theta = \theta_j$ .

**Note 4.1.** [2, pp. 142–143, 161] Pick an integer  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Then  $\theta_i^* = \theta_0^*$  if and only if at least one of the following holds.

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is bipartite,  $i$  is even, and  $j = d$ ,
- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is antipodal,  $i = d$ , and  $j$  is even.

**Note 4.2.** The graph  $\Gamma$  is imprimitive if and only if  $\Gamma$  is bipartite or antipodal [2, Theorem 4.2.1]. Thus if  $\Gamma$  is primitive then  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that  $\theta \neq -1$  and one of the following occurs:*

- (i)  $d = 3$ ,
- (ii)  $d = 4$ ,  $\Gamma$  is antipodal, and  $j$  is even,
- (iii)  $d = 5$ ,  $\Gamma$  is antipodal, and  $j$  is even.

*Then  $E$  is TTR.*

*Proof.* We have  $(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*)kb_1 = (k - \theta)(1 + \theta)$  by [4, Lemma 2.2], so  $\theta_1^* \neq \theta_2^*$ . Define  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\beta + 1 = (\theta_0^* - \theta_3^*)/(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*)$ . By the construction  $\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^*$  is independent of  $i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We are done in case (i), so assume we are in cases (ii) or (iii). By [2, p. 142] we have  $\theta_i^* = \theta_{d-i}^*$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Therefore  $\theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^*$  is independent of  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ . In other words  $E$  is TTR.  $\square$

**Note 4.4.** Referring to the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 1.1, we show that no proper subset of (i)–(iii) implies that  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial.

- Conditions (ii), (iii) are not sufficient. Assume  $\Gamma$  is the generalized hexagon of order  $(2, 1)$  [2, p. 200, 425]. It is primitive with diameter  $d = 3$  and eigenvalues  $4, 1 + \sqrt{2}, 1 - \sqrt{2}, -2$ . Pick  $j = 1$ . Then  $E$  satisfies condition (ii) by Lemma 4.3 and  $E$  satisfies condition (iii) by Note 4.2. But  $E$  does not satisfy (i) by [2, p. 413, 425]. In particular  $E$  is not  $Q$ -polynomial.
- Conditions (i), (iii) are not sufficient. Assume  $\Gamma$  is the dodecahedron, which is antipodal with diameter  $d = 5$  and eigenvalues  $3, \sqrt{5}, 1, 0, -2, -\sqrt{5}$ , see [2, p. 417]. Pick  $j = 1$ . Then  $E$  satisfies condition (i) by [2, p. 413, 417] and  $E$  satisfies condition (iii) by Note 4.1. By [4, Lemma 2.2] we find  $\theta_0^* = 3, \theta_1^* = \sqrt{5}, \theta_2^* = 1, \theta_3^* = -1, \theta_4^* = -\sqrt{5}, \theta_5^* = -3$  and using this one verifies that  $E$  does not satisfy condition (ii). In particular  $E$  is not  $Q$ -polynomial.
- Conditions (i), (ii) are not sufficient. Assume  $\Gamma$  is the Wells graph [2, p. 421], which is antipodal with diameter  $d = 4$  and eigenvalues  $5, \sqrt{5}, 1, -\sqrt{5}, -3$ . Pick  $j = 2$ . Then  $E$  satisfies condition (i) by [2, p. 413] and  $E$  satisfies condition (ii) by Lemma 4.3, but  $E$  does not satisfy condition (iii) by Note 4.1. In particular  $E$  is not  $Q$ -polynomial.

**Note 4.5.** Assume  $\Gamma$  is bipartite, and consider the conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 1.1. If  $E$  satisfies conditions (i), (iii) then  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial by [5, proof of Theorem 5.4]. If  $E$  satisfies conditions (ii), (iii) then  $E$  is  $Q$ -polynomial by [6, Theorem 10.5].

## References

- [1] Bannai, E. and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*. Benjamin-Cummings Lecture Note Ser. 58, The Benjamin/Cumming Publishing Company, Inc., London (1984).
- [2] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [3] P. Delsarte, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, *Philips Research Reports Suppl.* **10** (1973).
- [4] A. Jurišić, J. Koolen and P. Terwilliger, Tight distance-regular graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **12** (2000), 163–197.
- [5] M. S. Lang, Tails of bipartite distance-regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 1015–1023.
- [6] M. S. Lang, A new inequality for bipartite distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **90** (2004), 55–91.
- [7] D. A. Leonard, Orthogonal polynomials, duality and association schemes, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982), 656–663.
- [8] A. A. Pascasio, A characterization of  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 3090–3096.
- [9] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme, I., *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992), 363–388.
- [10] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme, III., *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993), 177–210.
- [11] P. Terwilliger, A new inequality for distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.* **137** (1995), 319–332.