

UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF ORBIFOLDS

INDRANIL BISWAS AND AMIT HOGADI

ABSTRACT. There is a well known bijective correspondence between the isomorphism classes of polystable vector bundles E with $c_i(E) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ on a smooth complex projective variety and the equivalence classes of unitary representations of the fundamental group of the variety. We show that this bijective correspondence extends to smooth orbifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Y/\mathbb{C} be a connected smooth projective curve, and let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ be a polystable vector bundle of degree zero. A celebrated theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri, [NS], says that \mathcal{E} is necessarily given by a unitary representation of the fundamental group of Y . Let X/\mathbb{C} be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . Fix an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X in order to define the degree of a torsionfree coherent sheaf on X . The following generalization of the Narasimhan–Seshadri theorem holds.

Theorem 1.1 ([Do], [MR]). *Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X such that $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$. Then \mathcal{E} is polystable if and only if it is given by a unitary representation of the (topological) fundamental group of X .*

Some clarification on Theorem 1.1 is necessary. By [Do, p. 231, Proposition 1], a stable vector bundle on X admits a Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection. Since a polystable vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X is a direct sum of stable vector bundles of same degree/rank quotient, the Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on the stable direct summands together produce a Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on \mathcal{E} . If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$, then any Hermitian–Yang–Mills connection on \mathcal{E} is flat [Ko, p. 115, Theorem 4.11]. All Chern classes in Theorem 1.1 are topological, taking values in rational cohomological classes.

Our aim here is to generalize the above theorem to projective orbifolds. By an **orbifold** over a field k we always mean a smooth separated Deligne–Mumford stack which is of finite type over k and whose isotropy groups at all generic points is trivial. Thus an orbifold has a dense open substack which is a scheme. We

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F05, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Orbifolds, polystable bundle, fundamental group, unitary representation.

call a Deligne–Mumford stack projective if it has a coarse moduli space which is a projective variety.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X/\mathbb{C} be an irreducible projective orbifold of dimension n , and let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X . Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle on X such that $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$. Then \mathcal{E} is polystable (with respect to \mathcal{L}) if and only if it is obtained by a unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ for a (equivalently, any) closed point $x \in X$.*

Here $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ denotes the topological fundamental group of the underlying complex analytical stack. See (4.1) for definition of ample line bundles on a stack. All Chern classes in Theorem 1.2 are topological, taking values in rational cohomological classes. Theorem 1.2 follows quite easily from Theorem 1.1 in the special case when X is a global quotient of a smooth variety by a finite group. The main work needed to prove Theorem 1.2 involves deducing the general case from this special case.

2. RIGIDIFICATION

Let k be a field. Throughout this section we work with Deligne–Mumford stacks over k , and assume that all these stacks are of finite type and separated over k and are quotient stacks, meaning, can be expressed as quotient of an affine scheme by the action of a linear algebraic group. As shown in [Kr, Proposition 5.2], such stacks, Zariski locally, can be expressed as quotient of an affine variety by a finite group. The inertia stack of a stack Y , viewed as a sheaf of groups on the big étale site of Y , will be denoted by I_Y .

Recall that the notion of rigidification of a Deligne–Mumford stack is a process to get rid of given stabilizers in a “minimal” manner; see [ACV, Section 5.1]. Throughout this paper, we fix the following definition of rigidification. It should be clarified that this definition differs from the one in [ACV, Section 5.1].

Definition 2.1. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a 1–morphism of Deligne–Mumford stacks over k . This f is called a **rigidification** if for any atlas $U \rightarrow X$, where U is a scheme, the projection $Y \times_X U \rightarrow U$ is a coarse moduli space.

Since the morphism to the coarse moduli space of a separated Deligne–Mumford stack is always proper, it follows that a rigidification morphism is a proper morphism.

As a direct consequence of the above definition, it follows that every morphism from a Deligne Mumford stack to its coarse moduli space is a rigidification. Proposition 2.5 provides additional examples of rigidification.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a 1–morphism of Deligne–Mumford stacks over k . Then for $i = 1, 2$, there exists*

- (i) *affine schemes U_i and linear algebraic groups G_i/k acting on U_i ,*
- (ii) *a group homomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, and*

(iii) a k -morphism $f : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ which is equivariant with respect to ϕ , such that $Y_i = [U_i/G_i]$, and the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & U_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1 = [U_1/G_1] & \longrightarrow & [U_2/G_2] = Y_2 \end{array}$$

Proof. Take any $i \in [1, 2]$. Since Y_i is a quotient stack, we can write $Y_i = [V_i/H_i]$, where V_i is an affine scheme and H_i is a linear algebraic group acting on V_i . Now we let

$$\tilde{V}_1 = V_1 \times_{Y_2} V_2 = V_1 \times_{Y_1} (V_2 \times_{Y_2} Y_1).$$

Then clearly

$$[\tilde{V}_1/(H_1 \times H_2)] = [V_1/H_1].$$

The projection

$$\tilde{V}_1 \rightarrow V_1$$

is affine, because it is a base extension of the affine morphism $V_2 \rightarrow Y_2$.

Since V_1 is an affine variety, and the projection $\tilde{V}_1 \rightarrow V_1$ is affine, we conclude that \tilde{V}_1 is also an affine variety. Also, we have a natural map

$$h : \tilde{V}_1 \rightarrow V_2$$

which is equivariant for the actions of $H_1 \times H_2$ on \tilde{V}_1 and V_2 , with $H_1 \times H_2$ acting on V_2 through the projection $H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_2$. Now, because of the identification $[\tilde{V}_1/(H_1 \times H_2)] = [V_1/H_1]$, the proof is completed by setting $G_1 = H_1 \times H_2$, $G_2 = H_2$, $U_1 = \tilde{V}_1$ and $U_2 = V_2$. \square

The following lemma is a generalization of the universal property of coarse moduli spaces.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $g : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be as in Lemma 2.2. Assume, that for every point p , the map induced by f from the isotropy group of Y_1 at p to that of Y_2 at $f(p)$ is trivial. Then f factors through the coarse moduli space of Y_1 .*

Proof. Let U_i, G_i, ϕ, f be as in Lemma 2.2. Let $K = \text{Kernel}(\phi)$. The assumption that the map induced by f on all isotropy groups is trivial is equivalent to saying that the stabilizer of every point in U_1 is contained in K .

We claim that the geometric quotient $V = U_1//K$ exists as an algebraic space. In order to prove this, first consider the stack $[U_1/K]$. It is a Deligne Mumford stack, because the isotropy groups of the action of G_1 (and hence of K) on U_1 are finite étale over k . Thus V , which is nothing but the coarse moduli space of $[U_1/K]$, exists as an algebraic space by a theorem of Keel and Mori [KM, Theorem 1.1].

Next, we will show that the action of G_1/K on V is free. To prove this, take $\tilde{x} \in V$ and $\bar{g} \in G_1/K$ such that $\bar{g}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$. Choose a point $x \in U_1$ lying over

\tilde{x} , and also fix a lift $g \in G_1$ of \bar{g} . Since V is a geometric quotient of U_1 by K , points of V correspond to orbits in U_1 for the action of K . Thus, there exists an element $a \in K$ such that

$$gx = ax.$$

Alternatively, $a^{-1}g$ is in the stabilizer of the point x and hence is contained in K . Thus $g \in K$, which implies that $\bar{g} = eK$. This proves the above assertion that the action of G_1/K on V is free.

Consequently, the quotient stack $[V/(G_1/K)]$ is actually an algebraic space which is also the geometric quotient of U_1 by G_1 , and hence $[V/(G_1/K)]$ is the coarse moduli space of Y_1 .

Since the map $f : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ intertwines the given action of K on U_1 and the trivial action of K on U_2 , it factors through V . Thus we have an induced map $V \rightarrow U_2$ which is equivariant with respect to the action of G_1/K on V and the action of G_2 on U_2 , using the homomorphism ϕ in Lemma 2.2. This in turn induces a map $[V/(G_1/K)] \rightarrow [U_2/G_2]$. But as explained above, $[V/(G_1/K)]$ is the coarse moduli space of Y_1 . This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a 1-morphism of separated Deligne–Mumford stacks over k . Let Σ denote the set of all points in Y where the induced map on isotropy groups is an isomorphism. Then Σ is an open subset of Y .*

Proof. Since the Deligne–Mumford stacks are separated, the inertia stacks I_Y and I_X are finite over Y and X respectively. Thus the kernel and cokernel of the induced map $I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)$ are finite over X . Hence the set of all points where both the kernel and cokernel are trivial is an open subset of Y . \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let U/k be a k -scheme, and let G be a finite group acting on U . Let K be a normal subgroup of G , and let V denote the geometric quotient of U by K . Then the following two hold:*

- (i) *The natural map $f : [U/G] \rightarrow [V/(G/K)]$ is a rigidification.*
- (ii) *If U is spectrum of a local ring, then any rigidification of $[U/G]$ is of this type for some normal subgroup K of G .*

Proof. (i) Since $V \rightarrow [V/(G/K)]$ is étale, and $[U/K] \rightarrow V$ is a coarse moduli space, in order to prove the statement it is enough to show that the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [U/K] & \xrightarrow{f'} & V \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g' \\ [U/G] & \xrightarrow{f} & [V/(G/K)] \end{array}$$

We first recall the description of the 1-morphism f . The k -groupoid underlying the stack $[U/G]$ is the category of all triples

$$\left(Z, P \xrightarrow{h} Z, P \xrightarrow{\phi} U \right),$$

where Z is a k scheme, h is a principal G -bundle and $\phi : P \rightarrow U$ is a G -equivariant morphism. Given such a triple, one has the corresponding induced map

$$\phi' : P' = P/K \rightarrow V,$$

where $P/K \rightarrow Z$ is now a principal G/K -bundle. One thus gets a triple $(Z, P' \xrightarrow{h'} Z, \phi')$ which defines an object in the groupoid underlying $[V/(G/K)]$. This assignment

$$(Z, P, \phi) \rightarrow (Z, P', \phi')$$

defines the 1-morphism f .

To prove that the above diagram is Cartesian, we need to exhibit a 1-isomorphism

$$[U/K] \rightarrow [U/G] \times_{[V/(G/K)]} V.$$

By construction of fiber product of groupoids, the groupoid underlying

$$[U/G] \times_{[V/(G/K)]} V$$

is the category of all

$$(Z, P \xrightarrow{h} Z, \phi, Z \xrightarrow{\gamma} V, \theta),$$

where $(Z, P \xrightarrow{h} Z, \phi)$ is an object, say α , of groupoid underlying $[U/G]$, and γ defines an object, say β , of the groupoid underlying V , and θ is an isomorphism $f(\alpha) \rightarrow g'(\beta)$. Note that by above discussion, $f(\alpha)$ is nothing but the object defined by the triple

$$(Z, P', \phi'),$$

where P' is the principal G/K -bundle $P/K \rightarrow Z$, and $\phi' : P' \rightarrow V$ is the induced morphism. Moreover, $g'(\beta)$ is nothing but the triple

$$(Z, Z \times (G/K), \tilde{\gamma}),$$

where $Z \times G/K \rightarrow Z$ is the trivial principal G/K -bundle, and

$$\gamma : Z \times G/K \rightarrow V$$

is the G/K equivariant map given by

$$(z, g) \mapsto g \cdot \gamma(z).$$

Thus giving the isomorphism

$$\theta : (Z, P', \phi') \rightarrow (Z, Z \times (G/K), \tilde{\gamma})$$

is equivalent to giving a trivialization (or a section) of $P' \rightarrow Z$ and imposing the condition that the induced map ϕ' coincides with $\tilde{\gamma}$. Note that $\gamma : Z \rightarrow V$ could have been any arbitrary k -morphism, to start with. Thus, the category underlying the groupoid $[U/G] \times_{[V/(G/K)]} V$ coincides with the category of all quadruples

$$(Z, P \xrightarrow{h} Z, \phi, s),$$

where Z, P and ϕ are as above, and s is a trivialization of the principal G/K -bundle $h' : P/K \rightarrow Z$. But giving a trivialization of h' is equivalent to giving a reduction of the structure group of h to K . Thus the above category of quadruples is equivalent to the category of all triples

$$(Z, Q \xrightarrow{q} Z, \psi),$$

where $q : Q \rightarrow Z$ is a principal K -bundle and $\psi : Q \rightarrow U$ is a K -equivariant morphism. One now sees that this is also the groupoid underlying $[U/K]$.

(ii) Let U be a spectrum of a local ring, and let G be a finite group acting on U . Define $Y := [U/G]$. Let

$$f : Y \rightarrow X$$

be any rigidification map. Let K be the kernel of the homomorphism $I_p \rightarrow I_{f(p)}$, where I_p and $I_{f(p)}$ are the isotropy groups for the unique closed point p of Y and $f(p)$ respectively. Consider the G -invariant map

$$g : U \rightarrow X$$

induced by f . Let V be the geometric quotient of U by K .

We will first show that g factors through V . Indeed, the induced map from the stack $[U/K] \rightarrow X$ is trivial on all isotropy groups, and hence by Lemma 2.3, it factors through the coarse moduli space, which is V .

Since g is G -invariant, the induced map $V \rightarrow X$ is G/K invariant, and hence it gives us a map

$$[V/(G/K)] \rightarrow X.$$

Clearly, this map is also a rigidification, but it is also an isomorphism on the isotropy group at the closed point of the domain. Hence by Lemma 2.4, it is an isomorphism on the isotropy group at all points. Therefore, this map must be an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a rigidification, and let $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be any 1-morphism. Suppose g factors through f , meaning there exists a 1-morphism $h : X \rightarrow Z$ and a 2-isomorphism $\alpha : h \circ f \Rightarrow g$. Then the pair (h, α) does not have any 2-automorphisms, i.e., for any $\theta : h \Rightarrow h$, the commutativity of the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h \circ f & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & g \\ \theta \circ f \downarrow & & \parallel \\ h \circ f & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & g \end{array}$$

implies that θ is identity.

Proof. For an algebraically closed field K over k , let X_K denote the category of K -points of X . Thus f , g and h induce functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_K & \xrightarrow{g_K} & Z_K \\ f_K \downarrow & \nearrow h_K & \\ X_K & & \end{array}$$

and a natural equivalence $\alpha_K : h_K \circ f_K \Rightarrow g_K$. In order to prove that θ is identity, it is enough to show that θ_K is identity for every algebraically closed field K over k . However, from the definition of rigidification it follows that the functors f_K is full and essentially surjective. It is then a simple exercise in category theory to show that θ_K is identity. \square

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a rigidification. Let I_Y (respectively, I_X) be the inertia sheaf of Y (respectively, X), and define

$$K^f := \text{Ker} (I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)).$$

Then we claim that f has the following universal property (which is a generalization of Lemma 2.3):

Proposition 2.7. *Given any 1-morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that K^f is contained in the kernel of $I_Y \rightarrow g^{-1}(I_Z)$, there exists a morphism $h : X \rightarrow Z$, and a 2-isomorphism $\alpha : h \circ f \Rightarrow g$. Further (h, α) is uniquely determined up to a unique 2-isomorphism (see (2.6)).*

Proof. Uniqueness of the 2-isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.6. Because of the uniqueness claimed, it is enough to prove the proposition Zariski locally around a point $p \in Y$. Thus by Lemma 2.2 we may reduce to the case where $Y = [U_1/G_1]$, $Z = [U_2/G_2]$, and g is induced by a map $h : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ which is equivariant with respect to a given group homomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$. Moreover, we may assume that G_1 is precisely the isotropy group at the point p , and $K^f \subset \text{Ker}(\phi)$ is a normal subgroup of G_1 . By Proposition 2.5(ii), by further taking a smaller Zariski neighborhood of p , we may assume that the rigidification $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is of the form $[V/(G_1/K^f)]$ where V is the geometric quotient of U_1 by K^f . Note that although Proposition 2.5(ii) is stated in the case where U_1 is spectrum of a local ring, we use standard limiting argument to draw conclusion about a small enough Zariski neighborhood of U_1 (and hence also of Y). Since $K^f \subset \text{Ker}(\phi)$, h induces a map from $V \rightarrow U_2$ which is equivariant w.r.t. G_1/K^f action on V and G_2 action on U_2 . Thus it induces a unique map $[V/(G_1/K^f)] \rightarrow Z$. This proves the claim. \square

We have the following corollary of Proposition 2.7.

Corollary 2.8. *A rigidification $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is determined uniquely by*

$$\text{Ker} (I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)).$$

3. RIGIDIFICATION OF COMPLEX DELIGNE–MUMFORD STACKS

We continue using the notation and assumptions of the previous section, but now restrict ourselves to the case $k = \mathbb{C}$. Although our main interest is algebraic stacks, we will have to consider complex analytic Deligne–Mumford stacks over \mathbb{C} which arise as infinite covering spaces of algebraic stacks. These complex analytic stacks will have the property that of being, locally in complex analytic topology, quotient of an analytic variety by a finite group. In this section we only consider complex analytic stacks which have this additional property. We have the analogous definition and results for complex analytic Deligne–Mumford stacks.

Definition 3.1. Let Y be a complex analytic Deligne–Mumford stack. Then a rigidification of Y is a 1-morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, which locally on X in analytic topology, is a coarse moduli space.

Proof of the following universal property is similar to that of Proposition 2.7, thanks to the assumption that all complex analytic stacks considered here are locally quotient spaces for finite groups actions.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a rigidification of complex analytic stack Y . Let K^f be the kernel of the homomorphism $I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)$. Then given any 1-morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that K^f is in the kernel of*

$$I_Y \rightarrow g^{-1}(I_Z),$$

the 1-morphism g factors uniquely (up to a 2-morphism) through f . In particular the rigidification f is itself uniquely determined by the sheaf K^f .

Lemma 3.3. *Let Y be a Deligne–Mumford stack over \mathbb{C} , and let $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a (possibly infinite) covering map. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a rigidification, and*

$$K^f := \text{Ker} (I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)).$$

Assume that $h^{-1}(K^f)$ lies in the image of the homomorphism $I_Z \rightarrow h^{-1}(I_Y)$. Then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) covering map $X' \rightarrow X$ such that $Z \cong X' \times_X Y$.

Proof. Since h is representable, the homomorphism $I_Z \rightarrow h^{-1}(I_Y)$ is injective. We first claim that there exists a rigidification $g : Z \rightarrow Z'$ such that

$$h^{-1}(K^f) = \text{Ker} (I_Z \rightarrow g^{-1}(I_{Z'})).$$

By uniqueness in Proposition 3.2, we may construct the rigidification analytically locally on Z . Due to Proposition 2.5, we may assume that $Y = [U/G]$, where G is a finite group acting on an affine variety U , and there exists a normal subgroup $H \subset G$ such that $X = [V/(G/H)]$, where V is the geometric quotient of U by H . Note that since the map h is representable, $\tilde{U} = U \times_Y Z \rightarrow U$ is representable, and hence \tilde{U} is a analytic space. Moreover, it has an induced action of G . Now

it is easy to see that the $Z' = [\tilde{V}/(G/H)]$, where \tilde{V} is the geometric quotient of \tilde{U} by H , satisfies the claim. Finally, $X' = Z'$ satisfies the condition in the lemma. \square

Let Y be a complex analytic Deligne–Mumford stack over \mathbb{C} , and let y be a \mathbb{C} -point of Y . Let $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$ denote the topological fundamental group of Y . Let G_y be the isotropy group of the stack Y at the point y . The stack $[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})/G_y]$ will be denoted by BG_y ; it is well known that $[\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})/G_y]$ is the classifying space of the group G_y . Since y is a complex point, the residual gerbe at y is neutral (as \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed!). Thus there exists a canonical morphism

$$\eta_y : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})/[G_y] \longrightarrow Y.$$

This induces a map on the fundamental groups

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(BG_y, p) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y),$$

where p is the unique (up to a 2-isomorphism) complex point of BG_y . However,

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(BG_y, p) = G_y.$$

We thus get a canonical map

$$\phi_y : G_y \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$$

(see also ([No, Section 7]). Moreover, if y' is any other complex point of Y , then composing $\phi_{y'}$ with a choice of an inner automorphism

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y')$$

gives us a map

$$\phi_{yy'} : G_{y'} \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$$

which is well defined up to an inner automorphism of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$.

We now set up some more notation. Let $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ be a rigidification of Deligne–Mumford stacks over \mathbb{C} . Consider K^f defined as in the statement of Lemma 3.3. For any \mathbb{C} -point p of Y , let $K_p^f \subset G_p$ be the stalk of K^f at p . Fix a point $y \in Y(\mathbb{C})$, and let $x = f(y)$. Let $N^{\text{top}}(f)$ denote the normal subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$ generated by all $\phi_{yy'}(K_{y'}^f)$, where y' runs over \mathbb{C} -points of Y . Note that since $\phi_{yy'}$ is well defined up to conjugation, the subgroup $N^{\text{top}}(f)$ is well defined.

Lemma 3.4. *Notation is as above. Let $h : Z \longrightarrow Y$ be a connected (not necessarily finite) Galois étale cover with Galois group G . Let $z \in Z$ be a \mathbb{C} -point which lies over y . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $N^{\text{top}}(f)$ is contained in the kernel of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y) \longrightarrow G$.
- (2) $h^{-1}(K^f)$ lies in the image of $I_Z \longrightarrow h^{-1}(I_Y)$.

Proof. Let z' be any \mathbb{C} -point of Z , and let $y' = h(z')$. As explained above, we have a natural 1-morphism

$$BG_{y'} \longrightarrow Y.$$

Since $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois étale cover with Galois group G , it follows that $Z_{y'} = Z \times_Y BG_{y'} \rightarrow BG_{y'}$ is also a Galois étale cover (not necessarily connected). Here, by a non-connected Galois étale cover, we mean a cover such that the group of deck transformations acts transitively on all complex points. Since all connected Galois étale covers of $BG_{y'}$ are of the form BH for a normal subgroup $H \subset G_{y'}$, we see that there exists a normal subgroup $H \subset G_{y'}$ such that $Z_{y'}$ is a disjoint union of copies of BH . Statement (1) in the lemma is equivalent to the assertion that $K_{y'}^f$ is contained in the kernel of the composite map

$$G_{y'} = \pi_1^{\text{top}}(BG_{y'}, y') \xrightarrow{\phi_{yy'}} \pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y) \rightarrow G$$

for all such z' .

But the kernel of the above composite map is precisely the subgroup H , mentioned above. Thus $K_{y'}^f \subset H$. Note that every point p of Z lying over y' gives rise to a connected component of $Z \times_Y BG_{y'}$. Moreover, this connected component is nothing but BG_p , where G_p is the isotropy group of Z at p . Since $Z \times_Y BG_{y'}$ is disjoint union of copies of BH , we see that the isotropy group at every point p of Z lying over y' contains H and hence also contains $K_{y'}^f$. Since this holds for all points y' of Y , it is equivalent to the statement 2 in the lemma. \square

The following theorem is influenced by [No], and is a straightforward generalization of results in [No].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of Deligne–Mumford stacks over \mathbb{C} . Let y be a \mathbb{C} -point of Y , and let $x = f(y)$. Assume that there exists a dense open substack $U \subset X$ such that the codimension of $X \setminus U$ is at least two, and the induced morphism*

$$f|_U : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$$

is a rigidification. Then the following sequence of groups is exact

$$1 \rightarrow N^{\text{top}}(f) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case where f is a rigidification. Let $Et(Y)$ denote the category of covering spaces of Y . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the full subcategory of objects $Z \xrightarrow{h} Y$ in $Et(Y)$ such that $h^{-1}(K^f)$ is contained in the image of the homomorphism $I_Z \rightarrow h^{-1}(I_Y)$, where K^f is the kernel of the homomorphism $I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)$. By definition of $N^{\text{top}}(f)$ and in view of Lemma 3.4, we need to show that the category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to the category $Et(X)$ of coverings of X . If $X' \rightarrow X$ is an étale covering, then it is easy to see that $X' \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Conversely, let $Z \rightarrow Y$ be an étale covering in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Then by Lemma 3.3 there is an étale covering $X' \rightarrow X$ such that $Z = X' \times_X Y$.

Now consider the general case where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a rigidification outside a closed substack of codimension at least two. As above, let $Et(Y)$ denote the

category of all coverings of Y , and let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the full subcategory consisting of all coverings $Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $h^{-1}(K^f)$ is contained in the image of $I_Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(I_X)$. Let $U \subset X$ be the open subset of X whose complement has codimension at least two and such that $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a rigidification. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U \subset Et(f^{-1}(U))$ denote the full subcategory consisting of all coverings $Z \rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ with the property that

$$h^{-1}(K|_{f^{-1}(U)}) \subset \text{Image}(I_Z \rightarrow I_{f^{-1}(U)})$$

(just like $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset Et(Y)$).

We need to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to $Et(X)$. It is clear that for any covering $X' \rightarrow X$ in $Et(X)$, the fiber product $X' \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ is an object of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. To complete the proof we need to show that any $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ comes from an object in $Et(X)$. Let $h_U : Z_U \rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ denote the restriction of h to $f^{-1}(U)$. Since $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a rigidification, by the above special case we know that there exists an object $X'_U \rightarrow U$ in $Et(U)$ such that $Z_U = X'_U \times_U f^{-1}(U)$. Moreover, since the complement of $U \subset X$ has codimension at least two, the morphism $X'_U \rightarrow U$ extends to a covering $X' \rightarrow X$. Now to finish the proof we observe that the two covering spaces $Z \rightarrow Y$ and $X' \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ agree on the dense open subset $f^{-1}(U)$ and hence are isomorphic. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let X/\mathbb{C} be any quasi-projective orbifold. Then there exists a proper 1-morphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (1) Y is an orbifold which is a finite global quotient, and
- (2) there exists a dense open subset $V \subset X$ such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is a rigidification morphism, and the complement $X \setminus V$ has codimension at least two.

Proof. Let $q : X \rightarrow X'$ be the coarse moduli space of X . Let $D \subset X'$ be a (reduced) divisor such that q is an isomorphism over $X' \setminus D$. Since X' is normal, there exists an open subset $V \subset X'$ such that

- (1) $D \cap V$ is smooth, and
- (2) $X' \setminus V$ has codimension at least two.

Let m be a positive integer such that for every point p of X , the order of the isotropy subgroup at p divides m . Let \mathcal{L} be a sufficiently ample line bundle on X' , and let D' be the zero locus of a section of $\mathcal{L}^m \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-D)$ such that $(D + D') \cap V$ is smooth. Note that since X' is not necessarily smooth, the divisor D may not be Cartier. Hence the reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-D)$ may not be a line bundle. We replace D by $D + D'$ without loss of generality and assume that there exists a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X' such that $\mathcal{L}^m \cong \mathcal{O}_{X'}(D)$.

Let $T \subset \mathcal{L}$ denote the cyclic covering of X' defined by the section D of \mathcal{L}^m . There is a natural action of $G := \mathbb{Z}/m$ on T given by the action of \mathbb{G}_m on \mathcal{L} ; by the choice of the integer m , we have a rational map $T \dashrightarrow X$ defined over all codimension one points of X .

Let Γ be the closure of the graph of the rational map $T \dashrightarrow X$. We note that Γ is a Deligne–Mumford stack (possibly singular). Since the algorithm for resolution of singularities in [W1, p. 782, Theorem 1.0.3] commutes with étale base change, it also applies to Deligne–Mumford stacks. We thus use [W1, p. 782, Theorem 1.0.3] and functorially resolve the singularities of Γ to obtain a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack T' and a proper birational map $T' \rightarrow \Gamma$. By functoriality of the resolution, there is a natural action of G on T' such that the map $T' \rightarrow \Gamma$ is G -equivariant. Also, by construction, the induced G -equivariant map $T' \rightarrow X$ is a morphism. Thus we have an induced morphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$, where $Y = [T'/G]$. It is now straight-forward to check that the map ϕ satisfies the required conditions in the theorem. \square

4. POLYSTABLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON ORBIFOLDS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section we work over the field $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 4.1. Let Z be a projective Deligne–Mumford stack over \mathbb{C} . A line bundle \mathcal{L} on Z is called ample if some power of \mathcal{L} descends to an ample line bundle on the coarse moduli space of Z . (See also [MO, 2.1].)

Given a very ample line bundle L_0 on a normal projective variety M_0 , the *degree* $\text{degree}_{L_0}(F_0)$ of a torsionfree coherent sheaf F_0 on M_0 with respect to L_0 is defined to be the degree of the restriction of F_0 to the general complete intersection curve obtained by intersection hyperplanes on M_0 from the complete linear system for L_0 .

Fix an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on a projective Deligne–Mumford stack Z . Let Z_0 be the coarse moduli space of Z . The degree of a torsionfree coherent sheaf F on Z with respect to \mathcal{L} is defined to be

$$\text{degree}(F) = \text{degree}_{\mathcal{L}}(F) := \frac{1}{m^{d-1}n} \text{degree}_{\mathcal{L}^m}((\det F)^{\otimes n}),$$

where $d = \dim Z_0$, the integer m is such that \mathcal{L}^m descends to a very ample line bundle on Z_0 , and n is such that $(\det F)^{\otimes n}$ descends to Z_0 . It is easy to see that $\text{degree}(F)$ is independent of the choices of m and n , but it depends on \mathcal{L} .

A torsionfree coherent sheaf F on Z is called *stable* (respectively, *semistable*) if for all coherent subsheaves $F' \subset F$ with $0 < \text{rank}(F') < \text{rank}(F)$,

$$\frac{\text{degree}(F')}{\text{rank}(F')} < \frac{\text{degree}(F)}{\text{rank}(F)} \quad (\text{respectively, } \frac{\text{degree}(F')}{\text{rank}(F')} \leq \frac{\text{degree}(F)}{\text{rank}(F)}).$$

A semistable sheaf is called *polystable* if it is a direct sum of stable sheaves.

4.1. Normalization. Let X be an integral variety and $\text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow X$ be a dominant morphism, where L is a field which is a finite extension of the function field of X . Then one has the notion of normalization of X in L . One can easily extend this notion to the case when L is replaced by a product of fields where the

morphism is now dominant when restricted to each of the component fields. Now, if \tilde{X} denotes the normalization of X in L , and $U \rightarrow X$ is an étale morphism, then $U \times_X \text{Spec}(L)$ is a product of fields with the map

$$U \times_X \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow U$$

being dominant on each component of the domain. Let \tilde{U} denote the normalization of U in $U \times_X \text{Spec}(L)$. Then the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

In other words, normalization commutes with étale (or even smooth) base change.

Thus, one can extend the notion to Deligne–Mumford stacks. In other words, if X is a Deligne–Mumford stack, and $\text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow X$ is a dominant morphism from a field, one can define \tilde{X} , the normalization of X in L .

Concretely, to define \tilde{X} , one first chooses an étale atlas $U \rightarrow X$. Define $R := U \times_X U$, and denote by \tilde{U} (respectively, \tilde{R}) the normalization of U (respectively, R) in $U \times_X \text{Spec}(L)$ (respectively, $R \times_X \text{Spec}(L)$). Since $R \rightrightarrows U$ is an étale groupoid, so is $\tilde{R} \rightrightarrows \tilde{U}$. We leave these details to the reader, since they are simple exercise dealing with normalization of varieties. One then defines \tilde{X} as the quotient $[\tilde{U}/\tilde{R}]$. This construction can be seen more clearly when X is quotient of a scheme U by a finite group G . In this case one simply has a natural G action on \tilde{U} , and hence one defines \tilde{X} as $[\tilde{U}/G]$.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first establish some ingredients of the proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X/\mathbb{C} be a normal orbifold, and let K be its function field. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a dominant finite morphism, with Y normal, such that the corresponding function field extension is Galois. Let G be this Galois group acting on Y . Let V be a vector bundle on X , and let W be a G -invariant reflexive subsheaf of f^*V . Then there is a closed subset $S \subset Y$ of codimension at least two such that $W|_{Y \setminus S}$ is a pullback of a unique subsheaf of $V|_{X \setminus f(S)}$.*

Proof. First assume that X is a variety. Outside a closed subset $S \subset Y$ of codimension at least two, the map f is flat, and W is locally free. The isotropies for the action of G on Y act trivially on the fibers of f^*V , and hence on the fibers of W . Therefore, W descends outside $f(S)$ to a unique subbundle (see [Ne, Theorem 1.2] for descent of sheaves).

In the general case, let $U \rightarrow X$ be an atlas. From the uniqueness it is enough to prove by replacing X and Y by U and $Y \times_X U$ respectively, and also replacing the sheaves by the corresponding pullbacks. Then it is reduced to the above case. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let X/\mathbb{C} be a projective normal orbifold, and let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a dominant finite morphism, where Y/\mathbb{C} is a normal projective variety. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X . Then the following two hold:*

- (i) *The vector bundle \mathcal{E} is semistable with respect to \mathcal{L} if and only if $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable with respect to $f^*(\mathcal{L})$.*
- (ii) *If \mathcal{E} is polystable with respect to \mathcal{L} , then $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is polystable with respect to $f^*(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. After one understands the notion of normalization of a Deligne–Mumford stack in a field (see § 4.1), the proof is similar to the case when X is a variety instead of an orbifold. If $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable with respect to $f^*\mathcal{L}$, then clearly \mathcal{E} is semistable with respect to \mathcal{L} . To prove the converse, assume that \mathcal{E} is semistable with respect to \mathcal{L} . We may replace Y by its normalization in the Galois closure of the function field of Y over that of X . If $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is not semistable, take the first term F in the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of $f^*\mathcal{E}$. The descent of F (see Lemma 4.2) contradicts semistability of \mathcal{E} . Therefore, $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable.

Now assume that \mathcal{E} is polystable with respect to \mathcal{L} . Hence $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is semistable with respect to $f^*\mathcal{L}$ (by part (i)). Consider the socle $F \subset f^*\mathcal{E}$; it is the unique maximal polystable subsheaf with $\text{degree}(F)/\text{rank}(F) = \text{degree}(f^*\mathcal{E})/\text{rank}(f^*\mathcal{E})$ (see [HL, p. 23, Lemma 1.5.5]). From the uniqueness of F it follows that F is a pullback of a subsheaf F' of \mathcal{E} outside codimension two (Lemma 4.2). Since \mathcal{E} is polystable, $F' \subset \mathcal{E}$ has a direct summand F'' . If $F \neq f^*\mathcal{E}$, the direct sum of F with the socle of f^*F'' contradicts the maximality of F . Hence $F = f^*\mathcal{E}$, implying that $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is polystable. \square

Let X and \mathcal{L} be as above. Let d be the dimension of X . All Chern classes considered here are topological, taking values in rational cohomology classes.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be any dominant finite morphism of projective orbifolds. Fix an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X . So $f^*\mathcal{L}$ is ample on Y . Let \mathcal{E} be a polystable vector bundle on X with respect to \mathcal{L} such that $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{d-2} = 0$. Then $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is a polystable vector bundle on Y with respect to $f^*\mathcal{L}$. Also, $c_1(f^*\mathcal{E}) = 0$, and $c_2(f^*\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-2} = 0$.*

Proof. Clearly, $c_1(f^*\mathcal{E}) = 0$, and $c_2(f^*\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(f^*\mathcal{L})^{d-2} = 0$, because these conditions hold \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{L} .

By [KV] we can find a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

where Y' and X' are smooth projective varieties, and the horizontal morphisms are finite and dominant. By Lemma 4.3, the pullback $g^*\mathcal{E}$ is polystable, and also,

$c_1(g^*\mathcal{E}) = 0$, and $c_2(g^*\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(g^*\mathcal{L})^{d-2} = 0$; note that $g^*\mathcal{L}$ is ample because g is finite. Thus, $g^*\mathcal{E}$ is given by a unitary representation of the fundamental group of X' (see Theorem 1.1 and the paragraph following it). Therefore, $f'^*g^*\mathcal{E}$ is also given by a unitary representation of the fundamental group of Y' , and hence it is polystable. Thus by Lemma 4.3, the pullback $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is polystable. \square

Remark 4.5. We first observe that proving Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to showing that if $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is polystable, and $\pi_X : U_X \rightarrow X$ is the universal covering space of X , then

- (1) $\pi_X^*(\mathcal{E})$ is trivial, and
- (2) $\pi_X^*(\mathcal{E})$ admits a $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ invariant flat unitary metric.

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a finite global quotient. Then Theorem 1.2 holds for X .*

Proof. Take $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ as in Theorem 1.2. First assume that \mathcal{E} is given by a unitary representation ρ of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$. To prove that \mathcal{E} is polystable, first note that for any vector bundle F on X given by a unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$, we have $c_1(F) = 0 = c_2(F)$. Also, any unitary representation is a direct sum of irreducible unitary representations. Therefore, it is enough to prove polystability under the assumption that ρ is irreducible. Assume that ρ is irreducible.

By hypothesis, there exists a smooth projective variety Y and a finite group G acting on Y such that $X = [Y/G]$. Let

$$f : Y \rightarrow X$$

be the natural map. Since $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is given by the unitary representation $f^*\rho$, it follows that $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is polystable with respect to any polarization on Y , in particular with respect to $f^*\mathcal{L}$ [Ko, pp. 177–178, Theorem 8.3].

We note that the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is polystable because $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is so (see Lemma 4.3). To prove that \mathcal{E} is stable, let $F \subset \mathcal{E}$ be a nonzero subsheaf such that $\text{rank}(F) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})$, and $\text{degree}(F) = 0$. Then $\text{degree}(f^*F) = 0$. This implies that f^*F generates a subbundle of $f^*\mathcal{E}$ which is given by some subrepresentation of $f^*\rho$ (see the last paragraph of page 178 in the proof of [Ko, Theorem 8.3]). Since f^*F is a pullback from X , this contradicts the irreducibility of ρ . Hence \mathcal{E} is stable.

To prove the converse, assume that $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is a polystable vector bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0 = c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2}$. Take Y as above such that $X = [Y/G]$. Then $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is a polystable vector bundle on Y with $c_1(f^*\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(f^*\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(f^*\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$, where f is the quotient map. Let

$$\pi_Y : U_Y \rightarrow Y$$

denote the universal covering space of Y . Then $\pi_Y^*f^*\mathcal{E}$ is a trivial vector bundle on U_Y with a $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$ -invariant unitary flat metric, say $\langle -, - \rangle$. Note that U_Y is also the covering space for X . Moreover, if $x = f(y)$, then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y)$ is

naturally a finite index subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$. Let $\{g_i\}$ denote its finite coset representatives. It is then clear that the ‘‘averaged’’ metric

$$(v_1, v_2) := \sum_i g_i^* \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$$

is a $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$ invariant unitary flat metric on U_Y . \square

We now reduce the general case of Theorem 1.2 to the above special case using Theorem 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As in Lemma 4.6, if \mathcal{E} is given by a unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$, then \mathcal{E} is polystable, and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$.

Assume that \mathcal{E} is polystable, and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$. Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism as guaranteed by Theorem 3.6. Fix a point y_0 of Y . Then, since Y is a finite global quotient, by Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 4.4, the pullback $\phi^*\mathcal{E}$ is given by a unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y_0)$.

However, for any point $y \in Y$, the kernel of $G_y \rightarrow G_{\phi(y)}$ acts trivially on the fiber of $\phi^*(\mathcal{E})$ at y . Thus this kernel is also contained in the kernel of the unitary representation. Then by Theorem 3.5, the unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(Y, y_0)$ which defines $\phi^*\mathcal{E}$ actually factors through $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, \phi(y_0))$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.1 to certain types of singular varieties.

Corollary 4.7. *Let X/\mathbb{C} be a projective variety with at worst quotient singularities. Assume X is the coarse moduli space of a projective orbifold \tilde{X}/\mathbb{C} (e.g. X has isolated singular points). Then Theorem 1.1 holds for X .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on X and \mathcal{E} be a polystable vector bundle satisfying $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})^{n-2} = 0$, where n is the dimension of X . Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the given morphism. Since the pullback $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ is polystable (see Lemma 4.3), by Theorem 1.2, the vector bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ is given by a unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(\tilde{X}, x)$ (for some geometric point x of \tilde{X}). However, since the vector bundle arising from this representation is a pull back from X , it is clear that for every point y of \tilde{X} , the isotropy group G_y at y lies in the kernel of this representation. Thus by using [No, p. 90, § 8.1] this descends to give a unitary representation of $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x)$, which defines the vector bundle \mathcal{E} . \square

REFERENCES

- [ACV] D. Abramovich, A. Corti and A. Vistoli, Twisted bundles and admissible covers. *Comm. Alg.* **31** (2003), 3547–3618.
- [Do] S.K. Donaldson, Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature. *Duke Math. Jour.* **54** (1987), 231–247.
- [HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Aspects of Mathematics, **E31**. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

- [KM] S. Keel and S. Mori, Quotients by groupoids. *Ann. of Math.* **145** (1997), 193–213.
- [Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*. Publications of the Math. Society of Japan 15, Iwanami Shoten Publishers, Tokyo, and Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [Kr] A. Kresch, On the geometry of Deligne–Mumford stacks. *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005*. Part **1**, 259–271, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **80**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [KV] A. Kresch and A. Vistoli, On coverings of Deligne–Mumford stacks and surjectivity of the Brauer map. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2004), 188–192.
- [MO] K. Matsuki and M. Olsson, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing as Kodaira vanishing for stacks. *Math. Res. Lett.* **12** (2005), 207–217.
- [MR] V. Mehta and A. Ramanathan, Restriction of stable sheaves and representations of the fundamental group. *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 163–172.
- [NS] M.S. Narasimhan and C.S. Seshadri, Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. *Ann. of Math.* **82** (1965), 540–567.
- [Ne] T. Nevins, Descent of coherent sheaves and complexes to geometric invariant theory quotients. *Jour. Alg.* **320** (2008), 2481–2495.
- [No] B. Noohi, Fundamental groups of algebraic stacks. *Jour. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **3** (2004), 69–103.
- [WI] J. Włodarczyk, Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero. *Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), 779–822.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400005, INDIA