

# Pythagorean Triangles with Repeated Digits – Different Bases

Habib Muzaffar  
Department of Mathematics  
International Islamic University Islamabad  
P.O. Box 1244, Islamabad, Pakistan

Konstantine Zelator  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Arts and Sciences  
Mail Stop 942  
University of Toledo  
Toledo, OH 43606-3390  
USA

October 10, 2018

## 1 Introduction

In 1998, in the winter issue of *Mathematics and Computer Education* ([1]) Monte Zenger posed the following problem. He had noticed or discovered the Pythagorean triple  $(216, 630, 666)$ ;  $(216)^2 + (630)^2 = (666)^2$ . Note that  $216 = 6^3$  and 666 is the hypotenuse length of this Pythagorean triangle. The question was, then whether there existed a digit  $d$  (in the decimal system) and a positive integer  $k$  (other than the above) such that  $d^k$  is a leg length and  $\underbrace{(d \dots d)}_{k \text{ times}}$  is the hypotenuse length of a Pythagorean triangle. The symbol or notation  $\underbrace{(d \dots d)}_{k \text{ times}}$  stands for a natural number which in the base 10 or decimal system has  $k$  digits all of which are equal to  $d$ . In other words  $\underbrace{(d \dots d)}_{k \text{ times}} = d \cdot 10^{k-1} + d \cdot 10^{k-2} + \dots + 10d + d$ .

In 1999, F. Luca and Paul Bruckman ([2]), answered the above question in the negative. They proved that the above Pythagorean triple is the only one with this base  $b = 10$  property. In 2001, K. Zelator took this question further and showed that there exists no Pythagorean triangle one of whose

legs having leg length  $d^k$  while the other leg length being equal to  $(\underbrace{d \dots d}_{k \text{ times}})$  (again with base  $b = 10$ ) ([3]). Note by the way, that any such triangle (of either type) must be *non-primitive*.

The purpose of this work is to explore such questions in general, when the base  $b$  is no longer 10. In Section 2, we give definitions and introduce some notation, while in Section 5 we prove the five theorems of this paper. We present a summary of results in Section 3. In Section 4, we state the very well-known parametric formulas that generate the entire family of Pythagorean triangles. In Section 6, we give five families of Pythagorean triangles with certain properties (similar to the triple (216, 630, 666) above; but with respect to bases  $b$  other than 10); and in Section 7, we offer some corollaries to these families.

Also, let us point out that in the proofs found in this work, we only use elementary number theory.

## 2 Notation and Definitions

Let  $b$  and  $d$  be positive integers such that  $b \geq 3$  and  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ .

**Notation:** By  $d_{k,b}$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer,  $k \geq 2$ , we will mean the positive integer which in the base  $b$  system has  $k$  digits all equal to  $d$ . In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k,b} &= d \cdot (b^{k-1} + \dots + b + 1) = \frac{d \cdot (b^k - 1)}{b - 1} \\ &= d \cdot b^{k-1} + d \cdot b^{k-2} + \dots + d \cdot b + d \end{aligned}$$

$d_{k,b} = (\underbrace{d \dots d}_{k \text{ times}})_b$ . Also, we denote a Type 1 triangle (see definition below) by  $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$ ; and a Type 2 triangle by  $\mathbf{T}_2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$ . Note that for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $d_{k,b} > db^{k-1} > d \cdot d^{k-1} = d^k$ .

Definition 2:

- (i) A Pythagorean triangle is called a *Type 1 triangle with base  $b$  repeated digits*, if there exist positive integers  $k, b, d$  such that  $b \geq 3$ ,  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ; and with one of its two legs having length  $d^k$ , while the hypotenuse having length  $d_{k,b}$ . We denote such a triangle by  $T_1(k, b, d)$ .
- (ii) A Pythagorean triangle is called a *Type 2 triangle with base  $b$  repeated digits*, if there exist positive integers  $k, b, d$  such that  $b \geq 3$ ,  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ; and with one of its legs having length  $d^k$ , while the other length being equal to  $d_{k,b}$ . We denote such a triangle by  $T_2(k, b, d)$ .

### Remarks

1. Note that the inequalities in the above definition are justified by inspection, by the fact that no Pythagorean triangle can have a side whose length is equal to 1. Consequently,  $d \geq 2$  and thus  $b$  must be at least 3 in value. Also, as trivially, one can see that  $k$  must be at least 2 in value. (No Pythagorean triangle can be isosceles.)
2. Since two side lengths completely determine a Pythagorean triangle, it follows that both notations  $T_1(k, b, d)$  and  $T_2(k, b, d)$  are unambiguous. In other words,  $T_1(k, b, d)$  or  $T_2(k, b, d)$  can only represent one Pythagorean triangle.

## 3 Summary of Results

In Theorem 1, we prove that if  $b = 4$ , there exist no Type 2 Pythagorean triangles. In other words, there exists no Pythagorean triangle which is a  $T_2(k, 4, d)$ , for some  $k$  and  $d$ . In Theorem 2, it is shown that the only Pythagorean triangle which is a  $T_1(k, 4, d)$  is the triangle  $T_1(2, 4, 3)$ , which has side lengths 9, 12, and 15. In Theorem 3, we prove that there exists no Pythagorean triangle which is a  $T_2(k, 3, d)$ . Likewise, for triangles  $T_1(k, 3, d)$ . No such triangle exists, according to Theorem 4. Finally, Theorem 5 says that there exist no Pythagorean triangles of the form  $T_2(2, b, d)$  with  $2 \leq d \leq 4$ , and for any value of  $b$  (remember that always,  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ ). Of the five families presented in Section 5, three are families of triangles which are  $T_1(k, b, d)$ . The other two families consist of Type 2 triangles or  $T_2(k, b, d)$ .

## 4 Pythagorean triples-parametric formulas

If  $(a, b, c)$  is a Pythagorean triple with  $c$  being the hypotenuse length then (without loss of generality;  $a$  and  $b$  can be switched)

$$a = \delta(m^2 - n^2), b = \delta(2mn), c = \delta(m^2 + n^2), \text{ where } \delta, m, n \text{ are positive integers such that } m > n \geq 1, (m, n) = 1 \text{ (i.e., } m \text{ and } n \text{ are relatively prime) and } m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ (i.e., } m \text{ and } n \text{ have different parities; one is even, the other odd).} \quad (1)$$

The above formulas are very well known, and they generate the entire family of Pythagorean triangles. They can be found in almost every number theory book; certainly in any undergraduate number theory textbook.

## 5 The Five Theorems and Their Proofs

### Theorem 1:

There exists no Pythagorean triangle which is a  $T_2(k, 4, d)$ .

**Proof:** If such a triangle existed, we would have  $b = 4, k \geq 2$ , and  $2 \leq d \leq 3$ . According to (1),

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{either } d_{k,4} = \delta(m^2 - n^2), \quad d^k = \delta(2mn) \\ \text{or } \quad \quad \quad d^k = \delta(m^2 - n^2), \quad d_{k,4} = \delta(2mn) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} (2a) \\ (2b) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

We distinguish between cases, according to whether  $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ .

*Case 1:  $d=2$*

Since  $m$  and  $n$  have different parities, it follows that  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and thus  $\delta \cdot (2mn) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Also,

$$d_{k,4} = 2_{k,4} = \frac{2 \cdot (4^k - 1)}{4 - 1} = \frac{2(4^k - 1)}{3} \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$$

which shows that possibility (2b) is ruled out. Thus, we consider (2a) with  $d = 2$ :

$$\frac{2(4^k - 1)}{3} = \delta(m^2 - n^2), \quad 2^k = \delta(2mn) \quad (2c)$$

The second equation (2c) implies, by virtue of  $m > n$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$ , and  $m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} m = 2^u, \quad n = 1, \quad \delta = 2^v; \text{ for some} \\ \text{integers } u \text{ and } v \text{ such that } v \geq 0, u \geq 1 \\ \text{and with } u + v + 1 = k \end{array} \right\} \quad (2d)$$

By the first equation in (2c) and (2d) we obtain,

$$2 \cdot (4^k - 1) = 3 \cdot 2^v (2^{2u} - 1) \quad (2e)$$

which easily implies  $v = 1$  (consider the power of 2 on both sides). Thus, from  $k = u + v + 1 \Rightarrow k = u + 2$ ; and by (2e),

$$2^{2u+4} + 2 = 3 \cdot 2^{2u} \Leftrightarrow 2^{2u+3} + 1 = 3 \cdot 2^{2u-1},$$

which is impossible modulo 2 since  $2u - 1 \geq 1$  (by (2d)).

*Case 2:  $d=3$*

We have  $d_{k,4} = 3_{k,4} = \frac{3(4^k - 1)}{3} = 4^k - 1$ . Obviously, since  $4^k - 1$  is odd, it cannot equal  $\delta(2mn)$ . Thus again, as in the previous case, this leads us to (2a) in (2);

$$4^k - 1 = \delta(m^2 - n^2), \quad 3^k = \delta(2mn),$$

an impossibility again since  $3^k$  is odd, while  $\delta(2mn)$  is even. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2:**

The only Type 1 triangle which is a  $T_1(k, 4, d)$ , is the triangle  $T_1(2, 4, 3)$ , which has side lengths 9, 12, and 15.

**Proof:** Let  $T_1(k, 4, d)$  be such a triangle; it's hypotenuse length being  $d_{k,4}$ . We must have

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{either } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d^k = \delta(m^2 - n^2), \quad d_{k,4} = \delta(m^2 + n^2) \\ \text{or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d^k = \delta(2mn), \quad d_{k,4} = \delta(m^2 + n^2) \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \quad (3a) \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \quad (3b) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Since  $b = 4$ , we must have  $d = 2$  or  $3$ . We distinguish between two cases.

*Case 1:  $d=3$*

Obviously, possibility (3b) cannot hold true since  $3^k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Thus, we need only consider (3a). Since  $d_{k,4} = 3_{k,4} = \frac{3(4^k - 1)}{3} = 4^k - 1$ ; equations (3a) imply

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \delta(m^2 - n^2) = \delta(m - n)(m + n) = 3^k \\ \text{and } \delta(m^2 + n^2) = 4^k - 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (3c)$$

Since  $(m, n) = 1$  and  $m, n$  have different parities, we conclude that  $(m - n, m + n) = 1$  and also  $1 \leq m - n < m + n$ . This, then combined with the first equation in (3c), implies

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \delta = 3^v, m - n = 1, m + n = 3^w, w + v = k \\ \text{for integers } v, w \text{ with } v \geq 0 \text{ and } w \geq 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (4)$$

From (4) we obtain  $m = \frac{3^w + 1}{2}$  and  $n = \frac{3^w - 1}{2}$  and thus, the second equation in (3c) gives

$$2(4^k - 1) = 3^v \cdot [3^{2w} + 1] \quad (5)$$

By virtue of the fact that  $4^3 = 64$ ;  $4^3 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ , the following three statements can be easily verified.

$$\text{If } k \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 4^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$$

$$\text{If } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 4^k - 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$$

$$\text{If } k \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 4^k - 1 \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$$

This then shows, by (5), that if  $v \geq 2$ ,  $k$  must be a multiple of 3.

Accordingly, we distinguish between two subcases:  $v \geq 2$  being one subcase, while  $v < 2$  (i.e.,  $v = 0$  or  $1$ ) the other.

*Subcase 1a:  $v \geq 2$*

In this subcase, we must have  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  (see above). Since  $k \geq 2$ , if we consider (5) modulo (8), we see that on account of

$$3^{2w} \equiv 9^w \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \text{ we have}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(0 - 1) &\equiv 3^v(1 + 1) \pmod{8}; \\ -2 &\equiv 3^v \cdot 2 \pmod{8}; \\ -1 &\equiv 3^v \pmod{4} \Rightarrow v \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

(Clearly, if  $v$  were even,  $3^v$  would be congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ ). Thus,  $v$  must be an odd integer. The next observation shows that  $w$  must also be odd. To see why, observe that if  $w$  were even; then  $2w \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow 3^{2w} \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ , since  $3^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . But then, if we consider (5) modulo 16 we see that

$$2(0 - 1) = 3^v(1 + 1) \pmod{16} \Rightarrow 14 \equiv 2 \cdot 3^v \pmod{16},$$

which is impossible because  $2 \cdot 3^v \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$ , in view of  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Indeed, to make this a bit more clear, put  $v = 4t + 1$  or alternatively  $v = 4t + 3$  for some integer  $t$ . If  $v = 4t + 1 \Rightarrow 2 \cdot 3^v = 2 \cdot 3^{4t+1} \equiv 2 \cdot 3^{4t} \cdot 3 \pmod{16}$  so that  $2 \cdot 3^v \equiv 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$ . If, on the other hand,  $v = 4t + 3$ , we have  $2 \cdot 3^v = 2 \cdot 3^{4t+3} \equiv 2 \cdot 3^{4t} \cdot 3^3 \equiv 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 11 \equiv 22 \equiv 6 \pmod{16}$ . Therefore, both  $v$  and  $w$  must be odd; and so, by  $k = v + w$  in (4), it follows that  $k$  must be even. Thus, since  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  (see beginning of this subcase), it follows that  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . Next, we apply Fermat's Little Theorem for the prime  $p = 7$ :  $4^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and thus, by (5) we see that

$$3^{2w} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

which is impossible by virtue of the fact that  $w$  is odd. Indeed,  $w \equiv 1, 3$ , or  $5 \pmod{6} \Rightarrow 2w \equiv 2, 0$ , or  $4 \pmod{6}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{2w} + 1 &\equiv 3^2 + 1, 3^0 + 1 \text{ or } 3^4 + 1 \\ &\equiv 9 + 1, 1 + 1 \text{ or } 4 + 1; \\ &\equiv 3, 2, \text{ or } 5 \pmod{7}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Subcase 1a. □

*Subcase 1b:  $v < 2; v = 0$  or  $1$*

If  $v = 0$ , then from (4) we have  $w = k$  and hence from (5),

$$2 \cdot (4^k - 1) = 3^{2k} + 1 \Rightarrow 2 \cdot 4^k = 3 \cdot (3^{2k-1} + 1),$$

which is impossible modulo 8; since  $2 \cdot 4^k \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  (in view of  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $3^{2k-1} + 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ ; and so  $3(3^{2k-1} + 1) \equiv 3 \cdot 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  as well. Note that when the exponent is odd, say  $2\rho + 1$  (like in the case of  $2k - 1$ );  $3^{2\rho+1} + 1 = 3^{2\rho} \cdot 3 + 1 \equiv 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ . If  $v = 1$ , equation (4) gives  $k = w + 1$  and by (5)

$$2(4^k - 1) = 3 \cdot [3^{2(k-1)} + 1] \Leftrightarrow 2^{2k+1} = 3^{2k-1} + 5.$$

We claim that  $k$  must equal 2; for if to the contrary  $k \geq 3$ , the last equation implies  $2 \cdot 2^{2k} = \frac{3^{2k}}{3} + 5$ ;  $6 = \left(\frac{9}{4}\right)^k + \frac{15}{4^k} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{9}{4}\right)^k < 6$  which is impossible since for  $k \geq 3$ ;  $\left(\frac{9}{4}\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{9}{4}\right)^3 > 6$ . Thus,  $k = 2$ , and so from (4) we obtain  $w = 1$ .

Altogether,  $v = 1 = w$  and  $k = 2$ . By (4)  $\Rightarrow \delta = 3$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $n = 1$ , we obtain the Pythagorean triangle whose side lengths are 9, 12, and 15; and since  $\delta = 3$ , this is the triangle  $T_1(2, 4, 3)$ . This concludes the proof of Subcase 1b.  $\square$

*Case 2:  $d=2$*

Going back to (3), we easily see that possibility (3a) cannot hold true, since the first equation in (3a) would imply that both  $\delta$  and  $m^2 - n^2$  are powers of 2. But  $m^2 - n^2$  is an odd integer (since  $m$  and  $n$  have different parities) and  $m^2 - n^2 > 1$ .

Now, consider (3b). We have,

$$2^k = \delta(2mn), \quad \frac{2(4^k - 1)}{3} = \delta(m^2 + n^2) \quad (6)$$

and since  $m > n \geq 1$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$  and  $m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , the first equation in (6) implies

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \delta = 2^u, \quad m = 2^t, \quad n = 1, \quad k = u + t + 1 \\ \text{for integers } u, t, \text{ with } u \geq 0 \text{ and } t \geq 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Combining (7) with the second equation in (6) yields

$$4^k - 1 = 3 \cdot 2^{u-1} \cdot (2^{2t} + 1).$$

The possibility  $u = 0$  is impossible since  $3 \cdot (2^{2t} + 1)$  is odd.

It follows that we must have  $u = 1$ ; and by (7),  $t = k - 2$ . Thus, the last equation above gives, after some algebra,  $4^{k-1} - 1 = 3 \cdot 2^{2k-6}$ . Recall that  $k \geq 2$ . Clearly, the last equation requires  $2k - 6 = 0$  since its left-hand side

is an odd integer. Thus,  $k = 3$ , which in turn implies  $4^2 - 1 = 3$ ;  $15 = 3$ , a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 3:**

Let  $b = 3$ . There exists no Pythagorean Type 2 triangle with base 3 repeated digits. In other words, there exists no triangle which is a  $T_2(k, 3, d)$ .

**Proof:** First observe that since  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ ,  $2 \leq d \leq 3 - 1 \Rightarrow d = 2$ ; and so,  $d^k = 2^k$  and  $d_{k,3} = 2_{k,3} = \frac{2(3^k - 1)}{2} = 3^k - 1$ . If such a triangle exists, one leg will have length  $2^k$ , the other  $3^k - 1$ . Thus, there are two possibilities.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Either } 3^k - 1 = \delta(2mn), \quad 2^k = \delta(m^2 - n^2) \\ \text{or } 3^k - 1 = \delta(m^2 - n^2), \quad 2^k = \delta(2mn) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} (8a) \\ (8b) \end{array} \quad (8)$$

*Case 1:* Assume possibility (8a) to hold.

From the second equation in (8a), it follows that both positive integers  $\delta$  and  $m^2 - n^2$  are powers of 2. However,  $m^2 - n^2 = (m - n)(m + n) \geq 3$ , on account of  $m > n \geq 1$ . In fact,  $m^2 - n^2 \geq (n + 1)^2 - n^2 = 2n + 1 \geq 3$ . Since  $m, n$  have different parities,  $m^2 - n^2$  is an odd integer greater than or equal to 3. Thus, it cannot equal to a power of 2, which renders the second equation in (8a) contradictory.

*Case 2:* Assume possibility (8b)

The second equation implies that each of the positive integers  $\delta, m, n$ , must be a power of 2; and since  $m > n \geq 1$  and  $m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , it follows that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} n = 1, m = 2^v, \delta = 2^p \\ \text{for integers } v \text{ and } p \text{ such that } v \geq 1, p \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (9)$$

Combining (9) with the first equation in (8b) yields,

$$3^k - 1 = 2^p \cdot (2^{2v} - 1) \quad (10)$$

Consider equation (10) modulo 3. We have,

$$2^{2v} - 1 = 4^v - 1 \equiv 1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \Rightarrow 2^p \cdot (2^{2v} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

while

$$3^k - 1 \equiv 0 - 1 \equiv -1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

We have a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$



**Theorem 4:** Let  $b = 3$ . There exists no Type 1 triangle with base 3 repeated digits. In other words, there exists no triangle which is a  $T_1(k, 3, d)$

**Proof:**

Again, as in the previous proof of Theorem 3, observe that in view of  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ , we have  $d = 2$ . If a triangle  $T_2(k, 3, 2)$  exists, it would be a Pythagorean triangle with the hypotenuse having length  $d_{k,3} = \left(\frac{3^k - 1}{2}\right) \cdot 2 = 3^k - 1$ , and with one of the two legs having length  $2^k$ . Which means that,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Either } 2^k = \delta(m^2 - n^2), 3^k - 1 = \delta(m^2 + n^2) \\ \text{or } 2^k = \delta(2mn), 3^k - 1 = \delta(m^2 + n^2) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} (11a) \\ (11b) \end{array} \quad (11)$$

The first possibility (11a) is ruled out at once by the first equation in (11a), since  $m^2 - n^2$  is an odd integer and  $m^2 - n^2 \geq 3$ ; we already saw this in the proof of Theorem 3.

Next, let us consider (11b), the other possibility. As in the previous proof, in view of  $m > n \geq 1$ , we easily infer from the first equation that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \delta = 2^p, m = 2^v, n = 1 \\ \text{for some integers } p, v \text{ with } p \geq 0, v \geq 1 \\ \text{and } p + v + 1 = k. \end{array} \right\} \quad (12)$$

Recall that always  $k \geq 2$ . From (12) and the second equation in (11b), we obtain

$$3^{p+v+1} - 1 = 2^p \cdot (2^{2v} + 1) \quad (13)$$

Consider (13) modulo 3. Since  $2^{2v} + 1 = 4^v + 1 \equiv 1 + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , (13) implies  $-1 \equiv 2^{p+1} \pmod{3} \Leftrightarrow 2 \equiv 2^{p+1} \pmod{3} \Leftrightarrow$  (since  $(2, 3) = 1$ )  $1 \equiv 2^p \pmod{3} \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .  $p$  must be an even integer. Moreover, since the left-hand side of (13) is an even integer, while  $2^{2v} + 1$  is odd;  $2^p$  must be even; which means  $p \geq 1$ . But  $p$  is even, so we must have  $p \geq 2$ .

Since  $p \geq 2$ , the right-hand side of (13) must be a multiple of 4;

$$3^{p+v+1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad (13a)$$

However, if  $\ell$  is a positive integer, then  $3^\ell - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  if  $\ell$  is even; while  $3^\ell - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  if  $\ell$  is odd, as it can be easily verified. Thus, (13a) implies that the exponent  $p + v + 1$  must be an even integer; and in view of  $p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we see that  $v$  must be odd:

$$p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad v \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \quad (13b)$$

Accordingly by (13b),  $p \equiv 0, 2$ , or  $4 \pmod{6}$ , while  $v \equiv 1, 3$ , or  $5 \pmod{6}$

We will use this, by considering equation (13) modulo 7. To facilitate this end, observe that, if  $r$  is a positive integer and  $r \equiv i \pmod{6}$ , with  $0 \leq i \leq 5$ , then  $2^r \equiv 2^i \pmod{7}$  and  $3^r \equiv 3^i \pmod{7}$ . This observation leads to the following table:

Value of $p$ modulo 6	Value of $v$ mod 6	Value of $2^p \cdot (2^{2v} + 1)$ mod 7	Value of $3^{p+v+1} - 1$ mod 7
0	1	5	1
0	3	2	3
0	5	3	0
2	1	6	3
2	3	1	0
2	5	5	1
4	1	3	0
4	3	4	1
4	5	6	3

The results on the last two columns (columns 3 and 4) clearly render (13) impossible modulo 7; a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 5:**

Let  $k = 2$ ,  $2 \leq d \leq 4$  (i.e.,  $d = 2, 3$ , or  $4$ ). There exists no Type 2 triangle with base  $b$  repeated digits. In other words, there exists no triangle which is a  $T_2(2, b, d)$ . That is no triangle which is a  $T_2(2, b, 2)$ , a  $T_2(2, b, 3)$ , or a  $T_2(2, b, 4)$ .

**Note:** Due to  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ , when  $d = 2$ , we must have  $b \geq 3$ ; when  $d = 3$ ,  $b \geq 4$ ; while for  $d = 4$ ,  $b \geq 5$ .

**Proof:** We give a simple proof, without making use of parametric formulas (1). If a triangle  $T_2(2, b, d)$  exists, with  $2 \leq d \leq 4$ , then it must have one leg length equal to  $d_{2,b} = d(b + 1)$ , while the other leg length is equal to  $d^2$ . Thus,  $[d(b + 1)]^2 + (d^2)^2 = m^2$ , for some positive integer  $m$ ; and so,

$$d^2 \cdot [(b + 1)^2 + d^2] = m^2.$$

In the last equation,  $d^2$  is a divisor of  $m^2$ , so  $d$  must be a divisor of  $m$ . Put  $m = d \cdot c$ , for some positive integer  $c$ , in order to obtain

$$d^2 + (b + 1)^2 = c^2 \Leftrightarrow [c + (b + 1)] \cdot [c - (b + 1)] = d^2 \quad (14)$$

Next, we use the conditions  $2 \leq d \leq 4$  and  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ . If  $d = 2$ , (14)  $\Rightarrow$  (since  $c + b + 1 > c - (b - 1)$ )  $c + b + 1 = 4$  and  $c - (b + 1) = 1$  which, in turn, gives  $c = \frac{5}{2}$ , a contradiction since  $c$  is an integer. If  $d = 3$ , (14)  $\Rightarrow c + b + 1 = 9$  and  $c - (b + 1) = 1$ , which gives  $b = 3$ , contradicting  $d \leq b - 1$ .

If  $d = 4$ , we have either  $c + b + 1 = 8$  and  $c - (b + 1) = 2$  or  $c + b + 1 = 16$  and  $c - (b + 1) = 1$ . In the first case we obtain  $b = 2$ , a contradiction since  $b \geq 5$ . In the second case,  $c = \frac{17}{2}$  a contradiction once more. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 6 Five Families with $k = 2$

A basic principle used for the construction of all five families below, is the identity  $(r^2 - q^2)^2 + (2rq)^2 = (r^2 + q^2)^2$ .

*Basic Principle:*  $(r^2 - q^2)^2 + (2rq)^2 = (r^2 + q^2)^2$ , for any positive integer  $r$  and  $q$ .

### A. Two families of Type 2 triangles

In both families below, we take  $k = 2$ ; and so  $d_{k,b} = d_{2,b} = d \cdot b + d = d(b + 1)$ . To see how the first family comes about, let  $\ell, q$  be positive integers such that  $\ell^2 \leq 2q^2 - 2$ , and let  $r = q + \ell$ . Take  $b = 2rq - 1$ ,  $d = r^2 - q^2$ . Note that  $d = r^2 - q^2 \geq (q + 1)^2 - q^2 = 2q + 1 \geq 3$  and also that,  $b - 1 - d = 2rq - 1 - 1 - (r^2 - q^2) = 2(q + \ell)q - 2 - (q + \ell)^2 + q^2 = 2q^2 - \ell^2 - 2 \geq 0$ . Thus  $b - 1 - d \geq 0$ ;  $d \leq b - 1$ . Altogether,  $3 \leq d \leq b - 1$ . Also, by our basic principle above one can easily verify that indeed  $(d_{2,b})^2 + (d^2)^2 = \text{integer square}$ . This then establishes the first family.

#### Family $F_1$

The following family of Type 2 triangles is described in terms of two independent positive integer parameters  $\ell$  and  $q$  which satisfy the condition  $\ell^2 \leq 2q^2 - 2$ . This family consists of all Type 2 triangles of the form  $T_2(2, b, d)$ , where the integers  $b$  and  $d$  are defined as follows:  $b = 2rq - 1$ ,  $d = r^2 - q^2$ ,  $r = q + \ell$ .

To construct the second family, let  $\ell$  and  $q$  be positive integers such that, this time,  $\ell^2 \geq 2q^2 + 2$ . Also, let  $r = q + \ell$ ,  $b = r^2 - q^2 - 1$ ,  $d = 2rq$ .

By inspection,  $d \geq 2$ . Clearly,  $\ell^2 \geq 2q^2 + 2 > 2q^2$ , which shows that  $\ell > q$ . So,  $b = r^2 - q^2 - 1 = (\ell + q)^2 - q^2 - 1 \geq 2q\ell \geq 4$ , since  $\ell > q$ . Thus  $b \geq 4$ . Moreover,  $b - 1 - d = r^2 - q^2 - 1 - 1 - 2rq = (q + \ell)^2 - q^2 - 2 - 2(q + \ell)q = \ell^2 - 2 - 2q^2 \geq 0$ . Thus, altogether  $2 \leq d \leq b - 1$ ; and by our basic principle above, we also have  $(d_{2,b})^2 + (d^2)^2 = \text{integer square}$ .

**Family  $\mathbf{F}_2$**

The following family of Type 2 triangles is described in terms of two independent positive integer parameters which satisfy the condition  $\ell^2 \geq 2q^2 + 2$ . This family consists of all Type 2 triangles of the form  $T_2(2, b, d)$ , where the integers  $b$  and  $d$  are defined as follows:  $b = r^2 - q^2 - 1$ ,  $d = 2rq$ ,  $r = q + \ell$ .

B. *Three families of Type 1 Triangles*

Let  $r, q$  be positive integers with  $r > q$ . Let  $b = r^2 + q^2 - 1$ ,  $d = r^2 - q^2$ . Then  $d \geq (q + 1)^2 - q^2 = 2q + 1 \geq 3$ ; and let  $b + 1 - d = 2q^2 \geq 2$ , so that  $3 \leq d \leq b - 1$ . Also, by our basic principle,  $(b + 1)^2 - d^2 = (2rq)^2$  and thus  $(d_{2,b})^2 - (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$ .

**Family  $\mathbf{S}_1$**

The following family of Type 1 triangles is described in terms of two independent positive integer parameters  $r$  and  $q$  satisfying  $r > q$ . This family consists of all Type 1 triangles of the form  $T_1(2, b, d)$  where  $b$  and  $d$  are defined as follows:  $b = r^2 + q^2 - 1$ ,  $d = r^2 - q^2$ ,  $r > q \geq 1$ .

Next, let  $r, q$  be positive integers with  $r \geq q + 2$ . Take  $b = r^2 + q^2 - 1$ ,  $d = 2rq$ . Then, clearly  $d \geq 2$  and  $b + 1 - d = (r - q)^2 \geq 4$ , so that  $2 \leq d \leq b - 3 < b - 1$ . As before, by our basic principle, we have  $(d_{2,b})^2 - (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$ .

**Family  $\mathbf{S}_2$**

The following family of Type 1 triangles is described in terms of two independent positive integer parameters. This family consists of all Type 1 triangles of the form  $T_1(2, b, d)$ ; where  $b$  and  $d$  are defined as follows:  $b = r^2 + q^2 - 1$ ,  $d = 2rq$ ,  $r \geq q + 2$ .

Finally, let  $b \geq 4$ , with  $b$  being an integer square,  $b = k^2$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $d = b - 1$ . Then  $(d_{2,b})^2 - (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$ , since  $(b + 1)^2 - d^2 = (b + 1)^2 - (b - 1)^2 = 4b = 4k^2$ .

**Family U**

The following family of Type 1 triangles is described in terms of one positive integer parameter  $t$ . This family consists of all Type 1 triangles of the form  $T_1(2, b, d)$  where  $b = t^2$ ,  $d = b - 1 = t^2 - 1$ ,  $t \geq 2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

## 7 Corollaries

*Corollaries of Family  $S_1$*

Let  $d$  be an odd integer with  $d \geq 3$ . Then, there exists a triangle which is a  $T_1(2, b, d)$  for some positive integer  $b$  with  $d \leq b - 1$ .

**Proof:**

We have  $d = 2v + 1$ , for some integer  $v \geq 1$ . Set  $u = v + 1$ , so that  $d = u^2 - v^2$ . By Family  $S_1$ , if we take  $b = u^2 + v^2 - 1 = \frac{d^2 - 1}{2}$  then  $d \leq b - 1$  and  $(d_{2,b})^2 - (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$   $\square$

*Corollaries of Family  $S_2$*

Let  $d$  be an even integer with  $d \geq 6$ . Then, there exists a triangle which is  $T_1(2, b, d)$  for some positive integer  $b$  with  $d \leq b - 1$ .

**Proof:**

We have  $d = 2v$ , for some integer  $v \geq 3$ . Let  $u = 1$ , so that  $v - u \geq 2$ . Then, if we take  $b = v^2 = \frac{d^2}{4}$ , by Family  $S_2$ , we have  $d \leq b - 1$  and  $(d_{2,b})^2 - (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$ .  $\square$

*Remark:* It is easy to show that for  $d = 2, 4$  there exists no integer  $b$  with  $d \leq b - 1$  and  $(d_{2,b})^2 - (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$ .

*Corollary of Family  $F_1$*

Let  $d$  be an odd integer with  $d \geq 5$ . Then, there exists a triangle which is  $T_2(2, b, d)$  for some positive integer  $b$  with  $d \leq b - 1$ .

**Proof:**

We have  $d = 2q + 1$ , for some positive integer  $q \geq 2$ .

Let  $\ell = 1$ , so that  $\ell^2 \leq 2q^2 - 2$ . Also, note that if we take  $r = q + \ell$ ,  $r = q + 1$ , then  $d = r^2 - q^2 = (q + 1)^2 - q^2$ . Therefore, by Family  $F_1$ , if we set  $b = 2(q + 1)q - 1 = 2rq - 1 = \frac{d^2 - 3}{2}$ . It follows that  $d \leq b - 1$  and  $(d_{2,b})^2 + (d^2)^2 = \text{square}$ .  $\square$

*Corollary to Family  $F_2$*

Let  $d$  be an even integer with  $d \geq 6$ . Then, there exists a triangle which is  $T_2(2, b, d)$  for some positive integer  $b$  with  $d \leq b - 1$ .

**Proof:** We have  $d = 2r$ , for some integer  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $q = 1$ , so that  $d = 2rq$ ; and  $\ell = r - q = r - 1 \geq 2$ . Then  $\ell^2 \geq 2q^2 + 2 = 4$ . From Family  $F_2$ , it is now

clear that if we set  $b = r^2 - 2 = \frac{d^2 - 8}{4}$ , then  $d \leq b - 1$  and  $(d_{2,b})^2 + (d^2)^2 =$  square.  $\square$

Note that the corollaries of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  complement the result of Theorem 5.

## References

- [1] Repeated Digits in Pythagorean Triples, Problem 337, Proposed by Monte J. Zenger *Mathematics and Computer Engineering*, Vol. 32, No.1 (winter 1998), p. 86.
- [2] Repeated Digits in Pythagorean Triples, Problem 337, Solution by Paul Bruckman and Florian Luca, *Mathematics and Computer Education*, Vol. 33, (Spring 1998), pp. 291-292.
- [3] Konstantine D. Zelator, Pythagorean Triangles with Repeated Digits: A Solution to a Problem, *Mathematics and Computer Education*, Vol. 36, No. 1 (Winter 2001), pp. 38-42.