

Inside s -inner product sets and Euclidean designs

Hiroshi Nozaki

July 23, 2018

Abstract

A finite set X in the Euclidean space is called an s -inner product set if the set of the usual inner products of any two distinct points in X has size s . First, we give a special upper bound for the cardinality of an s -inner product set on concentric spheres. The upper bound coincides with the known lower bound for the size of a Euclidean $2s$ -design. Secondly, we prove the non-existence of 2- or 3-inner product sets on two concentric spheres attaining the upper bound for any $d > 1$. The efficient property needed to prove the upper bound for an s -inner product set gives the new concept, inside s -inner product sets. We characterize the most known tight Euclidean designs as inside s -inner product sets attaining the upper bound.

1 Introduction

Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel [18] gave a fundamental work exploring a new area within combinatorics for a finite subset of the unit sphere S^{d-1} . The two concepts of spherical t -designs and s -distance sets play important roles in their article.

A finite subset X of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d is called an s -distance set if the size of the set of the Euclidean distances between any two distinct points of X is equal to s . We have a natural upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance set in S^{d-1} , namely $|X| \leq \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}$. A basic problem for s -distance sets is to determine the maximum cardinality of s -distance sets for fixed s and d .

A spherical t -design is a set of points in S^{d-1} satisfying that for any d -variable polynomial f of degree at most t , the average of f on the sphere is equal to the average of f on the set. There is a natural lower bound for the size of a spherical t -design, and a design attaining this bound is said to be tight. The bound for a $2e$ -design is $|X| \geq \binom{d+e-1}{e} + \binom{d+e-2}{e-1}$. The primary purpose is to find the minimal design for fixed t and d .

One of main results in [18] is that when $|X| = \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}$, X is an s -distance set in S^{d-1} if and only if X is a spherical $2s$ -design. The classification of tight spherical t -designs is complete except for $t = 4, 5, 7$ [10, 11, 12]

This result is generalized in [29] with a relationship between locally s -distance sets and weighted spherical t -designs. The two concepts have the same upper and lower bounds respectively. It follows that when $|X| = \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}$, X is a locally s -distance set if and only if X is a weighted spherical $2s$ -design. Actually, the weight function for a tight weighted spherical t -design is constant, and hence it becomes a spherical t -design. This implies that a locally s -distance set attaining the bound is an s -distance set.

We expect that the theory is generalized to the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d . A key of the generalization is the existence of generalized upper bound and lower bound.

The concept of Euclidean designs is introduced in [28], and is known as a generalization of spherical designs to concentric spheres. A natural lower bound is well known, and a design

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B30 (52C99).
Supported by JSPS Research Fellow.

attaining the bound is said to be tight. The classifications and the structures of tight designs are studied in many papers [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16, 22].

We have two generalizations of spherical s -distance sets to \mathbb{R}^d . One is an s -distance set in \mathbb{R}^d , and the other is an s -inner product set in \mathbb{R}^d . There is an upper bound for s -distance sets on concentric spheres, and the upper bound coincides with the lower bound for Euclidean $2s$ -designs [8, 17, 13]. Lisoněk [24] gave a 45 point 2-distance set in \mathbb{R}^8 attaining the upper bound. The example is a Euclidean 2-design, and not a tight design. Other examples of s -distance sets attaining the bound have not been found so far.

On the other hand, Deza and Frankl [20] proved the upper bound $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s}$ for a locally s -inner product set in \mathbb{R}^d . They state that “As pointed out by the referee, Theorem 1.4 can be deduced also using the approach of Koornwinder” in [20]. However, a proof by this method has not been published. This problem was presented at the conference on Combinatorics, Geometry and Computer Science in 2007 [14].

The first result of the present paper is to give a proof of the bound by the method of Koornwinder [23]. Moreover, the upper bound due to Deza–Frankl is improved as an upper bound for a locally s -inner product set on concentric spheres. The new upper bound coincides with the lower bound for Euclidean designs.

In Section 4, we classify 2- or 3-inner product sets on two concentric spheres attaining the upper bound. Indeed, there dose not exist such an inner product set for any $d \geq 2$.

The efficient property needed to prove the new bound for a locally s -inner product set gives the new concept, inside s -inner product sets. We can find a lot of examples of inside inner product sets attaining the upper bound. In particular, the most known tight Euclidean designs or “modified” tight Euclidean designs are inside inner product sets attaining the upper bound. This is a good characterization of several tight Euclidean designs with the view point of geometry.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{R}^d denote the d -dimensional Euclidean space. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote their standard inner product by (x, y) . Let X be a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^d . We define $A(X) := \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$. For a fixed $x \in X$, we define $A(x) := \{(x, y) \mid y \in X, x \neq y\}$ and $B(x) := \{(x, y) \mid y \in X, x \neq y, \|x\| \geq \|y\|\}$, where $\|x\| := \sqrt{(x, x)}$ is the norm of x . Let $|*|$ denote the cardinality.

Definition 2.1. (1) X is called an s -inner product set if $|A(X)| = s$.

(2) X is called a locally s -inner product set if $|A(x)| \leq s$ for each $x \in X$.

(3) X is called an inside s -inner product set if $|B(x)| \leq s$ for each $x \in X$.

Note that an s -inner product set is a locally s -inner product set, and a locally s -inner product set is an inside s -inner product set.

Let $\mathcal{S} := S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_p$ be a union of p concentric spheres, where S_i is a sphere whose center is the origin and whose radius is r_i . We assume $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_p$. If r_1 is equal to zero, then S_1 is the origin and regarded as a special sphere. If \mathcal{S} contains the origin, then $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} := 1$, and if \mathcal{S} does not contain the origin, then $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} := 0$. For $X \subset \mathcal{S}$, we define $X_i := X \cap S_i$. We say X is supported by \mathcal{S} if every X_i is not empty.

Let $\text{Hom}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the linear space of all real homogeneous polynomials of degree l , with d variables. Define $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^l \text{Hom}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor} \text{Hom}_{l-2i}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \Delta f = 0\}$, where $\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$. An element of $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called a harmonic polynomial. Let $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{S})$, $\text{Hom}_l(\mathcal{S})$, $\text{Harm}_l(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathcal{S})$ be the linear space of all functions which are the restrictions of the corresponding polynomials to \mathcal{S} . For example, $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{S}) := \{f|_{\mathcal{S}} \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$.

The dimensions of these linear spaces are well known. Define $p' = p - \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([3, 19, 21]). (1) $\dim \mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{S}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} + \sum_{i=0}^{2p'-1} \binom{d+l-i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \geq 2p', \\ \dim \mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+l}{l} & \text{if } l \leq 2p' - 1. \end{cases}$

$$(2) \dim \mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathcal{S}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} + \sum_{i=0}^{p'-1} \binom{d+l-2i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } l \geq 2p', \\ \sum_{i=0}^{p'-1} \binom{d+l-2i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } l \geq 2p', \\ \dim \mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathbb{R}^d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor} \binom{d+l-2i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \leq 2p' - 1. \end{cases}$$

We consider the Haar measure σ_i on each S_i . For $S_i \neq \{0\}$, we assume $|S_i| = \int_{S_i} d\sigma_i(x)$ where $|S_i|$ is the volume of S_i . If $S_i = \{0\}$, then we define $\frac{1}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(x) d\sigma_i(x) = f(0)$.

Definition 2.3 ([28, 4]). Let X be a finite set supported by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $w(x) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a positive weight function. (X, w) is called a Euclidean t -design if the following equality holds for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_t(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{w(X_i)}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(x) d\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{x \in X} w(x) f(x).$$

where $w(X_i) := \sum_{x \in X_i} w(x)$.

The largest value of t for which (X, w) is a Euclidean t -design is called the maximum strength of the design. If $p = 1$, then a Euclidean t -design is called a weighted spherical t -design, and if $p = 1$ and w is a constant function, then a Euclidean t -design is called a spherical t -design.

We have the Fisher type inequality for the cardinalities of Euclidean designs [6, 19, 25, 26].

Theorem 2.4. (1) *Let X be a Euclidean $2e$ -design supported by \mathcal{S} . Then,*

$$|X| \geq \dim \mathcal{P}_e(\mathcal{S}).$$

(2) *Let X be a Euclidean $(2e - 1)$ -design supported by \mathcal{S} . Then,*

$$|X| \geq \begin{cases} 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{e-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) - 1 & \text{if } e \text{ is odd and } 0 \in X, \\ 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{e-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A Euclidean t -design is said to be tight if it attains one of the lower bounds in Theorem 2.4. If X is a tight Euclidean t -design satisfying $0 \notin X$, then we call $X \cup \{0\}$ an almost tight Euclidean t -design [6].

3 Upper bounds for an inner product set

In this section, we prove upper bounds for the size of an inside s -inner product set. A finite $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be antipodal if for each $x \in X$, $-x$ is also an element of X .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a union of p concentric spheres centered at the origin.*

(1) *Let X be an inside s -inner product set supported by \mathcal{S} . Then,*

$$|X| \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S}).$$

(2) *Let X be an antipodal inside s -inner product set supported by \mathcal{S} . Then,*

$$|X| \leq \begin{cases} 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } 0 \notin X. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1): Note that $-\|x\|^2 \leq \alpha < \|x\|^2$ for any $\alpha \in B(x)$. For each $x \in X$, we define the polynomial $f_x(\xi)$ in the variables $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)$:

$$f_x(\xi) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\alpha \in B(x)} \frac{(x, \xi) - \alpha}{(x, x) - \alpha} & \text{if } B(x) \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 \text{ (constant)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then, $f_x(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree at most s . $B(x)$ is an empty set if and only if $x \in X_1$ and $|X_1| = 1$. Hence, the number of x such that $f_x(\xi) = 1$ (constant) is at most 1. It clearly follows that $f_x(x) = 1$, and $f_x(y) = 0$ for $x \neq y \in X$ and $\|y\| \leq \|x\|$.

We order the elements of X as $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ in such a way that $\|x_i\| \leq \|x_{i+1}\|$. Let M be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is $f_{x_i}(x_j)$. Then, M is an upper triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are all one. This implies that $\{f_{x_i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ are linearly independent. Therefore (1) follows.

(2): There exists a subset Y' such that $X \setminus \{0\} = Y' \cup (-Y')$, and $Y' \cap (-Y')$ is empty. We define $Y := Y' \cup \{0\}$ or Y' , according to $0 \in X$ or not. Note that $|X| = 2|Y| - \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$. For each $y \in Y$, we define $B^2(y) := \{\alpha^2 \mid \alpha \in B(y), \alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq -(y, y)\}$. Then, $|B^2(y)| \leq \lfloor (s-1)/2 \rfloor$. For any $\alpha^2 \in B^2(y)$, we have $0 < \alpha^2 < (y, y)^2$. For each $y \in Y$, we define the polynomial $f_y(\xi)$:

$$f_y(\xi) := \begin{cases} 1 \text{ (constant)} & \text{if } y = 0, \\ \left(\frac{(y, \xi)}{(y, y)}\right)^{(s-1)-2\lfloor (s-1)/2 \rfloor} \prod_{\alpha^2 \in B^2(y)} \frac{(y, \xi)^2 - \alpha^2}{(y, y)^2 - \alpha^2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $0 \in Y$, and s is even, then $1 \notin \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, $f_y(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Hom}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that $f_y(y) = 1$, and $f_y(z) = 0$ for $y \neq z \in Y$ and $\|z\| \leq \|y\|$. By an argument similar to that in the proof of (1), $\{f_y\}_{y \in Y}$ are linearly independent as elements of $\mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Hom}_0(\mathcal{S})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y| &\leq \dim(\mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Hom}_0(\mathcal{S})) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \\ \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|X| = 2|Y| - \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$, (2) follows. \square

An inside s -inner product set X is said to be tight, if X attains one of the upper bounds in Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.2. Note that the upper bounds in Theorem 3.1 coincide with the lower bounds in Theorem 2.4 for $s = e$, except when $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} = 1$ and s is even. When $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} = 1$ and s is even, the cardinality of a tight inside s -inner product set is equal to that of an almost tight Euclidean $(2s-1)$ -design.

Remark 3.3. If $s \leq 2p'-1$, then the upper bound in Theorem 3.1 (1) coincides with Deza–Frankl's upper bound $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s}$ for a locally s -inner product set.

4 The non-existence of tight 2- or 3-inner product sets

In this section, we prove the non-existence of tight 2- or 3-inner product sets supported by a union of two concentric spheres. First, we show several results to prove the non-existence.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a finite set in \mathbb{R}^d .*

- (1) *If $\alpha < 0$ for all $\alpha \in A(X)$, then $|X| \leq d + 1$.*
- (2) *If $\alpha \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in A(X)$, then $|X| \leq 2d + 1$.*

Proof. (1): Note that $0 \notin X$. For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have $(x/\|x\|, y/\|y\|) < 0$, and $x/\|x\| \neq y/\|y\|$. Therefore, $|X| \leq d + 1$ by the Rankin bound [30].

(2): For any distinct non-zero elements $x, y \in X$, we have $(x/\|x\|, y/\|y\|) \leq 0$, and $x/\|x\| \neq y/\|y\|$. Since X may contain the origin, $|X| \leq 2d + 1$ by the Rankin bound [30]. \square

Remark 4.2. We can construct infinitely many examples attaining the bound in Theorem 4.1 (1). Examples attaining the bound in Theorem 4.1 (2) have the following forms:

$$X = \{0, a_1 e_1, a_2 e_2, \dots, a_d e_d, -a_{d+1} e_1, -a_{d+2} e_2, \dots, -a_{2d} e_d\} \quad (4.1)$$

where a_i are positive real numbers, and $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d .

Lemma 4.3 ([12, 32]). *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is a spherical t -design in S^{d-1} .
- (2) For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any $1 \leq l \leq t$,

$$\sum_{x \in X} (v, x)^l = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{(l-1)!(d-2)!}{(d+l-2)!} |X| (v, v)^{\frac{l}{2}} & \text{if } l \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq v}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$, and v be the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$. Let M be the $n \times v$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is $\varphi_j(x_i)$. Then, the rank of M is n . In particular, if $n = v$, then M is a nonsingular matrix.*

Proof. Let $f_{x_k}(\xi)$ be the d -variable polynomial in (3.1). Since $f_{x_k}(\xi)$ is of degree at most s , we can write $f_{x_k}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^v a_i^{(x_k)} \varphi_i(\xi)$, where $a_i^{(x_k)}$ are real numbers. Let N be the $n \times v$ matrix $(a_j^{(x_i)})_{i,j}$. Then, NM^T is an upper triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are all 1, and hence it is of rank n . This implies that M is of rank n . \square

Define $F_X(t) = \sum_{\alpha \in A(X)} (t - \alpha)$ for $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be an s -inner product set in \mathbb{R}^d . We have the expression $F_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^s f_i t^i$, where f_i are real numbers. If $|X| > 2d + 1$, then there exists i such that $f_i < 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, there exists $\alpha \in A(X)$ such that $\alpha > 0$. If $f_i \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq s$, then $\sum_{i=0}^s f_i t^i$ is monotonically increasing for $t > 0$. Since $f_0 \geq 0$, this contradicts $\alpha > 0$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a tight s -inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres, which dose not contain the origin, and is not antipodal, for $s = 2, 3$. We have the form $F_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^s f_i t^i$. Then,*

$$|X_1| = \sum_{i: f_i < 0} h_i, \quad |X_2| = \sum_{i: f_i > 0} h_i,$$

where $h_i = \dim \text{Hom}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Define $\varphi_\lambda(x) := \sqrt{\binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d}} x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_d^{\lambda_d}$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$, and $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i$. Let H_l be the matrix indexed by X and $\{\varphi_\lambda \mid |\lambda| = l\}$, whose (x, λ) -entry is $\varphi_\lambda(x)$. Let $\mathcal{H} = [H_0, H_1, \dots, H_s]$ and $M = f_0 I_1 \oplus f_1 I_{h_1} \oplus \dots \oplus f_s I_{h_s}$ (a direct sum), where I_k is the identity matrix of size k . Then, we may write

$$\mathcal{H} M \mathcal{H}^T = \begin{bmatrix} F_X(r_1^2) I_{|X_1|} & 0 \\ 0 & F_X(r_2^2) I_{|X_2|} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $|X| = \sum_{i=0}^s h_i$ for $s = 2, 3$, and Lemma 4.4, \mathcal{H} is a nonsingular matrix. The numbers of positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of M are equal to those of the right hand side of (4.2) respectively. Note that $F_X(r_2^2) > 0$. By Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.5, some f_i are negative. This implies that $F_X(r_1^2) < 0$. Therefore, the lemma follows. \square

Remark 4.7. Since $F_X(r_1^2) < 0$, odd number of inner products are greater than r_1^2 . Therefore, for $s = 2$ (resp. $s = 3$), X_1 is a 1-inner product set (resp. 2-inner product set) or $|X_1| = 1$. There are a few possible pairs $|X_1|$ and $|X_2|$ by Lemma 4.6. The signs of f_i give some conditions of inner products.

The following are the main theorems in this section.

Theorem 4.8. *There does not exist a tight 2-inner product set, that is supported by a union of two concentric spheres and is not antipodal, for any $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ where $\alpha > \beta$. If X contains the origin, then X_2 is a tight spherical 4-design. Then $A(X_2)$ does not contain zero, a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = 1$ and $|X_2| = d + \binom{d+1}{2}$. Then X_2 is a tight spherical 4-design. Applying Lemma 4.3 to X_2 and $v \in X_1$, we obtain a contradiction.

If $|X_1| = d$ and $|X_2| = 1 + \binom{d+1}{2}$, then $\alpha + \beta > 0$. We have $|X_2| \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$ [27, 29], a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = d + 1$ and $|X_2| = \binom{d+1}{2}$. Since X_1 is a 1-inner product set and $|X_1| = d + 1$, X_1 is a tight spherical 2-design. Without loss of generality, we may assume $r_1 = 1$ and hence $\beta = -1/d$. Applying Lemma 4.3 to X_1 and $v \in X_2$, we obtain a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.9. *There does not exist a tight 3-inner product set, that is supported by a union of two concentric spheres and is not antipodal, for any $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ where $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$. If X contains the origin, then X_2 is a tight spherical 6-design. A tight spherical 6-design does not exist, except for the regular heptagon on S^1 . Thus, no tight 3-inner product set exists on $\{0\} \cup S_2$.

We deal with the non-trivial cases.

Assume $|X_1| = d + \binom{d+1}{2}$ and $|X_2| = 1 + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Since X_1 is a 2-distance set and $|X_1| = d + \binom{d+1}{2}$, X_1 is a tight spherical 4-design. We may assume $r_1 = 1$, and $A(X_1) = \{\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{d+3}}{d+2}\}$. Applying Lemma 4.3 to X_1 and $v \in X_2$, we obtain a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = 1 + \binom{d+1}{2}$ and $|X_2| = d + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Then, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$, and $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha > 0$. We have $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha < -(\beta + \gamma)^2 + \beta\gamma = -\beta^2 - \beta\gamma - \gamma^2 < 0$, a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = 1 + d$ and $|X_2| = \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Then, X_2 is a tight spherical 6-design, a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = \binom{d+1}{2}$ and $|X_2| = 1 + d + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Then, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$. We have the Gegenbauer expansion $(t - \alpha)(t - \beta)(t - \gamma) = \sum_{k=0}^3 a_k G_k^{(d)}(t)$ where $a_2 = -2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)/d(d + 2)$. Therefore, $|X_2| \leq \binom{d+2}{3} + 1$ [29], a contradiction. \square

5 Tight inside s -inner product sets

In this section, we introduce several examples of tight inside inner product sets, and their maximum achievable strengths as Euclidean designs whose weight functions are constant on each X_i .

A tight 1-inner product set with a negative inner product is identified with a tight Euclidean 2-design [9].

A tight locally 2-inner product set is identified with a tight or almost tight Euclidean 3-design [16].

A tight inner product set on a sphere is a tight spherical design [18]. The union of a tight spherical $(4m - 1)$ -design [18] and the origin is a tight inside $2m$ -inner product set.

We have several non-trivial examples of tight inside s -inner product sets (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4). A lot of known tight Euclidean designs are tight inside s -inner product sets. Modifying the radii of the spheres supporting tight Euclidean design to reduce the number of the inner products, we may obtain a tight inside inner product set (we write M in the tables).

Example 5.1 (Table 1). Let X_2 be the d -dimensional regular simplex in \mathbb{R}^d and $X_1 := \{(x+y)/2 \mid x, y \in X_2, x \neq y\}$.

Example 5.2 (Table 1). Let X_2 be the d -dimensional regular simplex in \mathbb{R}^d and $X_1 := \{-(x+y)/(d-1) \mid x, y \in X_2, x \neq y\}$.

Example 5.3 (Table 4). Let $X_1 := \{(0,0)\}$, $X_2 := \{(1,0), (0,1)\}$, $X_3 := \{(1,1)\}$ and $X_4 := \{(2,0), (0,2)\}$.

Remark 5.4. We expect a closely relationship between tight inside inner product sets and tight Euclidean designs. We can observe that a lot of examples of tight Euclidean designs have the structure of tight inside inner product sets. However, there are tight Euclidean designs which

T: tight design, Al: almost tight design, M: modified tight design

L: locally inner product set, A: antipodal set, $B(x) = B(X_i)$ for each $x \in X_i$

s	d	t	$ X_1 $	$ X_2 $	$B(X_1)$	$B(X_2)$	r_2	w_2	remark	ref.
2	2	4	3	3	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 1$	2	$\frac{1}{8}$	T	[4, 15]
	4	4	10	5	$-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{3}{2}, 1$	$\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	T	[15]
	5	4	6	15	$-\frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}$	$\sqrt{\frac{8}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	T	[15]
	4	4	6	9	$-\frac{1}{2}, 0$	$-1, \frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	T	[15]
	22	3	253	23	$-\frac{13}{56}, \frac{5}{28}$	$-\frac{16}{7}, 1$	$4\sqrt{\frac{22}{7}}$	$\frac{3}{512}$	M	[15]
	$d \geq 3$	2	$\frac{d+1}{2}$	$d+1$	$-\frac{2}{d-1}, \frac{d-3}{2(d-1)}$	$-\frac{2}{d-1}, 1$	$\sqrt{\frac{2d}{d-1}}$	any	non	Ex. 5.1
	$d \geq 5$	3	$\frac{d+1}{2}$	$d+1$	$-\frac{2}{d-1}, \frac{d-3}{2(d-1)}$	$-\frac{d-1}{2}, 1$	$\sqrt{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}$	$\frac{d-3}{(d-1)^3}$	$d=6$: M	Ex. 5.2
3	3	5	6	8	$-1, 0$	$-3, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	A, L, T	[1, 2, 16]
	5	5	12	20	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{9}{5}, \pm \frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	A, T	[16]
	5	5	20	12	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{3}$	$-5, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	A, T	[16]
	6	5	12	32	$-1, 0$	$-\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	A, T	[16]
	22	5	2025	275	$-\frac{4}{11}, \frac{1}{44}, \frac{7}{22}$	$-\frac{9}{11}, -\frac{3}{22}, \frac{6}{11}$	$\frac{6}{\sqrt{11}}$	$\frac{3}{32}$	M	[7]
4	2	7	6	6	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-3, 0, \pm \frac{3}{2}$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	A, T	[1]
	4	7	24	24	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 0, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	A, T	[5]
	7	7	56	126	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}, 0, \pm \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	A, T	[5]
	7	7	126	56	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-3, 0, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	A, T	[5]

Table 1: $p = 2$

s	d	t	$ X_1 $	$ X_2 $	$ X_3 $	$B(X_1)$	$B(X_2)$	$B(X_3)$	r_2	r_3	w_2	w_3	rem.	ref.
4	3	7	12	6	8	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 0, \pm 1$	$-6, 0, \pm 2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{5}{32}$	$\frac{1}{256}$	A, T	[2]

Table 2: $p = 3$ ($p = 4, 0 \in X$)

s	d	t	$ X_1 $	$ X_2 $	$B(X_1)$	$B(X_2)$	r_2	w_2	rem.	ref.
4	2	7	6	6	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-3, 0, \pm \frac{3}{2}$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	A, Al	[1, 6]
	4	7	24	24	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 0, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	A, Al	[5, 6]
	7	7	56	126	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}, 0, \pm \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	A, Al	[5, 6]
	7	7	126	56	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-3, 0, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	A, Al	[5, 6]

Table 3: $p = 3, 0 \in X$

s	d	t	$ X_1 $	$ X_2 $	$ X_3 $	$B(X_1)$	$B(X_2)$	$B(X_3)$	r_2	r_3	rem.	ref.
2	2	0	2	1	2	0	0, 1	0, 2	$\sqrt{2}$	2	non	Ex. 5.3

Table 4: $p = 4, 0 \in X$

are not related with tight inside inner product sets. Tight or almost tight Euclidean designs in \mathbb{R}^2 [2, 6] except the examples in the tables, and tight Euclidean t -designs with $(t, d, |X_1|, |X_2|) = (4, 22, 33, 243)$ [15], $(t, d, |X|, p) = (4, 4, 22, 3)$ [22] cannot become tight inside s -inner product sets even if we modify the radii. Conversely, some tight inside inner product sets are not even 1-design. Tight 1-inner product sets with a non-negative inner product and Example 5.3 are not even 1-designs. To construct a generalized theory of the sphere due to Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel [18], we have to give an additional condition for Euclidean designs or inside inner product sets.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Professor Eiichi Bannai and Professor Akihiro Munemasa for providing useful information and comments. The author is grateful to the referees for a lot of insightful suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Bajnok: On Euclidean designs, *Adv. Geom.* 6 (2006), no. 3, 423–438.
- [2] B. Bajnok, Orbits of the hyperoctahedral group as Euclidean designs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 25 (2007), 375–397.
- [3] Ei. Bannai and Et. Bannai, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Spheres*, Springer, Tokyo, 1999 (in Japanese).
- [4] Ei. Bannai and Et. Bannai, On Euclidean tight 4-designs, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 58 (2006), no. 3, 775–804.
- [5] Ei. Bannai and Et. Bannai, Spherical designs and Euclidean designs, *Recent developments in algebra and related areas*, 1–37, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 8, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [6] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai, M. Hirao, and M. Sawa, Cubature formulas in numerical analysis and Euclidean tight designs, *European J. Combin.* 31 (2010), no. 2, 423–441.
- [7] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai, and J. Shigezumi, A new example of Euclidean tight 6-design, preprint, arXiv:1005.4987.
- [8] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai and D. Stanton, An upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance set in real Euclidean space, *Combinatorica* 3 (1983), 147–152.
- [9] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai and D. Suprijanto, On the strong non-rigidity of certain tight Euclidean designs, *European J. Combin.* 28 (2007), no. 6, 1662–1680.
- [10] Ei. Bannai and R.M. Damerell, Tight spherical designs. I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 31 (1979), no. 1, 199–207.
- [11] Ei. Bannai and R.M. Damerell, Tight spherical designs. II, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 21 (1980), no. 1, 13–30.
- [12] Ei. Bannai, A. Munemasa, and B. Venkov, The nonexistence of certain tight spherical designs. With an appendix by Y.-F. S. Petermann, *Algebra i Analiz* 16 (2004), no. 4, 1–23; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 16 (2005), no. 4, 609–625.
- [13] A. Blokhuis, Few-distance sets, CWI Tract, 7, *Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam*, 1984.
- [14] P.J. Cameron, Problems from CGCS Luminy, May 2007, *European J. Combin.* 31 (2010), no. 2, 644–648.
- [15] Et. Bannai, New examples of Euclidean tight 4-designs, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009), no. 3, 655–667.

- [16] Et. Bannai, On antipodal Euclidean tight $(2e + 1)$ -designs. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 24 (2006), no. 4, 391–414.
- [17] Et. Bannai, K. Kawasaki, Y. Nitamizu, and T. Sato, An upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance set in Euclidean space, *Combinatorica* **23** (2003), no. 4, 535–557.
- [18] P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals, and J.J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* 6 (1977), no. 3, 363–388.
- [19] P. Delsarte and J.J. Seidel, Fisher type inequalities for Euclidean t -designs, *Linear Algebra and its Appl.* 114–115 (1989), 213–230.
- [20] M. Deza and P. Frankl, Bounds on the maximum number of vectors with given scalar products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1985), no. 2, 323–329.
- [21] A. Erdélyi et al, *Higher Transcendental Functions II*, (Bateman Manuscript Project), MacGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [22] M. Hirao, M. Sawa, and Y. Zhou, Some remarks on Euclidean tight designs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 118 (2011), 634–640.
- [23] T. Koornwinder, A note on the absolute bound for systems of lines. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 79=Indag. Math.* 38 (1976), no. 2, 152–153.
- [24] P. Lisoněk, New maximal two-distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 77 (1997), 318–338.
- [25] H.M. Möller, Kubaturformeln mit minimaler Knotenzahl, *Numer. Math.* 25 (1975/76), no. 2, 185–200.
- [26] H.M. Möller, Lower bounds for the number of nodes in cubature formulae, Numerische Integration (Tagung, Math. Forschungsinst., Oberwolfach, 1978), 221–230, *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.* 45, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1979.
- [27] O.R. Musin, Spherical two-distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A* 116 (2009), no. 4, 988–995.
- [28] A. Neumaier and J.J. Seidel, Discrete measures for spherical designs, eutactic stars and lattices. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* 50 (1988), no. 3, 321–334.
- [29] H. Nozaki and M. Shinohara, On a generalization of distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A* 117 (2010), no. 7, 810–826.
- [30] R.A. Rankin, On the closest packing of spheres in n dimensions, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 48, (1947), 1062–1081.
- [31] M.A. Taylor, Cubature for the sphere and the discrete spherical harmonic transform, *SIAM J. Numer. Math.* 32 (1995), 667–670.
- [32] B. Venkov, *Réseaux et designs sphériques*, Réseaux Euclidiens, Designs Sphériques et Formes Modulaires, Monogr. Enseign. Math., vol. 37, Enseign. Math., Genève, 2001, pp. 10–86.

Hiroshi Nozaki

Graduate School of Information Sciences,
 Tohoku University
 Aramaki-Aza-Aoba 6-3-09,
 Aoba-ku,
 Sendai 980-8579,
 Japan
 nozaki@ims.is.tohoku.ac.jp