

Exact solution of generalized inviscid Burgers' equation

Mehdi Nadjafikhah*

Abstract

Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions, f nowhere zero, and $\varphi(u) = \int du/f(u)$ be invertible. An exact solution to the generalized nonhomogeneous inviscid Burgers' equation $u_t + g(u).u_x = f(u)$ is given, by quadratures.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 35Q53, 37K10.

Keywords. KdV-like equations, Burgers' equation.

1 Introduction

Burgers' equation is a fundamental partial differential equation from fluid mechanics (see, [1], [2] and [4]). It occurs in various areas of applied mathematics, such as modeling of gas dynamics and traffic flow. It is named for Johannes Martinus Burgers (1895-1981). For a given velocity u and viscosity coefficient ν , the general form of Burgers' equation is: $u_t + u.u_x = \nu.u_{xx}$. When $\nu = 0$, Burgers' equation becomes the inviscid Burgers' equation: $u_t + u.u_x = 0$, which is a prototype for equations for which the solution can develop discontinuities (shock waves).

The generalized nonhomogeneous inviscid Burgers' equation [5] is

$$\mathcal{E}_{u,g,f} : u_t + g(u).u_x = f(u).$$

We solve this equation by quadratures; at two stages depending only on the function f .

*Faculty of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, I.R.IRAN. e-mail: m_nadjafikhah@iust.ac.ir

2 Homogeneous Burgers' equation

2.1 Theorem. *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function. Then,*

$$u = h(x - t.g(u))$$

gives the solution to $\mathcal{E}_{u,g,0} : u_t + g(u).u_x = 0$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary function.

Proof: Let $\tilde{x} = x - t.g(u)$, $\tilde{t} = t$ and $\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = u$ are new independent and dependent variables. Then, $x = \tilde{x} + \tilde{t}.g(\tilde{u})$, $t = \tilde{t}$, and $u = \tilde{u}$. Now, $u_{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}$ and $u_{\tilde{x}} = (u(x, t))_{\tilde{x}} = u_x.x_{\tilde{x}} + u_t.t_{\tilde{x}} = u_x.(1 + \tilde{t}.g'(\tilde{u}).\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}})$. Therefore

$$u_x.(1 + \tilde{t}.g'(\tilde{u}).\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}) = \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, $u_{\tilde{t}} = \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}$ and $u_{\tilde{t}} = (u(x, t))_{\tilde{t}} = u_x.x_{\tilde{t}} + u_t.t_{\tilde{t}} = u_x.(g(\tilde{u}) + \tilde{t}.g'(\tilde{u}).\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}) + u_t$. Therefore

$$u_x.(g(\tilde{u}) + \tilde{t}.g'(\tilde{u}).\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}) + u_t = \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}. \quad (2)$$

By solving (1) and (2), we have $u_x = \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}/\Delta$, $u_t = (\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} - g(\tilde{u}).\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}})/\Delta$, where $\Delta = 1 + \tilde{t}.g'(\tilde{u}).\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}$. Thus, the equation $u_t + g(u).u_x = 0$ reduced to $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} = 0$. Therefore, $\tilde{u} = h(\tilde{x})$, and Theorem was proved. \square

2.2 Example. As an illustration of Theorem 2.1, consider the equation

$$u_t + u.u_x = 0. \quad (3)$$

Then $g = u$, and by the Theorem 2.1, the solution of this equation is $u = h(x - t.u)$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary function. We look at a couple of special cases:

- If $h(s) = (a.s + b)/c$, where a , b and $c \neq 0$ are arbitrary constants; Then

$$u(x, t) = \frac{a.x + b}{a.t + c}$$

is a solution to the (3).

- If $h(s) = \sqrt{a.s + b} + c$, where a , b and c are arbitrary constants; Then

$$u(x, t) = c - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(a.t \pm \sqrt{a^2.t^2 + 4.a.x - 4.a.c.t + 4.b} \right)$$

is a solution to the (3).

- If $h(s) = e^{a-s}$, where a is an arbitrary constant; Then

$$u(x, t) = -\frac{1}{t} \cdot LW(-te^{a-x})$$

is a solution to the (3), where LW is the Lambert W -function; i.e. a function defined by function-equation $f(x).e^{f(x)} = x$.

3 Nonhomogeneous Burgers' equation

2.1 Lemma. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nowhere zero continuous function, and $v = \varphi(u) = \int du/f(u)$. Then, every $\mathcal{E}_{u,g,f}$ can be transformed into $\mathcal{E}_{v,g \circ \varphi^{-1},1}$.*

Proof: By continuity of f , φ is a smooth invertible function, and

$$v_t + (g \circ \varphi^{-1})(v).v_x = \varphi_t(u) + g(u).\varphi_x(u) = \frac{1}{f(u)} \cdot (u_t + g(u).u_x) = 1.$$

Therefore, v satisfy in a $\mathcal{E}_{v,g \circ \varphi^{-1},1}$ equation. \square

3.2 Lemma. *Let g be an integrable function. Then, the solution of $\mathcal{E}_{u,g,1}$ is $x = \int g(u) du + h(t - u)$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a arbitrary function.*

Proof: Let $\tilde{x} = u$, $\tilde{t} = t - u$ and $\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = x$ are new independent and dependent variables. Then, $x = \tilde{u}$, $t = \tilde{t} + \tilde{x}$, and $u = \tilde{x}$. Now, $u_{\tilde{x}} = (\tilde{x})_{\tilde{x}} = 1$ and $u_{\tilde{x}} = (u(x, t))_{\tilde{x}} = u_x.x_{\tilde{x}} + u_t.t_{\tilde{x}} = u_x.\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} + u_t$. Therefore

$$u_x.\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} + u_t = 1. \tag{4}$$

Similarly, $u_{\tilde{t}} = (\tilde{x})_{\tilde{t}} = 0$ and $u_{\tilde{t}} = (u(x, t))_{\tilde{t}} = u_x.x_{\tilde{t}} + u_t.t_{\tilde{t}} = u_x.\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} + u_t$. Therefore

$$u_x.\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} + u_t = 0. \tag{5}$$

By solving (4) and (5), we have $u_x = 1/(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} - \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}})$, $u_t = -\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}/(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} - \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}})$, and equation $u_t + g(u).u_x = 1$ reduced to $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} = g(\tilde{x})$. Therefore, $\tilde{u} = \int g(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} + h(\tilde{t})$. This proves Lemma. \square

The proof of following Theorem relies on Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

3.3 Theorem. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions, f nowhere zero, $\varphi(u) = \int du/f(u)$ invertible, and $\ell(u) = \int (g \circ \varphi^{-1})(u) du$. Then,*

$$x = (\ell \circ \varphi)(u) + h(t - \varphi(u)),$$

gives the solution to $\mathcal{E}_{u,g,f}$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary smooth function.

3.4 Example. As an illustration of Theorem 3.3, consider the equation

$$u_t + u.u_x = e^u. \quad (6)$$

Then $g = u$, $f = e^u$, $\varphi = -e^{-u}$, and $\ell = u.(1 - \ln(-u))$. Therefore, by the Theorem 3.3, the solution of equation (6) is $x = (u + 1).e^{-u} + h(t + e^{-u})$, where $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary function. For example, for $h(s) = s$, we have the solution $u(x, t) = -\text{LW}(x - t)$.

3.5 Example. As an another illustration, consider the equation

$$u_t + (u^m)_x = u^n, \quad (7)$$

where m and n are integers. If $1 < n \neq m$. Then $g = m.u^{m-1}$, $f = u^n$, and

$$\ell(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{m-n} \cdot ((1-n).u)^{(n-m)/(n-1)} & \text{if } 1 < n \neq m, \\ \frac{m}{1-m} \cdot \ln((1-m).u) & \text{if } n = m \neq 1, \\ \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \exp((m-1).u) & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } m \neq 1, \\ e^u & \text{if } n = m = 1, \end{cases}$$

For example, $u(x, t) = x.(1 + e^{-t})/2$ is a solution to $u_t + (u^2)_x = u$; and

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{6} \left(x - 3.t \pm \sqrt{x^2 + 9.t^2 - 6.x.t - 36} \right)$$

is a solution to $u_t + (u^3)_x = u^2$.

References

- [1] BURGERS, J.M., *The nonlinear diffusion equation*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974.
- [2] IBRAGIMOV, N.H. (Editor), *CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations*, Vol. 1, Symmetries, Exact Solutions and Conservation Laws, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1994.
- [3] OLVER, P.J., *Application of Lie groups to Differential Equation*, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [4] POLYANIN, A.D. and ZAITSEV, V.F., *Handbook of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2004.
- [5] SMAOUI, N and MEKKAOUI, M., *The generalized Burgers equation with and without a time delay*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis Volume 2004 (2004), 1, pp. 73-96.
- [6] TYCHYNIN, V. and PASIN, O., *Nonlocal Symmetry and Generating Solutions for the Inhomogeneous Burgers Equation*, Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine 2004, Vol. 50, Part 1, 277-281.
- [7] WOOD, L.W., *An exact solution for Burgers equation*, Commun. Numer. Meth. Engng 2006; 22: 797-798.