

# A SHARP BILINEAR ESTIMATE FOR THE BOURGAIN-TYPE SPACE WITH APPLICATION TO THE BENJAMIN EQUATION

WENGU CHEN AND JIE XIAO

ABSTRACT. This note shows the existence of a sharp bilinear estimate for the Bourgain-type space and gives its application to the optimal local well/ill-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the process of understanding the new function spaces and their applications to some nonlinear evolution equations discovered by J. Bourgain in his 1993 paper [6], we obtain

**Theorem 1.1.** *For  $\alpha, 0 \neq \beta, \gamma, \xi, s, b \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $p(\xi) = \beta\xi^3 - \alpha\xi|\xi| + \gamma\xi$  and  $X_{s,b,p}$  be the Bourgain type space – the completion of all  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  functions  $f$  in the norm given by*

$$\|f\|_{X_{s,b,p}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s (1 + |\tau - p(\xi)|^2)^b |\widehat{f}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then:

(i) *For  $s > -3/4$  there exists  $b \in (1/2, 1)$  such that the bilinear inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad \|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X_{s,(b-1)+,p}} \leq c \|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \|v\|_{X_{s,b,p}}$$

*holds with a constant  $c > 0$  depending only on  $s$  and  $b$ , where  $a \pm$  means  $a \pm \epsilon$  for a sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

(ii) *For any  $s \leq -3/4$  and any  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  there is no constant  $c > 0$  depending only on  $s$  and  $b$  such that the bilinear inequality (1.1) holds.*

Here  $\widehat{f}(\xi, \tau)$  is the Fourier transform in  $(\xi, \tau)$ . And, it is worth pointing out that if  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, 1, 0)$  then Theorem 1.1 (i) yields Kenig-Ponce-Vega's [17, Theorem 1.1], and Theorem 1.1 (ii) implies Kenig-Ponce-Vega's [17, Theorem 1.3] and Nakanishi-Takaoka-Tsutsumi's [21, Theorem 1 (i)]. Theorem 1.1 may seem rather specialized, but it is useful in connection with the well/ill-posedness of the Benjamin equation. More precisely, this theorem, together with other things, derives

**Theorem 1.2.** *For  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \xi, s, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\alpha\beta \neq 0$  let  $p(\xi) = \beta\xi^3 - \alpha\xi|\xi| + \gamma\xi$  and  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  be the square Sobolev space with order  $s$  – the completion of all  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q53.

*Key words and phrases.* Sharp, bilinear estimate, Bourgain type space, local well/ill-posedness, Benjamin equation,  $[k; Z]$  multiplier.

The first/second-named author is supported in part by NNSF of China (No.10771130)/NESEC of Canada (No. RGPIN/261100-2003). The project is completed during the first-named author's visit to Memorial University of Newfoundland under the second-named author's research funding.

functions  $f$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then:

(i) For  $s > -3/4$  and  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  there exist  $b \in (1/2, 1)$  and  $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) > 0$  such that the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin equation:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \gamma \partial_x u + \alpha \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 u + \beta \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x(u^2) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u$  in  $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap X_{s,b,p}$ .

(ii) For  $s < -3/4$  the solution map of the above Cauchy problem is not continuous at zero, namely, there is no  $T > 0$  such that the solution map of (1.2):

$$u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

is continuous at zero.

Here  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the one-dimensional Hilbert transform defined by

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y| > \epsilon} f(x-y) y^{-1} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, four things are worth noting. The first is that the above Benjamin equation is essentially the original Benjamin equation [5] which physically characterizes the vertical displacement (bounded above and below by rigid horizontal planes) of the interface between a thin layer of fluid atop and a much thicker layer of higher density fluid (cf. [22]) – see [1]-[3]-[3]-[9]-[20] for the study of existence, stability and asymptotics of solitary wave solutions of (1.2). The second is that setting  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta = 0$  in (1.2) generates the Benjamin-Ono equation – see Kenig’s survey [15] but also Ionescu-Kenig [14] and Burq-Planchon [8] for most recent developments. The third is that: if  $(\beta, \gamma) = (-1, 0)$  and  $\alpha = -\nu \in (-1, 0)$  then Theorem 1.2 (i) goes back to Kozono-Ogawa-Tanisaka’s [18, Theorem 2.1]; if  $s \geq -1/8$  and  $\gamma = 0$  then Theorem 1.2 (i) returns to Guo-Huo’s [13, Theorem 1.1]; if  $(s, \gamma) = (0, 0)$  and  $\alpha\beta > 0$  then Theorem 1.2 (i) is the local part of the well-posedness in Linares [19]. The fourth is that Theorem 1.2 is sharp in the sense that  $s > -3/4$  and  $s < -3/4$  deduce the positive and negative aspects of the posedness of (1.2) respectively – Theorem 1.2 (ii) is a new discovery and achieved via Bejenaru-Tao’s argument for [4, Theorem 2] plus an example in Bourgain [7] and Tzvetkov [25] – in the near future we will handle the intermediate index  $s = -3/4$  although it is our conjecture that Theorem 1.2 (i) can extend to this value at least in the distributional sense just like one in Christ-Colliander-Tao’s paper [10] on the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation which is recovered from taking  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (0, 1, 0)$  in (1.2).

In order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we apply T. Tao’s  $[k; Z]$ -multiplier norm method (introduced in [23] to settle some problems for the typical dispersive equations including KdV) – see the second and third sections of this paper, but also the linear estimates established in [16]-[12]-[11] and the classical fixed point theorem – see the final section of this paper. For the sake of convenience, we will use the abbreviation  $\langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , but also denote by  $A \lesssim B$  the statement that  $A \leq CB$  holds for some large constant  $C$  which may vary from line to line and depend on various parameters; similarly use  $A \ll B$  to represent  $A \leq C^{-1}B$ ; and use  $A \sim B$  to stand for  $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$ . Last but not least, we would

like to acknowledge a couple of discussions with Q.-Y. Xue from Beijing Normal University.

## 2. FUNDAMENTAL ESTIMATE FOR DYADIC BLOCKS

From now on, for  $Z$ , an abelian additive group with an invariant measure  $d\xi$ , and for an integer  $k \geq 2$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_k(Z)$  the hyperplane

$$\Gamma_k(Z) := \{\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in Z^k : \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k = 0\}$$

which is equipped with the measure

$$\int_{\Gamma_k(Z)} f(\xi) := \int_{Z^{k-1}} f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, -\xi_1 - \dots - \xi_{k-1}) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_{k-1}.$$

Following Tao [23] we say that a function  $m : \Gamma_k(Z) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  is just a  $[k; Z]$ -multiplier, and the multiplier norm  $\|m\|_{[k; Z]}$  is defined to be the minimal constant  $\kappa \geq 0$  such that the inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_k(Z)} m(\xi) \prod_{j=1}^k f_j(\xi_j) \right| \leq \kappa \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^2(Z)},$$

holds for all test functions  $f_j$  on  $Z$ . Meanwhile, we need to review some of Tao's notations. Any summations over capitalized variables such as  $N_j, L_j, H$  are presumed to be dyadic – that is to say – these variables range over numbers of the form  $2^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (the set of all integers). If  $N_1, N_2, N_3 > 0$  then  $N_{max}, N_{med}, N_{min}$  stand for the maximum, median, and minimum of  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  respectively, and hence  $N_{max} \geq N_{med} \geq N_{min}$ . Likewise, we have  $L_{max} \geq L_{med} \geq L_{min}$  whenever  $L_1, L_2, L_3 > 0$ . More than that, we adopt the summation conventions as follows. Any summation of the form  $L_{max} \sim \dots$  is a sum over the three dyadic variables  $L_1, L_2, L_3 \gtrsim 1$ : for example,

$$\sum_{L_{max} \sim H} := \sum_{L_1, L_2, L_3 \gtrsim 1: L_{max} \sim H}.$$

Similarly, any summation of the form  $N_{max} \sim \dots$  sum over the three dyadic variables  $N_1, N_2, N_3 > 0$  – in particular –

$$\sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} := \sum_{N_1, N_2, N_3 > 0: N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N}.$$

Finally, if  $\tau, \xi$  and  $p(\xi)$  are given with  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$ , then we write

$$\lambda := \tau - p(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j := \tau_j - p(\xi_j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

In the sequel, we will establish the  $[3; Z]$ -multiplier norm estimate for the Benjamin equation. During estimation we need the resonance function

$$(2.1) \quad h(\xi) := p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3) = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3,$$

which arises from what extent the spatial frequencies  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  share with one another. By the dyadic decomposition of each variable  $\xi_j$  or  $\lambda_j$ , as well as the function  $h(\xi)$ , we are led to consider

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} := \|\mathcal{X}_{N_1, N_2, N_3; H; L_1, L_2, L_3}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]},$$

where  $X_{N_1, N_2, N_3; H; L_1, L_2, L_3}$  is the multiplier determined via

$$(2.2) \quad X_{N_1, N_2, N_3; H; L_1, L_2, L_3}(\xi, \lambda) := \chi_{|h(\xi)| \sim H} \prod_{j=1}^3 \chi_{|\xi_j| \sim N_j} \chi_{|\lambda_j| \sim L_j}.$$

From the identities

$$\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + h(\xi) = 0$$

we see that  $X_{N_1, N_2, N_3; H; L_1, L_2, L_3}$  vanishes unless

$$(2.3) \quad N_{\max} \sim N_{\text{med}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\max} \sim \max\{H, L_{\text{med}}\}.$$

Consequently, from (2.1) we obtain the following algebraic smoothing relation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $p(\xi)$  be the same as in Theorem 1.1. If  $N_{\max} \sim N_{\text{med}} \gtrsim \max\{1, \frac{4|\alpha|}{3|\beta|}\}$ , then*

$$(2.4) \quad \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3|\} \gtrsim N_1 N_2 N_3.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** Noticing

$$p(\xi_j) = \beta \xi_j^3 - \alpha \xi_j |\xi_j| + \gamma \xi_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\xi) &= -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \\ &= p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3) \\ &= 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(\xi_1 |\xi_1| + \xi_2 |\xi_2| + \xi_3 |\xi_3|). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we simplify the last formula according to six  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ -angle regions of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  formed by three lines  $\xi_1 = 0$ ;  $\xi_2 = 0$ ;  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 0$ .

$\angle 1$ : If  $\xi_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_2 \geq 0$ , then  $N_3 = N_{\max}$  and hence

$$h(\xi) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + 2\alpha \xi_1 \xi_2 = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \left(\xi_3 + \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}\right).$$

$\angle 2$ : If  $\xi_1 \leq 0$ ,  $\xi_2 \leq 0$ , then  $N_3 = N_{\max}$  and hence

$$h(\xi) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(-\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 + (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - 2\alpha \xi_1 \xi_2 = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \left(\xi_3 - \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}\right).$$

$\angle 3$ : If  $\xi_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_2 \leq 0$ ,  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 \geq 0$ , then  $N_1 = N_{\max}$  and hence

$$h(\xi) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - 2\alpha \xi_2 \xi_3 = 3\beta \xi_2 \xi_3 \left(\xi_1 - \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}\right).$$

$\angle 4$ : If  $\xi_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_2 \leq 0$ ,  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 \leq 0$ , then  $N_2 = N_{\max}$  and hence

$$h(\xi) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 + (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + 2\alpha \xi_1 \xi_3 = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_3 \left(\xi_2 + \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}\right).$$

$\angle 5$ : If  $\xi_1 \leq 0$ ,  $\xi_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 \geq 0$ , then  $N_2 = N_{\max}$  and hence

$$h(\xi) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(-\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - 2\alpha \xi_1 \xi_3 = 3\beta \xi_2 \xi_3 \left(\xi_2 - \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}\right).$$

$\angle 6$ : If  $\xi_1 \leq 0$ ,  $\xi_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 \leq 0$ , then  $N_1 = N_{\max}$  and hence

$$h(\xi) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \alpha(-\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + (\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2) = 3\beta \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + 2\alpha \xi_2 \xi_3 = 3\beta \xi_2 \xi_3 \left(\xi_1 + \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta}\right).$$

As a result, we find that

$$N_{max} \sim N_{med} \gtrsim \max\{1, \frac{4|\alpha|}{3|\beta|}\}$$

implies

$$\max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3|\} \geq \frac{1}{3}(|\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3|) \gtrsim N_1 N_2 N_3,$$

whence getting (2.4).

Interestingly, Lemma 2.1 and its argument may allow us to assume that

$$(2.5) \quad H \sim N_{max}^2 N_{min},$$

since the multiplier in (2.2) vanishes otherwise.

Now we are in the position to state the fundamental estimate on dyadic blocks.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $p(\xi)$  be the same as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that*

$$\min\{H, N_1, N_2, N_3, L_1, L_2, L_3\} > 0$$

obey (2.3) and (2.5). Then:

(i) *((++) Coherence)  $N_{max} \sim N_{min}$  &  $L_{max} \sim H$  implies*

$$(2.6) \quad \|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} N_{max}^{-1/4} L_{med}^{1/4}.$$

(ii) *((+-) Coherence) Anyone of the following three conditions*

$$\begin{cases} N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_3 \text{ \& } H \sim L_3 \gtrsim L_2, L_1; \\ N_2 \sim N_3 \gg N_1 \text{ \& } H \sim L_1 \gtrsim L_2, L_3; \\ N_3 \sim N_1 \gg N_2 \text{ \& } H \sim L_2 \gtrsim L_3, L_1, \end{cases}$$

implies

$$(2.7) \quad \|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} N_{max}^{-1} \left( \min \left\{ H, \frac{N_{max}}{N_{min}} L_{med} \right\} \right)^{1/2}.$$

(iii) *In all other cases, one has*

$$(2.8) \quad \|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} N_{max}^{-1} \left( \min \{H, L_{med}\} \right)^{1/2}.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.2.** In the high modulation case:  $L_{max} \sim L_{med} \gg H$  we have by the elementary estimate in [23, (37), p.861],

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} N_{min}^{1/2} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} N_{max}^{-1} N_{min}^{1/2} N_{max} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} N_{max}^{-1} H^{1/2}.$$

For the low modulation case:  $L_{max} \sim H$ , by symmetry we may assume  $L_1 \geq L_2 \geq L_3$ . By [23, Corollary 4.2], we have

$$(2.9) \quad \|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_3^{1/2} \left| \left\{ \xi_2 : |\xi_2 - \xi_2^0| \ll N_{min}; |\xi - \xi_2 - \xi_3^0| \ll N_{min}; \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. p(\xi_2) + p(\xi - \xi_2) = \tau + O(L_2) \right\} \right|^{1/2}$$

for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $\xi_1^0$ ,  $\xi_2^0$ ,  $\xi_3^0$  satisfying

$$|\xi_j^0| \sim N_j \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3; |\xi_1^0 + \xi_2^0 + \xi_3^0| \ll N_{min}; |\xi + \xi_1^0| \ll N_{min}.$$

To estimate the right-hand side of (2.9) we will employ the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\xi_2) + p(\xi - \xi_2) &= \left( \beta \xi_2^3 - \alpha \xi_2 |\xi_2| \right) + \left( \beta (\xi - \xi_2)^3 - \alpha (\xi - \xi_2) |\xi - \xi_2| \right) \\
(2.10) \qquad \qquad &= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) - \alpha \left( \xi_2 |\xi_2| + (\xi - \xi_2) |\xi - \xi_2| \right) \\
&= q(\xi, \xi_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, an application of (2.9) and (2.10) yields

$$(2.11) \qquad q(\xi, \xi_2) = \tau + O(L_2).$$

Moreover,  $q(\xi, \xi_2)$  can be calculated on four angle regions of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as follows.

∠7: If  $\xi_2 \geq 0, \xi - \xi_2 \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
q(\xi, \xi_2) &= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) - \alpha \left( \xi_2^2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2 \right) \\
&= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) - \alpha \left( 2\xi_2^2 - 2\xi \xi_2 + \xi^2 \right) \\
&= \left( 3\beta \xi - 2\alpha \right) \xi_2^2 - \left( 3\beta \xi - 2\alpha \right) \xi \xi_2 + \left( \beta \xi^3 - \alpha \xi^2 \right) \\
&= \left( 3\beta \xi - 2\alpha \right) \left( \xi_2 - \frac{\xi}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \beta \xi - 2\alpha \right) \xi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

∠8: If  $\xi_2 \leq 0, \xi - \xi_2 \leq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
q(\xi, \xi_2) &= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) + \alpha \left( \xi_2^2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2 \right) \\
&= \left( 3\beta \xi + 2\alpha \right) \left( \xi_2 - \frac{\xi}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \beta \xi + 2\alpha \right) \xi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

∠9: If  $\xi_2 \geq 0, \xi - \xi_2 \leq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
q(\xi, \xi_2) &= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) - \alpha \left( \xi_2^2 - (\xi - \xi_2)^2 \right) \\
&= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) + \alpha \left( \xi^2 - 2\xi \xi_2 \right) \\
&= 3\beta \xi \left( \xi_2^2 - \left( \xi + \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta} \right) \xi_2 \right) + \left( \beta \xi^3 + \alpha \xi^2 \right) \\
&= 3\beta \xi \left( \xi_2 - 2^{-1} \left( \xi + \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta} \right) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\beta}{4} \xi^3 - \frac{\alpha^2}{3\beta} \xi \right).
\end{aligned}$$

∠10: If  $\xi_2 \leq 0, \xi - \xi_2 \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
q(\xi, \xi_2) &= \beta \left( \xi^3 - 3\xi^2 \xi_2 + 3\xi \xi_2^2 \right) - \alpha \left( -\xi_2^2 + (\xi - \xi_2)^2 \right) \\
&= 3\beta \xi \left( \xi_2 - 2^{-1} \left( \xi - \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta} \right) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\beta}{4} \xi^3 - \frac{\alpha^2}{3\beta} \xi \right).
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of these computations, we can reach (i)-(ii)-(iii) in Lemma 2.2.

First, if  $N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3$ , then (2.11) ensures that  $\xi_2$  belongs to one interval of length  $O(L_2^{1/2} N_{\max}^{-1/2})$  no matter which one of the foregoing four cases holds, and hence

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_3^{1/2} L_2^{1/4} N_{\max}^{-1/4} = L_{\min}^{1/2} L_{\text{med}}^{1/4} N_{\max}^{-1/4},$$

so (2.6) follows.

Next, if  $N_2 \sim N_3 \gg N_1$ , then

$$\left| \xi_2 - 2^{-1} \left( \xi \pm \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta} \right) \right| \sim N_2.$$

From (2.11) it follows that  $\xi_2$  is in one interval of length  $O(L_2 N_2^{-1} N_1^{-1})$ , and hence

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_3^{1/2} L_2^{1/2} N_1^{-1/2} N_2^{-1/2} = L_{min}^{1/2} L_{med}^{1/2} N_{min}^{-1/2} N_{max}^{-1/2}.$$

But  $\xi_2$  is also in an interval of length  $\ll N_{min}$ . Therefore (2.7) follows.

Last, if  $N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_3$  or  $N_1 \sim N_3 \gg N_2$ , then

$$|\xi_2 - 2^{-1}\xi| \sim N_1, \quad |\xi_2 - 2^{-1}(\xi \pm \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta})| \sim N_1,$$

and hence  $\xi_2$  is in one interval of length  $O(L_2 N_{max}^{-2})$  no matter which one of the foregoing four cases holds. This gives

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3, \mathbb{R}^2]} \lesssim L_{min}^{1/2} L_{med}^{1/2} N_{max}^{-1},$$

and consequently, (2.8) follows.

### 3. SHARP BILINEAR ESTIMATE

This section is devoted to verifying Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i).** First of all, Plancherel's formula tells us that proving (1.1) amounts to showing

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2) < \xi_1 >^{-s} < \xi_2 >^{-s} < \xi_3 >^s}{< \tau_1 - p(\xi_1) >^b < \tau_2 - p(\xi_2) >^b < \tau_3 - p(\xi_3) >^{(1-b)-}} \right\|_{[3, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]} \lesssim 1.$$

But, by the definition of  $h(\xi)$  and the dyadic decomposition of each variable  $\xi_j$ ,  $\lambda_j$  where  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , we may assume

$$|\xi_j| \sim N_j; \quad |\lambda_j| \sim L_j; \quad |h(\xi)| \sim H.$$

So, using the translation invariance of the  $[3; Z]$ -multiplier norm, we can always restrict our estimate on

$$\min\{L_1, L_2, L_3\} \gtrsim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\} \gtrsim \max\left\{1, \frac{4|\alpha|}{3|\beta|}\right\}.$$

Now, the comparison principle and orthogonality from [23, Schur's test, p. 851] reduce proving the multiplier norm estimate (3.1) to showing that

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim L_{med}} \sum_{H \ll L_{max}} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s \|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3; \mathbb{R}^2; H]}}{< N_1 >^s < N_2 >^s L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \sum_{L_1, L_2, L_3 \gtrsim 1} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s \|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3; \mathbb{R}^2; L_{max}]}}{< N_1 >^s < N_2 >^s L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1$$

hold for all  $N \gtrsim \max\left\{1, \frac{4|\alpha|}{3|\beta|}\right\}$ , where

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3; \mathbb{R}^2; H]} := \|X_{N_1, N_2, N_3; H; L_1, L_2, L_3}\|_{[3; \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_{[3; \mathbb{R}^2; L_{max}]} := \|X_{N_1, N_2, N_3; L_{max}; L_1, L_2, L_3}\|_{[3; \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]}.$$

Next, we verify (3.3) and (3.2). In fact, this can be accomplished by Lemma 2.2 and the following delicate summations in which we always fix  $N \gtrsim \max\left\{1, \frac{4|\alpha|}{3|\beta|}\right\}$  and consequently have (2.5).

We first prove (3.2). By (2.8) we need to verify

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim L_{med} \gtrsim N^2 N_{min}} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s L_{min}^{1/2} N_{min}^{1/2}}{< N_1 >^s < N_2 >^s L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1.$$

To do so, it follows from symmetry that we are required to handle two cases:

$$\begin{cases} N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N \ \& \ N_3 = N_{min}; \\ N_1 \sim N_3 \sim N \ \& \ N_2 = N_{min}. \end{cases}$$

Under the former case, the estimate (3.4) can be further reduced to

$$\sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim L_{med} \gtrsim N^2 N_{min}} \frac{N^{-2s} N_{min} < N_{min} >^s L_{min}^{1/2} N_{min}^{1/2}}{L_{min}^b L_{med}^b L_{max}^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1.$$

This, after performing the  $L$  summations, is reduced to

$$\sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \frac{N_{min}^{3/2} < N_{min} >^s}{N^{2s} (N^2 N_{min})^{1-}} \lesssim 1,$$

which is true for  $2 + 2s > 0$ . So, (3.4) is valid for  $s > -1$ . Under the latter case, the estimate (3.4) can be reduced to

$$\sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim L_{med} \gtrsim N^2 N_{min}} \frac{N L_{min}^{1/2} N_{min}^{1/2}}{< N_{min} >^s L_{min}^b L_{med}^b L_{max}^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1.$$

However, before performing the  $L$  summations, we need to pay a little more attention to the summation of  $N_{min}$ . This time, we are required to check

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N, N_{min} \leq 1} \sum_{L_{max} \sim L_{med} \gtrsim N^2 N_{min}} \frac{N N_{min}^{1/2}}{L_{min}^{b-1/2} (L_{max})^{1-}} \\ & + \sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N, 1 \leq N_{min} \leq N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim L_{med} \gtrsim N^2 N_{min}} \frac{N N_{min}^{1/2-s}}{L_{min}^{b-1/2} (L_{max})^{1-}} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is obviously true when  $s > -3/2$ . Namely, (3.4) is true for  $s > -3/2$ .

We then show (3.3). Under this circumstance we have  $L_{max} \sim N_{max}^2 N_{min}$  and consequently consider three matters as follows.

The first one is to handle the situation where (2.6) holds. In this case we have  $N_1, N_2, N_3 \sim N \gtrsim 1$  and so we are required to verify

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{L_{max} \sim N^3} \frac{N^{-s} N}{L_{min}^b L_{med}^b L_{max}^{(1-b)-}} L_{min}^{1/2} N^{-1/4} L_{med}^{1/4} \lesssim 1.$$

Performing the  $L$  summations, we are required to check

$$\frac{N^{3/4} N^{-s}}{(N^3)^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1,$$

which is true for  $-3/4 + s + 3(1-b) > 0$ . So, (3.5) is true for both  $s > -3/4$  and  $1/2 < b < (9 + 4s)/12$ .

The second one is to settle the case where (2.7) holds. By symmetry we only need to consider two cases

$$\begin{cases} N \sim N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_3 \ \& \ H \sim L_3 \gtrsim L_1, L_2; \\ N \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \gg N_1 \ \& \ H \sim L_1 \gtrsim L_2, L_3. \end{cases}$$



For the former:  $N \sim N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_3$  &  $H \sim L_3 \gtrsim L_1, L_2$ , we are required by (2.7) to show

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{N_3 \ll N} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^2 N_3} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s L_{\min}^{1/2} N^{-1}}{N^{2s} L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}} \left( \min \left\{ N^2 N_3, \frac{N}{N_3} L_{\text{med}} \right\} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

Splitting the left-hand side of (3.6) into two pieces  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{N_3 \leq 1} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^2 N_3} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s L_{\min}^{1/2} N^{-1} \mathbf{M}}{N^{2s} L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}}; \\ I_2 &= \sum_{1 < N_3 \ll N} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^2 N_3} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s L_{\min}^{1/2} N^{-1} \mathbf{M}}{N^{2s} L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}}; \\ \mathbf{M} &:= \left( \min \left\{ N^2 N_3, \frac{N}{N_3} L_{\text{med}} \right\} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we estimate these two terms separately. The estimate of  $I_1$  goes like this:

$$(3.7) \quad I_1 \leq \sum_{N_3 \leq 1} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^2 N_3} \frac{N_3 L_{\min}^{1/2} N^{-1} (\frac{N}{N_3} L_{\text{med}})^{1/2}}{N^{2s} L_{\min}^b L_{\text{med}}^b (N^2 N_3)^{(1-b)-}}.$$

Performing the  $L$  summation in (3.7), we have that

$$I_1 \lesssim \sum_{N_3 \leq 1} \frac{N_3^{1/2} N^{-1/2}}{N^{2s} (N^2)^{(1-b)-} N_3^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim \frac{N^{-1/2}}{N^{2s} (N^2)^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1$$

if  $1/2 + 2s + 2(1-b) > 0$ . Namely,  $I_1 \lesssim 1$  if  $s > -3/4$  and  $1/2 < b < 5/4 + s$  are true. The estimate of  $I_2$  goes like this:

$$(3.8) \quad I_2 \leq \sum_{1 < N_3 \ll N} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^2 N_3} \frac{N_3 N_3^s N^{-1} N^{1/2} L_{\text{med}}^{1/2} N_3^{-1/2}}{N^{2s} L_{\min}^{b-1/2} L_{\text{med}}^b (N^2 N_3)^{(1-b)-}}.$$

Performing the  $N_3$  summation in (3.8) we obtain that  $s - 2^{-1} + b < 0$  implies

$$I_2 \lesssim \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^3} \frac{1}{N^{2s} L_{\min}^{b-1/2} L_{\text{med}}^{b-1/2} (N^2)^{(1-b)-} N^{1/2}} \lesssim 1.$$

Of course, this is true if  $2s + 2(1-b) + 1/2 > 0$ . In other words,  $I_2 \lesssim 1$  is valid for

$$s > -3/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} < b < \min \{ 5/4 + s, 2^{-1} - s \}$$

provided  $s < 1/2 - b < 0$ . If  $s - 1/2 + b \geq 0$ , then

$$I_2 \lesssim \sum_{1 \lesssim L_1, L_2 \lesssim N^3} \frac{N^{s-1/2+b}}{N^{2s} L_{\min}^{b-1/2} L_{\text{med}}^{b-1/2} (N^2)^{(1-b)-} N^{1/2}} \lesssim 1$$

is true for

$$2s + 2(1-b) + 1/2 > s - 1/2 + b.$$

This means that  $I_2 \lesssim 1$  is true for  $s \geq 1/2 - b$  where  $1/2 < b < 7/8$ . Combining the estimates for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , we obtain the desired estimate (3.6).

For the latter:  $N \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \gg N_1$  &  $H \sim L_1 \gtrsim L_2, L_3$ , we see from (2.7) that (3.3) can be established via proving

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{N_1 \ll N} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_2, L_3 \lesssim N^2 N_1} \frac{N^{1+s} L_{min}^{1/2} N^{-1} \left( \min \left\{ H, \frac{N}{N_1} L_{med} \right\} \right)^{1/2}}{N^s < N_1 >^s L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-} (N^2 N_1)^b} \lesssim 1.$$

Now, writing the left-hand side of (3.9) as  $J_1 + J_2$  where

$$J_1 := \sum_{N_1 \leq 1} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_2, L_3 \lesssim N^2 N_1} \frac{N^{1+s}}{N^s L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-} (N^2 N_1)^b} L_{min}^{1/2} N_1^{1/2};$$

$$J_2 = \sum_{1 < N_1 \ll N} \sum_{1 \lesssim L_2, L_3 \lesssim N^2 N_1} \frac{N^{1+s}}{N_1^s N^s L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-} (N^2 N_1)^b} L_{min}^{1/2} N^{-1} N^{3/4} L_{med}^{1/4}.$$

In  $J_1$ , we may assume  $N_1 \gtrsim N^{-2}$  – otherwise the summation of  $L$  vanishes. Performing the summation of  $L$ , we get

$$J_1 \lesssim \sum_{N^{-2} \lesssim N_1 \leq 1} \frac{N N_1^{\frac{1}{2}-b}}{N^{2b}} \lesssim \frac{N N^{(-2)(1/2-b)}}{N^{2b}} \lesssim 1.$$

If  $1/2 < b < 3/4$  and  $s > -3/4$  hold in  $J_2$ , then the summation of  $L$  implies

$$J_2 \lesssim \sum_{1 \leq N_1 \ll N} \frac{N^{3/4} N_1^{-s-b}}{N^{2b}} \lesssim \frac{N^{3/4} N^{\max\{0, -s-b\}}}{N^{2b}} \lesssim 1.$$

Combining the estimates for  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ , we get the desired estimate (3.9).

The third one is to deal with the case where (2.8) holds. This reduces to

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{N_{max} \sim N_{med} \sim N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim N^2 N_{min}} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s L_{min}^{1/2} (\min\{H, L_{med}\})^{1/2}}{< N_1 >^s < N_2 >^s N L_1^b L_2^b L_3^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1.$$

To estimate (3.10), by symmetry we need to consider two cases:

$$\begin{cases} N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N \text{ \& } N_3 = N_{min}; \\ N_1 \sim N_3 \sim N \text{ \& } N_2 = N_{min}. \end{cases}$$

Regarding the former:  $N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N$  &  $N_3 = N_{min}$ , the estimate (3.10) further reduces to

$$\sum_{\substack{N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N \\ N_3 \ll N}} \sum_{L_{max} \sim N^2 N_3} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s}{N^{2s} L_{min}^b L_{med}^b (N^2 N_3)^{(1-b)-}} L_{min}^{1/2} N^{-1} L_{med}^{1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

Performing the  $L$  summation, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{N_3 \ll N} \frac{N_3 < N_3 >^s}{N^{1+2s} (N^2)^{(1-b)-} N_3^{(1-b)-}} = \sum_{N_3 \leq 1} \frac{N_3^b}{N^{1+2s} (N^2)^{(1-b)-}} \\ + \sum_{1 < N_3 \ll N} \frac{N_3^{s+b}}{N^{1+2s} (N^2)^{(1-b)-}}.$$

The first term in the right-hand side of (3.11) is bounded if  $s > -1$ , while the second term in the right-hand side of (3.11) is less than  $\frac{N^{\max\{0, s+b\}}}{N^{1+2s+2(1-b)-}}$  which is bounded if  $s > -1$  and  $1/2 < b < 3/4$ . So, (3.10) is true if  $s > -1$ .

Regarding the latter:  $N_1 \sim N_3 \sim N$  &  $N_2 = N_{min}$ , the estimate (3.10) can be reduced to

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{\substack{N_1 \sim N_3 \sim N \\ N_2 \ll N}} \sum_{L_{max} \sim N^2 N_2} \frac{N^{1+s} L_{min}^{1/2} N^{-1} (\min\{H, L_{med}\})^{1/2}}{N^s \langle N_2 \rangle^s L_{min}^b L_{med}^b L_{max}^{(1-b)-}} \lesssim 1.$$

Before performing the  $L$  summation, we have to pay a little more attention to the summation of  $N_2$ . The left-hand side of (3.12) is now written as  $J_3 + J_4$  where

$$J_3 := \sum_{N_2 \leq 1} \sum_{L_{max} \sim N^2 N_2} \frac{L_{min}^{1/2} L_{med}^{1/2}}{L_{min}^b L_{med}^b L_{max}^{(1-b)-}};$$

$$J_4 := \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} \sum_{L_{max} \sim N^2 N_2} \frac{L_{min}^{1/2} L_{med}^{1/2}}{N_2^s L_{min}^b L_{med}^b L_{max}^{(1-b)-}}.$$

In  $J_3$  we may assume  $N_2 \gtrsim N^{-2}$  – otherwise the summation of  $L$  vanishes. Performing the summation of  $L$ , we get

$$J_3 \lesssim \sum_{N^{-2} \lesssim N_2 \leq 1} \frac{N_2^{(b-1+\epsilon)}}{N_2^{2(1-b-\epsilon)}} \lesssim \frac{N^{-2(b-1+\epsilon)}}{N^{2(1-b-\epsilon)}} \lesssim 1,$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  is small enough. For  $J_4$ , if  $s + 1 - b \geq 0$ , then we always have  $J_4 \lesssim 1$  for any  $1/2 < b \leq 1$ . If  $s + 1 - b < 0$ , we have  $J_4 \lesssim 1$  under  $2(1-b) + s + 1 - b > 0$ . So, (3.12) is true if  $s > -3/2$  and  $1/2 < b < (s+3)/3$ . This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii).** Note that

$$\|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X_{s,b-1,p}} \lesssim \|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X_{s,(b-1)+,p}}.$$

So it is enough to check that both  $s \leq -3/4$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  cannot imply

$$(3.13) \quad \|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X_{s,b-1,p}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \|v\|_{X_{s,b,p}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.14) \quad \left\| \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(\xi_1, \tau_1) f(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1) (1 + |\xi_1|)^{-s} (1 + |\tau_1 - p(\xi_1)|)^{-b}}{(1 + |\xi - \xi_1|)^s (1 + |\tau - \tau_1 - p(\xi - \xi_1)|)^b} d\xi_1 d\tau_1}{\left( \frac{|\xi|(1+|\xi|)^s}{(1+|\tau-p(\xi)|)^{1-b}} \right)^{-1}} \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Case 1:  $s < -3/4$ . On the one hand, given a large natural number  $N$  let

$$f(\xi, \tau) = 1_A(\xi, \tau) + 1_{-A}(\xi, \tau)$$

where  $1_E$  stands for the characteristic function of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , and

$$A = \{(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : N \leq \xi \leq N + N^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau - p(\xi)| \leq 1\};$$

$$-A = \{(\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (-\xi, -\tau) \in A\}.$$

See also [17] for the definitions of  $A$  and  $-A$  in the case  $p(\xi) = \xi^3$ . Clearly, we have

$$\|f\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim N^{-1/4}.$$

Note that  $A$  contains a rectangle with  $(N, p(N))$  as a vertex, with dimensions  $10^{-2}N^{-2} \times N^{-1/2}$ , and with longest side pointing in the  $(1, p'(N))$ -direction where  $p'(N) = 3\beta N^2 - 2\alpha N + \gamma$ . So

$$|(f * f)(\xi, \tau)| \gtrsim N^{-1/2} 1_R(\xi, \tau)$$

where  $R$  is the rectangle centered at the origin with dimensions  $\sim N^{-2} \times N^{-1/2}$  and longest side pointing in the  $(1, p'(N))$ -direction. Consequently, (3.14) implies

$$N^{-2s} N^{-1/2} N^{3(b-1)/2} N^{-1/2} N^{-1/4} \lesssim N^{-1/2}$$

and thus  $b \leq 1/2$ . On the other hand, we also show  $b > 1/2$ . To this end, we apply polarization and duality to obtain that (3.14) amounts to

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(\xi_1, \tau_1) f(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1) \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{-s} \langle \tau_1 - p(\xi_1) \rangle^{-b}}{\langle \xi - \xi_1 \rangle^s \langle \tau - \tau_1 - p(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^b} d\xi_1 d\tau_1 \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|g\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$\left( \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^s g(\xi, \tau)}{\langle \tau - p(\xi) \rangle^{1-b}} \right)^{-1}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.15) \quad \left\| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(\xi, \tau) h(\xi - \xi_1, \tau - \tau_1) \langle \xi \rangle^s}{\langle \tau - p(\xi) \rangle^{1-b} \langle \xi - \xi_1 \rangle^s \langle \tau - \tau_1 - p(\xi - \xi_1) \rangle^b} d\xi d\tau \right\|_{L_{\xi_1, \tau_1}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|h\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Now, if

$$g(\xi, \tau) = 1_A(\xi, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\xi, \tau) = 1_B(\xi, \tau),$$

where

$$B = \left\{ (\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -N + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{N}} \leq \xi \leq -N + \frac{3}{4\sqrt{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau - p(\xi)| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Estimating the left-hand side of (3.15) via the domain determined by

$$|4\tau_1 - p(\xi_1)| \leq 4^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad 2N - \frac{11}{16\sqrt{N}} \leq \xi_1 \leq 2N - \frac{9}{16\sqrt{N}},$$

we find

$$N^{1/4} N^{-s} N^{-3b} \lesssim N^{-1/2}$$

whence reaching  $b > 1/2$  by  $s < -3/4$ . Of course, we have a contradiction.

Case 2:  $s = -3/4$ . From the argument for Case 1 we see that (3.13) enforces  $b = 1/2$ . Without loss of generality we may assume the natural numbers  $N$  and  $m$  are so big that  $4^{m+1} \ll N$ . With this assumption and that construction in [21, Proof of Theorem 1 (i)] in mind we define a sequence of sets as follows:

$$A_j = \left\{ (\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : N \leq |\xi| \leq N + \sqrt{4^{m+1}/N} \quad \text{and} \quad 4^j \leq |\tau - p(\xi)| < 4^{j+1} \right\}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ ; and  $A_m$  is the union of two parallelograms with two groups of vertices

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (N, p(N) - 4^m); \\ (N, p(N) - 4^{m+1}); \\ (N + \sqrt{4^{m+1}/N}, p(N) + p'(N)\sqrt{4^{m+1}/N} - 4^m); \\ (N + \sqrt{4^{m+1}/N}, p(N) + p'(N)\sqrt{4^{m+1}/N} - 4^{m+1}), \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (-N, p(-N) + 4^m); \\ (-N, p(-N) + 4^{m+1}); \\ (-N - \sqrt{4^{m+1}/N}, p(-N) - p'(-N)\sqrt{4^{m+1}/N} + 4^m); \\ (-N - \sqrt{4^{m+1}/N}, p(-N) - p'(-N)\sqrt{4^{m+1}/N} + 4^{m+1}). \end{cases}$$

Also, we set  $R$  be the region comprising two parallelograms similar to those two parallelograms making  $A_m$ , but with area being of a quarter of  $A_m$ 's area, with two centers

$$\left(-\frac{7}{12}\sqrt{\frac{4^{m+1}}{N}}, 0\right); \quad \left(\frac{7}{12}\sqrt{\frac{4^{m+1}}{N}}, 0\right),$$

and with the longest sides parallel to the point

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{4^{m+1}}{N}}, p'(N)\sqrt{\frac{4^{m+1}}{N}}\right).$$

Next, given  $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^m$ , a finite sequence of positive numbers let  $f$  be the function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  decided by its Fourier transform:

$$\hat{f} = N \sum_{j=0}^m 4^{-j-\frac{m}{4}} a_j 1_{A_j}.$$

Then a straightforward computation (cf. [21, (2.6)]) gives

$$\hat{f} * \hat{f} \geq N^2 a_m \left( \sum_{j=0}^m 4^{-j-\frac{3m}{2}} a_j 1_{A_j} \right) * 1_{A_m}.$$

Applying this last inequality and noticing the following simple facts (cf. [21, (2.1)-(2.5)]):

$$\text{Area}(A_j) \sim 4^{j+\frac{m}{2}} N^{-1/2} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1; \quad \text{Area}(A_m) \sim 4^{3m/2} N^{-1/2},$$

$$\text{Area}(R) \sim 4^{3m/2} N^{-1/2}; \quad \text{Area}(A_j) 1_R \lesssim 1_{A_j} * 1_{A_m} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$j \neq k \implies A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset,$$

we get two groups of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x(f^2)\|_{X_{-3/4, -1/2, p}} &= \left\| |\xi|(1+|\xi|)^{-3/4}(1+|\tau-p(\xi)|)^{-1/2} \hat{f} * \hat{f} \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\gtrsim \left( \iint_R \left( \sum_{j=0}^m 4^{-j-3m/2} a_j (1_{A_j} * 1_{A_m}) \right)^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{(4^{(m+1)/4} N^{3/4} a_m)^{-1}} \\ &\gtrsim a_m \sum_{j=0}^m a_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{(1+|\tau-p(\xi)|)^{1/2} \hat{f}}{(1+|\xi|)^{3/4}} \right\|_{L_{\xi, \tau}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j^2 1_{A_j}}{4^{2j+m/2}}}{\left( \frac{N^2(1+|\tau-p(\xi)|)}{(1+|\xi|)^{3/2}} \right)^{-1}} d\xi d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{j=0}^m a_j^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

thereby reaching via (3.13)

$$(3.16) \quad a_m \sum_{j=0}^m \lesssim a_j \sum_{j=0}^m a_j^2.$$

However (3.16) is not always true. In fact, if

$$a_j = (1+j)^{-1} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_m = 1,$$

then one has a contradictory inequality:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} j^{-1} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} j^{-2} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii) is complete.

#### 4. SHARP LOCAL WELL/ILL-POSEDNESS

This section is devoted to verifying Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i).** We start with a few notations. Denote by  $W(t)$  the unitary group generating the solution of the Cauchy problem for the linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \gamma \partial_x v + \alpha \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 v + \beta \partial_x^3 v = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$v(x, t) = W(t)v_0(x) = S_t * v_0(x),$$

where

$$\widehat{S}_t = e^{itp(\xi)} \quad \text{or} \quad S_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(x\xi + tp(\xi))} d\xi \quad \text{with} \quad p(\xi) = \beta\xi^3 - \alpha\xi|\xi| + \gamma\xi.$$

Let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be a standard bump function such that  $\psi(t) \equiv 1$  if  $|t| < 1$  and  $\psi(t) \equiv 0$  if  $|t| > 2$ . Consider the following integral equation

$$u(x, t) = \psi(t)W(t)u_0(x) - 2\psi(\delta^{-1}t) \int_0^t W(t-t')u(x, t')\partial_x u(x, t') dt'$$

for  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Denote the right-hand side by  $\mathcal{T}(u)(x, t)$ . The goal is to show that  $\mathcal{T}(u)$  is a contraction map from  $Y$  to itself, where

$$Y = \{u \in X_{s,b,p} : \|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \leq 2c_0\|u_0\|_{H^s}\},$$

where  $c_0$  is the constant appeared in the following linear estimates – under  $\alpha, 0 \neq \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , one has that for  $1/2 < b \leq 1$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \|\psi(\delta^{-1}t)W(t)u_0(x)\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \leq c_0\delta^{(1-2b)/2}\|u_0\|_{H^s};$$

and for  $b' + 1 \geq b \geq 0 \geq b' > -1/2$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \psi(\delta^{-1}t) \int_0^t W(t-t')f(x, t') dt' \right\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \leq c_0\delta^{1+b'-b}\|f\|_{X_{s,b',p}}.$$

Inequality (4.1) follows from Kenig-Ponce-Vega [16], and inequality (4.2) follows from the inhomogeneous linear equation version stated in [11, Lemma 2.1] and [12, Lemma 1.9].

If  $u \in Y$ , then  $b'$  is taken to be  $b - 1 + \sigma$  where  $\sigma > 0$  is small enough to ensure that  $0 \geq b' > -1/2$ , and hence a combined application of (4.1), (4.2) and Theorem 1.1 (i) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(u)\|_{X_{s,b,p}} &\leq \|\psi(t)W(t)u_0(x)\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \\
&+ 2\|\psi(\delta^{-1}t) \int_0^t W(t-t')u(x,t')\partial_x u(x,t') dt'\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \\
&\leq c_0\|u_0\|_{H^s} + 2c_0\delta^\sigma\|u\partial_x u\|_{X_{s,b',p}} \\
&\leq c_0\|u_0\|_{H^s} + c_0c\delta^\sigma\|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}}^2 \\
&\leq c_0\|u_0\|_{H^s} + 4c_0^3c\delta^\sigma\|u_0\|_{H^s}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta > 0$  is such a small number that  $4c_0^3c\delta^\sigma\|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq 1/2$ , then one has  $\mathcal{T}(u) \in Y$ . Also, if  $u, v \in Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(u) - \mathcal{T}(v)\|_{X_{s,b,p}} &\leq c_0c\delta^\sigma\|u + v\|_{X_{s,b,p}}\|u - v\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \\
&\leq 4c_0^2c\delta^\sigma\|u_0\|_{H^s}\|u - v\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \\
&\leq 2^{-1}\|u - v\|_{X_{s,b,p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{T}$  is a contraction mapping on  $Y$ . By the classical Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique solution  $u \in Y$  such that

$$u(x,t) = \psi(t)W(t)u_0(x) - 2\psi(\delta^{-1}t) \int_0^t W(t-t')u(x,t')\partial_x u(t') dt'.$$

Choosing  $T = 2^{-1}\delta$ , we have that  $t \in [0, T]$  implies  $\psi(t) = 1$  and so that  $u(x,t)$  solves the integral equation associated to the Cauchy problem (1.2).

Next, we verify the persistence property  $u \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$  and the continuous dependence of the solution upon the data. Clearly, the former follows directly from [24, Corollary 2.1] which gives

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}}.$$

As to the latter, we apply (4.1)-(4.2), [24, Corollary 2.1 & Lemma 2.64] (with  $\eta$  being a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , e.g.,  $\eta = \psi$  as above) and Theorem 1.1 (i) to obtain

that if  $0 \leq t_0 < t \leq T$  and  $t - t_0 \leq \Delta t$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^s} &\lesssim \|W(t - t_0)u(\cdot, t_0) - u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^s} \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{t_0}^t W(t - t') \eta^2 \left( \frac{t' - t_0}{\Delta t} \right) \partial_x (u^2(\cdot, t')) dt' \right\|_{H^s} \\
&\lesssim \|W(t - t_0)u(\cdot, t_0) - u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^s} \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{t_0}^t W(t - t') \eta^2 \left( \frac{t' - t_0}{\Delta t} \right) \partial_x (u^2(\cdot, t')) dt' \right\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \\
&\lesssim \|W(t - t_0)u(\cdot, t_0) - u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^s} \\
&\quad + \left\| \eta^2 \left( \frac{t' - t_0}{\Delta t} \right) \partial_x (u^2(\cdot, t')) \right\|_{X_{s,b-1,p}} \\
&\lesssim \|W(t - t_0)u(\cdot, t_0) - u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^s} \\
&\quad + (\Delta t)^{0+} \|\partial_x (u^2(\cdot, t'))\|_{X_{s,(b-1)+,p}} \\
&\lesssim \|W(t - t_0)u(\cdot, t_0) - u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^s} + (\Delta t)^{0+} \|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}}^2 \\
&= o(1) \quad \text{as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

giving the persistence property.

To close the argument we need to demonstrate that the uniqueness of the solution to (1.2). To this end, for  $\tau > 0$  let

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b,p}^\tau} = \inf \{ \|v\|_{X_{s,b,p}} : v \in X_{s,b,p} \text{ and } v(\cdot, t) = u(\cdot, t) \text{ for } t \in [0, \tau] \}.$$

Clearly, if  $\|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_{s,b,p}^\tau} = 0$ , then  $u_1(\cdot, t) = u_2(\cdot, t)$  in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . Suppose now that  $u_1$  is the solution on  $[0, T]$  obtained by the fixed point theorem as above and  $u_2$  is a solution of the integral equation associated to (1.2) with the same initial data  $u_0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a constant  $M > 1$  such that

$$\max\{\|u_1\|_{X_{s,b,p}}, \|\eta u_2\|_{X_{s,b,p}}\} \leq M$$

where  $\eta$  is the above-appeared bump function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and also  $T \in (0, 1)$ . Then for  $0 < T^* < T$  one has

$$\eta(t)u_2(x, t) = \eta(t)W(t)u_0(x) - \eta(t) \int_0^t W(t - t') \eta \left( \frac{t'}{T^*} \right) \eta^2(t') \partial_x (u_2^2(x, t')) dt'$$

where  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T^*]$ .

For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $w \in X_{s,b,p}$  such that  $t \in [0, T^*]$  implies

$$w(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \leq \|u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t)\|_{X_{s,b,p}^{T^*}} + \epsilon.$$

So if

$$\tilde{w}(x, t) = -\eta(t) \int_0^t W(t - t') \eta \left( \frac{t'}{T^*} \right) \partial_x (w(x, t')u_1(x, t') + \eta(t')w(x, t')u_2(x, t')) dt',$$

then

$$\tilde{w}(x, t) = w(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T^*].$$



According to the linear estimates (4.1)-(4.2) and Theorem 1.1 (i), for  $-1/2 < b' = b - 1 + \sigma \leq 0$  (with  $0 < \sigma$  being small enough) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t)\|_{X_{s,b,p}^{T^*}} \\ & \leq \|\tilde{w}\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \\ & \lesssim (T^*)^\sigma \|\eta(t'/T^*)\partial_x(w(x, t')u_1(x, t') + \eta(t')w(x, t')u_2(x, t'))\|_{X_{s,b',p}} \\ & \lesssim (T^*)^\sigma (\|w\|_{X_{s,b,p}}\|u_1\|_{X_{s,b,p}} + \|w\|_{X_{s,b,p}}\|\eta u_2\|_{X_{s,b,p}}) \\ & \lesssim M(T^*)^\sigma \|w\|_{X_{s,b,p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which produces a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t)\|_{X_{s,b,p}^{T^*}} \leq c_1 M(T^*)^\sigma \|w\|_{X_{s,b,p}}.$$

If  $T^* \leq (2c_1 M)^{-1/\sigma}$  then

$$\|u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t)\|_{X_{s,b,p}^{T^*}} \leq 2^{-1} \|w\|_{X_{s,b,p}} \leq 2^{-1} (\|u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t)\|_{X_{s,b,p}^{T^*}} + \epsilon)$$

and hence

$$\|u_1(x, t) - \eta(t)u_2(x, t)\|_{X_{s,b,p}^{T^*}} \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the last inequality yields that  $u_1(\cdot, t) = u_2(\cdot, t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T^*]$ . Continuing this process, we achieve the uniqueness assertion on  $[0, T]$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).** Under  $s < -3/4$  for contradiction we assume that the solution map

$$u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

is continuous at zero. According to Bejenaru-Tao's [4, Theorem 3 & Proposition 1] – a general principle for well-posedness, we must have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|A_3(f)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s}^3 \quad \text{for all } f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(f)(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} \left( \int_0^t \frac{(i\xi) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{A_1(f)}(\xi', t') \widehat{A_2(f)}(\xi - \xi', t') d\xi' \right)}{e^{i(t'-t)p(\xi)}} dt' \right) d\xi; \\ A_2(f)(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left( \int_0^t e^{it' \left( p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2) \right)} dt' \right)}{\left( e^{itp(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} (i(\xi_1 + \xi_2)) \hat{f}(\xi_1) \hat{f}(\xi_2) \right)^{-1}} d\xi_1 \right)}{e^{-ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2)}} d\xi_2; \\ A_1(f)(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itp(\xi) + ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In the definition of  $A_3$ , the Fourier transform is taken over the spatial variable.

Motivated by the selection of a test function in [7] and [25] we choose an  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ -function  $f$  with

$$\|f\|_{H^s} \sim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = r^{-1/2} N^{-s} 1_{[-r, r]}(|\xi| - N),$$

where  $r = (\sqrt{N} \log N)^{-1}$ ,  $N > 0$  is sufficiently large, and  $1_E$  stands for the characteristic function of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

The key issue is to control  $\|A_3(f)\|_{H^s}$  from below. To proceed, we make the following estimates:

$$A_1(f)(x, t) \sim r^{-1/2} N^{-s} \int_{|\xi \pm N| < r} e^{itp(\xi) + ix\xi} d\xi$$

and

$$A_2(f)(x, t) \sim F_1(x, t) - F_2(x, t)$$

where

$$F_1(x, t) = r^{-1} N^{-2s} \iint_{\max_{j=1,2} |\xi_j \pm N| < r} \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2) e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + it(p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2))}}{p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2$$

and

$$F_2(x, t) = r^{-1} N^{-2s} \iint_{\max_{j=1,2} |\xi_j \pm N| < r} \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2) e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + it(p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2))}}{p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

The contribution of  $F_1$  to  $A_3(f)$  is comparable with

$$(4.3) \quad r^{-3/2} N^{-3s} \iiint_{\max_{j=1,2,3} |\xi_j \pm N| < r} \frac{Q_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) Q_2(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{e^{-ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) - it(p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3))}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3,$$

where

$$Q_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) := \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)(\xi_2 + \xi_3)}{p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3) - p(\xi_2 + \xi_3)}$$

and

$$Q_2(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) := \frac{e^{it(p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3))} - 1}{p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)}.$$

Setting

$$\theta = p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2) + p(\xi_3) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) \quad \text{and} \quad -\xi_4 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3,$$

we employ  $p(\xi) = \beta\xi^3 - \alpha\xi|\xi| + \gamma\xi$  to get

$$\theta = \beta(\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3 + \xi_3^3 + \xi_4^3) - \alpha(\xi_1|\xi_1| + \xi_2|\xi_2| + \xi_3|\xi_3| + \xi_4|\xi_4|).$$

By symmetry we may assume that  $|\xi_1| \geq |\xi_2| \geq |\xi_3| \geq |\xi_4|$  and further  $\xi_1 \geq 0$  thanks to  $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$ , and consequently consider two cases according to the signs of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  and  $\xi_4$ .

Case 1: (+, -, -, -). In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= 3\beta(\xi_1 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4) - \alpha(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2 - \xi_4^2) \\ &= 3\beta(\xi_1 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4) - \alpha((\xi_1 - \xi_2)(\xi_1 + \xi_2) - (\xi_3 + \xi_4)^2 + 2\xi_3\xi_4) \\ &= 3\beta(\xi_1 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4) - \alpha((\xi_3 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 - \xi_1 - \xi_3 - \xi_4) + 2\xi_3\xi_4) \\ &= 3\beta(\xi_1 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4) - \alpha((\xi_3 + \xi_4)(2\xi_2) + 2\xi_3\xi_4), \end{aligned}$$

whence finding

$$\theta \sim \begin{cases} \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 & \text{if } |\xi_4| \ll |\xi_1|, \\ \xi_2^2 \xi_3 & \text{if } |\xi_4| \sim |\xi_1|. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: (+, -, -, +). In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \beta(\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3 + \xi_3^3 + \xi_4^3) - \alpha(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2 - \xi_4^2) \\
&= 3\beta(\xi_1 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4) - 2\alpha(\xi_3 + \xi_4)(\xi_2 + \xi_4) \\
&= 3\beta(\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4) \left( \xi_1 + \xi_4 - \frac{2\alpha}{3\beta} \right) \\
&\sim (\xi_2 + \xi_4)(\xi_3 + \xi_4)\xi_1 \\
&\sim (\xi_1 + \xi_3)(\xi_1 + \xi_2)\xi_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\theta| \sim N^3 \quad \text{or} \quad |\theta| \lesssim r^2 N \sim (\log N)^{-2}.$$

This tells us that the major contribution to (4.3) is obtained via

$$G_1(x, t) = \frac{\iiint_{\max_{j=1,2,3} \{|\xi_j \pm N|\} < r, |\theta| \lesssim r^2 N} \frac{Q_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{e^{-i(x(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) + tp(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3))}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3}{r^{3/2} N^{3s}}$$

with

$$\|G_1\|_{H^s} \sim r N^{-2s-1} \sim N^{-2s-3/2} (\log N)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, the contribution of  $F_2$  to  $A_3(f)$  is comparable with

$$G_2(x, t) = \frac{\iiint_{\max_{j=1,2,3} |\xi_j \pm N| < r} \frac{Q_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) Q_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{e^{-i(x(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) + tp(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3))}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3}{r^{3/2} N^{3s}},$$

where

$$Q_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) := \frac{e^{it(p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2 + \xi_3) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3))} - 1}{p(\xi_1) + p(\xi_2 + \xi_3) - p(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_2\|_{H^s} &\lesssim \frac{\left\| \iiint_{\max_{j=1,2,3} |\xi_j \pm N| < r} \frac{e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)}}{|\xi_2 + \xi_3| + N^{-2}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \right\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})}}{r^{3/2} N^{2s+3}} \\
&\lesssim r^{-1} N^{-2s-3} \iint_{\max_{j=2,3} |\xi_j \pm N| < r} (|\xi_2 + \xi_3| + N^{-2})^{-1} d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \\
&\lesssim N^{-2s-3} \log N.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\frac{N^{-2s-3/2}}{\log N} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\log N}{N^{3/4}} \right)^2 \right) \lesssim \|G_1\|_{H^s} - \|G_2\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|A_3(f)\|_{H^s} \lesssim 1$$

whence deriving  $s \geq -3/4$  (via letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) – a contradiction to  $s < -3/4$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).

## REFERENCES

1. J. P. Albert, J. L. Bona and J. M. Restrepo, Solitary wave solutions of the Benjamin equation, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **59** (1997), 2139-2161.
2. B. Alvarez-Samaniego and J. Angulo, Existence and stability of periodic travelling-wave solutions of the Benjamin equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **4** (2005), 367-388.
3. J. Angulo, Existence and stability of solitary wave solution of the Benjamin equation, *J. Differential Equations* **152** (1999), 136-159.
4. I. Bejenaru and T. Tao, Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness results for a quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **233** (2006), 228-259.
5. T. B. Benjamin, A new kind of solitary waves, *J. Fluid Mech.* **245** (1992), 401-411.

6. J. Bourgain, Fourier restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, I. Schrödinger equations; II. The KdV-equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **3** (1993), 107-156; 209-262.
7. J. Bourgain, Periodic Korteweg de Vries equation with measures as initial data, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **3** (1997), 115-159.
8. N. Burq and F. Planchon, On well-posedness for the Benjamin-Ono equation, *Math. Ann.* **340** (2008), 497-542.
9. H. Chen and J. L. Bona, Existence and asymptotic properties of solitary-wave solutions of the Benjamin-type equations, *Adv. Diff. Eqns.* **3** (1998), 51-84.
10. M. Christ, J. Colliander and T. Tao, Asymptotics, frequency modulation, and low regularity ill-posedness for canonical defocusing equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **125** (2003), 1235-1293.
11. J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo, On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system, *J. Funct. Anal.* **151** (1997), 384-436.
12. A. Grünrock, New applications of the Fourier restriction norm method to wellposedness problem for nonlinear evolution equations, *Dissertation*. 2002.
13. B. Guo and Z. Huo, The well-posedness of the Korteweg-de Vries-Benjamin-Ono equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **295** (2004), 444-458.
14. A. D. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig, Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low-regularity spaces, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (2007), 753-798.
15. C. E. Kenig, Recent progress in the well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* **46** (2005), no. 2, 105-112 (2006).
16. C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices, *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), 1-21.
17. C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 573-603.
18. H. Kozono, T. Ogawa and H. Tanisaka, Well-posedness for the Benjamin equations, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **38** (2001), 1205-1234.
19. F. Linares,  $L^2$  global well-posedness of the initial value problem associated to the Benjamin equation, *J. Differential Equations* **152** (1999), 377-393.
20. F. Linares and M. Scialom, On generalized Benjamin type equations, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **12** (2005), 161-174.
21. K. Nakanishi, H. Takaoka, Y. Tsutsumi, Counterexamples to bilinear estimates related with the KdV equation and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **8** (2001), 569-578.
22. A. Petersen, J. Hyman and J. Restrepo, Nonlinear, dispersive partial differential equations, <http://math.lanl.gov/SummerPrograms/Reports2004/peterson.pdf>.
23. T. Tao, Multilinear weighted convolution of  $L^2$  functions, and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 839-908.
24. T. Tao, Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **106**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
25. N. Tzvetkov, Remark on the local ill-posedness for KdV equation, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **329** (1999), 1043-1047.

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED PHYSICS AND COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, P.O.BOX 8009, BEIJING 100088, CHINA

*E-mail address:* chenwg@iapcm.ac.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND, ST. JOHN'S, NL A1C 5S7, CANADA

*E-mail address:* jxiao@math.mun.ca