

Buchstaber Invariant of Simple Polytopes.

Nickolai Erokhovets.

Abstract

In this paper we study a new combinatorial invariant of simple polytopes, which comes from toric topology. With each simple n -polytope P with m facets we can associate a moment-angle complex \mathcal{Z}_P with a canonical action of the torus T^m . Then $s(P)$ is the maximal dimension of a toric subgroup that acts freely on \mathcal{Z}_P . The problem stated by Victor M. Buchstaber is to find a simple combinatorial description of an s -number. We describe the main properties of $s(P)$ and study the properties of simple n -polytopes with $n + 3$ facets. In particular, we find the value of an s -number for such polytopes, a simple formula for their h -polynomials and the bigraded cohomology rings of the corresponding moment-angle complexes.

1 Introduction.

Let $P^n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A_p \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_p \geq 0\}$ be a simple polytope and $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ the set of facets of P^n . For each facet $F_i \in \mathfrak{F}$ denote by T^{F_i} the one-dimensional coordinate subgroup of $T^{\mathfrak{F}} = T^m$. Then assign to every face G the coordinate subtorus $T^G = \prod_{F_i \supset G} T^{F_i} \subset T^{\mathfrak{F}}$. For each point $\mathbf{q} \in P$ we denote by $G(\mathbf{q})$ a unique face containing \mathbf{q} in its relative interior.

For any combinatorial simple polytope P^n we introduce the identification space: $\mathcal{Z}_P = (T^{\mathfrak{F}} \times P^n) / \sim$, where $(t_1, \mathbf{p}) \sim (t_2, \mathbf{q})$ if and only if $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$ and $t_1 t_2^{-1} \in T^{G(\mathbf{p})}$.

It turns out that \mathcal{Z}_P is a smooth manifold of dimension $m + n$ with a smooth action of T^m induced by the standard action of the torus on the first factor. Then $\mathcal{Z}_P / T^m = P$, and the stabilizer of a point $[(t, \mathbf{q})]$ is $T^{G(\mathbf{q})}$.

Definition 1 (See [BP]). The *Buchstaber number* $s(P)$ is the maximal dimension of a torus subgroup $H \cong T^s$ that acts freely.

The problem stated by Victor M. Buchstaber in 2002 is to find a simple combinatorial description of $s(P)$.

It is possible to define $s(K)$ for any simplicial complex in such a way that $s(P) = s(\partial P^*)$, where ∂P^* is a boundary complex of a dual polytope.

In this article we establish some main properties of the s -number, which we summarize in the following theorem (see [E]). Some definitions are given below.

Theorem. *The s -number satisfies the following properties:*

1. $s(P) \geq s(Q)$ if Q is obtained from P by forgetting one of the inequalities $\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x} + b_i \geq 0$.
2. $s(P) = 1$ if and only if $P = \Delta^n$.
3. If $n + 2 \leq m \leq \frac{49}{48}n + \frac{83}{48}$, then $s(C^n(m)^*) = 2$. In particular, for each $k \geq 2$ there exists a polytope with $m - n = k$ and $s(P) = 2$.
4. $s(P) + s(Q) \leq s(P \times Q) \leq s(P) + s(Q) + \min\{m_1 - n_1 - s(P), m_2 - n_2 - s(Q)\}$.
5. $s(P) + s(Q) \leq s(P \# Q)$, where $P \# Q$ is a connected sum of polytopes along vertices.
6. If $f : K_1^{n-1} \rightarrow K_2^{n-1}$ is a non-degenerate map of simplicial complexes, then

$$m_1 - s(K_1) \leq m_2 - s(K_2),$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the numbers of vertices of K_1 and K_2 .

7. $m_F - s(F) \leq m - s(P)$, where F is a facet of P .

8. $s(P) \geq m - \gamma(P) + s(\Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1})$, where $\gamma(P)$ is a chromatic number of P and $\Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1}$ is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional skeleton of the simplex $\Delta^{\gamma-1}$. In particular,

$$s(P) \geq m - \gamma + \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma}{n+1} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n+1} \right\rfloor.$$

9. a) $s(\Delta_{n-1}^{m-1}) \geq 2$ if and only if $\frac{m}{n+1} \geq \frac{3}{2}$;
 b) $s(\Delta_{n-1}^{m-1}) \geq 3$ if and only if

$$4m \geq \begin{cases} 7(n+1), & m = 0 \pmod{7}; \\ 7(n+1) + 4, & m = 1 \pmod{7}; \\ 7(n+1) + 8, & m = 2 \pmod{7}; \\ 7(n+1) + 5, & m = 3 \pmod{7}; \\ 7(n+1) + 2, & m = 4 \pmod{7}; \\ 7(n+1) + 6, & m = 5 \pmod{7}; \\ 7(n+1) + 3, & m = 6 \pmod{7}; \end{cases}$$

10. For a simplicial complex K on the set of vertices $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ let ω be a minimal non-simplex, if $\omega \notin K$, but any proper face $\sigma \subset \omega$ belongs to K . Then if $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_l$ is a collection of minimal non-simplices such that $\omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \omega_l = [m]$, then

$$m - s(K) \leq \dim \omega_1 + \dots + \dim \omega_l = (|\omega_1| - 1) + \dots + (|\omega_l| - 1).$$

11. A simple polytope is called flag if any collection of facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_t} such that any two F_{i_s}, F_{i_t} of them intersect: $F_{i_s} \cap F_{i_t} \neq \emptyset$ has nonempty intersection $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_t} \neq \emptyset$. Then for a flag polytope P^n with a chromatic number γ we have: $\gamma \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m-n}{2} \right\rfloor + n$, thus

$$s(P) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m-n}{2} \right\rfloor + s(\Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1})$$

12. A simple polytope is called k -flag, if any collection of facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_t} such that any k of them have nonempty intersection has nonempty intersection: $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_t} \neq \emptyset$. Then for a k -flag polytope P^n :

$$s(P) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m-n}{k} \right\rfloor - (k-2)n.$$

13. Let $\mathbb{Z}[P] = \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]/I$, $I = (v_{j_1} v_{j_2} \dots v_{j_t} : F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{j_t} = \emptyset)$ be a face ring of a polytope P . P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} is defined as a (combinatorial) simple polytope with the face ring

$$\mathbb{Z}[v_1^1, v_1^2, \dots, v_1^{k_1}, v_2^1, \dots, v_2^{k_2}, \dots, v_m^1, \dots, v_m^{k_m}]/J,$$

$$J = (v_{j_1}^1 v_{j_1}^2 \dots v_{j_1}^{k_{j_1}} v_{j_2}^1 v_{j_2}^2 \dots v_{j_2}^{k_{j_2}} \dots v_{j_t}^1 v_{j_t}^2 \dots v_{j_t}^{k_{j_t}} : v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_t} \in I).$$

Then $s(P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}) = s(P)$.

14. It is known (see [Gb]) that each simple polytope P^n with $m = n + 3$ facets can be represented in terms of a regular $(2k-1)$ -gon M_{2k-1} and a surjective map from $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_{n+3}\}$ to the set of vertices of M_{2k-1} . The facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n} intersect in a vertex if and only if the triangle formed by the vertices corresponding to the rest three facets contains the center of M_{2k-1} .

Then for such a polytope $P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ we have: $s(P) = 3$ if and only if $k \leq 4$.

Here k can be expressed in terms of bigraded Betti numbers

$$2k-1 = \sum_j \beta^{-1, 2j}(\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}}) = \sum_j \beta^{-2, 2j}(\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}}).$$

15. There are two polytopes P and Q with the equal f -vectors and chromatic numbers, but the different s -numbers. Nevertheless our P and Q have different bigraded Betti numbers.
16. If P is obtained from Q by one i -flip, $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $|s(P) - s(Q)| \leq 1$.

$$s(P) + 1 \leq s(P \# \Delta^n) \leq s(P) + 2.$$

We also investigate the properties of simple polytopes with $n+3$ facets. In particular, we will prove the following fact:

Theorem. For the polytope $P = P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ the bigraded cohomology ring $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ is isomorphic to the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with the generators

$$\begin{aligned} 1, \text{ bideg } 1 &= (0, 0); \\ X_i, \text{ bideg } X_i &= (-1, 2(a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-2})), i = 1, \dots, 2k-1; \\ Y_j, \text{ bideg } Y_j &= (-2, 2(a_j + \dots + a_{j+k-1})), j = 1, \dots, 2k-1; \\ Z, \text{ bideg } Z &= (-3, 2(n+3)). \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq 3$

$$X_i \cdot X_j = 0 \quad X_i \cdot Y_j = \delta_{i+k-1, j} Z \quad Y_i \cdot Y_j = 0,$$

and for $k = 2$

$$X_i^2 = 0, \quad X_i X_{i+1} = -X_{i+1} X_i = Y_i, \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 = Z.$$

This is not surprising, since $\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, a_2, a_3}} = S^{2a_1-1} \times S^{2a_2-1} \times S^{2a_3-1}$, and according to the results by Lopez de Medrano [LM] for $k \geq 3$ the manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}}$ is homeomorphic to

$$\#_{i=1}^{2k-1} S^{2\varphi_i-1} \times S^{2\psi_{i+k-1}-2},$$

where $\varphi_i = a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-2}$, $\psi_j = a_j + \dots + a_{j+k-1}$, and indices are taken modulo $2k-1$. See also [BM]. Our result describes additionally a bigraded structure in the cohomology ring of the moment-angle manifold $\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}}$.

We also describe some properties of the construction $P \rightarrow P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}$ (see [BBCG, BBCG2, GLM]).

The author is grateful to Victor M. Buchstaber for the formulation of the problem and for his permanent attention to this work, to Taras Panov for useful advises and comments, and to Yukiko Fukukawa and Mikiya Masuda for sending their paper ‘‘Buchstaber Invariants of Skeletons of a Simplex’’.

2 Some facts.

It is known that

1. $1 \leq s(P) \leq m - n$. $S(P) = m - n$ if and only if there exists a *characteristic map* from the set of facets \mathfrak{F} to \mathbb{Z}^n such that for every vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ the vectors corresponding to the facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n} form a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . In this case $\mathcal{Z}_P/T^{m-n} = M^{2n}$ is a *quasitoric manifold*.
2. Any polygon has a characteristic map, since we can assign to its edges the vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in such a way that any two consequent edges have different vectors.
3. Any 3-dimensional simple polytope allows a characteristic map, since there is a right colouring in 4 colours, according to the four colours theorem. We can assign the vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to the facets coloured in the colours 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively.

4. $s(P) \geq m - \gamma(P)$, where $\gamma(P)$ is a *chromatic number* of P (I.Izmestiev, 2001, [Iz]).
5. $s(P) \leq m - \lceil \log_2(\gamma(P) + 1) \rceil$ (A.Aizenberg, 2009, [A]).
6. In fact, there are two dual combinatorial interpretations of the s -number.

Proposition (See [BP]). (a) $s(P)$ is the maximal positive integer such that there exists the matrix M of size $m \times s$ with integer entries satisfying the property: each row \mathbf{m}_i of M corresponds to the facet F_i and if F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n} intersect in a vertex, then the columns of the matrix $M \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_n}\}$ form a part of some basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} .

(b) $s(P)$ is the maximal positive integer such that there exists a mapping $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m-s}$ satisfying the property: if F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n} intersect in a vertex, then the corresponding vectors form a part of some basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m-s} .

These two combinatorial interpretations allow us to define $s(K)$ for any simplicial complex K – we should substitute vertices of K for facets of P and simplices of maximal dimension for vertices of P . Then $s(P) = s(\partial P^*)$.

7. If $s(P) = m - n$, then it is known that $b_{2i} = \text{rk } H_{2i}(M^{2n}) = h_i(P)$ for any quasitoric manifold M^{2n} .

Similarly, we can consider the space \mathcal{Z}_P/H for any toric subgroup $H \cong T^r$ that acts freely on \mathcal{Z}_P . If T is the $(m-r) \times m$ -matrix with integral entries that arises in the second combinatorial interpretation of $s(P)$, then the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/H)$ can be described in the following way.

Definition 2. The *Stanley-Reisner ring* (or the *face ring*) of a simple polytope P with m facets $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{k}[P] = \mathbf{k}[v_1, \dots, v_m]/I,$$

where \mathbf{k} is a ring, each variable v_i corresponds to the facet F_i , and

$$I = (\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k} : F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k} = \emptyset\}).$$

Then we have:

Proposition (See [BP]). (a) *There is an isomorphism of the algebras*

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/H, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{m-r}]}(\mathbb{Q}[P], \mathbb{Q}),$$

where a structure of $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{m-r}]$ -module in the Stanley-Reisner ring $\mathbb{Q}[P]$ is given by the mapping

$$\mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{m-r}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[v_1, \dots, v_m], \quad t_i \rightarrow t_{i1}v_1 + \dots + t_{im}v_m.$$

(b) *There is an isomorphism of the algebras:*

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/H, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_{m-r}] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[P], d],$$

$$du_i = t_{i1}v_1 + \dots + t_{im}v_m, \quad dv_j = 0.$$

In some sense we can consider dimensions of the cohomology groups $H^i(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ as analogues of h -numbers depending on the subgroup H .

Let us continue the list of the properties of P :

8. Let us consider a simplicial complex U_k (See [DJ]). Vertices of U_k correspond to vectors in \mathbb{Z}^k . The set of vertices v_1, \dots, v_l form a simplex if and only if the corresponding vectors form a part of some basis of \mathbb{Z}^k . Then, using the second combinatorial description of the s -number, we see that $s(K)$ is the maximal integer s such that there exists a non-degenerate simplicial map $K \rightarrow U_{m-s}$. (See [A]).

9. This property allows us to put $s(P)$ into the series of invariants of the following form: given the series of simplicial complexes $L = (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_k, \dots)$ with non-degenerate maps $L_i \rightarrow L_{i+1}$ let us define the L -invariant of K as the minimal number k such that there exists a non-degenerate map $K \rightarrow L_k$.

If we take $L_k = \Delta^{k-1}$, then $L(K) = \gamma(K)$. For the k -dimensional skeletons of an infinite-dimensional simplex $L_k = \Delta_k^\infty$ we have $L(K) = \dim K$ (see [A]).

In fact, in the case of such invariants we can consider the classes of equivalence $K_1 \sim K_2$ if and only if there exist non-degenerate simplicial maps $f_1 : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ and $f_2 : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$. Then we have the next property:

10. The 1-dimensional skeleton of U_k is equivalent to the full graph $K_{2^{k-1}}$. As a consequence, for a graph Γ we have $s(\Gamma) = m - \lceil \log_2(\gamma(\Gamma) + 1) \rceil$ (see [A]).

11. In the dimension 3 every matrix with all entries 0 and 1, that has the determinant 1 over \mathbb{Z}_2 , has the determinant ± 1 over \mathbb{Z} . So in the case of matrices $m \times 3$ we can substitute \mathbb{Z}_2 for \mathbb{Z} . The same observation can be used to prove that the 2-dimensional skeleton of U_k is equivalent to the 2-dimensional skeleton of $U_k(2)$, where $U_k(2)$ is a simplicial complex with vertices corresponding to the vectors in \mathbb{Z}_2^k and simplices corresponding to the sets of vectors that are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

12. There exists a non-degenerate mapping $U_k * U_l \rightarrow U_{k+l}$.

If σ^{l-1} is a $(l-1)$ -dimensional simplex in U_k , then $\text{link}_{U_k} \sigma^{l-1} \simeq U_{k-l}$ (see [A]).

13. U_k is $(k-2)$ -connected [DJ].

14. Let Δ_{n-1}^{m-1} be a $(n-1)$ -dimensional skeleton of a $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplex. Then [A]

$$m - s(\Delta_{n-1}^{m-1}) \geq \lceil \log_2(m - n + 3) \rceil + n - 2;$$

$$m - s(\Delta_2^{m-1}) = \lceil \log_2 m \rceil + 1;$$

$$s(\Delta_{m-3}^{m-1}) = 1.$$

15. If we substitute \mathbb{Z}_2 for \mathbb{Z} , then we can define $s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ in the similar way as $s(K)$ according to the combinatorial definitions. Then, clearly, $s(K) \leq s_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$. Y. Fukukawa and M. Masuda [?] investigated the case of $K = \Delta_{n-1}^{m-1} = \Delta_{m-1-p}^{m-1}$ and proved the following facts:

Let us denote $s(m, p) = s(\Delta_{m-1-p}^{m-1})$ and $s_{\mathbb{R}}(m, p) = s_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta_{m-1-p}^{m-1})$. Then $s(m, 0) = 0$, and for $p \geq 1$ we have:

- I.** a) $1 \leq s(m, p) \leq p$ and $s_{\mathbb{R}}(m, p) = p$ if and only if $p = 1, m-1, m$.
b) $s(m, p)$ increases as p increases and decreases as m increases.
c) If $m-p$ is even, then $s_{\mathbb{R}}(m+1, p) = s_{\mathbb{R}}(m, p)$.
d) $s_{\mathbb{R}}(m+1, m-2) = s_{\mathbb{R}}(m, m-2) = \lceil m - \log_2(m+1) \rceil$ for $m \geq 3$.
- II.** a) $s_{\mathbb{R}}(m, p) = 1$ if and only if $m \geq 3p-2$ and there is a non-negative integer $m_k(b)$ associated to $k \geq 2$ and $b \geq 0$ such that

$$s_{\mathbb{R}}(m, p) = k \text{ if and only if } m_{k+1}(p-1) < m \leq m_k(p-1),$$

and $m_k(b)$ is the maximum integer that the linear function $\sum_{v \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k \setminus \{0\}} a_v$ takes on lattice points (a_v) in \mathbb{R}^{2^k-1} satisfying these (2^k-1) inequalities:

$$\sum_{(u,v)=0} a_v \leq b \text{ for each } u \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k \setminus \{0\}$$

and $a_v \geq 0$ for every v , where $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ and $(,)$ denotes the standard scalar product on $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$.

- b) Let $b = (2^{k-1} - 1)Q + R$ with non-negative integers Q, R with $0 \leq R \leq 2^{k-1} - 2$. Let $2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1-l} \leq R < 2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1-(l+1)}$ for some $0 \leq l \leq k - 2$. Then

$$(2^k - 1)Q + R + 2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1-l} \leq m_k(b) \leq (2^k - 1)Q + 2R,$$

and the lower bound is attained if and only if $R - (2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1-l}) \leq k - l - 2$ and the upper bound is attained if and only if $R = 2^{k-1} - 2^{k-1-l}$.

They also conjectured that $m_k((2^{k-1} - 1)Q + R) = (2^k - 1)Q + m_k(R)$, supplied the conjecture with many computations and proved that $m_k(2^{k-1} - 1 + b) = 2^k - 1 + m_k(b)$ for $b \geq (2^{k-1} - 1)(2^{k-2} - 1)$.

Let us mention that the second part of **I.d)** follows from 10., and part 9. of the main theorem follows from **II.b)** for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$.

16. Every *nestohedron* can be realized as a Delzant polytope (A.Zelevinsky, 2006, [Z]). In particular, $s(P_B) = m - n$ for every nestohedron P_B .

3 Main result.

3.1 Construction $P \rightarrow P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}$

I. Let P be a simple polytope

$$P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, A_p \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_p \geq 0, A_p \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{b}_p \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

Then the mapping

$$\mathbf{x} \rightarrow A_p \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_p$$

defines an embedding of P into $\mathbb{R}_+^m = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : y_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$. We can find a matrix $C = \{C_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, m - n; j = 1, \dots, m\}$ of rank $m - n$ such that $C_p A_p = 0$. Then

$$P = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m : C_p \mathbf{y} = C_p \mathbf{b}_p\}.$$

Let us define a polytope P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} , $k_i \geq 1$ as ([BBCG, BBCG2], see also [GLM], [U])

$$P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} = \{\mathbf{y} = (y_1^1, \dots, y_1^{k_1}, \dots, y_m^1, \dots, y_m^{k_m}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} : \sum_{j=1}^m C_{ij} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_j} y_j^l \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m C_{ij} b_j, i = 1, \dots, m - n.\}$$

Then

$$P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} : y_j^1 + \dots + y_j^{k_j} = \mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{x} + b_j, j = 1, \dots, m \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

where $\{\mathbf{a}_j\}$ are the row-vectors of the matrix A_p .

If we eliminate $y_j^{k_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and denote $Y = (y_1^1, \dots, y_1^{k_1-1}, \dots, y_m^1, \dots, y_m^{k_m-1})$, then

$$P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \{(\mathbf{x}, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n + (k_1-1) + \dots + (k_m-1)} : \mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{x} + b_j \geq \sum_{l=1}^{k_j-1} y_j^l, y_j^l \geq 0\}.$$

Proposition 1. P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} is a simple polytope of dimension $n + (k_1 - 1) + \dots + (k_m - 1)$ and

$$\mathbb{Z}[P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}] = \mathbb{Z}[v_1^1, \dots, v_1^{k_1}, \dots, v_m^1, \dots, v_m^{k_m}] / J, \quad J = (v_{i_1}^1 \dots v_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots v_{i_l}^1 \dots v_{i_l}^{k_{i_l}} : v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_l} \in I).$$

Proof. Consider a vertex v of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} . If the number of facets intersecting in v is greater than $n + (k_1 - 1) + \dots + (k_m - 1)$, then there are at least $n + 1$ indices $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}\}$ such that $y_{i_s}^l = 0$ for all $l = 1, \dots, k_{i_s} - 1$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i_s} \mathbf{x} + b_{i_s} = 0, s = 1, \dots, n + 1$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. But $\mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{x} + b_j \geq \sum_{l=1}^{k_j-1} y_j^l \geq 0$ for all j , so $\mathbf{x} \in P$. Thus we have a contradiction, because P is simple.

So each vertex v of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} belongs to exactly $n + (k_1 - 1) + \dots + (k_m - 1)$ facets. In fact, to avoid the contradiction there should be n indices $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ such that $y_{i_s}^l = 0$ for all $l = 1, \dots, k_{i_s} - 1$ and $\mathbf{a}_{i_s} B + b_s = 0$, $s = 1, \dots, n$. Here B is the corresponding vertex of P . All other variables $y_j^l, j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ should satisfy the following condition. For each j either all variables $y_j^1, \dots, y_j^{k_j-1}$ are equal to zero or only one of them is nonzero and it is equal to $\mathbf{a}_j B + b_j$. It is easy to see that in each case we have a vertex of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} .

Let us denote the facet $y_j^l = 0$ by F_j^l and the facet $\mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{x} + b_j = \sum_{l=1}^{k_j-1} y_j^l$ by $F_j^{k_j}$. Now consider some collection \mathcal{G} of facets of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} . Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ be the set of indices such that $F_{i_s}^1, \dots, F_{i_s}^{k_{i_s}} \in \mathcal{G}$ for each i_s . If $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_l} \neq \emptyset$, then we can find a vertex $B = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_l} \cap F_{i_{l+1}} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$. For each index $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ there are at most $k_j - 1$ facets in \mathcal{G} , so we can construct some vertex v of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} that lies in all facets in \mathcal{G} .

On the other hand, if $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_l} = \emptyset$, then there are no vertices of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} that belong to all facets in \mathcal{G} .

So the collection \mathcal{G} defines a face if and only if the corresponding monomial in $\mathbb{Z}[P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}]$ isn't divided by a monomial of the form $v_{i_1}^1 \dots v_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}-1} \dots v_{i_l}^1 \dots v_{i_l}^{k_{i_l}-1}$, where $v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_l} \in I$. \square

II. Let $\lambda = \langle \lambda, \mathbf{x} \rangle \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ be a generic linear function, that is it takes different values on vertices connected by an edge. This function induces the orientation of edges from the smaller vertex to the greater. Then each vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ corresponds to the monomial $m_v = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, t_1, \dots, \alpha_m, t_m]$, where

$$x_{i_k} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i_k}, & \text{if the edge } e = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{F_{i_k}} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n} \text{ is ingoing, and} \\ t_{i_k}, & \text{if the edge } e \text{ is outgoing.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can consider the h -polynomial

$$h_\lambda(P)(\alpha_1, t_1, \dots, \alpha_m, t_m) = \sum_v m_v.$$

It is known that $h_\lambda(P)(\alpha, t, \alpha, t, \dots, \alpha, t) = H(\alpha, t) = \sum_{i=0}^n h_i \alpha^{n-i} t^i$ is a usual H -polynomial.

Each vertex $B = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ of P corresponds to $k_1 \dots \widehat{k_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{k_{i_n}} \dots k_m$ vertices of P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} , which are obtained by choosing for each $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ one facet F_j^l that doesn't contain the vertex.

Let us take the linear function $\Lambda = \langle \lambda, \mathbf{c} \rangle \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+(k_1-1)+\dots+(k_m-1)})^*$, where

$$\mathbf{c} = (c_1^1, \dots, c_1^{k_1-1}, \dots, c_m^1, \dots, c_m^{k_m-1}), \quad c_j^1 < c_j^2 < \dots < c_j^{k_j-1} < 0, \quad \text{and } |c_j^l| \ll \lambda.$$

The vertex $v = (B, Y)$ is connected by edges with $(k_1-1) + (k_2-1) + \dots + (\widehat{k_{i_1}-1}) + \dots + (\widehat{k_{i_n}-1}) + \dots + (k_m-1)$ vertices v' of the same form, that are obtained from v by changing $\{y_j^l\}$ for some j . In each case $\langle \Lambda, v \rangle < \langle \Lambda, v' \rangle$ if and only if we substitute $F_j^{l'}$ for F_j^l with $l < l'$.

The rest $n + (k_{i_1} - 1) + \dots + (k_{i_n} - 1) = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ adjacent vertices have the form (B', Y') , where $B' = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{F_{i_s}} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n} \cap F_t$ is adjacent to B in P , $y_{i_s}^1 = \dots = y_{i_s}^{k_{i_s}-1} = 0$ or $y_{i_s}^1 = \dots = \widehat{y_{i_s}^l} = \dots = y_{i_s}^{k_{i_s}-1} = 0$ and $y_{i_s}^l = \mathbf{a}_{i_s} B' + b_{i_s}$ for some l , and

$$y_j^l = \begin{cases} 0, & j \in \{i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_s}, \dots, i_n, t\}, \\ 0, & j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n, t\} \text{ and } y_j^l = 0, \\ \mathbf{a}_j B' + b_j, & j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n, t\} \text{ and } y_j^l = \mathbf{a}_j B + b_j. \end{cases}$$

In this case the edge connecting (B, Y) and (B', Y') is ingoing if and only if the corresponding edge connecting B and B' in P is ingoing.

Then the sum of monomials $m_{(B, Y)}$ over all vertices (B, Y) with fixed B is equal to

$$\sum_{(B, Y)} m_{(B, Y)} = x_{i_1}^1 \dots x_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}-1} \dots x_{i_n}^1 \dots x_{i_n}^{k_{i_n}-1} \prod_{j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} (\alpha_j^1 \dots \alpha_j^{k_j-1} + \alpha_j^1 \dots \alpha_j^{k_j-2} t_j^{k_j} + \dots + \alpha_j^1 t_j^3 \dots t_j^{k_j} + t_j^2 \dots t_j^{k_j}),$$

where $x_j^l = \alpha_j^l$ if $x_j = \alpha_j$ and $x_j^l = t_j^l$ if $x_j = t_j$.

If we denote $\gamma_j = \alpha_j^1 \dots \alpha_j^{k_j-1} + \alpha_j^1 \dots \alpha_j^{k_j-2} t_j^{k_j} + \dots + \alpha_j^1 t_j^3 \dots t_j^{k_j} + \dots + t_j^2 \dots t_j^{k_j}$, then we have:

Proposition 2.

$$h_\Lambda(P_{k_1, \dots, k_m}) = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m h_\lambda(P) \left(\frac{\alpha_1^1 \dots \alpha_1^{k_1}}{\gamma_1}, \frac{t_1^1 \dots t_1^{k_1}}{\gamma_1}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_m^1 \dots \alpha_m^{k_m}}{\gamma_m}, \frac{t_m^1 \dots t_m^{k_m}}{\gamma_m} \right).$$

III. It should be mentioned that the previous construction can be easily handled using *Gale transforms*.

Definition 3. Let $V = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m)$ be a point configuration in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\text{aff}(V) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us find a basis $(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m-n-1})$ in the space of affine dependences

$$\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^m : c_1 \binom{\mathbf{v}_1}{1} + \dots + c_m \binom{\mathbf{v}_m}{1} = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Consider an $m \times (m - n - 1)$ -matrix U formed by the column vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m-n-1}$. Then the row vectors $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n-1}$ of U form a *dual configuration* or a *Gale transform*. The *Gale diagram* of V is the set of points $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}$ on $S^{m-n-2} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } \bar{\mathbf{v}}_i = \mathbf{0}, \\ \frac{\bar{\mathbf{v}}_i}{|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_i|}, & \text{if } \bar{\mathbf{v}}_i \neq \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

It is known (See, for example, [Gb]) that if $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ are vertices of a polytope P , then $\mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i_k}$ form a face of P if and only if $\mathbf{0} \in \text{relint}\{\widehat{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}_1, \dots, \widehat{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}_{i_k}, \dots, \widehat{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}_m\}$. The same is true for Gale diagrams.

Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simple polytope with m facets and $\mathbf{0} \in P$, P^* – it's dual polytope and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_m$ – a configuration dual to the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of vertices of P^* . Then if we take each point $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n-1}$ of the dual configuration k_j times and come back to \mathbb{R}^n , then we obtain a simplicial polytope $(\widehat{P})^*$, and a dual polytope \widehat{P} is combinatorially equivalent to P_{k_1, \dots, k_m} .

This observation is a bridge to simple polytopes with $n + 3$ facets.

3.2 Simple polytopes with $n + 3$ facets.

I. It was invented by M.Perles (see the classical book by B.Grunbaum [Gb]) that any n -polytope with $n + 3$ vertices is combinatorially equivalent to the polytope $P_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k}}$ that has the Gale diagram of the following type. Let M_{2k} be a regular $2k$ -gon inscribed in the unit circle with the center $\mathbf{0}$ and let v_1, \dots, v_{2k} be the set of it's vertices in the order they lie on the circle. Then the Gale diagram of $P_{a_0, \dots, a_{2k}}$ consist of a_0 times $\mathbf{0}$, a_i times v_i for $i = 1, \dots, 2k$, $a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{2k} = n + 3$, $a_i \geq 0$, with the property that there are no two consequent vertices with $a_i = 0$.

In the case of simplicial polytopes a_0 should be equal to 0 and there should be no diameters of the circle with both endpoints in the configuration. So we see that each simplicial polytope P^n with $n + 3$ vertices can be described in terms of regular $(2k - 1)$ -gon M_{2k-1} and a surjective map from the set of vertices V_1, \dots, V_{n+3} of P^n to $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2k-1}\}$ with the property that the set of vertices $\{V_1, \dots, \widehat{V}_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{V}_{i_2}, \dots, \widehat{V}_{i_3}, \dots, V_{n+3}\}$ form an $(n - 1)$ -face if and only if $\mathbf{0} \in \text{relint}\{V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, V_{i_3}\}$ (here we denote V_{i_k} and it's image by the same letter). This result is equally valid for simple polytopes if we substitute “facets” for “vertices”.

Now let us consider the “simplest” polytopes with $n + 3$ facets, that is, polytopes P_k corresponding to regular $(2k - 1)$ -polygons with multiplicity of each vertex 1. 5-gon corresponds to usual 5-gon. It's face ring has the form $\mathbb{Z}[P_5] = \mathbb{Z}[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5] / (v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_4v_5, v_5v_1)$

Let us find a face ring for any k . The set of facets corresponding to $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r}\}$ do not intersect if and only of it's complement $\{v_j : j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}\}$ doesn't contain a triangle with $\mathbf{0}$ in the relative interior. We claim that it can happen if and only if the set $\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_r}\}$ contains $k - 1$ successive vertices $v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{i+k-2}$, where here and below we consider the indices modulo $(2k - 1)$.

To prove this fact let us consider the longest sequence of vertices $v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{i+l-1}$ in $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r}\}$. Assume that $l < k - 1$. Consider two vertices v_{i-1} and v_{i+l} . Then for any $j : (i - 1) + k \leq j \leq i + l + (k - 1)$ we have $\mathbf{0} \in \text{relint conv}\{v_{i-1}, v_{i+l}, v_j\}$, so $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$. Thus we have a segment of length $l + 1$ and a contradiction.

So we have:

Proposition 3.

$$\mathbb{Z}[P_k] = \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_{2k-1}] / (v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_{i+k-2}),$$

where the indices are taken modulo $(2k - 1)$.

Observing that the polytope corresponding to regular $(2k - 1)$ -gon with multiplicities a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1} is combinatorially equivalent to $(P_k)_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ we can formulate a corollary:

Proposition 4. *The Stanley-Reisner ring of a simple polytope with $n + 3$ facets corresponding to the $(2k - 1)$ -gon with multiplicities a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1} is equal to*

$$\mathbb{Z}[v_1^1, \dots, v_1^{a_1}, \dots, v_{2k-1}^1, \dots, v_{2k-1}^{a_{2k-1}}] / (v_i^1 \dots v_i^{a_i} v_{i+1}^1 \dots v_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}} \dots v_{i+k-2}^1 \dots v_{i+k-2}^{a_{i+k-2}}),$$

where we use a notation $v_{i+(2k-1)}^l = v_i^l$, and $1 \leq i \leq 2k - 1$.

At last we can name the polytope P_k . In fact it is combinatorially equivalent to the polytope $(C^{2k-4}(2k - 1))^*$ dual to the cyclic polytope $C^{2k-4}(2k - 1)$.

Definition 4. A *Cyclic polytope* $C^n(m)$ is a combinatorial simplicial polytope that can be defined in the following way: $C^n(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ is a convex hull of the points $\{\mathbf{t}_i = (t_i, t_i^2, \dots, t_i^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_1 < \dots < t_m\}$ on the *moment curve* (t, t^2, \dots, t^n) . It is known (see, for example, [BP] or [Gb]) that each polytope $C^n(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ is a simplicial polytope and it's face lattice is defined by the following ‘‘Gale’s evenness condition’’: the set $\omega = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ defines the facet $\text{conv}\{\mathbf{t}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{i_n}\}$ if and only if any two points $\mathbf{t}_{j_1}, \mathbf{t}_{j_2} : j_1, j_2 \notin \omega$ are separated on the moment curve by an even number of points of ω .

Thus all the polytopes $C^n(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ are combinatorially equivalent and their combinatorial type is denoted by $C^n(m)$.

Proposition 5. P_k is combinatorially equivalent to $(C^{2k-4}(2k - 1))^*$.

Proof. Denote by F_i the facet of $(C^{2k-4}(2k - 1))^*$ corresponding to the vertex \mathbf{t}_i of $C^{2k-4}(2k - 1)$.

At first let us note that the cyclic polytope $C^n(m)$ of even dimension has a rotational symmetry, that is we can imagine that the points $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_m$ are situated on the circle (so the point \mathbf{t}_1 is next to \mathbf{t}_m) and the set $\omega = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ defines the facet $\text{conv}\{\mathbf{t}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{i_n}\}$ if and only if any two points $\mathbf{t}_{j_1}, \mathbf{t}_{j_2} : j_1, j_2 \notin \omega$ are separated on the circle by an even number of points of ω .

We use the term *segment* to denote the sequence of adjacent points on the circle and the *length* of a segment is just the number of points in it.

Indeed, any set ω with the above property defines a facet. Problems occur when we move in the opposite direction: any vertex of the cyclic polytope is defined by such a set ω . Let us consider the set ω that defines a vertex. Then each pair $\mathbf{t}_{j_1}, \mathbf{t}_{j_2} : j_1, j_2 \notin \omega$ are separated on the segment $[1, \dots, m]$ by even number of points of ω . But if we take the other arc of the circle, then we see that all the points of ω lie on segments $[i, i + 1, \dots, i + l]$ of even length except for the the points $\{1, \dots, i\}$ and $\{m - j + 1, \dots, m\} \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ at the ends of the segment $[1, \dots, m]$. If n is even, then $i + j$ should be even and we have the proof, and if n is odd, then our statement is not true.

Let us define the correspondence $\varphi : F_i \rightarrow V_{ki}$. The greatest common divisor of k and $2k - 1$ is equal to 1, so our correspondence φ is a bijection.

On the other hand, let $n = 2k - 4$ and $\Omega = \{V_1, \dots, \widehat{V_{i_1}}, \dots, \widehat{V_{i_2}}, \dots, \widehat{V_{i_3}}, \dots, V_{n+3}\}$ be a set of facets that doesn’t intersect in a vertex of P_k . Then this set contains some segment $V_i, V_{i+1}, \dots, V_{i+k-2}$ of facets of P_k . If $V_i = V_{kj}$, then $V_{i+1} = V_{k(j+(2k-1)+1)} = V_{k(j+2)}$. The facets $V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, V_{i_3}$ lie in the complement $\{V_{i+k-1}, V_{i+k}, \dots, V_{i-1}\}$, which consists of k facets with the property that if V_j corresponds to F_l , then V_{j+1} – to F_{l+2} . So for two adjacent facets V_{i_s} and V_{i_t} their preimages $\varphi^{-1}(V_{i_s})$ and $\varphi^{-1}(V_{i_t})$ are separated by an odd number of facets of $\varphi^{-1}(\Omega)$, and $\varphi^{-1}(\Omega)$ is not a vertex of $(C^{2k-4}(2k - 1))^*$.

Now let us consider the set $\omega = \{1, \dots, 2k - 1\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, i_3\} : F_1 \cap \dots \widehat{F_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{F_{i_2}} \dots \widehat{F_{i_3}} \dots \cap F_{2k-1}$ is not a vertex of $(C^n(n + 3))^*$. According to the ‘‘Gale’s evenness condition’’ at least two of the facets $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, F_{i_3}\}$ should be separated by an odd number of facets. Let it be F_{i_1} and F_{i_2} . It means that one of the arcs between F_{i_1} and F_{i_2} contains an odd number of points and the other is divided by F_{i_3} into two arcs : one consisting of an odd number of points and the other – of an even. Without loss of generality let the segments (F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}) and (F_{i_2}, F_{i_3}) contain an odd number of points. Then $\varphi(F_{i_1}), \varphi(F_{i_2})$ and $\varphi(F_{i_3})$ lie on the segment of length at most k and thus the set of facets $\Omega = \{\varphi(V_j) : j \in \omega\}$ contains the segment of length $k - 1$ and doesn’t intersect in a vertex of P_k .

We have built an explicit combinatorial equivalence between $(C^{2k-4}(2k-1))^*$ and P_k , but the fact that they are equivalent can be seen much more easily: P_k is the only neighbourly polytope among the polytopes of dimension $2k-4$ with $2k-1$ facets. It is easy to see: if the polytope P corresponds to the $(2l-1)$ -gon with $l < k$, then there exist $k-2$ facets that do not intersect. If it is not true, then any segment $[i, \dots, i+l-2]$ of length $l-1$ contains $s_i \geq k-1$ facets of P . Let us consider the sum $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{2l-1} s_i$ over all such segments. Each facet of P should lie in $l-1$ segments, so $\Sigma = (2k-1)(l-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{2l-1} s_i \geq (2l-1)(k-1)$. It is equivalent to the inequality $l \geq k$. \square

We can formulate the corollary:

Corollary 6. *Any simple polytope P^n with $n+3$ facets is combinatorially equivalent to $P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}} = (C^{2k-4}(2k-1))^*_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ for some $k \geq 2$ and $\{a_i \geq 1 : \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} a_i = n+3\}$.*

II. In fact, there is another approach to simple polytopes with $n+3$ facets (compare with [Gb]). It is known that any simple polytope with $n+2$ facets is projectively equivalent to the product of two simplices $\Delta^i \times \Delta^j, j = n-i$. We can realize it as

$$\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{i+1}(x_0, \dots, x_i) \times \mathbb{R}^{j+1}(y_0, \dots, y_j) : x_k \geq 0, y_l \geq 0, x_0 + \dots + x_i = 1, y_0 + \dots + y_j = 1\}.$$

Then any simple polytope P with $n+3$ facets is projectively equivalent to the section of some $\Delta^i \times \Delta^j$ by a halfspace

$$a_0x_0 + \dots + a_ix_i + b_0y_0 + \dots + b_jy_j \leq c, a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_i, b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_j,$$

and since the polytope is simple up to an isotopy of a polytope we can take $c = \pm 1$. In the case of $c = 1$ the set of vertices of P consists of three types:

- vertices $(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{f}_l)$, where \mathbf{e}_k is the k -th basis vector in \mathbb{R}^{i+1} , \mathbf{f}_l is the l -th basis vector in \mathbb{R}^{j+1} , and $a_k + b_l < 1$;
- intersections of the additional hyperplane with the edges $\mathbf{e}_k \times \text{conv}\{\mathbf{f}_p, \mathbf{f}_q\}, p < q$. In this case $a_k + t_1b_p + t_2b_q = 1, t_1, t_2 > 0, t_1 + t_2 = 1$. This condition holds if and only if $a_k + b_p < 1 < a_k + b_q$.
- intersections with the edges $\text{conv}\{\mathbf{a}_p, \mathbf{a}_q\} \times \mathbf{f}_l, p < q$. In this case $a_p + b_l < 1 < a_q + b_l$.

Thus we can represent the polytope P in terms of a $(i+1) \times (j+1)$ table (compare with “star diagram” in [Gb]) on the plane with horizontal lines $y = b_l$, vertical lines $x = a_k$ and a line $l : x + y = 1$. Then the facets of the polytope P correspond to the lines and the vertices – to

- the nodes (a_k, b_l) beneath the line l . In such vertices intersect all facets but those corresponding to a_k, b_l and the line l .
- the pairs of nodes $\{(a_k, b_p), (a_k, b_q)\}$ in different halfspaces with respect to l . In such vertices intersect all facets but those corresponding to a_k, b_p, b_q .
- the pairs of nodes $\{(a_p, b_l), (a_q, b_l)\}$ in different halfspaces with respect to l . In such vertices intersect all facets but those corresponding to a_p, a_q, b_l .

Since the case of $c = -1$ just changes the notions “above” and “beneath” the line l we see that the case $c = 1$ includes all combinatorial types.

Let us mention that both tables and polygons include also the case of simple n -polytopes with $n+1$ and $n+2$ facets.

III. Now let us describe the correspondence between tables and polygons. In fact vertices of the $(2k-1)$ -gon correspond to the collections of successive horizontal or vertical lines that are situated similarly in the table. More precisely let us forget about the distances and think that all the vertical (respectively horizontal) lines are equidistant. Then the line l transforms to the broken line. We can consider l as a graph of a piecewise linear map. A combinatorial polytope is defined by the segments

on vertical and horizontal lines that intersect a broken line. Let us put to the same class all the facets corresponding to the lines above the broken line l and l itself. Each horizontal line is divided by vertical lines into i segments. Then let us put to the same class all horizontal lines that are intersected by l in the same segment and let us gather vertical lines into classes according to the same rule. Then obtained classes are exactly the vertices of the $(2k - 1)$ -gon and they have the same order on the circle as in the table.

3.3 Flips and bistellar transformations

There are very important operations called *flips* defined on the set of simple polytopes. Let

$$P^n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A_p \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_p \geq \mathbf{0}\}$$

be a simple polytope and let us take the hyperplane $\mathbf{a}_s \mathbf{x} + b_s = 0$, that defines a facet F_s . Then we can move this hyperplane inside the polytope (for example, we can decrease b_i , or change both \mathbf{a}_i and b_i). Then until some moment of time the combinatorial type of the polytope P doesn't change, but then we cut off one new vertex v , that was connected by an edge with the facet $F_s = \{\mathbf{x} \in P^n : \mathbf{a}_s \mathbf{x} + b_s = 0\}$ and obtain new combinatorial polytope \hat{P} .

During the movement the following event occurs: let the vertex v be connected with exactly $i - 1$ vertices on the facet F_s . Then after the flip the vertex v and all these $i - 1$ vertices vanish and new $n - i + 1$ vertices corresponding to the rest $n - i + 1$ edges in v appear.

Combinatorially this operation can be described quite easy: we have $n + 1$ facets $F_{j_1} = F_s, F_{j_2}, \dots, F_{j_n}, F_{j_{n+1}}$ such that for any $t \in I = \{1, \dots, i\}$ the intersection $F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{F_{j_t}} \dots \cap F_{j_{n+1}}$ is a vertex, for any $t \in J = \{i + 1, \dots, n + 1\} : F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap \widehat{F_{j_t}} \dots \cap F_{j_{n+1}} = \emptyset$, and $F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{j_i} = \emptyset$. (Such a flip is called an *i-flip*.) Then the flip exchanges two sets I and J . In fact, if we consider the simplicial complex $\partial(P^*)$ then this operation corresponds to a bistellar $(i - 1)$ -transformation.

It is easy to calculate that the H -polynomial $H(P) = h_0 \alpha^n + h_1 \alpha^{n-1} t + \dots + h_{n-1} \alpha t^{n-1} + h_n t^n$ changes under an i -flip in the following way:

$$H(\hat{P}) = H(P) + \frac{\alpha^{n+1-i} t^i - \alpha^i t^{n+1-i}}{\alpha - t}.$$

It will be convenient to use a usual h -polynomial

$$h(t) = H(t, 1) = H(1, t) = h_0 t^n + h_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + h_{n-1} t + h_n.$$

An h -polynomial changes under an i -flip in the following way:

$$h(\hat{P}) = h(P) = \frac{t^{n+1-i} - t^i}{t - 1}.$$

Let us consider what happens when we move lines in the table of $n + 3$ polytope.

Imagine that we move the line l in such a way that exactly one node (a_p, b_q) corresponding to the vertex v becomes upper.

Let us calculate the type of this flip. All the facets but those corresponding to a_p and b_q take part in the flip. The moving hyperplane corresponds to l , a_p , or b_q . The facets complementary to a_p, b_q, l intersect in v and the complement to the facets a_p, a_s, b_q or a_p, b_q, b_t intersect in a vertex if and only if $s > p$ or $t > q$ respectively. Thus the flip has type $(i - p) + (j - q) + 1 = i + j + 1 - (p + q) = n + 1 - (p + q)$. Using this operation we can move the line l to the left bottom position when just the vertex (a_0, b_0) is beneath it. In this case our polytope is a simplex and $h(P) = \frac{t^{n+1} - 1}{t - 1} = \frac{t^{n+1} - (0+0) - t^{0+0}}{t - 1}$.

It is easy to see that in terms of a polygon the corresponding flip can be described in the following way:

Proposition 7. *The flip mentioned above transforms the $(2k - 1)$ -gon $(a_1, \dots, a_p, \dots, a_{p+k}, \dots, a_{2k-1})$ into the $(2k + 1)$ -gon $(a_1, \dots, a_p - 1, 1, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+k-1}, 1, a_{p+k} - 1, \dots, a_{2k-1})$. If a_p (or a_{p+k}) is equal to 1, then we should substitute one vertex with the label $a_{p+k-1} + 1$ (or $a_{p+1} + 1$) for two vertices with the labels a_{p+k-1} and 1 (or a_{p+1} and 1 respectively). The type of the flip is equal to $a_{p+1} + \dots + a_{p+k-1}$.*

During the motion of l we intersect all the nodes beneath l except for $(0, 0)$, so we have the statement:

Proposition 8. Let P be a simple polytope with the table $\{a_p, b_q; l\}$. Then

$$h(P) = \frac{1}{t-1} \left(\sum_{a_p+b_q < 1} t^{n+1-(p+q)} - t^{p+q} \right)$$

Now let us calculate the h -polynomial of P in terms of a polygon. Let $P = P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$. Then we can build the following table, corresponding to P :

Here in the table a line with a label a_p corresponds to a_p parallel lines situated close to each other. Then

$$h(P) = \frac{1}{t-1} \left(\sum_{p,q} t^{n+1-(p+q)} - t^{p+q} \right),$$

where the sum is taken over all nodes beneath the line l .

One vertical line with a label a_l corresponds to a_l parallel lines. This line intersect exactly $k-l$ classes of horizontal lines with labels a_{2k-1}, \dots, a_{k+l} . So the sum over all nodes in i -th line in the class a_l is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1} t^{n+1-(a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}+i-1+j)} - t^{a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}+i-1+j} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{t-1} \left(t^{n+1-(a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}+i-1)+(a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1)} \frac{t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1} - 1}{t-1} - t^{a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}+i-1} \frac{t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1} - 1}{t-1} \right) = \\ & \frac{1}{(t-1)^2} (t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1} - 1) \left(t^{n+1-(a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}+a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}-1)-(i-1)} - t^{(a_1+\dots+a_{l-1})+(i-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us take the sum over $i = 1, \dots, a_l$. Using the equalities $\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} a_i = n+3$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a_l} t^{i-1} = \frac{t^{a_l} - 1}{t-1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{a_l} t^{-(i-1)} = t^{-(a_l-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{a_l-1} t^i = t^{-(a_l-1)} \frac{t^{a_l} - 1}{t-1}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(t-1)^3} (t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1} - 1) (t^{a_l} - 1) \left(t^{n+1-(a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}+a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}-1)} t^{-(a_l-1)} - t^{a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(t-1)^3} (t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{2k-1}-1} - 1) (t^{a_l} - 1) (t^{a_{l+1}+\dots+a_{k-1}} - t^{a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}}) = \frac{1}{(t-1)^3} (t^{a_1+\dots+a_{2k-1}} - \\ & - t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_l} - t^{a_{l+1}+\dots+a_{2k-1}} - t^{a_1+\dots+a_{l+k-1}} + t^{a_{k+l}+\dots+a_{l-1}} + t^{a_1+\dots+a_l} + t^{a_{l+1}+\dots+a_{l+k-1}} - t^{a_1+\dots+a_{l-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\varphi_j = a_j + \dots + a_{j+k-2}$, $\psi_j = a_j + \dots + a_{j+k-1}$, $\eta_j = a_1 + \dots + a_j$, and $\kappa_j = a_j + \dots + a_{2k-1}$. Then the previous sum is equal to

$$\frac{1}{(t-1)^3} (t^\eta - t^{\eta-1} + t^\kappa - t^{\kappa+1} + t^{\varphi+k} - t^{\psi+k} + t^{\varphi+1} - t^{\psi})$$

Now let us take the sum over $l = 1, \dots, k - 1$.
 Since $\eta_0 = 0$, $\kappa_1 = n + 3$, $\eta_{k-1} = \varphi_1$, $\kappa_k = \psi_k$, we have:

Proposition 9.

$$h(P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}) = \frac{1}{(t-1)^3} \left(t^{n+3} - \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} t^{\psi_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} t^{\varphi_i} - 1 \right).$$

An algebraic meaning of this formula we will see later.

3.4 Bigraded Betti numbers

Basic facts and definitions can be found in [BP].

It is known (see [BP]) that there is an isomorphism of graded algebras:

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]}(\mathbb{Q}[P], \mathbb{Q}) \cong H[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[P], d],$$

where $du_i = v_i$, $dv_i = 0$, $\text{bideg } u_i = (-1, 2)$, $\text{bideg } v_i = (0, 2)$.

In the last two algebras a full graduation is defined as a sum of two graduations and the isomorphism between these algebras is an isomorphism of bigraded algebras.

Thus the cohomology ring of a moment-angle complex has a canonical bigraded structure arising from an isomorphism with a Tor-algebra of the Stanley-Reisner ring. This fact gives rise to a series of combinatorial invariants of a simple polytope

$$\beta^{-q, 2p} = \dim \text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Q}[v_1, \dots, v_m]}^{-q, 2p}(\mathbb{Q}[P], \mathbb{Q}).$$

The usual Betti number $\beta^k(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ is the sum of the bigraded Betti numbers

$$\beta^k(\mathcal{Z}_P) = \sum_{-q+2p=k} \beta^{-q, 2p}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m + n.$$

There is a canonical way to find $\beta^{-q, 2p}$. Given a graded $\mathbb{Q}[v_1, \dots, v_m]$ -module M we can build a *minimal resolution* of M in the following way. Let us denote $A = \mathbb{Q}[v_1, \dots, v_m]$ and let A^+ be the ideal generated by all variables.

Let us take the first nonzero graded component of M . Consider it as a linear space and take the basis m_1, \dots, m_s . Then let us generate the submodule $M_1 = A \langle m_1, \dots, m_s \rangle$ and take the minimal graded component where $M \neq M_1$. Then we find a basis in the complement to M_1 in this component and continue the process. In the end we obtain a *minimal basis* or a *minimal system of generators* such that the images of it's elements form a basis of $M \otimes_A \mathbb{Q}$.

Consider a free module R_{\min}^0 with generators corresponding to the minimal basis of M . We have a graded epimorphism $R_{\min}^0 \rightarrow M$. Then let us take a minimal basis in the kernel of this map and build a free module R_{\min}^{-1} . On the i -th step we take a minimal basis in the kernel of the map $d : R_{\min}^{-i+1} \rightarrow R_{\min}^{-i+2}$ and build R_{\min}^{-i} . In the end we obtain a free resolution of M , which is called a *minimal resolution*. Since \mathbb{Q} is a field the kernel of the map $d : R_{\min}^{-i} \rightarrow R_{\min}^{-i+1}$ is a subset of $A^+ \cdot R_{\min}^{-i}$, and it's image is a subset of $A^+ \cdot R_{\min}^{-i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. So the induced map $R_{\min}^{-i} \otimes_A \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow R_{\min}^{-i+1} \otimes_A \mathbb{Q}$ is trivial. Thus we have:

$$\text{Tor}_A^{-i}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \cong R_{\min}^{-i} \otimes_A \mathbb{Q}, \quad \text{and } \beta^{-i, 2j} = \text{rk } R_{\min}^{-i, 2j}.$$

Now let us calculate $\beta^{-i, 2j}$ for simple polytopes with $n + 3$ facets. We know that

$$\mathbb{Q}[P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}] = \mathbb{Q}[v_1^1, \dots, v_1^{a_1}, \dots, v_{2k-1}^1, v_{2k-1}^{a_{2k-1}}] / (v_i^1 \dots v_i^{a_i} \dots v_{i+k-2}^1 \dots v_{i+k-2}^{a_{i+k-2}})$$

- (i) According to the previous construction R_{\min}^0 is a free module with a generator 1 of degree 0.
- (ii) Generators of R_{\min}^{-1} correspond to minimal generators of the ideal $(v_i^1 \dots v_i^{a_i} \dots v_{i+k-2}^1 \dots v_{i+k-2}^{a_{i+k-2}})$. Thus we have the generators X_i of degree $2(a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-2})$, which are mapped to $v_i^1 \dots v_i^{a_i} \dots v_{i+k-2}^1 \dots v_{i+k-2}^{a_{i+k-2}}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2k - 1$. We see that $2k - 1$ is exactly the rank of R_{\min}^{-1} .

(iii) Now we should find a minimal basis in the kernel of the map $d : R_{\min}^{-1} \rightarrow R_{\min}^0$. Let us denote $v_i^1 \dots v_i^{a_i}$ by x_i and $x_i \dots x_{i+k-2}$ by \mathcal{V}_i . We claim that that the set

$$\{x_{i+k-1}X_i - x_iX_{i+1} : i = 1, \dots, 2k-1\}$$

is a minimal basis. Indeed, it is evident that all this elements lie in the kernel, are linearly independent and no one of them lies in the submodule generated by the others.

We need to show that they generate the kernel of d . Let $F = f_1X_1 + \dots + f_{2k-1}X_{2k-1}$ and $dF = 0$. Then $f_1x_1 \dots x_{k-1} + \dots + f_{2k-1}x_{2k-1}x_{2k-2} \dots x_{k-2} = 0$. All the summands but $f_i x_i \dots x_{i+k-2}$ are divided either by x_{i+k-1} or by x_{i-1} so $f_i = p_i x_{i+k-1} + q_i x_{i-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} f_i X_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} (p_i x_{i+k-1} + q_i x_{i-1}) X_i = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} p_i (x_{i+k-1} X_i - x_i X_{i+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} (p_i + q_{i+1}) x_i X_{i+1} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} p_i (x_{i+k-1} X_i - x_i X_{i+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} f'_i x_i X_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first summand is a combination of generators. Let us consider the second summand.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} f'_i x_i \mathcal{V}_{i+1} = 0.$$

All the summands but $f'_i x_i \mathcal{V}_i$ are divided either by x_{i-1} or by x_{i+k} . So $f'_i = p'_i x_{i+k} + q'_i x_{i-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} f'_i x_i X_{i+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} (p'_i x_{i+k} + q'_i x_{i-1}) x_i X_{i+1} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} p'_i x_i (x_{i+k} X_{i+1} - x_{i+1} X_{i+2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} (p'_i + q'_{i+1}) x_i x_{i+1} X_{i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

In general case for $s < k-1$ we have:

If $d \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{f}_i x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_{i+s-1} X_{i+s} \right) = 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{f}_i x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_{i+s-1} \dots x_{i+s+k-2} = 0$ and all the summands but $\hat{f}_i x_i \dots x_{i+s+k-2}$ are divided either by x_{i-1} or by $x_{i+s+k-1}$, so $\hat{f}_i = \hat{p}_i x_{i+s+k-1} + \hat{q}_i x_{i-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{f}_i x_i \dots x_{i+s-1} X_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} (\hat{p}_i x_{i+s+k-1} + \hat{q}_i x_{i-1}) x_i \dots x_{i+s-1} X_{i+s} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{p}_i x_i \dots x_{i+s-1} (x_{i+s+k-1} X_{i+s} - x_{i+s} X_{i+s+1}) + (\hat{p}_i + \hat{q}_{i+1}) x_i \dots x_{i+s} X_{i+s+1} \end{aligned}$$

In the case $s = k-1$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{f}_i x_i \dots x_{i+2k-3} = 0$$

Then

$$\hat{f}_i = \hat{p}_i x_{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{p}_i = 0$$

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{f}_i x_i \dots x_{i+k-2} X_{i+k-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \hat{p}_i x_{i-1} \dots x_{i+k-2} X_{i+k-1} = \\ &= \hat{p}_1 x_1 \dots x_{k-1} (x_{2k-1} X_k - x_k X_{k+1}) + (\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2) x_2 \dots x_k (x_1 X_{k+1} - x_{k+1} X_{k+2}) + \dots + \\ &\quad + (\hat{p}_1 + \dots + \hat{p}_{2k-2}) x_{2k-2} \dots x_{k-3} (x_{2k-3} X_{k-2} - x_{k-2} X_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So our claim is proved.

Thus R_{\min}^{-2} is generated by Y_i , $i = 1, \dots, 2k-1$, bideg $Y_i = (-2, 2(a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-1}))$, $dY_i = x_{i+k-1} X_i - x_i X_{i+1}$.

(iv) Let us calculate R_{\min}^{-3} . We should find a kernel of the map $d : R_{\min}^{-2} \rightarrow R_{\min}^{-1}$. Let $d(g_1 Y_1 + \dots + g_{2k-1} Y_{2k-1}) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x_k X_1 - x_1 X_2) + \dots + g_{2k-1}(x_{k-1} X_{2k-1} - x_{2k-1} X_1) &= 0. \\ g_1 x_k - g_{2k-1} x_{2k-1} &= 0; \\ g_2 x_{k+1} - g_1 x_1 &= 0; \\ &\dots \\ g_{2k-1} x_{k-1} - g_{2k-2} x_{2k-2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} g_2 = \frac{x_{k+1} x_{k+2}}{x_1 x_2} g_3 = \dots = \frac{x_{k+1} x_{k+2} \dots x_{2k-1}}{x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-1}} g_k = \\ &= \frac{x_{k+1} \dots x_{2k-1}}{x_2 \dots x_k} g_{k+1} = \frac{x_{k+2} \dots x_{2k-1}}{x_3 \dots x_k} g_{k+2} = \dots = \frac{x_{2k-1}}{x_k} g_{2k-1} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= a x_{k+1} x_{k+2} \dots x_{2k-1}; \\ g_2 &= a x_{k+2} x_{k+3} \dots x_1; \\ &\dots \\ g_{2k-1} &= a x_k x_{k+1} \dots x_{2k-2}; \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Q}[v_1^1, \dots, v_1^{a_1}, \dots, v_{2k-1}^1, \dots, v_{2k-1}^{a_{2k-1}}]$.

So the kernel of d is generated by $x_{k+1} \dots x_{2k-1} Y_1 + \dots + x_k \dots x_{2k-2} Y_{2k-1}$.

Thus R_{\min}^{-3} has rank 1 and one generator Z of degree $2(a_1 + \dots + a_{2k-1}) = 2(n+3)$. This generator is mapped by d to $x_{k+1} \dots x_{2k-1} Y_1 + \dots + x_k \dots x_{2k-2} Y_{2k-1}$.

(v) The map $d : R_{\min}^{-3} \rightarrow R_{\min}^{-2}$ is an injection so the minimal resolution of $\mathbb{Q}[P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}]$ is constructed.

Proposition 10. *For the polytope $P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{0,0} &= 1; \\ \beta^{-1,2j} &= |\{l : a_l + \dots + a_{l+k-2} = j\}|; \\ \beta^{-2,2j} &= |\{l : a_l + \dots + a_{l+k-1} = j\}|; \\ \beta^{-3,2(n+3)} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

All other bigraded Betti numbers are equal to 0.

Now we see that the formula for the h -polynomial of $P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ is exactly the well-known formula (see, for example, [BP]):

$$\sum_{p=0}^m \left(\sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \beta^{-q, 2p} \right) t^{2p} = (1-t^2)^{m-n} (h_0 + h_1 t^2 + \dots + h_n t^{2n})$$

3.5 Proof of the main theorem

Now it is time to prove the main theorem:

Proof. 1. Without loss of generality we can assume that $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x} + b_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ and $Q = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x} + b_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m-1\}$. Let H be a subgroup of $\dim H = s(Q)$ defined by the matrix $M_{m-1 \times s(Q)}$ corresponding to Q . Then let us take the matrix $\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M \\ \mathbb{O} \end{pmatrix}$

with the line $\mathbb{O} = (0, \dots, 0)$ corresponding to the new facet. We claim that the matrix \hat{M} defines a subgroup that acts freely on \mathcal{Z}_P .

Consider some vertex $\mathbf{v} = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ of P . If \mathbf{v} is a vertex of Q , then the columns of the matrix $M \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_n}\}$ form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m-1-n} . Then the columns of the matrix $\hat{M} \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_n}\}$ form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} .

If \mathbf{v} lies in F_m , then there is an edge of Q that contains \mathbf{v} . This edge connects \mathbf{v} with the vertex \mathbf{w} which is common for P and Q . Let $\mathbf{w} = F_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_{n-1}}$ and $\mathbf{v} = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_{n-1}} \cap F_m$. Since the columns of the matrix $M \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_{n-1}}\}$ form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m-1-n} , the columns of $\hat{M} \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_{n-1}}, \mathbf{m}_m\} = M \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_{n-1}}\}$ form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} .

2. It is not difficult to see that any simple n -polytope with $n+2$ facets is projectively equivalent to some product $\Delta^i \times \Delta^{n-i}$. Indeed, let us take some vertex \mathbf{v} of P and consider n facets F_1, \dots, F_n intersecting in \mathbf{v} . Then there are n edges e_1, \dots, e_n intersecting in \mathbf{v} . Up to a projective transformation we can assume that the rest two facets intersect the rays which start from \mathbf{v} in directions of e_i . Then we can apply another projective transformation to obtain that each ray intersect one of the facets F_{n+1} and F_{n+2} in the finite part, and the other – at infinity. Then there are i “finite” points in F_{n+1} and $j = n-i$ “finite” point in F_{n+2} . Thus after projective transformations $P = \Delta^i \times \Delta^{n-i}$.

For any polytope we can take the diagonal subgroup defined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $s(\Delta^n) = 1$.

For the product of simplices $\Delta^i \times \Delta^{n-i}$ we can take the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which has the form

$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $s(\Delta^i \times \Delta^{n-i}) = 2$.

Since any simple n -polytope with $m \geq n+2$ facets after a projective transformation can be transformed to a polytope with $n+2$ facets by forgetting the inequalities, we obtain: $s(P) \geq 2$ for $m \geq n+2$. So $s(P) = 1$ if and only if $P = \Delta^n$.

3. $s(P) \geq 3$ if and only if there exist an $m \times 3$ matrix M consisting of 0 and 1 such that for any vertex $\mathbf{v} = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ the submatrix $M \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_n}\}$ has rank 3 over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

There are 7 different nonzero vectors in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 .

Let us note that if $a \geq 7(b-1) + 1$ then in any box containing a balls coloured in 7 colours there are b balls of the same colour.

Now let us consider the polytope $P = C^{m-k}(m)^*$ dual to the cyclic polytope. Let us remind that the facets of P have a canonical ordering and the subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ defines the vertex $\mathbf{v} = F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{j_{m-k}}$, where $\omega = \{j_1, \dots, j_{m-k}\} = [m] \setminus \sigma$, if and only if there is an even number of point of the set ω between any two point of σ . Thus σ should consist of k points such that any two consequent points from σ have different evenness.

Let $m \geq 2(7(7(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1) + 1) + 1) - 1$. Then there are at least $7(7(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1) + 1) + 1$ odd facets. Let M be a $m \times 3$ matrix consisting of 0 and 1. Without loss of generality we can assume that there are no zero row vectors. Then there are at least $7(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1) + 2$ equal row vectors corresponding to odd facets. There are $7(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1) + 1$ even facets between them. Then there are at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ equal row vectors corresponding to even facets. So we can construct a sequence of k row vectors such that any two adjacent rows have different evenness and there are at most two different vectors from \mathbb{Z}_2^3 between them.

Thus if $m \geq 2(7(7(\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1) + 1) + 1) - 1 = 7(7(k-2)+2)+2-1 = 49k-83$, then $s(C^{m-k}(m))^* = 2$. Since $k = m - n$, we have:

If $n + 2 \leq m \leq \frac{49}{48}n + \frac{83}{48}$, then

$$s(C^n(m))^* = 2.$$

4. Let P be an n_1 -polytope with facets F_1, \dots, F_{m_1} and Q be an n_2 -polytope with facets G_1, \dots, G_{m_2} .

If M_1 and M_2 are matrices defining subgroups H_1 and H_2 of dimensions $s(P)$ and $s(Q)$ for P and Q respectively, then it is not difficult to see, that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix}$ defines a subgroup for $P \times Q$ that acts freely, if H_1 and H_2 act freely. Thus $s(P) + s(Q) \leq s(P \times Q)$.

Now let H be a subgroup that is defined by the matrix M and acts freely on $\mathcal{Z}_{P \times Q} = \mathcal{Z}_P \times \mathcal{Z}_Q$. Let us take some vertex $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$, where without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbf{u} = F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_{n_1}$ is a vertex of P and $\mathbf{v} = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_{n_2}$ is a vertex of Q .

Let us take the row vector \mathbf{m}_{n_1+1} corresponding to F_{n_1+1} . By addition and subtraction of columns we can transform \mathbf{m}_{n_1+1} to a vector that has at most one nonzero coordinate, say the first. Then we can take \mathbf{m}_{n_1+2} corresponding to F_{n_1+2} and transform it to a vector that has at most one nonzero coordinate among the coordinates $2, \dots, s$, say the second. Iterating this process in the

end we obtain the matrix $\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{13} & 0 \\ A & M_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with at most $m_1 - n_1$ nonzero columns in the part

M_{13} corresponding to the facets $F_{n_1+1}, \dots, F_{m_1}$ of P . Since for any vertex \mathbf{w} of Q the product $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}$ is a vertex of $P \times Q$ we see that M_2 defines a subgroup that act freely on \mathcal{Z}_Q .

So $s(Q) \geq s(P \times Q) - (m_1 - n_1)$. Similarly $s(P) + (m_2 - n_2) \geq s(P \times Q)$.

Thus $s(P \times Q) \leq s(P) + s(Q) + \min\{m_1 - n_1 - s(P), m_2 - n_2 - s(Q)\}$.

5. Let M_1 be a matrix defining a subgroup H_1 of $\dim H_1 = s(P)$ that acts freely on \mathcal{Z}_P and $M_2 - H_2$ of $\dim H_2 = s(Q)$ that acts freely on \mathcal{Z}_Q . Let $P \sharp Q$ be a connected sum of P and Q along the vertices $\mathbf{u} = F_{m_1-n+1} \cap \dots \cap F_{m_1}$ and $\mathbf{v} = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n$. Let $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} \\ M_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{21} \\ M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$,

where M_{12} corresponds to \mathbf{u} and M_{21} - to \mathbf{v} . Then we claim that the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & 0 \\ M_{12} & M_{21} \\ 0 & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$

defines a subgroup that acts freely on $\mathcal{Z}_{P \sharp Q}$. Indeed, any vertex of $P \sharp Q$ is either a vertex of P or a vertex of Q . Let \mathbf{w} be a vertex of $P \sharp Q$ and P . Then $\mathbf{w} = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$. Then the columns of the matrix $M_1 \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{1,i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{1,i_n}\}$ form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m_1-n} . But the columns of the matrix M_{22} form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{m_2-n} , since \mathbf{v} is a vertex of Q . Then the columns of the matrix $M \setminus \{\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_n}\}$ form a part of a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{(m_1+m_2-n)-n} = \mathbb{Z}^{m_1-n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m_2-n}$. Thus $s(P) + s(Q) \leq s(P \sharp Q)$.

6. This property is evident if we use the second combinatorial description, since any map φ from the set of vertices of K_2 to $m_2 - s(K_2)$ such that for any $(n-1)$ -simplex of K_2 the corresponding vectors form a part of a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{m_2-s(K_2)}$ induces the map φf with the same property.

7. An inclusion of the face corresponding to F under the polar transformation is a non-degenerate map.

8. It follows from the fact that a right coloring of the vertices of the n -dimensional simplicial polytope P^* is exactly a non-degenerate map $P^* \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1}$. Now let us prove that for any $(n-1)$ -dimensional

simplicial complex K with m facets $s(K) \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{n+1} \right\rceil$. Indeed, let us construct the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbb{O} \\ I_{na+t} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $M = (I_a \ I_a \ \dots \ I_a)$ consists of n blocks I_a and I_s is an identity matrix of sizes $s \times s$. If $(n+1)a+t = m$, then each n row vectors of the matrix C form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{an+t} , since if they lie in the I_{na+t} -part it is evident, and if $p > 0$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_p}$ lie in the M -part and q row vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{j_q}$ in the I_{na+t} -part, $p+q = n$, then $q < n$ and we can find the block I_a of the matrix M such that the corresponding columns of the matrix C have zero components in \mathbf{a}_{j_l} for all $l = 1, \dots, q$. Then these n vectors form a part of a basis of \mathbb{Z}^{na+t} . So $m - s(K) \leq an + t$ and $s(K) \geq a$.

At last, let us take $a = \left\lceil \frac{m}{n+1} \right\rceil$. So we have this estimate for $s(\Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1})$. The second inequality follows from the fact that the function $m - \gamma + \left\lceil \frac{\gamma}{n+1} \right\rceil$ decreases in the variable γ .

9. As it was mentioned above in the cases of matrices H of sizes $m \times 2$ and $m \times 3$ it is sufficient to work over the field \mathbb{Z}_2 . Since substitution of any row vector for a zero row vector doesn't decrease rank of a matrix, we can assume that all row vectors are nonzero. Let $m - n = k$.

a) $s(\Delta_{n-1}^{m-1}) \geq 2$ if and only if there exists an $m \times 2$ matrix H consisting of 0 and 1 such that any collection of k vectors has rank 2, that is consists of more than one different vectors. Let s_1, s_2, s_3 be the number of the row vectors $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(1, 1)$ respectively. Then $s_i \leq k - 1$, so $m = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \leq 3(k - 1) = 3(m - n - 1)$. So $m \geq \frac{3}{2}(n + 1)$. On the other hand, if $m < \frac{3}{2}(n + 1)$, then $m > 3(k - 1)$. So one of the numbers s_i is greater than $k - 1$ and there are k equal row vectors in the matrix H .

b) $s(\Delta_{n-1}^{m-1}) \geq 3$ if and only if there exists an $m \times 3$ matrix H consisting of 0 and 1 such that the rank of any collection of k vectors is equal to 3. This condition is equivalent to the existence of a 3×3 -submatrix with the determinant equal to 1, that is, the corresponding row vectors should be pairwise different and their sum should not be equal to zero. For any two different nonzero vectors in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 there exists exactly one third nonzero vector such that they are linearly dependent – it is their sum. Then there are $\frac{\binom{7}{2}}{3} = 7$ different linearly dependent triples of different nonzero vectors.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & (1, 0, 0) & \times & \times & \times & & \\ \bullet & (0, 1, 0) & \times & & \times & \times & \\ \bullet & (0, 0, 1) & & \times & \times & & \times \\ \bullet & (1, 1, 0) & \times & & & \times & \times \\ \bullet & (1, 0, 1) & & \times & & \times & \times \\ & (0, 1, 1) & & & \times & \times & \times \\ & (1, 1, 1) & & \times & & \times & \times \end{array}$$

Let s_i be the number of the i -th row vector in the matrix H . For any triple of the linearly dependent vectors (i_1, i_2, i_3) we should have: $s_{i_1} + s_{i_2} + s_{i_3} \leq k - 1$. Then $3m \leq 7(k - 1)$. It is a necessary condition.

– If $m = 7l$, we can take $s_i = l$, so if the previous estimate is valid, then

$$s_{i_1} + s_{i_2} + s_{i_3} = 3l \leq k - 1$$

and thus the estimate is also sufficient.

– If $m = 7l + 1$, then we can take $s_1 = l + 1$, and $s_i = l, i > 1$. For any triple of linearly dependent vectors we have $s_{i_1} + s_{i_2} + s_{i_3} \leq 3l + 1$. If $3l + 1 \leq k - 1$, then we can build a matrix H . On the other hand, if $3m \leq 7(k - 1)$, then $3l + \frac{3}{7} \leq k - 1$, so $3l + 1 \leq k - 1$, since all the numbers are integer. Thus the condition $3l + 1 \leq k - 1$ is necessary and sufficient.

- If $m = 7l + a$, $a = 2, 3$, or 4 , then we can take some of the vectors marked by the black points $l + 1$ times and all the others l times. If $3l + 2 \leq k - 1$, then we can build H . On the other hand, let $3l + 2 \geq k$. Let $s_i = \max\{s_1, \dots, s_7\}$. Then $s_i \geq l + 1$. Without loss of generality we can take $i = 1$. One of the sums $s_2 + s_4$, $s_3 + s_5$, $s_6 + s_7$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{7l+a-s_1}{3}$, therefore if we add s_1 , then we obtain

$$s_1 + s_{i_2} + s_{i_3} \geq \frac{7l + a + 2s_1}{3} \geq \frac{7l + 2 + 2(l + 1)}{3} = \frac{9l + 4}{3} = 3l + \frac{4}{3}.$$

Since the number on the left side is integer, we have $s_1 + s_{i_2} + s_{i_3} \geq 3l + 2 \geq k$. This is an obstacle to the existence of H .

Hence for $m = 7l + 2, 7l + 3, 7l + 4$, $s(P) \geq 3$ if and only if $3l + 2 \leq k - 1$.

- If $m = 7l + b$, $b = 5, 6$, then we can take b vectors $l + 1$ times and all the other vectors l times. If $3l + 3 \leq k - 1$, then we can build H . Otherwise one of the seven sums is greater than or equal to $\frac{3m}{7} = 3l + \frac{3b}{7} \geq 3l + \frac{15}{7} > 3l + 2 \geq k - 1$. Then it is greater than or equal to k , and we obtain an obstacle to the existence of H .

If we substitute $m - n$ for k then we obtain the result of the statement.

- Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_l$ be minimal non-simplices such that $\omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \omega_l = [m]$. Then for each $\omega_i = (j_{i,1}, \dots, j_{i,|\omega_i|})$ let us build the $m \times \dim \omega_i$ -matrix M_i with row vectors:

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (0, \dots, 0), & j \notin \omega; \\ \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{t-1}, & j = j_{i,t} \in \{j_{i,1}, \dots, j_{i,|\omega_i|-1}\}; \\ (1, \dots, 1), & j = j_{i,|\omega_i|}. \end{cases}$$

Then we claim that the matrix $C = (M_1, \dots, M_l)$ has the properties we need. In fact, the set (i_1, \dots, i_n) that defines a maximal simplex σ (or a vertex of polytope P in the case of $K = \partial P^*$) doesn't contain any ω_i . So let us consider all the vertices of σ in ω_1 . The corresponding row vectors form a part of a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\dim \omega_1}$ and, in fact, by an addition and a subtraction of the columns in M_1 we can make this vectors a part of the standard basis. Then by an addition and a subtraction of the columns in C we can make the rest coordinates corresponding to M_2, \dots, M_l equal to 0.

Then let us take $\omega_2 \setminus \omega_1$ and do the same operation. Then we take $\omega_3 \setminus (\omega_1 \cup \omega_2)$, and so on. In the end we obtain that the vectors c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_n} form a part of the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\dim \omega_1 + \dots + \dim \omega_l}$. Therefore $m - s(K) \leq \dim \omega_1 + \dots + \dim \omega_l$.

- It is easy to see that a polytope is k -flag if and only if any minimal non-simplex has dimension $\leq k - 1$. Then a flag polytope has all minimal simplices – edges. In this case, since any set of more than n facets has the empty intersection, it should contain some pair of facets that don't intersect.

Therefore let us start with $S_1 = [m]$ and take two facets that don't intersect, say $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} = \emptyset$. Then let us colour them in the colour 1 and take $S_2 = S \setminus \{i_1, i_2\}$. We can take this step until $|S_i| > n$. So if $m - n = 2k + 1$, then we can take $k + 1$ steps and colour the rest $n - 1$ facets in $n - 1$ additional colour. If $m - n = 2k$, then we stop after k steps and colour the rest facets in additional n colours. In both cases we have $\gamma(P) \leq \lceil \frac{m-n}{2} \rceil + n$. Thus $s(P) \geq \lceil \frac{m-n}{2} \rceil + s(\Delta_{n-1}^{\gamma-1})$.

- In the case of k -flag polytopes we can not colour in one colour facets corresponding to a minimal non-simplex of dimension greater than 1. But again start with $S_1 = [m]$ and choose some minimal non-simplex $\omega_1 \subset S_1$. Let $S_2 = S_1 \setminus \omega_1$. In the end we take r steps and come to the situation, when $|S_{r+1}| \leq n$. Let $\Sigma = |\omega_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \omega_r|$. Then we can take additional $m - \Sigma$ minimal non-simplices of dimensions at most $k - 1$. Thus we have: $m - s(P) \leq (\Sigma - r) + (m - \Sigma)(k - 1)$. Therefore $s(P) \geq r - (m - \Sigma)(k - 2)$. But $r \geq \lceil \frac{m-n}{k} \rceil$, and $m - \Sigma \leq n$. So

$$s(P) \geq \left\lceil \frac{m-n}{k} \right\rceil - n(k-2).$$

In particular, since any polytope P except for Δ^n is n -flag, we have

$$s(P) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{m-n}{n} \right\rfloor - n(n-2).$$

13. If $M = \{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m\}$ is an $m \times s$ matrix defining a subgroup H of dimension $s(P)$ interpreted as a set of row-vectors, then it is easy to see, that the matrix $\hat{M} = \{\underbrace{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_1}_{k_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\mathbf{m}_m, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m}_{k_m}\}$

defines the subgroup \hat{H} , which acts freely if H acts freely.

On the other hand, let \hat{H} be a subgroup of $\dim H = s(P_{k_1, \dots, k_m})$ defined by the matrix

$$\hat{M} = \{\mathbf{m}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_1^{k_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m^1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m^{k_m}\}.$$

Then the matrix $M = \{\mathbf{m}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m^1\}$ gives a subgroup for P .

14. $P_{a_1, a_2, a_3} = \Delta^{a_1-1} \times \Delta^{a_2-1} \times \Delta^{a_3-1}$. For $k \geq 3$ it is enough to consider the cyclic polytopes $P_k = C^{2k-4}(2k-1)^*$, according to the Corollary 6. For $k = 3$ the polytope P_3 is a usual 5-gon. For $k = 4$ let us find all possible matrices $M = \{\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_7\}$ consisting of 0 and 1 that define subgroups H of $\dim H = 3$ acting freely on \mathcal{Z}_{P_4} . Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{m}_4 = \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{m}_5 = \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rest four vectors should be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the triples $(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_5)$, $(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{m}_5)$, $(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_4, \mathbf{m}_6)$, and $(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_4, \mathbf{m}_7)$ define the vertices, \mathbf{m}_2 and \mathbf{m}_3 should have the form $\begin{pmatrix} * \\ 1 \\ * \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{m}_6, \mathbf{m}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let us remind that for the 3×3 -matrix consisting of 0 and 1 it's determinant is equal to ± 1 if and only if its row vectors are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Then since $(\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{m}_6)$ and $(\mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{m}_6, \mathbf{m}_7)$ should be linearly independent, none of this triple is equal to $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$. Thus either $\mathbf{m}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\mathbf{m}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

In the first case $\mathbf{m}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and since $(\mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{m}_4, \mathbf{m}_7)$ defines the vertex, $\mathbf{m}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{m}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It is not difficult to check that the triples $(\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_5, \mathbf{m}_6)$, $(\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_5, \mathbf{m}_7)$, $(\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_4, \mathbf{m}_7)$, $(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{m}_6)$, $(\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_4, \mathbf{m}_6)$, and $(\mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{m}_5, \mathbf{m}_7)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Thus all 14 conditions corresponding to the vertices hold.

In the second case $\mathbf{m}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{m}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{m}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since for any two facets of P_k there exists a vertex that doesn't belong to them, and there are 7 different nonzero vectors in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 , for $2k-1 \geq 9$ there are no subgroups of dimension 3 acting freely on \mathcal{Z}_{P_k} .

So we proved that $s(P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}) = 3$ if and only if $k \leq 4$.

From Proposition 10 we obtain that

$$2k-1 = \sum_j \beta^{-1, 2j}(\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}}) = \sum_j \beta^{-2, 2j}(\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}}).$$

15. Let us consider two polytopes 7-dimensional neighbourly polytopes with 10 facets

$$P = (2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \text{ and } Q = (2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1).$$

Q is obtained from P by $4 = \frac{7+1}{2}$ -flip. Then $f(P) = f(Q), \gamma(P) = \gamma(Q)$, but $s(P) = 2$ and $s(Q) = 3$. Nevertheless $\sum_i \beta^{-1, 2i}(P) = 9$, but $\sum_j \beta^{-1, 2j}(Q) = 7$.

In fact, $P = C^7(10)^*$ and Q is also a polytope dual to a neighborly polytope.

16. Consider an i -flip $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, which transforms the polytope P^n to Q^n . Then there are $n+1$ facets $F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_{n+1}}$ of P such that for $t \in I = \{1, \dots, i\}$ the facets $F_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{F_{j_t}}, \dots, F_{j_{n+1}}$ intersect in a vertex and for $t \in J = \{i+1, \dots, n+1\}$ this is false.

The flip exchanges the sets I and J , so for the polytope Q the set J plays the role of I . All other vertices are the same in P and Q . Let us use the second combinatorial description of the s -number. Then there is the map $\mathfrak{F}(P) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m-s(P)} : F_j \rightarrow \mathbf{m}_j$. We can build the map $\mathfrak{F}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{m-s(P)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$:

$$F_j \rightarrow (\mathbf{m}_j, 0), \quad j \neq j_1, \quad F_{j_1} \rightarrow (\mathbf{m}_{j_1}, 1)$$

It is easy to see that if the map for P satisfies the condition that in every vertex $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ the vectors $\mathbf{m}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{i_n}$ form a part of a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{m-s(P)}$, then so does the map for Q with respect to $\mathbb{Z}^{m-s(P)+1}$. Since P and Q have the same number of facets, we have:

$$m - s(Q) \leq m - s(P) + 1.$$

But the inverse transformation is an $(n+1-i)$ -flip, $2 \leq n+1-i \leq n-1$. So $|s(P) - s(Q)| \leq 1$.

A 1-flip is just a cutting off the vertex, that is a connected sum $P \# \Delta^n$ with Δ^n along the vertices. An n -flip is an inverse operation. We know that $s(P) + 1 = s(P) + s(\Delta^n) \leq s(P \# \Delta^n)$. When we make an n -flip, we substitute a vertex $\mathbf{v} = F_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{j_n}$ for the facet $F_{j_{n+1}}$ - an $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex. Then the previous construction gives us the bound $m - s(P) \leq m + 2 - s(P \# \Delta^n)$, where m is the number of facets of P and $m+1$ - of $P \# \Delta^n$. Thus we have:

$$s(P) + 1 \leq s(P \# \Delta^n) \leq s(P) + 2$$

□

Remark. We obtained two lower bounds for P : $s(P) \geq \left\lceil \frac{m}{n+1} \right\rceil$ and $s(P) \geq \left\lceil \frac{m-n}{n} \right\rceil - n(n-2)$. In fact, we can obtain a stronger estimate using the property 9b). It says that any $\frac{7}{4}(n+1) + 2$ facets add to $s(P)$ at least 3 (since we can build the matrix C as a block matrix). Thus

$$s(P) \geq 3 \left\lceil \frac{m}{\left\lceil \frac{7}{4}(n+1) + 2 \right\rceil} \right\rceil > 3 \left\lceil \frac{4m}{7n+19} \right\rceil.$$

3.6 Cohomology ring of $P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$

There is another isomorphism that we need for our computations (see [BP]):

$$H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_P, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H[R^*(P)],$$

where

$$R^*(P) = \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[P]/(v_i^2 = u_i v_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$\text{bideg } u_i = (-1, 2), \text{ bideg } v_i = (0, 2), \quad du_i = v_i, dv_i = 0.$$

Let us apply this theorem for the case of $P = P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$.

We will use the following observations:

I. Since $du_i = v_i$ and $dv_i = 0$ the rings $R^*(P)$ and $H[R^*(P)]$ have a multigraded structure (see [BP]): any monomial

$$u_\omega v_\sigma = u_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_s} v_{j_1} \dots v_{j_t}, \quad \omega = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}, \quad \sigma = \{j_1, \dots, j_t\}, \quad \omega \cap \sigma = \emptyset$$

has graduation $2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, where $\alpha_i = 1$, if $i \in \tau = \omega \cup \sigma$ and 0 in the other case. Sometimes we will denote this graduation by τ . If the element x has graduation $2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and bideg $x = (-q, 2p)$, then $p = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m = |\tau|$.

II. Let $i \in \tau$. Then for each monomial $u_\omega v_\sigma : i \in \sigma$ we have:

$$du_{\omega \cup \{i\}} v_{\sigma \setminus \{i\}} = \pm u_\omega v_\sigma + \sum_{j \in \omega} \pm u_{\omega \cup \{i\} \setminus \{j\}} v_{\sigma \cup \{j\} \setminus \{i\}}.$$

Thus up to a coboundary any element $x \in \Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[P]/(v_i^2 = u_i v_i = 0)$ of graduation τ and degree bideg $x = (-q, 2|\tau|)$ has the form $y \wedge u_i$.

Then $dx = dy \wedge u_i \pm y \cdot v_i$. Thus $dx = 0$ if and only if $dy = 0$ and $y \cdot v_i = 0$. From this fact it follows that the cohomology ring in the case of $\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]/(v_i^2 = u_i v_i = 0)$ is trivial, since in this case $yv_i = 0$ if and only if $y = 0$.

III. If $x \cdot v_i = 0$, and $y \cdot v_i = 0$, then $(x + dy) \cdot v_i = 0$ and $(x + dy) \wedge u_i = x \wedge u_i + d(y \wedge u_i)$.

Now let us calculate $H^{*,*}$.

(i) $H^{0,0} = \mathbb{Z}$ with a generator 1, $H^{0,2i} = 0, i > 0$ since $v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_t} = du_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_t}$.

(ii) According to the second observation any element of outer degree -1 and graduation τ is equivalent to the monomial $\lambda v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_{t-1}} u_{i_t}$. Then $dv_{i_1} \dots v_{i_{t-1}} u_{i_t} = v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_{t-1}} v_{i_t}$. If it is equal to 0, then $\tau = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ should contain the segment J_i corresponding to (a_i, \dots, a_{i+k-2}) for some i and $i_t \in J_i$. If τ does not coincide with this segment, then $i_1 \in \tau \setminus J_i$. Then $du_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots v_{i_{t-1}} u_{i_t} = v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_{t-1}} u_{i_t}$.

So any nontrivial cocycle has graduation $\tau = J_i$ for some i and is equivalent to the monomial $\lambda_i v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} v_{\eta_{i-1}+2} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i}$, where $[\eta_{i-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i] = J_i$ (Let us remind the notations $\eta_i = a_1 + \dots + a_i$, $\eta_0 = 0$, $\varphi_i = a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-2}$, $\psi_i = a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-1}$). It is easy to see that such a monomial is not a coboundary. Indeed, let $\lambda v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i} = dx$. x

has the same graduation, so according to **II** $x = \sum_{s=1}^{\varphi_i-1} \lambda_s v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots u_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i}$.

But

$$dv_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots u_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i} = v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i} - v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots u_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i},$$

where both summands are nonzero. So $d\lambda v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i} = ddx = 0$ in the ring $\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]/(v_i^2 = u_i v_i = 0)$. But this is false.

Thus we obtain:

$H^{-1,*} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-1}$ with generators $X_i = v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1} u_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i}$ of graduation J_i corresponding to the segments (a_i, \dots, a_{i+k-2}) of the polygon. bideg $X_i = (-1, 2(a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-2}))$.

(iii) Any cocycle of outer degree -2 and graduation $\tau = (i_1, \dots, i_t)$ up to a coboundary is equal to $x \wedge u_{i_t}$ where $dx = 0$ and $x \cdot v_{i_t} = 0$. τ is not the full circle, since any $n + 1$ facets of our polytope have the empty intersection. So we can assume that i_k follow each other one the circle and $i_t + 1 \notin \tau$. Then there is some i such that $J_i = [\eta_{i-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i] \subseteq \tau$ and $i_t = \eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i$. When we apply the second observation to x with $i = i_t - \varphi_i$, we don't change the J_i -part of x . Thus $x = \lambda v_{i_1} \dots u_{i_t - \varphi_i} v_{i_t - \varphi_i + 1} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t} + dy$, where $y \cdot v_{i_t} = 0$. Then

$$x \wedge u_{i_t} = \lambda v_{i_1} \dots u_{i_t - \varphi_i} v_{i_t - \varphi_i + 1} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t} + (dy) \wedge u_{i_t} = \lambda v_{i_1} \dots u_{i_t - \varphi_i} v_{i_t - \varphi_i + 1} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t} + d(y \wedge u_{i_t}).$$

Since $dx = 0$, we have: $v_{i_1} \dots v_{i_t - \varphi_i} v_{i_t - \varphi_i + 1} \dots v_{i_t - 1} = 0$, so $J_{i-1} \subset \tau$ and $i_t - \varphi_i \in J_{i-1}$. If $\tau \neq J_{i-1} \cup J_i$, then $i_1 \in \tau \setminus (J_{i-1} \cup J_i)$. Then

$$du_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots u_{i_t - \varphi_i} v_{i_t - \varphi_i + 1} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t} = v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \dots u_{i_t - \varphi_i} v_{i_t - \varphi_i + 1} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t}.$$

Let us mention that in the case of $\tau = J_{i-1} \cup J_i$ the position of u in the vertex $i - 1$ of the polygon can be chosen arbitrarily, since if u_{s_1} and u_{s_2} are two positions (for example, $u_{s_1} = u_{i_t - \varphi_i}$), then

$$dv_{i_1} \dots u_{s_1} \dots u_{s_2} \dots v_{i_t - \varphi_i} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t} = v_{i_1} \dots v_{s_1} \dots u_{s_2} \dots v_{i_t - \varphi_i} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t} - v_{i_1} \dots u_{s_1} \dots v_{s_2} \dots v_{i_t - \varphi_i} \dots v_{i_t - 1} u_{i_t}.$$

The same is true for the vertex $i + k - 2$.

Thus $H^{-2,*}$ is generated by the monomials $Y_i = u_{\eta_{i-1}+1}v_{\eta_{i-1}+2}\cdots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1}u_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i}$ where $L_i = [\eta_{i-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_{i-1} + \psi_i]$ is the segment corresponding to the segment (a_i, \dots, a_{i+k-1}) on the circle. All this monomials have different graduation, so let us consider one of them.

Let $\lambda Y_i = dy$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality $y = x \wedge u_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i}$.

Then $dx = \lambda u_{\eta_{i-1}+1}v_{\eta_{i-1}+2}\cdots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1}$ and $x \cdot v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i} = 0$.

Then each monomial of x contains the segment $v_{\eta_{i+1}} \dots v_{\eta_i+\varphi_{i+1}-1}$. When we apply **II** for $i = \eta_i$ this property isn't changed. Then $x = \sum_{s=1}^{a_i-1} \lambda_s v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots u_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots u_{\eta_i} v_{\eta_{i+1}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1} + dz$, $z \cdot v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i} = 0$. We can omit dz according to the third observation.

$$\begin{aligned} dv_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots u_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots u_{\eta_i} v_{\eta_{i+1}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1} &= v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots u_{\eta_i} v_{\eta_{i+1}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1} - \\ &\quad - v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots u_{\eta_{i-1}+s} \dots v_{\eta_i} v_{\eta_{i+1}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where both summands are nonzero, so as in (ii) $d\lambda u_{\eta_{i-1}+1}v_{\eta_{i-1}+2}\cdots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1}$ should be equal to 0 in $\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_m]/(v_i^2 = u_i v_i = 0)$, which is again false. So

$H^{-2,*} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k-1}$ with generators $Y_i = u_{\eta_{i-1}+1}v_{\eta_{i-1}+2}\cdots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i-1}u_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i}$ of graduation L_i corresponding to the segments (a_i, \dots, a_{i+k-1}) of the polygon. $\text{bideg } Y_i = (-2, 2(a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-1}))$.

- (iv) It is known (see [BP]) that $H^{-(m-n), 2m} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with the generator $u_\omega v_\sigma$, where σ corresponds to an arbitrary vertex of P and $\omega = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \sigma = [m] \setminus \sigma$. Indeed, each cochain $u_\omega v_\sigma$ is a cocycle since any $n+1$ facets have an empty intersection. If two vertices σ_1 and σ_2 are connected by an edge, then $du_{[m] \setminus (\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2)} v_{\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2} = \pm(u_{\omega_1} v_{\sigma_1} - u_{\omega_2} v_{\sigma_2})$. Since any two vertices of P are connected by a sequence of edges, we see that all the cochains $u_\omega v_\sigma$ represent the same cohomological class. Since \mathcal{Z}_P is an oriented manifold, $H^{m+n}(\mathcal{Z}_P) = \mathbb{Z}$, so the claim is proved.

In our special case we can provide more details. Let $\omega = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, where $i_1 = 1, i_2 = \eta_k, i_3 = n+3$. These points correspond to the vertices a_1, a_k , and a_{2k-1} respectively. Let $\lambda u_\omega v_\sigma = dz$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality we can take $z = w \wedge u_{i_1}$. Then $dw = \lambda u_{i_2} \wedge u_{i_3} v_\sigma$ and $w \cdot v_{i_1} = 0$. Then each monomial of w is divided by some product $v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots \widehat{v_{i_1}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i}$, where $i_1 \in J_i$. But $i_2 \notin J_i$, since i_1 corresponds to the first vertex of the polygon and i_2 - to the k -th. So we can add dW with the property $W \cdot v_{i_1} = 0$ to w to obtain $w' = w + dW$ such that $w' = \tilde{w} \wedge u_{i_2}$

Then $\tilde{w} \cdot v_{i_1} = \tilde{w} \cdot v_{i_2} = 0$, and $d\tilde{w} = -\lambda u_{i_3} v_\sigma$. So each monomial of \tilde{w} is divided by some $v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots \widehat{v_{i_1}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i}$ and $v_{\eta_{j-1}+1} \dots \widehat{v_{i_2}} \dots v_{\eta_{j-1}+\varphi_j}$, where $i_1 \in J_i, i_2 \in J_j$. Since $\tilde{w} \neq 0$, all the points corresponding to the vertices a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{2k-1} can't belong to the union $J_i \cup J_j$, so there is some gap a_s in this interval. We claim that the interval $[1, \dots, \psi_1]$ corresponding to the vertices (a_1, \dots, a_k) of the polygon belongs to the union $J_i \cup J_j$. Indeed, either J_i and J_j don't intersect and their union fills all the circle except for the vertex a_s , or they intersect and cover the segment between a_1 and a_k . Thus all the monomials of \tilde{w} are divided by $v_2 \dots v_{\eta_k-1}$.

Then we can add some $d\tilde{W}$, $\tilde{W} \cdot v_{i_1} = \tilde{W} \cdot v_{i_2} = 0$ to obtain $\tilde{w}' = \tilde{w} + d\tilde{W} = w'' \wedge u_{i_3}$ such that $d w'' = -v_\sigma$, $w'' \cdot v_{i_1} = w'' \cdot v_{i_2} = w'' \cdot v_{i_3} = 0$, w'' is divided by $v_2 \dots v_{\eta_k-1}$. But this is impossible, since in this case w'' should be divided by $v_1 \dots \widehat{v_{i_2}} \dots v_{n+2}$ and contain no u . Thus we proved that $H^{-3, 2(n+3)} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with a generator $u_\omega v_\sigma$ for any vertex σ .

Let us note that we also proved the following fact, which we will use later: if $x \cdot v_{i_1}, x \cdot v_{i_2} = 0$ for i_1 and i_2 corresponding to the vertices a_i and a_{i+k-1} , and $x \neq 0$, then x is divided by $v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots \widehat{v_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{v_{i_2}} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\psi_i}$.

Let z be an element of graduation $\tau = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$, $t < n+3$ and outer degree -3 . Then τ doesn't fill all the circle, so without loss of generality we can assume that $i_t + 1 \notin \tau$. Let $dz = 0$. Up to a coboundary $z = w \wedge u_{i_t}$. Then $dw = 0$ and $w \cdot v_{i_t} = 0$. So if $w \neq 0$, then τ contains $J_i = [\eta_{i-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i]$, $\eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i = i_t$, and w is divided by $v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1}$. Let i_1 be the index next to i_t on the circle. Then $i_1 \neq i_t + 1$, and $i_1 \notin J_i$. So we can add dW , $W \cdot v_{i_t} = 0$ to obtain $w' = w + dW = w'' \wedge u_{i_1}$. Then $w'' \cdot v_{i_1} = w'' \cdot v_{i_t} = 0$ and $d w'' = 0$. If $w'' \neq 0$, then w'' is divided by $v_{\eta_{j-1}+2} \dots v_{\eta_{j-1}+\varphi_j}$, $\eta_{j-1} + 1 = i_1$ and by $v_{\eta_{i-1}+1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i-1}$, $\eta_{i-1} + \varphi_i = i_t$. But

each of the intervals J_i and J_j fills $k-1$ vertices of the polygon, so since $(\eta_i+1, \dots, \eta_i+a_{i+1}) \not\subseteq \tau$, we see that $J_i \cup J_j = \tau$ and w'' contain no u . So $w'' = 0$. Thus we obtain:

$H^{-3,*} = \mathbb{Z}$ with a generator $Z = u_\omega v_\sigma$, where $\sigma = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} : F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$ is an arbitrary vertex of the polytope, and $\omega = [m] \setminus \sigma$. $\text{bideg } Z = (-3, 2(n+3))$.

- (v) Consider an arbitrary element of outer degree -4 or less. If it's graduation is less than $[m]$, then the argument as in previous paragraph shows that it is equivalent to 0.

Let x be a cocycle of graduation $\tau = [m] = [n+3]$. We can assume that $x = w \wedge u_1$. If $w \neq 0$, then each monomial of w is divided by some $v_{\eta_{i-1}} \dots \widehat{v_1} \dots v_{\eta_{i-1}+\varphi_i}$. We can add dW such that $W \cdot v_1 = 0$ to obtain : $w + dW = w' \wedge u_{\eta_k}$, $w' \cdot v_1 = w' \cdot v_{\eta_k} = 0$, $dw' = 0$. If $w' \neq 0$, then as it was mentioned above w' is divided by $v_2 \dots v_{\eta_k-1}$. Then we can add dW' such that W' is divided by $v_2 \dots v_{\eta_k-1}$ to obtain $w' + dW' = w'' \wedge u_{n+3}$. If $w'' \neq 0$, then $w'' \cdot v_1 = w'' \cdot v_{\eta_k} = w'' \cdot v_{n+3} = 0$, $dw'' = 0$. But in this case w'' should be divided by $v_2 \dots \widehat{v_{\eta_k}} \dots v_{n+2}$, which gives a contradiction, since outer degree of w'' is less than 0.

Thus we have:

$$H^{-i,*} = 0, \quad i > 3.$$

At last let us calculate a multiplication. It is easy to see that for $k \geq 3$ we have $X_i \cdot X_j = 0$, $Y_i \cdot Y_j = 0$, $X_i \cdot Y_j = \delta_{i+k-1,j} Z$. In the case $k = 2$ we have $X_i^2 = 0$, $X_i X_{i+1} = -X_{i+1} X_i = Y_i$, and $X_1 X_2 X_3 = Z$. In fact, this case is trivial, since $P_{a_1, a_2, a_3} = \Delta^{a_1-1} \times \Delta^{a_2-1} \times \Delta^{a_3-1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{P_{a_1, a_2, a_3}} = S^{2a_1-1} \times S^{2a_2-1} \times S^{2a_3-1}$. So

$$H^{*,*} = \mathbb{Z}[X_1]/(X_1^2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_2]/(X_2^2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_3]/(X_3^2)$$

Thus we obtain:

Theorem. For the polytope $P = P_{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$ we have:

The bigraded cohomology ring $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ is a free abelian group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2k-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with the generators

$$\begin{aligned} & 1, \text{ bideg } 1 = (0, 0); \\ & X_i, \text{ bideg } X_i = (-1, 2(a_i + \dots + a_{i+k-2})), i = 1, \dots, 2k-1; \\ & Y_j, \text{ bideg } Y_j = (-2, 2(a_j + \dots + a_{j+k-1})), j = 1, \dots, 2k-1; \\ & Z, \text{ bideg } Z = (-3, 2(n+3)). \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq 3$

$$X_i \cdot X_j = 0, \quad X_i \cdot Y_j = \delta_{i+k-1,j} Z, \quad Y_i \cdot Y_j = 0.$$

and for $k = 2$

$$X_i^2 = 0, \quad X_i X_{i+1} = -X_{i+1} X_i = Y_i, \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 = Z.$$

Corollary 11. For simple polytopes P and Q with $n+3$ facets two bigraded rings $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_P, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_Q, \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic if and only if their bigraded Betti numbers are equal.

Examples.

1. The polytope P corresponding to the numbers $\underbrace{(a, a, \dots, a)}_{2k-1}$ is a unique combinatorial polytope

with the bigraded cohomology ring $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$.

2. Let P correspond to the sequence $(1, 1, 2, 2, 2)$ and Q - to $(1, 1, 3, 1, 2)$. Then

$$H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_P) \cong H^{*,*}(\mathcal{Z}_Q).$$

References

- [A] A. Aizenberg, *Graduate thesis*, Moscow State University, 2009.
- [BBCG] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler, *An infinite family of toric manifolds associated to a given one*, manuscript.
- [BBCG2] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, S. Gitler, *A new topological construction of infinite families of toric manifolds implying fan reduction*, arXiv:1011.0094v2 [math.AT].
- [BM] F. Bosio, L. Meersseman, *Real quadrics in \mathbb{C}^n , complex manifolds and convex polytopes*, Acta Math. 197 (2006), 53-127.
- [BP] Victor M. Buchstaber, Taras E. Panov, *Torus Actions and Their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics*, Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society, 2002. (University Lecture Series; V.24).
- [DJ] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J., 1991. V.62, N2, P.417-451.
- [FM] Y. Fukukawa, M. Masuda, *Buchstaber invariants of skeleta of a simplex*, Osaka J. Math. Volume 48, Number 2 (2011), 549-582; arXiv:0908.3448v2 [math.AT].
- [E] N. Yu. Erokhovets, *Buchstaber invariant of simple polytopes*, UMN, 2008, V.63, Issue 5(383), P.187-188.
- [GLM] S. Gitler, S. Lopes de Medrano, *Intersections of quadrics, moment-angle manifolds and connected sums*, arXiv: 0901.2580v1 [math.GT] 16 Jan 2009.
- [Gb] B. Grunbaum, *Convex polytopes*, New York: Interscience Publishers John Wiley&Sons, Inc., 1967 (Pure and Applied Mathematics; textbf16).
- [Iz] I. V. Izmistiev, *Three-dimensional manifolds defined by coloring a simple polytope*, Math. Notes vol 69, issue 3-4, pages 340-346. S.
- [LM] S. Lopez de Medrano, *The topology of the intersection of quadrics in \mathbb{R}^n* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1370 (1989), 280-292.
- [U] Yu. Ustinovskiy, *Doubling operation for polytopes and torus actions*, UMN, 2009, V.64, Issue 5(389).
- [Z] A. Zelevinsky, *Nested complexes and their polyhedral realizations*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly 2 (2006), 655-671.