

On the Various Aspects of Hamiltonian Description of the Mechanics of Continuous Media

G.P.Pronko

Institute for High Energy Physics , Protvino, Moscow reg., Russia

Аннотация

We consider a general approach to the theory of continuous media starting from Lagrangian formalism. This formalism which uses the trajectories of constituents of media is very convenient for taking into account different types of interaction between particles typical for different media. Building the Hamiltonian formalism we discuss some issues which are not very well known, such as the relation of the famous Thompson theorem with the symmetry with respect to volume preserving diffeomorphisms. We also discuss the relation between Euler and Lagrange descriptions and present a similar to Euler C^2 formulation of continuous mechanics. In these general frameworks we consider as examples the theory of plasma and gravitating gas.

1 Introduction

At the present time almost all fundamental physical phenomena could be formulated in the frameworks of either classical or quantum mechanics. That means that these phenomena admit the Hamiltonian description, which due to its long history developed many powerful methods of analysis of the general properties of evolution of the systems and the tools for the solutions of partial problems.

In this respect the fluid mechanics stands aside (in spite of its name) from orthodox mechanics. The reasons for that are not only the infinite number of degrees of freedom of fluid which could be treated e.g. within classical field theory or statistical mechanics. The main difference between conventional field theory and continuous mechanics is that in the first case we can speak about the dynamics of the field at one point in space (which of course interacts with the field at the neighboring points), while in the case of the fluid, describing the interaction of the neighboring particles which constitute the fluid we are losing its position in the space due to the motion of fluid. In the same time the objective of usual problems of hydrodynamics is to

define the velocity, density and a thermodynamical variable (pressure or entropy) as the functions of the coordinates \vec{x} and time t for the appropriate boundary conditions and/or initial data [1]. The similar problems also appear for magnetohydrodynamics dealing with sufficiently dense plasma [2]. For the developing of the Hamiltonian formalism we need to start with more detailed description based initially on the trajectories of constituents of media. This description is especially important for plasma, because the fundamental electromagnetic interaction could be formulated only in terms of the trajectories of the charges. Needless to say that some aspects of this approach was extensively studied in the series of papers by J.Marsden, A.Weinstein, P.Kupershmidt, D.Nolm , T.Ratiu and C.Levermore [5] especially in the context of stability problem.

Of course not all properties of fluid could be formulated in the frameworks of the Hamiltonian approach. For example, we leave open the question of the energy dissipation, viscosity et cetera.

2 The Lagrangian equations of motion

In fluid mechanics there are two different pictures of description. The first, usually refereed as Eulerian, uses as the coordinates the space dependent fields of velocity, density and some thermodynamic variable. The second, Lagrangian description, uses the coordinates of the particles $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ labeled by the set of the parameters ξ_i , which could be considered as the initial positions $\vec{\xi} = \vec{x}(t = 0)$ and time t . These initial positions $\vec{\xi}$ as well, as the coordinates $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ belong to some domain $D \subseteq R^3$. In sequel we shall consider only conservative systems, where the paths of different particles do not cross, therefore it is clear that the functions $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ define a diffeomorphism of $D \subseteq R^3$ and the inverse functions $\vec{\xi}(x_i, t)$ should also exist.

$$\begin{aligned} x_j(\xi_i, t) \Big|_{\vec{\xi}=\vec{\xi}(x_i, t)} &= x_j, \\ \xi_j(x_i, t) \Big|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)} &= \xi_j. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The density of the particles in space at time t is

$$\rho(\vec{x}, t) = \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)), \tag{2}$$

where $\rho_0(\xi)$ is the initial density at time $t = 0$. The velocity field \vec{v} as a function of coordinates \vec{x} and t is:

$$\vec{v}(x_i, t) = \dot{\vec{x}}(\vec{\xi}(x_i, t), t), \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{\xi}(x, t)$ is the inverse function (1). The velocity also could be written in the following form:

$$\vec{v}(x_i, t) = \frac{\int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t))}{\int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t))}, \quad (4)$$

or

$$\rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t) = \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)). \quad (5)$$

Let us calculate the time derivative of the density using its definition (2) :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}(x_i, t) &= \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \\ &= \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \left(-\dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In such a way we verify the continuity equation of fluid dynamics:

$$\dot{\rho}(x_i, t) + \vec{\partial} \left(\rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t) \right) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Using the coordinates $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ as a configurational variables we can consider the simplest motion of the fluid described by the Lagrangian

$$L = \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \frac{m \dot{\vec{x}}^2(\xi_i, t)}{2}. \quad (8)$$

The equations of motion which follow from (8) apparently are

$$m \rho_0(\xi_i) \ddot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Now let us find what does this equation mean for the density and velocity of the fluid. For that we shall differentiate both sides of (5) with respect to

time

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t) &= \int d^3 \xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \ddot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \\ &+ \int d^3 \xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of (10) vanishes due to the equations of motion(9) and transforming the second in the same way, as we did in (6) we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t) v_k(x_i, t) \right) = 0 \quad (11)$$

Let us rewrite (11) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}(x_i, t) \left[\dot{\rho}(x_i, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\rho(x_i, t) v_k(x_i, t) \right) \right] \\ + \rho(x_i, t) \left[\dot{\vec{v}}(x_i, t) + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i, t) \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The first term in (12) vanishes due to the continuity equation, while the second gives Euler's equation in the case of the free flow:

$$\dot{\vec{v}}(x_i, t) + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i, t) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Before moving further we need to make one comment concerning the Lagrangian (8). The reader may get an impression that this Lagrangian depends not only on the dynamical variables at the time t , but also at the initial time $t = 0$ through the presence of $\rho_0(\xi_i)$. In order to eliminate the doubt let us take the unity

$$1 = \int d^3 x \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \quad (14)$$

and insert it into the integrand of (8).

$$L = \int d^3 \xi d^3 x \rho_0(\xi_i) \frac{m \dot{\vec{x}}^2(\xi_i, t)}{2} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)). \quad (15)$$

Performing the integration in (15) over ξ we obtain:

$$L = \int d^3 x \rho(x_i, t) \frac{m \vec{v}(x_i, t)^2}{2}. \quad (16)$$

This representation of the Lagrangian (8) shows explicitly the dependence of L only of the dynamical variables at the time t (besides we are not going to consider here the Euler variables as an independent).

In order to have more realistic model of the fluid we need to introduce into the Lagrangian (8) the "potential energy" term which will give rise to the internal pressure field in Euler's equation.

As we have mentioned above, the functions $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ define a diffeomorphism in R^3 therefore the matrix

$$A_k^j(\xi_i, t) = \frac{\partial x_j(\xi_i, t)}{\partial \xi_k} \quad (17)$$

is non-degenerate for any ξ_i and t . The integral (1) may be expressed via the Jacobean – the determinant of $A_k^j(\xi_i, t)$:

$$\rho(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\rho_0(\xi_i)}{\det A(\xi_i, t)} \Big|_{\vec{\xi}=\vec{\xi}(x_i, t)}. \quad (18)$$

For some problems one can choose the initial density $\rho_0(\xi_i)$ to be uniform in $D \subseteq R^3$, and effectively normalize the density field $\rho(\xi_i, t)$ by putting $\rho_0(\xi_i) = 1$ (one particle in the elementary volume). However, we prefer to keep $\rho_0(\xi_i)$ arbitrary, because in the case e.g. the presence of soliton in the fluid the density could not be uniform at any time.

Taking the "potential energy" to be the functional of $\det A$ we can write the lagrangian in the following form:

$$L = \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \left[\frac{m\dot{\vec{x}}^2(\xi_i, t)}{2} - f(\det A(\xi_i, t)) \right]. \quad (19)$$

In order the Lagrangian be the functional of the dynamical variables at the time t , the function $f(\det A(\xi_i, t))$, should has the following form:

$$f(\det A(\xi_i, t)) = V \left(\frac{\rho_0(\xi_i)}{\det A(\xi_i, t)} \right) \frac{\det A(\xi_i, t)}{\rho_0(\xi_i)} \quad (20)$$

Now the equations of motion become

$$m\rho_0(\xi_i)\ddot{x}_j(\xi_i, t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \left(\rho_0(\xi_i) \left(A^{-1} \right)_j^k(\xi_i, t) f'(\det A) \det A \right) = 0 \quad (21)$$

Substituting $\ddot{x}_j(\xi_i, t)$ from (139) to the equation(10) and acting as we did in the derivation of the equation (13), we obtain

$$m\rho(x_i, t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) v_j(x_i, t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho_0(\xi_i) f'(\det A(\xi_i, t)) \Big|_{\vec{\xi}=\vec{\xi}(x_i, t)} \right) = 0 \quad (22)$$

It is now obvious that if we identify the $-\frac{1}{m}\rho_0(\xi_i) f'(\det A(\xi_i, t)) \Big|_{\vec{\xi}=\vec{\xi}(x_i, t)}$ with pressure $p(x_i, t)$, the equation (140) takes the form of usual Euler equation without viscosity:

$$\rho(x_i, t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) v_j(x_i, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} p(x_i, t). \quad (23)$$

For the function $f(\det A(\xi_i, t))$ parameterized as in (20), the pressure has the following form:

$$p(x_i, t) = \frac{\rho(x_i, t)^2}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\frac{V(\rho(x_i, t))}{\rho(x_i, t)} \right) \quad (24)$$

The pressure $p(x_i, t)$ which appeared here is the result of interaction between particles, which constitute the fluid. We can also add to the Lagrangian (19) the term, which describes the interaction with an external field:

$$L_{ext} = - \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) U(x_i(\xi_i, t)), \quad (25)$$

and the Euler equations take the form:

$$\rho(x_i, t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) v_j(x_i, t) = -\frac{\partial p(x_i, t)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\rho(x_i, t)}{m} \frac{\partial U(x_i)}{\partial x_j}. \quad (26)$$

As we shall see later the description in terms of Lagrange variables is very convenient for introducing interaction between particles because in this picture the usual coordinates are the dynamical variables. For example, considering two components fluid – ions and electron, interacting with electromagnetic field we obtain the theory of plasma. Introduction the interaction of particles, which constitute the fluid with color gluon field gives us the theory of quark-gluon plasma. Very interesting system is the gravitating gas, where particles interact with each other through gravitation field. These different types of interaction changes the physical properties if the media, but all of them could be described within the common approach.

3 Hamiltonian formalism

The description of fluid in terms of Lagrangian variables brings no difficulties in construction of canonical formalism. Indeed, for the Lagrangian (19), the canonical coordinates will be the functions $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ and its conjugated momenta are defined as the derivatives of the Lagrangian with respect to the velocities $\dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t)$:

$$\vec{p}(\xi_i, t) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t)} = m\rho_0(\xi_i)\dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t), \quad (27)$$

The Hamiltonian is given by the Legendre transformation of the Lagrangian:

$$H = \int d^3\xi \left(\frac{1}{2m\rho_0(\xi_i)} \vec{p}^2(\xi_i, t) + f(\det A(\xi_i, t)) \right). \quad (28)$$

The canonical Poisson brackets is defined by

$$\{p_j(\xi_i), x_k(\xi'_i)\} = \delta_{jk}\delta^3(\xi_i - \xi'_i) \quad (29)$$

Apparently the Poisson brackets (29) and the Hamiltonian (28) define the equations of motion for the canonical variables, which are equivalent to the Lagrange equations. The phase space Γ of the fluid is formed by $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t), \vec{p}(\xi_i, t)$. What is missing at the moment is the x -space interpretation of the variables $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ and $\vec{p}(\xi_i, t)$ and the goal of this section is to find a canonical transformation of $\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)$ and $\vec{p}(\xi_i, t)$ to equivalent set of coordinates in Γ , which are x -dependent functions.

Let us introduce the new objects using the same averaging, as we used in the previous section

$$\vec{l}(x) = \int d^3\xi \vec{p}(\xi_i) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) = \rho(x) \vec{p}(\xi_i(x)). \quad (30)$$

The Poisson brackets of $\vec{l}(x)$, induced by (29) has the following form:

$$\{l_j(x_i), l_k(y_i)\} = \left[l_k(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + l_j(y_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right] \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \quad (31)$$

These Poisson brackets was introduced geometrically as "the hydrodynamic-type brackets" long ago in the papers [7] without physical explanation. The present discussion reveals the origin of these brackets. The commutation

relation (31) coincides with algebra of 3-dimensional diffeomorphisms. In other words, $\vec{l}(x)$ are the generators of the finite diffeomorphism $x_j \rightarrow \phi_j(x_i)$ of any x -dependent dynamical variable in Γ . It should be mentioned that the group of diffeomorphisms, generated by $l_j(x_i)$ is not a gauge symmetry in case of fluid mechanics, as it is in the case of e.g. relativistic string or membrane. In the same time in fluid mechanics there is an infinite dimensional symmetry (but not a gauge symmetry) with respect to special (i.e. volume preserving) diffeomorphisms $SDiff$, which will be considered in later.

Let us leave for a moment $\vec{l}(x)$ and consider another x -dependent functions $\xi_i(x)$ which are the inverse to $x_j(\xi_i)$ functions (1). Differentiating the first equation (1) with respect to x we obtain:

$$A_k^j(\xi_i(x_k)) \frac{\partial \xi_k(x_i)}{\partial x_l} = \delta_l^j, \quad (32)$$

in other words, the matrix

$$a_l^k(x_i) = \frac{\partial \xi_k(x_i)}{\partial x_l} \quad (33)$$

is inverse to $A_k^j(\xi_i(x_k))$. Therefore, from (136) it follows that

$$\rho(x_i) = \rho_0(\xi_i(x_i)) \det a_l^k(x_i) \quad (34)$$

To simplify the calculation of the Poisson brackets we can express $\xi_j(x_i)$ in the following form:

$$\xi_j(x_i) = \frac{\int d^3 \xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \xi_j \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t))}{\int d^3 \xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i, t))}. \quad (35)$$

From (35) we easily obtain

$$\{\xi_j(x_i), \xi_k(y_i)\} = 0 \quad (36)$$

The calculation of the Poisson brackets between $\xi_j(x_i)$ and $l_j(x_i)$ is more involved, but the result is simple:

$$\{l_j(x_i), \xi_k(y_i)\} = -\frac{\partial \xi_k(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \quad (37)$$

In such a way we have constructed the set of x -dependent coordinates $(l_j(x_i), \xi_j(x_i))$ in the phase space Γ , but the transformation

$$(p_j(\xi_i), x_j(\xi_i)) \rightarrow (l_j(x_i), \xi_j(x_i)) \quad (38)$$

is not canonical. The set of canonical x -dependent coordinates in Γ could be obtained in the following way. Let us multiply both sides of (37) by matrix $A_m^j(\xi_i(x_k))$:

$$A_m^j(\xi_i(x_k))\{l_j(x_i), \xi_k(y_i)\} = -\delta_m^k \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (39)$$

where we have used (32). Further, due to the relation (36), we can put $A_m^j(\xi_i(x_k))$ inside the brackets and obtain:

$$\{\pi_m(x_i), \xi_k(y_i)\} = \delta_m^k \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (40)$$

where

$$\pi_m(x_i) = -A_m^j(\xi_i(x_k))l_j(x_i) \quad (41)$$

By tedious, but direct calculation we also obtain

$$\{\pi_m(x_i), \pi_k(y_i)\} = 0, \quad (42)$$

so the set $(\pi_m(x_i), \xi_k(y_i))$ is formed by the canonical variables. In terms of these canonical variables the generators of the group of diffeomorphisms $l_j(x_i)$ has the following form:

$$l_j(x_i) = -\frac{\partial \xi_k(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \pi_k(x_i). \quad (43)$$

For the Lagrangian considered, from (27) and (30) follows that $l_j(x_i)$ is given by

$$l_j(x_i) = m\rho(x_i)v_j(x_i) \quad (44)$$

and the representation (43) is very similar to Clebsh parametrization [8] of the velocity. The distinction of (43) from the original Clebsh parametrization is the appearance of three "potentials instead of two, for the 3-dimensional fluid. The reason of this difference will be discussed in the end of this section.

The canonical Hamiltonian (28) should now be expressed in the terms of new variables $(\pi_m(x_i), \xi_k(y_i))$. Indeed, let us again insert the unity

$$1 = \int d^3x \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i)) \quad (45)$$

into the integrand (28) and change the order of integration:

$$H = \int d^3x \int d^3\xi \left(\frac{1}{2m\rho_0(\xi_i)} \vec{p}^2(\xi_i, t) + f(\det A(\xi_i)) \right) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i)), \quad (46)$$

Performing the integration over ξ with the help of (2) and (30) we obtain:

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2m\rho(x_i)} \vec{l}^2(x_i) + \rho(x_i) f\left(\frac{1}{\rho(x_i)}\right) \right). \quad (47)$$

Making use of (43) we can express H via canonical variables $(\pi_m(x_i), \xi_k(y_i))$:

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2m\rho(x_i)} \frac{\partial \xi_k(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \xi_m(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \pi_k(x_i) \pi_m(x_i) + V(\rho(x_i)) \right), \quad (48)$$

where the function $V(\rho(x_i))$ for the "potential" part of the energy was introduced in (20). For usual fluid or gas this term represents the internal energy of the fluid and it should vanish for uniform density distribution $\rho(x_i) = \rho_{as}$. A phenomenological expression for $V(\rho(x_i))$ could be written as follows [9]:

$$V(\rho(x_i)) = \frac{\kappa}{2\rho_0} (\delta\rho(x_i))^2 + \lambda (\nabla\rho(x_i))^2 + \dots, \quad (49)$$

where $\delta\rho(x_i)$ is the deviation of the density from its homogeneous distribution:

$$\delta\rho(x_i) = \rho(x_i) - \rho_{as}. \quad (50)$$

The first term in (49) is responsible for the sound wave in the fluid (κ is the velocity of sound), the second term in (49) describes the dispersion of the sound waves.

In order to reveal the relation of the Hamiltonian flow, generated by (48) with geodesic flow [4] let us introduce the metric tensor $g_{jk}(x_i)$:

$$g_{jk}(x_i) = A_j^m(\xi_i(x_k)) A_k^m(\xi_i(x_k)). \quad (51)$$

With this notation (48) takes the form:

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2m} \sqrt{g(x_i)} g^{km}(x_i) \pi_k(x_i) \pi_m(x_i) + V\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x_i)}}\right) \right). \quad (52)$$

The metric tensor with upper indices $g^{jk}(x_i)$ denotes, as usually the inverse matrix and

$$g(x_i) = \det g_{jk}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\rho^2(x_i)} \quad (53)$$

The representation (52) permit us to consider the hydrodynamics as the geodesic flow on the dynamical manifold with metric $g_{jk}(x_i)$ and many general properties of the hydrodynamics could be derived from this fact (see e.g. [4]).

4 Infinite-dimensional symmetry and integrals of motion.

As we have mentioned above, the Lagrangian (19) possesses the invariance with respect to the "volume preserving" group of diffeomorphisms $SDiff[D]$, where $D \subseteq R^3$. Indeed, let us write the Lagrangian (19) in terms of the Lagrangian density (to simplify the equations, in this section we shall take the initial density $\rho_0(\xi_i) = 1$)

$$L = \int d^3\xi \mathcal{L}(\xi_i) \quad (54)$$

and consider the transformations from $SDiff[D]$ of the coordinates $\xi_i \in D$

$$\xi_j \rightarrow \xi'_j = \phi_j(\xi_i), \quad (55)$$

$$\det \frac{\partial \phi_j(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_k} = 1. \quad (56)$$

Apparently, due to (56) we obtain:

$$L = \int d^3\phi(\xi_i) \mathcal{L}(\phi(\xi_i)) = \int d^3\xi \mathcal{L}(\phi(\xi_i)) \quad (57)$$

and according to Noether's theorem this invariance results in the existence of an infinite set of integrals of motion. To obtain these integrals we first need to find the parametrization of the transformations (55), (56) in the vicinity of identity transformation:

$$\phi_j(\xi_i) = \xi_j + \alpha_j(\xi_i). \quad (58)$$

From (56) follows the equation for $\alpha_j(\xi_i)$:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_j(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_j} = 0. \quad (59)$$

Further we must explicitly take into account that the volume preserving diffeomorphism (55) leaves the boundary of D invariant. We shall limit ourself with the case when D is formed by extraction of the domain with the differentiable boundary given by

$$g(\xi_i) = 0 \quad (60)$$

from R^3 . Physically that means that we put in the fluid the fixed body, the shape of which is given by (60). The condition that the infinitesimal diffeomorphism (58) preserves D in this case is

$$g(\xi_j + \alpha_j(\xi_i)) \Big|_{g(\xi_i)=0} = 0, \quad (61)$$

or

$$\alpha_j(\xi_i) \nabla_j g(\xi_i) \Big|_{g(\xi_i)=0} = 0. \quad (62)$$

Geometrically equation (62) means that the vector $\vec{\alpha}(\xi_i)$ is tangent to the surface, defined by (60), because the vector $\nabla_j g(\xi_i) \Big|_{g(\xi_i)=0}$ is proportional to the normal $n_j(\xi_i)$ of the surface (60) at the point ξ_i .

From Noether's theorem we obtain that the invariance of the Lagrangian with respect to the transformation (58) gives the following conservation law:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_D d^3 \xi p_m(\xi_i) \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_l} \alpha_l(\xi_i) = 0, \quad (63)$$

where $\alpha_l(\xi_i)$ satisfies to the conditions (59) and (62). The existence of these conditions forbids to take the variation of the l.h.s. of (63) over $\alpha_l(\xi_i)$ and obtain the local form of integrals of motion. For that we need to extract from (59) and (62) the integral properties of $\alpha_l(\xi_i)$.

Consider an arbitrary, single-valued, differentiable in D function $\beta(\xi_i)$. Then the following equations are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_D d^3 \xi \beta(\xi_i) \frac{\partial \alpha_j(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_j} = \\ &= \int_D d^3 \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (\beta(\xi_i) \alpha_j(\xi_i)) - \int_D d^3 \xi \alpha_j(\xi_i) \frac{\partial \beta(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

The first equality is valid due to condition (59). Using Stokes theorem we can transform the integral of total derivative in the last equality (64):

$$\int_D d^3 \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (\beta(\xi_i) \alpha_j(\xi_i)) = \int_{\partial D} dS_j (\beta(\xi_i) \alpha_j(\xi_i)) = 0, \quad (65)$$

where ∂D denotes the boundary of D . The last integral in (65) vanishes due to condition (62) because the differential dS_j is proportional to the normal

vector of the surface ∂D , defined by (60). From (64) and (65) we conclude that

$$\int_D d^3\xi \alpha_j(\xi_i) \frac{\partial \beta(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_j} = 0 \quad (66)$$

for any smooth, differentiable $\beta(\xi_i)$. Taking this property of $\alpha_j(\xi_i)$ into account we obtain from the conservation laws (63) that the quantities

$$J_k(\xi_i) = p_m(\xi_i) \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_k} \quad (67)$$

are conserved modulo some term which is the gradient of a scalar. In particular, that means that

$$R_j(\xi_i) = \epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} J_l(\xi_i) = \epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \left(p_m(\xi_i) \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_l} \right). \quad (68)$$

is the integrals of motion. Note, that as the group of invariance is infinite-dimensional, we obtain an infinite number of integrals of motion. With respect to Poisson brackets (29) the $R_j(\xi_i)$'s form an algebra. This algebra could be written in a compact form for integrated objects

$$R[\phi] = \int d^3\xi \phi_j(\xi_i) R_j(\xi_i), \quad (69)$$

where $\phi_j(\xi_i)$ are smooth, rapidly decreasing functions. The algebra of $R[\phi]$ induced by Poisson brackets (29) has the form:

$$\{R[\phi], R[\psi]\} = R[\text{curl}\phi \times \text{curl}\psi] \quad (70)$$

The construction of the x -dependent object, corresponding to $R_j(\xi_i)$ is not an easy task, because our "averaging" with $\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i))$ will introduce time dependence and instead of conserved object we shall obtain a density, whose time derivative gives a divergence of a "current". Therefore we need to introduce another kind of averaging without explicit refereing to the $\vec{x}(\xi_i)$ coordinates. For that recall that under diffeomorphism a closed loop transforms into closed loop. Then let us consider such a loop λ and a surface σ whose boundary is λ . The integral

$$V = \int_{\sigma} dS_j R_j(\xi_i) \quad (71)$$

where the vector dS_j is as usually the area element times the vector, perpendicular to the surface, is conserved, because of the conservation of $R_j(\xi_i)$. Further, from Stokes theorem we have:

$$T = \oint_{\lambda} d\xi_j p_m(\xi_i) \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_j}. \quad (72)$$

Changing the variables in (72) we obtain:

$$T = \oint_{\Lambda} dx_j \frac{l_j(x_i)}{\rho(x_i)} = \oint_{\Lambda} dx_j v_j(x_i), \quad (73)$$

where Λ is the image of the loop λ under diffeomorphism $\xi_j \rightarrow x_j(\xi_i)$. The object (73) is very well known in hydrodynamics as the "circulation" and its conservation is known as W.Thompson theorem [10]. The relation of the circulation conservation with the invariance under special diffeomorphisms was first explicitly established in [14], though it also could be extracted from general discussion in Appendix 2 of [4]. In order to avoid confusion we should make a remark concerning the meaning of the contour integral (73). The matter is that the diffeomorphism $\xi_j \rightarrow x_j(\xi_i)$ transforms a fixed loop λ into time dependent loop Λ and while e.g. calculating the Poisson brackets of T with Hamiltonian we need to differentiate not only the integrand, but also the contour. The explicit form of this integral is

$$T = \oint_{\Lambda} ds \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i(s), t)}{\partial s} v_m(x_k(\xi_i(s), t), t), \quad (74)$$

where $\xi_i(s)$ is the contour λ . In this form it clearly seen that the circulation could not be expressed in terms only Euler variables. In next section we shall come back to this issue and discuss the relation of the symmetry with respect to *SDiff* and Euler description.

Conservation of circulation is not the only consequence of (63). Consider for example the case of 2-dimensional space. Here, instead of the conserved vector $R_j(\xi_i)$ we shall have the conserved scalar

$$R(\xi_i) = \epsilon_{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} J_l(\xi_i) = \epsilon_{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \left(p_m(\xi_i) \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_l} \right), \quad (75)$$

This scalar defines the following integrals of motion:

$$I_n = \int_D d^2 \xi R^n(\xi_i) = \int_D d^2 \xi \left(\epsilon_{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \left(p_m(\xi_i) \frac{\partial x_m(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_l} \right) \right)^n. \quad (76)$$

Changing variables in (76) $\xi_j \rightarrow \xi_j(x_i)$ which is possible, because $\xi_j(x_i)$ is a diffeomorphism of D , we obtain:

$$I_n = \int_D d^2x \rho(x_i) \left(\epsilon_{kl} A_k^j(\xi(x)) A_l^m(\xi(x)) \frac{\partial p_m(\xi(x))}{\partial x_j} \right)^n, \quad (77)$$

where the matrix $A_k^j(\xi)$ was defined in (17). We can present I_n as

$$I_n = \int_D d^2x \rho(x_i)^{1-n} \left(\epsilon_{jm} \frac{\partial l_m(x_i)}{\partial x_j} \right)^n. \quad (78)$$

using the following property of 2-dimensional matrix $A_k^j(\xi)$:

$$\epsilon_{kl} A_k^j(\xi(x)) A_l^m(\xi(x)) = \epsilon_{jm} \det A(\xi(x)) = \epsilon_{jm} \frac{1}{\rho(x_i)}, \quad (79)$$

Using the relation between $\vec{l}(x_i)$ and velocity we can rewrite the integrals of motion in case of 2-dimensional fluid in the following form:

$$I_n = \int_D d^2x \rho(x_i)^{1-n} (\partial_1 v_2(x_i) - \partial_2 v_1(x_i))^n. \quad (80)$$

We see that the integrals I_n are the functionals only of Euler's variables. The consequences of this property will be discussed in the next section.

In the case of 3-dimensional space we can construct the analogous integrals of motion, integrating the products of the vector (68):

$$K_{j_1, j_2 \dots j_n} = \int_D d^3\xi R_{j_1}(\xi_i) R_{j_2}(\xi_i) \dots R_{j_n}(\xi_i) \quad (81)$$

Changing variables $\xi_j \rightarrow \xi_j(x_i)$ as above we shall obtain:

$$R_j(\xi) \rightarrow R_j(\xi(x)) = \epsilon_{jkl} A_k^m(\xi(x)) A_l^n(\xi(x)) \frac{\partial p_n(\xi(x))}{\partial x_m}. \quad (82)$$

In the 3-dimensional case the matrices $A_j^m(\xi(x))$ satisfy the equation:

$$\epsilon_{jkl} A_k^m(\xi(x)) A_l^n(\xi(x)) = \epsilon_{mnr} \frac{\partial \xi_j(x)}{\partial x_r} \det A(\xi(x)), \quad (83)$$

Therefore (82) takes the form:

$$R_j(\xi(x)) = \frac{1}{\rho(x_i)} \epsilon_{mnr} \frac{\partial \xi_j(x)}{\partial x_r} \frac{\partial p_n(\xi(x))}{\partial x_m} \quad (84)$$

The integrals K_n in (81) become

$$K_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} = \int_D d^3x \rho(x_i) R_{j_1}(\xi(x)) R_{j_2}(\xi(x)) \dots R_{j_n}(\xi(x)) \quad (85)$$

Apparently, due to the presence of $\frac{\partial \xi_j(x)}{\partial x_r}$ in (84), we can not in this case express (85) only in terms of velocity and density, i.e. the Eulerian description does not admit this kind of integrals of motion.

In the 3-dimensional case there is one more integral, which does not exist in any other dimension. Recall that the vector $J_k(\xi_i)$, given by (67) is conserved modulo gradient, therefore the integral

$$Q = \int_D d^3\xi J_k(\xi_i) R_k(\xi_i) \quad (86)$$

is conserved because $\vec{R}(\xi_i) = \text{curl} \vec{J}(\xi_i)$. Transforming the ξ_j -dependent variables to the x_j -dependent ones in (86) we obtain helicity functional :

$$Q = \int_D d^x \epsilon_{jkl} p_j(\xi(x)) \frac{\partial p_k(\xi(x))}{\partial x_l}. \quad (87)$$

5 Euler variables.

This section we shall discuss the relation of Lagrange and Euler description of hydrodynamics (see also a very deep and interesting discussion in [14], there also could be found numerous references to the earlier investigations).

In our approach, presented in Section 3 the phase space of the 3-dimensional fluid is 6-dimensional ($\times \infty$), as it naturally follows from Lagrange description. This could be compared with recent papers [11], where (in our notations) only $\rho(x_i)$ and $v_j(x_i)$ are regarded as the coordinates in the phase space (this point of view of could be found also in different text books and articles) see for example [4], [9], [13].

According to the conventional point of view the state of the fluid is determined by its velocity and density and therefore all other variables like ours $\vec{x}(\xi_i)$ are not needed. Indeed, solving the Euler equations of motion we can express the velocity $\vec{v}(x_i, t)$ and the density $\rho(x_i, t)$ at time t via the initial data $\vec{v}(x_i, 0)$ and $\rho(x_i, 0)$. Then, from the definition of $\vec{v}(x_i, t)$ (3) follows

$$\vec{v}(x(\xi, t), t) = \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi, t). \quad (88)$$

(here we have suppressed the indices of the arguments for brevity). Apparently we can solve these equations with respect to $\vec{x}(\xi, t)$, provided we know the initial data $\vec{x}(\xi, 0)$. Therefore it may seem that the variables $\vec{x}(\xi, t)$ are unnecessary, as it could be obtained through the others. But the initial data $\vec{x}(\xi, 0)$ are half of the canonical variables in Hamiltonian formalism. So, in our approach we indeed need more variables. These additional variables provide *the complete description* of the fluid in a sense that solving the equations of motion we define not only the $\vec{v}(x_i, t)$ and $\rho(x_i, t)$ but also the trajectories of the particles which could not be obtained in the conventional formalism. The situation is analogous to the rigid body rotation: here the phase space Γ is formed by 3 angles and 3 components of the angular momentum J_i . As the Hamiltonian depends on the components of J_i only, we can consider separately the evolution of the angular momentum. This is incomplete description for this mechanical system. The complete one certainly should include the evolution of the angles, which define the location of the rigid body in space.

In the case of fluid dynamics *the complete description* is to be done in the 6-dimensional phase space Γ formed by $\vec{x}(\xi)$ and $\vec{p}(\xi)$ (or $\vec{\xi}(x)$ and $\vec{l}(x)$). If, as it is usually the case, the Hamiltonian depends only on $\vec{l}(x)$ and $\rho(x)$, partial description in terms of the velocities and densities considered as "relevant" variables is possible. This means that we do not care about the evolution of the whole set of coordinates of the phase space which are considered as inessential. The "relevant" part of the coordinates does not necessarily form a symplectic subspace in Γ . The non-degenerate Poisson brackets in Γ could become degenerate on the subset of Γ , corresponding to the "relevant" variables. This is indeed the case in the rigid body and in the conventional fluid dynamics. In the first case the degeneracy of the algebra of Poisson brackets for the "relevant" variables — angular momentum is well known. Its center element is the Casimir operator of the rotation group.

In the case of fluid dynamics the algebra of the "relevant" variables — velocities and densities for arbitrary dimension has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{v_j(x_i), v_k(y_i)\} &= -\frac{1}{m\rho(x)} (\nabla_j v_k(x_i) - \nabla_k v_j(x_i)) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \\
\{v_j(x_i), \rho(y_i)\} &= \frac{1}{m} \nabla_j \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \\
\{\rho(x_i), \rho(y_i)\} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

This algebra could be obtained from equations (31), (36) and (37). The center of this algebra is infinite-dimensional and its structure depends on the dimension

of x -space. To find the center of it the following consideration could be useful. In the previous section we have considered the invariance of the fluid dynamics with respect to the infinite-dimensional group of special diffeomorphisms $SDiff$. This group acts on the Lagrange variables, and in [14] it was called "relabeling symmetry". Apparently, this transformations do not affect the Euler's variables $\vec{v}(x_i)$ and $\rho(x_i)$. Therefore these variables should have vanishing Poisson brackets with the integrals of motion, corresponding to the invariance with respect to the special diffeomorphisms. Indeed, the direct calculation gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\{R_{kl}(\xi_i), v_m(x_i)\} &= 0, \\ \{R_{kl}(\xi_i), \rho(x_i)\} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{90}$$

where we denote as $R_{kl}(\xi_i)$ tensor

$$R_{kl}(\xi_i) = \frac{\partial J_k(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_l} - \frac{\partial J_l(\xi_i)}{\partial \xi_k},\tag{91}$$

which contrary to the vector $R_k(\xi_i)$ defined in the previous section, is appropriate for any dimensions. Needless to say that the circulations (73) also commute with $\vec{v}(x_i)$ and $\rho(x_i)$. This observation gives us a guideline for the construction of the central elements of the algebra (89). Indeed, if we could find a functional of $R_{kl}(\xi_i)$, such that after transformation to x -dependent representation it will depend only of $\vec{v}(x_i)$ and $\rho(x_i)$, then it automatically falls into the center of (89). As we have seen in the previous section, in case of 2-dimensional fluid we were lucky and the integrals I_n , given by (80) were expressed only via Euler variables. Therefore I_n form the center, the fact which is known since long, see [4], [11] for the discussion. Here we want to note the remarkable fact: the symmetry, which is unknown to the variables $\vec{v}(x_i)$ and $\rho(x_i)$, helps to find the center of its algebra!

The 3-dimensional case we shall consider in details in the next Section. The Casimirs which follow from the reasonings, given above are the "helicity" functional

$$Q = \int d^3x \epsilon_{jkl} v_j(\vec{x}) \nabla_k v_l(\vec{x}).\tag{92}$$

The other Casimir is the total number of particles N (valid for any dimension, for $d = 2$, $N = I_0$):

$$N = \int d^3x \rho(x_i)\tag{93}$$

6 C^2 Hydrodynamics

The 3-dimensional case, which is very important for applications was considered by many authors starting from XIX century. It is hardly possible to give an exhaustive list of references. Recently it was discussed in [12] (see also [14] for earlier references) where it was suggested to build Hamiltonian formalism for 3-dimensional Euler fluid using Clebsh parametrization for the velocity :

$$\vec{v}(x_i, t) = \vec{\partial}\alpha(x_i, t) + \beta\vec{\partial}\gamma(x_i, t) \quad (94)$$

where the new functions $\alpha(x_i, t), \beta(x_i, t), \gamma(x_i, t)$ together with density $\rho(x_i, t)$ are used for the construction of the coordinates of the phase space. What we are going to suggest here is an alternative approach, which has certain advantages [15].

Let us consider a mechanical system which is described by a pair of complex coordinates which belong to $C^2 \times \infty$: $u_\alpha(x_i, t), \bar{u}_\alpha(x_i, t)$, where $\alpha = 1, 2$. The Lagrangian for this system we shall take in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{im}{2} (\bar{u}(x_i, t)\dot{u}(x_i, t) - \dot{\bar{u}}(x_i, t)u(x_i, t)) \right. \\ & \left. + m \frac{(\bar{u}(x_i, t)\vec{\partial}u(x_i, t) - \vec{\partial}\bar{u}(x_i, t)u(x_i, t))^2}{8\bar{u}(x_i, t)u(x_i, t)} - V(\bar{u}(x_i, t)u(x_i, t)) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where we assume the summation over indexes. The canonical momenta, corresponding to the variables $u_\alpha(x_i), \bar{u}_\alpha(x_i)$ are given by equations (from now on we again will suppress the time argument)

$$\begin{aligned} p_\alpha^u(x_i) &= \frac{im}{2}\bar{u}_\alpha(x_i), \\ p_\alpha^{\bar{u}}(x_i) &= -\frac{im}{2}u_\alpha(x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

As it expected for the Lagrangian which is a linear function of velocities, the equations (96) define the constraints on the canonical variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha^1(x_i) &= p_\alpha^u(x_i) - \frac{im}{2}\bar{u}(x_i)_\alpha \sim 0, \\ \lambda_\alpha^2(x_i) &= p_\alpha^{\bar{u}}(x_i) + \frac{im}{2}u(x_i)_\alpha \sim 0. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

The Poisson brackets of the constraints are non-degenerate

$$\{\lambda_\alpha^1(x_i), \lambda_\beta^2(y_i)\} = im\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \quad (98)$$

and we can use these constraints to eliminate canonical momenta $p_\alpha^u(x_i), p_\alpha^{\bar{u}}(x_i)$ using Dirac procedure [18]. The resulting Poisson (Dirac) brackets for the rest of coordinates of the phase space $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} \{u_\alpha(x_i), \bar{u}_\beta(y_i)\} &= \frac{i}{m} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \\ \{u_\alpha(x_i), u_\beta(y_i)\} &= 0, \\ \{\bar{u}_\alpha(x_i), \bar{u}_\beta(y_i)\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

The Hamiltonian, corresponding to the Lagrangian (95) has the following form

$$H = \int d^3x \left[-m \frac{(\bar{u}(x_i) \vec{\partial} u(x_i) - \vec{\partial} \bar{u}(x_i) u(x_i))^2}{8\bar{u}(x_i)u(x_i)} + V(\bar{u}(x_i)u(x_i)) \right] \quad (100)$$

Now we shall explain why we consider this system. Let us form the following objects:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}(x_i) &= \frac{1}{2i} (\bar{u}(x_i) \vec{\partial} u(x_i) - \vec{\partial} \bar{u}(x_i) u(x_i)), \\ \rho(x_i) &= \bar{u}(x_i) u(x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The notations we have used here are not accidental. The point is that if we shall calculate the Poisson brackets for (101), using (99) the result will exactly coincide with (89). The Hamiltonian H , given by (100), being expressed via $\vec{v}(x_i)$ and $\rho(x_i)$ will take the following form:

$$H = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} m \rho(x_i) \vec{v}(x_i)^2 + V(\rho(x_i)) \right], \quad (102)$$

which also coincides with Hamiltonian given by (47).

The equations of motion for the variable $u_\alpha(x_i), \bar{u}_\alpha(x_i)$ have the usual form:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_\alpha(x_i) &= \{H, u_\alpha(x_i)\} \\ \dot{\bar{u}}_\alpha(x_i) &= \{H, \bar{u}_\alpha(x_i)\} \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Apparently, the correct equations of motion, including the continuity equation for variables $\vec{v}(x_i)$ and $\rho(x_i)$ follow from (103).

As was mentioned above, the description of the fluid in terms of $u_\alpha(x_i)$, $\bar{u}_\alpha(x_i)$ is rather similar to the description which uses Clebsh parametrization. Indeed, these variables could be presented in the following form:

$$u_\alpha(x_i) = \sqrt{\rho(x_i)} e^{i\phi(x_i)/2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\psi(x_i)/2} \cos \frac{\alpha(x_i)}{2} \\ e^{i\psi(x_i)/2} \sin \frac{\alpha(x_i)}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (104)$$

from where we obtain the representation for the velocity through angles $\phi(x_i)$, $\psi(x_i)$ and $\alpha(x_i)$

$$\vec{v}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\partial}\phi(x_i) - \vec{\partial}\psi(x_i) \cos \alpha(x_i)) \quad (105)$$

These equation defines the velocity, if Clebsh parameters are known. Also, as is well-known (see e.g.[3],[12]) any differentiable vector field $\vec{v}(x_i)$ has the local representation (105). In other words, knowing $\vec{v}(x_i)$, we can construct Clebsh parameters $\alpha(x_i)$, $\phi(x_i)$, $\psi(x_i)$ with some ambiguity. This ambiguity arises as a set of integration constants. In our construction this ambiguity could be understand as follows. The Lagrangian function (95) we consider is invariant with respect to the symmetry group $U(2)$ which acts as follows:

$$u_\alpha(x_i) \rightarrow \tilde{u}_\alpha(x_i) = T_{\alpha\beta} u_\beta(x_i), \quad T^+ T = 1 \quad (106)$$

and according to Noether's theorem the integrals of motion, which is the generators of these transformations are :

$$t^0 = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \bar{u}(x_i) u(x_i), \quad t^a = \int d^3x \bar{u}(x_i) \frac{\sigma^a}{2} u(x_i) \quad (107)$$

The transformations (107) change the Clebsh variables, but does not affect the Euler's variables. So, in particular, the constant shift of the angle $\phi(x_i)$ is generated by ex-Casimir N , which in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has lost its status, the generator t^3 shifts the angle $\psi(x_i)$, the other two generators mix the angles $\psi(x_i)$ and $\alpha(x_i)$. So the system described by variables $(u_\alpha(x_i), \bar{u}_\alpha(x_i))$ is a Hamiltonian system with symmetry and we can reduce its phase space by procedure given by Souriau [16] and Marsden and Weinstein [17]. The reduced phase space is the space, where "live" almost all the Euler variables. The latter means that the procedure of reduction implies fixing the integrals of motion, in particular $t^o = \frac{N}{2}$ does not anymore belongs to the set of variables.

The only problem we have now is the "helicity" functional, which still the is the Casimir and the reduction does not remove it. For finite dimensional

systems the existence of Casimir implies the degeneracy of Poisson brackets. It could be easily seen from the following consideration. By definition the Casimir C should have vanishing brackets with all variables

$$\{p_k, C\} = 0, \quad \{q_k, C\} = 0 \quad (108)$$

where p_k, q_k are all set of coordinates of the phase space. If the Poisson brackets are non-degenerate, the equations (108) mean that C is a constant. The situation for the infinite dimensional system is different because of existence of so called the functionals with zero variation. Consider for example an infinite dimensional system, which is described by the set of canonical variables $p(x), q(x)$ where $x \in R$. The Poisson brackets are non-degenerate:

$$\{p(x), q(y)\} = \delta(x - y). \quad (109)$$

In this case we can easily construct a nontrivial functional, which will have vanishing Poisson brackets with all variables $p(x), q(x)$. It has the following form:

$$C = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{p'(x)q(x) - p(x)q'(x)}{p^2(x) + q^2(x)} \quad (110)$$

and has a meaning of a winding number for the phase of the complex variable $a(x) = p(x) + iq(x)$, i.e. C is what physicists used to call topological charge. Note that in order for C to be the Casimir it is not necessary to impose the condition on $a(x)|_{x \rightarrow -\infty} = a(x)|_{x \rightarrow +\infty}$ and compactify R . In this case C will take an integer values and indeed will be the winding number.

The "helicity" functional has the same origin as the functional C in this example. In order to see it let us introduce a unit four vector F_k [21]:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{u}(x_i)u(x_i)}} \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x_i) \\ u_2(x_i) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(x_i) + iF_2(x_i) \\ F_3(x_i) + iF_4(x_i) \end{pmatrix} \quad (111)$$

This four vector maps $S^3 \rightarrow S^3$, provided we impose on the variables $\bar{u}_\alpha(x_i), u_\alpha(x_i)$ the asymptotic conditions: $u_\alpha(x) \rightarrow u_\alpha^0$, when $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ and compactify R^3 . The helicity functional Q given by (92) could be written in the following form:

$$Q = \frac{1}{3} \int d^3x \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon_{ijk} F_a \partial_i F_b \partial_j F_c \partial_k F_d, \quad (112)$$

which is the standard representation for the winding number of the map $S^3 \rightarrow S^3$, so called Hopf invariant. Here again we should note that even if we

neglect the asymptotic conditions on $u_\alpha(x)$ together with compactification of R^3 , Q is invariant with respect to local variations and therefore has vanishing Poisson brackets with $\bar{u}_\alpha(x_i), u_\alpha(x_i)$. So, the conclusion of these arguments is that for infinite dimensional mechanical systems the existence of Casimirs does not necessary implies the degeneracy of Poisson brackets, provided these Casimirs are related to the geometric properties of the phase space and the helicity belongs to this class of "friendly" Casimirs.

7 Inclusion of the electromagnetic interaction. Plasma.

We shall consider plasma as a fluid of two components, namely electrons with mass m and electric charge $(-e)$ and ions with mass M and charge $(+e)$. The coordinates of electrons we shall denote as $\vec{x}(\xi_i)$, while the coordinates of ions will be $\vec{X}(\Xi_i)$. The interaction of the components of the plasma with the electromagnetic field $A_\mu(x)$ is governed by the following Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
L = & L_0^{el} + L_0^{ion} + e \int d^3\xi \left[A_0(\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) - \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) \vec{A}(\vec{x}(\xi_i, t)) \right] \\
& - e \int d^3\Xi \left[A_0(\vec{X}(\Xi_i, t)) - \dot{\vec{X}}(\Xi_i, t) \vec{A}(\vec{X}(\Xi_i, t)) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x F^{\mu\nu}(x, t) F_{\mu\nu}(x, t), \tag{113}
\end{aligned}$$

where L_0^{el} and L_0^{ion} are "free" Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0^{el} &= \int d^3\xi \left[\frac{m\dot{\vec{x}}^2(\xi_i, t)}{2} - f^{el} \left(\det \frac{\partial x_j(\xi_i, t)}{\partial \xi_k} \right) \right], \\
L_0^{ion} &= \int d^3\Xi \left[\frac{M\dot{\vec{X}}^2(\Xi_i, t)}{2} - f^{ion} \left(\det \frac{\partial X_j(\Xi_i, t)}{\partial \Xi_k} \right) \right], \tag{114}
\end{aligned}$$

and $F_{\mu\nu}(x, t)$ denotes the electromagnetic field tensor:

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x, t) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x, t) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x, t) \tag{115}$$

The advantage of Lagrangian description of fluid (plasma) is clear. Using of the coordinates of charged particles as the fundamental variables allows to introduce the interaction with electromagnetic field.

The Lagrangian (113) possesses $U(1)$ gauge invariance. We shall use the usual Hamiltonian formalism for the constraint system [18]. The canonical variables are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{x}(\xi_i), & \quad \vec{p}(\xi_i) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i)}; \\
\vec{X}(\Xi_i), & \quad \vec{P}(\Xi_i) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\vec{X}}(\Xi_i)}; \\
\vec{A}(x_i), & \quad \vec{P}_{em}(x_i) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\vec{A}}(x_i)} = -\vec{E}(x_i); \\
A_0(x_i), & \quad P_{em}^0(x_i) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

where $\vec{E}(x_i)$ is the electric field strength:

$$\vec{E}(x_i) = -\nabla A_0(x_i) - \dot{\vec{A}}(x_i). \tag{117}$$

The last of the equations (116) is actually the primary constraint. The Legendre transformation of the Lagrangian (113) gives us the canonical Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{P}_{em}^2(x_i) + \vec{H}^2(x_i) \right) + A_0(x_i) \nabla \vec{P}_{em}(x_i) \right] + \\
& \int d^3\xi \left[\frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p}(\xi_i) + e\vec{A}(x(\xi_i)) \right)^2 - eA_0(x(\xi_i)) + f^{el} \left(\det \frac{\partial x_j(\xi_i, t)}{\partial \xi_k} \right) \right] + \\
& \int d^3\Xi \left[\frac{1}{2M} \left(\vec{P}(\Xi_i) - e\vec{A}(X(\Xi_i)) \right)^2 + eA_0(X(\Xi_i)) + f^{ion} \left(\det \frac{\partial X_j(\Xi_i, t)}{\partial \Xi_k} \right) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

where $\vec{H}(x_i) = \text{curl} \vec{A}(x_i)$ is the magnetic field strength. The requirement of the conservation of the primary constraint $P_{em}^0(x_i) = 0$ gives the secondary constraint (Gauss law):

$$\nabla_j (P_{em}(x_i))_j - e(\rho_{el}(x_i) - \rho_{ion}(x_i)) = 0. \tag{119}$$

Now we can add to the primary constraint $P_{em}^0(x_i) = 0$ the gauge fixing condition $A_0(x_i) = 0$ and eliminate these variables from consideration. Introducing the x -dependent functions instead of ξ and Ξ -dependent, as we did in the 2-nd section we obtain the Hamiltonian of the plasma in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{P}_{em}^2(x_i) + \vec{H}^2(x_i) \right) + \frac{\left(\vec{l}(x_i) + e\rho_{el}(x_i)\vec{A}(x_i) \right)^2}{2m\rho_{el}(x_i)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\left(\vec{L}(x_i) - e\rho_{ion}(x_i)\vec{A}(x_i) \right)^2}{2m\rho_{ion}(x_i)} + v_{el}(\rho_{el}(x_i)) + v_{ion}(\rho_{ion}(x_i)) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

where we have introduced

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{l}(x_i) &= \int d^3\xi \vec{p}(\xi_i) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i)) \\ \vec{L}(x_i) &= \int d^3\Xi \vec{P}(\Xi_i) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{X}(\Xi_i))\end{aligned}\quad (121)$$

This Hamiltonian is gauge invariant with respect to the transformations, generated by the constraint (119). Further we impose the Coulomb gauge condition on the electromagnetic field

$$\nabla_j A_j(x_i) = 0 \quad (122)$$

and following the usual procedure will eliminate the longitudinal components of $\vec{A}(x_i)$ and $\vec{P}_{em}(x_i)$. As a result of the gauge fixing, the longitudinal part of $\vec{P}_{em}(x_i)$ give rise to the Coulomb term in the Hamiltonian

$$H_{Col} = -e^2 \int d^3x (\rho_{el}(x_i) - \rho_{ion}(x_i)) \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \right) (\rho_{el}(y_i) - \rho_{ion}(y_i)). \quad (123)$$

and the whole Hamiltonian takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}H &= H_{Col} + \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{P}_{em\perp}^2(x_i) + \vec{H}^2(x_i) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\left(\vec{l}(x_i) + e\rho_{el}(x_i)\vec{A}_\perp(x_i) \right)^2}{2m\rho_{el}(x_i)} + v_{el}(\rho_{el}(x_i)) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\left(\vec{L}(x_i) - e\rho_{ion}(x_i)\vec{A}_\perp(x_i) \right)^2}{2m\rho_{ion}(x_i)} + v_{ion}(\rho_{ion}(x_i)) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (124)$$

where the subscript \perp denotes the transverse components of electromagnetic variables, for which the Poisson (Dirac) brackets are given by [18]

$$\{P_{\perp j}^{em}(x), A_{\perp k}(y)\} = \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial_j \partial_k \right) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \quad (125)$$

In equilibrium plasma the Coulomb interaction is known to be screened by the cloud and the residual Debye interaction is a short rang one (see e.g. [19]). In [20] it was stated that Coulomb term is reduced to the local functional of the difference of charge densities $(\rho_{el}(x_i) - \rho_{ion}(x_i))$.

Following our consideration of a fluid in the Section 3, we can introduce the canonical coordinates $\vec{\xi}(x_i), \vec{\pi}(x_i)$ for electron and $\vec{\Xi}(x_i), \vec{\Pi}(x_i)$ for ion

components of the plasma:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\pi_j(x_i), \xi_k(y_i)\} &= \delta_{jk} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \\ \{\Pi_j(x_i), \Xi_k(y_i)\} &= \delta_{jk} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}),\end{aligned}\tag{126}$$

for which

$$\begin{aligned}l_j(x_i) &= \vec{\xi}(x_i) \frac{\partial \vec{\pi}(x_i)}{\partial x_j}, \\ L_j(x_i) &= \vec{\Xi}(x_i) \frac{\partial \vec{\Pi}(x_i)}{\partial x_j}.\end{aligned}\tag{127}$$

Substituting (127) into (124) we obtain the Hamiltonian in terms of the canonical variables.

The Lagrangian (113) is invariant with respect to the volume preserving diffeomorphisms of both components of plasma separately, so we shall have in this case two sets of conservation laws – one for electrons, the other for ions. Applying the procedure, which we have described in the previous section we shall construct the conserved circulations:

$$\begin{aligned}V_\Lambda^{el} &= \oint_\Lambda dx_j \frac{l_j(x_i)}{\rho_{el}(x_i)}, \\ V_\Lambda^{ion} &= \oint_\Lambda dx_j \frac{L_j(x_i)}{\rho_{ion}(x_i)},\end{aligned}\tag{128}$$

where $l_j(x)$ and $L_j(x)$ are given by (127). In the same way we can construct the analogues of the integrals (85) and (87) for this case. Note that in the case of plasma the equation (44) is not valid due to the presence of the electromagnetic field, instead we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{l}(x) &= \rho_{el}(x) \left(m \vec{v}_{el}(x) - e \vec{A}(x) \right), \\ \vec{L}(x) &= \rho_{ion}(x) \left(M \vec{v}_{ion}(x) + e \vec{A}(x) \right),\end{aligned}\tag{129}$$

therefore the equations (128) are not the circulations of the velocities. In the same time, adding circulations V_Λ^{el} and V_Λ^{ion} on the common contour Λ we obtain:

$$V_\Lambda = V_\Lambda^{el} + V_\Lambda^{ion} = \oint_\Lambda dx_j (m v_{el}(x) + M v_{ion}(x)),\tag{130}$$

so the total circulation of elections and ions velocities is conserved even in the presence of the electromagnetic interaction.

Summarizing we can say that the phase space of plasma Γ in Coulomb gauge is the space with coordinates $\vec{A}_\perp(x), \vec{P}_\perp^{em}(x); \vec{\xi}(x_i), \vec{\pi}(x_i); \vec{\Xi}(x_i), \vec{\Pi}(x_i)$ with Poisson brackets given by (125) and (126). The evolution of a state in Γ is defined by the Hamiltonian (124). In the same time we can use incomplete description in the terms of Euler variables, but in the case of plasma the Poisson brackets for the velocities are changed because of the presence of electromagnetic field in equations (129). For example the Poisson brackets for the velocities of electrons take the following form:

$$\{v_j(x_i), v_k(y_i)\} = -\frac{1}{m\rho(x)} \left[\partial_j v_k(x_i) - \partial_k v_j(x_i) + \frac{e}{m} (\partial_j A_k(x) - \partial_k A_j(x)) \right] \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \quad (131)$$

The same way are changed the Poisson brackets of the velocities of ions, while the brackets involving densities are not changed.

8 Gravitating gas

In the recent paper [6] we have considered the Hamiltonian formalism for fluid and gas based on the Lagrangian description. It was pointed out in this paper that apart from other advantages, the Lagrangian description, which uses the trajectories of the particles of fluid (gas) as the dynamical variables, is the most convenient for the introduction of interaction. In particular in the previous section it was demonstrated how the introduction of the electromagnetic interaction of particles which constitute the fluid, provide us with the theory of plasma. In the present paper we are going to consider in analogous way the theory of gas of particles which interact with each other through gravitational Newton potential. The system of such particles could be considered as a model for the motion of stars in a galaxy when the gravitation interaction prevails all other interaction. The total number of stars in typical galaxy is of the order of $10^{13} - 10^{14}$, so it may be reasonable to consider this collection of "particles" as a gas.

The simplest model, which is usually used for numerical simulation of N-body model of galaxy is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m_i} - \gamma \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|},$$

where \vec{x}_i, \vec{p}_i are canonical coordinates of particles (stars) with masses m_i . The model we are going to consider is based on the dynamics described by

H with the assumption $m_i = m$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$. For this limit there appears a natural desire to consider a continuous distribution of the particles as it is done in the theory of fluid or gas [22].

The Lagrangian of the continuous system of particles interacting through Kepler potential has the following form:

$$L = \int d^3\xi \rho_0(\xi_i) \frac{m \dot{\vec{x}}^2(\xi_i, t)}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\xi d^3\xi' \frac{\rho_0(\xi_i) \rho_0(\xi'_i)}{|\vec{x}(\xi_i, t) - \vec{x}(\xi'_i, t)|}, \quad (132)$$

where γ denotes the gravitational constant. Comparing [132] with [19] we see that the only difference is in the form of "potential energy" therefore the canonical formalism for gravitating gas essentially the same, as for fluid. In such a way we can immediately write the Hamiltonian of gravitating gas:

$$H = \int d^3\xi \frac{\vec{p}(\xi, t)^2}{2m} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3\xi d^3\xi' \frac{\rho_0(\xi) \rho_0(\xi')}{|\vec{x}(\xi, t) - \vec{x}(\xi', t)|} \quad (133)$$

Inserting into the integrals in the r.h.s of [133] the unity

$$1 = \int d^3x \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}(\xi_i)), \quad (134)$$

as we did in the **Section 3** we can rewrite [133] via x -dependent variables:

$$H = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2m\rho(x_i)} \vec{l}^2(x_i) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3x d^3y \frac{\rho(x_i) \rho(x_j)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|}. \quad (135)$$

Certainly the attractive interaction between particles will dramatically change the properties of gravitating gas

The equations of motion, which follow from the Lagrangian (132) have the form:

$$m \ddot{\vec{x}}(\xi_i, t) + \gamma \int d^3\xi' \rho_0(\xi'_i) \frac{\vec{x}(\xi_i, t) - \vec{x}(\xi'_i, t)}{|\vec{x}(\xi_i, t) - \vec{x}(\xi'_i, t)|^3} = 0 \quad (136)$$

Translating equation (136) on to the language of Euler variables, as has been done above we arrive at the following set, including the continuity equation:

$$\dot{\vec{v}}(x_i, t) + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i, t) = \frac{\gamma}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \int d^3y \frac{\rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|}, \quad (137)$$

$$\dot{\rho}(x_i, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} (\rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}(x_i, t)) = 0. \quad (138)$$

Note that the r.h.s of the equation (16) in the case of ordinary gas or fluid is expressed through the internal pressure $p(x_i)$;

$$\dot{\vec{v}}(x_i, t) + v_k(x_i, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i, t) = -\frac{1}{\rho(x_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} p(x_i) \quad (139)$$

The set (16),(17) defines the evolution of initial distribution of $\rho(x_i, t_0), \vec{v}(x_i, t_0)$ of gravitating gas and, besides it could be used to find the static configuration of this gas for different boundary conditions. In particular we can explore the possibility of the existence of the static isolated configurations of gravitating gas. Isolation here means that density $\rho(x_i)$ vanishes at infinity. Note, that for usual fluid, gas or plasma these kind of solutions are forbidden due to virial arguments, known in the case of plasma as Shafranov's theorem [23],[24]. For the gas, describing by equation (139) this theorem could be proven as follows. First, using the continuity equation let us rewrite equation (139) for static case in the following form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_j) + \delta_{jk} p(x_i) \right] = 0. \quad (140)$$

Integrating (140) with x_j over R^3 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int d^3x x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_j) + \delta_{jk} p(x_i) \right] = \\ &\int d^3x \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(x_j \left[\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_j) + \delta_{jk} p(x_i) \right] \right) - \\ &\int d^3x \delta_{jk} \left[\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_j) + \delta_{jk} p(x_i) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

For usual gases $p(x_i) \sim \rho^\gamma(x_i), \gamma > 0$, therefore the integral over divergence will vanish for isolated solutions for which $\rho(x_i) \rightarrow 0$ when $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ and we the obtain the following equation:

$$\int d^3x \left[\rho(x_i) \vec{v}^2(x_j) + 3p(x_i) \right] = 0. \quad (142)$$

Apparently, this equation could be satisfied only for the case $\rho(x_i) = 0$, i.e. there is no isolated in the above formulated sense, static solutions of the equation (139). In order to have a static solution of (139) we need to change the boundary condition $\rho(x_i) \rightarrow 0$ to the condition $\rho(x_i) \rightarrow \rho_{as}$, where ρ_{as} is asymptotic uniform density.

Now we shall show that the arguments of this theorem bring no obstacles for gravitating gas. For this we again will rewrite the equations (16) for the static case, using continuity equation in the following form:

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_i) \right) - \frac{\gamma}{m} \rho(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \int d^3 y \frac{\rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \right] = 0, \quad (143)$$

Integrating (143) with x_k over R^3 we obtain:

$$\int d^3 x x_k \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_i) \right) - \frac{\gamma}{m} \rho(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \int d^3 y \frac{\rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \right] = 0. \quad (144)$$

Consider the first term of the integrand in (144). Integrating by parts as above and taking into account the asymptotic conditions for $\rho(x_i)$ we obtain:

$$\int d^3 x x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho(x_i) v_k(x_i) v_j(x_i) \right) = - \int d^3 x \rho(x_i) \vec{v}^2(x_i). \quad (145)$$

Integration of the second term of the integrand in (144) is straightforward, yielding

$$- \frac{\gamma}{m} \int d^3 x x_k \rho(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \int d^3 y \frac{\rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} = \frac{\gamma}{2m} \int d^3 x d^3 y \frac{\rho(x_i) \rho(x_i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \quad (146)$$

In such a way from equation (144) we obtain:

$$\int d^3 x \rho(x_i) \vec{v}^2(x_i) - \frac{\gamma}{2m} \int d^3 x d^3 y \frac{\rho(x_i) \rho(x_i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} = 0. \quad (147)$$

This relation apparently could be satisfied for non-trivial configurations of $\rho(x_i)$, $\vec{v}(x_i)$. The energy functional, corresponding to the Lagrangian (17) has the following form:

$$E = \frac{m}{2} \int d^3 x \rho(x_i, t) \vec{v}^2(x_i, t) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int d^3 x d^3 y \frac{\rho(x_i, t) \rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \quad (148)$$

The two terms of the energy functional have clear interpretation as kinetic T and potential U parts of energy and equation (144) expresses famous "virial theorem" [1]:

$$2T = -U. \quad (149)$$

Note here, that in the "virial theorem" equation (149) holds true for mean values of kinetic and potential energies, while in our case of static solutions there is no need to average over time.

Using the relation (149) we can easily find the total energy of static configuration of the gravitating gas:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{static} &= -\frac{m}{2} \int d^3x \rho(x_i) \vec{v}^2(x_i) = \\ &= -\frac{\gamma}{4} \int d^3x d^3y \frac{\rho(x_i, t) \rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|}. \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

So, the total energy of the static solution is negative, as for the bound state of Kepler problem.

9 Properties of Static Solutions

The equations which define our static configurations of gravitating gas have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i) &= \frac{\gamma}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} \int d^3y \frac{\rho(y_i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} (\rho(x_i) \vec{v}(x_i)) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (151)$$

Taking divergence of the first equation :

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial} \left(v_k(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i) \right) &= \frac{\gamma}{m} \Delta \int d^3y \frac{\rho(y_i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} = \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{m} (-4\pi) \rho(x_i), \end{aligned} \quad (152)$$

we can write the whole set of the equations for static configuration in a pure local form:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial} \left(v_k(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i) \right) &= -4\pi \frac{\gamma}{m} \rho(x_i), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{x}} (\rho(x_i) \vec{v}(x_i)) &= 0, \\ \vec{\partial} \times \left(v_k(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i) \right) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

where the last equation requires the expression $v_k(x_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\vec{v}(x_i)$ to be a gradient.

Now we are going to derive an important inequality, which bounds the $(-E_{static})$ of any solution of (153) from below. For this let us introduce the notation for the potential $U(x_i)$:

$$U(x_i) = - \int d^3y \frac{\rho(y_i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \quad (154)$$

Integrating by parts we obtain the following relation:

$$\int d^3x (\vec{\partial}U(x_i))^2 = 4\pi \int d^3x d^3y \frac{\rho(x_i, t)\rho(y_i, t)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \quad (155)$$

Using (155) we can write the expression for the energy given by (150) of any static configuration, which is the solution of (153) in the following form:

$$E_{static} = -\frac{\gamma}{16\pi} \int d^3x (\vec{\partial}U(x_i))^2 \quad (156)$$

Substituting into (156) the expression for the gradient of the potential $U(x_i)$ from the first equation (151), we obtain the expression for the E_{static} only through the field of velocity:

$$-E_{static} = \frac{m^2}{16\pi\gamma} \int d^3x \left(v_k(x_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \vec{v}(x_i) \right)^2 \quad (157)$$

This form is most convenient for the derivation of desired inequality. Now let us consider a function $f(x_i)$ from the Hilbert space W_2^1 , which consists of all measurable functions on R^3 , which have at least one derivative and square integrable on R^3 together with its derivatives. In particular we assume that the density $\rho(x_i)$ belongs to W_2^1 . Taking into account the equation (152) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\int d^3x \rho(x_i) f(x_i)| &= \left| \frac{m}{4\pi\gamma} \int d^3x f(x_i) \partial_k (v_j(x_i) \partial_j v_k(x_i)) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{m}{4\pi\gamma} \int d^3x \partial_k f(x_i) v_j(x_i) \partial_j v_k(x_i) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{m}{4\pi\gamma} \left(\int d^3x (\partial_k f(x_i))^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int d^3x (v_j(x_i) \partial_j v_k(x_i))^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

where on the last step we have used Cauchy inequality. From (158) we immediately obtain the inequality:

$$-E_{static} \geq \pi\gamma \frac{\left(\int d^3x \rho(x_i) f(x_i) \right)^2}{\int d^3x (\partial_k f(x_i))^2}, \quad (159)$$

which is valid for any $f(x_i)$ from W_2^1 and is saturated for $f(x_i) = U(x_i)$. Indeed, let us calculate the derivative of the functional in the r.h.s of (159) with respect to $f(x_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta}{\delta f(x_i)} \frac{\left(\int d^3x \rho(x_i) f(x_i)\right)^2}{\int d^3x (\partial_k f(x_i))^2} \\ &= 2 \frac{\left(\int d^3x \rho(x_i) f(x_i)\right)^2}{\int d^3x (\partial_k f(x_i))^2} \left[\frac{\rho(x_i)}{\int d^3x \rho(x_i) f(x_i)} + \frac{\Delta f(x_i)}{\int d^3x (\partial_k f(x_i))^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

This derivative vanishes for $f(x_i) = f_{max}(x_i)$ given by

$$f_{max}(x_i) = C \Delta^{-1} \rho(x_i) = C' U(x_i), \quad (161)$$

where C and C' are inessential constants, which do not enter into the functional. It is easy to prove that the second variation of this functional is negative on the $f_{max}(x_i)$, so this function provides the absolute maximum for the functional and due to the equations (155-157) its value coincides with the l.h.s. of (159). However, the function $f_{max}(x_i)$ does not belong to the Hilbert space W_2^1 , because the potential $U(x_i)$ has the asymptotic behavior $\frac{1}{|x|}$ at infinity and therefore is not square integrable.

The integral J which enters into inequality (159) could be written in the following form

$$J = \int d^3x \rho(x_i) f_N(x_i), \quad (162)$$

where we denoted as $f_N(x_i)$ the normalized function $f(x_i)$:

$$f_N(x_i) = \frac{f(x_i)}{\sqrt{\int d^3x (\partial_k f(x_i))^2}} = \frac{f(x_i)}{\|\partial_k f(x_i)\|_2}. \quad (163)$$

In the Hilbert space W_2^1 the Hölder's inequality holds true:

$$\left| \int d^3x f(x_i) g(x_i) \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \quad (164)$$

as well, as the remarkable Ladyjenskaya's [25] inequality:

$$\|f\|_6 \leq (48)^{1/6} \|\partial_k f\|_2 \quad (165)$$

Here we use the standard notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_p &= \left(\int d^3x f(x_i)^p \right)^{1/p}, \\ \|\partial_k f\|_p &= \left(\int d^3x |\partial_k f(x_i)|^p \right)^{1/p}\end{aligned}$$

From these two inequalities we obtain the following bound for the integral J :

$$|J| \leq (48)^{1/6} \|\rho\|_{6/5} \quad (166)$$

The facts mentioned above could possibly support the statement that the $(-E_{static})$ is bounded from below by appropriate norm of the density. Indeed, the absolute maximum of the functional $|J|$ should be bigger when its maximum in a restricted space like W_2^1 , given by (166). However we can not present the rigorous proof of this statement.

One of the other general property of a static configurations of gas or fluid is the existence of the topological charge — "helicity" (or Hopf invariant), which explicit form is

$$q = \int d^3x \vec{v}(x_i) \text{rot} \vec{v}(x_i). \quad (167)$$

This object is not only the integral of motion of the equations (16) but it also is the central element of the algebra of Poisson brackets of $(\vec{v}(x_i), \rho(x_i))$ [6]. The existence of such an object brings additional argument for the stability of the solitons. The role of "helicity" in the case of the solitons in plasma was pointed out in [21],[24]. Moreover, in [?] it was shown that there exists a remarkable inequality which bounds the energy of plasma solitons from below by $q^{3/4}$. The derivation of such inequality for our case (and in general for fluid solitons) is highly desirable and we going to consider this question in the future publications.

10 On the Possible Structure of the Static Solutions

The equations (153) which define the static configurations of gravitating gas are 3-dimensional nonlinear partial differential equations and the probability to find an analytic solution is very low. The only case where a class of solutions was found in a similar situation is the t'Hooft-Polaykov monopole, but there the requirement of the spherical symmetry simplified essentially the problem. In our case we can not expect the spherically symmetric solution

because the continuity equation requires the trajectories of the particles be closed in order to provide the static configuration for $\vec{v}(x_i), \rho(x_i)$. The simplest and most symmetric configuration we could expect for our case is the toroidal structure, where the density is concentrated in the vicinity of the axis of the toroid, while the field of velocity is tangential to the embedded one into the other toroidal surfaces. It is not the first time the toroidal-shape soliton appeared in the context of the theory of continuous media. Since the pioneer works of Lord Kelvin in XIX century to the present time it was studied by many scientists both mathematician and physicists and recently the interest to the subject was again attracted by the works of Faddeev and Niemi [20],[21]

It is clear that in the case of attractive gravitational interaction the particles, which constitute the gas move around the region with bigger density (like planets around the Sun) so there should exist a collective motion in the approximation when the radius of torus tends to infinity and we can speak about cylindrical rather than toroidal configuration. Indeed, let us consider this axially symmetric tornado-like solution of (153). For that we shall write the Ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{v}(x_i) &= v(r)\left(-\frac{y}{r}, \frac{x}{r}, 0\right), \\ \rho(x_i) &= \rho(r),\end{aligned}\tag{168}$$

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. The second and the third equations (153) are satisfied by (168), while the first gives

$$\frac{1}{r}\partial_r v(r)^2 = 4\pi\frac{\gamma}{m}\rho(r).\tag{169}$$

This solution shows that the density stays an arbitrary function (what is expected for the partial differential equations) and the velocity grows with radius up to its asymptotic value. The latter is the consequence of the approximation—here we actually have 2-dimensional potential $\log r$ instead of Coulomb $\frac{1}{r}$. This example is to demonstrate that the particles which constitute the gravitating gas in their collective motion form the localized object.

The traditional way to tackle 3-dimensional gas or fluid is to introduce for the velocity Clebsch parametrization suggested in [8] and recently discussed in [11]. In general case this parametrization has the following form:

$$\vec{v}(x_i) = f(x_i)\vec{\partial}g(x_i) + \vec{\partial}h(x_i),\tag{170}$$

where $f(x_i), g(x_i), h(x_i)$ are scalar functions. For the toroidal solution with z – as the axis of symmetry we shall assume that the density $\rho(x_i)$ does not depend upon the azimuth angle ϕ and Clebsh parametrization takes the form:

$$\vec{v}(x_i) = \cos\alpha(r, z)\vec{\partial}\beta(r, z) + k\vec{\partial}\phi(x_i), \quad (171)$$

where r, z, ϕ are cylindrical coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\ \phi(x_i) &= \arctang\frac{y}{x} \end{aligned}$$

In fluid dynamics the parametrization (171) has an interesting mechanical interpretation which we shall discuss elsewhere. The "helicity"functional for this case has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \int kd\phi(x_i) \wedge d\cos\alpha(r, z) \wedge d\beta(r, z) \\ &= 2\pi k \int d\cos\alpha(r, z) \wedge d\beta(r, z) \end{aligned} \quad (172)$$

The function $\beta(r, z)$ in (171) should has a singularity at the point $(r_c, 0)$ in the semi-plane (r, z) where r_c is the radius of axis line of the torus. When the point (r, z) goes around $(r_c, 0)$, the function $\beta(r, z)$ increases on $2\pi^1$. The gradient of such function will be the vector tangential to the toroidal surfaces. The addition of the gradient of azimuthal angle ϕ makes the velocity (171) winding also in the azimuth direction. Such a behavior of the field of velocity leads to the nontrivial Hopf invariant, which characterizes the homotopy classes $\pi_3(S^2)$. Described this way the Clebsh parameters, together with the density $\rho(x_i)$ could be found by numerical integration of the equations (153).

The construction we presented and discussed above would be incomplete and too academic without an example of the galaxy which indeed may demonstrate the toroidal structure. Fortunately such galaxy does exists. It was discovered back in 1950 by Art Hoag and recently a very good picture was obtained by Hubble telescope (see figure 1). On this picture clearly seen the toroidal structure. In the gallery of the galaxies which is available on the sites <http://www.astronomy.com> or <http://hubblesite.ogr> we can find some other examples with the form more or less close to the torus, but the Hoag's object is the best of all.

¹Compare this with the similar parametrization of the dynamical variables in [21] for the case of plasma.

Рис. 1: The above photo taken by the Hubble Space Telescope in July 2001 reveals unprecedented details of Hoag's Object and may yield a better understanding. Hoag's Object spans about 120,000 light years and lies about 600 million light years away toward the constellation of Serpens.

11 Concluding remarks

Here we have considered different aspects of Hamiltonian formalism for several types of continuous media – gas, fluid, plasma and gravitational gas. In spite of different properties of these media, all of it could be treated within universal formalism and difference arises due to specific interaction between constituents. As it was shown the Lagrangian approach provides the simplest way to introduce different types of interaction because it uses coordinates of particles as basic variables. Having constructed Lagrangian formalism we can derive Euler equations of motion and also the whole set of Poisson brackets for Euler variables.

The C^2 formalism of continuous media considered in Section 6 gives a framework for quantum theory which may be useful for the description of Bose-Einstein condensation in gas and this subject needs further in-depth study.

Another subject which we are going to explore in the future is the theory of gravitating gas. Real challenge here is to find analytically toroidal soliton which could describe ring galaxies.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to professors A.K.Likhoded and A.V.Razumov for their comments and fruitful discussions. This work was supported by RFFI grant 07-01-00234.

Список литературы

- [1] L.Landau and E.Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics , Pergamon, Oxford UK, 1987
- [2] F.Chen, Introduction to Plasma Physics., 2nd edition, Plenum Press, 1983
- [3] H. Lamb, Hydrodynamics, New York, Dover, 1932
- [4] V.I.Arnold, Mathematical Methods in Classical Mechanics, New York, Springer-Verlag 1978; V.I.Arnold, B.Khesin, Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin,1998

- [5] Marsden. J., and Weinstein, A., *Physica* **4D** , p. 394 ,1982; D. D. Holm, B. Kupershmidt and C.D. Levermore, *Phys. Lett. A* **98** p. 389, 1983; Marsden, J., and Weinstein, A., *Physica* **7D** (1983), p.305, 1983; Holm. D.D., Marsden, J.E., Ratiu, T., and Weinstein, A., *Phys. Reports* **123**, nos. 1 & 2, (1985),p. 1, 1985
- [6] I. Antoniou, G.Pronko, *Theor. Math. Phys.* , v.141(3),1670-1685, 2004;
- [7] B.A.Dubrovin, S.P.Novikov, *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, v.44, N4, p.29, 1989 {in russian}; B.A.Dubrovin *Funkcionalnii Analis i ego Prilogeniya*, v.23, N2, p.57, 1989; {in russian}
- [8] A.Clebsh, *J.Reine Angew.Math.*, v.56, p.1, 1859
- [9] V.E.Zakharov *Sov.Phys.JETP*, v.60, N 5, p.1714, 1971 {in russian}
- [10] W.Thompson, *Mathematical and Physical Papers*, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1910;
- [11] R.Jackiw, "Lectures on fluid mechanics e-preprint physics/0010042, 2000
- [12] R. Jackiw, V. P. Nair, S.-Y. Pi, A. P. Polychronatos, *J.Phys.A*, v. 37, R327-R432, 2004
- [13] C.Lanczos, *The Variational Principles of Mechanics*, University of Toronto, Toronto, 1970
- [14] R.Salmon, *Ann.Rev.Fluid Mech.* v. 20, p.225, 1998
- [15] G.Pronko, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, v. 148 (1), 980-985, 2006
- [16] J.-M. Souriau, *Maitrises de Mathematiques*. Paris: Dunod. XXXII, p. 414, 1970
- [17] J. Marsden, A. Weinstain, *Rep. Math. Phys.* , v. 5, N 1, p. 121-130, 1972
- [18] P.A.M.Dirac. *Lecture on Quantum Mechanics*, Academic Press, N.Y., 1964.
- [19] R.Balescu *Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, Willey-Interscience Pub. 1975

- [20] L.D.Faddeev, A.Niemi, Magnetic Geometry and the Confinement of Electrically Conducting Plasmas, e-preprint physics/0003083, 2000
- [21] L.D.Faddeev, A. J. Niemi , Toroidal Configurations as stable solitons, e-preprint hep-th/9705176,(1997)
- [22] B. Semelin, N. Sanchez, H.J.De Vega, Self-gravitating fluid dynamics,instabilities and solitons. Phys. Rev. , Vol. D 63., 084005, (2001)
- [23] V.D.Shafranov. in Reviews of Plasma Physics, vol. II, M.A. Leontovich (editor), Consultants Bureau, New York, (1966)
- [24] L.D. Faddeev, L. Freyhult, A.J. Niemi, P.Rajan, Shafranov's virial theorem and magnetic plasma confinement, J.Phys. A35 (2002) L133-L140
- [25] O.A. Ladyjenskaya, Mathematical problems of the dynamics of viscous incompressible fluid, Moscow, Nauka, 1970, (in russian).
- [26] A.F.Vakulenko, L.V.Kapitanskii, Sov.Phys.Dokl. A495,p.499, 1979,

Hoag's Object



Hubble
Heritage