

WREATH PRODUCT GENERALIZATIONS OF THE TRIPLE (S_{2n}, H_n, φ) AND THEIR SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

HIROSHI MIZUKAWA

ABSTRACT. The symmetric group S_{2n} and the hyperoctahedral group H_n is a Gelfand triple for an arbitrary linear representation φ of H_n . Their φ -spherical functions can be caught as transition matrix between suitable symmetric functions and the power sums. We generalize this triplet in the term of wreath product. It is shown that our triplet are always a Gelfand triple. Furthermore we study the relation between their spherical functions and multi-partition version of the ring of symmetric functions.

Key Words: finite spherical harmonics, Gelfand triple, Hecke algebra, zonal polynomial, Schur function, Schur's Q -function

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with spherical harmonics on a finite Gelfand triple which is a generalization of the triple (S_{2n}, H_n, φ) , where S_{2n} is the symmetric group of degree $2n$, H_n is the hyperoctahedral group and φ is an arbitrary linear character of H_n . We adopt the terminology "Gelfand triple" by the usage of Bump's book [2]. One of main purposes of this paper is to describe an explicit form of the irreducible decomposition of their induced representations. Furthermore we attempt to express their spherical functions as explicit as possible.

Roughly speaking, representation theory of wreath products can be said the multi-partition version of representation theory of the symmetric groups. Their irreducible representations and characters can be explicitly computed. It seems to be no new representational theoretical property of wreath products. Nevertheless, from the view point of spherical harmonics, they give us interesting mathematical objects like a multivariable version of hypergeometric functions as their zonal spherical functions [8, 9]. Furthermore, recent study of finite spherical harmonics related to wreath products succeed in finding new orthogonal polynomials and combinatorial or statistical interpretations of permutation representations [3, 10, 11]. Therefore it is important to find new finite Gelfand triples and analyze their structures as finite homogeneous spaces.

As mentioned above, the triple (S_{2n}, H_n, φ) is a Gelfand triple, i.e. $\varphi \uparrow_{H_n}^{S_{2n}}$ is a multiplicity free as S_{2n} -module. The characters of Hecke algebra associate with (S_{2n}, H_n, φ)

Classification number :20C05,05E05,05E10,05E35.

are called φ -spherical functions. It is known that φ -spherical functions of this case are deeply related to zonal polynomials and Schur's Q -functions [5, 12]. To be more explicit, φ -spherical functions appear in coefficients of the power sums in these symmetric functions. This fact gives an representation theoretical meaning to these symmetric functions. Algebraically there exist isomorphisms called characteristic maps between the direct sum of their Hecke algebras and the ring of symmetric functions. In this paper, we consider an generalization of the triplet by changing S_{2n} into a wreath product $SG_{2n} = G \wr S_{2n}$. We define a subgroup HG_n of SG_{2n} which is a natural generalization of H_n (details are in Section 2). In [10], the author shows the triplet $(SG_{2n}, HG_n, 1)$ is Gelfand triple. When the Frobenius-Schur indicator of all irreducible characters of G is equal to 0 or 1, their spherical functions are obtained as the coefficients of multi-partition version of power sum in product of Schur functions and zonal polynomials. Here we have to explain multi-partition version of symmetric functions. For a finite set A , we prepare the power sums $p_r(a)$ ($a \in A$) and set $\Lambda[A] = \mathbb{C}\langle p_r(a) \mid a \in A, r \geq 1 \rangle$. We call $\Lambda[A]$ the multi-partition version of the ring of symmetric functions associated with A . In the book [7], the character theory of wreath products is introduced in terms of $\Lambda[G^*]$, where G^* denotes the set of the irreducible representations of a finite group G . Recently Ingram, Jing and Stitzinger study this type of symmetric functions in [13].

One of our main result of this paper is to show that a triplet $(SG_{2n}, HG_n, \Theta_{\xi, \pi})$ is a Gelfand triple for an arbitrary linear character $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ of HG_n . Here all linear representations of HG_n can be indexed by linear representations ξ of G and π of H_n (see. Section 4.1). The irreducible representations of SG_{2n} are indexed by a $|G^*|$ -tuple of partitions $(\lambda(\chi) \mid \chi \in G^*)$. The problem we should consider is: which partitions appear as irreducible component of $\Theta_{\xi, \pi} \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}}$? To answer this problem, we need to show a twisted version Frobenius-Schur theorem:

$$\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\xi(g)} \chi(g^2) = -1, 0, 1 \quad (\text{Theorem 3.5}).$$

We see that these three values 1, 0 and -1 determine the type of a partition $\lambda(\chi)$ in a irreducible component indexed by $(\lambda(\chi) \mid \chi \in G^*)$ of the induced representation. After completing this problem, we consider the $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical functions of $(SG_{2n}, HG_n, \Theta_{\xi, \pi})$. We are greatly interested in $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical function because they gives sometimes important examples of orthogonal functions. Actually our $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical functions appear as coefficients of multi-power sum of product including Schur functions, Schur's Q -functions and Jack polynomials at the parameter $\alpha = 2$ and $1/2$.

This paper is organized as follows. We prepare the almost all notations used in this paper in Section 2. In section 3, we consider a twisted version of the Frobenius-Schur formula through an analysis of $(SG_2, HG_1, \Theta_{\xi, \pi})$. As conclusion of this section, we have a certain relation between the number of irreducible representations of finite groups and that

of conjugacy classes. Section 4 is devoted to description of the irreducible decomposition of our triplet. Simultaneously we discuss basis of the Hecke algebra. The main tool of this section is the relation obtained in Section 3. In section 5, we consider spherical functions. Two special cases are computed explicitly. In section 6, we obtain the relation between $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical functions and multi-partition version of symmetric functions. We construct an graded algebra which are the direct sum of Hecke algebra. We make an isomorphism CH_π between the graded Hecke algebra and a certain multi-partition version of the symmetric functions. We see that the image of $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical functions under CH_π is products of some symmetric functions. In the last section, we apply the spherical harmonics of our triplet to the case of $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and see a their $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical functions are essentially same as the characters of symmetric groups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a finite group. We denote by G_* (resp. G^*) the set of the conjugacy classes (resp. a complete representatives of the irreducible representations) of G . Let $\mathcal{L}(G)$ be the set of all linear characters of G . For $g \in G$, C_g denotes the conjugacy class of g . Put $\zeta_g = \frac{|G|}{|C_g|}$. For $\gamma \in G^*$, we denote by χ_γ the character of a representation γ . We always identify $\{\chi_\gamma | \gamma \in G^*\}$ with G^* . Fix $\eta \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. Put $G_{**} = \{C_g \cup C_{g^{-1}} | g \in G\}$ and $G_\eta^{**} = \{\rho | \rho \in G_*\} / \sim_\eta$, where,

$$\rho \sim_\eta \sigma \Leftrightarrow \chi_\rho = \chi_\sigma \text{ or } \chi_\rho = \overline{\chi_\sigma} \otimes \eta \quad (\rho, \sigma \in G^*).$$

By fixing a representatives, we identify G_η^{**} with a subset of G^* . Put $n_{**} = |G_{**}|$ and $n_\eta^{**} = |G_\eta^{**}|$. We remark $n^{**} = n_1^1$, where 1 is the trivial representation of G . If $C_g = C_{g^{-1}}$ (resp. $C_g \neq C_{g^{-1}}$), then we call C_g and $R_g = C_g \cup C_{g^{-1}}$ a *real* (resp. a *complex*).

Example 2.1. *In the case of $G = C_6 = \langle a | a^6 = 1 \rangle$, we set $\xi_m : a \mapsto \exp \frac{2m\pi i}{6}$ ($0 \leq m \leq 5$). Then we have $G_{**} = \{\{1\}, \{a, a^5\}, \{a^2, a^4\}, \{a^3\}\}$ and $G_{\xi_1}^{**} = \{\{\xi_0, \xi_1\}, \{\xi_2, \xi_5\}, \{\xi_3, \xi_4\}\}$.*

Denote by $\mathbb{C}G$ the group algebra of G . We identify $f = \sum_{x \in G} f(x)x \in \mathbb{C}G$ with the function $x \mapsto f(x)$. Under this identification, the multiplication in $\mathbb{C}G$ is given by the convolution: $(f_1 f_2)(x) = \sum_{y \in G} f_1(y^{-1}) f_2(yx)$. Let H be a subgroup of G . For $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, let $e_\xi = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \xi(h^{-1})h$. A subalgebra $\mathcal{H}^\xi(G, H) = e_\xi \mathbb{C}G e_\xi$ of $\mathbb{C}G$ is called a *Hecke algebra* of a triplet (G, H, ξ) . The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}^\xi(G, H)$ can be identified with

$$\{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(gh) = f(hg) = \overline{\xi(h)} f(g) \quad (\forall g \in G, \forall h \in H)\}.$$

We denote by $\xi \uparrow_H^G$ a representation of G induced from ξ . If $\xi \uparrow_H^G$ is multiplicity-free as G -module, then we call the triplet (G, H, ξ) a *Gelfand triple*. From Schur's lemma, “ $\xi \uparrow_H^G$ is multiplicity-free” and “ $\mathcal{H}^\xi(G, H)$ is commutative” are equivalent. In this paper, we only consider representations of finite groups. Therefore we assume that each representation space V of G has G -invariant inner product \langle, \rangle_V . We assume that (G, H, ξ) is a Gelfand

triple. Let $\gamma \in G^*$ be an irreducible component of $\xi \uparrow_H^G$. Then there is a unique element of γ satisfying

$$hv_0 = \xi(h)v_0 \text{ and } \langle v_0, v_0 \rangle_\gamma = 1.$$

We define a ξ -spherical function by

$$\omega_\gamma(g) = \langle v_0, gv_0 \rangle_W.$$

Also ξ -spherical function has the following expression;

$$\omega_\gamma = \frac{|G|}{\dim \gamma} e_\gamma e_\xi,$$

where $e_\gamma = \frac{\dim \gamma}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_\gamma(g^{-1})g$.

Let λ be a partition and let P_n be the set of all partitions of n . We denote by λ' the transpose of λ . For λ , $m_i(\lambda)$ denotes the multiplicity of i in λ . Let $\ell(\lambda)$ be the length of λ . If all parts of λ are even (resp. odd), then we call it a even (resp. odd) partition. We denote by EP_n (resp. OP_n) the set of all even (resp. odd) partitions of n . Let SP_n be the set of all strict partitions of n . Set $P = \cup_{n \geq 0} P_n$, $SP = \cup_{n \geq 0} SP_n$, $OP = \cup_{n \geq 0} OP_n$ and $EP = \cup_{n \geq 0} EP_n$. We denote by $D(\mu)$ the doubling of $\mu \in SP$ (cf. [12]). For example, $D(421) = (5441)$. Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda(x) | x \in X)$ be a $|X|$ -tuple of partitions for a set X . We define the weight of $\underline{\lambda}$ by $|\underline{\lambda}| = \sum_{x \in X} |\lambda(x)|$. For two partitions λ and μ , we define a partition $\lambda \cup \mu$ by $m_i(\lambda \cup \mu) = m_i(\lambda) + m_i(\mu)$ for any i . For $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda(x) | x \in X)$ and $\underline{\mu} = (\mu(x) | x \in X)$, set $\underline{\lambda} \cup \underline{\mu} = (\lambda(x) \cup \mu(x) | x \in X)$. For $(\rho(x) | x \in X)$, we define $\hat{\rho}$ to be a partition $\bigcup_{x \in X} \rho(x)$.

The hyperoctahedral group H_n is a subgroup of S_{2n} defined by

$$H_n \cong \langle (2i-1, 2i), (2j-1, 2j+1)(2j, 2j+2) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle.$$

H_n is the centralizer of an element $(12)(34) \cdots (2n-1, 2n) \in S_{2n}$. Here we remark $\langle (2j-1, 2j+1)(2j, 2j+2) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle \cong S_n = \langle (i, i+1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \rangle$. We define an isomorphism ϕ_n from S_n onto $\langle (2j-1, 2j+1)(2j, 2j+2) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle \subset H_n$ by

$$\phi_n((i, i+1)) = (2i-1, 2i+1)(2i, 2i+2).$$

We consider the wreath product $SG_n = G \wr S_n = \{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n : \sigma) \mid g_i \in G, \sigma \in S_n\}$ of a finite group G with a symmetric group S_n . We define a subgroup HG_n of SG_{2n} by

$$HG_n = \{(g_1, g_1, g_2, g_2, \dots, g_n, g_n : \sigma) \mid \sigma \in H_n\}.$$

Put $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda(\chi) \mid \chi \in G^*)$ and $|\underline{\lambda}| = n$. We denote by $S(\underline{\lambda})$ the irreducible representation of SG_n indexed by $\underline{\lambda}$ which is constructed by the following way (cf. [6]). Let V_χ be an irreducible representation of G affording a character χ and S^λ be an irreducible representation of S_n indexed by a partition λ . We can define an action of SG_n on $S^\lambda(\chi) = V_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes S^\lambda$ by

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n : \sigma)v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes w = g_1 v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \otimes \sigma w,$$

where $v_i \in V_\chi$ and $w \in S^\lambda$. Set $n_\chi = |\lambda(\chi)|$ and $\underline{n} = (n_\chi \mid \chi \in G^*)$. We have $S(\underline{\lambda}) \cong \bigotimes_{\chi \in G^*} S^{\lambda(\chi)}(\chi) \uparrow_{SG(\underline{n})}^{SG_n}$, where $SG(\underline{n}) = \prod_{\chi \in G^*} SG_{n_\chi}$. It is a fact that $\{S(\underline{\lambda}) \mid |\underline{\lambda}| = n\}$ gives a complete representatives of the irreducible representations of SG_n .

In [10], the author shows the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. [10]

- (1) For any $x \in SG_{2n}$, we have $HG_n x HG_n = HG_n x^{-1} HG_n$.
- (2) The double cosets $HG_n \backslash SG_{2n} / HG_n$ are indexed by $|G_{**}|$ -tuple of partitions $\underline{\rho} = (\rho(R) \mid R \in G_{**})$ such that $\sum_{R \in G_{**}} |\rho_R| = n$.

We define some notations of special elements of the symmetric groups and wreath products. After the definition, we see examples of these symbols.

- Definition 2.3.**
- (1) For $x_i \in S_{n_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), We define a parmutation $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]_{n_1, \dots, n_m}$ to be a natural embedding of $x_1 \times \dots \times x_m$ in $S_{n_1 + \dots + n_m}$.
 - (2) For a partition $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell(\rho)}) \in P_n$, we define a parmutation $[\rho]$ by $[\rho] = [\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell(\rho)}]_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\ell(\rho)}}$.
 - (3) In a similar way of 1, for $X_i \in SG_{n_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), we define an element $[X_1, \dots, X_m]_{n_1, \dots, n_m}$ to be a natural embedding of $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m \in SG_{n_1} \times \dots \times SG_{n_m}$ in $SG_{n_1 + \dots + n_m}$.

- Example 2.4.**
- (1) If $x = (12) \in S_3$, $y = (13)(24) \in S_4$ and $z = (12) \in S_2$, then $[x, y, z]_{3,4,2} = (12)(46)(57)(89) \in S_9$.
 - (2) For a partition $\rho = (4, 2, 2)$, we have $[(4, 2, 2)] = (1234)(56)(78) \in S_8$.
 - (3) If $X = (g_1, g_2, g_3 : (12)) \in SG_3$, $Y = (g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7 : (13)(24)) \in SG_4$ and $Z = (g_8, g_9 : (12)) \in SG_2$, then $[X, Y, Z]_{3,4,2} = (g_1, \dots, g_9 : (12)(46)(57)(89)) \in S_9$.

Under these notations, we can choose the complete representative of $HG_n \backslash SG_{2n} / HG_n$ as follows.

Theorem 2.5. [10] Set $G_{**} = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_s\}$ and fix an element g_{R_i} of R_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Let $\rho(R_i) = (\rho_1(R_i), \rho_2(R_i), \dots)$ be a partition of n_i . Put

$$x(R_i) = \begin{cases} \left(\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, g_{R_i})}_{2\rho_1(R_i)}, \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, g_{R_i})}_{2\rho_2(R_i)}, \dots, \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, g_{R_i})}_{2\rho_{\ell(\rho(R_i))}(R_i)} \right), & \rho(R_i) \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset, & \rho(R_i) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

If $n_1 + \dots + n_s = n$, then each complete representative of $HG_n \backslash SG_{2n} / HG_n$ corresponding to $\underline{\rho} = (\rho(R) \mid R \in G_{**})$ can be chosen by the following form

$$x(\underline{\rho}) = [x(R_1), \dots, x(R_s)]_{2n_1, \dots, 2n_s}.$$

If G is the trivial, then the set $\{[2\rho] \mid \rho \in P_n\}$ is a complete representatives of $H_n \backslash S_{2n} / H_n$ (cf. [5]).

Example 2.6. We consider $G = C_4 = \{e, a, a^2, a^3\}$ and $n = 4$. Then we have $G_{**} = \{R_1 = \{0\}, R_2 = \{1, 3\}, R_3 = \{2\}\}$. We consider a representative of $HG_4 \backslash SG_8 / HG_4$ corresponding to $(\emptyset, (1), (2, 1))$. We have $x(R_1) = \emptyset$, $x(R_2) = (e, a : (12))$ and $x(R_3) = (e, e, e, a^2, e, a^2 : (1234)(56))$. Therefore we have

$$[\emptyset, (e, a : (12)), (e, e, e, a^2, e, a^2 : (1234)(56))]_{0,2,6} = \underbrace{(e, a)}_2, \underbrace{e, e, e, a^2, e, a^2}_{4,2} : (12)(3456)(78).$$

3. TWISTED FROBENIUS-SCHUR'S THEOREM

Let G be a finite group. The following identity is known as the Frobenius-Schur's theorem:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^2) = 1, -1 \text{ or } 0.$$

Fix $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. In this section, we consider the following summation:

$$\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\xi(g)} \chi(g^2).$$

We consider a pair (SG_2, HG_1) . This pair is a Gelfand pair (cf. [10]). Fix a complete representatives $\{g_R | R \in G_{**}\}$ of G_{**} . A complete representatives of their double coset can be chosen by

$$\{(1, g_R; 1) | R \in G_{**}\},$$

where we remark $(1, g_R; 1) = (1, g_R; (12))(1, 1; (12))$ (cf. Theorem 2.5). We remark $HG_1 \cong G \times S_2$, therefore any element of $\mathcal{L}(HG_1)$ can be written as

$$(\xi \otimes \varepsilon)(g, g; \sigma) = \xi(g)\varepsilon(\sigma),$$

where ε is the trivial or sign representation of S_2 .

In unitary representation theory of finite groups, the following proposition is well known.

Proposition 3.1. (1) Let R_1 and R_2 be unitary representations of G . If $R_1 \sim R_2$, then there exists a unitary matrix S such that $SR_1S^{-1} = R_2$.

(2) Let R be a unitary representation of G . A matrix U satisfy

$$UR(x)U^{-1} = \overline{R}(x),$$

if and only if

$$\begin{cases} U = {}^tU & (R \text{ is a type I}), \\ U = -{}^tU & (R \text{ is a type II}). \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2. Let χ be an irreducible character of G . Then we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \chi(x^2) = \begin{cases} \alpha & (\chi = \overline{\chi} \otimes \xi) \\ 0 & (\chi \neq \overline{\chi} \otimes \xi), \end{cases}$$

where $|\alpha| = 1$.

Proof. First we assume

$$\chi = \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi \quad (\Leftrightarrow \bar{\chi} = \chi \otimes \bar{\xi}).$$

Let $R(x) = (r_{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ be a unitary matrix representation affording χ . Then $D_\xi(x)^t R(x)^{-1} = D_\xi(x) \overline{R(x)}$, where $D_\xi(x) = \text{diag}(\xi(x), \dots, \xi(x))$, is a unitary matrix representation affording $\xi \otimes \bar{\chi}$. Under our assumption, Proposition 3.1-(1) gives us

$$(1) \quad R(x) = P_{\xi, R} D_\xi(x) \overline{R(x)} P_{\xi, R}^*,$$

where $P_{\xi, R} = (p_{ij})$ is a unitary matrix. Taking the complex conjugate of (1), we have

$$(2) \quad \overline{R(x)} = \overline{P_{\xi, R}} \overline{D_\xi(x)} R(x) \overline{P_{\xi, R}^*}.$$

From (1) and (2) we have

$$R(x) = P_{\xi, R} \overline{P_{\xi, R}} R(x) \overline{P_{\xi, R}^*} P_{\xi, R}^*.$$

Since $P_{\xi, R} \overline{P_{\xi, R}}$ is a scalar unitary matrix, we can put

$$P_{\xi, R} \overline{P_{\xi, R}} = \alpha E,$$

where $|\alpha| = 1$ and $E = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \chi(x^2) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \text{tr} R(x^2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \text{tr} R(x) R(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \text{tr} R(x) R(x^{-1})^* = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \left(\sum_{ij} \overline{\xi(x)} r_{ij}(x) \overline{r_{ij}(x^{-1})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{ij} \left(\sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} r_{ij}(x) \overline{r_{ij}(x^{-1})} \right) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{ij} \left(\sum_{x \in G} \sum_{k, \ell} p_{ik} \overline{r_{k\ell}(x)} \overline{p_{j\ell}} \overline{r_{ij}(x^{-1})} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k, \ell} \sum_{ij} p_{ik} \overline{p_{j\ell}} \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{r_{k\ell}(x)} \overline{r_{ij}(x^{-1})} \right) = \frac{\text{tr} P_{\xi, R} \overline{P_{\xi, R}}}{d} = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that α does not depend on choices of R .

Second we assume

$$\chi \neq \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \chi(x^2) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \operatorname{tr} R(x^2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \operatorname{tr} R(x) R(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \operatorname{tr} R(x) R(x^{-1})^* = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \left(\sum_{ij} \overline{\xi(x)} r_{ij}(x) \overline{r_{ij}(x^{-1})} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{ij} \left(\sum_{x \in G} r_{ij}(x) \overline{r_{ij}(x^{-1})} \xi(x^{-1}) \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.3. $(SG_2, HG_1, \xi \otimes \varepsilon)$ is a Gelfand triple.

Proof. The irreducible characters of SG_2 are of the following forms;

$$\begin{cases} T_1^X(g, h; \sigma) = \chi(g)\chi(h)\delta_{1\sigma} + \chi(gh)\delta_{(12)\sigma}, \\ T_{\operatorname{sgn}}^X(g, h; \sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(\chi(g)\chi(h)\delta_{1\sigma} + \chi(gh)\delta_{(12)\sigma}), \\ U^{\chi, \eta}(g, h; \sigma) = \delta_{1, \sigma}(\chi(g)\eta(h) + \chi(h)\eta(g)), \end{cases} \quad (\chi \neq \eta), ,$$

where χ and η are irreducible characters of G . We remark that $U^{\chi, \eta} = U^{\eta, \chi}$. For $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ or sgn , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{\varepsilon_1}^X, \xi \otimes \varepsilon \rangle_{HG_1} &= \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)\chi(g)\overline{\xi(g)} + \varepsilon_1(12)\varepsilon(12) \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^2)\overline{\xi(g)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle \chi, \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi \rangle_G + \varepsilon_1(12)\varepsilon(12) \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^2)\overline{\xi(g)} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_1(12)\varepsilon(12) \frac{\alpha}{2} & (\chi = \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi) \\ 0 & (\chi \neq \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\alpha| = 1$ and $\langle T_{\varepsilon_1}^X, \xi \otimes \varepsilon \rangle_{HG_1}$ is an integer, we have $\alpha = \pm 1$ and

$$\langle T_{\varepsilon_1}^X, \xi \otimes \varepsilon \rangle_{HG_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\chi = \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi \text{ and } \varepsilon_1(12) = \alpha\varepsilon(12)), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\chi, \eta; 1), \xi \otimes \varepsilon_1 \rangle_{HG_1} &= \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g \in G} 2\chi(g)\eta(g)\overline{\xi(g)} = \langle \chi, \bar{\eta} \otimes \xi \rangle_G \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 & (\chi = \bar{\eta} \otimes \xi) \\ 0 & (\chi \neq \bar{\eta} \otimes \xi). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\xi \otimes \varepsilon \uparrow$ is multiplicity free. Simultaneously we have all irreducible components.

□

Also we have

Proposition 3.4. (1)

$$(\xi \otimes 1) \uparrow_{HG_1}^{SG_2} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi=\xi \otimes \bar{\chi} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi)=1}} S^{(2)}(\chi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi=\xi \otimes \bar{\chi} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi)=-1}} S^{(1^2)}(\chi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi \neq \xi \otimes \bar{\chi} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi)=0}} S^{(1)}(\chi) \otimes S^{(1)}(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi}) \uparrow_{SG_1 \times SG_1}^{SG_2}$$

(2)

$$(\xi \otimes \text{sgn}) \uparrow_{HG_1}^{SG_2} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi=\xi \otimes \bar{\chi} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi)=1}} S^{(1^2)}(\chi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi=\xi \otimes \bar{\chi} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi)=-1}} S^{(2)}(\chi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\chi \neq \xi \otimes \bar{\chi} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi)=0}} S^{(1)}(\chi) \otimes S^{(1)}(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi}) \uparrow_{SG_1 \times SG_1}^{SG_2}$$

From the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have a twisted version of the Frobenius-Schur's theorem (See also [1, Theorem 9]).

Theorem 3.5. *Let χ be an irreducible character of G . Then we have*

$$\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\xi(x)} \chi(x^2) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & (\chi = \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi) \\ 0 & (\chi \neq \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi). \end{cases}$$

Next we consider a basis of $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \varepsilon}(SG_2, HG_1)$ associated with the double coset. Fix a complete representatives $\{g_R \mid g_R \in R\}$ of G_{**} . For $(g_1, g_2 : \sigma) \in SG_2$, we define a element of $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \varepsilon}(SG_2, HG_1)$ by

$$K_{(g_1, g_2; \sigma)} = \sum_{x, y \in HG_1} \varepsilon(xy) \xi(xy) x(g_1, g_2; \sigma) y.$$

Clearly $\{K_x \mid x \in SG_2\}$ spans $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \varepsilon}(SG_2, HG_1)$.

Proposition 3.6. $K_{(1, g; \sigma)} = 0$ if and only if $\xi(g) = -1$ and $C_g = C_{g^{-1}}$.

Proof. Fix an element $g \in G$. We consider elements $a, b \in G$ and $\tau, \theta \in S_2$ satisfying

$$(a, a; \tau)(1, g : \sigma)(b, b; \theta) = (1, g; \sigma).$$

Since $\sigma = 1$ or (12), we have $\tau = \theta$.

First we consider the case of $\tau = \theta = 1$. Then we have $ab = 1$ and $aga^{-1} = g$.

Second we consider the case of $\tau = \theta = (12)$. Then we have $ab = g$ and $aga^{-1} = g^{-1}$. Therefore the conjugacy class of g is a real and $\xi(g) = \pm 1$. When $\xi(g) = -1$, a computation

$$K_{(1, g; \sigma)} = K_{(a, a; (12))(1, g; \sigma)(b, b; (12))} = \xi(ab) K_{(1, g; \sigma)} = \xi(g) K_{(1, g; \sigma)} = -K_{(1, g; \sigma)},$$

gives us $K_{(1, g; \sigma)} = 0$. Let $\mathbf{Co}(K_{(1, g; \sigma)})$ be the coefficient of $(1, g : \sigma)$ in $K_{(1, g; \sigma)}$. The same

computation gives us $\mathbf{Co}(K_{(1, g; \sigma)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\xi(g) = -1 \text{ and } C_g = C_{g^{-1}}) \\ 2\zeta_g & (\xi(g) = 1 \text{ and } C_g = C_{g^{-1}}) \\ \zeta_g & (C_g \neq C_{g^{-1}}). \end{cases} \quad \square$

Clearly $\{K_{g_R} \mid R \in G_{**} \text{ such that } K_g \neq 0\}$ are linearly independent. Also Proposition 3.6 gives us

Proposition 3.7. *A set*

$$\{K_{g_R} \mid R \in G_{**}. \text{ If } R \text{ is real, then } \xi(g_R) \neq -1.\}$$

gives a basis of $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \varepsilon}(SG_2, HG_1)$.

Combining Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.7, we have

Proposition 3.8. *We denote by $\xi(C)$ the value of ξ on a conjugacy class C . Then we have*

$$|\{\chi \mid \chi = \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi\}| + \frac{1}{2}|\{\chi \mid \chi \neq \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi\}| = |G_{**}| - |\{C \mid C = C^{-1}, \xi(C) = -1\}|.$$

Put

$$n_\xi = |\{C \mid C = C^{-1}, \xi(C) = -1\}|, n_{R, \xi} = |\{C \mid C = C^{-1}, \xi(C) = 1\}|, n_C = |\{C \mid C \neq C^{-1}\}|,$$

$$n_R = n_{**} - \frac{1}{2}n_C, n^{R, \xi} = |\{\chi \mid \chi = \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi\}| \text{ and } n^{C, \xi} = |\{\chi \mid \chi \neq \bar{\chi} \otimes \xi\}|.$$

Corollary 3.8 gives us

$$(3) \quad n^{R, \xi} + \frac{1}{2}n^{C, \xi} = n_{**} - n_\xi.$$

The following is just the relation between the number of the irreducible representation and the number of the conjugacy classes.

$$(4) \quad n^{R, \xi} + n^{C, \xi} = n_{**} + \frac{1}{2}n_C.$$

From (3) and (4), we have

Theorem 3.9.

$$\frac{1}{2}n_C + n_\xi = \frac{1}{2}n^{C, \xi}$$

and

$$n_R - 2n_\xi = n^{R, \xi}.$$

This proposition is a generalization of the fact $n_C = n^{C, 1}$ and $n_R = n^{R, 1}$.

Example 3.10. *The character table of $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ is*

G_*	C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4	C_5	C_6	C_7	C_8		
G_{**}	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	R_5	R_6	R_7	R_7	$\nu_2^1(\chi)$	$\nu_2^\xi(\chi)$
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
χ_2	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	0
χ_3	2	2	2	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	-1
χ_4	3	3	-1	0	0	1	-1	-1	1	0
χ_5	3	3	-1	0	0	-1	1	1	1	0
χ_6	2	-2	0	-1	1	0	$\sqrt{2}i$	$-\sqrt{2}i$	0	-1
χ_7	2	-2	0	-1	1	0	$-\sqrt{2}i$	$\sqrt{2}i$	0	-1
χ_8	4	-4	0	1	-1	0	0	0	1	-1

We set $\xi = \chi_2$ and have

$$\chi_j = \xi \otimes \overline{\chi_j} \quad (j = 3, 6, 7, 8), \quad \chi_1 = \xi \otimes \overline{\chi_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_4 = \xi \otimes \overline{\chi_5}.$$

In this case, we have $n_\xi = 1 = |\{C_6\}|$, $n_C = 2$ and $n^{C,\xi} = 4 = |\{\chi_i; i = 1, 2, 4, 5\}|$.

4. IRREDUCIBLE DECOMPOSITION OF INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

4.1. linear representation of HG_n . The hyperoctahedral group H_n has exactly four linear characters;

$$\mathcal{L}(H_n) = \{1, \delta, \iota, \delta \otimes \iota\},$$

which are defined by

$$\begin{cases} \delta((2i-1, 2i)) = -1 \\ \delta(\sigma) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \iota((2i-1, 2i)) = 1, \\ \iota(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\phi_n^{-1}(\sigma)) \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sigma \in \phi(S_n)$. We remark that δ is obtained by restricting the sign representation of S_{2n} to H_n . The following are known as the Littlewood's formula.

- Proposition 4.1.**
- (1) $1 \uparrow_{H_n}^{S_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_n} S^{2\lambda}$.
 - (2) $\delta \uparrow_{H_n}^{S_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_n} S^{(2\lambda)'}$.
 - (3) $\iota \uparrow_{H_n}^{S_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in SP_n} S^{D(\lambda)}$.
 - (4) $\delta \otimes \iota \uparrow_{H_n}^{S_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in SP_n} S^{D(\lambda)'}$.

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(H_n)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. We define $\Theta_{\xi,\pi} \in \mathcal{L}(HG_n)$ by

$$\Theta_{\xi,\pi}(g_1, g_1, \dots, g_n, g_n; \sigma) = \xi(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) \pi(\sigma)$$

for $(g_1, g_1, \dots, g_n, g_n; \sigma) \in HG_n$.

Proposition 4.2. $\mathcal{L}(HG_n) = \{\Theta_{\xi,\pi} \mid \xi \in \mathcal{L}(G), \pi \in \mathcal{L}(H_n)\}$.

Proof. We remark the following isomorphism, $HG_n \cong (G \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n$. Therefore $|\mathcal{L}(HG_n)| = G/[G, G] \times 2 \times 2$. It is clear that $(\xi, \pi) \neq (\xi', \pi')$, if $\xi \neq \xi'$ or $\pi \neq \pi'$. Therefore $|\{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}\}| = 4 \times G/[G, G]$. \square

4.2. basis associated with double coset.

Definition 4.3. We define two subsets of $P_{**}(n)$ by

$$P_{**}^{\xi, +}(n) = \left\{ (\rho(R) | R \in G_{**}); \rho(R) \text{ is } \begin{cases} = \emptyset, & (R \text{ is real and } \xi \equiv -1 \text{ on } R), \\ \in P, & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \right\}$$

and

$$P_{**}^{\xi, -}(n) = \left\{ (\rho(R) | R \in G_{**}); \rho(R) \in \begin{cases} OP & (R \text{ is real and } \xi \equiv 1 \text{ on } R), \\ EP, & (R \text{ is real and } \xi \equiv -1 \text{ on } R), \\ P, & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \right\}.$$

Put

$$x_m = \prod_{k=1}^m (k, 2m-k-1)(2m-1, 2m),$$

and

$$y_m = (2m-1, 2m-3, \dots, 3, 1)(2m, 2m-2, \dots, 4, 2).$$

Since x_m and y_m are commutative with $\prod_{i=1}^n (2i-1, 2i)$, we have $x_m, y_m \in H_n$.

Proposition 4.4. Put $\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, 2m)$ and $g \in G$. We assume that there exists $z \in G$ such that $zgz^{-1} = g^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\underbrace{(g^{-1}z, \dots, g^{-1}z, z, z : x_m)}_{2m-2} (1, \dots, 1, g : \sigma) \underbrace{(z^{-1}g, \dots, z^{-1}g : y_m x_m)}_{2m} = (1, \dots, 1, g : \sigma).$$

Proof. Since $\sigma^2 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m-1)(2, 4, 6, \dots, 2m) = y_m^{-1}$ and $x_m \sigma^{-1} x_m = \sigma$, we have $x_m \sigma y_m x_m = \sigma$. \square

Proposition 4.5. We have

$$(g^{-1}z, \dots, g^{-1}z, z, z : x_m)(z^{-1}g, \dots, z^{-1}g : y_m x_m) = (1, \dots, 1, g, g : \tau_m),$$

where $\tau_m = (246 \cdots 2m)(135 \cdots 2m-1)$, and

$$\Theta_{\xi, \varepsilon}((g^{-1}z, \dots, g^{-1}z, z, z : x_m)(z^{-1}g, \dots, z^{-1}g : y_m x_m)) = \begin{cases} \xi(g) & (\varepsilon = 1, \delta), \\ (-1)^{m+1} \xi(g) & (\varepsilon = \iota, \delta \otimes \iota). \end{cases}$$

Proof. A direct computation gives us $x_m y_m x_m = \tau_m \in H_n$. Since $\phi_n^{-1}(\tau_m) = (123 \cdots m)$, we have $\varepsilon(\tau_m) = (-1)^{m+1}$. \square

Example 4.6. For $g \in G$, suppose there exists $z \in G$ such that $zgz^{-1} = g^{-1}$. Put $\sigma = (123456)$.

$$(g^{-1}z, g^{-1}z, g^{-1}z, g^{-1}z, z, z; x_3)(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, g; \sigma)(z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g : y_3x_3) \\ = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, g; \sigma)$$

$$(g^{-1}z, g^{-1}z, g^{-1}z, g^{-1}z, z, z; x_3)(z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g, z^{-1}g : y_3x_3) = (1, 1, 1, 1, g, g : \tau_3)$$

Proposition 4.7. Let $x(\underline{\rho})$ be an element as in Theorem 2.5 for $\underline{\rho} = (\rho(R) | R \in G_{**}) \in P_{**}(n)$,

(1) For $\varepsilon = 1$ or δ , we have

$$e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} x(\underline{\rho}) e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\rho} \in P_{**}^{\xi, +}(n).$$

(2) For $\varepsilon = \iota$ or $\delta \otimes \iota$, we have

$$e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} x(\underline{\rho}) e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\rho} \in P_{**}^{\xi, -}(n).$$

Proof. We assume $\underline{\rho} \notin P_{**}^{\xi, \pm}(n)$. Then we can choose $y, z \in HG_n$ which satisfy $yx(\underline{\rho})z = x(\underline{\rho})$ and $\Theta_{\xi, \varepsilon}(yz) = -1$ from Proposition 4.5. Since $e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} yx(\underline{\rho})ze_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} = \Theta_{\xi, \varepsilon}(yz)e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} x(\underline{\rho})e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} = -e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} x(\underline{\rho})e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon}$, we have $e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} yx(\underline{\rho})ze_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} = 0$. \square

4.3. **permutation representations.** Fix $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(G)$.

Definition 4.8. Let $X' = \{\lambda' \mid \lambda \in X\}$ for a subset X of P . We define the following four subsets of $P^*(n) = \{\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda(\chi) | \chi \in G^*) \mid |\underline{\lambda}| = 2n\}$.

$$(1) P_{\xi, 1}^{**}(n) = \left\{ (\lambda(\chi) | \chi \in G^*) \mid \lambda(\chi) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \in E, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1), \\ \in E', & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1), \\ = \lambda(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi}) \in P, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0). \end{cases} \right\}.$$

$$(2) P_{\xi, \delta}^{**}(n) = \left\{ (\lambda(\chi) | \chi \in G^*) \mid \lambda(\chi) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \in E, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1), \\ \in E', & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1), \\ = \lambda(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi}) \in P, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0). \end{cases} \right\}.$$

Put $DSP = \{D(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in SP\}$.

$$(3) P_{\xi, \iota}^{**}(n) = \left\{ (\lambda(\chi) | \chi \in G^*) \mid \lambda(\chi) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \in DSP, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1), \\ \in DSP', & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1), \\ = \lambda(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi})' \in P, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0). \end{cases} \right\}.$$

$$(4) P_{\xi, \delta \otimes \iota}^{**}(n) = \left\{ (\lambda(\chi) | \chi \in G^*) \mid \lambda(\chi) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \in DSP, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1), \\ \in DSP', & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1), \\ = \lambda(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi})' \in P, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0). \end{cases} \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.9. (1) $|P_{\xi, 1}^{**}(n)| = |P_{\xi, \delta}^{**}(n)| = |P_{**}^{\xi, +}(n)|$.

(2) $|P_{\xi, \iota}^{**}(n)| = |P_{\xi, \delta \otimes \iota}^{**}(n)| = |P_{**}^{\xi, -}(n)|$.

Proof. It is easy to obtain the first claim by using Theorem 3.9. The second claim can be obtained by using Theorem 3.9 and two bijections:

$$SP_n \rightarrow OP_n \text{ and } P_n \rightarrow \bigcup_{n_0+n_1=n} EP_{n_0} \cup OP_{n_1}.$$

□

Example 4.10. We consider the character table of the quaternion group Q_8 . Put $\xi = \chi_2$.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc|cc} & C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & C_4 & C_5 & \nu_2^1 & \nu_2^\xi \\ \hline R_1 & R_1 & R_2 & R_3 & R_4 & R_5 & \nu_2^1 & \nu_2^\xi \\ \hline \chi_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \chi_2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ \chi_3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ \chi_4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \chi_5 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array}.$$

We have the following bijections,

$$P_{\xi, \varepsilon}^{**}(n) = \{\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda, \lambda, \mu, \mu, D(\nu)) \mid \lambda, \mu \in P, \nu \in SP\} \longrightarrow \bigcup_{n_0+n_1+n_2=n} P_{n_0} \cup P_{n_1} \cup SP_{n_2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{**}^{\xi, \varepsilon}(n) &= \{(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \lambda^4, \lambda^5) \mid \lambda^1, \lambda^3, \lambda^5 \in P, \lambda^2, \lambda^4 \in EP\} \\ &\longrightarrow \bigcup_{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+n_5=n} OP_{n_0} \cup EP_{n_1} \cup OP_{n_2} \cup OP_{n_3} \cup EP_{n_4} \longrightarrow \bigcup_{n_0+n_1+n_2=n} P_{n_0} \cup OP_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using $SP_n \twoheadrightarrow OP_n$, we have $|P_{\xi, \varepsilon}^{**}(n)| = |P_{**}^{\xi, \varepsilon}(n)|$.

Let χ be an irreducible character of G . For $\lambda, \mu \in P_n$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, we denote by $S^{\lambda, \mu}(\chi, \xi)$ a irreducible representation $S^{\lambda, \mu}(\chi, \xi) = S^\lambda(\chi) \otimes S^\mu(\bar{\chi} \otimes \xi) \uparrow_{SG_n \times SG_n}^{SG_{2n}}$ of SG_{2n} .

Proposition 4.11. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. Put $x = (g_1, g_1, g_2, g_2, \dots, g_n, g_n; \sigma) \in HG_n$.

- (1) $S^{2\lambda}(\chi)$ is an irreducible component of $\begin{cases} \Theta_{\xi, 1}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1) \\ \Theta_{\xi, \delta}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1). \end{cases}$
- (2) $S^{(2\lambda)'}(\chi)$ is an irreducible component of $\begin{cases} \Theta_{\xi, 1}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1) \\ \Theta_{\xi, \delta}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1). \end{cases}$
- (3) $S^{D(\lambda)}(\chi)$ is an irreducible component of $\begin{cases} \Theta_{\xi, \iota}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1) \\ \Theta_{\xi, \delta \otimes \iota}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1). \end{cases}$
- (4) $S^{D(\lambda)'}(\chi)$ is an irreducible component of $\begin{cases} \Theta_{\xi, \iota}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1) \\ \Theta_{\xi, \delta \otimes \iota}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1). \end{cases}$

- (5) If $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0$, then $S^{\lambda, \lambda}(\chi, \xi)$ is an irreducible component of $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}}$ for $\pi = 1$ or δ .
- (6) If $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0$, then $S^{\lambda, \lambda'}(\chi, \xi)$ is an irreducible component of $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x) \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}}$ for $\pi = \iota$ or $\delta \otimes \iota$.

Proof. We write $\sigma \in H_n$ in the form of $\sigma = (12)^{\epsilon_1}(34)^{\epsilon_2} \cdots (2n-1 \ 2n)^{\epsilon_n} \tau$, where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\tau \in \phi^{-1}(S_n)$. Firstly we consider (1). If $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1$ (resp. -1), then $V_\chi \otimes V_\chi$ has an element f_χ (resp. g_χ) such that $(g, g : (12))f_\chi = \xi(g)f_\chi$ (resp. $(g, g : (12))g_\chi = -\xi(g)g_\chi$) from Proposition 3.4. From proposition 4.1, $S^{2\lambda}$ has an element $u_{2\lambda}$ such that $\sigma u_\lambda = u_\lambda$. We consider $f_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes u_\lambda \in S^{2\lambda}(\chi)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} x f_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes u_\lambda &= (g_1, g_1 : (12)^{\epsilon_1}) f_\chi \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_n, g_n : (2n-1 \ 2n)^{\epsilon_n}) f_\chi \otimes \sigma u_\lambda \\ &= \xi(g_1) \xi(g_2) \cdots \xi(g_n) f_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes u_\lambda = \xi(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) f_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes u_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Also we consider $g_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes v_\lambda \in S^{2\lambda}(\chi)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} x g_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes v_\lambda &= (g_1, g_1 : (12)^{\epsilon_1}) g_\chi \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_n, g_n : (2n-1 \ 2n)^{\epsilon_n}) g_\chi \otimes \sigma u_\lambda \\ &= (-1)^{\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n} \xi(g_1) \xi(g_2) \cdots \xi(g_n) g_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes u_\lambda = \delta(\sigma) \xi(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) g_\chi^{\otimes n} \otimes u_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

(2),(3) and (4) are obtained by the same way. Second we consider the case of $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0$. Let ΔG be the diagonal subgroup of $G \times G$. We consider the irreducible representations $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ of $G \times G$. Then an easy computation of characters gives us the following formula of the intertwining number; $\langle \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2, \xi \rangle_{\Delta G} = \delta_{\chi_2, \overline{\chi_1} \otimes \xi}$ (We will consider more detailed discussion of this fact in Proposition 5.3). We remark an isomorphism $S^\lambda(\overline{\chi} \otimes \xi) \cong S^\lambda(\overline{\chi}) \otimes S^{(n)}(\xi)$. Therefore $S^\lambda(\chi) \otimes S^\lambda(\overline{\chi} \otimes \xi)$ have an element such that $y k_\lambda = \xi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) k_\lambda$ for $y = (x_1, x_1, \cdots, x_n, x_n : \tau) \in \Delta S G_n$. Put $t_+ = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + (2i-1 \ 2i))$ and $t_- = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - (2i-1 \ 2i))$. Since $\langle (2i-1 \ 2i) | 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \cap \phi^{-1}(S_n) = \{1\}$, the elements $t_+ \otimes k_\lambda, t_- \otimes k_\lambda \in S^{\lambda, \lambda}(\chi, \xi)$ are nonzero and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} x t_+ \otimes k_\lambda = t_+ \otimes y k_\lambda = \xi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) t_+ \otimes k_\lambda, \\ x t_- \otimes k_\lambda = \delta(\sigma) t_- \otimes y k_\lambda = \delta(\sigma) \xi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) t_- \otimes k_\lambda. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain (5). By Remarking an isomorphism $S^{\lambda'}(\overline{\chi} \otimes \xi) \cong S^\lambda(\overline{\chi}) \otimes S^{(1^n)}(\xi)$, (6) can be obtained by the same way of the case of (5). \square

Theorem 4.12. (1) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(H_n)$ and $\xi \in G^*$. We have

$$\Theta_{\xi, \pi} \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{\xi, \pi}^{**}} S(\lambda).$$

In particular, the triplet $(SG_{2n}, HG_n, \Theta_{\xi, \pi})$ is a Gelfand triple.

- (2) Let $x(\underline{\rho})$ be an element as in Theorem 2.5 for $\underline{\rho} = (\rho(R) | R \in G_{**}) \in P_{**}^{1, +}(n)$,

(a) For $\varepsilon = 1$ or δ , we have

$$e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} x(\underline{\rho}) e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \underline{\rho} \in P_{**}^{\xi, +}(n).$$

(b) For $\varepsilon = \iota$ or $\delta \otimes \iota$, we have

$$e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} x(\underline{\rho}) e_n^{\xi, \varepsilon} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \underline{\rho} \in P_{**}^{\xi, -}(n).$$

Proof. Set $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(H_n)$, $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda(\chi) \mid \chi \in G^*) \in P_{\xi, \pi}^{**}$, $n(\underline{\lambda}) = (|\lambda(\chi)| \mid \chi \in G^*)$ and $SG(n(\underline{\lambda})) = \prod_{\chi \in G^*} SG_{|\lambda(\chi)|}$. We define a subgroup of SG_{2n} by

$$\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda})) = \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G_{\xi}^{**} \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = \pm 1}} SG_{|\lambda(\chi)|} \times \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G_{\xi}^{**} \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = 0}} SG_{2|\lambda(\chi)|}.$$

We remark that $\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))$ contains $SG(n(\underline{\lambda}))$ as its subgroup. From the transitivity of the induction, we have

$$S(\underline{\lambda}) = \bigotimes_{\chi \in G^*} S^{\lambda(\chi)}(\chi) \uparrow_{SG(n(\underline{\lambda}))}^{SG_n} = \bigotimes_{\substack{\chi \in G^* \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = \pm 1}} S(\lambda(\chi)) \otimes \bigotimes_{\substack{\chi \in G_{\xi}^{**} \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = 0}} S^{\lambda(\chi), \lambda^*(\chi)}(\chi, \xi) \uparrow_{\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))}^{SG_{2n}},$$

where $\lambda^*(\chi) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\chi), & (\pi = 1, \delta), \\ \lambda'(\chi), & (\pi = \iota, \delta \otimes \iota). \end{cases}$ Let $e(\underline{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))$ be an idempotent such that $S(\underline{\lambda}) \cong \mathbb{C}SG_{2n}e(\underline{\lambda})$. The idempotent $e(\underline{\lambda})$ can be described by the follows;

$$e(\underline{\lambda}) = \prod_{\chi \in G_{\xi}^{**}} e(\lambda(\chi)),$$

where $\mathbb{C}SG_{|\lambda(\chi)|}e(\lambda(\chi)) = S(\lambda(\chi))$ for $\nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = \pm 1$ and $\mathbb{C}SG_{2|\lambda(\chi)|}e(\lambda(\chi)) = S^{\lambda(\chi), \lambda^*(\chi)}(\chi, \xi)$ for $\nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = 0$. We define a subgroup of $\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))$ by

$$\widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda})) = HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda})) = \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G^* \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = \pm 1}} HG_{\frac{|\lambda(\chi)|}{2}} \times \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G_{\xi}^{**} \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = 0}} HG_{|\lambda(\chi)|}.$$

Let $e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$ be an idempotent of $\mathbb{C}HG_n$ affording to $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$. Put $e_{\widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))}^{\xi, \pi} = \frac{1}{|\widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|} \sum_{x \in \widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))} \overline{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x)} x$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e(\underline{\lambda})(1) &= \frac{|\widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|}{|HG_n|} e_{\widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))}^{\xi, \pi} e(\underline{\lambda})(1) \\ &= \frac{|\widetilde{HG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|}{|HG_n|} \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G^* \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = \pm 1}} e_{\frac{|\lambda(\chi)|}{2}}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e(\lambda(\chi))(1) \times \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G_{\xi}^{**} \\ \nu_2^{\xi}(\chi) = 0}} e_{|\lambda(\chi)|}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e(\lambda(\chi))(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since each $e_m^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e(\lambda(\chi))(1)$'s in the equation above is a non-zero element from Proposition 4.11, $e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e(\underline{\lambda})$ is nonzero. Therefore $S(\underline{\lambda})$ is an irreducible component of $\Theta_{\xi, \pi} \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}}$.

Proposition 4.7 gives us an upper bound of the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,\pi}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n)$. In general, the number of distinct irreducible representations in $\Theta_{\xi,\pi} \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}}$ is less than $\dim \mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,\pi}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n)$. By combining these facts and Proposition 4.9, we have the both claims of this theorem. \square

5. $\Theta_{\xi,\pi}$ -SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

The rest part of the paper is devoted to computations of $\Theta_{\xi,\pi}$ -spherical functions of our commutative Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,\pi}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n) = e_n^{\Theta_{\xi,\pi}} \mathbb{C}SG_{2n} e_n^{\Theta_{\xi,\pi}}$. We remark $\Theta_{\xi,\delta} = \Theta_{1,\delta} \otimes \Theta_{\xi,1}$ and $\Theta_{\xi,\delta \otimes \iota} = \Theta_{1,\delta} \otimes \Theta_{\xi,\iota}$, thus we have $\mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,\delta}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n) \cong \mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,1}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,\delta \otimes \iota}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n) \cong \mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi,\iota}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n)$ (cf. [7]). Therefore we consider the cases of $\pi = 1$ and ι .

Theorem 5.1. *For $\underline{\lambda} \in P_{\xi,\pi}^{**}(n)$, the functions*

$$\Omega_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\xi,\pi} = \frac{|HG_n||SG_{2n}|}{|HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))| \dim S(\underline{\lambda})} e_{HG_n}^{\xi,\pi} e(\underline{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}SG_{2n} e(\underline{\lambda}).$$

are the $\Theta_{\xi,\pi}$ -spherical functions of $(SG_{2n}, HG_n, \Theta_{\xi,\pi})$. Here $e(\underline{\lambda})$ is an idempotent defined in the proof of Theorem 4.12.

Proof. It is clear that $x\Omega_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\xi,\pi} = \Theta_{\xi,\pi}(x)\Omega_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\xi,\pi}$ for $x \in HG_n$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} e_{HG_n}^{\xi,\pi} e(\underline{\lambda})(1) &= \frac{|HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|}{|HG_n|} e_{HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))}^{\xi,\pi} e(\underline{\lambda})(1) \\ &= \frac{|HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|}{|HG_n|} \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G^* \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1}} e_{HG_{|\lambda(\chi)|}}^{\xi,\pi} e(\lambda(\chi))(1) \times \prod_{\substack{\chi \in G_\xi^{**} \\ \nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0}} e_{HG_{|\lambda(\chi)|}}^{\xi,\pi} e(\lambda(\chi))(1) \\ &= \frac{|HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|}{|HG_n|} \prod_{\chi \in G_\xi^{**}} \frac{\dim S^{\lambda(\chi)}(\chi)}{|SG_{\lambda(\chi)}|} = \frac{|HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))| \dim S(\underline{\lambda})}{|HG_n||SG_{2n}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Omega_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\xi,\pi}(1) = 1$. \square

In the below, we compute $\Theta_{\xi,\pi}$ -spherical functions for two special cases.

First we consider the case of $\chi = \xi \otimes \bar{\chi}$ i.e., $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1$. Let V_χ be an irreducible unitary representation of G affording χ . Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d be an orthonormal basis of V_χ . Put an matrix representation A_ρ of G on V_χ by

$$A_\rho(g)v_j = \sum_{i=1}^d r_{ij}(g)v_i.$$

We can take a unitary matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ such that $A^*A_\rho(g)A = D_\xi(g)\overline{A_\rho}(g)$. By the same computation of the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have $A\overline{A} = \nu_2^\xi(\chi)E \Leftrightarrow A = \nu_2^\xi(\chi)^t A$.

$$\text{Put } W(\lambda) = W(\lambda; \chi, \xi, \pi) = \begin{cases} 2\lambda, & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1, \pi = 1, \lambda \in P_n) \\ (2\lambda)', & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1, \pi = 1, \lambda \in P_n) \\ D(\lambda), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1, \pi = \iota, \lambda \in SP_n). \\ D(\lambda)', & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1, \pi = \iota, \lambda \in SP_n). \end{cases} \quad \text{If } \nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1 \text{ (resp.} \\ -1), \text{ then } S^{W(\lambda)} \text{ have an element } v_\lambda^\pi \text{ such that } hv_\lambda^\pi = \pi(h)v_\lambda^\pi \text{ (resp. } hv_\lambda^\pi = \pi(h)\delta(h)v_\lambda^\pi) \\ \text{for } h \in H_n \text{ and } |v_\lambda^\pi| = 1 \text{ (cf. Proposition 4.11). We define an inner product } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ of} \\ S^{W(\lambda)}(\chi) \cong V_\chi^{\otimes 2n} \otimes S^{W(\lambda)} \text{ by}$$

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{j=1}^{2n} t_{i_j} \otimes t, \bigotimes_{j=1}^{2n} u_{i_j} \otimes u \right\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \langle t_{i_j}, u_{i_j} \rangle_{V_\chi} \times \langle t, u \rangle_{S^{W(\lambda)}},$$

where $t_{i_j}, u_{i_j} \in V_\chi$ and $t, u \in S^{W(\lambda)}$. Put $\omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}(\sigma) = \langle v_\lambda^\pi, \sigma v_\lambda^\pi \rangle_{S^{W(\lambda)}}$ for $\sigma \in S_{2n}$. Put $f = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} v_i \otimes v_j$. Then direct computations give us $(g, g : 1)f = \xi(g)(\chi)f$ and $(1, 1 : (12))f = \nu_2^\xi(\chi)f$. Put $x_n = (1, \dots, 1, g : [2n])$, where $[2n] = (12 \dots 2n)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^{\otimes n} \otimes v_\lambda, x_n f^{\otimes n} \otimes v_\lambda \rangle &= \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \\ j_1, \dots, j_n}} a_{j_n i_1} \overline{a_{i_1 j_1}} a_{j_1 i_2} \overline{a_{i_2 j_2}} \cdots \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{j_{n-1} k} \tau_{ki n}(g) \right) \overline{a_{i_n j_n}} \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([2n]) \\ &= \text{tr}((A\overline{A})^{n-1} (AA_\rho(g)\overline{A})) \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([2n]) = \text{tr}((A\overline{A})^{n-1} (AA_\rho(g)A^{-1})(A\overline{A})) \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([2n]) \\ &= (\nu_2^\xi(\chi))^{n-1} \text{tr} A_\rho(g) \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([2n]) = (\nu_2^\xi(\chi))^n \chi(g) \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([2n]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle f^{\otimes n} \otimes v_\lambda, x_n f^{\otimes n} \otimes v_\lambda \rangle = (\dim V_\chi)^n.$$

We repeat the same computations and have

$$\langle f^{\otimes 2n} \otimes v_\lambda, x(\underline{\rho}) f^{\otimes 2n} \otimes v_\lambda \rangle = (\nu_2^\xi(\chi))^n \prod_{R \in G_{**}} \chi(g_R)^{\ell(\rho(R))} \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([\underline{\hat{\rho}}]).$$

We use a notation $\omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}(\underline{\hat{\rho}})$ instead of $\omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}([\underline{\hat{\rho}}])$ and have

Proposition 5.2. *The $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical functions associated to $S^{W(\lambda)}(\chi)$ are given by*

$$\Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}(x(\underline{\rho})) = \frac{(\nu_2^\xi(\chi))^n \omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}(\underline{\hat{\rho}})}{\dim V_\chi^n} \prod_{R \in G_{**}} \chi(g_R)^{\ell(\rho(R))}.$$

Next we consider the case of $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0$. Let S_n^o and S_n^e be symmetric groups on $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2n-1\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2n\}$ respectively. Put $SG_n^o = G \wr S_n^o$ and $SG_n^e = G \wr S_n^e$. We consider the irreducible representation $S^{\mu, \mu^*}(\chi, \xi) = S^\mu(\chi) \otimes S^{\mu^*}(\xi \otimes \overline{\chi}) \uparrow_{SG_n^o \times SG_n^e}^{SG_{2n}^o}$,

where $\mu^* = \begin{cases} \mu, & (\pi = 1), \\ \mu', & (\pi = \iota). \end{cases}$ We just denote by ΔSG_n a subgroup of $SG_n^o \times SG_n^e$ defined by

$HG_n \cap SG_n^o \times SG_n^e$. Let T_n be a subgroup of SG_{2n} defined by $T_n = \langle (1, \dots, 1; (2i-1, 2i)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$.

Proposition 5.3. *For $\eta \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, set $\hat{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}(\Delta G)$ by $\hat{\eta}(g, g) = \eta(g)$. Then we have*

$$(5) \quad \hat{\eta} \uparrow_{\Delta G}^{G \times G} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in G^*} \chi \otimes (\eta \otimes \bar{\chi}).$$

In particular, $(G \times G, \Delta G, \hat{\eta})$ is a Gelfand triple. The $\hat{\eta}$ -spherical functions ω_χ are given by

$$\omega_\chi(x, y) = \frac{\eta(y^{-1})}{\chi(1)} \chi(x^{-1}y).$$

Proof. First, we compute $\langle \chi \otimes \bar{\chi} \otimes \eta, \hat{\eta} \rangle_{\Delta G} = \frac{1}{|\Delta G|} \sum_{(g, g) \in \Delta G} \chi(g) \overline{\chi(g)} \eta(g) \overline{\eta(g)} = \langle \chi, \chi \rangle_G =$

1. Therefore $\hat{\eta} \uparrow_{\Delta G}^{G \times G}$ includes $\chi \otimes (\eta \otimes \bar{\chi})$ as an irreducible component. Since we have $\hat{\eta} \uparrow_{\Delta G}^{G \times G} (1) = |G|$ and $\sum_{\chi \in G^*} \chi(1) \eta(1) \bar{\chi}(1) = |G|$, we obtain (5). Second, we have (see [7, pp. 397])

$$\omega_\chi(x, y) = \frac{1}{|\Delta G|} \sum_{(g, g) \in \Delta G} \chi \otimes (\eta \otimes \bar{\chi})(x^{-1}g, y^{-1}g) \eta(g^{-1}).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\chi(x, y) &= \frac{1}{|\Delta G|} \sum_{(g, g) \in \Delta G} \chi(x^{-1}g) \eta(y^{-1}g) \bar{\chi}(y^{-1}g) \eta(g^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{\eta(y^{-1})}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(x^{-1}g) \bar{\chi}(y^{-1}g) \\ &= \frac{\eta(y^{-1})}{|G|} \chi^2(x^{-1}y) = \frac{\eta(y^{-1})}{\chi(1)} \chi(x^{-1}y). \end{aligned}$$

□

From this proposition, $S^\mu(\chi) \otimes S^{\mu^*}(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi})$ is an irreducible component of

$$\begin{cases} S^{(n)}(\xi) \uparrow_{\Delta SG_n}^{SG_n^o \times SG_n^e} & (\pi = 1) \\ S^{(1^n)}(\xi) \uparrow_{\Delta SG_n}^{SG_n^o \times SG_n^e} & (\pi = \iota). \end{cases}$$

Since $HG_n = T_n \times \Delta SG_n$ as a set, $e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$ enjoys a factorization formula $e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} = e_n^\pi e_n^{\xi, \pi} = e_n^{\xi, \pi} e_n^\pi$. Here $e_n^\pi = \frac{1}{|T_n|} \sum_{t \in T_n} \pi(t) t$ and $e_n^{\xi, \pi} = \frac{1}{|\Delta SG_n|} \sum_{x \in \Delta SG_n} \overline{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x)} x$. We define a function on SG_{2n} by

$$F_\lambda^{\xi, \pi} = e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e_\lambda(\chi) \times e_{\lambda^*}(\bar{\chi} \otimes \xi) e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} = e_n^\pi (e_n^{\xi, \pi} e_\lambda(\chi) \times e_{\lambda^*}(\bar{\chi} \otimes \xi) e_n^{\xi, \pi}) e_n^\pi,$$

where $e_\lambda(\chi)$ and $e_{\lambda^*}(\bar{\chi} \otimes \xi)$ are idempotents corresponding to irreducible SG_n^o -modules $S^\lambda(\chi)$ and SG_n^e -module $S^{\lambda^*}(\bar{\chi} \otimes \xi)$ respectively. Proposition 5.3 gives us a fact that a

function $\widetilde{F}_\lambda^{\xi, \pi} = \frac{h(\lambda)^2 |G|^{2n}}{\chi(1)^{2n}} e_n^{\xi, \pi} e_\lambda(\chi) \times e_{\lambda^*}(\overline{\chi} \otimes \xi) e_n^{\xi, \pi}$ is the $\begin{cases} S^{(n)}(\xi) & (\pi = 1) \\ S^{(1^n)}(\xi) & (\pi = \iota) \end{cases}$ -spherical

function of a Gelfand triple $(SG_n^o \times SG_n^e, \Delta SG_n, \Theta_{\xi, \pi})$, where $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ is considered as the restriction of $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ to ΔSG_n . For $x \in SG_{2n}$, we have

$$e_n^\pi \widetilde{F}_\lambda^{\xi, \pi} e_n^\pi(x) = \frac{1}{4^n} \sum_{t, s \in T_n} \pi(ts) \widetilde{F}_\lambda^{\xi, \pi}(sxt).$$

We set $x_0 = (1, \dots, 1, g : (1, 2, \dots, 2n))$ and $t_n = (12)(34) \cdots (2n-1, 2n)$. Then the following is easy:

$$"s x_0 t \in SG_n^o \times SG_n^e \Leftrightarrow s = 1, t = (1, \dots, 1 : t_n) \text{ or } s = (1, \dots, 1 : t_n), t = 1".$$

Put $d_n^\lambda(\chi) = \frac{1}{\dim S^\lambda(\chi)}$. Let χ_ρ^λ be the irreducible character of S_n , indexed by the partition λ and evaluated at the conjugacy class ρ . Now we can compute (cf. [6, pp. 149, 4.3.9 Lemma])

$$\begin{aligned} e_n^\pi \widetilde{F}_\lambda^{\xi, \pi} e_n^\pi(x_0) &= \frac{\pi(t_n)}{4^n} \{ \widetilde{F}_\lambda^{\xi, \pi}(1, \dots, 1, g : (135 \cdots 2n-1)) + \widetilde{F}_\lambda^{\xi, \pi}(1, \dots, 1, g, 1 : (246 \cdots 2n)) \} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{d_n^\lambda(\chi)}{4^n} (\xi(g^{-1})\chi(g) + \chi(g^{-1}))\chi_{(n)}^\lambda & (\pi = 1) \\ \frac{d_n^\lambda(\chi)}{4^n} (\xi(g^{-1})\chi(g) + (-1)^{n-1}\chi(g^{-1}))\chi_{(n)}^\lambda & (\pi = \iota). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For general $x(\underline{\rho})$, repeating these computations with $x(\underline{\rho})$ in place of x_0 establishes the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *We obtain $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical function of $S^{\lambda, \lambda^*}(\chi, \xi)$*

$$\Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}(x(\underline{\rho})) = \frac{\chi_{\underline{\rho}}^\lambda}{2^n \dim S^\lambda(\chi)} \prod_{R \in G_{**}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\overline{\xi(g_R)} \chi(g_R) + \epsilon_\pi^{\rho_i(R)-1} \overline{\chi(g_R)} \right)^{m_i(\rho(R))},$$

$$\text{where } \epsilon_\pi = \begin{cases} 1 & (\pi = 1) \\ -1 & (\pi = \iota). \end{cases}$$

6. MULTI-PARTITIVERSION OF SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Let $p_r(R)$ be a power sum symmetric function with variables $(x_i(R) \mid i \geq 1)$ for each $R \in G_{**}$ and $\Lambda[G_{**}] = \mathbb{C}\langle p_r(R) \mid r \geq 1, R \in G_{**} \rangle$. We define a subalgebra $\Lambda_G^{\xi, \pi}$ of $\Lambda[G_{**}]$ by

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_G^{\xi, 1} = \mathbb{C}\langle p_r(R) \mid R \text{ is a complex or } \xi \not\equiv -1 \text{ on } R \rangle, \\ \Lambda_G^{\xi, \iota} = \mathbb{C}\langle p_r(R) \mid r \text{ is odd (resp. even), if } \xi \equiv 1 \text{ (resp. } -1) \text{ on a real } R \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Set, for $\underline{\rho} \in P_{**}$,

$$P_{\underline{\rho}}(G_{**}) = \prod_{R \in G_{**}} p_{\rho(R)}(R).$$

Change variables by setting

$$p_r(\chi) = \sum_{R \in G_{**}; \text{real}} \frac{\overline{\xi(g_R)}\chi(g_R) + \epsilon_\pi^{r-1}\overline{\chi(g_R)}}{2\zeta_{g_R}} p_r(R) + \sum_{R \in G_{**}; \text{complex}} \frac{\overline{\xi(g_R)}\chi(g_R) + \epsilon_\pi^{r-1}\overline{\chi(g_R)}}{\zeta_{g_R}} p_r(R).$$

Here we remark $p_r(\chi) = \epsilon_\pi p_r(\xi \otimes \bar{\chi})$. The second orthogonality relation gives us that $p_r(\chi)$'s generate the ring $\Lambda_G^{\xi, \pi}$. Put $\mathcal{H}_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} = \mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n)$. Set $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \pi} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}(SG_{2n}, HG_n)$. Here we consider to be $\mathcal{H}_0^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} = \mathbb{C}$. We define a product on $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \pi}$ by $fg = e_{m+n}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}(f \times g)e_{m+n}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$, where $f \times g$ means a natural embedding of $\mathbb{C}S_{2m} \times S_{2n}$ in $\mathbb{C}S_{2m+2n}$. From Proposition 4.7, we have a basis $\{e^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} x(\underline{\rho})e^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} \mid \underline{\rho} \in \bigcup_{n \geq 0} P_{**}^{\xi, \pi}(n)\}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \pi}$.

We define a map $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \pi}$ onto $\Lambda_G^{\xi, \pi}$ by

$$CH_\pi : e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} x(\underline{\rho})e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} \mapsto \sqrt{\prod_{R; \text{real}} 2^{(1-\epsilon_\pi)\ell(\rho(R))} P_\rho(G_{**})}$$

for $\underline{\rho} \in P_{\xi, \pi}(n)$. Since there exists $a \in \phi(S_n \times S_m)$ such that $ax(\underline{\rho}) \times x(\underline{\sigma})a^{-1} = x(\underline{\rho} \cup \underline{\sigma})$ ($|\underline{\rho}| = n$ and $|\underline{\sigma}| = m$), CH is a ring isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^{\xi, \pi}$ and $\Lambda_G^{\xi, \pi}$. Under our definition, we have

$$CH_\pi(f) = CH_\pi\left(\sum_{g \in SG_{2n}} f(g)g\right) = \sum_{\underline{\rho} \in P_{**}^{\xi, \pi}(n)} |D_\rho| f(x(\underline{\rho})) CH(e^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} x(\underline{\rho})e^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}})$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $J_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ be a Jack symmetric function indexed by λ at the parameter α .*

$$|HG_n|^{-1} CH_\pi(\Omega_{\xi, \pi}^\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{|G|^n}{(\dim V_\lambda)^n} J_\lambda^{(2)}(\chi), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1, \pi = 1) \\ \frac{|G|^n}{(\dim V_\lambda)^n} \tilde{J}_\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\chi), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1, \pi = 1) \\ \frac{|G|^n}{(\dim V_\lambda)^n} \bar{h}_\lambda Q_\lambda(\chi), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1, \pi = \iota : Q_\lambda \text{ is a Schur's } Q\text{-function}) \\ \frac{|G|^n}{(\dim V_\lambda)^n} h_\lambda S_\lambda(\chi) & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0 : S_\lambda \text{ is a Schur function}), \end{cases}$$

where h_λ (resp. \bar{h}_λ) denotes the product of hook lengths (resp. shifted hook lengths) of λ . Here $\tilde{J}_\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2})}$ is defined by $\tilde{J}_\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2})} = \psi_2(J_\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2})})$ for an isomorphism $\psi : p_r \mapsto \frac{1}{2}p_r$ on the ring of symmetric functions.

Proof. For finite sets A and B , we set two kinds of power sums $p_r(a)$ ($a \in A$) and $p_r(b)$ ($b \in B$) and change variables by setting $p_r(a) = \sum_{b \in B} \frac{ab(r)}{\zeta_b} p_r(b)$. We consider a symmetric

function $F_\lambda(a) = \sum_{\rho \vdash n} z_\rho^{-1} b_\rho^\lambda p_\rho(a)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\lambda(a) &= \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \frac{b_\rho^\lambda}{\prod_{r=1}^n m_r(\rho)! r^{m_r(\rho)}} \prod_{r=1}^n p_r(b)^{m_r(\rho)} \\
&= \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \frac{b_\rho^\lambda}{\prod_{r=1}^n r^{m_r(\rho)}} \prod_{r=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{\sum_b m_r(\rho(b))=m_r(\rho)} \frac{1}{\prod_{b \in B} m_r(\rho(b))!} \times \prod_{b \in B} \left(\frac{a_b(r)}{\zeta_b} p_r(b) \right)^{m_r(\rho(b))} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\rho \vdash n} b_\rho^\lambda \prod_{r=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{\sum_b m_r(\rho(b))=m_r(\rho)} \frac{1}{\prod_{b \in B} r^{m_r(\rho(b))} m_r(\rho(b))!} \times \prod_{b \in B} \left(\frac{a_b(r)}{\zeta_b} p_r(b) \right)^{m_r(\rho(b))} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(\rho(b)|b \in B) \vdash n, \\ \cup \rho(b)=\rho}} \sum_{\rho \vdash n} \frac{b_\rho^\lambda \prod_{b \in B} \prod_{r=1}^n a_b(r)^{m_r(\rho(b))}}{\prod_{b \in B} z_{\rho(b)} \zeta_b^{\ell(\rho(b))}} \prod_{b \in B} \prod_{r=1}^n p_r(b)^{m_r(\rho(b))}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the below, we set $A = G_{\xi^{**}}$, $B = G_{**}$, $\zeta_b = \zeta_{g_R}$ ($b \in R$) and $a_{g_R}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\xi(g_R)\chi(g_R)} + \epsilon_\pi^{r-1} \overline{\chi(g_R)}}{2}, & (R \text{ re}) \\ \overline{\xi(g_R)\chi(g_R)} + \epsilon_\pi^{r-1} \overline{\chi(g_R)}, & (R \text{ co}) \end{cases}$

From [7, 12],

$$\begin{cases} J_\lambda^{(2)} = |H_n| \sum_{\rho \in P_n} z_{2\rho}^{-1} \omega_{\xi,1}^{\chi,\lambda}([\underline{\rho}]) p_\rho & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1), \\ J_{\lambda'}^{(\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{|H_n|}{2^n} \sum_{\rho \in P_n} z_\rho^{-1} (-1)^n \omega_{\xi,1}^{\chi,\lambda}([\underline{\rho}]) p_\rho & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1), \\ Q_\lambda = 2^n g^\lambda \sum_{\rho \in OP_n} z_\rho^{-1} \nu_2^\xi(\chi)^n \omega_{\xi,\iota}^{\chi,\lambda}([\underline{\rho}]) p_\rho & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1) \\ S_\lambda = \sum_{\rho \in P_n} z_\rho^{-1} \chi_\rho^\lambda p_\rho. \end{cases}$$

We consider the cases of $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1$, i.e. $\chi = \xi \otimes \bar{\chi}$. In this case, we have

$$p_r(\chi) = \sum_{R \in G_{**}; \text{real}} \frac{(1 + \epsilon_\pi^r) \overline{\chi(g_R)}}{2 \zeta_{g_R}} p_r(R) + \sum_{R \in G_{**}; \text{complex}} \frac{(1 + \epsilon_\pi^r) \overline{\chi(g_R)}}{\zeta_{g_R}} p_r(R).$$

From [10, Proposition 5.15], we have the order of each double coset $D_\rho = HG_n x(\underline{\rho}) HG_n$;

$$|D_\rho| = |HG_n|^2 \prod_{\substack{R=C \in G_{**} \\ C=C^{-1}}} \frac{1}{z_{2\rho(R)} \zeta_C^{\ell(\rho(R))}} \times \prod_{\substack{R=C \cup C^{-1} \in G_{**} \\ C \neq C^{-1}}} \frac{1}{z_{\rho(R)} \zeta_C^{\ell(\rho(R))}}.$$

Using these notations, F_λ is rewritten as

$$F_\lambda = \frac{1}{|HG_n|^2} \sum_{|\underline{\rho}|=n} \prod_{R \in G_{**}} \chi(g_R)^{\ell(\rho(R))} b_{\underline{\rho}}^\lambda |D_\rho| \prod_{R \in G_{**}} 2^{\ell(\rho(R))} P_\rho(G_{**}).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{cases} J_\lambda^{(2)}(\chi) = \frac{|H_n| (\dim V_\chi)^n}{|HG_n|^2} \sum_{\rho \in P_{**}^{\xi,1}} |D_\rho| \Omega_{\xi,1}^{\chi,\lambda}(x(\underline{\rho})) P_\rho = \frac{1}{|HG_n|} \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} C H_\pi(\Omega_{\xi,1}^{\chi,\lambda}) & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1), \\ \tilde{J}_\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\chi) = \frac{|H_n| (\dim V_\chi)^n}{|HG_n|^2} \sum_{\rho \in P_{**}^{\xi,1}} |D_\rho| \Omega_{\xi,1}^{\chi,\lambda}(x(\underline{\rho})) P_\rho = \frac{1}{|HG_n|} \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} C H_\pi(\Omega_{\xi,1}^{\chi,\lambda}) & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1), \\ Q_\lambda(\chi) = \frac{2^n g^\lambda (\dim V_\chi)^n}{|HG_n|^2} \sum_{\rho \in P_{**}^{\xi,\iota}} |D_\rho| \Omega_{\xi,\iota}^{\chi,\lambda}(x(\underline{\rho})) 2^{\ell(\underline{\rho})} P_\rho = \frac{\bar{h}_\lambda}{|HG_n|} \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} C H_\pi(\Omega_{\xi,\iota}^{\chi,\lambda}) & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1). \end{cases}$$

Here g^λ denotes the number of shifted standard tableaux of shape λ . It is fact that $\bar{h}_\lambda = \frac{n!}{g^\lambda}$. We consider $\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0$, i.e. $\chi \neq \xi \otimes \bar{\chi}$. Then we have

$$S_\lambda(\chi) = \frac{2^n \dim S^\lambda(\chi)}{|HG_n|^2} \sum_{\rho \in P_{**}^{\xi, \pi}} |D_\rho| \Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}(x(\rho)) P_\rho = \frac{h_\lambda}{|HG_n|} \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} CH_\pi(\Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda}).$$

□

Now we state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 6.2. *We recall the notations of Theorem 4.12. For $\lambda \in P_{\xi, \pi}^{**}$, we have*

$$|HG_n|^{-1} CH(\Omega_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\xi, \pi}) = \prod_{\chi \in G_\xi^{**}} F_\lambda(\chi)$$

Here

$$F_\lambda(\chi) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} J_\lambda^{(2)}(\chi), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 1, \pi = 1) \\ \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} \tilde{J}_\lambda^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\chi), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = -1, \pi = 1) \\ \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} \bar{h}_\lambda Q_\lambda(\chi), & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = \pm 1, \pi = \iota) \\ \frac{(\dim V_\chi)^n}{|G|^n} h_\lambda S_\lambda(\chi) & (\nu_2^\xi(\chi) = 0), \end{cases}$$

Proof. In Theorem 5.1, we have already written down $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}$ -spherical function in the form of product of idempotents. Since $e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} = e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e_{HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))}^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}$, we have

$$e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} e(\underline{\lambda}) e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} = \dim S(\underline{\lambda}) \frac{|\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|}{|SG_{2n}|} \times \frac{1}{|\widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|} e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} \prod_{\chi \in G_\xi^{**}} \Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda(\chi)} e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}.$$

In the above, we use an general formula of ϕ -spherical functions ω_χ of a Gelfand triple (G, H, ϕ) ; $\omega_\chi = \frac{|G|}{\chi(1)} e_\chi e_\phi$. Therefore we have

$$\Omega_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\xi, \pi} = \frac{|HG_n|}{|HG_n \cap \widetilde{SG}(n(\underline{\lambda}))|} e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}} \prod_{\chi \in G_\xi^{**}} \Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda(\chi)} e_n^{\Theta_{\xi, \pi}}.$$

Since CH_π is a ring isomorphism, we have

$$CH_\pi(\Omega_{\xi, \pi}^{\chi, \lambda(\chi)}) = |HG_n| \prod_{\chi \in G_\xi^{**}} F_{\lambda(\chi)}(\chi),$$

from Proposition 6.1. □

7. THE CASE OF $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

In the last section, we consider a special case; $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{1, -1\}$. Then we remark that SG_{2n} is isomorphic to H_{2n} and HG_n is isomorphic to a wreath product of Kleinsche Vierergruppe with a symmetric group. In this case, $G_{**} = \{\{1\}, \{-1\}\}$. Let ε be the sign representation of G and π . For $\pi = 1$ or δ , the irreducible decomposition is

$$\Theta_{\varepsilon, \pi} \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=n} S^{\lambda, \lambda}(\chi, \varepsilon)$$

and non-vanishing double coset is $\{HG_n x(\underline{\rho})HG_n \mid \underline{\rho} = (\rho(\{1\}), \emptyset)\}$ from Theorem 4.12. From Proposition 5.4, the $\Theta_{\varepsilon, 1}$ -spherical function corresponding to $S^{\lambda, \lambda}(\chi, \varepsilon)$ is

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon, \pi}^{1, \lambda}(x(\rho, \emptyset)) = \frac{h_\lambda}{2^{n-\ell(\rho)} n!} \chi_\rho^\lambda.$$

For $\pi = \iota$ or $\delta \otimes \iota$, the irreducible decomposition is

$$\Theta_{\varepsilon, \pi} \uparrow_{HG_n}^{SG_{2n}} = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=n} S^{\lambda, \lambda'}(\chi, \varepsilon)$$

and non-vanishing double coset is $\{HG_n x(\rho(\{1\}), \rho(\{-1\}))HG_n \mid \rho(\{1\}) \in OP, \rho(\{-1\}) \in EP\}$ from Theorem 4.12. Put $\rho = \rho(\{1\}) \cup \rho(\{-1\})$. From Proposition 5.4, the $\Theta_{\varepsilon, 1}$ -spherical function corresponding to $S^{\lambda, \lambda'}(\chi, \varepsilon)$ is

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon, \pi}^{1, \lambda}(x(\rho(\{1\}), \rho(\{-1\}))) = \frac{h_\lambda}{2^n n!} \chi_\rho^\lambda 2^{\ell(\rho(\{1\}))} (-2)^{\ell(\rho(\{-1\}))} = \frac{h_\lambda}{2^{n-\ell(\rho)} n!} \chi_\rho^{\lambda'}.$$

In conclusion, tables of $\Theta_{\varepsilon, \pi}$ -spherical function of this case can be obtained by multiplying the character table of the symmetric group by some diagonal matrices from both sides.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bump and D. Ginzburg, Generalized Frobenius-Schur numbers, J. Algebra 278 (2004), pp. 294–313
- [2] D. Bump, *Lie Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 225. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [3] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti and F. Tolli, Trees, wreath products and finite Gelfand pairs, Advances in Math. 206 (2006), pp. 503-537.
- [4] C.W.Curtis and I.Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory Vol.1*, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [5] A.T.James, Zonal polynomials of the real positive definite symmetric matrices", Annals of Math. 74 (1961), pp.475-501.
- [6] G. James and A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 16, 1981.
- [7] I.G.Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials 2nd.ed.*, Oxford Science Pub, 1995.
- [8] H. Mizukawa, Zonal spherical functions on the complex reflection groups and $(m+1, n+1)$ -hypergeometric functions, Advances in Math. 184 (2004) pp. 1-17.
- [9] H. Mizukawa and H. Tanaka, $(n+1, m+1)$ -hypergeometric functions associated to character algebras, Proc. AMS. 132 no. 9 (2004), pp. 2613-2618.
- [10] H. Mizukawa, Zonal polynomials for wreath products, J. Alg. Comb. 25 (2007), pp. 189-215.

- [11] F. Scarabotti and F. Tolli, Harmonic analysis on a finite homogeneous space, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 100 (2010), pp. 348-376.
- [12] J.R.Stembridge, On Schur's Q-functions and the primitive idempotents of a commutative Hecke algebra", J. Alg. Comb. 1 (1992), pp.71-95.
- [13] F. Ingram, N. Jing and E. Stitzinger, Wreath product symmetric functions, Int. J. Algebra 3(2009), pp. 1-19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL DEFENSE ACADEMY OF JAPAN, YOKOSUKA 239-8686, JAPAN.

E-mail address: mzh@nda.ac.jp