ON GENERALIZATION OF HOMOTOPY OF WORDS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

FUKUNAGA TOMONORI

ABSTRACT. V. Turaev introduced the theory of topology of words and phrases in 2005. This is a combinatorialy extension of the theory of virtual knots and links. In this paper we generalize the notion of homotopy of words and phrases and we give geometric meanings of the generalized homotopy of words. Moreover using the generalized homotopy theory of words and phrases, we extend some homotopy invariants of nanophrases to S-homotopy invariant of nanowords with some homotopy data S.

keywords: nanowords, nanophrases, homotopy of words and phrases, curves on surfaces, ornaments.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 57M99; Secondary 68R15

1. INTRODUCTION.

In the papers [9] and [10], V. Turaev introduced the theory of topology of words and phrases. This is a combinatorially extension of the theory of virtual strings or the virtual knots and links (see [6], [7], [8] and [12] for example).

In this paper, words are finite sequences of letters in a given alphabet, letters are elements of an alphabet and phrases are finite sequences of words. V. Turaev introduced generalized words and phrases in [9] and [10] which are called étale words and étale phrases. Let α be an alphabet endowed with an involution $\tau : \alpha \to \alpha$. Let \mathcal{A} be an alphabet endowed with a mapping $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \to \alpha$ which is called a projection. We call this \mathcal{A} an α -alphabet. Then we call a pair an α -alphabet \mathcal{A} and a word on \mathcal{A} an étale word. If all letters in \mathcal{A} appear exactly twice, then we call this étale word a nanoword. Similarly we call a pair an α -alphabet \mathcal{A} and a phrase on \mathcal{A} an étale phrase. Further if each letters in \mathcal{A} appear exactly twice, then we call this étale phrase.

Turaev introduced an equivalence relation which is called S-homotopy on the set of nanowords and nanophrases for a fixed subset S of $\alpha \times \alpha \times \alpha$ which is called homotopy data. S-homotopy of nanowords and nanophrases is generated by isomorphism, and three homotopy moves. The first homotopy move is deformation that changes xAAy into xy. The second homotopy move is deformation that changes xAByBAz into xyz when |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$. The third homotopy move is deformation that changes xAByACzBCt into xBAyCAzCBt when a triple (|A|, |B|, |C|)is a element of homotopy data S. This equivalence relation is suggested by the Reidemeister moves in the theory of knots. Moreover in the paper [10], Turaev showed

The author is JSPS research fellow (DC). This work was supported by KAKENHI.

special cases of the theory of topology of phrases corresponds to the theory of stable equivalent classes of ordered, pointed, oriented multi-component curves on surfaces and knot diagrams. Note that the theory of stable equivalence classes of ordered, pointed, oriented multi-component curves on surfaces (respectively link diagrams) is equivalent to the theory of ordered open flat virtual links (respectively ordered open virtual links).

Now in this paper, we generalize the notion of the S-homotopy moves in Turaev's theory of words. For fixed sets $Q \subset \alpha$ and $R \subset \alpha \times \alpha$, we replace the first homotopy move and the second homotopy moves in Turaev's theory of words as follows. The first homotopy move is deformation that changes xAAy into xy when |A| is an element of Q and the second homotopy move is deformation that changes xAByBAz into xyz when a pair |A| and |B| is an element of R. We call an equivalence relation which is generated by isomorphism, and three new homotopy moves (Q, R, S)-homotopy of nanophrases. When Q is equal to α and R is graph of τ . we obtain Turaev's S-homotopy of nanophrases. Moreover we give the geometric meanings of the generalized homotopy theory of words and phrases. We show the generalized homotopy theory of words in common generalization of the theory of the multi-component virtual strings and the theory of the ornament. On the other hand, we construct some (Q, R, S)-homotopy invariants for nanowords from S-homotopy invariants in Turaev's theory of words. In the paper [4], A.Gibson constructed a homotopy invariant for Gauss phrases (in other words, nanophrases over the oneelement set) which is called S_o . Moreover Gibson showed the invariant S_o is strictly stronger than the invariant T for Gauss phrases which was defined by the author in [1]. In this paper we extend Gibson's S_o invariant for Gauss phrases to $(\Delta_{\alpha^3})_{\alpha,k}$ homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k where Δ_{α^3} is the diagonal set of α^3 and α_k and $S_{\alpha,k}$ are defined in Section 4.3. To do this, we extend Gibson's S_o invariant to (Δ_{α^3}) -homotopy invariant for nanophrases over any α (This extension problem was mentioned in the paper [4]).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the theory of topology of nanowords and nanophrases. In Section 3 we introduce the generalized homotopy of nanowords and nanophrases. In Section 4, we discuss the geometric meanings of S-homotopy of nanophrases and the generalized homotopy of nanowords. In Section 5, we construct (Q, R, S)-homotopy invariants from some S-homotopy invariants of nanophrases which was defined in papers [1], [2] and [4]. Moreover we show some of them are S-homotopy invariant for nanowords with some homotopy data S which is grater than the diagonal set of α^3 .

2. Review of Turaev's Theory of Words and Phrases

In this section we review Turaev's theory of words and phrases which was introduced by V. Turaev in papers [9] and [10]. We can find a survey of Turaev's theory of words in the paper [11].

2.1. Étale words and Nanowords. First we prepare some terminologies. In this paper an *alphabet* means a finite set and a *letter* means an element of an alphabet. For an alphabet \mathcal{A} and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a *word* on \mathcal{A} of length n is a map $w : \hat{n} \to \mathcal{A}$ where

 \hat{n} is $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We denote a word of length n by $w(1)w(2)\cdots w(n)$. We regard the map from empty set to empty set as the word of length 0 and denote it by \emptyset . A *phrase* of length k on \mathcal{A} is a sequence of words w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k on \mathcal{A} . We denote this sequence by $(w_1|w_2|\cdots|w_k)$. We call the number $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\text{length of } w_i)$ number of *letters* of the phrase. Especially if each letter in \mathcal{A} appear exactly twice in a word won \mathcal{A} , then we call this word w a *Gauss word*. Similarly for a phrase P on \mathcal{A} if each letter in \mathcal{A} appear exactly twice in P, then we call P a *Gauss phrase* (C. F. Gauss studied topology of plane curves using Gauss words. See [3] for more details).

In [9] and [10], Turaev introduced generalized words and phrases. Let α be an alphabet endowed with an involution $\tau : \alpha \to \alpha$. Then an α -alphabet is a pair of an alphabet \mathcal{A} and a map $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \to \alpha$. We call this map $|\cdot|$ projection and we denote the image of a letter $A \in \mathcal{A}$ under the projection |A|. We call |A| projection of A. Now we define generalized words and Gauss words which is called étale words and nanowords. An étale word over α is a pair (an α -alphabet \mathcal{A} , a word w on \mathcal{A}). We call length of w length of étale word (\mathcal{A}, w) . Especially if w is a Gauss word on \mathcal{A} , then we call (\mathcal{A}, w) a nanoword. Next we define generalized phrases and Gauss phrases which is called étale phrases and nanophrases. An étale phrase over α is a pair (an α -alphabet \mathcal{A} , a phrase P on \mathcal{A}). We call length of P (respectively numbers of letters) length of étale phrase (respectively numbers of letters) (\mathcal{A}, P) . Especially if P is a Gauss phrase on \mathcal{A} , then we call (\mathcal{A}, w) a nanophrase.

2.2. S-homotopy of Nanophrases. In the paper [9] Turaev defined an equivalence relation on nanophrases which is called S-homotopy. This is suggested by the Reidemeister moves in the theory on knots. In this subsection, we introduce S-homotopy theory of words and phrases.

To define S-homotopy of nanophrases we prepare some definitions. First we define isomorphic of nanophrases.

Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, (w_1|\cdots|w_k))$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, (v_1|\cdots|v_k))$ be nanophrases of length k over an alphabet α . Then $(\mathcal{A}_1, (w_1|\cdots|w_k))$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, (v_1|\cdots|v_k))$ are *isomorphic* if there exist a bijection φ between \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 such that $|\mathcal{A}| = |\varphi(\mathcal{A})|$ for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $v_j = \varphi \circ w_j$ for each $j \in \hat{k}$.

Next we define S-homotopy moves of nanophrases.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a subset of $\alpha \times \alpha \times \alpha$. Then we define S-homotopy moves (1) - (3) of nanophrases as follows:

- (1) $(\mathcal{A}, (xAAy)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, (xy))$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and x, y are sequences of letters in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}$, possibly including the | character.
- (2) $(\mathcal{A}, (xAByBAz)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, (xyz))$ if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $|B| = \tau(|A|)$. x, y, z are sequences of letters in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$, possibly including | character.
- (3) $(\mathcal{A}, (xAByACzBCt)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, (xBAyCAzCBt))$ if $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $(|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |C|) \in S$. x, y, z, t are sequences of letters in \mathcal{A} , possibly including | character.

We call this S homotopy data.

Now we define *S*-homotopy of nanophrases.

Definition 2.3. Let (\mathcal{A}_1, P_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2, P_2) be nanophrases over α . Then (\mathcal{A}_1, P_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2, P_2) are *S*-homotopic (denote \simeq_S) if they are related by a finite sequence of isomorphism, *S*-homotopy moves (1) - (3) and inverse of (1) - (3).

Remark 2.1. S-homotopy moves and isomorphism of nanophrases do not change length of nanophrases. Thus for two different integers k_1 and k_2 , a nanophrase of length k_1 and a nanophrase of length k_2 are not homotopic each other.

Especially if S is the diagonal set of $\alpha \times \alpha \times \alpha$, then we call S-homotopy homotopy. We denote the set {Nanophrases of lengthk over α }/(S - homotopy) by $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha, S)$ and $\mathcal{P}_1(\alpha, S)$ by $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, S)$. We recall two lemmas from [9] and [10].

Lemma 2.1. Let (α, S) be homotopy data and \mathcal{A} be an α -alphabet. Let A, B, C be distinct letters in \mathcal{A} and let x, y, z, t be words in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B, C\}$ such that xyzt is a Gauss word. Then the following (i)-(iii) hold :

(i) $(\mathcal{A}, xAByCAzBCt) \simeq_S (\mathcal{A}, xBAyACzCBt)$ if $(|A|, \tau(|B|), |C|) \in S$, (ii) $(\mathcal{A}, xAByCAzCBt) \simeq_S (\mathcal{A}, xBAyACzBCt)$ if $(\tau(|A|), \tau(|B|), |C|) \in S$, (iii) $(\mathcal{A}, xAByACzCBt) \simeq_S (\mathcal{A}, xBAyCAzBCt)$ if $(|A|, \tau(|B|), \tau(|C|)) \in S$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $S \cap (\alpha \times b \times b) \neq \emptyset$ for all $b \in \alpha$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, xAByABz)$ be a nanoword over α with $|B| = \tau(|A|)$ where x, y, z are words in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$ such that xyz is a Gauss word. Then

$$(\mathcal{A}, xAByABz) \simeq_S (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, xyz).$$

In [9], Turaev constructed some homotopy invariants for nanowords and gave the classification of nanowords of length less than or equal to 6 up to homotopy. In [2] the author constructed some homotopy invariants for nanophrases and gave the homotopy classification of nanophrases with less than or equal to four letters without condition on length of phrases.

3. Generalized Homotopy of Nanophrases.

In this section we generalize the notion of S-homotopy. Geometric meanings of generalized homotopy is discussed in the next section.

First we fix two sets $Q \subset \alpha$, $R \subset \alpha \times \alpha$ and $S \subset \alpha \times \alpha \times \alpha$. Then we define (Q, R, S)-homotopy of nanophrases as follows.

Definition 3.1. We define (Q, R, S)-homotopy moves (1) - (3) of nanophrases as follows:

 $(1) (\mathcal{A}, (xAAy)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, (xy))$

if $|A| \in Q$ and x, y are sequences of letters in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}$, possibly including the | character.

(2) $(\mathcal{A}, (xAByBAz)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, (xyz))$ if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $(|A|, |B|) \in R$. x, y, z are sequences of letters in $\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$,

possibly including | character.

- $(3) (\mathcal{A}, (xAByACzBCt)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, (xBAyCAzCBt))$
- if $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $(|A|, |B|, |C|) \in S$. x, y, z, t are sequences of letters in \mathcal{A} , possibly including | character.

Definition 3.2. Let (\mathcal{A}_1, P_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2, P_2) be nanophrases over α . Then (\mathcal{A}_1, P_1) and (\mathcal{A}_2, P_2) are (Q, R, S)-homotopic (denote $\simeq_{(Q,R,S)}$) if they are related by a finite sequence of isomorphism, (Q, R, S)-homotopy moves (1) - (3) and inverse of (1) - (3).

We denote the set {nanophrases of length k over α }/((Q, R, S) – homotopy) by $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha, Q, R, S)$ and $\mathcal{P}_1(\alpha, Q, R, S)$ by $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, Q, R, S)$. Note that if we set $Q = \alpha$ and $R = \{(a, \tau(a)) | a \in \mathcal{A}\}$, then (Q, R, S)-homotopy is coincide to S-homotopy.

Remark 3.1. Turaev considered generalization of the second homotopy move in [9] Section 3.6. We can see Turaev's generalization is equivalent to (α, R, S) -homotopy.

In the remaining part of the paper we assume that R is the graph of τ , that is $R = \{(a, \tau(a))\}_{a \in \alpha}$.

4. Geometric Meanings of Generalized Homotopy of Nanowords.

In this section we discuss geometric meanings of (Q, R, S)-homotopy of nanowords.

4.1. Stable equivalence of curves on surfaces. In this subsection we introduce stable equivalence of curves on surfaces. First we define some terminologies. Through this paper a *curve* means the image of a generic immersion of an oriented circle into an oriented surface. The word "generic" means that the curve has only a finite set of self-intersections which are all double and transversal. A *k-component curve* is defined in the same way as a curve with the difference that they may be formed by *k* curves. These curves are called *components* of the *k*component curve. A *k*-component curves are *pointed* if each component is endowed with a base point (the origin) distinct from the crossing points of the *k*-component curve. A *k*-component curve is *ordered* if its components are numerated. Next we introduce an equivalence relation which is called stably equivalent. Two ordered, pointed curves are *stably homeomorphic* if there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism of their regular neighborhoods in the ambient surfaces mapping the first multi-component curve onto the second one and preserving the order, the origins, and the orientations of the components.

Now we define stable equivalence of ordered, pointed multi-component curves [7]: Two ordered, pointed multi-component curves are *stably equivalent* if they can be related by a finite sequence of the following transformations: (i) a move replacing an ordered, pointed multi-component curve with a stably homeomorphic one; (ii) the flattened Reidemeister moves away from the origin as in Fig. 1.

We denote the set of stable equivalence classes of ordered, pointed k-component curves by C_k .

Remark 4.1. The theory of stable equivalence of curves is closely related to the theory of virtual strings. See [8] and [12] for more details.



FIGURE 1. The flattened Reidemeister moves.

4.2. Geometric meanings of S-homotopy of nanophrases. In the paper [10] Turaev gave geometric meanings of S-homotopy of nanophrases over α with an involution τ for some α , S and τ . More precisely, Turaev proved a following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. There is a canonical bijection between C_k and $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha_0, S_0)$ where $\alpha_0 = \{a, b\}$ with an involution $\tau_0(a) = b$ and $S_0 = \{(a, a, a), (b, b, b)\}.$

Moreover let \mathcal{L}_k be the set of stable equivalence classes of k-component pointed ordered oriented link diagrams (definition of the stable equivalence of link diagrams is given in [10] for example). Then Turaev proved following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. There is a canonical bijection between \mathcal{L}_k and $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha_*, S_*)$ where $\alpha_* = \{a_+, a_-, b_+, b_-\}$ with an involution $\tau_*(a_{\pm}) = b_{\mp}$ and $S_* = \{(a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}), (a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}, a_{\mp}), (a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}), (b_{\pm}, b_{\pm}, b_{\pm}), (b_{\pm}, b_{\pm}, b_{\pm})\}$.

4.3. Geometric meanings of generalized homotopy of nanophrases. Now we describe geometric meanings of generalized homotopy of nanophrases. To do this we prepare some notations. For an alphabet α and an involution $\tau : \alpha \to \alpha$ and a set $S \subset \alpha \times \alpha \times \alpha$, we set $\alpha_k = \{a_{ij} | a \in \alpha, i \leq j \in \hat{k}\}, \tau_k : \alpha_k \to \alpha_k$ such that $\tau_k(a_{ij}) = \tau(a)_{ij}$ for each $a_{ij} \in \alpha_k, Q_{\alpha,k} = \{a_{ii} | a \in \alpha, i \in \hat{k}\}, R_{\alpha,k} = \{(a_{ij}, \tau(a)_{ij}) | a \in \alpha, i \leq j \in \hat{k}\}$ and $S_{\alpha,k} = \{(a_{ij}, b_{il}, c_{jl}) | (a, b, c) \in S, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq l \leq \hat{k}\}$. Then we obtain following theorems.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\alpha_0 = \{a, b\}$ and $S_0 = \{(a, a, a), (b, b, b)\}$. There is a canonical injection between C_k and $\mathcal{N}((\alpha_0)_k, Q_{\alpha_0,k}, R_{\alpha_0,k}, (S_0)_{\alpha_0,k})$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\alpha_* = \{a_+, a_-, b_+, b_-\}$ and $S_* = \{(a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}), (a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}, a_{\mp}), (a_{\mp}, a_{\pm}, a_{\pm}), (b_{\pm}, b_{\pm}, b_{\pm}), (b_{\pm}, b_{\pm}, b_{\pm})\}$. There is a canonical injection between C_k and $\mathcal{N}((\alpha_*)_k, Q_{\alpha_*,k}, R_{\alpha_*,k}, (S_*)_{\alpha_*,k})$.

To prove these theorems, we prove a more general statement.

Theorem 4.5. There is a canonical injection between $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha, S)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\alpha_k, Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$.

Proof. The method of a making nanoword $\varphi(P)$ over α from nanophrase P of length k over α is as follows. Let P be $(\mathcal{A}, P = (w_1 | \cdots | w_k))$. Then the nanoword over α $\varphi(P)$ is defined by $\varphi(P) = (\mathcal{A}', w)$ where the α -alphabet \mathcal{A}' is equal to \mathcal{A} as a set, the projection of \mathcal{A}' is defined by $|\mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{A}'} = (|\mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{A}})_{ij}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}'$ which appears in the *i*-th component and the *j*-th component in the phrase. The word w is defined by $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$. In the remaining of this paper we denote $|\mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{A}'}$ by $||\mathcal{A}||$ and $|\mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ by $|\mathcal{A}|$. We put this correspondence φ .

Now we show φ induces an injection $\varphi_{\bullet} : \mathcal{P}_k(\alpha, S) \to \mathcal{N}(\alpha_k, Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k}).$

• On well-definedness of φ_{\bullet} .

We show if nanophrases P_1 and P_2 are S-homotopic, then $\varphi(P_1)$ and $\varphi(P_2)$ are (Q_k, R_k, S_k) -homotopic.

It is clear that if P_1 and P_2 are isomorphic, then $\varphi(P_1)$ and $\varphi(P_2)$ are isomorphic. Consider the first homotopy move

$$P_1 := (\mathcal{A}, (xAAy)) \longrightarrow P_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, (xy))$$

where x and y are words on \mathcal{A} , possibly including "|" character.

Then $\varphi(P_1)$ is equal to xAAy and $\varphi(P_2)$ is equal to xy. In this case two A belong to the same component of P. This implies ||A|| is equal to $|A|_{ii}$ for some $i \in \hat{k}$, in other words, $||A|| \in Q_{\alpha,k}$. Thus we obtain

$$\varphi(P_1) = xAAy \simeq_{(Q,R,S)} xy = \varphi(P_2).$$

Consider the second homotopy move

$$P_1 := (\mathcal{A}, (xAByBAz)) \longrightarrow P_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, (xyz))$$

where |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$, and x, y and z are words on \mathcal{A} possibly including "|" character.

Suppose $A \in \text{Im}(w_i) \cap \text{Im}(w_j)$. Then $B \in \text{Im}(w_i) \cap \text{Im}(w_j)$. Thus ||A|| is equal to $|A|_{ij}$ and ||B|| is equal to $|B|_{ij}$ by the definition of $||\cdot||$. In other words, ||A|| is equal to $\tau_k(||B||)$. This implies

$$\varphi(P_1) = xAByBAz \simeq_{(Q,R,S)} xyz = \varphi(P_2).$$

Consider the third homotopy move

$$P_1 := (\mathcal{A}, (xAByACzBCt)) \to P_2 := (\mathcal{A}, (xBAyCAzCBt))$$

where $(|A|, |B|, |C|) \in S$, and x, y, z and t are words on \mathcal{A} possibly including "|" character.

Suppose $A \in \operatorname{Im}(w_i) \cap \operatorname{Im}(w_j)$, $B \in \operatorname{Im}(w_i) \cap \operatorname{Im}(w_l)$ and $C \in \operatorname{Im}(w_j) \cap \operatorname{Im}(w_l)$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l \leq k$. Then ||A|| is equal to $|A|_{ij}$, ||B|| is equal to $|B|_{il}$ and ||C|| is equal to $|C|_{jl}$ by the definition of $|| \cdot ||$. From the assumption $(|A|, |B|, |C|) \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l \leq k$, we obtain $(||A||, ||B||, ||C||) \in S_{\alpha,k}$. Thus

$$\varphi(P_1) = xAByACzBCt \simeq_{(Q,R,S)} xBAyCAzCBt = \varphi(P_2).$$

By the above φ_{\bullet} is well-defined map between $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha, S)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\alpha_k, Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$.

• On injectibity of φ_{\bullet} .

By the definition of φ , if $\varphi(P_1)$ and $\varphi(P_2)$ are isomorphic, then P_1 and P_2 are isomorphic.

Consider the first homotopy move

$$\varphi(P_1) = (\mathcal{A}', xAAy) \longrightarrow \varphi(P_2) = (\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{A\}, xy)$$

if $||A|| \in Q_{\alpha,k}$ and x and y are words on \mathcal{A}' , Put $||A|| = x_{ii}$ where $x \in \alpha$ and $i \in k$. Then by the definition of the subscript of ||A||, First A and Second A appear same component of P_1 . This implies P_1 form $(\mathcal{A}, (x'AAy'))$ where x' and y' are words on \mathcal{A} possibly including "|" character. Thus we obtain

$$P_1 = (x'AAy') \simeq_S (x'y') = P_2.$$

Consider the second homotopy move

$$\varphi(P_1) = (\mathcal{A}', xAByBAz) \longrightarrow \varphi(P_2) = (\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{A, B\}, xyz)$$

where ||A|| is equal to $\tau_k(||B||)$, and x, y and z are words on \mathcal{A}' . Put $||A|| = |A|_{ij}$ for some $i, j \in \hat{k}$. Then ||B|| is equal to $|B|_{ij}$. Thus P_1 form $(\mathcal{A}, x'ABy'BAz')$ where x', y' and z' are words on \mathcal{A} possibly including "|" character. Moreover since $|A|_{ij} = \tau_k(|B|_{ij}) = \tau(|B|)_{ij}$, we obtain |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$. Therefore we can apply the second homotopy moves to P_1 :

$$P_1 = (x'ABy'BAz') \simeq_S (x'y'z') = P_2.$$

Consider the third homotopy move

$$\varphi(P_1) = (\mathcal{A}', xAByACzBCt) \to \varphi(P_2) = (\mathcal{A}', xBAyCAzCBt)$$

where $(||A||, ||B||, ||C||) \in S_{\alpha,k}$, and x, y, z and t are words on \mathcal{A}' .

By the definition of $S_{\alpha,k}$, we have ||A|| is equal to $|A|_{ij}$, ||B|| is equal to $|B|_{il}$ and ||C|| is equal to $|C|_{jl}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l \leq k$. This implies P_1 form $(\mathcal{A}, x'ABy'ACz'BCt')$ and P_2 form $(\mathcal{A}, x'BAy'CAz'CBt')$ where x', y', z' and t' are words on \mathcal{A} possibly including "|" character. Note that $(|A|, |B|, |C|) \in S$ by the definition of $S_{\alpha,k}$. Thus we can apply the third homotopy move to P_1 :

$$P_1 = (\mathcal{A}, x'ABy'ACz'BCt') \simeq_S (\mathcal{A}, x'BAy'CAz'CBt') = P_2.$$

By the above φ_{\bullet} is injection.

Now we completed the proof.

We have Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 as corollaries of Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.5.

On the other hand, for a nanoword over α_k , the necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a corresponding nanophrase over α is described as follows.

Proposition 4.1. Let α be an alphabet and (\mathcal{A}', w) be a nanoword over α_k . Then there exists nanophrase of length k over α such that $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi(P))$ is equal to (\mathcal{A}', w) if and only if w satisfies conditions (1)-(4): Let $i_A := \min\{w^{-1}(A)\}$ and $j_A := \max\{w^{-1}(A)\}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}'$, and $||A|| = x_{m_A n_A}$ and $||B|| = y_{m_B n_B}$ for some $x, y \in \alpha$. Consider two letters $A, B \in \mathcal{A}'$. Then

(1) If $i_A \leq i_B$, then $m_A \leq m_B$,

(2) If $i_A \leq j_B$, then $m_A \leq n_B$,

(3) If $j_A \leq i_B$, then $n_A \leq m_B$,

(4) If $j_A \leq j_B$, then $n_A \leq n_B$.

Proof. It is clear that $\varphi(P)$ satisfies the condition (1) - (4) for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_k(\alpha)$ by the definition of φ .

We show if $w \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha_k)$ satisfies the condition (1) - (4), then there exist $P \in \mathcal{P}_k(\alpha)$ such that $\varphi(P)$ is equal to w.

Suppose $w \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha_k)$ satisfies the condition (1) - (4). Then we construct a nanophrase of length k over αP as follows.

(Step 1) We read w from w(1) and find a number i which satisfies following conditions: Let $||w(i)|| = x_{m_i n_i}$ and $||w(i+1)|| = y_{m_{i+1} n_{i+1}}$. Then $min\{w^{-1}(w(i))\} = i, min\{w^{-1}(w(i+1))\} = i+1 \text{ and } m_i < m_{i+1} \text{ or }$

 $min\{w^{-1}(w(i))\} = i, min\{w^{-1}(w(i+1))\} < i+1 \text{ and } m_i < n_{i+1} \text{ or }$

 $\min\{w^{-1}(w(i))\} < i, \min\{w^{-1}(w(i+1))\} = i+1 \text{ and } n_i < m_{i+1} \text{ or }$ $min\{w^{-1}(w(i))\} < i, min\{w^{-1}(w(i+1))\} < i+1 \text{ and } n_i < n_{i+1}.$

If there is not exists such i, then by the condition (1) - (4), for all $i, j, k, l \in length(w)$ m_i, m_j, n_i and n_j are equal. We put this number m and consider the nanophrase of length k over α , $P = (\emptyset | \cdots | \emptyset | \overset{m}{\check{w}} | \emptyset | \cdots | \emptyset)$. Then this is the required nanophrase. (Step 2) If there exists such i (denote this i by i_1), then we put the character | into between w(i) and w(i+1). Moreover if $(m_{i+1}-m_i)$ $((m_{i+1}-n_i), (n_{i+1}-m_i))$ or $(n_{i+1} - n_i)$ is grater than or equal to two, then we put l - 1's \emptyset into between w(i)and $w(i+1): \cdots w(i)|\emptyset| \cdots |\emptyset| w(i+1) \cdots$.

(Step 3) We read w from w(i+1) and repeat (Step 1) and (Step 2) until the end. (Step 4) If m_1 is greater than or equal to two, then we put $m_1 - 1$'s \emptyset in the left of w(1): $(\emptyset | \cdots | \emptyset | w(1) | \cdots$. Moreover if $k - n_{length(w)}$ is greater than or equal to one, then we put $k - n_{length(w)}$'s \emptyset in the right of $w(length(w)): \cdots w(length(w))|\emptyset| \cdots |\emptyset)$.

Then the obtained nanophrase is the required nanophrase over α .

Now we obtain following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. The set $\{[w] \in \mathcal{N}((\alpha_0)_k, Q_{\alpha_0,k}R_{\alpha_0,k}, (S_0)_{\alpha_0,k}) | w \text{ satisfies}(1) - (4) \}$ where [w] is a homotopy class of w is one to one corresponds to the set \mathcal{C}_k .

Corollary 4.2. The set $\{[w] \in \mathcal{N}((\alpha_*)_k, Q_{\alpha_*,k}R_{\alpha_*,k}, (S_*)_{\alpha_*,k}) | w \text{ satisfies}(1) - (4) \}$ where [w] is a homotopy class of w is one to one corresponds to the set \mathcal{L}_k .

Remark 4.2. In the case α is equal to α_0 and S is equal to S_o, φ_{\bullet} is the map from \mathcal{C}_k to $\mathcal{N}((\alpha_0)_k, Q_{\alpha_0,k}, R_{\alpha_0,k}, (S_0)_{\alpha_0,k})$ which maps $C \in \mathcal{C}_k$ to $\varphi_{\bullet}(C)$ as follows. Let us label the double points of C by distinct letters A_1, \dots, A_n . Starting at the base point of the first component of C and following along C in the direction of C, we write down the labels of double points which we passes until the return to the base point. Then we obtain a word w_1 on the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$. Similarly we obtain words w_j on \mathcal{A} from the the *j*-th component for each $j \in k$. Let t_i^1 (respectively, t_i^2) be the tangent vector to C at the double point labeled A_i appearing at the first (respectively, the second) passage through this point. Set $|A_i| = a_{ii}$, if the pair (t_i^1, t_i^2) is positively oriented and A_i is an intersection of the *i*-th component and the *j*-th component of C, and $|A_i| = b_{ij}$ if the pair (t_i^1, t_i^2) is negatively oriented and A_i is an intersection of the *i*-th component and the *j*th component of C. Then we obtain an $(\alpha_0)_k$ -alphabet A. Finally we obtain the required nanoword $\varphi(C) := (\mathcal{A}, w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k).$

Similarly as the Remark 4.2, we can regard an *ornament* as an element of \mathcal{N} $((\alpha_0)_k, Q_{\alpha_0,k}, R_{\alpha_0,k}, S_{orn})$ where S_{orn} is equal to $(S_0)_{\alpha_0,k} \setminus \{(c_{ij}, c_{il}, c_{jl}) \mid c \in \alpha_0, i \neq j\}$ $j \neq l \neq i$ (definition and explanations on ornaments is given in [13] for example). In this point of view, we can say the (Q, R, S)-homotopy theory of nanowords is the common generalization of the stable equivalence theory of curves on surfaces and the theory of stable equivalence of ornaments. Moreover if we consider the case Q, R and S are equal to the empty set, then (Q, R, S)-homotopy classes of nanowords with satisfying the conditions (1) - (4) are one-to-one correspond to the stably homeomorphic classes of ordered, pointed multi-component curves on surfaces.

We can also say that to studying $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy of nanowords over α_k is natural since this is a natural generalization of the theory of curves on surfaces.

5. (Q, R, S)-homotopy Invariants Derived from S-homotopy Invariants.

In this section we construct $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_k)$ -homotopy invariants for nanowords over α_k from some S-homotopy invariants for nanophrases of length k over α via correspondence which described in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Moreover we show some of them are S_k -homotopy invariants for nanowords over α_k .

In [9] and [10] V.Turaev constructed S-homotopy invariants for a set $S \subset \alpha \times \alpha \times \alpha$ which is strictly larger than the diagonal set (for example minimum length norm, α keis and α -quandles for nanophrases, etc). However those invariants are difficult to compute. On the other hand, invariants which defined in this section are calculated easily. Thus this is an available application of generalized homotopy theory of words and phrases.

5.1. Some Simple Invariants. First we extend the *linking vector* of nanophrases (cf.[2] and [4]).

Let $(\mathcal{A}, A_1 A_2 \cdots A_{2n})$ be a nanoword of length 2n over α_k where \mathcal{A} is an α_k alphabet $\{A_1, A_2 \cdots A_{2n}\}$ with a projection $||A_i||$ is equal to $|A_i|_{m_i n_i}$ where $|A_i| \in \alpha$. Let $\pi(\alpha, \tau)$ be a group which is generated by the all elements of α with relations ab = ba and $a\tau(a) = 1$ where 1 is the unit element of $\pi(\alpha, \tau)$. We denote a set $\{A \in \mathcal{A} | ||A|| = x_{ij} \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \alpha\}$ by \mathcal{A}_{ij} . Then we define a map $l\tilde{k} : \mathcal{N}(\alpha_k)$ $\longrightarrow \pi(\alpha, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{lk}(w) = (\widetilde{l}_w(1,2), \widetilde{l}_w(1,3), \cdots, \widetilde{l}_w(k-1,k)).$$

where

$$\widetilde{l}_w(i,j) = \prod_{A \in \alpha, ||A|| \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}} |A|.$$

Note that for a nanoword w over α_k which is the image of the map φ (in other words, there exist a nanophrase P of length k over α such that w is equal to $\varphi(P)$), $\tilde{lk}(w)$ is coincides to lk(P). Therefore \tilde{lk} is an extension of lk. Then we obtain a following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. The $l\tilde{k}$ is a $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k .

Proof. It is clear that an isomorphism does not change lk.

Consider the first homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAAy) \longrightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, xy)$$

where $||A|| \in Q_{\alpha,k}$, x and y are words on \mathcal{A} .

In this case $A \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ does not contribute to $lk(w_1)$ by the definition.

Consider the second homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAByBAz) \longrightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, xyz)$$

where ||A|| is equal to $\tau_k(||B||)$, and x, y and z are words on \mathcal{A} .

Assume ||A|| is equal to $|A|_{ij}$, then ||B|| is equal to $\tau(|B|)_{ij}$. It is sufficient to show that $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(i,j)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(i,j)$. Note that |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$ by the definition of $||\cdot||$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{l}_{w_1}(i,j) &= \prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}} |X| \\ &= \prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{ij} \setminus \{A,B\}} |X| \cdot |A| \cdot |B| \\ &= \prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{ij} \setminus \{A,B\}} |X| \cdot |A| \cdot \tau(|A|) \\ &= \prod_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{ij} \setminus \{A,B\}} |X| \\ &= \widetilde{l}_{w_2}(i,j). \end{split}$$

Thus $\tilde{lk}(w_1)$ is equal to $\tilde{lk}(w_2)$.

Consider the third homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAByACzBCt) \rightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A}, xBAyCAzCBt)$$

where $(||A||, ||B||, ||C||) \in S_{\alpha,k}$, and x, y, z and t are words on \mathcal{A} . Note that the third homotopy move does not change the projections of letters.

Thus the third homotopy move does not change the value of \tilde{lk} .

Now we completed the proof.

Next we extend the *component length vector* (see [2] and [4]). Let v be a nanoword over α_k . Then we define a vector $\widetilde{w}(v) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ as follows.

$$\widetilde{w}(v) = (\widetilde{w}(1), \widetilde{w}(2), \cdots, \widetilde{w}(k)),$$

where $\widetilde{w}(l) = \sum_{i < l} Card(\mathcal{A}_{il}) + \sum_{l < i} Card(\mathcal{A}_{li}) \mod 2$ for each $l \in \hat{k}$. Note that for a nanoword v over α_k which is the image of the map φ (in other words, there exist a nanophrase P of length k over α such that w is equal to $\varphi(P)$), $\widetilde{w}(v)$ is coincides to w(P). Thus \widetilde{w} is an extension of w. Then we obtain a following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. The \widetilde{w} is a $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k .

Proof. It is clear that isomorphisms does not change the \widetilde{w} .

Consider the first homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAAy) \longrightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, xy)$$

where $||A|| \in Q_{\alpha,k}$, x and y are words on \mathcal{A} .

In this case $A \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ does not contribute to $\widetilde{w}(w_1)$ by the definition. Thus we obtain $\widetilde{w}(w_1) = \widetilde{w}(w_2)$.

Consider the second homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAByBAz) \longrightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, xyz)$$

where ||A|| is equal to $\tau_k(||B||)$, and x, y and z are words on \mathcal{A} .

Let $||A|| = |A|_{ij}$ and $||B|| = |B|_{ij}$. We need to consider the case *i* is not equal to *j*. In this case, both *A* and *B* are elements of \mathcal{A}_{ij} . Thus $\widetilde{w}(l)$ changes 0 or 2 for each $l \in \hat{k}$. Therefore \widetilde{w} does not change by the second homotopy move.

Consider the third homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAByACzBCt) \rightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A}, xBAyCAzCBt)$$

where $(||A||, ||B||, ||C||) \in S_{\alpha,k}$, and x, y, z and t are words on \mathcal{A} .

Note that the third homotopy move does not change the projections of letters. Thus the third homotopy move does not change the value of \tilde{w} .

Now we completed the proof.

Remark 5.1. Note that $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariants lk and \tilde{w} are not $S_{\alpha,k}$ -homotopy invariants. For example consider nanowords over $\{a\}_2, w_1 = AA$ with $||A|| = a_{12}$ and $w_2 = \emptyset$. Then $\tilde{lk}(w_1) = a$. On the other hand $\tilde{lk}(w_2) = 1$. Similarly $\tilde{w}(w_1) = (1, 1)$ and $\tilde{w}(w_2) = (0, 0)$. Thus \tilde{lk} and \tilde{w} are not $S_{\alpha,k}$ -homotopy invariants.

5.2. The invariant \tilde{S}_o . In the paper [4], A.Gibson defined a homotopy invariant for Gauss phrases (in other words, a homotopy invariant for nanophrases over the oneelement set) which is called S_o . Moreover Gibson showed that Gibson's S_o invariant is strictly stronger than the invariant T for Gauss phrases which is defined in [1] (see also [2]). In this section, we extend the S_o invariant to the $(S_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k via correspondence in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Moreover we show the obtained invariant is also an $S_{\alpha,k}$ -homotopy invariant. To do this, first we extend Gibson's S_o invariant for Gauss phrases to a homotopy invariant for nanophrases over $any \alpha$.

5.2.1. An extension of Gibson's S_o invariant. In this sub-subsection we extend Gibson's S_o invariant for Gauss phrases to a homotopy invariant for nanophrases over any alphabet.

Let α be an alphabet with an involution $\tau : \alpha \to \alpha$. Since the set α is a finite set, we obtain following orbit decomposition of the $\tau : \alpha/\tau = \{\widehat{a_{i_1}}, \widehat{a_{i_2}}, \cdots, \widehat{a_{i_l}}, \widehat{a_{i_{l+1}}}, \cdots, \widehat{a_{i_{l+m}}}\}$, where $\widehat{a_{i_j}} := \{a_{i_j}, \tau(a_{i_j})\}$ such that $Card(\widehat{a_{i_j}}) = 2$ for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$ and $Card(\widehat{a_{i_j}}) = 1$ for all $j \in \{l+1, \cdots, l+m\}$ (we fix a complete representative system $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \cdots, a_{i_l}, a_{i_{l+1}}, \cdots, a_{i_{l+m}}\}$ which satisfy the above condition). We denote a complete representative system which satisfies above condition $crs(\alpha/\tau)$. Let \mathcal{A} be a α -alphabet. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we define $\varepsilon(A) \in \{\pm 1\}$ by

$$\varepsilon(A) := \begin{cases} 1 \ (if \ |A| = a_{i_j} \ for \ some \ j \in \{1, \dots l + m\} \), \\ -1 \ (if \ |A| = \tau(a_{i_j}) \ for \ some \ j \in \{1, \dots l\} \). \end{cases}$$

Let $P = (\mathcal{A}, (w_1|\cdots|w_k))$ be a nanophrase over α and A and B be letters in \mathcal{A} . Let $K_{(i,j)}$ be \mathbb{Z} if $i \leq l$ and $j \leq l$, otherwise $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We denote $K_{(1,1)} \times K_{(1,2)} \times \cdots K_{(1,l+m)} \times K_{(2,1)} \times \cdots \times K_{(l+m,l+m)}$ by $\prod K_{(i,j)}$. Then we define $\sigma_p^j(A, B) \in \prod K_{(i,j)}$ as follows: If A and B form $\cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots in P$, the second B appears in the j-th component of P, $|A| \in \widehat{a_{i_p}}$ and $|B| = a_{i_q}$ for some $p, q \in \{1, \cdots l + m\}$, or $\cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots in P$, the first B appears in the j-th component of P,

12

 $|A| \in \widehat{a_{i_p}}$ and $|B| = \tau(a_{i_q})$ for some $p, q \in \{1, \dots l + m\}$, then $\sigma_P^j(A, B) := \mathbf{e}_{(p,q)}$ If $\dots A \dots B \dots A \dots B \dots$ in P, the second B appears in the j-th component of P, $|A| \in \widehat{a_{i_p}}$ and $|B| = \tau(a_{i_q})$, or $\dots B \dots A \dots B \dots A \dots$ in P, the first B appears in the j-th component of P, $|A| \in \widehat{a_{i_p}}$ and $|B| = a_{i_q}$, then $\sigma_P^j(A, B) := -\mathbf{e}_{(p,q)}$.

Otherwise $\sigma_P^j(A, B) := \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{e}_{(p,q)} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{\text{def}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Moreover we define notations $l_{P,j}$, l_P as follows:

$$l_{P,j}(A) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_P^j(A, X) \in \prod K_{(p,q)},$$
$$l_P(A) = (l_{P,1}(A), l_{P,2}(A), \cdots, l_{P,k}(A)) \in (\prod K_{(p,q)})^j$$

Furthermore we define a map $(B_P)_j$ from $(\prod K_{(p,q)})^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ to \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as follows. First we define *type* of elements in $(\prod K_{(p,q)})^k$. Let \mathbf{v} be an element of $(\prod K_{(p,q)})^k$ and $(\mathbf{v})_{i,r,s}$ be an element in \mathbf{v} corresponding to i th. $K_{(r,s)}$ in $(\prod K_{(p,q)})^k$. Then \mathbf{v} is *type* (i) if $(\mathbf{v})_{i,r,s}$ is not equal to 0 only if r is less than or equal to l for all i, r, s. We say \mathbf{v} is *type* (ii) if $(\mathbf{v})_{i,r,s}$ is not equal to 0 only if r is grater than l for all i, r, s. Otherwise we call \mathbf{v} type (iii). Then

$$(B_P)_j(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_j, l_P(A) = \mathbf{v}} \varepsilon(A) \ (if \ \mathbf{v} \ is \ type(i) \), \\ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_j, l_P(A) = \mathbf{v}} \varepsilon(A) \ (mod \ 2 \) \ (if \ \mathbf{v} \ is \ type(ii) \), \\ 0 \ (otherwise \). \end{cases}$$

Then we define S_o as follows:

$$S_o(P) = ((B_P)_1, (B_P)_2, \cdots, (B_P)_k).$$

Remark 5.2. If we consider the case α is equal to the one-element set, then S_o is coincides to the Gibson's S_o invariant in [4]. See [4] for more details.

Remark 5.3. After submitting previous version of this paper to arXiv, Andrew Gibson gave me many useful comments on the invariant S_o which was defined in the previous version of this paper. According to his advice, the author modified the definition of the extended S_o invariant. Then the extended S_o invariant in this version became stronger, and this invariant S_o became equivalent to the invariant U which is defined independently in [5] by Gibson.

Proposition 5.3. The S_o is a homotopy invariant for nanophrases over α .

Proof. It is sufficient to show homotopy invariance of $(B_P)_i$.

It is clear that S_o does not change under isomorphisms.

Consider the first homotopy move

$$P_1 := (\mathcal{A}, (xAAy)) \longrightarrow P_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, (xy))$$

where x and y are words on \mathcal{A} , possibly including "|" character.

For each \mathcal{A} and $j \in k$, $\sigma_{P_1}^j(A, X)$ is equal to 0 and $\sigma_{P_1}^j(X, A)$ is equal to 0 for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus A does not contribute to $l_P(X)$ and $l_P(A)$ is equal to 0. By the definition of $(B_{P_1})_j$, A does not contribute to $(B_{P_1})_j$. Therefore $(B_P)_j$ is an invariant under the first homotopy move.

Consider the second homotopy move

$$P_1 := (\mathcal{A}, (xAByBAz)) \longrightarrow P_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, (xyz))$$

where |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$, and x, y and z are words on \mathcal{A} possibly including "|" character.

We show $l_{P_1}(D)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(D)$ for all $D \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$. In fact,

$$l_{P_{1}}(D) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(D, X)$$

=
$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}} \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(D, X) + \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(D, A) + \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(D, B) \quad \dots \dots (*)$$

If $|\widehat{D}|$ is a fixed point of τ , then all non-zero entries of $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, A)$ and $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, B)$ are elements of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, A)$ is equal to $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, B)$. Thus $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, A) + \sigma_{P_1}^j(D, B) = 0$.

If $|\widehat{D}|$ is a not fixed point of τ (in other words, $|\widehat{D}|$ is a *free orbit* of τ) and $|\widehat{A}|(=|\widehat{B}|)$ is a fixed point of τ , then we obtain $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D,A) + \sigma_{P_1}^j(D,B) = 0$ similarly as the above case.

If $|\widehat{D}|$ and $|\widehat{A}|$ are a free orbits of τ , then $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, A)$ is equal to $-\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, B)$. Thus we obtain $\sigma_{P_1}^j(D, A) + \sigma_{P_1}^j(D, B) = 0$. Therefore

$$(*) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \sigma_{P_1}^j(D,X)$$
$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \sigma_{P_2}^j(D,X)$$
$$= l_{P_2,j}(D).$$

If A and B are not in \mathcal{A}_j for all $j \in k$, then we completed the proof of the proposition.

Now we assume $A \in \mathcal{A}_j$ for some $j \in \hat{k}$. Then $B \in \mathcal{A}_j$ since $|\widehat{A}|$ is equal to $|\widehat{B}|$. Moreover by arrangement of letters A and B, we obtain $l_{P_1}(A)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(A)$. Thus

$$(B_{P_1})_j(l_{P_1}(A)) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}_j \setminus \{A,B\}, l_{P_1}(X) = l_{P_1}(A)} \varepsilon(X) + \varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B)$$

If |A| is a free orbit of τ , then $\varepsilon(A)$ is equal to $-\varepsilon(B)$ since |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$. Thus $\varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B) = 0$. If |A| is a fixed point of τ , then $l_{P_1}(A)$ is type (ii) and $\varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B) = 2 = 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus contributions of A and B to $(B_{P_1})_j$ is vanish. It is clear that $(B_{P_1})_j(\mathbf{v})$ is equal to $(B_{P_2})_j(\mathbf{v})$ if \mathbf{v} is not equal to $l_{P_1}(A)$. Therefore $(B_P)_j$ is invariant under the second homotopy move.

Consider the third homotopy move

$$P_1 := (\mathcal{A}, (xAByACzBCt)) \to P_2 := (\mathcal{A}, (xBAyCAzCBt))$$

where |A| = |B| = |C|, and x, y, z and t are words on A possibly including "|" character.

We call a letter A single component letter if A appears twice in the same component of the phrase. First we consider the case A, B and C are single component

letters. We show $l_{P_1}(X)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. For all $D \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$, it is clear that $l_{P_1}(D)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(D)$.

On $l_{P_1}(A)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(A)$. Note that letters which contribute to $l_{P_1}(A)$ (respectively $l_{P_2}(A)$) are B and letters in y (respectively C and letters in y). It is easily checked that $\sigma_{P_1}^j(A, X)$ is equal to $\sigma_{P_2}^j(A, X)$ for all letter X in y. Moreover since $\cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots$ in $P_1, \cdots A \cdots C \cdots A \cdots C \cdots$ in $P_2, |B|$ is equal to |C| and B and C that appear second times are belong to the same component, we obtain $l_{P_1}(A)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(A)$.

On $l_{P_1}(B)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(B)$. Note that letters which contribute to $l_{P_1}(B)$ (respectively $l_{P_2}(B)$) are letters in y, A, C and letters in z (respectively letters in y and letters in z). It is clear that $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, X)$ is equal to $\sigma_{P_2}^j(B, X)$ for all letter X in y or z. We show $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, A) + \sigma_{P_1}^j(B, C) = 0$. Consider the case $|\widehat{A}| \ (= |\widehat{B}| = |\widehat{C}|)$ is a free orbit of τ . Note that letters A, B and C are contained in the same component of the phrase (we suppose this component is the j-th component). If we assume $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, A)$ is equal to $\pm \mathbf{e}_{(j,j)}$, then $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, C)$ is equal to $\pm \mathbf{e}_{(j,j)}$ where the double signs are taken in the same order. Thus we obtain $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, A) + \sigma_{P_1}^j(B, C) = 0$. Next consider the case $|\widehat{A}| \ (= |\widehat{B}| = |\widehat{C}|)$ is a fixed point of τ . Then $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, A)$ is equal to $\mathbf{e}_{(j,j)}$. Since all the non-zero entries of $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, A)$ and $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, C)$ are the elements of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $\sigma_{P_1}^j(B, A) + \sigma_{P_1}^j(B, C) = 0$. Therefore

$$l_{P_{1},j}(B) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(B, X)$$

$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,C\}} \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(B, X) + \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(B, A) + \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(B, C)$$

$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,C\}} \sigma_{P_{1}}^{j}(B, X)$$

$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,C\}} \sigma_{P_{2}}^{j}(B, X)$$

$$= l_{P_{2},j}(B)$$

for each $j \in k$. Thus we obtain $l_{P_1}(B)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(B)$.

On $l_{P_1}(C)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(C)$. Note that letters which contribute to $l_{P_1}(C)$ (respectively $l_{P_2}(C)$) are letters in z and B (respectively letters in z and A). It is clear that $\sigma_{P_1}^j(C, X)$ is equal to $\sigma_{P_2}^j(C, X)$ for all letter X in z. Moreover since $\cdots B \cdots C \cdots B \cdots C \cdots B \cdots C \cdots in P_1, \cdots A \cdots C \cdots A \cdots C \cdots in P_2, |A|$ is equal to |C| and A and C that appear first times are belong to the same component, we obtain $l_{P_1}(C)$ is equal to $l_{P_2}(C)$.

Therefore if A, B and C are single component letters, $(B_P)_j$ is the invariant of the third homotopy move.

By using a part of above discussion, we can prove the case A, B and C are not single component letters. Now we have completed the proof of the proposition. \Box

In [4], Gibson showed that Gibson's S_o invariant is strictly stronger than the invariant T for Gauss phrases. We have a similar statement for extended S_o invariant for nanophrases over any alphabet.

Proposition 5.4. The invariant S_o for nanophrases over α is strictly stronger than the invariant T for nanophrases over α .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that we can recover $T_P(w_j)$ from $(B_P)_j$ (we use the same symbols as [2]). First we define a set $B_j(P)$ as follows.

$$B_j(P) := \{ (B_P)_j(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v} | \exists A \in \mathcal{A}_j \text{ such that } l_P(A) = \mathbf{v} \}$$

= $\{ (B_P)_j(l_P(A))l_P(A) | A \in \mathcal{A}_j \}.$

We split \mathcal{A}_j into a disjoint sum of sets as follows.

$$\{A \in \mathcal{A}_{j} | l_{P}(A) = \mathbf{v}_{1}\} (=: \{A_{1}^{1}, \cdots, A_{i_{1}}^{1}\}),$$

$$\{A \in \mathcal{A}_{j} | l_{P}(A) = \mathbf{v}_{2}\} (=: \{A_{1}^{2}, \cdots, A_{i_{2}}^{2}\}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\{A \in \mathcal{A}_{j} | l_{P}(A) = \mathbf{v}_{m}\} (=: \{A_{1}^{m}, \cdots, A_{i_{m}}^{m}\}).$$

Then

$$B_{j}(P) = \{\sum_{j=1}^{i_{1}} \varepsilon(A_{j}) \mathbf{v}_{1}, \cdots, \sum_{j=1}^{i_{m}} \varepsilon(A_{j}) \mathbf{v}_{m} \}$$
$$= \{\sum_{j=1}^{i_{1}} \varepsilon(A_{j}) l_{P}(A_{j}^{1}), \cdots, \sum_{j=1}^{i_{m}} \varepsilon(A_{j}) l_{P}(A_{j}^{m}) \}$$

We sum up all the elements of $B_j(P)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_j} \varepsilon(A) l_P(A)$$

= $\left(\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_j} \varepsilon(A) \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_P^1(A, X), \cdots, \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_j} \varepsilon(A) \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_P^k(A, X) \right).$

Moreover we sum up all the entries of the above vector, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{j}} \varepsilon(A) \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{P}^{j}(A, X) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{j}} \varepsilon(A) \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{P}^{j}(A, X)$$
$$= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{j}} \varepsilon(A) \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_{P}(A, X)$$
$$= T_{P}(w_{j}).$$

Thus we can recover $T_P(w_j)$ from $B_j(P)$. Therefore S_o is strictly stronger than T (the proof of "strictly" is shown in [4] Example 6.4).

In the next sub-subsection we extend the invariant S_o for nanophrases over α to a $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant \widetilde{S}_o for nanowords over α_k . Moreover we show \widetilde{S}_o is an $S_{\alpha,k}$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k .

5.2.2. The invariant \widetilde{S}_o . In this sub-subsection we extend the S_o invariant which was defined in the previous sub-subsection to the invariant \widetilde{S}_o for nanophrases over α_k . To define \widetilde{S}_o , we prepare some notations. For a nanoword w over α_k and letters $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we define $\widetilde{\sigma}_w^j(A, B)$ as follows: If A and B form $\cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots$ in w, $|A| \in \widehat{a}_p$ and $||B|| = (a_q)_{ij}$ for some $p, q \in \{1, \dots l + m\}$ and $i \leq j$, or $\cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots$ in w, $|A| \in \widehat{a}_p$ and $||B|| = \tau(a_q)_{jl}$ for some $p, q \in \{1, \dots l + m\}$ and $l \geq j$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}_w^j(A, B) := \mathbf{e}_{(p,q)}$. If $\cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots$ in $w, |A| \in \widehat{a}_p$ and $||B|| = \tau(a_q)_{ij}$, for some $p, q \in \{1, \dots, l + m\}$ and $i \leq j$, or $\cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots B \cdots A \cdots \cdots$ in $w, |A| \in \widehat{a}_p$ and $||B|| = (a_{i_q})_{jl}$ for some $p, q \in \{1, \dots, l + m\}$ and $j \leq l$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}_P^j(A, B) := -\mathbf{e}_{(p,q)}$. Otherwise $\widetilde{\sigma}_P^j(A, B) := \mathbf{0}$. Moreover we define notations $\widetilde{l}_{w,j}$, \widetilde{l}_w and $(\widetilde{B}_w)_j$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{l}_{w,j}(A) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\sigma}_w^j(A, X) \in \prod K_{(p,q)},$$
$$\widetilde{l}_w(A) = (\widetilde{l}_{w,1}(A), \widetilde{l}_{w,2}(A), \cdots, \widetilde{l}_{w,k}(A)) \in (\prod K_{(p,q)})^k$$

Then $(\widetilde{B}_w)_j$ is a map from $(\prod K_{(p,q)})^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ to \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which is defined by

$$(\widetilde{B}_w)_j(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}, \widetilde{l}_w(A) = \mathbf{v}} \varepsilon(A) \ (if \ \mathbf{v} \ is \ type(i) \), \\ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}, \widetilde{l}_w(A) = \mathbf{v}} \varepsilon(A) \ (mod \ 2 \) \ (if \ \mathbf{v} \ is \ type(ii) \), \\ 0 \ (otherwise \). \end{cases}$$

Then we define \widetilde{S}_o as follows:

$$\widetilde{S}_o(w) = ((\widetilde{B}_w)_1, (\widetilde{B}_w)_2, \cdots, (\widetilde{B}_w)_k).$$

Then we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. The \widetilde{S}_o is a $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, (\Delta_{\alpha^3})_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant of nanowords over α_k .

Proof. It is sufficient to show homotopy invariance of $(\widetilde{B}_w)_i$.

It is clear that S_o does not change under isomorphisms.

Consider the first homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAAy) \longrightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}, xy)$$

where $||A|| \in Q_{\alpha,k}$, x and y are words on \mathcal{A} .

For each \mathcal{A} and $j \in \hat{k}$, $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(A, X)$ is equal to 0 and $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(X, A)$ is equal to 0 for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus A does not contribute to $\tilde{l}_w(X)$ and $\tilde{l}_w(A)$ is equal to 0. By the definition of $(\tilde{B}_{w_1})_j$, A does not contribute to $(\tilde{B}_{w_1})_j$. Therefore $(\tilde{B}_{w_1})_j$ is an invariant under the first homotopy move.

Consider the second homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAByBAz) \longrightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}, xyz)$$

where ||A|| is equal to $\tau_k(||B||)$ (put $||A|| = |A|_{ij}$ and $||B|| = |B|_{ij}$), and x, y and z are words on \mathcal{A}

We show $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(D)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(D)$ for all $D \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$. In fact,

$$\widetilde{U}_{w_1}(D) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\sigma}^j_{w_1}(D, X)$$

=
$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}^j_{w_1}(D, X) + \widetilde{\sigma}^j_{w_1}(D, A) + \widetilde{\sigma}^j_{w_1}(D, B) \quad \dots \dots (*)$$

If $|\widehat{D}|$ is a fixed point of τ , then all non-zero entry of $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, A)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, B)$ are elements of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, A)$ is equal to $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, B)$. Thus $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, A) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, B) = 0$.

If $|\widehat{D}|$ is a free orbit of τ and $|\widehat{A}| (= |\widehat{B}|)$ is a fixed point of τ , then we obtain $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, A) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, B) = 0$ similarly as the above case.

If |D| and |A| are a free orbits of τ , then $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, A)$ is equal to $-\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, B)$. Thus we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, A) + \tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D, B) = 0$. Therefore

$$(*) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(D,X)$$
$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(D,X)$$
$$= \widetilde{l}_{w_2,j}(D).$$

If A and B are not in \mathcal{A}_{jj} for all $j \in \hat{k}$, then we completed the proof of the proposition.

Now we assume $A \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}$ for some $j \in \hat{k}$. Then $B \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}$ since ||A|| is equal to ||B|| (note that τ_k does not change the subscript of the projection). Moreover by arrangement of letters A and B, we obtain $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(A)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(A)$. Thus

$$(\widetilde{B}_{w_1})_j(\widetilde{l}(A)) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}_{jj} \setminus \{A,B\}, \widetilde{l}_{w_1}(X) = \widetilde{l}_{w_1}(A)} \varepsilon(X) + \varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B).$$

If |A| is a free orbit of τ , then $\varepsilon(A)$ is equal to $-\varepsilon(B)$ since |A| is equal to $\tau(|B|)$. Thus $\varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B) = 0$.

If [A] is a fixed point of τ , then $\varepsilon(A) + \varepsilon(B) = 2 = 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus contributions of A and B to $(\widetilde{B}_{w_1})_j(\widetilde{l}_{w_1}(A))$ is vanish. It is clear that $(\widetilde{B}_{w_1})_j(\mathbf{v})$ is equal to $(\widetilde{B}_{w_2})_j(\mathbf{v})$ if \mathbf{v} is not equal to $\widetilde{l}_{w_1}(A)$. Therefore $(\widetilde{B}_w)_j$ is invariant under the second homotopy move.

Consider the third homotopy move

$$w_1 := (\mathcal{A}, xAByACzBCt) \rightarrow w_2 := (\mathcal{A}, xBAyCAzCBt)$$

where ||A|| = ||B|| = ||C||, and x, y, z and t are words on \mathcal{A}

Now we use same terminologies as in the proof of Proposition 5.3. We call a letter A single component letter if $A \in A_{jj}$. First we consider the case A, B and C are

single component letters. We show $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(X)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$. For all $D \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A, B\}$, it is clear that $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(D)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(D)$. On $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(A)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(A)$. By the definition of $\tilde{l}_{w_1,j}$,

$$\widetilde{l}_{w_{1},j}(A) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(A, X)$$
$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(A, X) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(A, B) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(A, C) \cdots \cdots (*)$$

It is easily checked that $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(A, C)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(A, B)$ are equal to 0. Since $\cdots A \cdots B$ $\cdots A \cdots B \cdots$ in $w_1, \cdots A \cdots C \cdots A \cdots C \cdots$ in w_2 and the second subscript of ||A|| and the second subscript of ||B|| are equal, we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(A, B)$ is equal to $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(A, C)$. Thus

$$(*) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(A,X) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(A,B) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(A,C)$$
$$= \widetilde{l}_{w_2,j}(A).$$

On $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(B)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(B)$. By the definition of $\tilde{l}_{w_1,j}$,

$$\widetilde{l}_{w_{1},j}(A) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(B,X)$$
$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{B,C\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(B,X) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(B,A) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(B,C) \cdots (**)$$

Then we show $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, A) + \tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, C) = 0$. In fact, if $|A| \in \hat{a}_i$ (in this case $|B|, |C| \in \hat{a}_i$) and \hat{a}_i is a fixed point of τ , then $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, A)$ is equal to $\mathbf{e}_{(i,i)}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, C)$ is equal to $\mathbf{e}_{(i,i)}$ if j is equal to i. Otherwise $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, A)$ is equal to $\mathbf{0}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, C)$ is equal to $\mathbf{0}$. Since $K_{(i,i)}$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, A) + \tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, C) = 2\mathbf{e}_{(i,i)} = 0$. If $|A| \in \hat{a}_i$ and \hat{a}_i is a free orbit of τ , then we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, A)$ is equal to $-\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, C)$. Thus $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, A) + \tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B, C) = 0$. Therefore we obtain

$$(**) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{B,C\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(B,X) = \widetilde{l}_{w_2,j}(B).$$

On $\tilde{l}_{w_1}(C)$ is equal to $\tilde{l}_{w_2}(C)$. By the definition of $\tilde{l}_{w_1,j}$,

$$\widetilde{l}_{w_{1},j}(C) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(C,X)$$
$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(C,X) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(C,A) + \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_{1}}^{j}(C,B) \cdots (***)$$

It is easily checked that $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(C, A)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(C, B)$ are equal to 0. Since $\cdots B \cdots C$ $\cdots B \cdots C \cdots$ in $w_1, \cdots A \cdots C \cdots A \cdots C \cdots$ in w_2 and the first subscript of ||A|| and the first subscript of ||B|| are equal, we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}_{w_1}^j(C, A)$ is equal to $\widetilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(C,B)$. Thus

$$(***) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A,B\}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{w_2}^j(C,X)$$
$$= \widetilde{l}_{w_2,j}(C).$$

By the above, in this case we prove invariance of \widetilde{S}_o by the third homotopy move.

On the case $A \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ and $B, C \notin \mathcal{A}_{jj}, A \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ and $A, B \notin \mathcal{A}_{jj}$, and $A, B, C \notin \mathcal{A}_{jj}$, we can prove invariance of \widetilde{S}_o by using a part of the above case.

Now we completed the proof.

Note that in the proof of invariance of \widetilde{S}_o by the first homotopy move, we did not use the condition $||A|| \in Q_{\alpha,k}$. Thus we obtain a following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. The \widetilde{S}_o is an $S_{\alpha,k}$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k .

5.3. Final Remark. In this section, we extended the invariants lk, w and extended S_o for nanophrases over α to the $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariants for nanowords over α_k . As a similarly, we can extend the invariant γ for nanophrase over α with homotopy data Δ_{α^3} which is defined in [1](see also [9]) to a $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, (\Delta_{\alpha^3})_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords. Moreover we can extend the lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 in the paper [2]. A natural question "Can we extend any Shomotopy invariant for nanophrases over α to a $(Q_{\alpha,k}, R_{\alpha,k}, S_{\alpha,k})$ -homotopy invariant for nanowords over α_k ?" is a future problem.

Acknowledgments— The author would like to express to my gratitude to Goo Ishikawa for many useful comments especially on Section 5. Furthermore, the author would like to very thanks to Andrew Gibson for many useful comments on invariants in Section 5. Thanks to that, invariants S_o and \tilde{S}_o in Section 5 were improved.

References

- T. Fukunaga, Homotopy classification of nanophrases in Turaev's theory of words, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 18 (2009), no. 7, 901-915.
- [2] T. Fukunaga, Homotopy classification of nanophrases with less than of equal to four letters, arXiv:0904.3478.
- [3] C. F. Gauss, Werke, Vol.8, Teubner, Leipzig, 1900.
- [4] A. Gibson, *Homotopy invariants of Gauss phrases*, to appear in Indiana University Mathematics Journal, arXiv:0810.4389.
- [5] A. Gibson, Factorization of homotopies of nanophrases, arXiv:0910.5281.
- [6] T. Kadokami, Detecting non-triviality of virtual links, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 12 (2003), no. 6, 781-803.
- [7] L. H. Kauffman, Virtual knot theory, European Journal of Combinatorics 20 (1999), 663-691.
- [8] D. S. Silver and S. G Williams, An invariant for open virtual strings, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 15 (2006), no.2, 143-152.
- [9] V. Turaev, Topology of words, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 95 (2007), no.2 360-417.
- [10] V. Turaev, Knots and words, International Mathematics Research Notices (2006), Art. ID 84098, 23 pp.

On Generalization of Homotopy of Words and Its Applications

- [11] V. Turaev, Lectures on topology of words, Japanese Journal of Mathematics 2 (2007), 1-39.
- [12] V. Turaev, Virtual strings, Annals de l'Institut Fourier 54 (2004), no.7, 2455-2525.
- [13] V. A. Vassiliev, Complements of Discriminants of Smooth Maps: Topology and Applications, Revised Edition, Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol.98.

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University Sapporo 060-0810, Japan e-mail: fukunaga@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp