Robin Heat Semigroup and HWI Inequality on Manifolds with Boundary^{*}

Feng-Yu Wang

School of Mathematical Sci. and Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

and

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK Email: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn; F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk

November 23, 2018

Abstract

Let M be a complete connected Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M , Q a bounded continuous function on ∂M , and $L = \Delta + Z$ for a C^1 -vector field Z on M. By using the reflecting diffusion process generated by L and its local time on the boundary, a probabilistic formula is presented for the semigroup generated by L on M with Robin boundary condition $\langle N, \nabla f \rangle + Qf = 0$, where N is the inward unit normal vector field of ∂M . As an application, the HWI inequality is established on manifolds with (nonconvex) boundary. In order to study this semigroup, Hsu's gradient estimate and the corresponding Bismut's derivative formula are established on a class of noncompact manifolds with boundary.

AMS subject Classification: 60J60, 58G32. Keywords: Gradient estimate, HWI inequality, local time.

1 Introduction

Let M be a d-dimensional connected complete Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and $L = \Delta + Z$ for some C^1 -vector field Z such that

(1.1) $\operatorname{Ric} - \nabla Z \ge -K$

^{*}Supported in part by NNSFC(10721091) and the 973-Project.

holds on M for some constant $K \in \mathbb{R}$. This curvature condition is well known by Bakry and Emery [1].

Let X_t be the reflecting diffusion process generated by L on M, and let l_t be its local time on the boundary ∂M . Let τ be the first hitting time of X_t to ∂M . It is well known that the following heat equation can be described by using the process X_t :

(1.2)
$$\partial_t u = Lu, \quad u(0, \cdot) = f,$$

where $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(M)$. With Dirichlet boundary condition $u|_{\partial M} = 0$ the solution can be formulated as

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}^x \big[f(X_t) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \big]$$

while under the Neumann boundary condition $Nu|_{\partial M} = 0$ one has

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}^x f(X_t),$$

where \mathbb{E}^x is the expectation taking for the process X_t starting at x. In this paper we shall provide the corresponding probability formula for the solution under the Robin boundary condition (cf. [4, page 102]):

(1.3)
$$Nf := \langle N, \nabla f \rangle = -Qf \text{ on } \partial M,$$

where $Q \in C_b(\partial M)$ and N is the inward unit normal vector field on ∂M . It turns out that under a reasonable assumption the solution to (1.2) under condition (1.3) can be formulated by

(1.4)
$$u(t,x) = P_t^Q f(x) := \mathbb{E}^x \left\{ f(X_t) e^{\int_0^t Q(X_s) dl_s} \right\}, \quad t \ge 0, x \in M.$$

As soon as P_t^Q is well defined, the semigroup property follows immediately from the Markov property of the reflecting diffusion process X_t . To ensure the boundedness of P_t^Q under the uniform norm, it is natural to ask the local time l_t to be exponentially integrable. According to calculations from [13] (see also the proof of Lemma 2.1 below), for this we shall need the following assumption.

(A) The boundary ∂M has a bounded second fundamental form and a strictly positive injectivity radius, the sectional curvature of M is bounded above, and there exists r > 0 such that Z is bounded on the r-neighborhood of ∂M .

Let $\rho_{\partial M}$ be the Riemannian distance to the boundary. Then the *r*-neighborhood of ∂M is $\partial_r M := \{x \in M : \rho_{\partial M}(x) < r\}$, where $\rho_{\partial M}$ is the Riemannian distance to the

boundary ∂M . Next, the injectivity radius $i_{\partial M}$ of ∂M is the largest number r such that the exponential map

$$[0,r) \times \partial M \ni (s,x) \mapsto \exp[sN_x] \in \partial_r M$$

is diffeomorphic. In particular, $\rho_{\partial M}$ is smooth on $\partial_r M$ for $r \leq i_{\partial M}$.

Finally, to state our result, we introduce the following class of references functions:

$$\mathscr{D}_0 := \{ f \in C_0^\infty(M) : Nf + Qf = 0 \text{ on } \partial M \}.$$

Theorem 1.1. Assume (A) and (1.1) hold and let $Q \in C_b(\partial M)$.

(1) $\{P_t^Q\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a positivity-preserving strong Feller semigroup of bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{B}_b(M)$, whose generator is L with domain containing all functions $f \in C_0^2(M)$ such that Nf + Qf = 0 holds on ∂M .

(2) Let $Z = \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2(M)$ with $\mu(dx) := e^{V(x)} dx$ not necessarily finite. Then $\{P_t^Q\}_{t\geq 0}$ provides a bounded symmetric C_0 -semigroup on $L^2(\mu)$. If in particular $Q \leq 0$, then P_t^Q is sub-Markovian and the associated symmetric Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}))$ is the closure of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}_0)$ with

$$\mathscr{E}(f,g) = \mu(\langle f,g \rangle) - \mu_{\partial}(Qfg), \quad f,g \in \mathscr{D}_0.$$

We note that a solution to the heat equation (1.2) under the Robin condition (1.3) can be represented by (1.4) provided it is bounded in $x \in M$. Indeed, by the boundary condition and the Itô formula, for fixed t > 0,

$$du(t-s, X_s) = dM_s + Nu(t-s, \cdot)(X_s) dl_s = dM_s - (Qu(t-s, \cdot))(X_s) dl_s$$

holds for some local martingale M_s up to time t. So, $s \mapsto u(t - s, X_s) \exp[\int_0^s Q(X_r) dl_r]$ is a local martingale as well. Since u is bounded and l_t is exponential integrable due to Lemma 2.1 below, it is indeed a martingale. Thus, (1.4) holds.

Since the local time l_t is not absolutely continuous in t, the semigroup P_t^Q is essentially different from the well developed Schrödinger semigroup. According to Theorem 1.1 below P_t^Q is generated by L under the boundary condition Nf + Qf = 0, where N is the inward unit normal vector field on ∂M . So, the formula (1.4) will be important in the study of this boundary value problem on M. In this paper we shall explain how can one apply this semigroup to the study of HWI inequality on manifolds with boundary. This inequality links three important quantities including the entropy, the energy and the Wasserstein distance (or the optimal transportation cost), and was found in [3, 2] on manifolds without boundary.

To study the HWI inequality, we consider the symmetric case that $Z = \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2(M)$ such that $\mu(dx) = e^{V(x)}dx$ is a probability measure on M, where dx is the Riemannian volume measure on M. Let P_t be the semigroup of the reflecting diffusion

process generated by L on M, which is then symmetric in $L^2(\mu)$. When ∂M is convex (1.1) implies the following gradient estimate (cf. [9, 12])

(1.5)
$$|\nabla P_t f| \le e^{Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$$

Combining this estimate and an argument of [2], we can easily obtain the following HWI inequality:

(1.6)
$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le 2\sqrt{\mu(|\nabla f|^2)} W_2(f^2\mu,\mu) + \frac{K}{2} W_2(f^2\mu,\mu)^2, \quad \mu(f^2) = 1,$$

where W_2 is the L^2 -Wasserstein distance induced by the Riemannian distance function ρ on M. More precisely, for a probability measure ν on M (note that we are using ρ^2 to replace $\frac{1}{2}\rho^2$ in [2])

$$W_2(\nu,\mu)^2 := \inf_{\pi \in \mathscr{C}(\nu,\mu)} \int_{M \times M} \rho(x,y)^2 \pi(\mathrm{d}x,\mathrm{d}y),$$

where $\mathscr{C}(\nu, \mu)$ is the class of all couplings of ν and μ . To see that P_t^Q is important in the study of the HWI inequality on a nonconvex manifold, let us briefly introduce the main idea for the proof of (1.6) on a convex manifold using (1.5). Firstly, due to Bakry and Emery, (1.5) implies the semigroup log-Sobolev inequality

(1.7)
$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) \le (P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2 + \frac{2(e^{2Kt} - 1)}{K} P_t |\nabla f|^2.$$

Taking integration for both sides with respect to μ we arrive at

(1.8)
$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le \frac{2(e^{2Kt} - 1)}{K} \mu(|\nabla f|^2) + \mu((P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2)).$$

On the other hand, according to [2, Proof of Lemma 4.2] the gradient estimate (1.5)implies (again note that the W_2^2 here is twice of the one in [2])

(1.9)
$$\mu((P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2) \le \frac{K e^{2Kt}}{2(e^{2Kt} - 1)} W_2(f^2 \mu, \mu)^2.$$

Combining this with (1.8) and minimizing in t > 0, one derives (1.6).

Now, what can we do for the nonconvex setting? According to [5], in this case the local time and the second fundamental form will be naturally involved in the upper bound

of $|\nabla P_t f|$. Let the second fundamental form be bounded below by $-\sigma$ for some $\sigma \ge 0$, i.e.

(1.10)
$$\mathbb{I}(X,X) := -\langle \nabla_X N, X \rangle \ge -\sigma |X|^2, \quad X \in T \partial M.$$

Recall that N is the inward unit normal vector field on ∂M . According to [5, Theorem 5.1], if M is compact and V = 0 then (1.1) and (1.10) imply

(1.11)
$$|\nabla P_t f|(x) \le e^{Kt} \mathbb{E}^x \{ |\nabla f|(X_t) e^{\sigma l_t} \}, \quad x \in M, t \ge 0, f \in C_b^1(M),$$

where X_t is the reflecting *L*-diffusion process and l_t is its local time on ∂M . In this paper we shall prove (1.11) for $Z \neq 0$ on noncompact manifolds under assumption (A), see Proposition 2.2 below.

Since the local time is unbounded, we are not able to derive from (1.11) the semigroup log-Sobolev inequality like (1.7). But Theorem 1.1(2) enables us to derive a log-Sobolev inequality of type (1.8) using (1.11), from which we can prove the following HWI inequality (1.12).

Theorem 1.2. Let $Z = \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2(M)$ such that μ is a probability measure. Assume (A) and (1.1). Let $\mathbb{I} \geq -\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\eta_{\lambda}(s) := \sup_{x \in M} \mathbb{E}^{x} e^{\lambda l_{s}} < \infty, \quad s, \lambda \ge 0$$

holds, and for any t > 0,

(1.12)
$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le 4 \left(\int_0^t e^{2Ks} \eta_{2\sigma}(s) ds \right) \mu(|\nabla f|^2) + \frac{W_2(f^2 \mu, \mu)^2}{4 \int_0^t e^{-2Ks} \eta_{2\sigma}(s)^{-1} ds}, \quad \mu(f^2) = 1.$$

To derive an explicit HWI inequality, we shall estimate $\eta_{2\sigma}$ as in [13] by using the Itô formula for $\phi \circ \rho_{\partial M}(X_t)$ with a specific choice of ϕ (see Lemma 2.1 below). From this we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.1 immediately. Let Sect_M be the sectional curvature of M, and let

$$\delta_r(Z) := \sup_{\partial_r M} \langle Z, \nabla \rho_{\partial M} \rangle^-, \quad r > 0.$$

Corollary 1.3. Let $Z = \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2(M)$ such that μ is a probability measure. Assume (A) and (1.1). Let $r_0, \sigma, k, > 0$ be such that $\delta_{r_0}(Z) < \infty, -\sigma \leq \mathbb{I} \leq \gamma$ and $\operatorname{Sect}_M \leq k$. For any

$$0 < r \le \min\left\{i_{\partial M}, r_0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k+\gamma^2}}\right)\right\},$$

and for $K_r := K + \frac{\sigma d}{r} + \sigma \delta_r(Z) + 4\sigma^2$, the HWI inequality

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le 2e^{2\sigma d/r} \sqrt{\mu(|\nabla f|^2)} W_2(f^2 \mu, \mu) + \frac{K_r e^{2\sigma d/r}}{2} W_2(f^2 \mu, \mu)^2, \quad \mu(f^2) = 1$$

holds.

As preparations, in the next section we shall confirm the exponential integrability of l_t and establish (1.11) on noncompact manifolds. The above two theorems are then proved in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. To prove the strong Feller property of P_t^Q and for further applications in the literature, the Bismut type formula for P_t on manifolds with boundary is addressed in Appendix at the end of the paper.

2 Exponential estimate and Hsu's gradient estimate

As explained in Section 1, to ensure that P_t^Q is well defined, we first study the exponential integrability of the local time.

Lemma 2.1. Let $r_0 > 0$ be such that $\delta_{r_0}(Z) < \infty$ and let k, γ be in Corollary 1.3. Then

$$\sup_{x \in M} \mathbb{E}^{x} e^{\lambda l_{t}} \le \exp\left[\frac{\lambda dr}{2} + \left(\frac{\lambda d}{r} + \lambda \delta_{r}(Z) + 2\lambda^{2}\right)t\right], \quad t \ge 0, \lambda \ge 0$$

holds for any

3

$$0 < r \le \min\left\{i_{\partial M}, r_0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k+\gamma^2}}\right)\right\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$h(s) = \cos\left(\sqrt{k}\,s\right) - \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{k}}\sin\left(\sqrt{k}\,s\right), \quad s \ge 0.$$

Then h is the unique solution to the equation

$$h'' + kh = 0, \quad h(0) = 1, h'(0) = -\gamma.$$

By the Laplacian comparison theorem for $\rho_{\partial M}$ (cf. [7, Theorem 0.3] or [14]),

$$\Delta \rho_{\partial M} \ge \frac{(d-1)h'}{h}(\rho_{\partial M}), \quad \rho_{\partial M} < \mathbf{i}_{\partial M} \wedge h^{(-1)}(0).$$

Thus,

(2.1)
$$L\rho_{\partial M} \ge \frac{(d-1)h'}{h}(\rho_{\partial M}) - \delta_r(Z), \quad \rho_{\partial M} \le r.$$

Now, let

$$\alpha = (1 - h(r))^{1 - d} \int_0^r (h(s) - h(r))^{d - 1} \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$\psi(s) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^s (h(t) - h(r))^{1 - d} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t \wedge r}^r (h(u) - h(r))^{d - 1} \mathrm{d}u, \quad s \ge 0.$$

We have $\psi(0) = 0, 0 \le \psi' \le \psi'(0) = 1$. Moreover, as observed in [13, Proof of Theorem 1.1],

(2.2)
$$\alpha \ge \frac{r}{d}, \quad \psi(\infty) = \psi(r) \le \frac{r^2}{2\alpha} \le \frac{dr}{2}.$$

Combining this with (2.1) we obtain (note that $\psi'(s) = 0$ for $s \ge r$)

(2.3)
$$L\psi \circ \rho_{\partial M} = \psi' \circ \rho_{\partial M} L\rho_{\partial M} + \psi'' \circ \rho_{\partial M} \ge -\frac{1}{\alpha} - \delta_r(Z) \ge -\frac{d}{r} - \delta_r(Z).$$

On the other hand, since $\psi'(0) = 1$, by the Itô formula we have

(2.4)
$$d\psi \circ \rho_{\partial M}(X_t) = \sqrt{2}\psi' \circ \rho_{\partial M}(X_t)db_t + L\psi \circ \rho_{\partial M}(X_t)dt + dl_t,$$

where b_t is the one-dimensional Brownian motion. Then it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that (note that $|\psi'| \leq 1$)

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda l_{t}} = \mathbb{E}\exp\left[\lambda\psi\circ\rho_{\partial M}(X_{t}) + \left(\frac{d\lambda}{r} + \lambda\delta_{r}(Z)\right)t - \sqrt{2}\lambda\int_{0}^{t}\psi'\circ\rho_{\partial M}(X_{s})db_{s}\right]$$

$$\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda dr + \left(\frac{d\lambda}{r} + \lambda\delta_{r}(Z)\right)t\right]\left(\mathbb{E}\exp\left[4\lambda^{2}\int_{0}^{t}\left(\psi'\circ\rho_{\partial M}(X_{s})\right)^{2}ds\right]\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda dr + \left(\frac{d\lambda}{r} + \lambda\delta_{r}(Z) + 2\lambda^{2}\right)t\right].$$

This Lemma ensures the boundedness of P_t^Q under the uniform norm. Next, we intend to prove (1.11) under assumption (A), which is known by [5] for compact M and Z = 0.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that (A). Let $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in C_b(M)$ be such that

(2.5)
$$\operatorname{Ric} - \nabla Z \ge -\kappa_1, \quad \mathbb{I} \ge -\kappa_2$$

hold on M and ∂M respectively. Then

(2.6)
$$|\nabla P_t f|(x) \le \mathbb{E}^x \left\{ |\nabla f|(X_t) \exp\left[\int_0^t \kappa_1(X_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \kappa_2(X_s) \mathrm{d}l_s\right] \right\}$$

holds for all $f \in C_b^1(M), t > 0, x \in M$.

We first provide a simple proof of (2.6) under a further condition that $|\nabla P.f|$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times M$ for any T > 0, then drop this assumption by an approximation argument. Since this condition is trivial for compact M, our proof below is much shorter than that in [5].

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $f \in C_b^1(M)$ such that $|\nabla P f|$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times M$ for any T > 0. Then (2.6) holds.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$\zeta_s = \sqrt{\varepsilon + |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2} (X_s), \quad s \le t.$$

By the Itô formula we have

$$d\zeta_s = dM_s + \frac{L|\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2 - 2\langle \nabla LP_{t-s}f, \nabla P_{t-s}f \rangle}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon} + |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2)^2} (X_s) ds - \frac{|\nabla |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2|^2}{4(\varepsilon + |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2)^{3/2}} (X_s) ds + \frac{N|\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon} + |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2} (X_s) dl_s, \quad s \le t,$$

where M_s is a local martingale. Combining this with (2.5) and (see [8, (1.14)])

(2.7)
$$L|\nabla u|^2 - 2\langle \nabla Lu, \nabla u \rangle \ge -2\kappa_1 |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{|\nabla |\nabla u|^2|^2}{2|\nabla u|^2}$$

we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_s \ge \mathrm{d}M_s - \frac{\kappa_1 |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2}{\varepsilon + |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2} (X_s) \zeta_s \mathrm{d}s - \frac{\kappa_2 |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2}{\varepsilon + |\nabla P_{t-s}f|^2} (X_s) \zeta_s \mathrm{d}l_s, \quad s \le t$$

Since ζ_s is bounded on [0, t], κ_1 and κ_2 are bounded, and by Lemma 2.1 $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda l_t} < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$, this implies that

$$[0,t] \ni s \mapsto \zeta_s \exp\left[\int_0^s \frac{\kappa_1 |\nabla P_{t-r}f|^2}{\varepsilon + |\nabla P_{t-r}f|^2} (X_r) \mathrm{d}r + \int_0^s \frac{\kappa_2 |\nabla P_{t-r}f|^2}{\varepsilon + |\nabla P_{t-r}f|^2} (X_r) \mathrm{d}l_r\right]$$

is a submartingale for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ we conclude that

$$[0,t] \ni s \mapsto |\nabla P_{t-s}f|(X_s) \exp\left[\int_0^s \kappa_1(X_r) \mathrm{d}r + \int_0^s \kappa_2(X_r) \mathrm{d}l_r\right]$$

is a submartingale as well. This completes the proof.

By Lemma 2.3, to prove Proposition 2.2 it suffices to confirm the boundedness of $|\nabla P.f|$ on $[0,T] \times M$ for $f \in C_b^1(M)$. Below we first consider $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ satisfying the Neumann boundary condition.

Lemma 2.4. Assume (A). If (1.1) holds then for any T > 0 and $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ such that $Nf|_{\partial M} = 0$, $|\nabla P.f|$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times M$.

Proof. We shall take a conformal change of metric as in [14] to make the boundary convex, so that the known estimates for the convex case can be applied. As explained on page 1436 in [14], under assumption (A) there exists $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and a constant R > 1 such that $1 \leq \phi \leq R$, $|\nabla \phi| \leq R$, $N \log \phi|_{\partial M} \geq \sigma$, and $\nabla \phi = 0$ outside $\partial_r M$. Since $\mathbb{I} \geq -\sigma$, by [14, Lemma 2.1] ∂M is convex under the new metric

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \phi^{-2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$$

Let $\Delta', \nabla', \operatorname{Ric}'$ be corresponding to the new metric. By [14, Lemma 2.2]

$$L' := \phi^2 L = \Delta' + (d-2)\phi\nabla\phi + \phi^2 Z =: \Delta' + Z'.$$

As in [15] we shall now calculate the curvature tensor $\operatorname{Ric}' - \nabla' Z'$ under the new metric. By [14, (9)], for any unit vector $U \in TM$, $U' := \phi U$ is unit under the new metric, and the corresponding Ricci curvature satisfies

(2.8)
$$\operatorname{Ric}'(U',U') \ge \phi^2 \operatorname{Ric}(U,U) + \phi \Delta \phi - (d-3) |\nabla \phi|^2 - 2(U\phi)^2 + (d-2)\phi \operatorname{Hess}_{\phi}(U,U).$$

Noting that

$$\nabla'_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \langle X, \nabla \log \phi \rangle Y - \langle Y, \nabla \log \phi \rangle X + \langle X, Y \rangle \nabla \log \phi, \quad X, Y \in TM,$$

we have

$$\langle \nabla_{U'} Z', U' \rangle' = \langle \nabla_U Z', U \rangle - \langle Z', \nabla \log \phi \rangle = \phi^2 \langle \nabla_U Z, U \rangle + (U\phi^2) \langle Z, U \rangle + (d-2)(U\phi)^2 + (d-2)\phi \operatorname{Hess}_{\phi}(U,U) - \langle Z', \nabla \log \phi \rangle.$$

Combining this with (2.8), (1.1), $||Z||_r < \infty$ and the properties of ϕ mentioned above, we find a constant $K' \ge 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Ric}'(U, U') - \langle \nabla'_{U'} Z', U' \rangle' \ge -K', \quad \langle U', U' \rangle' = 1.$$

For any $x, y \in M$, let (X'_t, Y'_t) be the coupling by parallel displacement of the reflecting diffusion processes generated by L' with $(X'_0, Y'_0) = (x, y)$. Let ρ' be the Riemannian distance induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$. Since $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ is convex, we have (see [12, (3.2)])

$$\rho'(X'_t, Y'_t) \le e^{K't} \rho'(x, y), \quad t \ge 0.$$

Since $1 \le \phi \le R$, we have $R^{-1}\rho \le \rho' \le \rho$ so that

(2.9)
$$\rho(X'_t, Y'_t) \le \operatorname{Re}^{K't} \rho(x, y), \quad t \ge 0.$$

To derive the gradient estimate of P_t , we shall make time changes

$$\xi_x(t) = \int_0^t \phi^2(X'_s) \mathrm{d}s, \quad \xi_y(t) = \int_0^t \phi^2(Y'_s) \mathrm{d}s$$

Since $L' = \phi^2 L$, we see that $X_t := X'_{\xi_x^{-1}(t)}$ and $Y_t := Y'_{\xi_y^{-1}(t)}$ are generated by L with reflecting boundary. Again by $1 \le \phi \le R$ we have

$$R^{-2}t \le \xi_x^{-1}(t), \xi_y^{-1}(t) \le t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Combining this with $|\nabla \phi| \leq R, 1 \leq \phi \leq R$ and (2.9) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_x^{-1}(t) - \xi_y^{-1}(t)| &\leq \int_{\xi_x^{-1}(t) \land \xi_y^{-1}(t)}^{\xi_x^{-1}(t) \lor \xi_y^{-1}(t)} \phi^2(Y'_s) \mathrm{d}s = |\xi_y \circ \xi_y^{-1}(t) - \xi_y \circ \xi_x^{-1}(t)| \\ &= |\xi_x \circ \xi_x^{-1}(t) - \xi_y \circ \xi_x^{-1}(t)| \leq \int_0^{\xi_x^{-1}(t)} |\phi^2(X'_s) - \phi^2(Y'_s)| \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq 2R^2 \rho(x, y) \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{K's} \mathrm{d}s \leq 2t \mathrm{e}^{K't} R^2 \rho(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

(2.11)
$$|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| = |\mathbb{E}\{f(X'_{\xi_x^{-1}(t)}) - f(Y'_{\xi_y^{-1}(t)})\}| \\ \leq \mathbb{E}|f(X'_{\xi_y^{-1}(t)}) - f(Y'_{\xi_y^{-1}(t)})| + |\mathbb{E}\{f(X'_{\xi_x^{-1}(t)}) - f(X'_{\xi_y^{-1}(t)})\}| =: I_1 + I_2.$$

By (2.9) and $\xi_y^{-1}(t) \leq t$ we obtain

(2.12)
$$I_1 \le \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{K't} R\rho(x, y).$$

Moreover, since $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ with $Nf|_{\partial M} = 0$, it follows from the Itô formula and (2.10) that

$$I_{2} \leq \left| \mathbb{E} \int_{\xi_{x}^{-1}(t) \land \xi_{y}^{-1}(t)}^{\xi_{x}^{-1}(t) \lor \xi_{y}^{-1}(t)} L'f(X'_{s}) \mathrm{d}s \right| \leq \|L'f\|_{\infty} \mathbb{E} |\xi_{x}^{-1}(t) - \xi_{y}^{-1}(t)| \leq c_{1} t \mathrm{e}^{K't} \rho(x, y)$$

holds for some constant $c_1 > 0$. Combining this with (2.11) and (2.12) we conclude that

$$\|\nabla P_t f\|_{\infty} \le c_2 (1+t) \mathrm{e}^{K't}, \quad t \ge 0$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$.

Proof of Prposition 2.2. Let $f \in C_b^1(M)$. By Lemma 2.3 we only have to prove the boundedness of $|\nabla P.f|$ on $[0, T] \times M$.

(a) Let $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$. In this case there exist a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset C_0^{\infty}(M)$ such that $Nf_n|_{\partial M} = 0, f_n \to f$ uniformly as $n \to \infty$, and $\|\nabla f_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1 + \|\nabla f\|_{\infty}$ holds for any $n \geq 1$, see e.g. [11]. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, (2.6) holds for f_n in place of f so that Lemma 2.1 implies

$$\frac{|P_t f_n(x) - P_t f_n(y)|}{\rho(x, y)} \le C, \quad t \le T, n \ge 1, x \ne y$$

for some constant C > 0. Letting first $n \to 0$ then $y \to x$, we conclude that $|\nabla P.f|$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times M$.

(b) Let $f \in C_b^{\infty}(M)$. Let $\{g_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset C_0^{\infty}(M)$ be such that $0 \leq g_n \leq 1, |\nabla g_n| \leq 2$ and $g_n \uparrow 1$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. By (a) and Lemma 2.3, we may apply (2.6) to $g_n f$ in place of f such that Lemma 2.1 implies

$$\frac{|P_t(g_n f)(x) - P_t(g_n f)(y)|}{\rho(x, y)} \le C, \quad t \le T, n \ge 1, x \ne y$$

holds for some constant C > 0. By the same reason as in (a) we conclude that $|\nabla P.f|$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times M$.

(c) Finally, for $f \in C_b^1(M)$ there exist $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset C_b^{\infty}(M)$ such that $f_n \to f$ uniformly as $n \to \infty$ and $\|\nabla f_n\|_{\infty} \leq \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} + 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. Therefore, the proof is complete by the same reason as in (a) and (b).

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The boundedness of P_t^Q under the uniform norm is ensured by Lemma 2.1. Since a bounded continuous function can be uniformly approximated by bounded smooth functions, due to Lemma 2.1 we may and do assume that $Q \in C_b^{\infty}(\partial M)$. To handle the integral $\int_0^t Q(X_s) dl_s$, we shall also need the upper bound of $L\rho_{\partial M}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathbb{I} \geq -\sigma$ and (1.1) hold. Then

$$L\rho_{\partial M} \leq (d-1)\sigma + \sup_{\partial M} \langle Z, N \rangle + K\rho_{\partial M}, \quad \rho_{\partial M} < i_{\partial M}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in M$ such that $\rho_{\partial M}(x) < i_{\partial M}$. Then there exist a unique $x_0 \in \partial M$ and the minimal geodesic $x : [0, \rho_{\partial M}(x)] \to M$ linking ∂M and x. By (1.1) we have

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\dot{x}_s, \dot{x}_s) \ge \langle \nabla_{\dot{x}_s} Z, \dot{x}_s \rangle - K =: R(s).$$

Let h solve the equation

$$h''(s) + \frac{R(s)}{d-1}h(s) = 0, \quad h(0) = 1, h'(0) = \sigma.$$

By the Laplacian comparison theorem (see [6, Theorem 1]),

$$\begin{split} \Delta \rho_{\partial M}(x) &\leq \frac{(d-1)h'}{h}(\rho_{\partial M}(x)) = (d-1)\sigma + (d-1)\int_{0}^{\rho_{\partial M}(x)} \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)'(s)\mathrm{d}s\\ &= (d-1)\sigma - (d-1)\int_{0}^{\rho_{\partial M}(x)} \left(\frac{R(s)}{d-1} + \frac{(h')^{2}}{h^{2}}(s)\right)\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leq (d-1)\sigma + K\rho_{\partial M}(x) - \int_{0}^{\rho_{\partial M}(x)} \langle \nabla_{\dot{x}_{s}}Z, \dot{x}_{s}\rangle\mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

Then the proof is completed by noting that

$$Z\rho_{\partial M}(x) = \langle Z, N \rangle(x_0) + \int_0^{\rho_{\partial M}(x)} \langle \nabla_{\dot{x}_s} Z, \dot{x}_s \rangle \mathrm{d}s.$$

3.1 The strong Feller property

As explained after Theorem 1.1, when M is compact the solution to (1.2) is bounded in $x \in M$, so that (1.4) holds. In particular, $P_t^Q f$ is differentiable for $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(M)$ and thus, P_t^Q is strong Feller. When M is noncompact, this argument does not apply due to the lack of boundedness of $u(t, \cdot)$. Below we provide a different proof for the strong Feller property.

a) We first prove the Feller property. Since by Lemma 2.1 for $f \in C_b(M)$ the function $P_t^Q f$ is bounded, it suffices to show that

(3.1)
$$\lim_{y \to x} P_t^Q f(y) = P_t^Q f(x), \quad x \in M.$$

For any $y \in M$, let (X_s, Y_s) be the coupling constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.4 via time changes. We shall first prove

(3.2)
$$\lim_{y \to x} \max_{s \in [0,t]} \rho(X_s, Y_s) = 0.$$

Using the notations in the proof of Lemma 2.4 and adopting (2.9) and (2.10), there exists a constant c(t) > 0 such that for any $s \in [0, t]$

$$\begin{split} \rho(X_s, Y_s) &= \rho(X'_{\xi_x^{-1}(s)}, Y'_{\xi_y^{-1}(s)}) \leq \rho(X'_{\xi_y^{-1}(s)}, Y'_{\xi_y^{-1}(s)}) + \rho(X'_{\xi_x^{-1}(s)}, X'_{\xi_y^{-1}(s)}) \\ &\leq c(t)\rho(x, y) + \sup \left\{ \rho(X'_{s_1}, X'_{s_2}) : \ s_1, s_2 \in [0, t + c(t)\rho(x, y)], |s_1 - s_2| \leq c(t)\rho(x, y) \right\}. \end{split}$$

By the continuity of the reflecting diffusion process we prove (3.2).

Next, to describe $\int_0^t Q(X_s) dl_s$ we shall apply the Itô formula to a proper reference function of X_s . To this end, we first extend Q to a smooth function on M. By assumption (A), one may find a function $\tilde{Q} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $\tilde{Q}|_{\partial M} = Q, N\tilde{Q}|_{\partial M} = 0$ and $|\nabla \tilde{Q}| + |L\tilde{Q}|$ is bounded. This can be realized by using the polar coordinates

$$\partial M \times [0, r) \ni (\theta, s) \mapsto \exp[sN_{\theta}]$$

for small enough r > 0 such that ρ_{∂} is smooth on $\partial_r M$. From this one may take $\tilde{Q}(\theta, s) = Q(\theta)h(s)$ on $\partial_r M$ for some $h \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty)$ such that h(0) = 1, h'(0) = 0 and h(s) = 0 for $s \ge r$, and let $\tilde{Q} = 0$ outside $\partial_r M$. This \tilde{Q} meets our requirements since $L\rho_{\partial}$ is bounded on $\partial_r M$ according to (2.1) and Lemma 3.1.

Let $\Phi \in C_0^{\infty}([0,\infty))$ be such that $0 \le \Phi \le 1, \Phi(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0,1]$ and $\Phi(s) = 0$ for $s \ge 2$. Let

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\rho_{\partial M}/n} \Phi(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Then $0 \leq \psi_n \leq 2n^{-1}, \psi_n = \rho_{\partial M}$ for $\rho_{\partial M} \leq n^{-1}, \psi_n$ is constant for $\rho_{\partial M} \geq 2n^{-1}$ and $|\nabla \psi_n| \leq 1$. Moreover, $\psi_n \in C^{\infty}(M)$ for large *n*. Since $\nabla \psi_n = N$ and $N\tilde{Q} = 0$ on ∂M , by the Itô formula we have

$$(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(X_t) = M_n(t) + \int_0^t L(\psi_n \tilde{Q})(X_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t Q(X_s) \mathrm{d}l_s,$$

where $M_n(t)$ is a martingale with

(3.3)
$$\langle M_n \rangle(t) = \int_0^t |\nabla(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)|^2 (X_s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Note that $L(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)$ is bounded since so is $|\nabla \tilde{Q}| + |L\tilde{Q}| + 1_{\partial_r M} |L\rho_{\partial M}|$. Similarly, let l_s^y be the local time of Y_s on ∂M , we have

$$(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(Y_t) = M_n^y(t) + \int_0^t L(\psi_n \tilde{Q})(Y_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t Q(Y_s) \mathrm{d}l_s^y$$

for some martingale $M_n^y(t)$ with

(3.4)
$$\langle M_n^y \rangle(t) = \int_0^t |\nabla(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)|^2 (Y_s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Combining these with (3.2) and using the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

(3.5)
$$P_t^Q f(x) = \lim_{y \to x} \mathbb{E} \left\{ f(Y_t) \mathrm{e}^{(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(Y_t) - \int_0^t L(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(Y_s) \mathrm{d}s - M_n(t)} \right\}$$

and

(3.6)

$$I_{n}(y) := \left| P_{t}^{Q} f(y) - \mathbb{E} \left\{ f(Y_{t}) e^{(\tilde{Q}\psi_{n})(Y_{t}) - \int_{0}^{t} L(\tilde{Q}\psi_{n})(Y_{s}) ds - M_{n}(t)} \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left| f(Y_{t}) e^{\int_{0}^{t} Q(Y_{s}) dl_{s}^{y}} (1 - e^{M_{n}^{y}(t) - M_{n}(t))} \right|$$

$$\leq (P_{t}^{2Q} f^{2}(y))^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} |1 - e^{(M_{n}^{y}(t) - M_{n}(t))}|^{2})^{1/2}$$

$$\leq c_{1} (\mathbb{E} |1 - e^{(M_{n}^{y}(t) - M_{n}(t))}|^{2})^{1/2}$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$. Since by the construction of ψ_n , (3.3) and (3.4) we conclude that $M_n(t)$ and $M_n^y(t)$ are exponentially integrable uniformly in y and

$$\langle M_n \rangle(t) + \langle M_n^y \rangle(t) \le c_2 \int_0^t \{ \mathbf{1}_{\partial_{2/n}M}(X_s) + \mathbf{1}_{\partial_{2/n}M}(Y_s) \} \mathrm{d}s$$

holds for some constant $c_2 > 0$, it follows from (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{y \to x} I_n(y) = 0.$$

Combining this with (3.5) we derive (3.1).

b) Let $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(M)$. By Remark A.1 in the Appendix, the Neumann semigroup is strong Feller. So, $f_{\varepsilon} := P_{\varepsilon}f \in C_b(M)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Combining this with the Feller property of P_t^Q , it suffices to prove

(3.7)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|P_t^Q f - P_{t-\varepsilon}^Q f_\varepsilon\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Since Q is bounded and l_s is continuous in s according to (2.4) and the continuity of X_s , Lemma 2.1 implies that

(3.8)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{x \in M} \mathbb{E}^x \left| f(X_t) \mathrm{e}^{\int_0^t Q(X_s) \mathrm{d}l_s} \left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\int_{t-\varepsilon}^t Q(X_s) \mathrm{d}l_s} \right) \right| = 0.$$

Next, let $\{\mathscr{F}_s\}_{s\geq 0}$ be the natural filtration of X_s . By the Markov property we have

$$P_{t-\varepsilon}^{Q} f_{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{E} \left\{ (P_{\varepsilon} f)(X_{t-\varepsilon}) \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} Q(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}l_{s}} \right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} Q(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}l_{s}} \mathbb{E}(f(X_{t}) | \mathscr{F}_{t-\varepsilon}) \right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ f(X_{t}) \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} Q(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}l_{s}} \right\}.$$

Combining this with (3.8) we prove (3.7).

3.2 The generator

We first prove that

(3.9)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|P_t^Q f - f\|_{\infty} = 0, \quad f \in C_0(M).$$

Since a function in $C_0(M)$ can be uniformly approximated by functions in $C_0^{\infty}(M)$ satisfying the Neumann boundary condition, we may assume that $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ with $Nf|_{\partial M} = 0$. By the Itô formula we have

$$\|P_t f - f\|_{\infty} \le \sup_M \int_0^t P_s |Lf| \mathrm{d}s \le t \|Lf\|_{\infty}$$

which goes to zero as $t \to 0$. Noting that

$$|P_t^Q f - f| \le |P_t f - f| + |P_t^Q f - P_t f| \le |P_t f - f| + ||f||_{\infty} \sup_{x \in M} \mathbb{E}^x (e^{||Q||_{\infty} l_t} - 1),$$

by Lemma 2.1 for $\lambda = \|Q\|_{\infty}$ and letting first $t \to 0$ then $r \to 0$, we obtain (3.9).

Next, let $f \in C_0^2(M)$ satisfy the boundary condition Nf + Qf = 0. We intend to prove that

(3.10)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left\| \frac{P_t^Q f - f}{t} - Lf \right\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

By the Itô formula and the boundary condition, we have

$$d\{f(X_t)e^{\int_0^t Q(X_s)dl_s}\} = dM_t + (Lf(X_t))e^{\int_0^t Q(X_s)dl_s}dt + \{Nf(X_t) + (Qf)(X_t)\}e^{\int_0^t Q(X_s)dl_s}dl_t = dM_t + (Lf(X_t))e^{\int_0^t Q(X_s)dl_s}dt$$

for some martingale M_t . This implies

$$P_t^Q f(x) = f(x) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^x \left\{ (Lf(X_s)) \mathrm{e}^{\int_0^s Q(X_r) \mathrm{d}l_r} \right\} \mathrm{d}s$$

So,

$$\left\|\frac{P_t^Q f - f}{t} - Lf\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|P_s^Q(Lf) - Lf\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d}s$$

and hence (3.10) follows from (3.9) since $Lf \in C_0(M)$.

3.3 The symmetry and C_0 property

Let $Z = \nabla V$. Since by Lemma 2.1

$$(P_t^Q f)^2 \le (P_t f^2) \mathbb{E} e^{2l_t ||Q||_{\infty}} \le c(t) P_t f^2$$

holds for some constant c(t) > 0, the boundedness of P_t^Q in $L^2(\mu)$ follows from the fact that μ is P_t -invariant. Moreover, since

$$|P_t^Q f - f|^2 \le 2|P_t^Q f - P_t f|^2 + 2|P_t f - f|^2$$

$$\le 2|P_t f - f|^2 + 2(P_t f^2) \sup_{x \in M} \mathbb{E}^x (e^{||Q||_{\infty} l_t} - 1)^2,$$

by Lemma 2.1 and the strong continuity of P_t in $L^2(\mu)$, we conclude that P_t^Q is strongly continuous in $L^2(\mu)$ as well. So, it remains to prove that for any $f, g \in C_0(M)$

(3.11)
$$\mu(gP_t^Q f) = \mu(fP_t^Q g).$$

We shall prove (3.11) by using symmetric Schrödinger semigroups to approximate P_t^Q .

Let \tilde{Q} and ψ_n be constructed above. We have

(3.12)
$$\mathbb{E}^{x}[f(X_t)\mathrm{e}^{\int_0^t Q(X_s)\mathrm{d}l_s}] = \mathbb{E}^{x}[f(X_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t L(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(X_s)\mathrm{d}s}] + \varepsilon_n,$$

where

$$\varepsilon_n := \mathbb{E}^x [f(X_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t Q(X_s) \mathrm{d} l_s} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-M_n(t) - (\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(X_t)})],$$

which goes to zero uniformly in x as $n \to \infty$ according to Lemma 2.1 and the properties of \tilde{Q} and ψ_n . Let $P_t^{(n)}$ be the Schrödinger semigroup generated by

$$L_n := L - L(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)$$

Since $L(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)$ is bounded, by the Feynman-Kac formula

$$P_t^{(n)}f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t L(\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(X_s)\mathrm{d}s}]$$

and $P_t^{(n)}$ is symmetric in $L^2(\mu)$. So,

(3.13)
$$\int_{M} g(x) \mathbb{E}^{x} [f(X_{t}) \mathrm{e}^{-\int_{0}^{t} L(\tilde{Q}\psi_{n})(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}s}] \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{M} g(x) P_{t}^{(n)} f(x) \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$= \int_{M} f(x) P_{t}^{(n)} g(x) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{M} f(x) \mathbb{E}^{x} [g(X_{t}) \mathrm{e}^{-\int_{0}^{t} L(\tilde{Q}\psi_{n})(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}s}] \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$= \int_{M} f(x) \mathbb{E}^{x} [g(X_{t}) \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t} Q(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}t_{s} + M_{n}(t) - (\tilde{Q}\psi_{n})(X_{t})}] \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Obviously, $M_n(t) - (\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(X_t) \to 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ and is exponentially integrable uniformly in x. So, by Lemma 2.1, (3.12), (3.13) and using the dominated convergence theorem we arrive at

$$\mu(gP_t^Q f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \int_M f(x) \mathbb{E}^x[g(X_t) \mathrm{e}^{\int_0^t Q(X_s) \mathrm{d} l_s + M_n(t) - (\tilde{Q}\psi_n)(X_t)}] \mu(\mathrm{d} x) + \varepsilon_n \right\} = \mu(fP_t^Q g).$$

3.4 The Dirichlet form

Again let $Z = \nabla V$ for $V \in C^2(M)$. Let $Q \leq 0$ such that $\mathscr{E} \geq 0$. Since by (1.3) and the integration by parts formula, we have

$$\mathscr{E}(f,g) = -\int_M fLg \mathrm{d}\mu, \quad f,g \in \mathscr{D}_0,$$

the form $\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}_0$ is closable. Moreover, as in §3.2 for $f \in \mathscr{D}_0$ one has $\frac{P_t^Q f - f}{t} \to Lf$ in $L^2(\mu)$ as $t \to 0$, it remains to show that $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}_0)$ is a pre-Dirichlet form in $L^2(\mu)$. Firstly, to understand that $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}_0)$ is well defined in $L^2(\mu)$, i.e. $\mathscr{E}(f, g)$ is independent of μ -versions of f and g, for a bounded continuous extension \tilde{Q} of Q on M, we rewrite

$$\mu_{\partial}(Qfg) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q}fg1_{\partial_r M})}{r}.$$

Since $Q \leq 0$ implies the nonnegativity and the normal contraction property of \mathscr{E} , it remains to show that \mathscr{D}_0 is dense in $L^2(\mu)$.

It is well known that the class of functions in $C_0^{\infty}(M)$ satisfying the Neumann boundary condition is dense in $L^2(\mu)$, it suffices to prove that for any $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ with $Nf|_{\partial M} = 0$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathscr{D}_0$ such that $\mu(|f_n - f|^2) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. To this end, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $h_{\varepsilon} \in C_0^{\infty}([0,\infty))$ such that $h_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0, h'_{\varepsilon}(0) = 1, h_{\varepsilon}(s) = 0$ for $s \ge r_0$ and $||h_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \le \varepsilon/||Q||_{\infty}$. Here $r_0 > 0$ is such that $\rho_{\partial M}$ is smooth on $\partial_{r_0}M$. Then $\psi_{\varepsilon}(\theta, s) := 1 + Q(\theta)h_{\varepsilon}(s)$ defined under the polar coordinates

$$\partial M \times [0, r_0) \ni (\theta, s) \mapsto \partial_{r_0} M$$

is smooth and can be naturally extended smoothly on M by letting $\psi_{\varepsilon} = 1$ on $M \setminus \partial_{r_0} M$. Obviously, we have $\psi_{\varepsilon}|_{\partial M} = 1, N\psi_{\varepsilon}|_{\partial M} = Q$ and $|\psi_{\varepsilon} - 1| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, $\psi_{\varepsilon} f \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and $\psi_{\varepsilon} f \to f$ in $L^2(\mu)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Lemma 2.1, it remains to verify (1.12). Let $f \in C_b^1(M)$ and t > 0. We have

(4.1)
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} P_s \left\{ (P_{t-s}f^2) \log P_{t-s}f^2 \right\} = P_s \frac{|\nabla P_{t-s}f^2|^2}{P_{t-s}f^2}, \quad s \in [0,t].$$

By (1.11) and the Schwartz inequality we have

$$\frac{|\nabla P_{t-s}f^2|^2}{P_{t-s}f^2}(y) \le e^{2K(t-s)} \frac{(\mathbb{E}^y\{|\nabla f^2|(X_{t-s})e^{\sigma l_{t-s}}\})^2}{P_{t-s}f^2(y)} \le 4e^{2K(t-s)} \mathbb{E}^y\{|\nabla f|^2(X_{t-s})e^{2\sigma l_{t-s}}\} =: 4e^{2K(t-s)}g_s(y), \quad s \in [0,t], y \in M.$$

Combining this with (4.1) we obtain

$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) \le (P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2 + 4 \int_0^t e^{2K(t-s)} P_s g_s ds.$$

Since μ is an invariant measure of P_t , taking integral for both sides with respect to μ we arrive at

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le \mu((P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2) + 4 \int_0^t e^{2K(t-s)} \mu(g_s) ds$$

Since by Theorem 1.1(2) P_{t-s}^Q for $Q = 2\sigma$ is symmetric in $L^2(\mu)$, we have

$$\mu(g_s) = \int_M |\nabla f|^2(x) \mathbb{E}^x \mathrm{e}^{2\sigma l_{t-s}} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le \mu(|\nabla f|^2) \eta_{2\sigma}(t-s),$$

it follows that

(4.2)
$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le \mu((P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2) + 4\mu(|\nabla f|^2) \int_0^t e^{2Ks} \eta_{2\sigma}(s) ds.$$

This is an extension of (1.8) to the nonconvex case.

On the other hand, we intend to establish an analogous to (1.9) for the present situation. For any $x, y \in M$, let $x_{\cdot} : [0,1] \to M$ be the minimal curve linking x and y with constant speed. We have $|\dot{x}_s| = \rho(x, y)$. Let $h \in C^1([0, t])$ be such that $h_0 = 1, h_t = 0$. Then by (1.11) which follows from Proposition 2.2, we have

$$P_{t} \log f^{2}(x) - \log P_{t}f^{2}(y) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} P_{s}(\log P_{t-s}f^{2})(x_{h_{t-s}}) \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ |\dot{h}_{t-s}|\rho(x,y)| \nabla P_{s}(\log P_{t-s}f^{2})|(x_{h_{t-s}}) - \mathbb{E}^{x_{h_{t-s}}} \frac{|\nabla P_{t-s}f^{2}|^{2}}{(P_{t-s}f^{2})^{2}}(X_{s}) \right\} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{x_{h_{t-s}}} \left\{ |\dot{h}_{t-s}|\rho(x,y) \frac{|\nabla P_{t-s}f^{2}|}{P_{t-s}f^{2}}(X_{s}) \mathrm{e}^{K(t-s)+\sigma l_{t-s}} - \frac{|\nabla P_{t-s}f^{2}|^{2}}{(P_{t-s}f^{2})^{2}}(X_{s}) \right\} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \frac{\rho(x,y)^{2}}{4} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}_{s}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2Ks} \eta_{2\sigma}(s) \mathrm{d}s =: c(t)\rho(x,y)^{2}.$$

Now, let $\mu(f^2) = 1$ and $\pi \in \mathscr{C}(f^2\mu, \mu)$ be the optimal coupling for $W_2(f^2\mu, \mu)$. It follows from the symmetry of P_t and (4.3) that

$$\begin{split} \mu((P_t f^2) \log P_t f^2) &= \mu(f^2 P_t \log P_t f^2) = \int_{M \times M} P_t(\log P_t f^2)(x) \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \\ &\leq \int_{M \times M} \left\{ \log P_{2t} f^2(y) + c(t) \rho(x, y)^2 \right\} \pi(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \\ &= \mu(\log P_{2t} f^2) + c(t) W_2(f^2 \mu, \mu)^2 \leq c(t) W_2(f^2 \mu, \mu)^2, \end{split}$$

where in the last step we have used the Jensen inequality that

$$\mu(\log P_{2t}f^2) \le \log \mu(P_{2t}f^2) = 0.$$

Combining this with (4.2) we obtain

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le 4\mu(|\nabla f|^2) \int_0^t e^{2Ks} \eta_{2\sigma}(s) ds + \frac{W_2(f^2\mu,\mu)^2}{4} \int_0^t \dot{h}_s^2 e^{2Ks} \eta_{2\sigma}(s) ds.$$

Then the proof is completed by taking

$$h_s = \frac{\int_s^t e^{-2Ku} \eta_{2\sigma}(u)^{-1} du}{\int_0^t e^{-2Ku} \eta_{2\sigma}(u)^{-1} du}, \quad s \in [0, t].$$

5 Appendix: the Bismut formula

By using a formula for the gradient of P_t derived in [5], one obtains the following Bismut type formula (5.2) as in [10], which in particular implies the strong Feller property of P_t as explained in Remark A.1 below. Because of the exponential integrability of l_t ensured by Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that the argument in [5] for compact M works also for the present case under assumption (A) and condition (1.1). To state the formula for the gradient of P_t obtained in [5], let us first introduce the SDE for the horizontal lift of the reflecting *L*-diffusion process.

Let O(M) be the bundle of orthonormal frames over M and let $\pi : O(M) \to M$ be the natural projection. Then X_t and its horizontal lift u_t on O(M) solve the following equations:

$$du_t = H_{u_t} \circ dX_t,$$

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2} u_t \circ dB_t + Z(X_t) dt + N(X_t) dl_t,$$

where B_t is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion and $H_u: T_{\pi u}M \to T_uO(M)$ is the horizontal lift at $u \in O(M)$. Next, let \mathbb{M}_t be the $\mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process solving the equation

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbb{M}_t = -\mathbb{M}_t R_{u_t} \mathrm{d}t, \quad \mathbb{M}_0 = I,$$

where

$$R_u(a,b) = \operatorname{Ric}(ua,ub) - \langle \nabla_{ua}Z,ub \rangle, \quad u \in O(M), a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then for any $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ we have (cf. the proof of [5, Theorem 5.1])

(5.1)
$$u_0^{-1} \nabla P_t f = \mathbb{E} \{ \mathbb{M}_t u_t^{-1} \nabla f(X_t) \}.$$

Since by Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 $|\nabla P.f|$ is bounded on $[0, T] \times M$ for any T > 0, this follows since according to [5, Theorem 3.7] the process $\{M_sF(u_s, t-s)\}_{s\in[0,t]}$ is a martingale for $F(u,s) = u^{-1}\nabla P_s f(\pi u)$. Due to (5.1) we have the following result on the Bismut type formula.

Proposition 5.1. Assume (A) and (1.1). Then for any $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ and any increasing function $h \in C^1([0,t])$ such that h(0) = 0, h(t) = 1,

(5.2)
$$\nabla P_t f(x) = \frac{u_0}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t) \int_0^t h'(s) \langle \mathbb{M}_s, \mathrm{d}B_s \rangle\right]$$

holds for $x \in M$ and X_t, u_t start from $x, u_0 \in O_x(M)$ respectively.

Proof. By Itô's formula we have

$$\mathrm{d}P_{t-s}f(X_s) = \sqrt{2} \left\langle \nabla P_{t-s}f(X_s), u_s \mathrm{d}B_s \right\rangle.$$

Then

(5.3)
$$f(X_t) = P_t f + \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \langle \nabla P_{t-s} f(X_s), u_s \mathrm{d}B_s \rangle.$$

Combining this with (5.1), for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E}\left\{f(X_t) \int_0^t h'(s) \langle \mathbb{M}_s a, \mathrm{d}B_s \rangle\right\} = \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \langle \nabla P_{t-s} f(X_s), \mathbb{M}_s a \rangle h'(s) \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \int_0^t \langle u_0 a, \nabla P_t f \rangle h'(s) \mathrm{d}s = \langle u_0 a, \nabla P_t f \rangle.$$

This completes the proof since $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is arbitrary.

Remark A.1. By (1.1) and letting $\mathbb{I} \geq -\sigma$, we have

$$\|M_s\| \le \mathrm{e}^{Ks + \sigma l_s}, \quad s \ge 0.$$

So, by Lemma 2.1 and (5.2), for any t > 0 there exists a constant C(t) > 0 such that

$$\|\nabla P_t f\|_{\infty} \le \|f\|_{\infty} C(t), \quad t > 0, f \in C_0^{\infty}(M).$$

This implies

$$|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| \le C(t) ||f||_{\infty} \rho(x, y), \quad x, y \in M, f \in C_0^{\infty}(M).$$

By the monotone class theorem, this inequality holds indeed for all $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(M)$ and thus, P_t is strong Feller.

References

- D. Bakry and M. Emery, Hypercontractivité de semi-groupes de diffusion, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. I Math. 299(1984), 775–778.
- [2] S. G. Bobkov, I. Gentil and M. Ledoux, Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80(2001), 669–696.
- [3] F. Otto and C. Villani, Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 173(2000), 361–400.
- [4] E. M. Ouhabaz, Analysis of Heat Equations on Domians, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005.
- [5] E. P. Hsu, Multiplicative functional for the heat equation on manifolds with boundary, Michigan Math. J. 50(2002), 351–367.

- [6] A. Kasue, On Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems, Proc. Japan Acad. 58(1982), 25–28.
- [7] A. Kasue, Applications of Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems, Geometry of geodesics and related topics (Tokyo, 1982), 333–386, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [8] M. Ledoux, The geometry of Markov diffusion generators, Ann. Facu. Sci. Toulouse 9(2000), 305–366.
- Z. Qian, A gradient estimate on a manifold with convex boundary, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 127(1997), 171–179.
- [10] A. Thalmaier, On the differentiation of the heat semigroups and Poisson integrals, Stochastics 61(1997), 297–321.
- [11] F.-Y. Wang, Application of coupling methods to the Neumann eigenvalue problem, Probab. Theory Related Fields 98 (1994), 299–306.
- [12] F.-Y. Wang, On estimation of the logarithmic Sobolev constant and gradient estimates of heat semigroups, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 108(1997), 87–101.
- [13] F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates and the first Neumann eigenvalue on manifolds with boundary, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 115(2005), 1475–1486.
- [14] F.-Y. Wang, Estimates of the first Neumann eigenvalue and the log-Sobolev constant on nonconvex manifolds, Math. Nachr. 280(2007), 1431–1439.
- [15] F.-Y. Wang, Gradient and Harnack inequalities on noncompact manifolds with boundary, preprint.