

THE RANDOM CONDUCTANCE MODEL WITH CAUCHY TAILS¹

BY MARTIN T. BARLOW AND XINGHUA ZHENG

*University of British Columbia and
 Hong Kong University of Science and Technology*

We consider a random walk in an i.i.d. Cauchy-tailed conductances environment. We obtain a quenched functional CLT for the suitably rescaled random walk, and, as a key step in the arguments, we improve the local limit theorem for $p_{n^{2t}}^{\omega}(0, y)$ in [Ann. Probab. (2009). To appear], Theorem 5.14, to a result which gives uniform convergence for $p_{n^{2t}}^{\omega}(x, y)$ for all x, y in a ball.

0. Introduction. In this paper we will establish the convergence to Brownian motion of a random walk in a symmetric random environment in a critical case that has not been covered by the papers [1, 3]. We begin by recalling the “random conductance model” (RCM). We consider the Euclidean lattice \mathbb{Z}^d with $d \geq 2$. Let E_d be the set of nonoriented nearest neighbour bonds, and, writing $e = \{x, y\} \in E_d$, let $(\mu_e, e \in E_d)$ be nonnegative i.i.d. r.v. on $[1, \infty)$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P}) . We write $\mu_{xy} = \mu_{\{x, y\}} = \mu_{yx}$; let $\mu_{xy} = 0$ if $x \not\sim y$, and set $\mu_x = \sum_y \mu_{xy}$.

We consider two continuous time random walks on \mathbb{Z}^d which jump from x to $y \sim x$ with probability μ_{xy}/μ_x . These are called in [1] the *constant speed random walk* (CSRW) and *variable speed random walk* (VSRW), and have generators

$$(0.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_C(\omega)f(x) = \mu_x(\omega)^{-1} \sum_y \mu_{xy}(\omega)(f(y) - f(x)),$$

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_V(\omega)f(x) = \sum_y \mu_{xy}(\omega)(f(y) - f(x)).$$

We write X for the CSRW and Y for the VSRW. Thus X jumps out of a state x at rate 1 while Y jumps out at rate μ_x . We will abuse notation

Received August 2009.

¹Supported in part by NSERC (Canada) and the Peter Wall Institute for Advanced Studies.

AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 60K37; secondary 60F17; tertiary 82C41.

Key words and phrases. Random conductance model, heat kernel, invariance principle.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 2010, Vol. 20, No. 3, 869–889. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

slightly by writing P_ω^x for the laws of both X and Y started at $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ in the random environment $[\mu_e(\omega)]$. Since the generators of these processes differ by a multiple, X and Y are time changes of each other. More explicitly, as in [3], define the *clock process*

$$(0.3) \quad S_t = \int_0^t \mu_{Y_s} ds,$$

and let A_t be its inverse. Then the CSRW can be defined by

$$(0.4) \quad X_t = Y_{A_t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In the case when $\mu_e \in [0, 1]$, and $\mathbb{P}(\mu_e > 0) > p_c(d)$, the critical probability for bond percolation in \mathbb{Z}^d , the papers [7, 11] prove that both X and Y satisfy a quenched functional central limit theorem (QFCLT), and that the limiting process is nondegenerate. The paper [1] studies the case when $\mu_e \in [1, \infty)$, and proves that for \mathbb{P} -a.a. ω the rescaled VSRW, defined by

$$(0.5) \quad Y_t^{(n)} = n^{-1} Y_{n^2 t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

converges to $(\sigma_V W_t, t \geq 0)$ where W is a standard Brownian motion, and $\sigma_V > 0$. It is also proved there that $S_t/t \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mu_0 \in [1, \infty]$. It follows from (0.4) that the CSRW with the standard rescaling,

$$X_t^{(n,1)} = n^{-1} X_{n^2 t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

converges to $\sigma_C W$ where

$$\sigma_C = \begin{cases} \sigma_V / \sqrt{2d\mathbb{E}\mu_e}, & \text{if } \mathbb{E}\mu_e < \infty, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbb{E}\mu_e = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathbb{E}\mu_e = \infty$ it is natural to ask if a different rescaling of X will give a nontrivial limit. In the case when $d \geq 3$, $\mu_e \in [1, \infty)$ and there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(0.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mu_e > u) \sim \frac{c}{u^\alpha} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

then [3] proves that the process

$$X_t^{(n,\alpha)} = n^{-1} X_{n^{2/\alpha} t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

converges to the “fractional kinetic motion” with index α . (For details of this process, and its connection with aging see [4–6].) These papers leave open the case when $\alpha = 1$. In this paper we assume that (μ_e) satisfies (0.6) with $\alpha = 1$; for simplicity we take $c = 1/(2d)$, so that μ_e satisfies

$$(0.7) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mu_e \geq 1) = 1,$$

$$(0.8) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mu_e \geq u) \sim \frac{1}{2du} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

We define the process

$$(0.9) \quad X_t^{(n)} = n^{-1} X_{n^2(\log n)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Our main theorem follows:

THEOREM 1. *Let $d \geq 3$, and assume that μ_e satisfies (0.7) and (0.8). Then for \mathbb{P} -a.a. ω , $(X^{(n)}, P_\omega^0)$ converges in $D([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\sigma_1 W$ where $\sigma_1 = \sigma_V/\sqrt{2} > 0$, and W is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion.*

As in [3] we prove this theorem by using (0.4) and proving convergence of a rescaled clock process. Let

$$(0.10) \quad S_t^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \int_0^{n^2 t} \mu_{Y_s} ds;$$

then it is easy to check that if $A^{(n)}$ is the inverse of $S^{(n)}$, then

$$(0.11) \quad X_t^{(n)} = Y_{A_t^{(n)}}^{(n)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It follows that to prove Theorem 1 it is enough to prove.

THEOREM 2. *Let $d \geq 3$, and assume that μ_e satisfies (0.7) and (0.8). For \mathbb{P} -a.a. ω , under the law P_ω^0 ,*

$$(0.12) \quad (S_t^{(n)}, t \geq 0) \Rightarrow (2t, t \geq 0) \quad \text{on } C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}).$$

REMARK 1. For $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$, let $S_t^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \log \lambda} \int_0^{\lambda^2 t} \mu_{Y_s} ds$. Then if $n \leq \lambda \leq (n+1)$,

$$\frac{n^2 \log n}{(n+1)^2 \log(n+1)} \cdot S_t^{(n)} \leq S_t^{(\lambda)} \leq \frac{(n+1)^2 \log(n+1)}{n^2 \log n} \cdot S_t^{(n+1)}.$$

It follows that the convergence (0.12) holds for $(S_t^{(\lambda)}, t \geq 0)_{\lambda \geq 1}$, and hence Theorem 1 extends to $(X_t^{(\lambda)})_{\lambda \geq 1} := (\lambda^{-1} X_{\lambda^2(\log \lambda)t})_{\lambda \geq 1}$.

As in [3], the result is proved by estimating the growth of the clock process S_t , $0 \leq t \leq n^2 T$. Since the limit of the processes $S^{(n)}$ is deterministic, overall this case is much easier than when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: after suitable truncation it is enough to use a mean–variance calculation. There is, however, one respect in which this case is more delicate than when $\alpha < 1$. When $\alpha < 1$ it turns out that the main contribution to $S_{n^2 T}$ is from visits by Y to x such that $\varepsilon n^{2/\alpha} \leq \mu_x \leq \varepsilon^{-1} n^{2/\alpha}$ (see Sections 5 and 7 of [3]). When $\alpha = 1$ one finds that each set of edges of the form $E_i = \{e : 2^{i-1} n \leq \mu_e < 2^i n\}$, $i = 1, \dots, \log n$,

has a roughly comparable contribution to S_{n^2T} , so a much greater range of values of μ_e need to be considered.

To motivate the proof, consider the classical case of a sum of i.i.d. r.v. ξ_i , with $\mathbb{P}(\xi_i > t) \sim t^{-1}$. We have that if

$$(0.13) \quad U_t^{(n)} = (n \log n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \xi_i,$$

then $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_t^{(n)} - t| \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Let $a_i = i(\log i)^\beta$ where $\beta \in (1, 2)$, and $\xi'_i = \xi_i \mathbf{1}_{(\xi_i > a_i)}$. Then $\sum P(\xi_i \neq \xi'_i)$ converges, so it is enough to consider the convergence of

$$(0.14) \quad V_t^{(n)} = (n \log n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \xi'_i.$$

A straightforward argument calculating the mean and variance of

$$(0.15) \quad M_t^{(n)} = (n \log n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\xi'_i - E\xi'_i)$$

then gives convergence of $U^{(n)}$. [Note that one does not have a.s. convergence, since $P(\max_{2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n} \xi_i > 2^n \log 2^n) \sim c/n$.]

The equivalent arguments in our case rely on good control of the process Y . Define the heat kernel and Green's functions for Y by

$$(0.16) \quad p_t^\omega(x, y) = P_\omega^x(Y_t = y), \quad g^\omega(x, y) = \int_0^\infty p_t^\omega(x, y) dt.$$

We extend these functions from $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ by linear interpolation on each cube in \mathbb{R}^d with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d . Let W be a standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^d , and let $W_t^* = \sigma_V W_t$, so that W^* is the weak limit of the processes $Y^{(n)}$. Let

$$(0.17) \quad k_t(x) = (2\pi\sigma_V^2)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x|^2/2\sigma_V^2)$$

be the density of the W^* .

A key element of the arguments is the following strengthening of the local limit theorem for $p_{n^2t}^\omega(0, y)$ in [1], Theorem 5.14, to a result which gives uniform convergence for $p_{n^2t}^\omega(x, y)$ for all x, y in a ball.

THEOREM 3. *Let $d \geq 2$, and assume μ_e satisfies (0.7). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \delta < T < \infty$ and $K > 0$, we have the following \mathbb{P} -almost sure uniform*

convergence:

$$(0.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \inf_{|x|, |y| \leq K} \frac{n^d p_{n^2 t}^\omega(nx, ny)}{k_t(x, y)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \sup_{|x|, |y| \leq K} \frac{n^d p_{n^2 t}^\omega(nx, ny)}{k_t(x, y)} < 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This result is proved in Section 1.1.

NOTATION. We write

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x - y| \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mathbb{R}}(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| \leq r\}.$$

If $e = \{x_e, y_e\} \in E_d$, we write $e \in B(x, r)$ if $\{x_e, y_e\} \subset B(x, r)$. We will follow the custom of writing $f \sim g$ to mean that the ratio f/g converges to 1, and $f \asymp g$ to mean that the ratio f/g remains bounded away from 0 and ∞ . For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \wedge b := \min(a, b)$, and $a \vee b := \max(a, b)$. Throughout the paper, c, C, C_1, C' , et cetera, denote generic constants whose values may change from line to line.

REMARK 2. One can also consider the more general case when the tail of μ_e satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_e \geq u) \sim c \frac{(\log u)^\rho}{u} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\rho \geq -1$ (so that $\mathbb{E}\mu_e = \infty$). Define for $t \geq 0$

$$X_t^{(n)} = \begin{cases} n^{-1} X_{n^2(\log n)^{1+\rho t}}, & \text{when } \rho > -1, \\ n^{-1} X_{n^2(\log \log n)t}, & \text{when } \rho = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then using the same strategy as in this article one can show that for \mathbb{P} -a.a. ω , $(X^{(n)}, P_\omega^0)$ converges to a (multiple of a) Brownian motion.

1. Preliminaries.

1.1. *Heat kernel: Proof of Theorem 3.* We collect some known estimates for $p_t^\omega(x, y)$ and $g^\omega(x, y)$ which will be used in our arguments.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$. There exist random variables U_x ($x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$) and constants c_i such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(U_x \geq n) \leq c_1 \exp(-c_2 n^\eta), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

(a) [1], Theorem 1.2(a). *There exists $c_3 > 0$ such that for all x, y and t ,*

$$p_t^\omega(x, y) \leq c_3 t^{-d/2}.$$

(b) [1], Theorem 1.2(b). If $|x - y| \vee \sqrt{t} \geq U_x$, then

$$(1.1) \quad p_t^\omega(x, y) \leq \begin{cases} c_4 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_5 |x - y|^2/t), & \text{when } t \geq |x - y|, \\ c_4 \exp(-c_5 |x - y|(1 \vee \log(|x - y|/t))), & \text{when } t \leq |x - y|. \end{cases}$$

(c) [1], Theorem 1.2(c). If $t \geq U_x^2 \vee |x - y|^{1+\eta}$, then

$$p_t^\omega(x, y) \geq c_6 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_7 |x - y|^2/t).$$

(d) Let $\tau(x, R) = \inf\{t \geq 0: |Y_t - x| > R\}$. If $R \geq U_x$, then

$$P_\omega^x(\tau(x, R) \leq t) \leq c_8 \exp(-c_9 R^2/t).$$

(e) [3], Lemma 3.4. When $d \geq 3$,

$$(1.2) \quad c_{10} U_x^{2-d} \leq g^\omega(x, x) \leq c_{11}.$$

(f) [3], Proposition 3.2(b). When $d \geq 3$, if $|x| \geq U_0$, then

$$(1.3) \quad g^\omega(0, x) \leq \frac{c_{12}}{|x|^{d-2}}.$$

(g) [3], Lemma 3.3. There exists $c_{13} > 0$ such that for each $K > 0$, if

$$(1.4) \quad b_n = c_{13} (\log n)^{1/\eta},$$

then with \mathbb{P} -probability no less than $1 - c_{14} K^d n^{-2}$ the following holds:

$$(1.5) \quad \max_{|x| \leq Kn} U_x \leq b_n.$$

In particular, (1.5) holds for all n large enough \mathbb{P} -a.s.

(h) [1], Theorem 5.14. For any $\delta > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{t \geq \delta} |n^d p_{n^2 t}^\omega(0, x) - k_t(x/n)| = 0.$$

(i) There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for $x, y, y' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(1.7) \quad n^d |p_{n^2 t}^\omega(x, y) - p_{n^2 t}^\omega(x, y')| \leq c_{15} t^{-(d+\theta)/2} \cdot \left(\frac{|y - y'| \vee U_y}{n} \right)^\theta.$$

PROOF. (d) The tail bound on $\tau(x, R)$ in (d) follows from Proposition 2.18 and Theorem 4.3 of [1]. (i) This follows from [1], Theorem 3.7 and [2], Proposition 3.2. \square

We begin by improving the local limit theorem in (1.6).

LEMMA 5. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $K > 0$ and $0 < \delta < T < \infty$, there exists $\varepsilon_b > 0$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all but finitely many n ,

$$(1.8) \quad \sup_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \sup \left\{ \frac{p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_1, ny_1)}{p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_2, ny_2)} : |x_i|, |y_i| \leq K, |x_1 - x_2| \leq \varepsilon_b, |y_1 - y_2| \leq \varepsilon_b \right\} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 4(g), we can assume that the event $\{\max_{|x| \leq Kn} U_x \leq b_n\}$ holds. So, by Lemma 4(i) we get that for all $t \geq \delta$,

$$n^d |p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_1, ny_1) - p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_1, ny_2)| \leq C\delta^{-(d+\theta)/2} \cdot |y_1 - y_2|^\theta \vee \left| \frac{b_n}{n} \right|^\theta.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4(c), there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for all n large such that $n^2\delta \geq b_n^2 \vee n^{1+\eta}(2K)^{1+\eta}$, all $\delta \leq t \leq T$ and $|x_1|, |y_1| \leq K$,

$$n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_1, ny_1) \geq \varepsilon_1.$$

Hence

$$\left| 1 - \frac{p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_1, ny_2)}{p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx_1, ny_1)} \right| \leq \frac{C\delta^{-(d+\theta)/2}}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot |y_1 - y_2|^\theta \vee \left| \frac{b_n}{n} \right|^\theta.$$

The conclusion follows by taking ε_b small enough so that

$$\frac{C\delta^{-(d+\theta)/2}}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot \varepsilon_b^\theta < \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} - 1,$$

and then interchanging the roles of x and y in the argument above. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, to be chosen later. We first show that for any fixed $|x|, |y| \leq K$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon_0)^4} &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \frac{n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx, ny)}{k_t(x, y)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\delta \leq t \leq T} \frac{n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx, ny)}{k_t(x, y)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon_0)^4. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to that in Lemma 4.2 in [3]. First fix an ε_b so that the LHS in (1.8) in Lemma 5 is bounded by $1 + \varepsilon_0$. For any path $\gamma \in D([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$, define the hitting time $\sigma(\gamma) = \inf\{t : \gamma_t \in B(x, \varepsilon_b)\}$. Then by the QFCLT for the VSRW $Y^{(n)}$ we get that \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_n E_0^\omega \mathbf{1}\{Y_{\sigma(Y^{(n)})+t}^{(n)} \in B(y, \varepsilon_b)\} \\ &= E_0 \left(\mathbf{1}\{\sigma(W^*) < \infty\} \int_{z \in B(y, \varepsilon_b)} k_t(W_{\sigma(W^*)}^*, z) dz \right), \end{aligned}$$

where W^* is the limit of the VSRW $Y^{(n)}$. So, writing $\sigma = \sigma(Y^{(n)})$, for all large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega^0(Y_{\sigma+t}^{(n)} \in B(y, \varepsilon_b) | Y_\sigma^{(n)}, \sigma < \infty) &= \sum_{z \in B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)} p_{n^2t}^\omega(nY_\sigma^{(n)}, z) \\ &\geq (1 + \varepsilon_0)^{-1} |B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)| \cdot p_{n^2t}^\omega(nY_\sigma^{(n)}, ny) \\ &\geq (1 + \varepsilon_0)^{-2} |B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)| \cdot p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx, ny). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)| \sim n^d \cdot \text{Vol}(B_{\mathbb{R}}(y, \varepsilon_b))$; using this and the analogous result for $k_t(x, y)$, we get that

$$\limsup_n n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx, ny) \cdot P_\omega^0(\sigma(Y^{(n)}) < \infty) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_0)^4 P_0(\sigma(W^*) < \infty) k_t(x, y).$$

But by the QFCLT for the VSRW $Y^{(n)}$ again, $\lim_n P_\omega^0(\sigma(Y^{(n)}) < \infty) = P_0(\sigma(W^*) < \infty)$, hence we get the desired upper bound. The lower bound in (1.9) can be proved similarly.

We now let x, y vary over $B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)$. Find a finite set $\{z_1, \dots, z_\ell\}$ such that $B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)$ is covered by the balls $B_{\mathbb{R}}(z_i, \varepsilon_b)$. By the previous argument, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $i, j = 1, \dots, \ell$, $n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nz_i, nz_j) / k_t(z_i, z_j)$ is bounded above by $(1 + \varepsilon_0)^4$ for all large n . Given $x, y \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)$, choose z_i, z_j so that $x \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(z_i, \varepsilon_b)$, $y \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(z_j, \varepsilon_b)$. Then using (1.8),

$$\frac{n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx, ny)}{k_t(x, y)} = \frac{n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nz_i, nz_j)}{k_t(z_i, z_j)} \cdot \frac{n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nx, ny)}{n^d p_{n^2t}^\omega(nz_i, nz_j)} \cdot \frac{k_t(z_i, z_j)}{k_t(x, y)} < (1 + \varepsilon_0)^6$$

for all large n . Taking $(1 + \varepsilon_0)^6 < 1 + \varepsilon$ gives the upper bound in (0.18), and the lower bound can be proved similarly. \square

1.2. *Convergences after truncation.* For any given $a > 0$, we introduce the following truncation of μ_x :

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{\mu}_e = \tilde{\mu}_e^{(n)} = \mu_e \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_e \leq an^2\}}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_x = \tilde{\mu}_x^{(n)} = \sum_{y \sim x} \tilde{\mu}_{xy}.$$

Then we have

$$(1.11) \quad \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_x \sim \log(an^2), \quad \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_x^2 \leq C an^2,$$

where C is a constant independent of a and n . Note that $\tilde{\mu}_x$ and $\tilde{\mu}_y$ are independent if $|x - y| > 1$.

LEMMA 6. *Let $K > 0$ and $d \geq 3$.*

(a) *If $f: B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then \mathbb{P} -a.s.,*

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{1}{n^d \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x f(x/n) \rightarrow 2 \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)} f(x) dx.$$

(b) If $g: (B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{1}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y g(x/n, y/n) \rightarrow 4 \int_{(B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K))^2} g(x, y) dx dy.$$

PROOF. In both cases we use a straightforward mean–variance calculation.

(a) Write I_n for the LHS of (1.12). Then as $\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}_x \sim \log(an^2) \sim 2 \log n$,

$$(1.14) \quad \mathbb{E}I_n = \frac{\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}_0}{\log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} f(x/n)n^{-d} \rightarrow 2 \int_{|x| \leq K} f(x) dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $|x - y| \leq 1$, then $|\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_x, \tilde{\mu}_y)| \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\mu}_0)$ by Cauchy–Schwarz. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(I_n) &\leq \frac{c\|f\|_{\infty}^2}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \text{Var}(\tilde{\mu}_0) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^d(\log n)^2} an^2 \leq \frac{C'}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we deduce

$$\mathbb{P}(|I_n - \mathbb{E}I_n| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(I_n)}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2},$$

and so by Borel–Cantelli, we have that $|I_n - \mathbb{E}I_n| < \varepsilon$ for all large n .

(b) Let J_n be the left-hand side of (1.13). Write $B = B(0, Kn)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J'_n &= \frac{1}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, |x-y| \leq 3} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y g(x/n, y/n), \\ J''_n &= \frac{1}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, |x-y| > 3} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y g(x/n, y/n). \end{aligned}$$

Then since $\tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \leq \tilde{\mu}_x^2 + \tilde{\mu}_y^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}|J'_n| \leq \frac{c}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}_x^2 \|g\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{c\|g\|_{\infty}}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.$$

As this sum converges, by Borel–Cantelli $J'_n \rightarrow 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.

For J''_n we have

$$\mathbb{E}J''_n = \frac{(\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}_x)^2}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, |x-y| > 3} g(x/n, y/n) \rightarrow 4 \int_{|x|, |y| \leq K} g(x, y) dx dy.$$

Furthermore,

$$(1.15) \quad \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(J_n'') \leq \frac{C}{n^{4d}(\log n)^4} \times \sum_{x,y \in B, |x-y| > 3} \left(\sum_{x',y' \in B, |x'-y'| > 3} |\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y, \tilde{\mu}_{x'} \tilde{\mu}_{y'})| \right).$$

If all of x, y, x', y' are at a distance greater than 1 apart in the sum in (1.15), then $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y, \tilde{\mu}_{x'} \tilde{\mu}_{y'}) = 0$. So, after relabelling, we only have to handle two cases: when $|x - x'| \leq 1$ and $|y - y'| \leq 1$, and when $|x - x'| \leq 1$ and $|y - y'| > 1$. Write K_n' and K_n'' for these two sums. Observe that in both cases, since $|x - y| > 3$ and $|x' - y'| > 3$, we have $|y' - x| > 1$ and $|y - x'| > 1$.

In the first case,

$$(1.16) \quad |\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y, \tilde{\mu}_{x'} \tilde{\mu}_{y'})| \leq \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_{x'} \cdot \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_y \tilde{\mu}_{y'} \leq cn^4,$$

and so

$$K_n' \leq \frac{cn^{2d}n^4}{n^{4d}(\log n)^4} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2d-4}(\log n)^4}.$$

In the second case,

$$|\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y, \tilde{\mu}_{x'} \tilde{\mu}_{y'})| \leq \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_{x'} \cdot \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_y \tilde{\mu}_{y'} \leq cn^2(\log n)^2,$$

and so as the sum in K_n'' contains $O(n^{3d})$ terms

$$K_n'' \leq \frac{cn^{3d}n^2(\log n)^2}{n^{4d}(\log n)^4} \leq \frac{c}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.$$

Hence $\sum_n \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(J_n'') < \infty$, proving (1.13). \square

Finally we state a simple lemma which can be proved by direct computations.

LEMMA 7. *For any $K > 0$,*

(a)

$$\sum_{1 \leq |x| \leq Kn} |x|^{2-d} = O(n^2).$$

(b)

$$\sum_{1 \leq |x| \leq Kn} |x|^{4-2d} = \begin{cases} O(n), & \text{when } d = 3, \\ O(\log n), & \text{when } d = 4, \\ O(1), & \text{when } d \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

2. Estimates involving Green's functions. For the usual simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d , $d \geq 3$, Green's function $g(x, x)$ is a positive constant for all x . In our case, the best available lower bound [see Lemma 4(e)] gives that \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all large n , and for all $|x| \leq Kn$, $g^\omega(x, x) \geq C/(\log n)^{(d-2)/\eta}$. As this is not quite strong enough for the truncation arguments in the next section, we now derive some more precise bounds on sums of Green's functions in a ball.

Recall that E_d denotes the set of edges in \mathbb{Z}^d , and in Lemma 4(g) we defined $b_n = c_{13}(\log n)^{1/\eta}$. For $e = \{x_e, y_e\} \in E_d$, let $B(e, r) = B(x_e, r) \cap B(y_e, r)$. For $e = \{x_e, y_e\} \in E_d$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_n(e) = C_{\text{eff}}[\{x_e, y_e\}, B(e, b_n)^c],$$

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_n(z) = C_{\text{eff}}[z, B(z, b_n + 1)^c],$$

where $C_{\text{eff}}[A, B]$ denotes the effective conductivity between the sets A and B (see (3.8) in [3] or [10], Section 9.4). Note that both $\gamma_n(e)$ and $\gamma_n(x)$ are decreasing in n , and $\gamma_\infty(e) := \lim_n \gamma_n(e)$ is the effective conductivity between e and infinity while $\gamma_\infty(x) := \lim_n \gamma_n(x)$ is equal to $1/g^\omega(x, x)$. By [3], Lemma 6.2, for any $k \geq 1$, $\lim_n \mathbb{E} \gamma_n(e)^k < \infty$. Note further that μ_e and $\gamma_n(e)$ are independent, and also that $\gamma_n(e)$ and $\gamma_n(e')$ are independent if $|e - e'| \geq 2b_n + 1$. When $d \geq 3$, by Lemma 4(e), $g^\omega(x, x) < C < \infty$, and hence

$$(2.3) \quad \gamma_n(e) \geq \gamma_n(x) \geq \gamma_\infty(x) = 1/g^\omega(x, x) \geq 1/C > 0.$$

Let a_p be large enough so that $\mathbb{P}(\mu_e > a_p) < p_c(d)$ where $p_c(d)$ is the critical probability for bond percolation in \mathbb{Z}^d . Let $\mathcal{C}(e)$ denote the cluster containing e in the bond percolation process for which $\{e \text{ is open}\} = \{\mu_e > a_p\}$. Then we have (see [8], Theorems 6.75 and 5.4)

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(e)| > m) &\leq \exp(-c_1 m), \\ \mathbb{P}(\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(e)) > m) &\leq \exp(-c_2 m), \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F_n(e) = \{\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(e)) \geq \frac{1}{2}b_n\}, \quad \gamma'_n(e) = \gamma_n(e) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{F_n(e)^c}.$$

LEMMA 8. (a) For any $K > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all sufficiently large n , $\gamma_n(e) = \gamma'_n(e)$ for all $e \in B(0, 2Kn)$.

(b) There exists $\theta > 0$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(\theta) < \infty$ such that for all n ,

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\theta \gamma'_n(e)} < \Gamma.$$

(c) *There exists $C = C(d) > 0$ such that for any $K > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all large n ,*

$$\inf_{|x| \leq Kn} g^\omega(x, x) \geq C / \log n.$$

PROOF. (a) First note that

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{e \in B(0, 2Kn)} F_n(e) \right) \leq cn^d \exp(-c_2 b_n / 2) = c \exp(d \log n - c' (\log n)^{1/\eta}).$$

Since $\eta < 1$ the RHS in (2.5) is summable, so that, for all but finitely many n , $\gamma_n(e) = \gamma'_n(e)$ for all $e \in B(0, 2Kn)$.

(b) On $F_n(e)^c$ the cluster $\mathcal{C}(e)$ is contained in $B(e, b_n)$, and each bond from $\mathcal{C}(e)$ to $\mathcal{C}(e)^c$ has conductivity less than a_p . Since there are at most $2d|\mathcal{C}(e)|$ such bonds, we deduce that $\gamma_n(e) \leq da_p |\mathcal{C}(e)|$. So,

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\gamma'_n(e) > \lambda) \leq \mathbb{P}(da_p |\mathcal{C}(e)| > \lambda) \leq \exp(-c\lambda).$$

(c) Using (2.6) it is enough to consider

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{e \in B(0, Kn)} \gamma'_n(e) > \lambda \log n \right) \leq c'n^d e^{-c\lambda \log n}$$

which is summable when λ is large enough. \square

For any $0 < a < b \leq \infty$, define the sets

$$(2.7) \quad E_n(a, b) = \{e : an^2 \leq \mu_e < bn^2\}.$$

Let m_n be chosen later with $m_n \geq 3b_n$. We tile \mathbb{Z}^d with cubes of the form $Q = [0, m_n - 1]^d + m_n \mathbb{Z}^d$ so that each cube contains m_n^d vertices. Let z_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, be the unit vectors in \mathbb{Z}^d , and given a cube Q in the tiling let

$$E(Q) = \{\{x, x + z_i\}, x \in Q, 1 \leq i \leq d\};$$

it is clear that $E(Q)$ gives a tiling of E_d , and that $|E(Q)| = dm_n^d$ for each Q . Let $K > 0$ be fixed, and let \mathcal{Q}_n be the set of Q such that $Q \cap B(0, Kn + 1) \neq \emptyset$. We have $|\mathcal{Q}_n| \asymp (Kn/m_n)^d$.

LEMMA 9 (See [3], Lemma 6.3). *Let $a, K, \delta > 0$ be fixed.*

(a) *Suppose that $Kn/\sqrt{d} \geq m_n \geq n^{\theta_1}$ for some $\theta_1 > 2/d$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all but finitely many n ,*

$$(2.8) \quad \max_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \sum_{e \in E(Q) \cap E_n(a, \infty)} \gamma_n(e) \leq \lambda m_n^d (an^2)^{-1} \mathbb{E} \gamma_n(e).$$

(b) *Let $\theta_2 < 1/d$. Then \mathbb{P} -a.s., $B(0, n^{\theta_2}) \cap E_n(a, \infty) = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many n .*

PROOF. (a) By Lemma 8(a) it is enough to bound the sum (2.8) with $\gamma'_n(e)$ instead of $\gamma_n(e)$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$. We divide $E(Q)$ into disjoint sets $(E(Q, j), j \in J)$ such that if e and e' are distinct edges in $E(Q, j)$, then $|e - e'| \geq 3b_n - 2$, each $|E(Q, j)| = (m_n/3b_n)^d := N_n$, and $|J| \sim d(3b_n)^d$.

Let $\eta_e = \mathbf{1}_{(\mu_e > an^2)}$, $p_n = \mathbb{E}\eta_e \sim 1/(2d) \cdot 1/(an^2)$, and

$$\xi_j = \sum_{e \in E(Q, j)} \gamma'_n(e) \eta_e.$$

Then the r.v. $(\gamma'_n(e), \eta_e, e \in E(Q, j))$ are independent, and so if θ and Γ are as in Lemma 8,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\theta \xi_j} \leq (1 + p_n(\Gamma - 1))^{N_n} \leq e^{N_n p_n(\Gamma - 1)}.$$

Hence for any $\lambda > 0$, writing $\mathbb{E}\xi_j = N_n p_n \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\xi_j > \lambda \mathbb{E}\xi_j) &\leq \exp(-\lambda \theta N_n p_n \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e) + N_n p_n(\Gamma - 1)) \\ &= \exp(-N_n p_n(\lambda \theta \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e) - \Gamma + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3),

$$\mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e) \geq 1/C \cdot \mathbb{P}(F_n(e)^c) \rightarrow 1/C,$$

hence there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for all n large, $\lambda \theta \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e) - \Gamma + 1 \geq 1$, and so

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_j > \lambda \mathbb{E}\xi_j) \leq e^{-N_n p_n}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j \in J} \xi_j > \lambda m_n^d p_n \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e)\right) \leq d(3b_n)^d e^{-N_n p_n},$$

and so since $|\mathcal{Q}_n| \leq cn^d$ and $N_n p_n \geq n^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, (2.8) follows by Borel–Cantelli.

(b) We have

$$\mathbb{P}(B(0, n^{\theta_2}) \cap E_n(a, \infty) \neq \emptyset) \leq cn^{d\theta_2} (an^2)^{-1} \leq cn^{d\theta_2 - 2};$$

so again the result follows using Borel–Cantelli. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 10. *Let $\omega \in \Omega$. If for each $t \geq 0$,*

$$(3.1) \quad S_t^{(n)} \rightarrow 2t \quad \text{in } P_\omega^0\text{-probability,}$$

then (0.12) holds.

PROOF. Note that the LHS and RHS are both increasing processes, and the RHS is continuous and deterministic. The conclusion then follows from Theorem VI.3.37 in [9]. \square

LEMMA 11. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, there exist $K > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that for \mathbb{P} -a.a. ω , for all $t \leq T$, the following two inequalities hold:

$$(3.2) \quad \limsup_n P_\omega^0 \left(\frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x| \geq Kn} \int_0^{n^2 t} \mu_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s = x\}} ds > 0 \right) \leq \varepsilon;$$

$$(3.3) \quad \limsup_n P_\omega^0 \left(\frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \int_0^{n^2 t} \mu_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_x \geq an^2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s = x\}} ds > 0 \right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

PROOF. Write F_K for the event in (3.2). Then by Lemma 4(d),

$$P_\omega^0(F_K) \leq P_\omega^0(\tau(0, Kn) < n^2 t) \leq c_8 \exp(-c_9 K^2/t),$$

provided that $Kn > U_0$. So, taking K sufficiently large, (3.2) holds for all sufficiently large n .

Choose $\theta_1 = (2 + \varepsilon_1)/d$, $\theta_2 = (1 - \varepsilon_2)/(d - 2)$ where $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 2/d$ (so that $\theta_2 < 1/d$) and $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < 1$. Let $m_n = n^{\theta_1}$, and \mathcal{Q}_n be as in Lemma 9. Let n be large enough so that (2.8) holds, and also that

$$(3.4) \quad B(0, n^{\theta_2}) \cap E_n(a, \infty) = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$(3.5) \quad P_\omega^0(Y \text{ hits } E_n(a, \infty) \cap B(0, Kn)) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \sum_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0, x)}{g^\omega(x, x)}.$$

For $x \in E_n(a, \infty)$, if e_x is an edge containing x , then by (2.3) $1/g^\omega(x, x) \leq \gamma_n(e_x)$. By (3.4) and (1.3) we can bound $g^\omega(0, x)$ by $c|x|^{2-d}$.

Let \mathcal{Q}'_n be the set of $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that $|x| \geq m_n/2$ for all $x \in Q$. Let first $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n \setminus \mathcal{Q}'_n$. Then by Lemma 9 and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0, x)}{g^\omega(x, x)} &\leq \max_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} c|x|^{2-d} \sum_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} \gamma_n(e_x) \\ &\leq Cn^{\theta_2(2-d)} \cdot \lambda m_n^d (an^2)^{-1} \leq C'n^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, since there are only 2^d cubes in $\mathcal{Q}_n - \mathcal{Q}'_n$ and $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < 1$ by the choices of ε_1 and ε_2 ,

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_n \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n - \mathcal{Q}'_n} \sum_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0, x)}{g^\omega(x, x)} = 0.$$

Now let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n$, and let x_Q be the point in Q closest to 0. Then if $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0, x)}{g^\omega(x, x)} &\leq c \sum_{x \in E_n(a, \infty) \cap Q} |x|^{2-d} \gamma_n(e_x) \\
(3.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq c |x_Q|^{2-d} \cdot \lambda m_n^d (an^2)^{-1} \\
&\leq c' \lambda a^{-1} n^{-2} \sum_{x \in Q} |x|^{2-d}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, summing over $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\omega^0 \left(Y \text{ hits } E_n(a, \infty) \cap \left(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n} Q \right) \right) &\leq c \lambda a^{-1} n^{-2} \sum_{x \in B(0, (K+1)n)} (1 \vee |x|)^{2-d} \\
&\leq c' \lambda (K+1)^2 a^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and so taking a large enough and noting (3.6), (3.3) follows. \square

By Lemma 11 to prove (0.12) it suffices to consider the convergence of

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_0^{n^2 t} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s = x\}} ds \\
(3.8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{n^2 s} = x\}} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_x$ is as in (1.10). Taking expectations with respect to P_ω^0 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\omega^0 \tilde{S}_t^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_0^{n^2 t} p_s^\omega(0, x) ds \\
(3.9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_0^t p_{n^2 r}^\omega(0, x) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 12. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all sufficiently large n ,*

$$(3.10) \qquad \qquad \qquad E_\omega^0 \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 4(g), we can assume n is large enough so that $\{\max_{|x| \leq Kn} U_x \leq b_n\}$. Hence, by Lemma 4(b), if $|x| \vee \sqrt{t} \geq b_n$, then

$$p_t^\omega(0, x) \leq \begin{cases} c_4 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_5 |x|^2/t), & \text{when } t \geq |x|, \\ c_4 \exp(-c_5 |x|), & \text{when } t \leq |x|. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by decomposing according to whether $|x| < b_n$ or $|x| \geq b_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega^0 \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_0^{n^{2\delta}} p_s^\omega(0, x) ds \\ (3.11) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq b_n} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_0^{n^{2\delta}} c(1 \vee s)^{-d/2} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.12) \quad + \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{b_n \leq |x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \int_0^{|x|} c_4 e^{-c_5|x|} ds$$

$$(3.13) \quad + \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{b_n \leq |x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_{|x|}^{n^{2\delta}} c_4 s^{-d/2} e^{-c_5|x|^2/s} ds.$$

Write $\xi_n^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, for the terms in (3.11)–(3.13). Since the integral in (3.11) is bounded by $\int_0^\infty c(1 \vee s)^{-d/2} ds < \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \xi_n^{(1)} \leq c \frac{b_n^d}{n^2 \log n} \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_x \leq cn^{-2} (\log n)^{d/\eta}.$$

Similarly for (3.12) we have

$$\mathbb{E} \xi_n^{(2)} \leq cn^{-2} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} c_4 |x| e^{-c_5|x|} \leq c' n^{-2}.$$

As these sums converge it follows from Borel–Cantelli that $\xi_n^{(i)} \leq \varepsilon/3$ for all large n , for $i = 1, 2$.

It remains to control (3.13). First note that when $s \geq 1$,

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s^{-d/2} e^{-\kappa|x|^2/s} \leq C(\kappa).$$

So, interchanging the order of the sum and integral in (3.13),

$$\mathbb{E} \xi_n^{(3)} \leq \frac{C}{n^2 \log n} \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mu}_0 \cdot n^{2\delta} \leq C' \delta.$$

Setting $t = s/|x|^2$ we have

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{|x|}^{n^{2\delta}} c_4 s^{-d/2} e^{-c_5|x|^2/s} ds \leq C|x|^{2-d} \int_0^\infty t^{-d/2} e^{-c_5/t} dt \leq C|x|^{2-d}.$$

Hence, applying Lemma 7 we get

$$\text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(\xi_n^{(3)}) \leq \frac{C}{n^4 (\log n)^2} \cdot \sum_{b_n \leq |x| \leq Kn} an^2 |x|^{4-2d} \leq \frac{C}{n (\log n)^2}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and Borel–Cantelli we then get that for δ small enough, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all sufficiently large n , $\xi_n^{(3)} \leq \varepsilon/3$. \square

PROPOSITION 13. *Let*

$$(3.16) \quad A_1(K, t, \delta) = \int_{|y| \leq K} \int_{\delta}^t k_s(x) dx ds.$$

When $d \geq 3$, for any $K > 0$, $0 < \delta < T < \infty$, and $t \in (\delta, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\omega}^0(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_{\delta}^{(n)}) = 2A_1(K, t, \delta).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 6(a), it suffices to show that \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_{\delta}^t (p_{n^2s}^{\omega}(0, x) - n^{-d}k_s(x/n)) ds \rightarrow 0.$$

The LHS is bounded in absolute value by

$$\frac{1}{n^d \log n} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \cdot T \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{s \geq \delta} |n^d p_{n^2s}^{\omega}(0, x) - k_s(x/n)|.$$

This converges to 0 \mathbb{P} -a.s. by Lemmas 6(a) and 4(h). \square

PROPOSITION 14. *When $d \geq 3$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $K > 0$, $0 < \delta < T < \infty$, and $t \in (\delta, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,*

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_n E_{\omega}^0(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_{\delta}^{(n)})^2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon + 8(1 + \varepsilon) \int_{|x|, |y| \leq K} \int_{\delta}^t k_s(x) \int_0^{t-s} k_r(x, y) dr ds dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Using the Markov property and the symmetry of Y ,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\omega}^0(S_t^{(n)} - S_{\delta}^{(n)})^2 \\ & = \frac{2}{(\log n)^2} \left(\sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \cdot \int_{\delta}^t p_{n^2s}^{\omega}(0, x) \int_0^{t-s} p_{n^2r}^{\omega}(x, y) dr ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

We begin by proving that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all large n ,

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{2}{(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \cdot \int_{\delta}^t p_{n^2s}^{\omega}(0, x) \int_0^{\delta_1} p_{n^2r}^{\omega}(x, y) dr ds \leq \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 4(a) we have $p_{n^{2s}}^\omega(0, x) \leq cn^{-d}$ for all $s \geq \delta$ and so the LHS of (3.19) is bounded by

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{C}{n^d(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{\delta_1} p_{n^{2r}}^\omega(x, y) dr$$

$$(3.21) \quad = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn, |x-y| > 1} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^{2\delta_1}} p_r^\omega(x, y) dr$$

$$(3.22) \quad + \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn, |x-y| \leq 1} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^{2\delta_1}} p_r^\omega(x, y) dr.$$

Write A_n and B_n for the terms in (3.21) and (3.22).

The first term can be handled in the same way as in Lemma 12. Let $B = B(0, Kn)$, and write $A_n = A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(2)} + A_n^{(3)}$ where

$$(3.23) \quad A_n^{(1)} = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, 1 < |x-y| \leq b_n} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^{2\delta_1}} p_r^\omega(x, y) dr,$$

$$(3.24) \quad A_n^{(2)} = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, |x-y| \geq b_n} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{|x-y|} p_r^\omega(x, y) dr,$$

$$(3.25) \quad A_n^{(3)} = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, |x-y| \geq b_n} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_{|x-y|}^{n^{2\delta_1}} p_r^\omega(x, y) dr.$$

For (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}A_n^{(1)} &\leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x, y \in B, 1 < |x-y| < b_n} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y) \int_0^\infty c_4(1 \vee s)^{-d/2} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}} K^d n^d b_n^d \\ &\leq c \frac{(\log n)^{d/\eta}}{n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and since this sum converges, we have $A_n^{(1)} \leq \varepsilon/4$ for all large n , \mathbb{P} -a.s. The term $\mathbb{E}A_n^{(2)}$ is bounded in the same way as was the term $\xi_n^{(2)}$ in Lemma 12.

For (3.25),

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} A_n^{(3)} &\leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \\ &\times \sum_{x, y \in B, |x-y| > b_n} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_{|x-y|}^{n^{2\delta_1}} c_4 s^{-d/2} \exp(-c_5 |x-y|^2/s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.14) we have

$$\mathbb{E}A_n^{(3)} \leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \cdot n^d (\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mu}_0)^2 \cdot n^2 \delta_1 = O(\delta_1).$$

We now bound $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(A_n^{(3)})$. By (3.15), the integral in (3.26) is bounded by $c|x-y|^{2-d}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(A_n^{(3)}) &\leq \frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \\ &\times \sum_{x_1, y_1 \in B, |x_1 - y_1| > b_n} \sum_{x_2, y_2 \in B, |x_2 - y_2| > b_n} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d} |x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} \\ &\times |\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_{x_1} \tilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \tilde{\mu}_{x_2} \tilde{\mu}_{y_2})|. \end{aligned}$$

We now bound this sum in the same way as was done for the variance in Lemma 6(b). Let

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in B^4 : |x_i - y_i| > b_n, i = 1, 2, |x_1 - x_2| \leq 1, |y_1 - y_2| \leq 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in B^4 : |x_i - y_i| > b_n, i = 1, 2, |x_1 - x_2| \leq 1, |y_1 - y_2| > 1\}.$$

Note that if $|x_1 - x_2| \leq 1$, then since $|x_i - y_i| > b_n$, none of the y_i can be within distance 1 of x_j . If $(x_1, \dots, y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then $|\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_{x_1} \tilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \tilde{\mu}_{x_2} \tilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \leq cn^4$, while if $(x_1, \dots, y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$, then $|\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_{x_1} \tilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \tilde{\mu}_{x_2} \tilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \leq c(\log n)^2 n^2$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d} |x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} \cdot |\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_{x_1} \tilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \tilde{\mu}_{x_2} \tilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \sum_{x_1, y_1 \in B} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_1|)^{4-2d} cn^4 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^{2d}(\log n)^4} n^d \max_{x_1 \in B} \sum_{y_1 \in B(x, 2Kn)} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_1|)^{4-2d} \\ &\leq \frac{Cn}{n^d(\log n)^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemma 7(b).

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d} |x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} |\text{Cov}(\tilde{\mu}_{x_1} \tilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \tilde{\mu}_{x_2} \tilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^{2d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d} |x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{C}{n^{2d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x_1 \in B} \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in B(x, 2Kn)} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_1|)^{2-d} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_2|)^{2-d} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \left(\sum_{y_1 \in B(0, 2Kn)} (1 \vee |y_1|)^{2-d} \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{Cn^4}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} = \frac{C}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_n \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(A_n^{(3)}) < \infty$, and so if δ_1 is small enough then by Chebyshev's inequality and Borel–Cantelli, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all sufficiently large n , $A_n^{(3)} \leq \varepsilon/4$.

To finish the proof of (3.19), it remains to bound the term (3.22). By Lemma 4(a), $\int_0^{n^2\delta_1} p_r^\omega(x, y) dr \leq C$. Therefore by Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n &= \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn, |y-x| \leq 1} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^2\delta_1} p_{n^2r}^\omega(x, y) dr \\
&\leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}B_n \leq \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \cdot n^d \cdot n^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and since $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{\mu}_x^2) \leq cn^6$,

$$\text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(B_n) \leq \frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \cdot n^d \cdot n^6 \leq \frac{C}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^4}.$$

Since this bound is summable, (3.19) follows.

It remains to show that for any $\delta_1 > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_n \frac{2}{(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|, |y| \leq Kn} \tilde{\mu}_x \tilde{\mu}_y \cdot \int_\delta^t p_{n^2s}^\omega(0, x) \int_{\delta_1}^{t-s} p_{n^2r}^\omega(x, y) dr ds \\
&\leq 8(1 + \varepsilon) \int_{|x|, |y| \leq K} \left(\int_\delta^t k_s(0, x) \int_0^{t-s} k_r(x, y) dr ds \right) dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

This follows easily from Theorem 3 and Lemma 6. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By Lemma 10, it suffices to show that for any $t > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < t/2$, for \mathbb{P} -a.a. ω ,

$$(3.27) \quad \lim_n P_\omega^0(|S_t^{(n)} - 2t| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Write

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} S_t^{(n)} - 2t &= (S_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_t^{(n)}) + \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} + (\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} - E_\omega^0(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)})) \\ &\quad + (E_\omega^0(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}) - 2A_1(K, t, \delta)) + (2A_1(K, t, \delta) - 2t). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 13, \mathbb{P} -a.s., $(E_\omega^0(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}) - 2A_1(K, t, \delta)) \rightarrow 0$. Let $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/16$, to be chosen later. Choose K large enough so that the LHS in (3.2) is bounded by ε_0 , and also

$$(3.29) \quad \sup_{0 < \delta \leq t} |A_1(K, t, \delta) - (t - \delta)| \leq \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/16.$$

Now choose $a > 0$ large enough so that the LHS in (3.3) is also bounded by ε_0 . Hence, for all large n ,

$$P_\omega^0(|S_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_t^{(n)}| > 0) \leq 2\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/4.$$

Next choose $0 < \delta < t/2$ so that by Lemma 12 for all sufficiently large n , $E_\omega^0 \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} < \varepsilon^2/16$, and hence $P_\omega^0(\tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} > \varepsilon/4) \leq \varepsilon/4$. Furthermore, by Propositions 13 and 14 and (3.29),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \text{Var}_\mathbb{P}(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}) &\leq \varepsilon_0 + 8(1 + \varepsilon_0) \cdot (t - \delta)^2/2 - (2(t - \delta - \varepsilon_0))^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon_0(1 + 4t^2 + 4t); \end{aligned}$$

hence by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\limsup_n P_\omega^0(|\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} - E_\omega^0(\tilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \tilde{S}_\delta^{(n)})| \geq \varepsilon/4) \leq 16(1 + 4t^2 + 4t) \cdot \varepsilon_0/\varepsilon^2.$$

Taking ε_0 so small that $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/16$ and $16(1 + 4t^2 + 4t) \cdot \varepsilon_0/\varepsilon^2 \leq \varepsilon/4$, we obtain (3.27). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BARLOW, M. T. and DEUSCHEL, J.-D. (2010). Invariance principle for the random conductance model with unbounded conductances. *Ann. Probab.* **38** 234–276.
- [2] BARLOW, M. T. and HAMBLY, B. M. (2009). Parabolic Harnack inequality and local limit theorem for percolation clusters. *Electron. J. Probab.* **14** 1–27. [MR2471657](#)
- [3] BARLOW, M. T. and ČERNÝ, J. (2009). Convergence to fractional kinetics for random walks associated with unbounded conductances. Preprint.
- [4] BEN AROUS, G. and ČERNÝ, J. (2007). Scaling limit for trap models on \mathbb{Z}^d . *Ann. Probab.* **35** 2356–2384. [MR2353391](#)
- [5] BEN AROUS, G. and ČERNÝ, J. (2008). The arcsine law as a universal aging scheme for trap models. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **61** 289–329. [MR2376843](#)
- [6] BEN AROUS, G., ČERNÝ, J. and MOUNTFORD, T. (2006). Aging in two-dimensional Bouchaud's model. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **134** 1–43. [MR2221784](#)
- [7] BISKUP, M. and PRESCOTT, T. M. (2007). Functional CLT for random walk among bounded random conductances. *Electron. J. Probab.* **12** 1323–1348 (electronic). [MR2354160](#)

- [8] GRIMMETT, G. (1999). *Percolation*, 2nd ed. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]* **321**. Springer, Berlin. [MR1707339](#)
- [9] JACOD, J. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2003). *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, 2nd ed. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]* **288**. Springer, Berlin. [MR1943877](#)
- [10] LEVIN, D. A., PERES, Y. and WILMER, E. L. (2009). *Markov Chains and Mixing Times*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. [MR2466937](#)
- [11] MATHIEU, P. (2008). Quenched invariance principles for random walks with random conductances. *J. Stat. Phys.* **130** 1025–1046. [MR2384074](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA V6T 1Z2
CANADA
E-MAIL: barlow@math.ubc.ca

DEPARTMENT OF ISOM
HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY
CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON
HONG KONG
E-MAIL: xhzheng@ust.hk