# ASYMPTOTICS OF DIRICHLET EIGENVALUES AND EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE LAPLACIAN ON THIN DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{R}^d$

#### DENIS BORISOV AND PEDRO FREITAS

ABSTRACT. We consider the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and study the effect that performing a scaling in one direction has on the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions as a function of the scaling parameter around zero. This generalizes our previous results in two dimensions and, as in that case, allows us to obtain an approximation for Dirichlet eigenvalues for a large class of domains, under very mild assumptions. As an application, we derive a three–term asymptotic expansion for the first eigenvalue of d-dimensional ellipsoids.

#### 1. Introduction

In his 1967 paper [J] Joseph studied families of domains indexed by one parameter to obtain perturbation formulae approximating eigenvalues in a neighbourhood of a given domain. Within this context, he derived an elegant expression for the first eigenvalue of ellipses parametrized by their eccentricity e, namely,

(1.1) 
$$\lambda_{1}(e) = \lambda_{1} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}e^{2} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{16}\left(3 - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\right)e^{4} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{32}\left(3 - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\right)e^{6} + \mathcal{O}(e^{8}), \text{ as } e \to 0,$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(0)$  is the first eigenvalue of the disk – to obtain the eigenvalue of ellipses of, say, area  $\pi$ , for instance, this should be divided by  $\sqrt{1-e^2}$  and  $\lambda_1(0)$  be the corresponding value for the disk. The

Date: November 19, 2021.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35P15; Secondary 35J05.

D.B. was partially supported by RFBR and gratefully acknowledges the support from Deligne 2004 Balzan prize in mathematics and the grant of the President of Russia for young scientists and for Leading Scientific Schools (NSh-2215.2008.1). P.F. was partially supported by POCTI/POCI2010, Portugal, and by project LC06002 of the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports. Part of this work was done while P.F. was visiting the Doppler Institute in Prague, and he would like to thank the people there, and in particular P. Exner and D. Krejčiřík, for their hospitality.

coefficient of order  $e^6$  in Joseph's paper is actually incorrect – we are indebted to M. Ashbaugh for pointing this out to us, and also for mentioning Henry's book [H] where this has been corrected. Although in principle quite general, the approach used by Joseph yields formulae which, in the case of domain perturbations, will allow us to obtain explicit asymptotic expansions only in very special cases such as that of ellipses above. The failure to obtain these expressions may be the case even when the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the original domain are known, as this does not necessarily mean that the coefficients appearing in the expansion may be computed in closed form. An example of this is the perturbation of a rectangle into a parallelogram, which Joseph considered as an example of what he called "pure shear."

With the purpose of obtaining approximations that can be computed explicitly, in a previous paper we considered instead the scaling of a given two dimensional domain in one direction and studied the resulting singular perturbation as the domain approached a segment in the limit [BF]. This approach may, of course, have the disadvantage that we might now be starting too far from the original domain. However, it allows for the explicit derivation of the coefficients in the expansion in terms of the functions defining the boundary of the domain. As was to be expected, and can be seen from the examples given in that paper, these four—term approximations are quite accurate close to the thin limit. A more interesting feature of this approach is that in some cases it also allows us to approximate eigenvalues quite well away from this limit, as may be seen from the following examples. The application of our formula to the ellipses considered above yields

(1.2) 
$$\lambda_1(\varepsilon) = \frac{\pi^2}{4\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{3}{4} + \left(\frac{11}{8\pi} + \frac{\pi}{12}\right)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to +0,$$

where we now considered ellipses of radii 1 and  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon$  being the stretch factor. The error in the approximation is comparable to that in Joseph's formula, except that equation (1.2) is more accurate closer to the thin limit while (1.1) provides better approximations near the circle. This is also an advantage, since it is natural for numerical methods to perform better away from the thin limit, but to have more difficulties the closer they are to the singular case, suggesting that our formulae may also be useful for checking numerical methods close to the limit case.

As another application we mention the case of the lemniscate

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^2 = x_1^2 - x_2^2.$$

for which we have

$$\lambda_1(\varepsilon) = \frac{2\pi^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{\varepsilon} + \frac{97}{24} + \left(\frac{593}{64\sqrt{3}\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{4}\right)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to +0,$$

yielding an error at  $\varepsilon$  equal to one which is in fact smaller than in the case of the disk above. For details, see [BF].

In the present paper we extend the results in [BF] to general dimension, in the sense that we now consider domains in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which are being scaled in one direction and approach a (d-1)-dimensional set in the limit as the stretch parameter goes to zero. Due to the increase in complexity in the corresponding formulae as a consequence of the fact that we are now considering arbitrary dimensions, we only obtained the first three non-zero coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of the principal eigenvalue. However, because of smoothness assumption near the point of global maximum, these include the coefficients of the two unbounded terms plus the constant term in the expansion – we know from the two-dimensional case that lack of smoothness at the point of maximum will yield other intermediate powers of  $\varepsilon$  [F, FS].

As an example, we obtain an expansion for the first eigenvalue of the general d-dimensional ellipsoid

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \left( \frac{x_1}{a_1} \right)^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{x_d}{a_d} \right)^2 \le 1 \right\},\,$$

where the  $a_i$ 's are positive real numbers. If we choose as projecting hyperplane that which is orthogonal to the  $x_d$  axis we obtain

(1.3) 
$$\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{\pi^{2}}{4a_{d}^{2}\varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{\pi}{2a_{d}\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_{i}} + \frac{1}{4} \left( 3 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_{i}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_{i}a_{j}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to +0.$$

Besides the added complexity of the formulae, there are now extra technical difficulties related to the fact that there may exist multiple eigenvalues requiring a more careful approach. As in the two dimensional case, the asymptotic expansions obtained depend on what happens locally at the point of global maximum width. Also as in that case, we cannot exclude the existence of a tail term approaching zero faster than any power of  $\varepsilon$ . However, we conjecture that if the boundary of

the domain is analytic, then the expansions will actually correspond to the series developments of the corresponding eigenvalues.

In the next section we establish the notation and state the main results of the paper, which are then proved in Sections 3 and 4. In the last section, and as an application, we derive the above expression for the first eigenvalue of the ellipsoid.

#### 2. Statement of results

Let  $x=(x',x_d), \ x'=(x_1,\ldots,x_{d-1})$  be Cartesian coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , respectively,  $d\geqslant 2$ , and  $\omega\subset\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  be a bounded domain having  $C^1$ -boundary. By  $h_\pm=h_\pm(x')\in C(\overline{\omega})$  we denote two arbitrary functions such that  $H(x'):=h_+(x')+h_-(x')\geqslant 0$  for  $x'\in\omega$ . We consider the thin domain defined by

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} := \{ x : -\varepsilon h_{-}(x') < x_d < \varepsilon h_{+}(x'), x' \in \omega \},$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive parameter. We assume that the function H(x') attains its global maximum at a single point  $\overline{x} \in \omega$  and that there exists a ball  $B'_{\delta}(\overline{x}) := \{x' : |x' - \overline{x}| < \delta\}$  such that  $h_{\pm} \in C^{\infty}(B'_{\delta}(\overline{x}))$ . Let  $H_0 := H(\overline{x})$  and the Taylor expansions for H and  $h_{-}$  at  $\overline{x}$  read as follows

(2.1) 
$$H(x') = H_0 + \sum_{i=2k}^{\infty} H_i(x' - \overline{x}), \quad h_-(x') = h_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(x' - \overline{x}),$$

where  $H_i$  and  $h_i$  are homogeneous polynomials of order i,  $H_{2k}(x'-\overline{x}) < 0$  for  $x' \neq \overline{x}$ , and  $k \geqslant 1$ .

Our purpose is to study the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet Laplacian  $-\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^{D}$  in  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\chi=\chi(x')\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  be a non-negative cut-off function equalling one as  $|x'-\overline{x}|<\delta/3$  and vanishing for  $|x'-\overline{x}|>\delta/2$ . Denote  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}:=\Omega_{\varepsilon}\cap\{x:|x'-\overline{x}|<\delta\}$ .

Let

$$G_n := -\Delta_{\xi'} - \frac{2\pi^2 n^2 H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^2}$$

be an operator in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . The spectrum of this operator consists of countably many isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity having only one accumulation point at infinity [G, Ch. IV, Sec. 46, Th. 1]. By

$$\Lambda_{n,1} < \Lambda_{n,2} \leqslant \Lambda_{n,3} \dots$$

we denote the eigenvalues of this operator arranged in non-decreasing order and taking the multiplicities into account. Denote by  $\Psi_{n,m}$  the associated eigenfunctions orthonormalized in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . It follows from

[G, Ch. V, Sec. 43, Th. 2] that the functions  $\Psi_{n,m}$  decay exponentially at infinity.

Our main results are the following. First, we obtain a two-parameter description for the eigenvalues.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{n,M} = \Lambda_{n,M+1} = \ldots = \Lambda_{n,M+N-1}$  be a N-multiple eigenvalue of  $G_n$  for a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist eigenvalues  $\lambda_{n,m}(\varepsilon)$  of  $-\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^D$ ,  $m = M, \ldots, M+N-1$  taken counting multiplicities whose asymptotics as  $\varepsilon \to +0$  read as follows

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_{n,m}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-2} c_0^{(n,m)} + \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=2k}^{\infty} c_j^{(n,m)} \eta^j, \quad \eta := \varepsilon^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha := \frac{1}{k+1},$$

(2.3) 
$$c_0^{(n,m)} = \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{H_0^2}, \quad c_{2k}^{(n,m)} = \Lambda,$$

and  $-c_{2k+1}^{(n,m)}$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix with the entries

$$2\pi^2 n^2 H_0^{-3}(H_{2k+1}\Psi_{n,m}, \Psi_{n,l})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}, \quad m, l = M, \dots, M+N-1.$$

The remaining coefficients are determined by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7.

As in [BF], for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  this allows us to derive the asymptotics for specific eigenvalues, and we give the explicit expansion for the first eigenvalue in terms of the functions H and  $h_-$  in the case where  $H_2$  is negative for  $x' \neq \overline{x}$ .

**Theorem 2.** For any  $N \ge 1$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(N)$  such that for  $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$  the first N eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^D$  are  $\lambda_{1,m}(\varepsilon)$ ,  $m = 1, \ldots, N$ . If

(2.4) 
$$k = 1, \quad H_2(x') = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_i^2 x_i^2,$$

the lowest eigenvalue  $\lambda_{1,1}(\varepsilon)$  has the asymptotic expansion

$$(2.5) \lambda_{1,1}(\varepsilon) = \frac{c_0^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{c_2^{(1,1)}}{\varepsilon} + c_4^{(1,1)} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}), \quad \varepsilon \to +0,$$

$$c_0^{(1,1)} = \frac{\pi^2}{H_0^2}, \quad c_2^{(1,1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \theta_j, \quad \theta_j := \frac{\pi \alpha_j}{H_0^{3/2}},$$

$$c_4^{(1,1)} = \frac{\pi^2}{H_0^4} \left( (3H_2^2(\xi') - 2H_0H_4(\xi'))\Psi_0, \Psi_0 \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$$

$$+ \frac{\pi^2}{H_0^2} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - \frac{2\pi^2}{H_0^3} \left( H_3(\xi') \widetilde{\Psi}_1, \Psi_0 \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})},$$

$$(2.6) \qquad \Psi_0(\xi') := \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\theta_j^{1/4}}{\pi^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{\theta_j \xi_j^2}{2}},$$

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_1(\xi') := \Psi_0(\xi') \left( \sum_{p,j=1}^{d-1} \frac{3\pi^2 \beta_{ppj} \xi_j}{2H_0^3 \theta_j (2\theta_p + \theta_j)} - \sum_{p,q,j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\pi^2 \beta_{pqj} \xi_p \xi_q \xi_j}{H_0^3 (\theta_p + \theta_q + \theta_j)} \right),$$

where it is assumed that  $H_3(x')$  is written as

$$H_3(x') = \sum_{p,q,j=1}^{d-1} \beta_{pqj} \xi_p \xi_q \xi_j,$$

and the constants  $\beta_{pqj}$  are invariant under each permutation of the indices p, q, j:

(2.7) 
$$\beta_{pqj} = \beta_{pjq} = \beta_{qpj} = \beta_{qjp} = \beta_{jpq} = \beta_{jqp}.$$

Remark 2.1. The assumption (2.4) for  $H_2$  is not a restriction, since we can always achieve such form for  $H_2$  by an appropriate change of variables.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we construct the asymptotics for the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the operator  $-\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^{D}$ . This is first done formally, and justified rigorously afterwards. In the formal construction we employ the same approach as was used in [BF, Sec. 3].

We are going to construct formally the asymptotic expansions for the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{n,m}(\varepsilon)$ ,  $m=M,\ldots,M+N-1$  which we relabel as  $\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,N$ . We denote the associated eigenfunctions by  $\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$ . We construct their asymptotic expansions as the series

(3.1) 
$$\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} = \varepsilon^{-2} \mu_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}, \quad \mu_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} = c_0^{(m)} + \sum_{i=2k}^{\infty} c_i^{(m)} \eta^i,$$

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}(x) = \sqrt{H(x')} \widetilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}(x), \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \eta^i \psi_i^{(m)}(\xi),$$

$$\xi = (\xi', \xi_d), \quad \xi' := \frac{x' - \overline{x}}{\eta}, \quad \xi_d := \frac{x_d + \varepsilon h_{-}(x')}{\varepsilon H(x')}.$$

We postulate the functions  $\psi_i^{(m)}(\xi)$  to be exponentially decaying as  $\xi' \to +\infty$ . It means that they are exponentially small outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ 

(with respect to  $\varepsilon$ ). In terms of the variables  $\xi$  the domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$  becomes  $\{\xi: |\xi'| < \delta\eta^{-1}, 0 < \xi_d < 1\}$ . As  $\eta \to 0$ , it "tends" to the layer  $\Pi := \{\xi: 0 < \xi_d < 1\}$  and this is why we shall construct the functions  $\psi_i$  as defined on  $\Pi$ .

We rewrite the eigenvalue equation for  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$  in the variables  $\xi$ , (3.2)

$$-\left[\eta^{2k}\Delta_{\xi'} + K_d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \eta^{2k+1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} K_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} K_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \eta^{2k+2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} K_i^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} + \eta^{2k+2} K_0 \right] \widetilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} = \mu_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} \widetilde{\psi}_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} \quad \text{in} \quad \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Pi,$$

where  $K_i = K_i(\xi, \eta), i = 0, ..., d,$ 

$$K_{d}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{H^{2}(\overline{x} + \eta \xi')},$$

$$K_{i}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{H(\overline{x} + \eta \xi')} \left[ \frac{\partial h_{-}}{\partial x_{i}} (\overline{x} + \eta \xi') - \xi_{d} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}} (\overline{x} + \eta \xi') \right],$$

$$K_{0}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} H^{-1}(\overline{x} + \eta \xi') \Delta_{x'} H(\overline{x} + \eta \xi')$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} H^{-2}(\overline{x} + \eta \xi') |\nabla_{x'} H(\overline{x} + \eta \xi')|^{2}.$$

Remark 3.1. We have introduced the factor  $\sqrt{H(x')}$  in the series (3.1) for  $\psi_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$  in order to have a symmetric differential operator in the equation (3.2).

We expand the functions  $K_i$  into the Taylor series w.r.t.  $\eta$  and employ (2.1) to obtain

$$K_{d}(\xi, \eta) = H_{0}^{-2} + \sum_{j=2k}^{\infty} \eta^{j} P_{j}^{(d)}(\xi'),$$

$$K_{i}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{j} K_{j}^{(i)}(\xi),$$

$$K_{j}^{(i)}(\xi) := P_{j}^{(i)}(\xi') + \xi_{d} Q_{j}^{(i)}(\xi'), \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1,$$

$$K_{0}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \eta^{i} P_{i}^{(0)}(\xi'),$$

where  $P_j^{(i)}$ ,  $Q_j^{(i)}$  are polynomials, and, in particular,

(3.4) 
$$P_{2k}^{(d)}(\xi') = -\frac{2H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^3}, \quad P_{2k+1}^{(d)}(\xi') = -\frac{2H_{2k+1}(\xi')}{H_0^3},$$

$$P_0^{(i)}(\xi') = \frac{1}{H_0} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_i}(\overline{x}), \quad Q_0^{(i)}(\xi') = 0.$$

We substitute (3.1), (3.3), (3.4) into (3.2) and equate the coefficients of like powers of  $\eta$ . This leads us to the following boundary value problems for  $\psi_i^{(m)}$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\frac{1}{H_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_0^{(m)}\right) \psi_j^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Pi,$$

$$\psi_j^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Pi, \quad j = 0, \dots, 2k - 1,$$

$$-\left(\frac{1}{H_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_0^{(m)}\right) \psi_{2k}^{(m)}$$

$$(3.6) \quad = \left(\Delta_{\xi'} - \frac{2H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_{2k}^{(m)}\right) \psi_0^{(m)} \quad \text{in} \quad \Pi,$$

$$\psi_{2k}^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Pi,$$

$$-\left(\frac{1}{H_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_0^{(m)}\right) \psi_j^{(m)} = \left(\Delta_{\xi'} - \frac{2H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_{2k}^{(m)}\right) \psi_{j-2k}^{(m)}$$

$$(3.7) \quad + c_j^{(m)} \psi_0^{(m)} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-2k-1} c_{j-q}^{(m)} \psi_q^{(m)} + F_j^{(m)} \quad \text{in} \quad \Pi,$$

$$\psi_j^{(m)} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Pi, \quad j \geqslant 2k + 1,$$

$$F_j^{(m)} := \sum_{q=0}^{j-2k-1} \mathcal{L}_{j-q-2k} \psi_q^{(m)},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_j := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} K_{j-1}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} K_{j-1}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} K_s^{(i)} K_{j-s-2}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_d} + P_{j+2k}^{(d)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + P_{j-2}^{(0)},$$

where  $P_{-1}^{(0)} = 0$ . Problems (3.5) can be solved explicitly with

(3.9) 
$$\psi_j^{(m)}(\xi) = \Psi_j^{(m)}(\xi') \sin \pi n \xi_d, \quad c_0 = \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{H_0^2},$$

where j = 0, ..., 2k - 1, and  $\Psi_j^{(m)}$  are the functions to be determined. The last identity proves formula (2.3) for  $c_0^{(n,m)}$ .

We consider the problem (3.6) as posed on the interval (0,1) and depending on  $\xi'$ . It is solvable, if and only if the right-hand side is orthogonal to  $\sin \pi n \xi_d$  in  $L_2(0,1)$ . It implies the equation

(3.10) 
$$-\left(\Delta_{\xi'} + \frac{2\pi^2 n^2 H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^3}\right) \Psi_0^{(m)} = c_{2k}^{(m)} \Psi_0^{(m)} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{d-1}.$$

Thus,  $c_{2k}^{(m)}$  is an eigenvalue of the operator  $G_n$ , i.e.,  $c_{2k}^{(m)} = \Lambda$ . Then  $\Psi_0^{(m)}$  is one of the eigenfunctions associated with  $\Lambda$ . These eigenfunctions are assumed to be orthonormalized in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . We substitute the equation (3.10) into (3.6) and see that the formula (3.9) is valid also for j=2k.

The problems (3.7) are solvable, if and only if the right-hand sides are orthogonal to  $\sin \pi n \xi_d$  in  $L_2(0,1)$ . It gives rise to the equations

$$(3.11) (G_n - \Lambda)\Psi_{j-2k}^{(m)} = f_j^{(m)} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-2k-1} c_{j-q}^{(m)} \Psi_q^{(m)} + c_j^{(m)} \Psi_0^{(m)},$$

$$\Psi_j^{(m)} = \Psi_j^{(m)}(\xi') := 2 \int_0^1 \psi_j^{(m)}(\xi) \sin \pi n \xi_d \, \mathrm{d}\xi_d,$$

$$(3.12) f_j^{(m)} = f_j^{(m)}(\xi') := 2 \int_0^1 F_j^{(m)}(\xi) \sin \pi n \xi_d \, \mathrm{d}\xi_d.$$

To solve the problems (3.7), (3.11) we need some auxiliary lemmata. The first of these follows from standard results in the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . The equation

$$(3.13) (G_n - \Lambda)u = f$$

is solvable, if and only if

$$(f, \Psi_0^{(m)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} = 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, N.$$

The solution is unique up to a linear combination of the functions  $\Psi_0^{(m)}$ .

By  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  we denote the sesquilinear form associated with  $G_n$ ,

$$\mathfrak{g}_n[u,v] = (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} - (H_{2k}u,v)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.$$

The domain of this form is

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_n) = W_2^1(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \cap \{u : (1+|\xi'|^k)u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})\}.$$

By  $\mathcal{D}(G_n)$  we denote the domain of  $G_n$ . The set  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$  in the topology induced by  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  ([D, Ths. 1.8.1, 1.8.2]).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ ,  $u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \cap W_2^1(S)$  for each bounded domain  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  and for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$  the identity

(3.14) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi \, d\xi' - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} (H_{2k}(\xi') - \Lambda) u \overline{\phi} \, d\xi' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} f \overline{\phi} \, d\xi'$$

holds true. Then  $u \in \mathcal{D}(G_n)$  and the equation (3.13) is valid.

Proof. Let  $\chi_1 = \chi_1(t)$  be a non-negative infinitely differentiable cut-off function taking values in [0,1], equalling one as t < 1, and vanishing as t > 2. It is clear that for each t > 0 the function  $u(\xi')\chi_1(|\xi'|t)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ . We substitute  $\phi(\xi') = u(\xi')\chi_1(|\xi'|t)$  into (3.14) and integrate by parts,

(3.15) 
$$\|\chi_1 \nabla u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}^2 - (H_{2k}\chi_1 u, \chi_1 u)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} = (\chi_1 f, \chi_1 u)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + \frac{1}{2} (u \Delta_{\xi'} \chi_1^2, u)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + \Lambda \|\chi_1 u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}^2.$$

Hence,

(3.16) 
$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{B}'_{t-1}(0))}^{2} - (H_{2k}u, u)_{L_{2}(\mathcal{B}'_{t-1}(0))} \leqslant \|f\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \|u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + Ct^{2} \|u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + \Lambda \|u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}^{2},$$

where the constant C is independent of t, and  $\mathcal{B}'_r(a) := \{\xi' : |\xi' - a| < r\}$ . Passing to the limit as  $t \to +0$ , we conclude that  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$  and in view of (3.14) this function belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$  and solves the equation (3.13).

Let  $\mathfrak{V}$  be the space of the functions  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  such that

$$(1+|\xi'|^{\gamma})\frac{\partial^{\tau} f}{\partial \xi'^{\tau}} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$$

for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $f \in \mathfrak{V}$ , and u be a solution to (3.13). Then  $u \in \mathfrak{V}$ .

Proof. Since  $u \in \mathcal{D}(G_n)$ , we have  $\nabla u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ ,  $(1+|\xi'|^k)u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ , and due to standard smoothness improving theorems  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . The identity (3.15) is also valid with  $\chi_1$  replaced by  $\chi_1(|\xi'|t)|\xi'|^{\beta}$ . Employing this identity and proceeding as in (3.16), we check that  $(1+|\xi'|^{\beta})\nabla u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ ,  $(1+|\xi'|^{k+\beta})u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ , if  $(1+|\xi'|^{\beta})u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Applying this fact by induction and using that  $(1+|\xi'|^k)u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ , we conclude that  $(1+|\xi'|^{\gamma})\nabla u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ ,  $(1+|\xi'|^{k+\gamma})u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

We differentiate the equation (3.13) w.r.t.  $\xi_i$ ,

$$(G_n - \Lambda) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{\partial H_{2k}}{\partial \xi_i} u.$$

The right hand side belongs to  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  and the function  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3. Applying this lemma, we see that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i} \in \mathcal{D}(G_n)$ . Proceeding as above, one can make sure that

$$(1+|\xi'|^{\gamma})\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$$

for each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Repeating the described process, we complete the proof.

As it follows from Lemma 3.2, the solvability condition of the equation (3.11) is

$$(f_j^{(m)}, \Psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-2k-1} c_{j-q}^{(m)} (\Psi_q^{(m)}, \Psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + c_j^{(m)} \delta_{ml} = 0,$$

where m, l = 1, ..., N, and  $\delta_{ml}$  is the Kronecker delta. Here we have supposed that the functions  $\Psi_0^{(m)}$  are orthonormalized in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . In view of (3.12) these identities can be rewritten as

$$(3.17) \ \ 2(F_j^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\Pi)} + 2 \sum_{q=1}^{j-2k-1} c_{j-q}^{(m)} (\psi_q^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\Pi)} + c_j^{(m)} \delta_{ml} = 0,$$

where  $m, l = 1, \ldots, N$ .

Consider the problem (3.7) for j=2k+1. The solvability condition is the equation (3.11) for the same j. Since  $\Psi_0^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{V}$ , the same is true for  $f_{2k+1}^{(m)}$ . By (3.17), this equation is solvable, if and only if

(3.18) 
$$T_{ml}^{(2k+1)} + c_{2k+1}^{(m)} \delta_{ml} = 0, \quad m, l = 1, \dots, N,$$
$$T_{ml}^{(2k+1)} := 2 \left( \mathcal{L}_1 \psi_0^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(l)} \right)_{L_2(\Pi)}.$$

The definition of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and (3.4) yield

$$(3.19) T_{ml}^{(2k+1)} = 2\pi^2 n^2 H_0^{-3} (H_{2k+1} \Psi_0^{(m)}, \Psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.$$

Hence, the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  with the entries  $T^{(2k+1)}_{ml}$  is symmetric. This matrix describes a quadratic form on the space spanned over  $\Psi^{(m)}_0$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,N$ . By the theorem on the simultaneous diagonalization of two quadratic forms we conclude that the eigenfunctions  $\Psi^{(m)}_0$  can be chosen as orthonormalized in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  and, in addition, so that

the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  is diagonal. In what follows we assume that these functions are chosen in such a way. Then identities (3.18) imply

$$c_{2k+1}^{(m)} = -\tau_m^{(2k+1)},$$

where  $\tau_m^{(2k+1)}$  are the eigenvalues of  $T^{(2k+1)}$ .

By Lemma 3.2 the solution to (3.11) for j = 2k + 1 reads as follows

(3.21) 
$$\Psi_1^{(m)}(\xi') = \Phi_1^{(m)}(\xi') + \sum_{p=1}^N b_{p,1}^{(m)} \Psi_0^{(p)},$$

where  $\Phi_1^{(m)}$  is orthogonal to all  $\Psi_0^{(l)}$ ,  $l=1,\ldots,N$ , in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  and  $b_{p,1}^{(m)}$  are constants to be found. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $\Phi_1^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{V}$ . The definition (3.8) of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and the equation (3.11) for j=2k+1 imply that the right-hand side of the equation in (3.7) for j=2k+1 is zero. Hence, the solution to the problem (3.7) for j=2k+1 is given by the formula (3.9), where  $\Psi_{2k+1}^{(m)}$  is to be found. We substitute (3.9), (3.21) into the equation (3.11) for j=2k+2. In view of (3.17) and (3.20) the solvability condition for this equation is as follows

(3.22) 
$$b_{l,1}^{(m)} (\tau_l^{(2k+1)} - \tau_m^{(2k+1)}) + c_{2k+2}^{(m)} \delta_{ml} + 2(\mathcal{L}_2 \psi_0^{(m)} + \mathcal{L}_1 \Phi_1^{(m)} \sin \pi n \xi_d, \psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\Pi)} = 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, N.$$

Assume that all the eigenvalues  $\tau_m^{(2k+1)}$  are different. In this case the last identities imply

(3.23) 
$$b_{l,1}^{(m)} = \frac{2\left(\mathcal{L}_2\psi_0^{(m)} + \mathcal{L}_1\Phi_1^{(m)}\sin\pi n\xi_d, \psi_0^{(l)}\right)_{L_2(\Pi)}}{\tau_m^{(2k+1)} - \tau_l^{(2k+1)}}, \quad m \neq l,$$
$$c_{2k+2}^{(m)} = -2\left(\mathcal{L}_2\psi_0^{(m)} + \mathcal{L}_1\Phi_1^{(m)}\sin\pi n\xi_d, \psi_0^{(m)}\right)_{L_2(\Pi)},$$

and we can also let  $b_{m,1}^{(m)} = 0$ .

Now suppose that all the eigenvalues  $\tau_m^{(2k+1)}$  are equal. In this case the equations (3.22) do not allow us to determine the constants  $b_{l,1}^{(m)}$  for  $m \neq l$ . Consider the matrix  $T^{(2k+2)}$  with the entries

$$T_{ml}^{(2k+2)} := 2\left(\mathcal{L}_2\psi_0^{(m)} + \mathcal{L}_1\Phi_1^{(m)}\sin\pi n\xi_d, \psi_0^{(l)}\right)_{L_2(\Pi)}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** The matrix  $T^{(2k+2)}$  is symmetric.

*Proof.* Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$T_{ml}^{(2k+2)} = 2(\psi_0^{(m)}, \mathcal{L}_2\psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\Pi)} + 2(\Phi_1^{(m)}\sin\pi n\xi_d, \mathcal{L}_1\psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\Pi)}.$$

Since by (3.7)

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \psi_0^{(l)} = -\left(\frac{1}{H_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_0^{(m)}\right) \psi_{2k+1}^{(m)} - c_{2k+1}^{(m)} \psi_0^{(m)},$$

in view of (3.9), (3.11), (3.21) we have

$$2(\Phi_1^{(m)}\sin \pi n \xi_d, \mathcal{L}_1 \psi_0^{(l)})_{L_2(\Pi)} = (\Phi_1^{(m)}, (G_n - \Lambda) \Phi_1^{(l)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$$
$$= ((G_n - \Lambda) \Phi_1^{(m)}, \Phi_1^{(l)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} = 2(\mathcal{L}_1 \psi_0^{(m)}, \Phi_1^{(m)} \sin \pi n \xi_d)_{L_2(\Pi)}.$$

Since we supposed that all the eigenvalues of  $T^{(2k+1)}$  are equal, we can make orthogonal transformation in the space spanned over  $\Psi_0^{(m)}$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,N$ , without destroying the orthonormality in  $L_2(\Pi)$  and diagonalization of  $T^{(2k+1)}$ . We employ this freedom to diagonalize the matrix  $T^{(2k+2)}$  which is possible due to Lemma 3.5. After such diagonalization we see that the coefficients  $c_{2k+2}^{(m)}$  are determined by the eigenvalues of the matrix  $T^{(2k+2)}$ :

$$c_{2k+2}^{(m)} = -\tau_m^{(2k+2)}.$$

If all these eigenvalues are distinct, we can determine the numbers  $b_{l,1}^{(m)}$  at the next step by formulae similar to (3.23). If all these eigenvalues are identical, at the next step we should consider the next matrix  $T^{(2k+3)}$  and diagonalize it.

There exists one more possibility. Namely, the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  can have different multiple eigenvalues. We do not treat this case here. The reason is that the formal construction of the asymptotics is rather complicated from the technical point of view and at the same time it does not require any new ideas in comparison with the cases discussed above. Thus, from now on, we consider two cases only. More precisely, in the first case we assume that the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  has N different eigenvalues  $\tau_m^{(2k+1)}$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,N$ . In the second case we suppose that the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  has only one eigenvalue  $\tau_m^{(2k+1)}$  with multiplicity N, while the matrix  $T^{(2k+2)}$  has N different eigenvalues  $\tau_m^{(2k+2)}$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,N$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Assume that the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  has N different eigenvalues and choose  $\Psi_0^{(m)}$  being orthonormalized in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  and so that the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  is diagonal. Then the problems (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) have solutions

$$\psi_j^{(m)}(\xi) = \widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)}(\xi) + \widetilde{\Psi}_j^{(m)}(\xi') \sin \pi n \xi_d + \sum_{n=1}^N b_{j,p}^{(m)} \psi_0^{(p)}(\xi).$$

Г

Here the functions  $\widetilde{\psi}_{j}^{(m)}$  are zero for  $j \leq 2k+1$ , while for other j they solve the problems

$$-\left(\frac{1}{H_0^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_0^{(m)}\right)\widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)} = \left(\Delta_{\xi'} - \frac{2H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^3}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + \Lambda\right)\widetilde{\psi}_{j-2k}^{(m)} + \sum_{q=2k+2}^{j-2k-1} c_{j-q}^{(m)}\widetilde{\psi}_q^{(m)} + F_j^{(m)} - 2(F_j^{(m)}, \sin \pi n \xi_d)_{L_2(0,1)}\sin \pi n \xi_d \quad in \quad \Pi,$$

$$\widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)} = 0 \quad on \quad \partial \Pi,$$

and are represented as finite sums

$$\widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)}(\xi) = \sum_{\varsigma} \psi_{j,\varsigma,1}^{(m)}(\xi') \psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}(\xi_d),$$

where  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,1}^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{V}$ ,  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)} \in C_0^{\infty}[0,1]$ ,  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}(0) = \psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}(1) = 0$ , and the functions  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}$  are orthogonal to  $\sin \pi n \xi_d$  in  $L_2(0,1)$ . The functions  $\widetilde{\Psi}_j^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{V}$  are solutions to the equations (3.11) and are orthogonal to all  $\Psi_0^{(l)}$ ,  $l = 1, \ldots, N$ , in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . The constants  $b_{j,p}^{(m)}$  and  $c_j^{(m)}$  are determined by the formulae

$$b_{0,l}^{(m)} = \delta_{ml}, \quad b_{j,m}^{(m)} = 0, \quad j \geqslant 1,$$

$$b_{j,l}^{(m)} = \frac{2(\widetilde{F}_{j+2k+1}^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(l)}) + \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_{j+2k-q+1}^{(m)} b_{q,l}^{(m)}}{\tau_{2k+1}^{(m)} - \tau_{2k+1}^{(l)}}, \quad m \neq l, \quad j \geqslant 1,$$

$$c_{2k}^{(m)} = \Lambda, \quad c_{2k+1}^{(m)} = -\tau_m^{(2k+1)},$$

$$c_j^{(m)} = -2(\widetilde{F}_j^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(m)})_{L_2(\Pi)}, \quad j \geqslant 2k+2,$$

$$\widetilde{F}_j^{(m)} = \sum_{q=0}^{j-2k-1} \mathcal{L}_{j-q-2k}(\widetilde{\psi}_q^{(m)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_q^{(m)} \sin \pi n \xi_d) + \sum_{q=0}^{j-2k-2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} b_{q,p}^{(m)} \mathcal{L}_{j-q-2k} \psi_0^{(p)}.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Assume that all the eigenvalues of the matrix  $T^{(2k+1)}$  are identical and that the matrix  $T^{(2k+2)}$  has N different eigenvalues, and choose  $\Psi_0^{(m)}$  being orthonormalized in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  so that the matrices  $T^{(2k+1)}$  and  $T^{(2k+2)}$  are diagonal. Then problems (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) have solutions

$$\psi_j^{(m)}(\xi) = \widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)}(\xi) + \widetilde{\Psi}_j^{(m)}(\xi') \sin \pi n \xi_d + \sum_{p=1}^N b_{j-1,p}^{(m)} \Phi_1^{(p)}(\xi') \sin \pi n \xi_d + \sum_{p=1}^N b_{j,p}^{(m)} \psi_0^{(p)}(\xi).$$

Here the functions  $\widetilde{\psi}_{j}^{(m)}$  are zero for  $j \leq 2k+1$ , while for other j they solve the problems

$$-\left(\frac{1}{H_0^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + c_0^{(m)}\right)\widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)} = \left(\Delta_{\xi'} - \frac{2H_{2k}(\xi')}{H_0^3}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_d^2} + \Lambda\right)\widetilde{\psi}_{j-2k}^{(m)}$$

$$+ \sum_{q=2k+2}^{j-2k-1} c_{j-q}^{(m)}\widetilde{\psi}_q^{(m)} + \widetilde{F}_j^{(m)} - 2(\widetilde{F}_j^{(m)}, \sin \pi n \xi_d)_{L_2(\Pi)}\sin \pi n \xi_d \quad in \quad \Pi$$

$$\widetilde{\psi}_j^{(m)} = 0 \quad on \quad \partial \Pi,$$

$$\widetilde{F}_j^{(m)} := \sum_{q=0}^{j-2k-1} \mathcal{L}_{j-q-2k}(\widetilde{\psi}_q^{(m)} + \widetilde{\Psi}_q^{(m)}\sin \pi n \xi_d)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{j-2k-2} b_{q-1,p}^{(m)} \mathcal{L}_{j-q-2k}\Phi_1^{(p)}\sin \pi n \xi_d$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=0}^{j-2k-3} b_{q,p}^{(m)} \mathcal{L}_{j-q-2k}\psi_0^{(p)},$$

and are represented as finite sums

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{j}^{(m)}(\xi) = \sum_{\zeta} \psi_{j,\zeta,1}^{(m)}(\xi') \psi_{j,\zeta,2}^{(m)}(\xi_d),$$

where  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,1}^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{V}$ ,  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)} \in C_0^{\infty}[0,1]$ ,  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}(0) = \psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}(1) = 0$ , and the functions  $\psi_{j,\varsigma,2}^{(m)}$  are orthogonal to  $\sin \pi n \xi_d$  in  $L_2(0,1)$ . The functions  $\widetilde{\Psi}_j^{(m)} \in \mathfrak{V}$  are solutions to the equations

$$(G_n - \Lambda)\widetilde{\Psi}_j^{(m)} = \widetilde{f}_{j+2k}^{(m)} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} c_{j+2k-q}^{(m)} \widetilde{\Psi}_q^{(m)} + \sum_{q=1}^{j-3} \sum_{p=1}^{N} c_{j+2k-q}^{(m)} b_{q,p}^{(m)} \Psi_0^{(p)}$$

$$+ \sum_{q=1}^{j-2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} c_{j+2k-q}^{(m)} b_{q-1,p}^{(m)} \Phi_1^{(p)} - \sum_{p=1}^{N} (\widetilde{f}_{j+2k}^{(m)}, \Psi_0^{(p)})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \Psi_0^{(p)},$$

and are orthogonal to all  $\Psi_0^{(l)}$ ,  $l=1,\ldots,N$ , in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ . The constants  $b_{j,p}^{(m)}$  and  $c_j^{(m)}$  are determined by the formulae

$$b_{l,-1}^{(m)} = 0, \quad b_{0,l}^{(m)} = \delta_{ml}, \quad b_{j,m}^{(m)} = 0, \quad j \geqslant 1,$$

$$b_{j,l}^{(m)} = \frac{2(\widetilde{F}_{j+2k+2}^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(l)}) + \sum\limits_{q=1}^{j-1} c_{j+2k-q+2}^{(m)} b_{q,l}^{(m)}}{\tau_{2k+1}^{(m)} - \tau_{2k+1}^{(l)}}, \quad m \neq l, \quad j \geqslant 1,$$

$$c_{2k}^{(m)} = \Lambda, \quad c_{2k+1}^{(m)} = -\tau^{(2k+1)}, \quad c_{2k+2}^{(m)} = -\tau_m^{(2k+2)},$$
  
 $c_j^{(m)} = -2(\widetilde{F}_j^{(m)}, \psi_0^{(m)})_{L_2(\Pi)}, \quad j \geqslant 2k+3.$ 

These lemmata can be proven by induction.

Remark 3.8. We observe that if  $\Lambda$  is simple, then N=1 and the hypothesis of Lemma 3.6 is obviously true.

We denote

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}(x) := \chi(x')\sqrt{H(x')} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \eta^{j} \psi_{j}^{(m)} \left(\frac{x' - \overline{x}}{\eta}, \frac{x_{d} + \varepsilon h_{-}(x')}{\varepsilon H(x')}\right),$$
$$\lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)} := \varepsilon^{-2} c_{0}^{(m)} + \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=2k}^{s} \eta^{j} c_{j}^{(m)}, \quad s \geqslant 2k.$$

The next lemma follows from the construction of the functions  $\psi_j^{(m)}$  and the constants  $c_j^{(m)}$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** The functions  $\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}$  solve the boundary value problems

$$(3.24) - (\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^{D} + \lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)})\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)} = g_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N,$$

where the right-hand sides satisfy the estimate

(3.25) 
$$\|g_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} = \mathcal{O}(\eta^{s-\frac{3k-d}{2}-2}), \quad m = 1, \dots, N.$$

We rewrite problem (3.24) as

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)} = A_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)} + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}} A_{\varepsilon} g_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)},$$

where  $A_{\varepsilon} := (-\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^{D} + 1)^{-1}$ . This operator is self-adjoint, compact and satisfies the estimate  $||A_{\varepsilon}|| \leq 1$ . In view of this estimate and (3.25) we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}} A_{\varepsilon} g_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leqslant C_{m,s} \eta^{s - \frac{3k - d}{2} + 2k}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $C_{m,s}$  are constants. We apply Lemma 1.1 to conclude that there exists an eigenvalue  $\varrho_s^{(m)}(\varepsilon)$  of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$|\varrho_s^{(m)}(\varepsilon) - (1 + \lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)})^{-1}| \le C_{m,s} \eta^{s - \frac{3k - d}{2} + 2k}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N.$$

Hence, the number  $\lambda_s^{(m)}(\varepsilon) := (\varrho_s^{(m)}(\varepsilon))^{-1} - 1$  is an eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}^{D}$ , which satisfies the identity

$$(3.26) |\lambda_s^{(m)}(\varepsilon) - \lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}| \leqslant \widetilde{C}_{m,s} \eta^{s - \frac{7k - d}{2} - 4}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $\widetilde{C}_{m,s}$  are constants.

Let  $\varepsilon_s^{(m)}$  be a monotone sequence such that  $\widetilde{C}_{m,s}\eta \leqslant \widetilde{C}_{m,s-1}$  as  $\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_s^{(m)}$ . We choose the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\varepsilon^{(m)} := \lambda_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}$  as  $\varepsilon \in [\varepsilon_s^{(m)}, \varepsilon_{s+1}^{(m)})$ . The inequality (3.26) implies that the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\varepsilon^{(m)}$  has the asymptotics (2.2). We employ Lemma 1.1 in [OSY, Ch. III, Sec. 1.1] once again with  $\alpha = C_{m,s}\eta^{s-\frac{3k-d}{2}}$ ,  $d = \sqrt{\alpha}$ . It yields that there exists a linear combination  $\psi_s^{(m)}(x,\varepsilon)$  of the eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta_{\Omega_\varepsilon}^D$  associated with the eigenvalues lying in  $[\lambda_\varepsilon^{(m)} - d, \lambda_\varepsilon^{(m)} + d]$  such that

$$\|\psi_s^{(m)}(\cdot,\varepsilon)-\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}=\mathcal{O}(\eta^{\frac{2s-3k+d}{4}}),\ldots m=1,\ldots,N.$$

Since the functions  $\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{(m)}$  are linearly independent for different m, the same is true for  $\psi_s^{(m)}(\cdot,\varepsilon)$ , if s is large enough. Thus, the total multiplicity of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\varepsilon}^{(m)}$  is at least N. The proof is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need to ensure that, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , the asymptotic expansions for  $\lambda_{1,m}$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,N$  provided by Theorem 1 do correspond to the first N eigenvalues of  $-\Delta^D_{\Omega_\varepsilon}$  (counting multiplicities). In [BF] this was done by means of adapting the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [FS] from the situation where  $h_-=0$  to our case. In the present context we need to show that, under the conditions for  $h_\pm$ , this result may be extended to d dimensions. There are two important points that should be stressed here. On the one hand, we are assuming  $C^\infty$  regularity in a neighbourhood of the point of global maximum, and thus do not have to deal with what could now be more complex regularity issues at this point. On the other hand, since the proof of eigenvalue convergence given in [FS] is based on convergence in the norm, it is not affected by details related to the possible higher multiplicities as was the case in the derivation of the formulae in the previous section.

While still using the notation defined in Section 2, we also refer to the notation in [FS]. In particular, the function h and the operator  $\mathbf{H}$  defined defined there correspond to our width function H and operator  $G_n$ , respectively. We begin by assuming H to be strictly positive in  $\overline{\omega}$ . Let thus

$$\psi(x', x_d) = \psi_{\chi}(x', x_d) = \chi(x') \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon H(x')}} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi(x_d + \varepsilon h_-(x'))}{\varepsilon H(x')} \right]$$

As in [FS], we have

$$\|\psi_{\chi}(x',x_d)\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} = \int_{\omega} \chi^2(x')dx',$$

while now

$$\int_{\omega} \int_{-\varepsilon h_{-}(x')}^{\varepsilon h_{+}(x')} \left| \nabla \psi_{\chi}(x',x_d) \right|^2 = \int_{\omega} \left| \nabla \chi(x') \right|^2 + \left( \frac{\pi^2}{\varepsilon^2 H^2(x')} + v(x') \right) \chi^2(x') dx',$$

with

$$v(x') = \frac{\pi^2}{H^2(x')} \left[ \left| \frac{1}{2} \nabla H(x') - \nabla h_-(x') \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \right) \left| \nabla H(x') \right|^2 \right].$$

In the notation of [FS], the potential  $W_{\varepsilon}$  appearing in the quadratic form  $q_{\varepsilon}[\chi]$  (equation (1.4) on page 3 in that paper) is now defined by

$$W_{\varepsilon}(x') = \frac{\pi^2}{\varepsilon^2} \left[ \frac{1}{H^2(x')} - \frac{1}{H^2(\overline{x})} \right] + v(x').$$

We consider the scaling  $x' = e^{\alpha}t$  as before, which causes the domain  $\omega$  to be scaled to  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = e^{\alpha}\omega$ . Then the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.2 go through with minor changes (note that m = 2k, while I and  $I_{\varepsilon}$  should be changed by  $\omega$  and  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ , respectively). Similar remarks apply to the proofs in Section 4 of [FS] leading to the proof of Theorem 1.3, except that due to regularity we do not need to worry about separating the domain into different parts as was necessary there for the intervals  $I_{\varepsilon}$ .

Finally, we relax the condition on the strict positivity of H mentioned above. This again follows in a similar fashion to what was done in Section 6.1 of [FS].

We are now in conditions to proceed to the proof of (2.5). In the case considered the lowest eigenvalue of  $G_1$  is  $\Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j$ , while the associated eigenfunction is given by (2.6). This proves the formula for  $c_2^{(1,1)}$ . In view of Remark 3.8, we can employ Lemma 3.6 to calculate  $c_3^{(1,1)}$ ,  $c_4^{(1,1)}$ . Since  $\Psi_0$  is even w.r.t. each  $\xi_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,d-1$ , and  $H_3(-\xi') = -H_3(\xi')$ , we conclude by (3.19) that  $T_{11}^{(3)} = 0$ . By Theorem 1 it yields that  $c_3^{(1,1)} = 0$ .

The equation (3.11) for  $\Psi_1$  with j=3 reads as follows

(4.1) 
$$(G_1 - \Lambda)\widetilde{\Psi}_1 = \frac{2\pi^2}{H_0^3} H_3 \Psi_0.$$

We seek the solution as  $\widetilde{\Psi}_1 = R\Psi_0$ , where R is a polynomial of the form

(4.2) 
$$R(\xi') := \sum_{p,q,j=1}^{d-1} C_{pqj} \xi_p \xi_q \xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} C_j \xi_j,$$

where  $C_{pqj}$ ,  $C_j$  are constants to be found, and  $C_{pqj}$  are invariant under each permutation of the indices p, q, j. We also note that such a choice of R ensures that  $(\widetilde{\Psi}_1, \Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} = 0$ . We substitute (4.2) and the formula for  $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$  into (4.1) taking into account (2.7),

$$2\sum_{p,q,j=1}^{d-1} (\theta_p + \theta_q + \theta_j) C_{pqj} \xi_p \xi_q \xi_j + 2\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \theta_j C_j \xi_j + 6\sum_{p,j=1}^{d-1} C_{ppj} \xi_j$$

$$= -\frac{2\pi^2}{H_0^3} \sum_{p,q,j=1}^{d-1} \beta_{pqj} \xi_p \xi_q \xi_j.$$

It yields the formulae

(4.3) 
$$C_{pqj} = -\frac{\pi^2 \beta_{pqj}}{H_0^3(\theta_p + \theta_q + \theta_j)}, \quad C_j = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{d-1} \frac{\pi^2 \beta_{ppj}}{H_0^3 \theta_j (2\theta_p + \theta_j)}.$$

It is easy to check that

(4.4) 
$$Q_1^{(i)}(\xi') = -\frac{1}{H_0} \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial x_i}(\xi'), \quad P_0^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2H_0} \Delta_{x'} H_2,$$
$$P_4^{(d)}(\xi') = H_0^{-4} \left(3H_2^2(\xi') - 2H_0 H_4(\xi')\right).$$

Employing these identities, we write the formula for  $c_4^{(1,1)}$  from Lemma 3.6  $c_4^{(1,1)} = -2(\widetilde{F}_4, \psi_0)_{L_2(\Pi)} = -2(\mathcal{L}_2\psi_0, \psi_0)_{L_2(\Pi)} - 2(\mathcal{L}_1\widetilde{\Psi}_1 \sin \pi \xi_d, \psi_0)_{L_2(\Pi)}$   $= \pi^2 (P_4^{(d)}\Psi_0, \Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} - (P_0^{(0)}\Psi_0, \Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$   $+ 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( Q_1^{(i)} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial \xi_i} \sin \pi \xi_d, \Psi_0 \xi_d \cos \pi \xi_d \right)_{L_2(\Pi)}$   $- 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( K_0^{(i)} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial \xi_d^2}, \psi_0 \right)_{L_2(\Pi)} + \pi^2 (P_3^{(d)} \widetilde{\Psi}_1, \Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$   $= \pi^2 (P_4^{(d)} \Psi_0, \Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} + \frac{1}{2H_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( Q_1^{(i)} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial \xi_i}, \Psi_0 \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$   $+ \frac{\pi^2}{H_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_i} (\overline{x}) \right)^2 + \pi^2 (P_3^{(d)} \widetilde{\Psi}_1, \Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$ 

$$=\pi^2((P_4^{(d)}+P_3^{(d)})\Psi_0,\Psi_0)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}+\frac{\pi^2}{H_0^2}\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_i}(\overline{x})\right)^2.$$

We substitute (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) into this identity and arrive at the desired formula for  $c_4^{(1,1)}$ .

### 5. The d-dimensional ellipsoid

As an application of our results, we will derive the expression (1.3) for the asymptotic expansion for the first eigenvalue for a general ellipsoid. From the equation defining the boundary of  $\mathcal{E}$  and assuming that, as mentioned in the Introduction, we are doing the scaling along the  $x_d$ axis, we have

$$h_{\pm}(x') = a_d \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{x_1}{a_1} \right)^2 - \dots - \left( \frac{x_{d-1}}{a_{d-1}} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

while  $H(x') = 2h_{\pm}(x')$ . We thus have  $\overline{x}$  located at the origin and  $H_0 = 2a_d$ . Expanding H around  $\overline{x}$  we have

$$H(x') = 2a_d - a_d \left[ \left( \frac{x_1}{a_1} \right)^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{x_{d-1}}{a_{d-1}} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$- \frac{a_d}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{x_1}{a_1} \right)^4 + \dots + \left( \frac{x_{d-1}}{a_{d-1}} \right)^4 + 2 \left( \frac{x_1 x_2}{a_1 a_2} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{x_1 x_3}{a_1 a_3} \right)^2 \dots + 2 \left( \frac{x_{d-2} x_{d-1}}{a_{d-2} a_{d-1}} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$+ \dots,$$

yielding  $H_k = h_k = 0$  for odd k and

$$H_2(x') = -a_d \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{x_i}{a_i}\right)^2$$

$$H_4(x') = -\frac{a_d}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(\frac{x_i x_j}{a_i a_j}\right)^2.$$

Hence

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\sqrt{2a_d}}{a_i}, \quad \theta_i = \frac{\pi}{2a_i a_d}$$

and

$$\psi_0(x') = \frac{2^{\frac{1-d}{4}} a_d^{\frac{1-d}{4}}}{(a_1 \dots a_{d-1})^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4a_d} \left(\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_{d-1}^2}{a_{d-1}}\right)}.$$

Note that since  $H_3$  is identically zero, there is no need to compute  $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$ . It is now straightforward to obtain

$$c_0^{(1,1)} = \frac{\pi^2}{4a_d^2}$$
 and  $c_2^{(1,1)} = \frac{\pi}{2a_d} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_i}$ .

It remains to compute

$$c_4^{(1,1)} = \frac{\pi^2}{16a_d^2} \left( \left[ 3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{x_i}{a_i} \right)^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \left( \frac{x_i x_j}{a_i a_j} \right)^2 \right] \psi_0(x'), \psi_0(x') \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{2^{\frac{d+3}{2}} a_d^{\frac{d+3}{2}} (a_1 \dots a_{d-1})^{1/2}} \times \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \left( \frac{x_i x_j}{a_i a_j} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\pi}{2a_d} \left( \frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_{d-1}^2}{a_{d-1}} \right)} dx'$$

which, after some further simplifications, yields the desired result.

## References

- [BF] D. Borisov and P. Freitas, Singular asymptotic expansions for Dirichlet eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on thin planar domains, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non-Linéaire 26 (2009) 547-560.
- [D] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [F] P. Freitas, Precise bounds and asymptotics for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of triangles and rhombi, J. Funct. Anal. 251 (2007), 376-398. doi:101016/jfa.2007.04.012.
- [FS] L. Friedlander and M. Solomyak, On the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian in a narrow strip, to appear in Israel J. Math..
- [G] I. M. Glazman, Direct Methods of Qualitative Spectral Analysis of Singular Differential Operators, London, Oldbourne Press, 1965.
- [H] D. Henry, Perturbation of the Boundary in Boundary-Value Problems of Partial Differential Equations, With editorial assistance from J. Hale and A.L. Pereira. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 318, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005
- [J] D. D. Joseph, Parameter and domain dependence of eigenvalues of elliptic partial differential equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 24 (1967), 325– 351.
- [OSY] O. A. Olejnik, A. S. Shamaev and G. A. Yosifyan, *Mathematical problems in elasticity and homogenization*. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. 26. Amsterdam etc.: North-Holland, 1992.

Department of Physics and Mathematics, Bashkir State Pedagogical University, October Rev. st., 3a, 450000, Ufa, Russia

E-mail address: borisovdi@yandex.ru

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULDADE DE MOTRICIDADE HUMANA (TU LISBON) and GROUP OF MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF LISBON, COMPLEXO INTERDISCIPLINAR, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO 2, P-1649-003 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: freitas@cii.fc.ul.pt}$