

# DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS COMPATIBLE WITH BOUNDARY RATIONAL QKZ EQUATION

YOSHIHIRO TAKEYAMA

*Dedicated to Professor Tetsuji Miwa on his sixtieth birthday*

ABSTRACT. We give differential equations compatible with the rational qKZ equation with boundary reflection. The total system contains the trigonometric degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$  which in the case of type  $GL_n$  was studied by van Meer and Stokman. We construct an integral formula for solutions to our compatible system in a special case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we give differential equations compatible with the rational version of the quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equation [8] with boundary reflection, which we call the *boundary rational qKZ equation*.

Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^{2N}$  be a vector space of even dimension. The boundary rational qKZ equation is the following system of difference equations for an unknown function  $f(x|y)$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^N \times \mathbb{C}^n$  taking values in  $V^{\otimes n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x | \dots, y_m - c, \dots) \\ &= R_{m,m-1}(y_m - y_{m-1} - c) \cdots R_{m,1}(y_m - y_1 - c) K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta) \\ &\times R_{1,m}(y_1 + y_m) \cdots R_{m-1,m}(y_{m-1} + y_m) R_{m,m+1}(y_m + y_{m+1}) \cdots R_{m,n}(y_m + y_n) \\ &\times K_m(y_m | \underline{1}, \alpha) R_{m,n}(y_m - y_n) \cdots R_{m,m+1}(y_m - y_{m+1}) f(x | \dots, y_m, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , where  $c, \alpha$  and  $\beta$  are parameters and  $\underline{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ . The linear operator  $R(\lambda)$  on  $V^{\otimes 2}$  is the rational  $R$ -matrix, and  $K(\lambda | x, \beta) \in \text{End}(V)$  is the boundary  $K$ -matrix which is a linear sum of the identity and the reflection of the basis of  $V$  with exponent  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$  (see (2.2) below). The indices of  $R$  and  $K$  in the right hand side signify the position of the components of  $V^{\otimes n}$  on which they act. The boundary rational qKZ equation can be regarded as a slight generalization of an additive degeneration of Cherednik's trigonometric qKZ equation [2] associated with the root system of type  $C_n$ . Such equation was also derived as that for correlation functions of the integrable spin chains with a boundary [10, 3]. Recently combinatorial aspects of a special polynomial solution have attracted attention [1, 5, 9].

In this paper we give commuting differential operators in the form

$$D_a(x|y) = cx_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} + L_a(x|y) \quad (1 \leq a \leq N),$$

where  $L_a(x|y)$  ( $1 \leq a \leq N$ ) are commuting linear operators acting on  $V^{\otimes n}$ , and prove that the system consisting of the boundary rational qKZ equation and the differential equations  $D_a(x|y)f(x|y) = 0$  ( $1 \leq a \leq N$ ) is compatible (see Theorem 3.3 below). Such compatible system is obtained for the differential KZ or the qKZ equations without boundary reflection by Etingof, Felder, Markov, Tarasov and Varchenko in more general settings [6, 7, 15, 16].

In [11] van Meer and Stokman constructed a consistent system of  $q$ -difference equations, which they call the bispectral qKZ equation, using the double affine Hecke algebra (DAHA) [4] of type  $GL_n$ . The key ingredients are Cherednik's intertwiners and the dual anti-involution. As mentioned in [11], their construction can be extended to arbitrary root system. In this paper we consider the case of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$ . The DAHA of this type also has intertwiners and dual anti-involution [14], and hence we can construct the bispectral qKZ equation. Now recall that the DAHA has a trigonometric degeneration [4]. In this degeneration the bispectral qKZ equation turns into a system of differential equations called the affine KZ equation (see Section 1.1.3 of [4]) and additive difference equations. We prove that the system is contained in our compatible system of differential equations and the boundary rational qKZ equation with  $N = n$  restricted to a subspace of  $V^{\otimes n}$  isomorphic to the group algebra of the Weyl group of type  $C_n$ . As will be seen in Section 4.6 the differential operator  $D_a(x|y)$  does not literally appear in the affine KZ equation because some of its parts act by zero on the subspace. Thus our compatible system gives a non-trivial generalization of the trigonometric degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$ .

As previously mentioned the boundary rational qKZ equation can be regarded as an additive degeneration of the qKZ equation on the root system of type  $C_n$ . For the qKZ equation of type  $C_n$ , Mimachi obtained an integral formula for solutions in a special case [12]. Similar construction works for the boundary rational qKZ equation with  $\alpha = \beta = k/2$ , where  $k$  is a parameter contained in the  $R$ -matrix, and the exponent  $x$  restricted to the hyperplane  $x_2 = \cdots = x_N = 1$ . We prove that the solutions obtained in this way satisfy the differential equation  $D_1(x_1, 1, \dots, 1|y)f = 0$ . Thus we get solutions of our compatible system in a special case.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the definition of the boundary rational qKZ equation. In Section 3 we construct the commuting differential operators and prove that they are compatible with the boundary rational qKZ equation. In Section 4 we calculate the trigonometric degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$  and see that it is contained in our compatible system. In Section 5 we give an integral formula for solutions to our compatible system with  $\alpha = \beta = k/2$  and  $x = (x_1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

## 2. BOUNDARY RATIONAL QKZ EQUATION

Let  $n$  and  $N$  be positive integers, and  $c, k, \alpha$  and  $\beta$  be generic non-zero complex numbers. Let  $V = \bigoplus_{a=1}^N (\mathbb{C}v_a \oplus \mathbb{C}v_{\bar{a}})$  be a vector space with the basis  $\{v_l\}_{l=1, \dots, N, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{N}}$ .

The rational  $R$ -matrix acting on  $V^{\otimes 2}$  is defined by

$$R(\lambda) := \frac{\lambda + kP}{\lambda + k},$$

where  $P$  is the transposition  $P(u \otimes v) := v \otimes u$ . It is a rational solution of the Yang-Baxter equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)R_{13}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)R_{23}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) \\ = R_{23}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)R_{13}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

on  $V^{\otimes 3}$ , where  $R_{ij}(\lambda)$  is the linear operator acting on the tensor product of the  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th component of  $V^{\otimes 3}$  as  $R(\lambda)$ .

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$  we define the reflection operator  $T(x) \in \text{End}(V)$  by

$$T(x)(v_a) := x_a^{-1}v_{\bar{a}}, \quad T(x)(v_{\bar{a}}) := x_a v_a \quad (1 \leq a \leq N)$$

and set

$$(2.2) \quad K(\lambda | x, \beta) := \frac{\lambda T(x) + \beta}{\lambda + \beta}.$$

Then the operator  $K(\lambda | x, \beta)$  satisfies the boundary Yang-Baxter equation:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)K_1(\lambda_1 | x, \beta)R_{21}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)K_2(\lambda_2 | x, \beta) \\ = K_2(\lambda_2 | x, \beta)R_{21}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)K_1(\lambda_1 | x, \beta)R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

on  $V^{\otimes 2}$ , where  $K_j(\lambda | x, \beta)$  is the linear operator acting on the  $j$ -th component of  $V^{\otimes 2}$  as  $K(\lambda | x, \beta)$ .

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we define the linear operator  $Q_m(x | y)$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ) acting on  $V^{\otimes n}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m(x | y) := & R_{m, m-1}(y_m - y_{m-1} - c) \cdots R_{m, 1}(y_m - y_1 - c) K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta) \\ & \times R_{1, m}(y_1 + y_m) \cdots R_{m-1, m}(y_{m-1} + y_m) \\ & \times R_{m, m+1}(y_m + y_{m+1}) \cdots R_{m, n}(y_m + y_n) \\ & \times K_m(y_m | \underline{1}, \alpha) R_{m, n}(y_m - y_n) \cdots R_{m, m+1}(y_m - y_{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{1} := (1, \dots, 1)$  and the lower indices of  $R$  and  $K$  in the right hand side signify the position of the components in  $V^{\otimes n}$  on which they act.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For  $1 \leq l, m \leq n$  we have*

$$Q_m(x | \dots, y_l - c, \dots) Q_l(x | y) = Q_l(x | \dots, y_m - c, \dots) Q_m(x | y).$$

*Proof.* It follows from (2.1) and (2.3). □

Let  $f(x|y)$  be a function on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes N}) \times \mathbb{C}^n$  taking values in  $V^{\otimes n}$ . We denote by  $\Delta_m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ) the shift operator with respect to  $y_m$ :

$$\Delta_m f(x|y) := f(x|y_1, \dots, y_m - c, \dots, y_n).$$

From Proposition 2.1 the following system of difference equations is consistent:

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta_m f(x|y) = Q_m(x|y)f(x|y) \quad (m = 1, \dots, n).$$

**Definition 2.2.** We call the system (2.4) of difference equations the *boundary rational qKZ equation*.

### 3. COMPATIBLE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

**3.1. Commuting differential operators.** We denote by  $e_{ab} \in \text{End}(V)$  ( $a, b \in \{1, \dots, N, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{N}\}$ ) the matrix unit acting by  $e_{ab}v_p = \delta_{bp}v_a$ . In this section, for  $u \in \text{End}(V)$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we denote by  $u^{(j)} \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes n})$  the linear operator acting on the  $j$ -th component of  $V^{\otimes n}$  as  $u$ . For  $1 \leq a, b \leq N$  we set

$$E_{ab} := e_{ab} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \quad \bar{E}_{ab} := e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} + e_{ab},$$

and define  $\mathbf{X}_{ab}, \mathbf{Y}_{ab}, \mathbf{Z}_{ab} \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes n})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{ab} &:= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( e_{ab}^{(i)} E_{ba}^{(j)} + e_{\bar{b}\bar{a}}^{(i)} \bar{E}_{ab}^{(j)} \right), \\ \mathbf{Y}_{ab} &:= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{(i)} \bar{E}_{ba}^{(j)} + e_{\bar{b}\bar{a}}^{(i)} \bar{E}_{ab}^{(j)} \right), \\ \mathbf{Z}_{ab} &:= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{(i)} \bar{E}_{ba}^{(j)} + e_{\bar{b}\bar{a}}^{(i)} \bar{E}_{ab}^{(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbf{Y}_{ab} = \mathbf{Y}_{ba}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{ab} = \mathbf{Z}_{ba}$ .

Define the linear operators  $A_a(y)$  and  $B_a(x)$  ( $1 \leq a \leq N$ ) on  $V^{\otimes n}$  by

$$A_a(y) := \sum_{j=1}^n y_j (e_{aa}^{(j)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(j)}) + 2\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(j)} + k \left( - \sum_{p=1}^{a-1} \mathbf{X}_{pa} + \sum_{p=a+1}^N \mathbf{X}_{ap} + \sum_{p=1}^N \mathbf{Y}_{ap} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_a(x) &:= 2 \frac{\alpha + \beta x_a}{x_a^2 - 1} \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{E}_{aa}^{(j)} + k \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{a-1} \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} (\mathbf{X}_{ap} + \mathbf{X}_{pa}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{p=a+1}^N \frac{x_p}{x_a - x_p} (\mathbf{X}_{ap} + \mathbf{X}_{pa}) + \sum_{p=1}^N \frac{1}{x_a x_p - 1} (\mathbf{Y}_{ap} + \mathbf{Z}_{ap}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$L_a(x|y) := A_a(y) + B_a(x) \quad (1 \leq a \leq N).$$

By direct calculation we can check the commutativity:

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $1 \leq a, b \leq N$  we have

$$[A_a(y), A_b(y)] = 0, \quad [L_a(x|y), L_b(x|y)] = 0.$$

Now define the differential operators  $D_a(x|y)$  ( $1 \leq a \leq N$ ) by

$$D_a(x|y) := cx_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} + L_a(x|y).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** The differential operators  $D_a(x|y)$  ( $a = 1, \dots, N$ ) commute with each other.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.1 and the equality  $x_a \frac{\partial L_b}{\partial x_a} = x_b \frac{\partial L_a}{\partial x_b}$  for  $1 \leq a, b \leq N$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Compatibility.** In this subsection we prove the main theorem:

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $1 \leq a \leq N$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad [D_a(x|y), \Delta_m^{-1} Q_m(x|y)] = 0.$$

Hence the system of equations

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_m f(x|y) = Q_m(x|y) f(x|y) & (1 \leq m \leq n), \\ D_a(x|y) f(x|y) = 0 & (1 \leq a \leq N) \end{cases}$$

is compatible.

To prove Theorem 3.3 we rewrite the linear operators  $L_a(x|y)$  ( $1 \leq a \leq N$ ) as follows. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define  $I_a(\lambda|\gamma) \in \text{End}(V)$  by

$$I_a(\lambda|\gamma) := \gamma(e_{aa} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}) + 2\frac{\alpha + \beta\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1}e_{\bar{a}a} + 2\frac{\alpha + \beta\lambda^{-1}}{1 - \lambda^{-2}}e_{a\bar{a}} \quad (1 \leq a \leq N).$$

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$  we define  $M_a(x) \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$  ( $1 \leq a \leq N$ ) by

$$M_a(x) := \frac{2k}{x_a - x_a^{-1}}(x_a e_{a\bar{a}} + x_a^{-1} e_{\bar{a}a}) \otimes (e_{a\bar{a}} + e_{\bar{a}a}) \\ + k \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq a}}^N \left( \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} U_{ap} + \frac{x_p}{x_a - x_p} U_{pa} + \frac{x_a x_p}{x_a x_p - 1} J_{ap} + \frac{1}{x_a x_p - 1} K_{ap} \right),$$

where

$$U_{ab} := e_{ab} \otimes E_{ba} + e_{\bar{b}\bar{a}} \otimes E_{ab}, \quad J_{ab} := e_{a\bar{b}} \otimes \bar{E}_{ba} + e_{b\bar{a}} \otimes \bar{E}_{ab}, \\ K_{ab} := e_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \otimes \bar{E}_{ba} + e_{\bar{b}\bar{a}} \otimes \bar{E}_{ab}.$$

Note that  $J_{ab} = J_{ba}$  and  $K_{ab} = K_{ba}$ . Then we have

$$L_a(x|y) = \sum_{j=1}^n I_a(x_a|y_j)^{(j)} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_a(x)^{(i,j)}$$

for  $1 \leq a \leq N$ , where  $M_a(x)^{(i,j)}$  is the linear operator acting on the tensor product of the  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th component of  $V^{\otimes n}$  as  $M_a(x)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$  and  $1 \leq a \leq N$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{12}(y_1 - y_2) \left( I_a(x_a|y_1)^{(1)} + I_a(x_a|y_2)^{(2)} + M_a(x)^{(1,2)} \right) R_{12}(y_1 - y_2)^{-1} \\ &= I_a(x_a|y_1)^{(1)} + I_a(x_a|y_2)^{(2)} + M_a(x)^{(2,1)} \end{aligned}$$

on  $V^{\otimes 2}$ , where  $M_a(x)^{(2,1)} = PM_a(x)P$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $h \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$  is symmetric, i.e.  $PhP = h$ , then  $h$  commutes with the  $R$ -matrix. We extract symmetric parts from the operator  $I_a(x_a|y_1)^{(1)} + I_a(x_a|y_2)^{(2)} + M_a(x)^{(1,2)}$  as follows. In the following we enclose symmetric parts in a square bracket  $[ \ ]$ . First we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_a(x_a|y_1)^{(1)} + I_a(x_a|y_2)^{(2)} \\ &= \left[ y_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (e_{aa}^{(j)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(j)}) + 2 \frac{\alpha + \beta x_a}{x_a^2 - 1} \sum_{j=1}^2 e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(j)} + 2 \frac{\alpha + \beta x_a^{-1}}{1 - x_a^{-2}} \sum_{j=1}^2 e_{aa}^{(j)} \right] \\ &+ (y_2 - y_1)(e_{aa}^{(2)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $U_{ap} + U_{pa}$  and  $J_{ap} + K_{ap}$  are symmetric. Using

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ap} &= \left[ e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{ap}^{(2)} + e_{ap}^{(1)} e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(2)} \right] + e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(2)} + e_{ap}^{(1)} e_{pa}^{(2)}, \\ J_{ap} &= \left[ e_{p\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{a\bar{p}}^{(2)} + e_{a\bar{p}}^{(1)} e_{p\bar{a}}^{(2)} \right] + e_{p\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(2)} + e_{a\bar{p}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we divide  $M_a(x)^{(1,2)}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} M_a(x)^{(1,2)} &= \frac{2k}{x_a - x_a^{-1}} \left[ x_a e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)} + x_a^{-1} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)} + x_a^{-1} (e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) \right] \\ &+ k \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq a}}^N \left[ \frac{x_p}{x_a - x_p} (U_{ap} + U_{pa}) + \frac{1}{x_a x_p - 1} (J_{ap} + K_{ap}) \right] \\ &+ k \left[ \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq a}}^N (e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{ap}^{(2)} + e_{ap}^{(1)} e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(2)} + e_{p\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{a\bar{p}}^{(2)} + e_{a\bar{p}}^{(1)} e_{p\bar{a}}^{(2)}) - (e_{aa}^{(1)} e_{aa}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) \right] \\ &+ k \sum_p' (e_{ap}^{(1)} e_{pa}^{(2)} + e_{p\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum'_p$  is a sum over all indices  $p \in \{1, \dots, N, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{N}\}$ . Thus we find

$$(3.3) \quad I_a(x_a|y_1)^{(1)} + I_a(x_a|y_2)^{(2)} + M_a(x)^{(1,2)} \\ = [(\text{symmetric part})] + (y_2 - y_1)(e_{aa}^{(2)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) + k \sum'_p (e_{ap}^{(1)} e_{pa}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(2)}).$$

Now we make use of the intertwining property of the  $R$ -matrix:

$$R(\lambda) \left( \lambda \cdot 1 \otimes e_{lm} + k \sum'_p e_{pm} \otimes e_{lp} \right) = \left( \lambda \cdot 1 \otimes e_{lm} + k \sum'_p e_{lp} \otimes e_{pm} \right) R(\lambda), \\ R(\lambda) \left( \lambda \cdot 1 \otimes e_{lm} - k \sum'_p e_{lp} \otimes e_{pm} \right) = \left( \lambda \cdot 1 \otimes e_{lm} - k \sum'_p e_{pm} \otimes e_{lp} \right) R(\lambda)$$

for any  $l, m \in \{1, \dots, N, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{N}\}$ . For  $g \in \text{GL}(V^{\otimes 2})$  we denote by  $\text{Ad}(g)$  the adjoint action  $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$  on  $\text{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$ . Then we see that

$$\text{Ad}(R_{12}(y_1 - y_2)) \left( (y_2 - y_1)(e_{aa}^{(2)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) + k \sum'_p (e_{ap}^{(1)} e_{pa}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(2)}) \right) \\ = (y_2 - y_1)(e_{aa}^{(2)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) + k \sum'_p (e_{pa}^{(1)} e_{ap}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) \\ = (y_2 - y_1)(e_{aa}^{(2)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) + 2k e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{aa}^{(2)} \\ + k \left\{ \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq a}}^N (e_{pa}^{(1)} e_{ap}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(1)} e_{p\bar{a}}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{p}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{p}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) + (e_{aa}^{(1)} e_{aa}^{(2)} + e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(1)} e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(2)}) \right\}.$$

Adding this to the symmetric part in (3.3) we obtain  $I_a(x_a|y_1)^{(1)} + I_a(x_a|y_2)^{(2)} + M_a(x)^{(2,1)}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* We split the operator  $Q_m(x|y)$  into three parts:

$$(3.4) \quad Q_m(x|y) = Q'_m(y) K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta) Q''_m(y),$$

where

$$Q'_m(y) := R_{m,m-1}(y_m - y_{m-1} - c) \cdots R_{m,1}(y_m - y_1 - c)$$

and  $Q''_m(y)$  is determined by (3.4). Then the equality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad cx_a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta) \right) K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta)^{-1} \\ + \text{Ad}(Q'_m(y))(L_a(x | \dots, y_m - c, \dots)) \\ - \text{Ad}(K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta) Q''_m(y))(L_a(x | \dots, y_m, \dots)) = 0.$$

The first term of (3.5) is equal to

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{c(y_m - c/2)}{(y_m - c/2)^2 - \beta^2} \left\{ (y_m - c/2)(e_{aa}^{(m)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(m)}) - \beta(x_a e_{a\bar{a}}^{(m)} - x_a^{-1} e_{\bar{a}a}^{(m)}) \right\}.$$

From Lemma 3.4 we see that the second term is equal to

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n I_a(x_a | y_j)^{(j)} + I_a(x_a | y_m - c)^{(m)} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n M_a(x)^{(m,j)} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ i, j \neq m}} M_a(x)^{(i,j)}.$$

Let us calculate the third term. Using Lemma 3.4 and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(K(\gamma | \underline{1}, \alpha))(I_a(\lambda | \gamma)) &= I_a(\lambda | -\gamma), \\ \text{Ad}(K_2(\gamma | \underline{1}, \alpha))(M_a(x)^{(1,2)}) &= M_a(x)^{(1,2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Ad}(Q''_m(y))(L_a(x | y)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n I_a(x_a | y_j)^{(j)} + I_a(x_a | -y_m)^{(m)} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n M_a(x)^{(m,j)} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ i, j \neq m}} M_a(x)^{(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

What remains is to calculate the image of the second and the third term above by the operator  $\text{Ad}(K_m(y_m - c/2 | x, \beta))$ . By direct calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Ad}(K(\gamma - c/2 | x, \beta))(I_a(x_a | -\gamma)) \\ &= I_a(x_a | \gamma) + \frac{c\beta}{(\gamma - c/2)^2 - \beta^2} \left\{ \beta(e_{aa} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}) + (\gamma - c/2)(x_a^{-1} e_{\bar{a}a} - x_a e_{a\bar{a}}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Ad}(K_1(\gamma | x, \beta))(M_a(x)^{(1,2)}) = M_a(x)^{(1,2)}.$$

Using these formulas we see that the third term of (3.5) is equal to

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &(-1) \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^n I_a(x_a | y_j)^{(j)} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^n M_a(x)^{(m,j)} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ i, j \neq m}} M_a(x)^{(i,j)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{c\beta}{(y_m - c/2)^2 - \beta^2} \left\{ \beta(e_{aa}^{(m)} - e_{\bar{a}\bar{a}}^{(m)}) + (y_m - c/2)(x_a^{-1} e_{\bar{a}a}^{(m)} - x_a e_{a\bar{a}}^{(m)}) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The sum of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) is zero and this completes the proof.  $\square$



## 4. THE BISPECTRAL QKZ EQUATION AND ITS DEGENERATION

4.1. **The double affine Hecke algebra of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$ .** Here we recall the definition and some properties of the double affine Hecke algebra of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$  [14]. We denote by  $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}, t^{1/2}, t_0^{1/2}, t_n^{1/2}, u_0^{1/2}, u_n^{1/2})$  the coefficient field.

**Definition 4.1.** The *double affine Hecke algebra*  $\mathbb{H}$  of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$  is the unital associative  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra generated by  $X_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $T_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) satisfying the following relations:

(i) quadratic Hecke relations

$$(T_i - t_i^{1/2})(T_i + t_i^{-1/2}) = 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n),$$

where  $t_i^{1/2} := t^{1/2}$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ .

(ii) braid relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_i T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} T_i \quad (i = 0, n-1), \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (|i-j| \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) relations between  $X$  and  $T$

$$\begin{aligned} X_i X_j &= X_j X_i \quad (\forall i, j), \quad T_i X_j = X_j T_i \quad (|i-j| \geq 2 \text{ or } (i, j) = (n, n-1)), \\ T_i X_i T_i &= X_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad X_n^{-1} T_n^{-1} = T_n X_n + (u_n^{1/2} - u_n^{-1/2}), \\ q^{-1/2} T_0^{-1} X_1 &= q^{1/2} X_1^{-1} T_0 + (u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Noumi found the polynomial representation of  $\mathbb{H}$  given as follows [13]. Let  $W = \langle s_0, \dots, s_n \rangle$  be the affine Weyl group of type  $C_n$ . The group  $W$  acts on the Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}[X^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{F}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$  by

$$(4.1) \quad (s_0 f)(X) = f(qX_1^{-1}, X_2, \dots, X_n),$$

$$(4.2) \quad (s_i f)(X) = f(\dots, X_{i+1}, X_i, \dots) \quad (1 \leq i < n),$$

$$(4.3) \quad (s_n f)(X) = f(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n^{-1}).$$

Define the  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear operators  $\widehat{T}_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) on  $\mathbb{F}[X^{\pm 1}]$ :

$$\widehat{T}_0 := t_0^{1/2} + t_0^{-1/2} \frac{(1 - q^{1/2} t_0^{1/2} u_0^{1/2} X_1^{-1})(1 + q^{1/2} t_0^{1/2} u_0^{-1/2} X_1^{-1})}{1 - qX_1^{-2}} (s_0 - 1),$$

$$\widehat{T}_i := t^{1/2} + t^{-1/2} \frac{1 - tX_i/X_{i+1}}{1 - X_i/X_{i+1}} (s_i - 1) \quad (1 \leq i < n),$$

$$\widehat{T}_n := t_n^{1/2} + t_n^{-1/2} \frac{(1 - t_n^{1/2} u_n^{1/2} X_n)(1 + t_n^{1/2} u_n^{-1/2} X_n)}{1 - X_n^2} (s_n - 1).$$

Then the map  $T_i \mapsto \widehat{T}_i$  and  $X_i \mapsto X_i$  (left multiplication) gives a representation of  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $\mathbb{F}[X^{\pm 1}]$ . It is faithful and hence  $\mathbb{H}$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{F}$ -subalgebra of the smashed product algebra  $\mathbb{F}(X) \# W$  generated by the difference operators  $\widehat{T}_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) and the multiplication operators  $f(\underline{X}) \in \mathbb{F}[X^{\pm 1}]$  (see, e.g., Section 2.1 in [11])

for the definition of the smashed product algebra). Hereafter we identify  $\mathbb{H}$  with the subalgebra of  $\mathbb{F}(X)\#W$ .

The subalgebra  $H_0$  generated by  $T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra of type  $C_n$ . Denote by  $W_0 := \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$  the finite Weyl group of type  $C_n$ . Let  $w = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_r}$  be a reduced expression of  $w \in W_0$ . Then the element  $T_w := T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_r}$  is well-defined for  $w \in W_0$ . The set  $\{T_w\}_{w \in W_0}$  gives a basis of  $H_0$ .

Set

$$Y_i := T_i \cdots T_{n-1} (T_n \cdots T_0) T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i Y_j &= Y_j Y_i \quad (\forall i, j), & T_i Y_j &= Y_j T_i \quad (|i - j| \geq 2 \text{ or } (i, j) = (n, n-1)), \\ T_i Y_{i+1} T_i &= Y_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), & T_n^{-1} Y_n &= Y_n^{-1} T_n + (t_0^{1/2} - t_0^{-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q^{-1/2} Y_1^{-1} U_n^{-1} = q^{1/2} U_n Y_1 + (u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2}),$$

where  $U_n := X_1^{-1} T_0 Y_1^{-1}$ . The subalgebra  $H$  generated by  $T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $Y_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is called the *affine Hecke algebra* of type  $C_n$ .

Let  $*$  :  $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra involution defined by  $(t_0^{1/2})^* = u_n^{1/2}$  and the other parameters  $q^{1/2}, t^{1/2}, t_n^{1/2}, u_0^{1/2}$  are fixed. It uniquely extends to the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra anti-involution on  $\mathbb{H}$  such that

$$T_0^* = U_n, \quad T_i^* = T_i, \quad X_i^* = Y_i^{-1}, \quad Y_i^* = X_i^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

The anti-involution  $*$  is called duality anti-involution. For a Laurent polynomial  $f$  of  $n$ -variables with the coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define  $f^\diamond$  by the equality  $f^\diamond(Y) = (f(X))^*$ .

Define the elements  $\tilde{S}_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) in  $\mathbb{F}(X)\#W$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_0 &:= t_0^{-1/2} (1 - q^{1/2} t_0^{1/2} u_0^{1/2} X_1^{-1}) (1 + q^{1/2} t_0^{1/2} u_0^{-1/2} X_1^{-1}) s_0, \\ \tilde{S}_i &:= t^{-1/2} (1 - t X_i / X_{i+1}) s_i \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ \tilde{S}_n &:= t_n^{-1/2} (1 - t_n^{1/2} u_n^{1/2} X_n) (1 + t_n^{1/2} u_n^{-1/2} X_n) s_n. \end{aligned}$$

In fact they belong to the subalgebra  $\mathbb{H}$  since we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad \tilde{S}_0 &= (1 - q X_1^{-2}) T_0 - (t_0^{1/2} - t_0^{-1/2}) - (u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2}) q^{1/2} X_1^{-1}, \\ \tilde{S}_i &= (1 - X_i / X_{i+1}) T_i - (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ \tilde{S}_n &= (1 - X_n^2) T_n - (t_n^{1/2} - t_n^{-1/2}) - (u_n^{1/2} - u_n^{-1/2}) X_n. \end{aligned}$$

The elements  $\tilde{S}_i$  and their dual  $\tilde{S}_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) will play a fundamental role in the construction of the bispectral qKZ equation.

**4.2. The bispectral qKZ equation.** Here we construct the bispectral qKZ equation of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$ . See [11] for the details in the case of type  $GL_n$ .

Hereafter we set the parameters  $q^{1/2}, t^{1/2}, \dots$  to generic complex values and consider  $\mathbb{H}$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Then we have the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) decomposition of  $H_0$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  as  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces:

$$H \simeq H_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[Y^{\pm 1}], \quad \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}] \otimes H_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[Y^{\pm 1}].$$

Set  $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[Y^{\pm 1}]$ . The DAHA  $\mathbb{H}$  has  $\mathbb{L}$ -module structure defined by

$$(4.5) \quad (f \otimes g).h = f(x) h g(y) \quad (f \otimes g \in \mathbb{L}, h \in \mathbb{H}).$$

We consider  $\mathbb{L}$  as the ring of regular functions on  $T \times T$ , where  $T$  is the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ . From the PBW decomposition, any element of  $\mathbb{H}$  can be regarded as an  $H_0$ -valued regular function on  $T \times T$ . Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the field of meromorphic functions on  $T \times T$ . Then  $H_0^{\mathbb{K}} := \mathbb{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{H}$  is a left  $\mathbb{K}$ -module of  $H_0$ -valued meromorphic functions on  $T \times T$ . Any element  $F \in H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$  is uniquely written in the form  $F = \sum_{w \in W_0} f_w.T_w$  ( $f_w \in \mathbb{K}$ ).

Denote the translations in  $W$  by

$$\epsilon_i := s_i \cdots s_{n-1} (s_n \cdots s_0) s_1 \cdots s_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Then  $W$  is a semi-direct product  $W \simeq W_0 \rtimes \Gamma$  of the finite Weyl group  $W_0 := \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \rangle$  and the lattice  $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$  generated by  $\epsilon_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Define the involution  $\diamond : W \rightarrow W$  by  $w_0^\diamond = w_0$  for  $w_0 \in W_0$  and  $\epsilon_i^\diamond = \epsilon_i^{-1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then  $W \times W$  acts on  $\mathbb{L}$  by  $(w, w')(f(X) \otimes g(Y)) = (wf)(X) \otimes (w'g)(Y)$ . The action naturally extends to that on  $\mathbb{K}$ . Now we define the action of  $W \times W$  on  $H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$  by  $(w, w')(F) := \sum_{w \in W_0} (w, w')(f_w).T_w$  for  $F = \sum_{w \in W_0} f_w.T_w \in H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$ .

Let  $w = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_l}$  be a reduced expression of  $w \in W$ . Then the element  $\tilde{S}_w := \tilde{S}_{j_1} \cdots \tilde{S}_{j_l} \in \mathbb{H}$  is well-defined. We denote by  $d_w(X)$  the Laurent polynomial uniquely determined by the equality  $\tilde{S}_w = d_w(X)w$  in  $\mathbb{F}(X) \# W$ .

For  $(w, w') \in W \times W$ , consider the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear endomorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}_{(w, w')}$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(w, w')}(h) := \tilde{S}_w h \tilde{S}_{w'}^*.$$

Then we have

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{(w, w')}(f.h) = (w, w')(f). \tilde{\sigma}_{(w, w')}(h) \quad (f \in \mathbb{L}, h \in \mathbb{H}).$$

The map  $\tilde{\sigma}_{(w, w')}$  extends to the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear endomorphism on  $H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$  satisfying (4.6) for all  $f \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ .

We define  $\tau(w, w') \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_0^{\mathbb{K}})$  ( $w, w' \in W$ ) by

$$\tau(w, w')(F) := d_w(X)^{-1} d_{w'}^\diamond(Y)^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{(w, w')}(F) \quad (F \in H_0^{\mathbb{K}}).$$

Using the equality

$$d_{w_1}(X)^{-1} (w_1 d_{w_2})(X)^{-1} \tilde{S}_{w_1} \tilde{S}_{w_2} = w_1 w_2 = d_{w_1 w_2}(X)^{-1} \tilde{S}_{w_1 w_2},$$

we see that  $\tau$  is a group homomorphism  $\tau : W \times W \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_0^{\mathbb{K}})$ . From the definition of  $\tau$ , the operator

$$C(w, w') := \tau(w, w') \cdot (w, w')^{-1}$$

acting on  $H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear.

Now consider the equation

$$\tau(\mu, \nu)(F) = F \quad (\forall \mu, \nu \in \Gamma)$$

for  $F \in H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$ . It is rewritten as

$$(4.7) \quad C(\mu, \nu)F(\mu X \mid \nu^{-1}Y) = F(X \mid Y) \quad (\forall \mu, \nu \in \Gamma),$$

where  $\mu Z := (q^{\mu_1} Z_1, \dots, q^{\mu_n} Z_n)$  for  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$  and  $\mu = \epsilon_1^{\mu_1} \cdots \epsilon_n^{\mu_n} \in \Gamma$ . Thus (4.7) is a system of linear  $q$ -difference equations. Since  $\tau$  is a group homomorphism, the system is holonomic.

**Definition 4.2.** We call the holonomic system of  $q$ -difference equations (4.7) the *bispectral  $q$ KZ equation of type  $(C_n^{\vee}, C_n)$* .

The bispectral  $q$ KZ equation (4.7) essentially consists of the two systems:

$$(4.8) \quad C(\epsilon_a, 1)F(\dots, qX_a, \dots \mid Y) = F(\dots, X_a, \dots \mid Y) \quad (1 \leq a \leq n),$$

$$(4.9) \quad C(1, \epsilon_m)F(X \mid \dots, q^{-1}Y_m, \dots) = F(X \mid \dots, Y_m, \dots) \quad (1 \leq m \leq n).$$

The system (4.8) is called the *quantum affine Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (QAKZ) equation of type  $C_n$* . See Section 1.3.6 of [4] for construction of the QAKZ equation associated with arbitrary root system. The system (4.9) is dual of (4.8). In the rest of this subsection we compute the operator  $C(1, \epsilon_m)$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ) explicitly.

Denote by  $H^*$  the subalgebra of  $\mathbb{H}$  generated by  $X_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). The duality anti-involution  $*$  gives the isomorphism  $H \simeq H^*$ . We define the anti-algebra homomorphism  $\eta_R : H^* \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(H_0^{\mathbb{K}})$  by

$$\eta_R(A) \left( \sum_{w \in W_0} f_w \cdot T_w \right) := \sum_{w \in W_0} f_w \cdot (T_w A),$$

where  $A \in H^*$  and  $f_w \in \mathbb{K}$  ( $w \in W_0$ ). Applying the dual anti-involution to (4.4), we obtain explicit formulas for  $\tilde{S}_i^*$  in terms of  $T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $U_n$  and  $Y_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then we see that  $C(1, s_i)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) are given by

$$C(1, s_i) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_0(Y_1) & (i = 0), \\ \mathcal{R}_i(Y_{i+1}/Y_i) & (1 \leq i < n), \\ \mathcal{K}_n(Y_n) & (i = n), \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_0(Y), \mathcal{R}_i(Y)$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ) and  $\mathcal{K}_n(Y)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_0(Y) &:= \frac{u_n^{1/2}}{(1 - q^{1/2}u_0^{1/2}u_n^{1/2}Y)(1 + q^{1/2}u_0^{-1/2}u_n^{1/2}Y)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (1 - qY^2) \eta_R(U_n) - (u_n^{1/2} - u_n^{-1/2}) - (u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2})q^{1/2}Y \right\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_i(Y) &:= \frac{t^{1/2}}{1 - tY} \left\{ (1 - Y) \eta_R(T_i) - (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \right\} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ \mathcal{K}_n(Y) &:= \frac{t_n^{1/2}}{(1 - t_0^{1/2}t_n^{1/2}Y^{-1})(1 + t_0^{-1/2}t_n^{1/2}Y^{-1})} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (1 - Y^{-2}) \eta_R(T_n) - (t_n^{1/2} - t_n^{-1/2}) - (t_0^{1/2} - t_0^{-1/2})Y^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.10) \quad C(1, \epsilon_m) &= \mathcal{R}_m(Y_{m+1}/Y_m) \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(Y_n/Y_m) \mathcal{K}_n(Y_m) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(Y_m^{-1}Y_n^{-1}) \cdots \mathcal{R}_m(Y_m^{-1}Y_{m+1}^{-1}) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{R}_{m-1}(Y_{m-1}^{-1}Y_m^{-1}) \cdots \mathcal{R}_1(Y_1^{-1}Y_m^{-1}) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{K}_0(Y_m^{-1}) \mathcal{R}_1(qY_1/Y_m) \cdots \mathcal{R}_{m-1}(qY_{m-1}/Y_m). \end{aligned}$$

**4.3. The degenerate double affine Hecke algebra.** In this subsection we consider the trigonometric degeneration of the DAHA of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$ . We refer to [4] for the general theory on degeneration of the DAHA.

In the following we make use of  $X_i, T_i, Y_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) as generators of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Note that  $T_0$  is recovered from them by  $T_0 = T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot T_n^{-1} \cdots T_1^{-1} Y_1$ . Let  $\hbar$  be a small parameter. We set

$$\begin{aligned} (4.11) \quad q^{1/2} &= e^{\hbar c/2}, \quad t^{1/2} = e^{\hbar k/2}, \\ t_0^{1/2} &= e^{\hbar k_0/2}, \quad t_n^{1/2} = e^{\hbar k_n/2}, \quad u_0^{1/2} = e^{\hbar k_0^*/2}, \quad u_n^{1/2} = e^{\hbar k_n^*/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.12) \quad X_i = x_i, \quad T_i = s_i + \hbar \tilde{T}_i + o(\hbar), \quad Y_i = e^{\hbar y_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

and take the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . In (4.12) we introduced accessory generators  $\tilde{T}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) to avoid rewriting formulas in the form of Lusztig's relations. For example, substitute (4.11) and (4.12) into the relations

$$(T_i - t^{1/2})(T_i + t^{-1/2}) = 0, \quad T_i Y_{i+1} T_i = Y_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and expand them into power series of  $\hbar$ . Taking the zeroth and the first order terms we obtain

$$s_i^2 = 1, \quad s_i \tilde{T}_i + \tilde{T}_i s_i = k s_i, \quad \tilde{T}_i s_i + s_i y_{i+1} s_i + s_i \tilde{T}_i = y_i.$$

Eliminating  $\tilde{T}_i$ , we find  $y_i s_i = s_i y_{i+1} + k$ . Thus we get closed relations among  $x_i, s_i$  and  $y_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). The parameters  $k_0, k_n, k_0^*$  and  $k_n^*$  appear only in the form of

$k_0 + k_n$  and  $k_0^* + k_n^*$ . Setting  $\alpha := (k_0 + k_n)/2$  and  $\beta := (k_0^* + k_n^*)/2$ , we obtain the trigonometric degeneration of  $\mathbb{H}$ :

**Definition 4.3.** The *degenerate double affine Hecke algebra*  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$  is the unital associative algebra generated by  $x_i, s_i$  and  $y_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) satisfying the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), & s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} s_n &= s_n s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1}, \\ s_i x_i s_i &= x_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i < n), & s_n x_n s_n &= x_n^{-1}, \\ y_i s_i &= s_i y_{i+1} + k \quad (1 \leq i < n), & y_n s_n &= -s_n y_n + 2\alpha, \\ [s_i, x_j] &= 0 = [s_i, y_j] \quad (|i-j| > 1 \text{ or } (i, j) = (n, n-1)), \\ [y_i, x_j] &= \begin{cases} k(\tilde{s}_{ji} - s_{ji})x_j & (i > j) \\ cx_i + 2(\alpha x_i^{-1} + \beta)r_i \\ \quad + k(\sum_{1 \leq l < i} s_{il} x_l + \sum_{i < l \leq n} s_{il} x_l + \sum_{l(\neq i)} \tilde{s}_{il} x_l) & (i = j) \\ k(\tilde{s}_{ij} x_j - s_{ij} x_i) & (i < j), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ij} &= s_{ji} := (s_i \cdots s_{j-1})(s_{j-2} \cdots s_i) \quad (i < j), \\ r_i &:= (s_i \cdots s_n)(s_{n-1} \cdots s_i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad \tilde{s}_{ij} := r_i r_j s_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

The subalgebra generated by  $s_i$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ) is isomorphic to the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]$ . The subalgebra  $\overline{H}$  generated by  $s_i$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ) and  $y_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is called the *degenerate affine Hecke algebra* of type  $C_n$ . The subalgebra generated by  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $s_i$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ) is isomorphic to the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  of the affine Weyl group through the map  $x_1^{-1} r_1 \mapsto s_0$  and  $s_i \mapsto s_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Hereafter we identify them. We have the PBW decomposition:

$$\mathbb{C}[W] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_0], \quad \overline{H} \simeq \mathbb{C}[W_0] \otimes \mathbb{C}[y], \quad \overline{\mathbb{H}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[W_0] \otimes \mathbb{C}[y],$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}] := \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[y] := \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ .

We regard  $\overline{\mathbb{L}} := \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[y]$  as the ring of regular functions on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Denote by  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$  the field of meromorphic functions on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . We give  $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$ -module structure to  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  in the same way as (4.5). Then  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} := \overline{\mathbb{K}} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{L}}} \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is the vector space of  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]$ -valued meromorphic functions. Any element  $G \in \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$  is uniquely represented in the form  $G = \sum_{w \in W_0} g_w \cdot w$  where  $g_w \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .

We define two maps

$$(4.13) \quad \overline{\eta}_L : \overline{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}), \quad \overline{\eta}_R : \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})$$

by

$$\overline{\eta}_L(h) \left( \sum_{w \in W_0} g_w \cdot w \right) := \sum_{w \in W_0} g_w \cdot (hw), \quad \overline{\eta}_R(\xi) \left( \sum_{w \in W_0} g_w \cdot w \right) := \sum_{w \in W_0} g_w \cdot (w\xi).$$

Then the map  $\bar{\eta}_L$  (resp.  $\bar{\eta}_R$ ) is an algebra (resp. anti-algebra) homomorphism. Hence they determine  $(\bar{H}, \mathbb{C}[W])$ -bimodule structure on  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\mathbb{K}}$ .

**4.4. Degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation (Y-side).** In the following two subsections, we consider the trigonometric degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation (4.8) and (4.9).

First we consider (4.9). Recall that the operator  $C(1, \epsilon_m)$  is explicitly given by (4.10). Denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_0(y), \bar{\mathcal{R}}_i(y), \bar{\mathcal{K}}_n(y)$  the zeroth order term of the power series expansion of  $\mathcal{K}_0(e^{hy}), \mathcal{R}_i(e^{hy}), \mathcal{K}_n(e^{hy})$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_0(y) &= \frac{1}{y + \beta + c/2} ((y + c/2)\bar{\eta}_R(x_1^{-1}r_1) + \beta), \\ \bar{\mathcal{R}}_i(y) &= \frac{1}{y + k} (y\bar{\eta}_R(s_i) + k) \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ \bar{\mathcal{K}}_n(y) &= \frac{1}{y - \alpha} (y\bar{\eta}_R(s_n) - \alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $F = F(X | Y) \in H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$  is expanded as

$$(4.14) \quad F = \hbar^M (\bar{G} + o(1)) \quad (\hbar \rightarrow 0)$$

for some  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\bar{G} \in \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\mathbb{K}} \setminus \{0\}$ . Taking the lowest degree term with respect to  $\hbar$ , we obtain the trigonometric degeneration of (4.9):

$$(4.15) \quad \bar{C}(1, \epsilon_m)\Delta_m \bar{G} = \bar{G} \quad (1 \leq m \leq n),$$

where

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned}\bar{C}(1, \epsilon_m) &:= \bar{\mathcal{R}}_m(y_{m+1} - y_m) \cdots \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{n-1}(y_n - y_m) \bar{\mathcal{K}}_n(y_m) \\ &\quad \times \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{n-1}(-y_m - y_n) \cdots \bar{\mathcal{R}}_m(-y_m - y_{m+1}) \\ &\quad \times \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{m-1}(-y_{m-1} - y_m) \cdots \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1(-y_1 - y_m) \\ &\quad \times \bar{\mathcal{K}}_0(-y_m) \bar{\mathcal{R}}_1(y_1 - y_m + c) \cdots \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{m-1}(y_{m-1} - y_m + c).\end{aligned}$$

**4.5. Degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation (X-side).** Next we consider the trigonometric degeneration of (4.8). One can calculate it by rewriting the operator  $C(\epsilon_a, 1)$  into the form of (4.10) and taking the limit as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . Here we calculate the limit more directly.

The QAKZ equation (4.8) is equivalent to  $\tau(\epsilon_a, 1)(F) = F$  ( $1 \leq a \leq n$ ), which is explicitly given by

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{w \in W_0} ((\epsilon_a, 1)(f_w) \cdot d_{\epsilon_a}(X)^{-1}) \cdot \tilde{S}_{\epsilon_a} T_w = \sum_{w \in W_0} f_w \cdot T_w,$$

where  $F = \sum_{w \in W_0} f_w \cdot T_w$  ( $f_w \in \mathbb{K}$ ). By direct calculation we have

$$d_{\epsilon_a}(X) = d_{\epsilon_a}^{(0)}(x) (1 - \hbar d_{\epsilon_a}^\dagger(x) + o(\hbar)),$$

where

$$d_{\epsilon_a}^{(0)}(x) := (1 - x_a^2)^2 \prod_{p(\neq a)} (1 - x_a/x_p)(1 - x_a x_p)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\epsilon_a}^\dagger(x) &:= \alpha \frac{1 + x_a^2}{1 - x_a^2} + 2\beta \frac{x_a}{1 - x_a^2} \\ &+ c \left( \frac{x_a^2}{1 - x_a^2} - \sum_{p=1}^{a-1} \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} \right) + k \sum_{p(\neq a)} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x_a x_p} - \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To calculate the limit of  $\tilde{S}_{\epsilon_a}$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , we use the accessory generators  $\tilde{T}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_i \tilde{T}_i + \tilde{T}_i s_i &= k s_i \quad (1 \leq i < n), & s_n \tilde{T}_n + \tilde{T}_n s_n &= k_n s_n, \\ \tilde{T}_i x_i &= x_{i+1}(\tilde{T}_i - k), & x_i \tilde{T}_i &= (\tilde{T}_i - k)x_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ \tilde{T}_n x_n &= x_n^{-1}(\tilde{T}_n - k_n) - k_n^* \end{aligned}$$

derived from (i) and (iii) in Definition 4.3. Then we obtain

$$\tilde{S}_{\epsilon_a} = d_{\epsilon_a}^{(0)}(x) \left( 1 + \hbar \tilde{S}_{\epsilon_a}^\dagger + o(\hbar) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{\epsilon_a}^\dagger &:= \Phi_a - c \left( \frac{x_a^2}{1 - x_a^2} - \sum_{p=1}^{a-1} \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} \right), \\ \Phi_a &:= y_a + 2 \frac{\alpha + \beta x_a}{x_a^2 - 1} r_a \\ &+ k \left( \sum_{p=1}^{a-1} \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} s_{pa} + \sum_{p=a+1}^n \frac{x_p}{x_a - x_p} s_{ap} + \sum_{p(\neq a)} \frac{1}{x_a x_p - 1} \tilde{s}_{ap} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$d_{\epsilon_a}^\dagger(x) + \tilde{S}_{\epsilon_a}^\dagger = \Phi_a + c x_a \frac{\partial \log U(x)}{\partial x_a},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad U(x) &:= \prod_{p=1}^n \left( x_p^{\frac{k(n-1)+\alpha}{c}} (1 + x_p)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{c}} (1 - x_p)^{-\frac{\beta+\alpha}{c}} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq p < l \leq n} \left( (1 - x_p x_l)(x_p - x_l) \right)^{-\frac{k}{c}}. \end{aligned}$$



Suppose that  $F = F(X | Y) \in H_0^{\mathbb{K}}$  is expanded as in (4.14). Then we have

$$(\epsilon_a, 1)F - F = \hbar^{M+1} \left( cx_a \frac{\partial \overline{G}}{\partial x_a} + o(1) \right).$$

Hence the trigonometric degeneration of (4.17) is given by

$$(4.19) \quad \left( cx_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} + L_a + cx_a \frac{\partial \log U(x)}{\partial x_a} \right) \overline{G} = 0,$$

where

$$L_a := \eta_L(y_a) + 2 \frac{\alpha + \beta x_a}{x_a^2 - 1} \eta_L(r_a) + k \left( \sum_{p=1}^{a-1} \frac{x_a}{x_a - x_p} \eta_L(s_{pa}) + \sum_{p=a+1}^n \frac{x_p}{x_a - x_p} \eta_L(s_{ap}) + \sum_{p(\neq a)} \frac{1}{x_a x_p - 1} \eta_L(\tilde{s}_{ap}) \right).$$

**Remark 4.4.** The equation (4.19) is the semi-classical limit of the QAKZ equation (4.8), and hence it could be regarded as the affine KZ equation of type  $(C_n^{\vee}, C_n)$ . See Section 1.3.2 of [4] for details of such correspondence in the  $GL_n$  case.

**4.6. Embedding into the compatible system.** As was seen in subsections 4.4 and 4.5, the trigonometric degeneration of the bispectral qKZ equation is the system of equations (4.15) and (4.19) for  $\overline{G} \in \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\mathbb{K}}$ . Compatibility of the system formally follows from the relation  $\tau(1, \epsilon_m)(\tau(\epsilon_a, 1) - 1) = (\tau(\epsilon_a, 1) - 1)\tau(1, \epsilon_m)$ . In this subsection we prove that the system is contained in our compatible one (3.2).

Define  $G \in \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\mathbb{K}}$  by

$$(4.20) \quad G(x | y) = U(x) \overline{G}(x | y),$$

where  $U(x)$  is given by (4.18). Note that the operator  $\overline{C}(1, \epsilon_m) \Delta_m$  commutes with multiplication by any function in  $x$ . Hence the modified function  $G$  also satisfies (4.15). Thus the system of equations (4.15) and (4.19) is equivalent to

$$(4.21) \quad \overline{C}(1, \epsilon_m) \Delta_m G = G \quad (1 \leq m \leq n),$$

$$(4.22) \quad \left( cx_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} + L_a \right) G = 0 \quad (1 \leq a \leq n)$$

for  $G \in \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\mathbb{K}}$ .

We realize the  $(\overline{H}, \mathbb{C}[W])$ -bimodule  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\mathbb{K}}$  in the scalar extension  $(V^{\otimes n})^{\mathbb{K}} := \mathbb{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V^{\otimes n}$  as follows. First we define the left action of  $W_0$  on  $V$  by

$$s_i(v_a) = v_{\sigma_i(a)}, \quad s_i(v_{\bar{a}}) = v_{\overline{\sigma_i(a)}} \quad (1 \leq i < n),$$

where  $\sigma_i := (i, i+1)$  is the transposition, and

$$s_n(v_a) = \begin{cases} v_{\bar{n}} & (a = n) \\ v_a & (a \neq n), \end{cases} \quad s_n(v_{\bar{a}}) = \begin{cases} v_n & (a = n) \\ v_{\bar{a}} & (a \neq n). \end{cases}$$

View  $V^{\otimes n}$  as a tensor representation of  $W_0$ . We extend it to the  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -linear action on  $(V^{\otimes n})^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ . Let  $(V^{\otimes n})_0 := \mathbb{C}[W_0](v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)$  be the cyclic submodule and  $(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} := \overline{\mathbb{K}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V^{\otimes n})_0$ . Denote the left action on  $(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$  by  $\rho_L : \mathbb{C}[W_0] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ .

Note that the operators  $A_a(y)$ ,  $B_a(x)$  and  $Q_m(x | y)$  are  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -linear, and hence belong to  $\text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *The following relations hold on  $(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(y)\rho_L(s_i) &= \rho_L(s_i)A_{i+1}(y) + k \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ A_n(y)\rho_L(s_n) &= -\rho_L(s_n)A_n(y) + 2\alpha, \\ [A_i(y), \rho_L(s_j)] &= 0 \quad (|i - j| > 1 \text{ or } (i, j) = (n - 1, n)). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.5 the action  $\rho_L$  is extended to that of  $\overline{H}$  which maps  $y_a \mapsto A_a(y)$ . We also denote it by  $\rho_L$ . Now consider the  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -linear map

$$\phi : \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} \rightarrow (V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}, \quad w \mapsto \rho_L(w)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \quad (w \in W_0).$$

**Proposition 4.6.** *The map  $\phi$  gives an isomorphism between the left  $\overline{H}$ -modules  $(\overline{\eta}_L, \mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})$  and  $(\rho_L, (V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})$ . In particular we have  $\phi \overline{\eta}_L(y_a) \phi^{-1} = A_a(y)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\phi$  commutes with the left action of  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]$ . From  $A_a(y)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = y_a v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n$  and Lemma 4.5,  $\phi$  commutes also with the action of  $y_a \in \overline{H}$  ( $1 \leq a \leq n$ ).  $\square$

Recall that  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$  is a right  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ -module with the action  $\overline{\eta}_R$  (see (4.13)). Now we define a right action of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  on  $(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ :

**Lemma 4.7.** *There exists an anti-algebra homomorphism  $\rho_R : \mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$  such that*

$$\rho_R(s_0) = T_1(x), \quad \rho_R(s_i) = P_{i,i+1} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \quad \rho_R(s_n) = T_n(\underline{1}).$$

Lemma 4.7 follows from  $T(x)^2 = 1$  and the braid relation  $P_{i,i+1}P_{i+1,i+2}P_{i,i+1} = P_{i+1,i+2}P_{i,i+1}P_{i+1,i+2}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ ). We denote the right action of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  on  $(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$  by  $\rho_R$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** *The map  $\phi$  commutes with the right action of  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  on  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$  and  $(V^{\otimes n})_0^{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is an isomorphism between  $(\overline{H}, \mathbb{C}[W])$ -bimodules.*

*Proof.* Set  $v^\dagger := v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n$ . For  $w \in W_0$  we have

$$\phi(ws_i) = \rho_L(w)\rho_L(s_i)v^\dagger = \rho_L(w)P_{i,i+1}v^\dagger = P_{i,i+1}\rho_L(w)v^\dagger = P_{i,i+1}\phi(w)$$

for  $1 \leq i < n$ , and

$$\phi(ws_n) = \rho_L(w)\rho_L(s_n)v^\dagger = \rho_L(w)T_n(\underline{1})v^\dagger = T_n(\underline{1})\rho_L(w)v^\dagger = T_n(\underline{1})\phi(w).$$

If  $wx_1^{-1}$  is equal to  $x_j^{-1}w$  (resp.  $x_j w$ ) in  $\mathbb{C}[W]$ , the first component of  $\rho_L(wr_1)v^\dagger \in V^{\otimes n}$  is  $v_j$  (resp.  $v_j$ ). Hence we get

$$\phi(ws_0) = \phi(wx_1^{-1}r_1) = \phi(x_j^{-1}wr_1) = x_j^{-1}\phi(wr_1) = T_1(x)\rho_L(w)v^\dagger = T_1(x)\phi(w).$$

□

Now we send the equations (4.21) and (4.22) on  $\mathbb{C}[W_0]$  by  $\phi$ . First consider (4.21). From (4.16) and Proposition 4.8 we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \overline{C}(1, \epsilon_m) \phi^{-1} \\ &= p_m(y)^{-1} ((y_m - y_{m+1})P_{m,m+1} - k) \cdots ((y_m - y_n)P_{n-1,n} - k) \\ & \times (y_m T_n(\underline{1}) - \alpha) ((y_m + y_n)P_{n-1,n} - k) \cdots ((y_m + y_{m+1})P_{m,m+1} - k) \\ & \times ((y_m + y_{m-1})P_{m-1,m} - k) \cdots ((y_m + y_1)P_{1,2} - k) ((y_m - c/2)T_1(x) - \beta) \\ & \times ((y_m - y_1 - c)P_{1,2} - k) \cdots ((y_m - y_{m-1} - c)P_{m-1,m} - k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(y) &:= (y_m - \alpha)(y_m - \beta - c/2) \\ & \times \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (y_m - y_j - c - k) \prod_{j=m+1}^n (y_m - y_j - k) \prod_{j(\neq m)} (y_m + y_j - k). \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\lambda P - k = (\lambda - k)PR(\lambda)^{-1}, \quad \lambda T(x) - \beta = (\lambda - \beta)K(\lambda | x, \beta)^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$\phi \overline{C}(1, \epsilon_m) \phi^{-1} = Q_m(x | y)^{-1}.$$

Hence, sending (4.21) by  $\phi$ , we get the boundary rational qKZ equation

$$\Delta_m \phi(G) = Q_m(x | y) \phi(G) \quad (1 \leq m \leq n)$$

on  $(V^{\otimes n})_0$ .

Next let us consider (4.22). Note that the following equalities hold on  $(V^{\otimes n})_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{E}_{aa}^{(j)} &= \rho_L(r_a), \quad \mathbf{Y}_{aa} + \mathbf{Z}_{aa} = 0, \\ \mathbf{X}_{ab} + \mathbf{X}_{ba} &= \rho_L(s_{ab}), \quad \mathbf{Y}_{ab} + \mathbf{Z}_{ab} = \rho_L(\tilde{s}_{ab}) \quad (a \neq b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\phi L_a \phi^{-1} = A_a(y) + B_a(x) = L_a(x | y).$$

The Euler operator  $x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$  commutes with  $\phi$ . Consequently, sending (4.22) by  $\phi$ , we obtain the differential equation

$$D_a(x | y) \phi(G) = 0 \quad (1 \leq a \leq n).$$

As a result we find

**Proposition 4.9.** *The trigonometric degeneration (4.15) and (4.19) of the bispectral  $q$ KZ equation of type  $(C_n^\vee, C_n)$  is equivalent to the compatible system (3.2) with  $N = n$  restricted to  $(V^{\otimes n})_0$  through the gauge transform (4.20).*

## 5. INTEGRAL FORMULA FOR SOLUTIONS IN A SPECIAL CASE

We construct an integral formula for solutions to the compatible system (3.2) with

$$\alpha = \beta = k/2$$

and the variable  $x$  restricted to the hyperplane

$$x = (x_1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Hence only one differential operator  $D_1(x|y)$  enters in the system. In the following we set

$$x_1 = e^{2\pi i \lambda}$$

and assume that

$$\operatorname{Im} c > 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} k > 0$$

for simplicity's sake.

Our solutions take values in the  $2n$ -dimensional subspace of  $V^{\otimes n}$  determined as follows. Denote by  $\tilde{V}$  the subspace of  $V$  spanned by  $v_a$  and  $v_{\bar{a}}$  ( $2 \leq a \leq N$ ). We fix a non-zero vector  $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}^{\otimes(n-1)}$  satisfying

$$P_{i,i+1}\tilde{v} = \tilde{v} \quad (1 \leq \forall i \leq n-2), \quad T_{n-1}(\underline{1})\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}.$$

Now define the vectors  $u_j \in V^{\otimes n}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ ) by

$$u_j := P_{1,j}(v_1 \otimes \tilde{v}), \quad u_{2n+1-j} := P_{j,n}(\tilde{v} \otimes v_{\bar{1}}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

where  $P_{1,1} = P_{n,n} = id$ . Our solutions take values in the subspace  $\mathcal{V} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2n} \mathbb{C}u_j$ .

Define the rational functions  $g_j(t) = g_j(t|y)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ ) by

$$g_j(t) := \frac{1}{t - y_j} \prod_{p=1}^{j-1} \frac{t - y_p - k}{t - y_p},$$

$$g_{2n+1-j}(t) := \frac{1}{t + y_j} \prod_{p=j+1}^n \frac{t + y_p - k}{t + y_p} \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{t - y_p - k}{t - y_p},$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Set  $\mathcal{G} := \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \mathbb{C}g_j(t)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{W}$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space spanned by the functions in the form

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{P(e^{2\pi i t/c})}{\prod_{p=1}^n (1 - e^{2\pi i(t-y_p)/c})(1 - e^{2\pi i(t+y_p)/c})},$$

where  $P$  is a polynomial whose coefficients are entire and periodic functions in  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  with period  $c$ .

Now we define a pairing  $I$  between  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  by

$$(5.2) \quad I(g, W) := \int_{C(y)} \varphi(t|y) g(t) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}, W \in \mathcal{W}),$$

where the kernel function  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$\varphi(t|y) := e^{-\frac{2\pi i \lambda}{c} t} \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{t-y_p-k}{-c}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t+y_p-k}{-c}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{t-y_p}{-c}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{t+y_p}{-c}\right)}.$$

The contour  $C(y)$  is a deformation of the real line  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  such that the poles at  $\pm y_p + k + c\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq n$ ) are above  $C(y)$  and the poles at  $\pm y_p + c\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq n$ ) are below  $C(y)$ . Suppose that  $W(e^{2\pi it/c})$  is given by (5.1). From the Stirling formula we see that the integral (5.2) converges if

$$(5.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda < \deg P < \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 2n.$$

For  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  satisfying the degree condition (5.3) we set

$$(5.4) \quad f(\lambda|y) := \sum_{j=1}^{2n} I(g_j, W) u_j.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *The function  $f$  satisfies the boundary rational qKZ equation (2.4) with  $\alpha = \beta = k/2$  and  $x = (e^{2\pi i \lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* In the proof below we need to signify the dependence of  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  on  $y$ . For that purpose we set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(t|y') &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} g_j(t|y') u_j, \\ \tilde{f}(\lambda|y'|y) &= \int_{C(y')} \varphi(t|y') \tilde{g}(t|y') W(e^{2\pi it/c}|y) dt, \end{aligned}$$

for  $y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$  such that each coordinate  $y'_j$  belongs to the set  $\{\pm y_a + cl \mid 1 \leq a \leq n, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

By direct calculation we get

$$P_{l,l+1} R_{l,l+1}(y_l - y_{l+1}) \tilde{g}(t | \dots, y_l, y_{l+1}, \dots) = \tilde{g}(t | \dots, y_{l+1}, y_l, \dots)$$

for  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ , and

$$K_n(y_n | \underline{1}, k/2) \tilde{g}(t | y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n) = \tilde{g}(t | y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, -y_n).$$

Since  $\varphi(t|y)$  and  $C(y)$  are invariant under the transposition  $y_l \leftrightarrow y_{l+1}$  and the reflection  $y_n \rightarrow -y_n$ , the above equalities where  $\tilde{g}(t | \dots)$  is replaced by  $\tilde{f}(\lambda | \dots | y)$  also hold. From this fact and the periodicity of  $W$  in  $y$ , it is enough to prove that

$$(5.5) \quad K_1(y_1 - c/2 | x, k/2) \tilde{f}(\lambda | -y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | y) = \tilde{f}(\lambda | y_1 - c, y_2, \dots, y_n | y)$$

with  $x = (e^{2\pi i\lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$ . We abbreviate  $y^{(1)} = (-y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  and  $y^{(2)} = (y_1 - c, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ . Taking the coefficients of  $u_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ ) in (5.5) we have the equalities to prove:

$$(5.6) \quad \int_{C(y^{(1)})} \varphi(t|y^{(1)}) g_j(t|y^{(1)}) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt \\ = \int_{C(y^{(2)})} \varphi(t|y^{(2)}) g_j(t|y^{(2)}) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt \quad (j \neq 1, 2n),$$

$$(5.7) \quad \int_{C(y^{(1)})} \varphi(t|y^{(1)}) \frac{e^{2\pi i\lambda}(y_1 - c/2)g_{2n}(t|y^{(1)}) + (k/2)g_1(t|y^{(1)})}{y_1 - c/2 + k/2} W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt \\ = \int_{C(y^{(2)})} \varphi(t|y^{(2)}) g_1(t|y^{(2)}) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt,$$

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{C(y^{(1)})} \varphi(t|y^{(1)}) \frac{e^{-2\pi i\lambda}(y_1 - c/2)g_1(t|y^{(1)}) + (k/2)g_{2n}(t|y^{(1)})}{y_1 - c/2 + k/2} W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt \\ = \int_{C(y^{(2)})} \varphi(t|y^{(2)}) g_{2n}(t|y^{(2)}) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt.$$

First we prove (5.6). If  $j \neq 1, 2n$ , we have

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{g_j(t|y^{(1)})}{g_j(t|y^{(2)})} = \frac{t + y_1 - k}{t + y_1} \frac{t - y_1 + c}{t - y_1 - k + c}.$$

Note that  $\varphi(t|y^{(1)}) = \varphi(t|y)$  and

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{\varphi(t|y^{(2)})}{\varphi(t|y)} = \frac{t + y_1 - k}{t + y_1} \frac{t - y_1 + c}{t - y_1 - k + c}.$$

It is equal to the right hand side of (5.9), and hence

$$\varphi(t|y^{(1)}) g_j(t|y^{(1)}) = \varphi(t|y^{(2)}) g_j(t|y^{(2)}) \quad (j \neq 1, 2n).$$

Thus the integrands in the both hand sides of (5.6) are the same. Since the integrand has no poles at  $-y_1 + k$ ,  $-y_1 + c$ ,  $y_1$  and  $y_1 + k - c$ , we can deform  $C(y^{(1)})$  to  $C(y^{(2)})$  without crossing poles. Thus we obtain (5.6).

In the rest we only prove (5.7). The proof of (5.8) is similar. We separate the integrand in the left hand side and first consider

$$(5.11) \quad \frac{y_1 - c/2}{y_1 - c/2 + k/2} \int_{C(y^{(1)})} \varphi(t|y^{(1)}) e^{2\pi i\lambda} g_{2n}(t|y^{(1)}) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt.$$

We have

$$\varphi(t|y^{(1)}) e^{2\pi i\lambda} g_{2n}(t|y^{(1)}) = \varphi(t - c|y) \frac{1}{t - y_1 - k}.$$

Changing  $t \rightarrow t + c$  we see that the integral (5.11) is equal to

$$\frac{y_1 - c/2}{y_1 - c/2 + k/2} \int_{C(y^{(1)})-c} \varphi(t|y) \frac{1}{t + c - y_1 - k} W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt.$$

Since the integrand has no poles at  $\pm y_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), the contour  $C(y^{(1)}) - c$  can be deformed to the contour  $C'$ , which is a deformation of the real line such that the points  $-y_1 + k + c\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $y_1 + k + c\mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  and  $\pm y_j + k + c\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  ( $2 \leq j \leq n$ ) are above  $C'$ , and the points  $-y_1 + c\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ,  $y_1 + c\mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}$  and  $\pm y_j + c\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  ( $2 \leq j \leq n$ ) are below  $C'$ . The integrand of the rest part of the left hand side of (5.7) has no pole at  $y_1$ , hence the contour  $C(y^{(1)})$  can be deformed to  $C'$ . As a result the left hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C'} \varphi(t|y) \left( \frac{y_1 - c/2}{y_1 - c/2 + k/2} \frac{1}{t + c - y_1 - k} + \frac{k/2}{y_1 - c/2 + k/2} \frac{1}{t + y_1} \right) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt \\ &= \int_{C'} \varphi(t|y) \frac{1}{t + c - y_1 - k} \frac{t + y_1 - k}{t + y_1} W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (5.10) we see that the right hand side of (5.7) is equal to

$$\int_{C(y^{(2)})} \varphi(t|y) \frac{1}{t + c - y_1 - k} \frac{t + y_1 - k}{t + y_1} W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt.$$

Since the integrand is regular at  $t = -y_1 + c$ , the contour  $C(y^{(2)})$  can be deformed to  $C'$  without crossing poles. Thus we get the equality (5.7).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *If  $\alpha = \beta = k/2$  and  $x = (e^{2\pi i\lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$ , we have*

$$D_1(x|y)f(\lambda|y) = -\frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} f(\lambda|y).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{Y}_{11}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{11}$  act as zero on  $\mathcal{V}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(x|y)|_{\mathcal{V}} &= \frac{c}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j (e_{11}^{(j)} - e_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^{(j)}) + \frac{k}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e_{11}^{(j)} + \sum_{p=2}^N (\mathbf{X}_{p1} + \mathbf{Z}_{1p}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^{(j)} + \sum_{p=2}^N (\mathbf{X}_{1p} + \mathbf{Y}_{1p}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

if  $x = (e^{2\pi i\lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\alpha = \beta = k/2$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we have

$$\sum_{p=2}^N (\mathbf{X}_{p1} + \mathbf{Z}_{1p}) v_j = \sum_{l=j+1}^{2n-j} v_l + \sum_{l=2n+2-j}^{2n} v_l, \quad \sum_{p=2}^N (\mathbf{X}_{1p} + \mathbf{Y}_{1p}) v_j = \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} v_l$$

and

$$\sum_{p=2}^N (\mathbf{X}_{p1} + \mathbf{Z}_{1p}) v_{2n+1-j} = \sum_{l=2n+2-j}^{2n} v_l, \quad \sum_{p=2}^N (\mathbf{X}_{1p} + \mathbf{Y}_{1p}) v_{2n+1-j} = \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} v_l + \sum_{l=j+1}^{2n-j} v_l.$$

From the calculation above we obtain

$$D_1(x|y)f(\lambda|y) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} I(h_j, W)u_j,$$

where the rational functions  $h_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ ) are given by

$$h_j(t|y) = -(t - y_j)g_j(t|y) + \frac{k}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} g_l(t|y) + \frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \sum_{l=j+1}^{2n} g_l(t|y),$$

$$h_{2n+1-j}(t|y) = -(t + y_j)g_j(t|y) + \frac{k}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{2n-j} g_l(t|y) + \frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \sum_{l=2n+2-j}^{2n} g_l(t|y)$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Note that

$$g_j(t|y) = \frac{1}{k} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{t - y_l - k}{t - y_l} - \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{t - y_l - k}{t - y_l} \right),$$

$$g_{2n+1-j}(t|y) = \frac{1}{k} \left( \prod_{l=j+1}^n \frac{t + y_l - k}{t + y_l} - \prod_{l=j}^n \frac{t + y_l - k}{t + y_l} \right) \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{t - y_l - k}{t - y_l}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Using these formulas we get

$$h_j(t|y) = -\frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} g_j(t|y) + \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda}} \left( 1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{t - y_l - k}{t - y_l} \frac{t + y_l - k}{t + y_l} \right)$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ . The last term in the right hand side is related to the kernel function  $\varphi$  by

$$e^{2\pi i\lambda} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{t - y_l - k}{t - y_l} \frac{t + y_l - k}{t + y_l} = \frac{\varphi(t - c|y)}{\varphi(t|y)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$(5.12) \quad \int_{C(y)} \varphi(t|y) \left( 1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda} \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{t - y_l - k}{t - y_l} \frac{t + y_l - k}{t + y_l} \right) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt$$

$$= \int_{C(y)} (\varphi(t|y) - \varphi(t - c|y)) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt$$

$$= \left( \int_{C(y)} - \int_{C(y)-c} \right) \varphi(t|y) W(e^{2\pi it/c}) dt.$$



Since the integrand  $\varphi(t|y)W(e^{2\pi it/c})$  is regular at  $t = \pm y_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), the integral (5.12) is equal to zero. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(x|y)f(e^{2\pi i\lambda}|y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} I(h_j, W)u_j \\ &= -\frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} I(g_j, W)u_j = -\frac{ke^{2\pi i\lambda}}{e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1} f(\lambda|y). \end{aligned}$$

□

The linear operator  $L_1(x|y)$  commutes with multiplication by any function in  $x$ . Hence, from Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, we finally get

**Theorem 5.3.** *Set*

$$\tilde{f}(\lambda|y) := (e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1)^{k/c} f(\lambda|y),$$

where  $f$  is defined by (5.4). Then the function  $\tilde{f}$  is a solution to the compatible system (3.2) with  $\alpha = \beta = k/2$  and  $x = (e^{2\pi i\lambda}, 1, \dots, 1)$  for any  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  satisfying (5.3).

*Acknowledgements.* The research of the author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 20740088. The author is deeply grateful to Saburo Kakei, Masahiro Kasatani, Michitomo Nishizawa and Yoshihisa Saito for valuable discussions. He also thanks the referee for critical comments that improved the paper considerably.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cantini, L., qKZ equations and ground state of the O(1) loop model with open boundary conditions, arXiv:0903.5050.
- [2] Cherednik, I., Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and affine root systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992), no. 1, 109–136.
- [3] Cherednik, I., Factorizing particles on a half line, and root systems, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **61** (1984), no. 1, 977–983.
- [4] Cherednik, I., *Double affine Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 319, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [5] Di Francesco, P. and Zinn-Justin, P., Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation: reflecting boundary conditions and combinatorics, *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* **2007**, no. 12, P12009.
- [6] Etingof, P. and Varchenko, A., Dynamical Weyl groups and applications, *Adv. Math.* **167** (2002), no. 1, 74–127.
- [7] Felder, G., Markov, Y., Tarasov, V. and Varchenko, A., Differential equations compatible with KZ equations, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **3** (2000), no. 2, 139–177.
- [8] Frenkel, I. B. and Reshetikhin, N. Yu., Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **146** (1992), no. 1, 1–60.
- [9] de Gier, J., Ponsaing A. and Shigechi K., Exact finite size groundstate of the O( $n = 1$ ) loop model with open boundaries, *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* **2009**, no. 4, P04010.

- [10] Jimbo, M., Kedem, R., Konno, H., Miwa, T. and Weston, R., Difference equations in spin chains with a boundary, *Nuclear Phys. B* **448** (1995), no. 3, 429–456.
- [11] van Meer, M. and Stokman, J., Double affine Hecke algebras and bispectral quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, arXiv:0812.1005.
- [12] Mimachi, K., A solution of the quantum Knizhnik Zamolodchikov equation of type  $C_n$ , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **197** (1998), no. 1, 229–246.
- [13] Noumi, M., Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials and affine Hecke rings, *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho kōkyūroku* No. 919 (1995), 44–55 (Japanese).
- [14] Sahi, S., Nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials and duality, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), no. 1, 267–282.
- [15] Tarasov, V. and Varchenko, A., Difference equations compatible with trigonometric KZ differential equations, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2000**, no. 15, 801–829.
- [16] Tarasov, V. and Varchenko, A., Dynamical differential equations compatible with rational  $qKZ$  equations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **71** (2005), no. 2, 101–108.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCES,  
TSUKUBA UNIVERSITY, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* takeyama@math.tsukuba.ac.jp