

DUAL PARTIALLY HARMONIC TENSORS AND BRAUER–SCHUR–WEYL DUALITY

J. HU*

Department of Mathematics
Beijing Institute of Technology
Beijing, 100081, P.R. China

&

School of Mathematics and
Statistics

University of Sydney
NSW 2006, Australia

junhu303@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract. Let V be a $2m$ -dimensional symplectic vector space over an algebraically closed field K . Let $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ be the two-sided ideal of the Brauer algebra $\mathfrak{B}_n(-2m)$ over K generated by $e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}$, where $0 \leq f \leq [n/2]$. Let $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ be the subspace of partially harmonic tensors of valence f in $V^{\otimes n}$. In this paper, we prove that $\dim \mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ and $\dim \text{End}_{KSp(V)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ are both independent of K , and the natural homomorphism from $\mathfrak{B}_n(-2m)/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ to $\text{End}_{KSp(V)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is always surjective. We show that $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ has a Weyl filtration and is isomorphic to the dual of $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}$ as a $Sp(V)$ - $(\mathfrak{B}_n(-2m)/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)})$ -bimodule. We obtain a $Sp(V)$ - \mathfrak{B}_n -bimodules filtration of $V^{\otimes n}$ such that each successive quotient is isomorphic to some $\nabla(\lambda) \otimes z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n$ with $\lambda \vdash n - 2g$, $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$ and $0 \leq g \leq [n/2]$, where $\nabla(\lambda)$ is the co-Weyl module associated to λ and $z_{g,\lambda}$ is an explicitly constructed maximal vector of weight λ . As a byproduct, we show that each right \mathfrak{B}_n -module $z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n$ is integrally defined and stable under base change.

1. Introduction

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let K be an algebraically closed field and V a $2m$ -dimensional symplectic vector space over K . The symplectic group $Sp(V)$ acts naturally on V from the left hand side, and hence on the n -tensor space $V^{\otimes n}$. Let $\mathfrak{B}_n := \mathfrak{B}_n(-2m)$ be the Brauer algebra over K with generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ and parameter $-2m \cdot 1_K$ (see 2.1 for their definitions). There is a right action of \mathfrak{B}_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ which commutes with the left action of $Sp(V)$. Let φ_K, ψ_K be the

*Supported by an ARC discovery grant, an NSF of China (No. 10771014), the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars by the State Education Ministry and the Basic Research Foundation of BIT.

Received ???. Accepted ???.

following natural K -algebra homomorphisms:

$$\varphi_K : (\mathfrak{B}_n)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{KSp(V)}(V^{\otimes n}), \quad \psi_K : KSp(V) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_n}(V^{\otimes n}).$$

For any positive integer k , a composition of k is a sequence of non-negative integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ with $\sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i = k$. We use $\ell(\lambda)$ to denote the largest integer t such that $\lambda_t \neq 0$. A composition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of k is said to be a partition if $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$; in that case, we write $\lambda \vdash k$. The following results are referred as Brauer–Schur–Weyl duality.

Theorem 1.1. ([B], [DP], [DDH]) 1) *The natural left action of $Sp(V)$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ commutes with the right action of \mathfrak{B}_n ;*

2) *both φ_K and ψ_K are surjective;*

3) *if $m \geq n$ then φ_K is injective, and hence an isomorphism;*

4) *if $K = \mathbb{C}$, then there is a decomposition of $V^{\otimes n}$ as a direct sum of irreducible $\mathbb{C}Sp(V)$ - \mathfrak{B}_n -bimodules:*

$$V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{f=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n-2f \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq m}} \Delta(\lambda) \otimes D^{(f, \lambda)},$$

where $\Delta(\lambda)$ (respectively, $D^{(f, \lambda)}$) denotes the irreducible $Sp(V)$ -module (respectively, the irreducible \mathfrak{B}_n -module) corresponding to λ (respectively, corresponding to (f, λ)).

There is a variant of the above Brauer–Schur–Weyl duality as we shall describe. Let $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}$ be the two-sided ideal of \mathfrak{B}_n generated by e_1 . We set

$$W_{1,n} := \{v \in V^{\otimes n} \mid vx = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}\}.$$

Definition 1.2. We call $W_{1,n}$ the subspace of harmonic tensors or traceless tensors.

Note that our definition of harmonic tensors looks slightly different from that given in [DS] and [GW, §10.2.1]. The two definitions are reconciled in Corollary 2.6.

If $K = \mathbb{C}$, then we shall write $V_{\mathbb{C}}, W_{1,n}^{\mathbb{C}}$ instead of $V, W_{1,n}$ in order to emphasize the base field. We have that $\mathfrak{B}_n / \mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)} \cong K\mathfrak{S}_n$. The right action of \mathfrak{B}_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ gives rise to a right action of $K\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $W_{1,n}$.

Theorem 1.3. ([GW, (10.2.7)], [Wey]) *The natural left action of $Sp(V)$ on $W_{1,n}$ commutes with the right action of $K\mathfrak{S}_n$. If $K = \mathbb{C}$, then there is a decomposition of $W_{1,n}^{\mathbb{C}}$ as a direct sum of irreducible $\mathbb{C}Sp(V)$ - $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -bimodules:*

$$W_{1,n}^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq m}} \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda) \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda},$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)$ (respectively, $S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda}$) denotes the irreducible $\mathbb{C}Sp(V)$ -module (respectively, the irreducible $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module) corresponding to λ .

As before, we have two natural K -algebra homomorphisms:

$$\varphi_K^{(1)} : (K\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{KSp(V)}(W_{1,n}), \quad \psi_K^{(1)} : KSp(V) \rightarrow \text{End}_{K\mathfrak{S}_n}(W_{1,n}).$$

In [DS], De Concini and Strickland proved that $\dim W_{1,n}$ is independent of the field K and $\varphi_K^{(1)}$ is always surjective. Furthermore, they showed that if $m \geq n$, then $\varphi_K^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism. Their proof makes use of the previous results in [De] and [DP] on multilinear invariants of a variety and symplectic standard tableaux which eventually relies on some algebro-geometric arguments. In [Ma], using the theory of rational representations of symplectic group, Maliakas proved that $W_{1,n}^*$ has a good filtration whenever $m \geq n$ and he claimed that it is true for arbitrary m .

The starting point of this paper is, on one hand, to generalize the above duality to the case of partially harmonic tensors of arbitrary valence f , and on the other hand, to provide a self-contained and purely representation-theoretic approach which makes it possible to work also in the quantized case¹. We are mostly interested in the non-semisimple case. To describe our main results, we need some more notations and definitions. For each integer $0 \leq f \leq [n/2]$, let $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ be the two-sided ideal of \mathfrak{B}_n generated by $e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}$. By convention, $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(0)} = \mathfrak{B}_n$ and $\mathfrak{B}_n^{([n/2]+1)} = \{0\}$. Set

$$\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n} := \{v \in V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \mid vx = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}\}.$$

Following [GW, (10.3.1)], we call $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ the space of *partially harmonic tensors of valence f* . Classically, these spaces play an important role in the study of the $\mathbb{C}Sp(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module structure on the n -tensor spaces $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}$ (cf. [GW]). One of our motivation for studying them is to try to use them to construct some natural (integral) quotient of the symplectic Schur algebras. By [GW, (10.3.14)], it is easy to see that if $K = \mathbb{C}$ then $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n} \cong V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} / V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}$ as a \mathbb{C} -linear space. In particular, $W_{1,n}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{HT}_0^{\otimes n} \cong V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} / V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}$ as a \mathbb{C} -linear space.

Note that the space V can be defined over arbitrary field (and even over \mathbb{Z}). So we can consider the spaces $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$, $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} / V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}$ and $V^{\otimes n} / V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ over an arbitrary field K . All the results we obtain in this paper are valid over these more general ground fields. However, we shall always assume that K is algebraically closed whenever notions and results from algebraic groups theory (e.g., good filtration, Weyl filtration) are needed. The transition between an arbitrary field and its algebraic closure usually follows from some standard arguments in

¹At the moment, there are still a few obstacles (e.g., Lemma 3.4) which prevent us from generalizing the main results of this paper to the quantized case. The main difficulty lies in that there are various choices of tangle which can specialize to the same Brauer diagram and it also becomes much harder to describe the action of a tangle on tensor space in a simple combinatorial way (as in the Brauer algebra case).

commutative algebras. Note that $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a $Sp(V)$ - $(\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ -bimodule. We use $\varphi_{f,K}$ to denote the following natural homomorphism:

$$\varphi_{f,K} : \mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \rightarrow \text{End}_{KSp(V)}\left(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}\right).$$

Let δ_{ij} denote the value of the usual Kronecker delta. For each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, we set $i' := 2m + 1 - i$. We fix an ordered basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ of V such that

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0 = \langle v_{i'}, v_{j'} \rangle, \quad \langle v_i, v_{j'} \rangle = \delta_{ij} = -\langle v_{j'}, v_i \rangle, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

We use $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to denote the free \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by v_1, \dots, v_{2m} . For any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R , we set $V_R := V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$. The Brauer algebra \mathfrak{B}_n can also be defined over R and we denote it by \mathfrak{B}_n^R . To simplify notations, the two-sided ideal of \mathfrak{B}_n^R generated by $e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}$ will be still denoted by $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. The main results in this paper are the following theorems and corollaries.

Theorem 1.4. *For each integer $1 \leq f \leq [n/2]$,*

- 1) $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a pure \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}$, equivalently, $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module;
- 2) both $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ and $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ are stable under base change, i.e., for any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R , the canonical maps

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow V_R^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}, \quad V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow V_R^{\otimes n}/V_R^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$$

are isomorphisms. In particular, the character formulae of the left $Sp(V)$ -modules $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$, $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ are both independent of the field K .

Theorem 1.5. *Let K be an algebraically closed field. For each integer f with $1 \leq f \leq [n/2]$, both $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ and $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ have a good filtration as $Sp(V)$ -modules.*

Corollary 1.6. *Let K be an algebraically closed field. Let $0 \leq f \leq [n/2]$. Then the dimension of $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}$ is independent of K , and there is a $Sp(V)$ - $(\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)})$ -bimodule isomorphism:*

$$V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)} \cong \left(\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}\right)^*.$$

In particular, the dimension of $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ is independent of K too.

Corollary 1.7. *Let K be an algebraically closed field. For each $0 \leq f \leq [n/2]$, the $Sp(V)$ -module $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}$ always has a good filtration and the $Sp(V)$ -module $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ always has a Weyl filtration.*

Theorem 1.8. *Let K be an algebraically closed field. For each integer f with $1 \leq f \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$,*

1) *the dimension of the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{KSp(V)}\left(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}\right)$ is independent of K ;*

2) $\varphi_{f,K}(\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \text{End}_{KSp(V)}\left(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}\right)$.

The proof of the above results are *completely self-contained* and use purely representation-theoretic knowledge. As a consequence of these theorems and corollaries, we recover and extend the previously mentioned results of De Concini and Strickland [DS] and the result of Maliakas [Ma]. In the way of our proof, we also obtain the following result, which seems of independent interest.

Theorem 1.9. 1) *As a $Sp(V)$ - \mathfrak{B}_n -bimodule, $V^{\otimes n}$ has a filtration such that each successive quotient is isomorphic to some $\nabla(\lambda) \otimes_{z_{g,\lambda}} \mathfrak{B}_n$ with $\lambda \vdash n - 2g$, $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$ and $0 \leq g \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, where $\nabla(\lambda)$ is the co-Weyl module associated to λ and $z_{g,\lambda}$ is a maximal vector of weight λ (see 3.9 for its definition);*

2) *for any partition λ of $n - 2g$ with $0 \leq g \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$ and any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R , the canonical map*

$$z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n^R$$

is always an isomorphism. In particular, the dimension of $z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n$ is independent of K .

In fact, if $K = \mathbb{C}$ then $z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a simple right $\mathfrak{B}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ -module. Therefore, for any field K , the dimension of $z_{g,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n$ is always equal to the number of $(-2m)$ -permissible up-down tableaux of shape λ' and length n (cf. [HY, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2] and [Wen]), where λ' denotes the conjugate of λ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic knowledge about Brauer algebras and their actions on n -tensor spaces. In particular, we show that our Definition 1.2 of harmonic tensors coincides with that given in [DS] and [GW, §10.2.1]. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4, 1.5 and Corollary 1.6. The main idea is to show that $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ can be identified with the image of $V^{\otimes n}$ under a truncation functor \mathcal{O}_{π_f} associated with a saturated set π_f of dominant weights. The proof makes use of the main result obtained in [DDH], some results on Weyl filtration (resp., good filtration) and a key Lemma 3.10. As a consequence, we prove the first part of Theorem 1.9. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Lemma 3.10. The proof relies on Lusztig's theory of canonical bases and based modules. As a result, we get the second part of Theorem 1.9. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.8, which gives one side of the Brauer-Schur-Weyl duality between $\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ and $KSp(V)$ on $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. We conjecture that the other side of this duality is also true.

2. Preliminaries

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The Brauer algebra \mathfrak{B}_n with parameter $-2m$ and size n was first introduced by Richard Brauer (see [B]) when he studied how the n -tensor

space $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}$ decomposes into irreducible modules over $Sp(V_{\mathbb{C}})$. In his language, \mathfrak{B}_n was defined as the \mathbb{C} -linear space with a basis being the set Bd_n of all the Brauer n -diagrams. By definition, a Brauer n -diagram is a diagram with specific $2n$ vertices arranged in two rows of n each, the top and bottom rows, and exactly n edges such that every vertex is joined to another vertex (distinct from itself) by exactly one edge. We label the vertices in each row of a Brauer n -diagram by the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$ from left to right. The multiplication of two Brauer n -diagrams is defined as follows. We compose two diagrams D_1, D_2 by identifying the bottom row of D_1 with the top row of D_2 (such that the vertex i in the bottom row of D_1 is identified with the vertex i in the top row of D_2 for each $1 \leq i \leq n$). The result is a graph, with a certain number, $n(D_1, D_2)$, of interior loops. After removing the interior loops and the identified vertices, retaining the edges and remaining vertices, we obtain a new Brauer n -diagram $D_1 \circ D_2$, the composite diagram. Then we define $D_1 \cdot D_2 = (-2m)^{n(D_1, D_2)} D_1 \circ D_2$. In general, the multiplication of two elements in \mathfrak{B}_n is given by the linear extension of a product defined on diagrams. For example, let D be the following Brauer 5-diagram.




Figure 1.1

Let D' be the following Brauer 5-diagram.




Figure 1.2

Then DD' is equal to




Figure 1.3

Alternatively, one can define the Brauer algebra using generators and relations.

Definition 2.1. Let K be a field. The Brauer algebra \mathfrak{B}_n over K is a unital associative K -algebra with canonical generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ and re-

lations (see [E]):

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= 1, \quad e_i^2 = -2me_i, \quad e_i s_i = e_i = s_i e_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ s_i s_j &= s_j s_i, \quad s_i e_j = e_j s_i, \quad e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j-1 \leq n-2, \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}, \quad e_i e_{i+1} e_i = e_i, \quad e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+1}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ s_i e_{i+1} e_i &= s_{i+1} e_i, \quad e_{i+1} e_i s_{i+1} = e_{i+1} s_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing K by any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R , we can define the Brauer algebra \mathfrak{B}_n^R over R in a similar way. The algebra \mathfrak{B}_n^R is a free R -module with rank $(2n-1) \cdot (2n-3) \cdots 3 \cdot 1$, and the canonical map $\mathfrak{B}_n^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n^R$ is an isomorphism for any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R .

The two definitions of the Brauer algebra \mathfrak{B}_n can be identified as follows. Let i be an integer with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. The generator s_i corresponds to the Brauer n -diagram with edges connecting vertices i (respectively, $i+1$) on the top row with $i+1$ (respectively, i) on the bottom row, and all other edges are vertical, connecting vertices k on the top and bottom rows for all $k \neq i, i+1$. The generator e_i corresponds to the Brauer n -diagram with horizontal edges connecting vertices $i, i+1$ on the top and bottom rows, and all other edges are vertical, connecting vertices k on the top and bottom rows for all $k \neq i, i+1$. Note that the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ generated by s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} is isomorphic to the group algebra of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n over \mathbb{Z} .

The Brauer algebra was studied in a number of literatures, see [DDH], [DH], [E], [GL], [HW1], [HW2], [Hu1]. We are mainly interested in their actions on n -tensor space $V^{\otimes n}$ and related Schur–Weyl dualities involving symplectic groups. From now on let K be an algebraically closed field and V a $2m$ -dimensional K -vector space equipped with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $Sp(V)$ be the corresponding symplectic group. Recall that for each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, $i' := 2m+1-i$. Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}$ be a K -basis of V such that

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0 = \langle v_{i'}, v_{j'} \rangle, \quad \langle v_i, v_{j'} \rangle = \delta_{ij} = -\langle v_{j'}, v_i \rangle, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

For each integer $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, we define

$$v_i^* = \begin{cases} v_{i'}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m; \\ -v_{i'}, & \text{if } m+1 \leq i \leq 2m. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}$ and $\{v_j^*\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ are dual bases for V in the sense that $\langle v_i, v_j^* \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ for any i, j .

There is a right action of \mathfrak{B}_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ which is defined on generators by

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}) s_j &:= -(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{j-1}} \otimes v_{i_{j+1}} \otimes v_{i_j} \otimes v_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \cdots \\ &\quad \otimes v_{i_n}), \\ (v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}) e_j &:= -v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{j-1}} \otimes \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2m} v_k \otimes v_k^* \right) \otimes v_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \cdots \\ &\quad \otimes v_{i_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $n \geq 2$. For any pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ we define the (s, t) -contraction operator $C_{s,t} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n-2}$ by

$$(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n)C_{s,t} = \langle w_s, w_t \rangle w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{s-1} \otimes \widehat{w_s} \otimes w_{s+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{t-1} \otimes \widehat{w_t} \otimes w_{t+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n,$$

where $w_1, \dots, w_n \in V$, $\widehat{w_s}, \widehat{w_t}$ mean that we omit the tensor factors w_s and w_t in the tensor product, and we define the (s, t) -expansion operator $D_{s,t} : V^{\otimes n-2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$(w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-2})D_{s,t} = \sum_{k=1}^{2m} w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{s-1} \otimes v_k \otimes w_s \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{t-1} \otimes v_k^* \otimes w_t \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n-2}.$$

Lemma 2.2. ([GW, §10.1.1]) *For each pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, both $C_{s,t}$ and $D_{s,t}$ are $Sp(V)$ -module homomorphisms.*

For each pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, we use $e_{s,t}$ to denote the unique Brauer n -diagram which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) for any integer $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{s, t\}$, the vertex labeled by a in the top row is connected with the vertex labeled by a in the bottom row;
- (2) the vertex labeled by s in either the top row or the bottom row is connected with the vertex labeled by t in the same row.

In particular, $e_s = e_{s,s+1}$ for any integer $1 \leq s < n$.

Lemma 2.3. *For any pair of integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, we have $\text{Ker } e_{s,t} = \text{Ker } C_{s,t}$. In particular,*

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq s < t \leq n} \text{Ker } e_{s,t} = \bigcap_{1 \leq s < t \leq n} \text{Ker } C_{s,t}.$$

Proof. By definition, for any integers $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq 2m$,

$$(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n})e_{s,t} = -(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n})C_{s,t}D_{s,t}.$$

It follows that for any $v \in V^{\otimes n}$, $ve_{s,t} = -vC_{s,t}D_{s,t}$. On the other hand, it is clear that $D_{s,t}$ maps the basis of simple $(n-2)$ -tensors to a set of K -linearly independent elements in $V^{\otimes n}$. Hence it is an injective map from $V^{\otimes n-2}$ to $V^{\otimes n}$. It follows that $\text{Ker } e_{s,t} = \text{Ker } C_{s,t}$. In particular,

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq s < t \leq n} \text{Ker } e_{s,t} = \bigcap_{1 \leq s < t \leq n} \text{Ker } C_{s,t}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $x \in \text{Bd}_n$. The following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) x contains exactly two horizontal edges (one edge in each of the top and the bottom rows in the diagram);
- (2) $x = ye_{s,t}$, for some $y \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and two integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$;
- (3) $x = e_{s',t'}z$ for some $z \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and two integers $1 \leq s' < t' \leq n$.

Proof. By [E], x contains exactly two horizontal edges if and only if

$$x = d_1^{-1} e_1 \sigma d_2$$

for some $d_1, d_2 \in \mathfrak{D}_{(2, n-2)}$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{3, 4, \dots, n\}}$, where $\mathfrak{D}_{(2, n-2)}$ is the set of distinguished right coset representatives of $\mathfrak{S}_{\{1, 2\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{3, 4, \dots, n\}}$ in \mathfrak{S}_n . It follows that

$$x = (d_1^{-1} \sigma d_2) (d_2^{-1} e_1 d_2) = (d_1^{-1} e_1 d_1) (d_1^{-1} \sigma d_2).$$

Since both $d_2^{-1} e_1 d_2$ and $d_1^{-1} e_1 d_1$ are of the form $e_{s,t}$ for two distinct integers $1 \leq s < t \leq n$, the lemma follows at once.

Definition 2.5. For each integer $1 \leq f \leq [n/2]$, we denote by $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ the two-sided ideal of \mathfrak{B}_n generated by $e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2f-1}$.

Note that $\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is spanned by all the Brauer n -diagrams which contain at least $2f$ horizontal edges. Recall the definition of $W_{1,n}$ given in Definition 1.2.

Corollary 2.6. *With the notations as above, we have that*

$$W_{1,n} = \bigcap_{1 \leq s < t \leq n} \text{Ker } C_{s,t}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 2.3 and 2.4.

Note that the above corollary ensures that our definition 1.2 of harmonic tensors coincides with that given in [DS] and [GW, §10.2.1].

Let “ \ast ” be the K -algebra anti-automorphism (of order two) of \mathfrak{B}_n which is defined on generators by

$$s_i^\ast = s_i, \quad e_i^\ast = e_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

For any right \mathfrak{B}_n -module M , the dual space $M^\ast := \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$ is naturally endowed with a right \mathfrak{B}_n -module structure via the anti-involution “ \ast ”. That is, $(fx)(v) := f(vx^\ast), \forall f \in M^\ast, x \in \mathfrak{B}_n, v \in M$. For each integer $1 \leq k \leq 2m$, we set

$$I(k, n) := \{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \mid i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \forall j\}.$$

For any $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I(k, n)$, we write $v_{\underline{i}} = v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}$. The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V naturally induces a non-degenerate bilinear form on $V^{\otimes n}$ such that

$$\langle v_{\underline{i}}, v_{\underline{j}} \rangle := \prod_{s=1}^n \langle v_{i_s}, v_{j_s} \rangle, \quad \forall \underline{i}, \underline{j} \in I(2m, n).$$

By definition and an easy check, we see that for any $v, w \in V^{\otimes n}, g \in Sp(V), x \in \mathfrak{B}_n$,

$$\langle gv, w \rangle = \langle v, g^{-1}w \rangle, \quad \langle vx, w \rangle = \langle v, wx^\ast \rangle. \quad (1)$$

In other words, the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces a $Sp(V)$ - \mathfrak{B}_n -bimodule isomorphism

$$\Theta : V^{\otimes n} \cong \left(V^{\otimes n} \right)^\ast$$

$$v_{\underline{i}} \mapsto \Theta(v_{\underline{i}}) : v_{\underline{j}} \mapsto \langle v_{\underline{i}}, v_{\underline{j}} \rangle, \quad \forall \underline{i}, \underline{j} \in I(2m, n).$$

By definition and (1), we deduce that Θ restricts to an inclusion

$$\Theta_1 : W_{1,n} \hookrightarrow \left(V^{\otimes n} / V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)} \right)^\ast. \quad (2)$$

In the next section, we shall show that Θ_1 is actually an isomorphism, see Corollary 3.15.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4, 1.5 and Corollary 1.6

The purpose of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 1.4, 1.5 and Corollary 1.6. One of the key steps is the use of Lemma 3.10, whose proof will be given in the next section.

Let $G := Sp_{2m}(K)$. We identify V with K^{2m} and $Sp(V)$ with G by using the ordered basis $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2m})$. Then

$$G = \left\{ A \in GL_{2m}(K) \mid A^t J A = J \right\},$$

where A^t denotes the matrix transpose of A ,

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^m E_{i,2m+1-i} - \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} E_{i,2m+1-i},$$

and for each $1 \leq i, j \leq 2m$, $E_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix unit which is 1 on the (i, j) th position and 0 elsewhere. Recall that G is a connected semisimple linear algebraic group over K . Let $K^\times := K \setminus \{0\}$. Let T be the subgroup consisting of all diagonal matrices in G . That is,

$$T = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (t_i E_{i,i} + t_i^{-1} E_{2m+1-i,2m+1-i}) \mid t_1, \dots, t_m \in K^\times \right\}.$$

Then T is a maximal torus of G . Let $X(T) = \text{Hom}_G(T, K^\times)$ be the weight lattice of G . An element in $X(T)$ will also be called a T -weight. Let $X^+ = X(T)^+$ be the set of dominant T -weights of G . Let T_{2m} be the maximal torus consisting of all the diagonal matrices in $GL_{2m}(K)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, let ε_i be the function which sends a matrix in T_{2m} to its i th element in the diagonal. Then T is a subtorus of T_{2m} . Let $S := \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}, 2\varepsilon_m \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}$, which is the set of simple roots of $Sp_{2m}(K)$. Let B be the corresponding positive Borel subgroup. We refer the reader to [DM, 15.2, Page 144–145] for the explicit description of B . For each $\lambda \in X^+$, we denote by $L(\lambda)$, $\nabla(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda)$ the simple module, co-Weyl module and Weyl module for G associated to λ respectively. We identify the weight $\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \varepsilon_m \in X(T)$ with $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. Thus a weight $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$ is dominant if and only if $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ is a partition. For any $\lambda, \mu \in X^+$, we define

$$\lambda \geq \mu \iff \lambda - \mu \in \sum_{\alpha \in S} \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \alpha.$$

For each simple n -tensor $v_{\underline{i}} = v_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_n} \in V^{\otimes n}$ with $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I(2m, n)$, the T -weight of $v_{\underline{i}}$ is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_j \leq m} \varepsilon_{i_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{m+1 \leq i_j \leq 2m} \varepsilon_{2m+1-i_j}.$$

Let f be an integer with $0 \leq f \leq [n/2]$. Let π_f be the set of dominant T -weights of G appearing in $V^{\otimes n-2f}$. By [Wey],

$$\pi_f = \left\{ \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \varepsilon_m \mid \begin{array}{l} \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \vdash n - 2f - 2t, \text{ for} \\ \text{some integer } 0 \leq t \leq [n/2 - f]. \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

It is well-known that π_f is saturated in the sense of [Ja2, Part II, A.2]. Let $\mathcal{C}(\pi_f)$ denote the category of finite dimensional G -modules M such that all composition factors of M have the form $L(\mu)$ with $\mu \in \pi_f$. Following [Ja2, Part II, Chapter A], we define a functor \mathcal{O}_{π_f} from the category of finite dimensional G -modules to the category $\mathcal{C}(\pi_f)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(M) = \sum_{M' \subseteq M, M' \in \mathcal{C}(\pi_f)} M'.$$

Let M be a finite dimensional G -module. Recall that ([Ja2, Part II, 4.16]) an ascending chain

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset M_{s-1} \subset M_s = M$$

of G -submodules is called a good filtration of M if each M_i/M_{i-1} is isomorphic to some $\nabla(\lambda_i)$ with $\lambda_i \in X^+$. We use $(M : \nabla(\lambda_i))$ to denote the number of factors in the filtration isomorphic to $\nabla(\lambda_i)$. Similarly, an ascending chain

$$0 = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \cdots \subset N_{t-1} \subset N_t = M$$

of G -submodules is called a Weyl filtration of M if each N_i/N_{i-1} is isomorphic to some $\Delta(\mu_i)$ with $\mu_i \in X^+$. We use $(M : \Delta(\mu_i))$ to denote the number of factors in the filtration isomorphic to $\Delta(\mu_i)$.

Lemma 3.1. ([Ja2, Part II, Chapter A]) 1) A finite dimensional G -module M belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\pi_f)$ if and only if each dominant weight of M belongs to π_f ;

2) If M is a finite dimensional G -module with a good filtration, then $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(M)$ has a good filtration with

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(M) : \nabla(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} (M : \nabla(\lambda)), & \text{if } \lambda \in \pi_f; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

3) \mathcal{O}_{π_f} is a left exact functor.

Definition 3.2. We set $\alpha := \sum_{k=1}^{2m} v_k \otimes v_k^* \in V^{\otimes 2}$.

Recall that G acts diagonally on $V^{\otimes 2}$.

Lemma 3.3. 1) For any $g \in G$, we have that $g\alpha = \alpha$. That is, $K\alpha$ is a trivial G -module;

2) $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$.

Proof. As a G -module, $V^{\otimes 2} \cong V \otimes V^* \cong \text{End}_K(V)$, where α was mapped to the identity map on V . It follows that G acts trivially on $K\alpha$. This proves 1).

Note that the left action of G on $V^{\otimes n}$ commutes with the right action of \mathfrak{B}_n . By definition, $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a sum of some submodules of the form

$$((K\alpha)^{\otimes f} \otimes V^{\otimes n-2f})\sigma,$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Applying the result 1) that we have proved, we get that (as a G -module)

$$((K\alpha)^{\otimes f} \otimes V^{\otimes n-2f})\sigma \cong (K\alpha)^{\otimes f} \otimes V^{\otimes n-2f} \cong V^{\otimes n-2f}.$$

Therefore, it follows from definition that $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$.

We want to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n}) = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. Before proving this equality, we need some preparation. For simplicity, for any two finite dimensional G -modules M, N , we use $\sum_{\phi: M \rightarrow N} \text{Im } \phi$ to denote the sum of all the image subspaces $\text{Im } \phi$, where ϕ runs over all the G -module homomorphisms from M to N . It is clearly a G -submodule of N . We use τ_f to denote the following embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_f : V^{\otimes n-2f} &\hookrightarrow V^{\otimes n}, \\ v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{n-2f}} &\mapsto \alpha^{\otimes f} \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{n-2f}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 3.4. *The K -linear space $\text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is spanned by all $\sigma\tau_f$, where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Furthermore, the natural embedding*

$$\iota_1 : \text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n})$$

is actually an isomorphism, and

$$\sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}} \text{Im } \phi.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it is clear that

$$\sigma\tau_f \in \text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

By the proof of Lemma 3.3, we know that $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a sum of some submodules, each of which is isomorphic to $V^{\otimes n-2f}$. It follows that

$$V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}} \text{Im } \phi \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi.$$

As a G -module, $V \cong V^*$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n}) &\cong \left((V^{\otimes n-2f})^* \otimes V^{\otimes n} \right)^G \\ &\cong (V^{\otimes n-2f} \otimes V^{\otimes n})^G = (V^{\otimes 2n-2f})^G \cong \text{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-f}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the isomorphisms in the above equalities are induced from the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho: V^{\otimes 2n-2f} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_K(V^{\otimes n-f}) \\ v_{\underline{i}} &\mapsto \rho(v_{\underline{i}}): v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{n-f}} \mapsto \left(\prod_{s=1}^{n-f} \langle v_{i_s}, v_{j_s} \rangle \right) v_{i_{n-f+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{2n-2f}}; \\ \rho_f: V^{\otimes 2n-2f} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_K(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n}) \\ v_{\underline{i}} &\mapsto \rho(v_{\underline{i}}): v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{n-2f}} \mapsto \left(\prod_{s=1}^{n-2f} \langle v_{i_s}, v_{j_s} \rangle \right) v_{i_{n-2f+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{2n-2f}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{\underline{i}} := v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{2n-2f}}$.

Recall that there is a natural right action of the Brauer algebra \mathfrak{B}_{n-f} on the $(n-f)$ -tensor space $V^{\otimes n-f}$. We use φ'_K to denote the natural K -algebra homomorphism from $(\mathfrak{B}_{n-f})^{\text{op}}$ to $\text{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-f})$. By [DDH, Theorem 1.4], we know that φ'_K is surjective. Therefore, $\text{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-f})$ is spanned by the elements $\varphi'_K(D)$, where D runs over the Brauer $(n-f)$ -diagrams in Bd_{n-f} .

Let $D \in \text{Bd}_{n-f}$. We regard $\varphi'_K(D)$ as an element in $V^{\otimes 2n-2f}$ using the isomorphism ρ . By the definition of φ'_K , it is easy to see that

$$\varphi'_K(D) = \pm(\alpha^{\otimes n-f})\sigma,$$

for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n-2f}$. Now using the isomorphism ρ_f one can check the image of $\varphi'_K(D)$. It follows that $\text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n})$ is spanned by all $\sigma\tau_f$, where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

It remains to prove that $\text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n})$. It suffices to show that the image of $\rho_f(\varphi'_K(D))$ is contained in $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ for each $D \in \text{Bd}_{n-f}$. Note that $\sum_{k=1}^{2m} v_k \otimes v_k^* = -\sum_{k=1}^{2m} v_k^* \otimes v_k$. In particular, we can write

$$\varphi'_K(D) = \pm \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-f} \leq 2m} \cdots \otimes v_{i_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_j}^* \otimes \cdots \in V^{\otimes 2n-2f},$$

where i_1, \dots, i_{n-f} are $n-f$ independent summation indices. The positions of each pair of $(v_{i_j}, v_{i_j}^*)$ in the above sum are uniquely determined by σ and hence by D . For example, if




Figure 1.4

then

$$\varphi'_K(D) = - \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 \leq 2m} v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes v_{i_3} \otimes v_{i_1}^* \otimes v_{i_2}^* \otimes v_{i_4} \otimes v_{i_5} \otimes v_{i_4}^* \otimes v_{i_3}^* \otimes v_{i_5}^*.$$

Each independent summation index i_j was attached with a pair of integers $1 \leq a_j < b_j \leq 2n-2f$ such that $v_{i_j}, v_{i_j}^*$ appear in the position a_j, b_j respectively. Using [Hu1, Lemma 4.2] and the definition of ρ_f , it suffices to show that

$$\#\{(a_j, b_j) \mid a_j \geq n-2f+1\} \geq f.$$

We fix a bijection between the horizontal edges in the top row of D and the horizontal edges in the bottom row of D . We consider a vertex A in the top row of D which is labeled by an integer x with $n-2f+1 \leq x \leq n-f$. There are only three possibilities:

- (1) A is connected with a vertex B in the top row of D which is labeled by an integer y with $n-2f+1 \leq y \leq n-f$, then the horizontal edge (A, B) must correspond to a horizontal edge (A', B') in the bottom row of D . Applying [Hu1, Lemma 4.2] and the definition of ρ , we see that the edges $(A, B), (A', B')$ determine two integers j, k , such that $n-2f+1 \leq a_j < b_j \leq n-f$, $n-f+1 \leq a_k < b_k \leq 2n-2f$;
- (2) A is connected with a vertex B in the top row of D which is labeled by an integer y with $1 \leq y \leq n-2f$, then the horizontal edge (A, B) must correspond to a horizontal edge (A', B') in the bottom row of D . Applying [Hu1, Lemma 4.2] and the definition of ρ , we see that the edges (A', B') determines an integer j , such that $n-f+1 \leq a_j < b_j \leq 2n-2f$;
- (3) A is connected with a vertex B in the bottom row of D , then applying [Hu1, Lemma 4.2] and the definition of ρ , we see that the vertical edge (A, B) determines an integer j , such that $n-f+1 \leq a_j = x \leq n-f < b_j \leq 2n-2f$.

By a simple counting, we deduce that $\#\{(a_j, b_j) \mid a_j \geq n-2f+1\} \geq f$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Let U be the unipotent radical of B . For any G -module M , a vector $v \in M$ is said to be a maximal vector if $xv = v$ for any $x \in U$.

Lemma 3.5. ([Ja2, 4.13 (3), B.3 (5), B.4 Remark]) *Let $\lambda, \mu \in X^+$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.*

- (1) $\text{Ext}_G^i(\Delta(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) = \delta_{i,0} \delta_{\lambda, \mu} K$;
- (2) $\text{Ext}_G^1(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) \neq 0$ implies that $\lambda > \mu$. In particular,

$$\text{Ext}_G^1(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda)) = 0;$$

- (3) $\text{Ext}_G^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\mu)) \neq 0$ implies that $\lambda < \mu$. In particular,

$$\text{Ext}_G^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) = 0;$$

- (4) $\text{Hom}_{KG}(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) \neq 0$ unless $\mu \leq \lambda$, and $\text{Hom}_{KG}(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda)) = K$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a G -module and $\lambda \in X^+$. Suppose $\rho : \nabla(\lambda) \rightarrow M$ is a surjective G -module homomorphism such that $\rho(u_\lambda) \neq 0$ for some highest weight vector $u_\lambda \in \nabla(\lambda)$ of weight λ , then ρ is an isomorphism. In particular, $M \cong \nabla(\lambda)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\text{soc}_G(\nabla(\lambda)) = L(\lambda)$.

Recall that for each element $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, λ is identified with the weight $\lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_m\varepsilon_m \in X(T)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let a, b be two integers such that $0 \leq a < b \leq [n/2]$. Let λ be a partition of $n - 2a$ and μ be a partition of $n - 2b$. Suppose that $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu) \leq m$. Then $\lambda \not\leq \mu$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \leq \mu$. Then there exist some integers $a_1, \dots, a_m \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \varepsilon_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i \varepsilon_i + 2a_m \varepsilon_m.$$

Comparing the coefficients of ε_i for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ and adding all of them together, we get that

$$(n - 2b) - (n - 2a) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i + 2a_m \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption that $a < b$.

As a G -module, $V = \Delta(\varepsilon_1) = \nabla(\varepsilon_1) = L(\varepsilon_1)$ is a tilting module. By [Ja2, Proposition E.7], we know that $V^{\otimes n}$ is a tilting module too. In particular, $V^{\otimes n}$ has both a Weyl filtration and a good filtration. We fix an integer f with $0 \leq f \leq [n/2]$. In view of Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7, we can find a good filtration of $V^{\otimes n}$:

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_p = V^{\otimes n}, \quad (5)$$

such that

- (1) $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong \underbrace{\nabla(\lambda^{(i)}) \oplus \dots \oplus \nabla(\lambda^{(i)})}_{n_i \text{ copies}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda^{(i)} \vdash n - 2g_i$ for some integers $0 \leq g_i \leq [n/2]$ and $\ell(\lambda^{(i)}) \leq m$;
- (2) $\lambda^{(i)} \neq \lambda^{(j)}$ for any $i \neq j$, and $\lambda^{(i)} < \lambda^{(j)}$ only if $i < j$;
- (3) there exists an integer $1 \leq t \leq p$, such that $\lambda^{(i)} \in \pi_f$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq t$.

We refer the reader to [Ja2, Part II, 4.16, Remark 4]) for the construction of the filtration.

Lemma 3.8. *We keep the notations as above. Let i be an integer with $1 \leq i \leq p$.*

1) *If v is a (non-zero) maximal vector of weight $\lambda^{(i)}$ in $V^{\otimes n}$, then $v + M_{i-1}$ is also a (non-zero) maximal vector of weight $\lambda^{(i)}$ in $V^{\otimes n}/M_{i-1}$. Moreover, every maximal vector of weight $\lambda^{(i)}$ in $V^{\otimes n}/M_{i-1}$ arises in this way;*

2) *if N is a G -submodule of $V^{\otimes n}/M_{i-1}$ which is isomorphic to $\nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$, then $N \subseteq M_i/M_{i-1}$;*

3) *$M_i b \subseteq M_i$ for any $b \in \mathfrak{B}_n$. In other words, the filtration (5) is actually a KG - \mathfrak{B}_n -bimodules filtration.*

Proof. 1) This is a combination of [Ja2, Part II, Proposition 4.16 (a), Lemma 2.13 (a)].

2) Since $V^{\otimes n}/M_i$ has a good filtration with each section being isomorphic to some $\nabla(\mu)$ with $\mu \not\leq \lambda^{(i)}$, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(N, V^{\otimes n}/M_i) = 0.$$

Therefore, the natural embedding

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(N, M_i/M_{i-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(N, V^{\otimes n}/M_{i-1})$$

becomes an equality, from which we deduce that $N \subseteq M_i/M_{i-1}$.

3) This follows from the following simple fact: If M is a finite dimensional G -module with a good filtration and $\lambda \in X^+$ is minimal such that $\nabla(\lambda)$ appears in a good filtration of M , then

$$M' := \sum_{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(\nabla(\lambda), M)} \phi(\nabla(\lambda))$$

is a direct sum of copies of $\nabla(\lambda)$ and M/M' has a good filtration without factor $\nabla(\lambda)$. In particular, M' is a right module for $\mathrm{End}_{KG}(M)$ and M/M' has an $\mathrm{End}_{KG}(M)$ -module structure.

Let k be an integer and λ a composition of k . The conjugate of λ is the partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots)$ where $\lambda'_i = \#\{j \geq 1 | \lambda_j \geq i\}$. The Young diagram of λ is the set

$$[\lambda] := \{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq b \leq \lambda_a\}.$$

Assume that λ is a partition of n . A λ -tableau is a bijective map $\mathfrak{t} : [\lambda] \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A standard λ -tableau is a λ -tableau in which the entries increase along each row and down each column. Let \mathfrak{t}^λ (resp., \mathfrak{t}_λ) be the standard λ -tableau such that the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ appear in order along the rows (resp., along the columns). Let \mathfrak{S}_λ be the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n corresponding to λ , which is the subgroup fixing the sets $\{1, 2, \dots, \lambda_1\}, \{\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_1 + 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}, \dots$. Let \mathcal{D}_λ be the set of distinguished right coset representatives of \mathfrak{S}_λ in \mathfrak{S}_n . Let $w_\lambda \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda w_\lambda = \mathfrak{t}_\lambda$. Then $w_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. Let $x_\lambda := \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} w$. Recall the definition of α in Definition 3.2.

Definition 3.9. Let g be an integer with $0 \leq g \leq [n/2]$ and λ be a partition of $n - 2g$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$. We set

$$v_\lambda := \underbrace{v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_1}_{\lambda_1 \text{ copies}} \otimes \dots \otimes \underbrace{v_m \otimes \dots \otimes v_m}_{\lambda_m \text{ copies}};$$

$$z_{g, \lambda} := \alpha^{\otimes g} \otimes v_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'}.$$

Recall that U is the unipotent radical of the positive Borel subgroup B . For each G -module M , we use M_λ^U to denote the subspace of maximal vectors in M of weight λ . The following lemma plays a key role in this section. The proof will be given in the next section.

Lemma 3.10. *Let g be an integer with $0 \leq g \leq [n/2]$ and λ be a partition of $n - 2g$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$. Then $(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U = z_{g,\lambda} \mathfrak{B}_n$. In particular, $z_{g,\lambda}$ is a non-zero maximal vector of weight λ in $V^{\otimes n}$. Moreover, the dimension of $(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U$ (and hence of $z_{g,\lambda} \mathfrak{B}_n$) is independent of K ;*

The following proposition proves the first part of Theorem 1.9.

Proposition 3.11. *Let i be an integer with $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then $z_{g_i, \lambda^{(i)}} \in M_i$ and there is a KG - \mathfrak{B}_n -bimodule isomorphism:*

$$M_i/M_{i-1} \cong \nabla(\lambda^{(i)}) \otimes z_{g_i, \lambda^{(i)}} \mathfrak{B}_n.$$

Proof. By construction, $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong (\nabla(\lambda^{(i)}))^{\oplus n_i}$. We fix a decomposition:

$$M_i/M_{i-1} = N_{i,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{i,n_i},$$

where $N_{i,s} \cong \nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$ for each $1 \leq s \leq n_i$. Let u_0 be a fixed non-zero maximal vector of weight $\lambda^{(i)}$ in $\nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$. For each $1 \leq s \leq n_i$, let u_s be a fixed non-zero maximal vector of weight $\lambda^{(i)}$ in $N_{i,s}$ and let $\eta_s : \nabla(\lambda^{(i)}) \rightarrow N_{i,s}$ be the unique isomorphism which sends u_0 to u_s . Then it is easy to see (by definition and comparing dimensions) that the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_i : \nabla(\lambda^{(i)}) \otimes (V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda^{(i)}}^U &\rightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \\ v \otimes \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} a_s u_s &\mapsto \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} a_s \eta_s(v), \quad \forall v \in \nabla(\lambda^{(i)}), a_s \in K, \end{aligned}$$

is a left KG -module isomorphism. We claim that it is also a right \mathfrak{B}_n -module homomorphism.

It suffices to show that $\tilde{\psi}_i(v \otimes u_s b) = \eta_s(v) b$ for each $1 \leq s \leq n_i$, $b \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ and $v \in \nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$. We write $u_s b = \sum_{t=1}^{n_i} a_t u_t$, where $a_t \in K$ for each t . Then by definition, $\tilde{\psi}_i(v \otimes u_s b) = \sum_{t=1}^{n_i} a_t \eta_t(v)$. By the commuting action between KG and \mathfrak{B}_n , it is easy to check that $\sum_{t=1}^{n_i} a_t \eta_t - \eta_s b$ is a left KG -homomorphism from $\nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$ to M_i/M_{i-1} . By direct verification, $(\sum_{t=1}^{n_i} a_t \eta_t - \eta_s b)(u_0) = 0$, hence $(\sum_{t=1}^{n_i} a_t \eta_t - \eta_s b)(v) = 0$ for any $v \in KG u_0 = L(\lambda^{(i)}) = \text{soc}_G(\nabla(\lambda^{(i)}))$. Since $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong (\nabla(\lambda^{(i)}))^{\oplus n_i}$ and $\text{End}_{KG}(\nabla(\lambda^{(i)})) = K$, it follows that any non-zero map in $\text{Hom}_{KG}(\nabla(\lambda^{(i)}), M_i/M_{i-1})$ must be injective. We deduce that $(\sum_{t=1}^{n_i} a_t \eta_t - \eta_s b)(v) = 0$ for any $v \in \nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$, as required. This proves that $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is a right \mathfrak{B}_n -module homomorphism as well.

Finally, by Lemma 3.10, $(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda^{(i)}}^U = z_{g_i, \lambda^{(i)}} \mathfrak{B}_n$. Hence the proposition follows.

Lemma 3.12. *Let t be the integer which was introduced in the third property of the filtration (5). We have that*

$$V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \subseteq M_t.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi \subseteq M_t.$$

Since $V^{\otimes n-2f}$ has a Weyl filtration such that each section is isomorphic to some $\Delta(\mu)$ with $\mu \in \pi_f$ and $V^{\otimes n}/M_t$ has a good filtration such that each section is isomorphic to some $\nabla(\nu)$ with $\nu \notin \pi_f$, it follows that

$$\text{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n}/M_t) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\text{Hom}_{KG}\left(\sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi, V^{\otimes n}/M_t\right) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi \subseteq M_t,$$

as required.

Our purpose is to show that $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = M_t$.

Theorem 3.13. $M_t = \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n})$. In particular, both $\dim V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ and $\dim V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ are independent of K .

Proof. First, by Lemma 3.1 2), we have that

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \pi_f} (V^{\otimes n} : \nabla(\lambda)) \dim \nabla(\lambda).$$

Note that the character $\text{ch}(\nabla(\lambda))$ of $\nabla(\lambda)$ is given by the Weyl character formula. In particular, $\dim \nabla(\lambda)$ is independent of K . Note also that the character $\text{ch}(V^{\otimes n})$ is equal to $(\text{ch}(V))^n$ which is also independent of K . So the filtration multiplicities $(V^{\otimes n} : \nabla(\lambda))$ is nothing but the coefficient of the Weyl character χ_λ in the expansion of $(\text{ch}(V))^n$ into a linear combination of Weyl characters. In particular,

$$(V^{\otimes n} : \nabla(\lambda)) = [V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} : \nabla(\lambda)_{\mathbb{C}}],$$

which is independent of K . Since the set π_f is also independent of K , we conclude that $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n})$ is independent of K . Moreover, by the construction of M_t , it is clear that

$$\dim M_t = \sum_{\lambda \in \pi_f} (V^{\otimes n} : \nabla(\lambda)) \dim \nabla(\lambda) = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n}).$$

Now, by Lemma 3.4, $\sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. By Lemma 3.3 2) and Lemma 3.1 3), $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\pi_f}(V^{\otimes n})$. Therefore, to complete the proof of the theorem, it suffices to prove that

$$M_t \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi.$$

We use induction on i to show that $M_i \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi$ for each integer $1 \leq i \leq t$. Suppose that $M_{i-1} \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi$.

Recall that t is equal to the number of dominant weights in π_f . Using a similar construction of the filtration (5), we can get a good filtration of $V^{\otimes n-2f}$:

$$0 = M'_0 \subseteq M'_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M'_t = V^{\otimes n-2f}, \quad (6)$$

such that

- (1) $M'_j/M'_{j-1} \cong \underbrace{\nabla(\lambda^{(j)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \nabla(\lambda^{(j)})}_{n'_j \text{ copies}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$, where $n'_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$,
 $\lambda^{(j)} \vdash n - 2f - 2h_j$ for some integers $0 \leq h_j \leq [n/2 - f]$ and $\ell(\lambda^{(j)}) \leq m$;
 (2) $\lambda^{(i)} \neq \lambda^{(j)}$ for any $i \neq j$, and $\lambda^{(i)} < \lambda^{(j)}$ only if $i < j$.

Let j be an integer with $1 \leq j \leq t$. By the properties of the filtration (5) and the filtration (6) we have constructed and Lemma 3.5 4), it is clear that $\text{Hom}_{KG}(M'_j, V^{\otimes n}/M_j) = 0$. It follows that the natural embedding

$$\text{Hom}_{KG}(M'_j, M_j) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{KG}(M'_j, V^{\otimes n})$$

becomes an equality. By Lemma 3.3, we see that the following map

$$\iota_f: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}, \quad v \mapsto \alpha^{\otimes f} \otimes v, \quad \forall v \in V^{\otimes n-2f},$$

is an embedding. It follows that $\iota_f(M'_j) \subseteq M_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq t$. In particular, ι_f induces a natural map from M'_i/M'_{i-1} to M_i/M_{i-1} .

Recall that for each $1 \leq i \leq p$, $\lambda^{(i)} \vdash n - 2g_i$. In particular, $g_i = f + h_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, we define $z'_{h_i, \lambda^{(i)}} := \alpha^{\otimes h_i} \otimes v_\lambda w_\lambda x_\lambda$. Then $z'_{h_i, \lambda^{(i)}}$ is a maximal vector of weight $\lambda^{(i)}$ in $V^{\otimes n-2f}$ and $z'_{h_i, \lambda^{(i)}} \in M'_i$ (cf. Lemma 3.10 and Proposition 3.11). It is clear that $\iota_f(z'_{h_i, \lambda^{(i)}}) = z_{g_i, \lambda^{(i)}}$. Let N' be a G -submodule of M'_i/M'_{i-1} such that $z'_{h_i, \lambda^{(i)}} \in N' \cong \nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$. Applying Lemma 3.6, we deduce that $\iota_f(N') \cong \nabla(\lambda^{(i)})$. Therefore, combining with our induction hypothesis, we can find a G -submodule $\tilde{N} := M_{i-1} + \iota_f(M'_i)$ of $V^{\otimes n}$ such that

$$M_{i-1} \subseteq \tilde{N} \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi, \quad z_{g_i, \lambda^{(i)}} \in \tilde{N}, \quad N := \tilde{N}/M_{i-1} \cong \nabla(\lambda^{(i)}).$$

By the proof of Proposition 3.11, we can deduce that $M_i/M_{i-1} \subseteq N\mathfrak{B}_n$. As a consequence, we get that

$$M_i \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \phi(\tilde{N}).$$

Since $\tilde{N} \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi$, it follows that $M_i \subseteq \sum_{\phi: V^{\otimes n-2f} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}} \text{Im } \phi$, as required.

Corollary 3.14. *With the notations as before, we have that*

$$V^{\otimes n} = V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq g < f \\ \mu + n - 2g}} (KG)_{z_{g,\mu}} \mathfrak{B}_n.$$

Proof. This follows directly from the proof of Theorem 3.13.

With Theorem 3.13 in hand, Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 follow almost trivially from some standard arguments in commutative algebras. For the reader's convenience, we include the details below.

Proof of Theorem 1.4, 1.5 and Corollary 1.6, 1.7:

By Theorem 3.13 and Lemma 3.1, we know that $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ has a good filtration. Note that $V^{\otimes n}$ has a good filtration too. Applying [Ja2, Part II, 4.17], we deduce that both $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ and $V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f-1)}/V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ have a good filtration. This proves Theorem 1.5 and the first statement of Corollary 1.7.

It is clear that $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank r_f . Since $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$, it follows that $r_f = \dim V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. By Theorem 3.13, we know that $\dim V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is independent of K and hence $\dim V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = r_f$. Thus the canonical surjection $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K \rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$, $v \otimes a \mapsto va$ must be an isomorphism.

Now let F be an arbitrary field and \bar{F} be the algebraic closure of F . Recall that $V_F := V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$, $V_{\bar{F}} := V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bar{F}$. It is clear that the canonical map $V_F^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_F \bar{F} \rightarrow V_{\bar{F}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $\dim V_F^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = \dim V_{\bar{F}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = r_f$. In particular, the first statement of Corollary 1.6 also follows. For any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra F which is a field, the canonical surjection $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F \rightarrow V_F^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ must be an isomorphism. For any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R , let F be a field such that $F \cong R/m$ for some maximal ideal m of R . We have the following commutative diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \otimes_R F & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \otimes \text{id}} & V_R^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_R F \\ \text{id} \otimes \pi \downarrow \wr & & \pi_2 \downarrow \\ V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F & \xrightarrow[\pi_3]{\sim} & V_F^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \end{array}, \quad (7)$$

where π, π_1, π_2, π_3 are all canonical maps. Since π_3 is an isomorphism, it follows that the canonical surjection $\pi_1 : V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow V_R^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ must be injective and hence an isomorphism. This proves the first isomorphism in part 2) of Theorem 1.4.

Using the same argument as before, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V_F^{\otimes n}/V_F^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} &= \dim V_{\bar{F}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\bar{F}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \\ &= \dim V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = (2m)^n - r_f. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{rank } V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = \dim V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = (2m)^n - r_f$. In order to show that $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $(2m)^n - r_f$, we consider the following commutative diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F & \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}} & V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \text{id}} & V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \theta \\ V_F^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \subset & \longrightarrow & V_F^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & V_F^{\otimes n}/V_F^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}, \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where ι denotes the natural injection $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}$, π denotes the natural projection $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \twoheadrightarrow V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. By diagram chasing, it is easy to see that the natural surjection $\theta : V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F \twoheadrightarrow V_F^{\otimes n}/V_F^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is an injection and hence an isomorphism. In particular,

$$\dim V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F = \dim V_F^{\otimes n}/V_F^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} = (2m)^n - r_f.$$

We claim that $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ must be a free \mathbb{Z} -module. In fact, suppose this is not the case, then $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ must contain a non-zero p -torsion element for some prime number p . It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \right) > (2m)^n - r_f,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves our claim. As a consequence, we conclude that $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a pure \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}$.

Now using a commutative diagram similar to (7) (replacing $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ with $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$), we can argue as before that for any commutative \mathbb{Z} -algebra R , the canonical map $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow V_R^{\otimes n}/V_R^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is always an isomorphism. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

It remains to prove the second statement of Corollary 1.6. Let K be an algebraically closed field. Recall the bimodule isomorphism Θ introduced in the paragraph below (1). Θ induces a map Θ_f from $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ to $(V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})^*$. The second equality in (1) and the definition of $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ imply that the image of Θ_f is contained in $(V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)})^*$. Therefore, Θ_f is a bimodule homomorphism from $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ to $(V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)})^*$. We claim that Θ_f is injective.

Let $u \in \mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ such that $\Theta_f(u) = 0$. Now for any $v \in V^{\otimes n}$, we can write (by applying Corollary 3.14)

$$v = v_0 + \sum_{\substack{h \in G, c \in \mathfrak{B}_n \\ 0 \leq g < f, \mu + n - 2g}} h z_{g, \mu} c,$$

where $v_0 \in V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. Using the assumption that $\Theta_f(u) = 0$ and applying the two equalities in (1) we get that

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, v_0 \rangle + \sum_{\substack{h \in G, c \in \mathfrak{B}_n \\ 0 \leq g < f, \mu + n - 2g}} \langle h^{-1} u c^*, z_{g, \mu} \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Since the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ is non-degenerate, it follows that $u = 0$. Hence Θ_f is injective. This proves our claim.

To emphasize the base field, we use the notations $\mathcal{HT}_{f,K}^{\otimes n}$, $\mathcal{HT}_{f,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}$. Note that we have already known that the dimension of $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is independent of the field K . The space $\mathcal{HT}_f^{\otimes n}$ can be identified as the solution space of a homogeneous system of linear equations whose coefficients are all defined over \mathbb{Z} . In particular,

$$\dim \mathcal{HT}_{f,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} \leq \dim \mathcal{HT}_{f,K}^{\otimes n}.$$

By [GW, (10.3.14)], we know that

$$\dim \mathcal{HT}_{f,\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} = \dim V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} / V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)} = \dim V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} / V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}.$$

By the injectivity of Θ_f , we know that

$$\dim \mathcal{HT}_{f,K}^{\otimes n} \leq \dim V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} / V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}.$$

It follows that $\dim \mathcal{HT}_{f,K}^{\otimes n} = \dim V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} / V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f+1)}$. Therefore, Θ_f must be an isomorphism. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.6.

Recall the definition of Θ_1 in (2). The following result is a consequence of Corollary 1.6.

Corollary 3.15. *With the notations as above, we have that*

$$\dim W_{1,n}^{\mathbb{C}} = \dim W_{1,n} = \dim V^{\otimes n} / V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}.$$

In particular, Θ_1 is always an isomorphism.

Proof. Note that the space $W_{1,n}$ can be identified as the solution space of a homogeneous system of linear equations whose coefficients are all defined over \mathbb{Z} . This implies that $\dim W_{1,n}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \dim W_{1,n}$. Now applying Corollary 1.6 and (2), we prove the corollary.

4. Proof of Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 1.9 2)

The purpose of this section is to give a proof of Lemma 3.10 as well as Theorem 1.9 2). The key step in our proof is to show that (for any $\lambda \vdash n$) $(KG)_{z_{0,\lambda}} \cong \Delta(\lambda)$ and $V^{\otimes n} / (KG)_{z_{0,\lambda}}$ has a Weyl filtration as a KG -module. The proof makes use of Lusztig's theory of canonical basis and based modules. To this end, we have to work in a quantized setting.

Let q be an indeterminate over \mathbb{Z} . Let $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in q . Let $\mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the specialized Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebra ([BW], [Mu]). By definition, it has generators $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$ which satisfy the following relations:

- (1) $T_i - T_i^{-1} = (q - q^{-1})(1 - E_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,
- (2) $E_i^2 = (1 - \sum_{i=-m}^m q^{2i})E_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,
- (3) $T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$,

- (4) $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$, for $|i - j| > 1$,
- (5) $E_i E_{i+1} E_i = E_i$, $E_{i+1} E_i E_{i+1} = E_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$,
- (6) $T_i T_{i+1} E_i = E_{i+1} E_i$, $T_{i+1} T_i E_{i+1} = E_i E_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$,
- (7) $E_i T_i = T_i E_i = -q^{-2m-1} E_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.
- (8) $E_i T_{i+1} E_i = -q^{2m+1} E_i$, $E_{i+1} T_i E_{i+1} = -q^{2m+1} E_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$.

Let $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ be the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Let e_i, f_i, k_i, k_i^{-1} , $1 \leq i \leq m$ be the Chevalley generators of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$. Then it is a Hopf algebra with coproduct Δ , counit ε and antipode S defined on generators by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes 1 + \tilde{k}_i \otimes e_i, & \Delta(f_i) &= 1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes \tilde{k}_i^{-1}, & \Delta(k_i) &= k_i \otimes k_i, \\ \varepsilon(e_i) &= \varepsilon(f_i) = 0, & \varepsilon(k_i) &= 1, \\ S(e_i) &= -\tilde{k}_i^{-1} e_i, & S(f_i) &= -f_i \tilde{k}_i, & S(k_i) &= k_i^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{k}_i := \begin{cases} k_i, & \text{if } i \neq m; \\ k_i^2, & \text{if } i = m. \end{cases}$$

We regard \mathbb{Z} as an \mathcal{A} -algebra by specializing q to 1. For each \mathcal{A} -module N and $x \in N$, we set $N_{\mathbb{Z}} := N_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \downarrow_{q=1} := x \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathbb{Z}} \in N_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We call $x \downarrow_{q=1}$ the specialization of x at $q = 1$. Let $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ be Lusztig's \mathcal{A} -form in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$. Let $V_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the free \mathcal{A} -module spanned by $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}$. It is well-known that there are natural commuting actions (cf. [Hu2, Section 2,3]) of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ and $\mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$. If we specialize q to 1, then each T_i (resp., E_i) specializes to $-s_i$ (resp., to e_i), and $\mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)$ will become the Brauer algebra $\mathfrak{B}_n(-2m)$ in this paper. Moreover, the action of $\mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)$ on $V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$ becomes the action of $\mathfrak{B}_n(-2m)$ on $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}$ in this paper. We recall that the representation φ_C of $\mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$ is defined on generators as follows:

$$\varphi_C(T_j) := \text{Id}_{V^{\otimes j-1}} \otimes \beta' \otimes \text{Id}_{V^{\otimes n-j-1}}, \quad \varphi_C(E_j) := \text{Id}_{V^{\otimes j-1}} \otimes \gamma' \otimes \text{Id}_{V^{\otimes n-j-1}},$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta' &:= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2m} \left(q E_{i,i} \otimes E_{i,i} + q^{-1} E_{i,i'} \otimes E_{i',i} \right) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq 2m \\ i \neq j, j'}} E_{i,j} \otimes E_{j,i} + \\ &\quad (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2m} \left(E_{i,i} \otimes E_{j,j} - q^{\rho_j - \rho_i} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j E_{i,j'} \otimes E_{i',j} \right), \\ \gamma' &:= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2m} q^{\rho_j - \rho_i} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j E_{i,j'} \otimes E_{i',j}, \\ (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{2m}) &:= (m, m-1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -m+1, -m), \quad \epsilon_i := \text{sign}(\rho_i), \end{aligned}$$

and each $E_{i,j}$ is the matrix unit (i.e., $E_{i,j} v_k = \delta_{k,j} v_i$ for each $1 \leq k \leq 2m$). Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ be the Iwahori-Hecke algebra associated to the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n ,

defined over \mathcal{A} and with parameter q . By definition, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ has generators $\hat{T}_1, \dots, \hat{T}_{n-1}$ which satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{T}_i - q)(\hat{T}_i + q^{-1}) &= 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \\ \hat{T}_i \hat{T}_{i+1} \hat{T}_i &= \hat{T}_{i+1} \hat{T}_i \hat{T}_{i+1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2; \\ \hat{T}_i \hat{T}_j &= \hat{T}_j \hat{T}_i, \text{ if } |i - j| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_n) := \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Q}(q)$. For each composition λ of n , we use $\mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$ to denote the Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ associated to the Young subgroup \mathfrak{S}_λ . For each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we use $\ell(w)$ to denote the minimal integer k such that $w = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_k}$; in that case, we call $s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_k}$ a reduced expression of w . We define

$$\hat{T}_w = \hat{T}_{j_1} \hat{T}_{j_2} \cdots \hat{T}_{j_k} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n), \quad T_w = T_{j_1} T_{j_2} \cdots T_{j_k} \in \mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)_{\mathcal{A}},$$

if $w = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_k}$ with $\ell(w) = k$. It is well-known that this is well defined, i.e., independent of the choice of the reduced expression. Let $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the free \mathcal{A} -submodule of $V_{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by $\{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$. We recall that the representation φ_A of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ on $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$ is defined on generators as follows:

$$\varphi_A(\hat{T}_j) = \text{Id}_{V^{\otimes j-1}} \otimes \hat{\beta} \otimes \text{Id}_{V^{\otimes n-j-1}}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

where

$$\hat{\beta} := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} (qE_{i,i} \otimes E_{i,i}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ i \neq j}} (E_{i,j} \otimes E_{j,i}) + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (E_{i,i} \otimes E_{j,j}).$$

Let λ be a partition with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$. Recall the definition of v_λ in Definition 3.9. We have that $v_\lambda \hat{T}_\sigma = q^{\ell(\sigma)} v_\lambda$ for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$.

Definition 4.1. For each partition λ of n , we define

$$\begin{aligned} X_\lambda &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} q^{\ell(w)} T_w, & Y_{\lambda'} &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda'}} (-q)^{-\ell(w)} T_w. \\ \hat{X}_\lambda &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} q^{\ell(w)} \hat{T}_w, & \hat{Y}_{\lambda'} &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda'}} (-q)^{-\ell(w)} \hat{T}_w. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.2. ([HX, Definition 3.1]) Set

$$\alpha_q := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2m} q^{-\rho_k} \epsilon_k v_k \otimes v_{k'}.$$

For each integer $0 \leq g \leq [n/2]$ and each partition λ of $n - 2g$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$, we define

$$Z_{g,\lambda} = \alpha_q^{\otimes g} \otimes v_\lambda T_{w_\lambda} Y_{\lambda'}.$$

Recall that for each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $T_w \downarrow_{q=1} = (-1)^{\ell(w)} w$ (instead of w). Thus $z_{g,\lambda} = Z_{g,\lambda} \downarrow_{q=1}$. We set $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} := V_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Q}(q)$ and $\hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} := \hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Q}(q)$. Since $v_\lambda \in \hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$, it is easy to check that $v_\lambda T_{w_\lambda} Y_{\lambda'} = v_\lambda \hat{T}_{w_\lambda} \hat{Y}_{\lambda'}$.

Lemma 4.3. ([HX, Lemmas 3.1, 3.2]) 1) $\mathbb{Q}(q)\alpha_q$ is the one dimensional trivial $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -submodule of $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes 2}$, i.e., $x\alpha_q = \varepsilon(x)\alpha_q$ for any $x \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$;

2) $Z_{g,\lambda}$ is a non-zero maximal vector in $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$ of weight λ with respect to the action of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$.

Proof. This follows from direct verification and the fact that the right action of $\mathfrak{B}_n(-q^{2m+1}, q)$ on $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$ commutes with the left action of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$.

Let $\hat{M} := (\hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)})^{\otimes n}$. Let $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ be the quantized enveloping algebra of the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Let $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ be Lusztig's \mathcal{A} -form in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$. There is a natural representation of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ on $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and hence on $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$ (cf. [DPS]). By [Lu2, (27.3)], the $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ -module \hat{M} is a based module. There is a bar involution ψ_A which is defined on \hat{M} . For any integers $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m$, there is a unique element $v_{i_1} \diamond v_{i_2} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{i_n} \in \hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$, such that

- (1) $\psi_A(v_{i_1} \diamond v_{i_2} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{i_n}) = v_{i_1} \diamond v_{i_2} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{i_n}$, and
- (2) $v_{i_1} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{i_n}$ is equal to $v_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_n}$ plus a linear combination of elements $v_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{j_n}$ with $(v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_n}) <^A (v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n})$ and with coefficients in $q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$, where " $<^A$ " is a partial order² defined in [Lu2, (27.3.1)].

Let $\hat{B} := \{v_{i_1} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{i_n}\}_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m}$. Then \hat{B} is the set of Lusztig's canonical bases of \hat{M} . In particular, \hat{B} is an \mathcal{A} -basis of $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$. Similarly, $M := (V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)})^{\otimes n}$ is a based module as a $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -module. Let B be the set of canonical bases of M . Then B is actually an \mathcal{A} -basis of $M_{\mathcal{A}} := V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$. Recall that π_0 is the set of dominant T -weights appeared in M (cf. (3)). By [Lu2, (27.2.1)], there is a partition

$$B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \pi_0} B[\lambda].$$

For each $\lambda \in \pi_0$, we set

$$B[\geq \lambda] := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \mu \in \pi_0} B[\mu], \quad B[> \lambda] := \bigsqcup_{\lambda < \mu \in \pi_0} B[\mu].$$

Let $M[\geq \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}, M[> \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the \mathcal{A} -submodule of $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by the canonical basis elements in $B[\geq \lambda], B[> \lambda]$ respectively. By [Lu2, 27.1.8], both $M[\geq \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $M[> \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}$ are $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -stable and we have that

$$M[\geq \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}/M[> \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}} \cong (\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathcal{A}})^{\oplus n_\lambda}, \quad (9)$$

for some $n_\lambda > 0$. Moreover, the canonical image of each $b \in B[\lambda]$ in $M[\geq \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}/M[> \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}$ is mapped to a canonical basis element of some direct summand $\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the right-hand side of (9).

Recall that a weight of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ is identified as an element $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ by setting $\langle \mu, \varepsilon_i \rangle = \mu_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$; in this case, μ is said to be dominant if $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_m$. For any two weights λ, μ of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$, we write $\lambda >_A \mu$

²Here we use the subscript and superscript "A" to emphasize that both the bar involution and the partial order depend on the type A_{m-1} root system.

if $\sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda_i - \mu_i) \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1})$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Let $\hat{\pi}_0$ be the set of dominant weights of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ appeared in $\hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$. Then $\hat{\pi}_0$ is nothing but the set of partitions of n with no more than m parts. For each $\lambda \in \hat{\pi}_0$, let $\hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}$ be as defined in [Lu2, 27.2.3]. Using $\hat{\pi}_0$ and the partial order $>_A$, one can define the subsets $\hat{B}[\geq \lambda], \hat{B}[> \lambda]$ and the \mathcal{A} -submodule $\hat{M}[\geq \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{M}[> \lambda]_{\mathcal{A}}$ in a similar way as before. We set

$$\hat{B}^{hi} := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \hat{\pi}_0} \hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}, \quad B^{hi} := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \pi_0} B[\lambda]^{hi}.$$

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ be a partition of n satisfying $\mu_1 \leq m$ and $\mu_l \neq 0$. We define

$$\hat{b}_\mu := \underbrace{v_{\mu_1} \diamond \cdots \diamond v_2 \diamond v_1}_{\mu_1 \text{ terms}} \underbrace{v_{\mu_2} \diamond \cdots \diamond v_2 \diamond v_1}_{\mu_2 \text{ terms}} \cdots \underbrace{v_{\mu_l} \diamond \cdots \diamond v_2 \diamond v_1}_{\mu_l \text{ terms}} \in \hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n},$$

which is (by definition) a canonical basis element in \hat{B} .

Lemma 4.4. *With the notations as above, we have that $\hat{b}_\mu \in \hat{B}^{hi}$.*

Proof. For each integer $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, let \tilde{E}_i, \tilde{F}_i denote the Kashiwara operators as defined in [Lu2]. Since we are following the notations and definitions of coproduct in Lusztig's book, the actions of Kashiwara operators on the tensor product of crystal bases are given by the following formulae (cf. [Lu2, 17.2.4, 20.2.2, 27.3.3])

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} b_1 \otimes \tilde{E}_i b_2, & \text{if } \epsilon_i(b_1) \leq \varphi_i(b_2); \\ \tilde{E}_i b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \epsilon_i(b_1) > \varphi_i(b_2); \end{cases} \\ \tilde{F}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} b_1 \otimes \tilde{F}_i b_2, & \text{if } \epsilon_i(b_1) < \varphi_i(b_2); \\ \tilde{F}_i b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \epsilon_i(b_1) \geq \varphi_i(b_2), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2$, B_1, B_2 are the crystal bases of the $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ -modules M', M'' respectively, and

$$\epsilon_i(b) = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \tilde{E}_i^n b \neq 0\}, \quad \varphi_i(b) = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \tilde{F}_i^n b \neq 0\}.$$

Let $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Recall that

$$\tilde{E}_i v_j = \begin{cases} v_i, & \text{if } j = i+1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tilde{F}_i v_j = \begin{cases} v_{i+1}, & \text{if } j = i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$\tilde{E}_i \left(\underbrace{v_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1}_{\mu_1 \text{ terms}} \otimes \underbrace{v_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1}_{\mu_2 \text{ terms}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{v_{\mu_l} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1}_{\mu_l \text{ terms}} \right) = 0.$$

But this follows from a direct verification (using the above tensor product rule) and an inductive argument.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ be a partition of n with $\ell(\mu) \leq m$. Suppose that $b_0 \in \hat{B}$ is a weight vector of weight μ with respect to the action of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$. Then*

1) $b_0 \in B$ and b_0 is also a weight vector of weight μ with respect to the action of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$; and

2) if $b_0 \in \hat{B}[\mu]^{hi}$ then $b_0 \in B[\mu]^{hi}$; and

3) $\hat{M}[\mu]_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq M[\mu]_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. We write $b_0 = v_{p_1} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{p_n}$, where $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_n \leq m$. By [Lu2, Theorem 27.3.2], there is a bar involution ψ_C on $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$, and for each $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq 2m$, there is a unique canonical basis element $v_{i_1} \diamond_C \dots \diamond_C v_{i_n} \in V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$ satisfying:

- (1) $\psi_C(v_{i_1} \diamond_C \dots \diamond_C v_{i_n}) = v_{i_1} \diamond_C \dots \diamond_C v_{i_n}$, and
- (2) $v_{i_1} \diamond_C \dots \diamond_C v_{i_n}$ is equal to $v_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_n}$ plus a linear combination of elements $v_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{j_n}$ with $(v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_n}) <^C (v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n})$, with coefficients in $q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$.

Here we use the subscript and superscript ‘‘C’’ to emphasize that these objects depend on the type C_m root system.

We claim that $\psi_C(v_{\underline{i}}) = \psi_A(v_{\underline{i}})$ for any $v_{\underline{i}} \in \hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$. We use induction on n . Suppose that our claim is true when n is replaced by $n - 1$. Let $\psi_A^{(n-1)}$, $\psi_C^{(n-1)}$ be the bar involutions defined on $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n-1}$, $V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n-1}$ respectively. Let Θ be the quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ introduced in [Lu2, 4.1]. We shall mainly follow the notations in [Lu2, 4.1] without any further explanation, except that we use q to denote the quantum parameter instead of v in Lusztig’s book. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} &:= \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, \quad I_0 := \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1} - \varepsilon_m\}, \quad I := \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1} - \varepsilon_m, 2\varepsilon_m\}, \\ \mathbf{U} &:= \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}), \quad \mathbf{U}^+ := \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m \rangle, \quad \mathbf{U}^- := \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, one can define the subalgebras $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^+$, $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^-$ of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ using the Chevalley generators of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$. It is well-known that both \mathbf{U}^+ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^+$ can be presented by their Chevalley generators and quantum Serre relations ([Ja1, 4.21]). Using the PBW bases ([Ja1, 8.24]) for \mathbf{U}^+ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^+$, it is easy to see that $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^+$ can be identified with the subalgebra of \mathbf{U}^+ generated by e_1, \dots, e_{m-1} . A similar statement holds for $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^-$ and \mathbf{U}^- . Let \mathbf{f} be defined as in [Lu2, 1.2.5] and $\{b\}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis of \mathbf{f} such that $B_{\nu} := \{b\} \cap \mathbf{f}_{\nu}$ is a basis of \mathbf{f}_{ν} for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}I$. Let $\{b^*\}$ be the basis of \mathbf{f} dual to $\{b\}$ under the bilinear form $(\ , \)$ introduced in [Lu2, 1.2.12]. Then $\Theta = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I} \Theta_{\nu}$, where

$$\Theta_{\nu} = (-1)^{\text{tr}\nu} q_{\nu} \sum_{b \in B_{\nu}} b^- \otimes (b^*)^+ \in \mathbf{U}_{\nu}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}_{\nu}^+.$$

Applying [Lu2, 1.2.3 (d)], we see that $\{b^*\}$ is homogeneous too. Moreover, $\bigcup_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I_0 \\ b \in B_{\nu}}} b^-$ and $\bigcup_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I_0 \\ b \in B_{\nu}}} (b^*)^+$ are bases of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^-$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)^+$ respectively.

Recall that v_1, \dots, v_m is a part of the canonical bases of the $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -module $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$. We refer the reader to [Hu2, Section 6] for the description of the whole canonical bases of $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ and the action of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ on $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$. The important

facts that we need here are $e_m \hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = 0$ and $e_j \hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \subseteq \hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}I \setminus \mathbb{N}I_0$ and any $b \in B_\nu$, we see that e_m must appear in every monomial occurring in $(b^*)^+$. In particular, this implies that $(b^*)^+ v_{i_n} = 0$ since $1 \leq i_n \leq m$.

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_C(v_{\underline{i}}) &= \Theta\left(\psi_C^{(n-1)}(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{n-1}}) \otimes \psi_C^{(1)}(v_{i_n})\right) \\ &= \Theta\left(\psi_A^{(n-1)}(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{n-1}}) \otimes v_{i_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I} (-1)^{\text{tr}\nu} q_\nu \sum_{b \in B_\nu} \left(b^- \psi_A^{(n-1)}(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{n-1}}) \otimes (b^*)^+ v_{i_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I_0} (-1)^{\text{tr}\nu} q_\nu \sum_{b \in B_\nu} \left(b^- \psi_A^{(n-1)}(v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{n-1}}) \otimes (b^*)^+ v_{i_n}\right) \\ &= \psi_A(v_{\underline{i}}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. This proves our claim. As a result, we deduce that $\psi_C(b_0) = \psi_A(b_0) = b_0$.

Now we have that

$$\psi_C(b_0 - v_{p_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{p_n}) = b_0 - v_{p_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{p_n}. \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, by construction, we have that

$$b_0 - v_{p_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{p_n} \in \sum_{\underline{j} \in I(2m, n)} q^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}] v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_n}.$$

Suppose that $b_0 \neq v_{p_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{p_n}$. Then we can find an $\underline{i} \in I(2m, n)$, such that

$$b_0 - v_{p_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{p_n} \in q^{-1} f(q^{-1}) v_{i_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{i_n} + \sum_{\substack{\underline{j} \in I(2m, n) \\ \underline{j} \not\leq^C \underline{i}}} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] v_{j_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{j_n},$$

where $0 \neq f(q^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$. Applying ψ_C to both sides of the above equality, we get a contradiction to (10). This proves that $b_0 = v_{p_1} \diamond_C \cdots \diamond_C v_{p_n} \in B$ and the first statement of the lemma follows. The second statement follows from the definition of $B[\mu]^{hi}$ (see [Lu2, 27.2.3]), the facts that $\tilde{E}_m v_i = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and the action of the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_{m-1}$ are compatible with the natural embedding $\hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n} \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$.

Finally, note that for any $b \in B[\mu]$, $b \in B[\mu]^{hi}$ if and only if the canonical image of b in $M[\geq \mu]_{\mathcal{A}} / M[> \mu]_{\mathcal{A}}$ is mapped to a highest weight vector of some direct summand $\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the right-hand side of (9). It follows that

$$M[> \mu]_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{\substack{b \in B[\nu]^{hi} \\ \mu < \nu \in \pi_0}} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})b, \quad \hat{M}[> \mu]_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{\substack{b \in B[\nu]^{hi} \\ \mu < \nu \in \hat{\pi}_0}} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)b.$$

Now the third statement follows from 2) and the fact for any $v \in \hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$, $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)v = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sl}_m)v$ and every Chevalley generator of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ acts on v in the same way as the corresponding Chevalley generator of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$.

Now we are in position to prove the key lemma in this section. The most difficult part is the second statement of the following lemma. The main idea of its proof is to show that there exists a canonical basis element $b \in B[\lambda]^{hi}$ of the $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -module $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$ such that $b \downarrow_{q=1}$ always appears with coefficient 1 in the linear expansion of $z_{0,\lambda}$ into the specialization at $q = 1$ of the canonical basis elements of $V_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$. The element b will be identified with a canonical basis element in the $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ -module $\hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$ and hence eventually identified with a parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of certain permutation module over a type A Hecke algebra.

Lemma 4.6. *Let K be an algebraically closed field. Suppose that λ is a partition of n with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$. Then*

- (1) $z_{0,\lambda}$ is a non-zero maximal vector of weight λ in $V^{\otimes n}$;
- (2) $(KG)z_{0,\lambda} \cong \Delta(\lambda)$, and $V^{\otimes n}/(KG)z_{0,\lambda}$ has a Weyl filtration;
- (3) the dimension of $z_{0,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n$ is independent of the field K .

Proof. It is well-known that $v_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'} \neq 0$ (cf. [Gr]). In particular, $z_{0,\lambda} = v_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'} \neq 0$. Note that $z_{0,\lambda} = Z_{0,\lambda} \downarrow_{q=1}$. Thus the statement 1) follows from Lemma 4.3. Since $z_{0,\lambda} \in \hat{V}^{\otimes n}$, it follows easily that $z_{0,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n = z_{0,\lambda}K\mathfrak{S}_n$. It is also well-known (cf. [Gr]) that $z_{0,\lambda}K\mathfrak{S}_n \cong y_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'} K\mathfrak{S}_n$ as a right $K\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module. In particular,

$$\dim z_{0,\lambda}\mathfrak{B}_n = \#\{\text{standard } \lambda'\text{-tableaux}\},$$

which is independent of the field K . This proves the statement 3). It remains to prove the statement 2). We divide the proof into three steps:

Step 1. We claim that there exists a canonical basis element $b \in \hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}$, such that

$$z_{0,\lambda} \equiv b \downarrow_{q=1} + \sum_{b \neq b' \in \hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}} c'_{b'} b' \downarrow_{q=1} \pmod{\hat{M}[\lambda]_{\mathbb{Z}}},$$

where $c'_{b'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each b' .

For each composition μ of n , let $(\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n})_{\mu}$ be the corresponding weight subspace of $\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}$ (with respect to the action of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$). It is well known that there is a right $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -module isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mu} : \hat{Y}_{\mu} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n) &\cong v_{\mu} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n) = (\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n})_{\mu} \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda})}^{\mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_n)} \rho_{\mu} \\ \hat{Y}_{\mu} h &\rightarrow v_{\mu} h, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n), \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_{μ} is the one dimensional representation of $\mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_{\mu})$ which is defined on generators by $\hat{T}_i \mapsto -q^{-1}$ for each $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}$.

Let ϕ be the anti-linear involution on $\mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ which is defined on generators by $\phi(\hat{T}_i) = \hat{T}_i^{-1}$, $\phi(q) = q^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. By the main result of [FKK], ϕ naturally induces an anti-linear involution on $\hat{Y}_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ so that one can define the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig bases $\{C_d\}_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}}$ of $\hat{Y}_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_n)$. Recall that $\hat{Y}_{\lambda} \mathcal{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ also has a standard basis $\{\hat{Y}_{\lambda} \hat{T}_d\}_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}}$. For each $d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}$, we have that

$$C_d \equiv \hat{Y}_{\lambda} \hat{T}_d \pmod{\sum_{d > d' \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}} q^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}] \hat{Y}_{\lambda} \hat{T}_{d'}}, \quad (11)$$

where “ $>$ ” is the usual Bruhat order defined on the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . We identify $\hat{Y}_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ with $(\hat{V}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n})_\lambda$ via the isomorphism ϕ_λ . It follows from [FKK, Theorem 2.5] that the bases $\{C_d\}_{d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda}$ coincide with the canonical bases of $\hat{V}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}^{\otimes n}$ which are of weight λ . Here one should understand the notations q, \hat{T}_i in this paper as the notations $v, -vT_i$ in [FKK]. Let $\underline{i}_\lambda \in I(m, n)$ such that $v_{\underline{i}_\lambda} = v_\lambda$. For each $d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $\phi_\lambda(\hat{Y}_\lambda \hat{T}_d) = v_{\underline{i}_\lambda d}$. Note that if $\underline{i}_\lambda d = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$, then $\phi_\lambda(C_d) = v_{j_1} \diamond v_{j_2} \diamond \dots \diamond v_{j_n}$.

We want to use the isomorphism ϕ_λ and (11) to express $z_{0,\lambda}$ into a linear combination of the specializations at $q = 1$ of some canonical basis elements. To this end, we first express $z_{0,\lambda}$ into a linear combination of standard basis elements. Let $w_{0,\lambda'}$ be the longest element in the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda'}$ of \mathfrak{S}_n . Note that $t^\lambda w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}$ is row-standard, which implies that $w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'} \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. Since $w_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{D}_{\lambda'}^{-1}$, we have that $\ell(w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}) = \ell(w_\lambda) + \ell(w_{0,\lambda'})$. Note also that $w_\lambda^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda w_\lambda \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda'} = \{1\}$. It follows that

$$y_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'} = y_\lambda w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'} + \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda \\ d \neq w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}}} a_d y_\lambda d$$

where $a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each d and $y_\lambda := \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} (-1)^{\ell(w)} w$. Since $w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'} > w_\lambda w$ for any $w_{0,\lambda'} \neq w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda'}$, we deduce that $a_d \neq 0$ only if $d < w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}$. That is,

$$y_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'} = y_\lambda w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'} + \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda \\ d < w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}}} a_d y_\lambda d. \quad (12)$$

Recall that in this paper \mathfrak{S}_n acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ by sign permutation action. Thus, $v_\lambda \mathbb{Z} \mathfrak{S}_n \cong y_\lambda \mathbb{Z} \mathfrak{S}_n$, $v_\lambda h \mapsto y_\lambda h$, $\forall h \in \mathbb{Z} \mathfrak{S}_n$. Note that $y_\lambda = (\hat{Y}_\lambda) \downarrow_{q=1}$, $d = (\hat{T}_d) \downarrow_{q=1}$ for each $d \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. It follows from (11), (12) and the isomorphism ϕ_λ that

$$v_\lambda w_\lambda x_{\lambda'} = b \downarrow_{q=1} + \sum_{b \neq b' \in \hat{B}} c_{b'} b', \quad (13)$$

where $b := \phi_\lambda(C_{w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}}) \in \hat{B}$. We set $\mu := \lambda'$ and write $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$, where $\mu_l \neq 0$ and $\mu_1 = \ell(\lambda) \leq m$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\underline{i}_\lambda(w_\lambda w_{0,\lambda'}) = \left(\underbrace{\mu_1, \mu_1 - 1, \dots, 1}_{\mu_1 \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{\mu_2, \mu_2 - 1, \dots, 1}_{\mu_2 \text{ terms}}, \dots, \underbrace{\mu_l, \mu_l - 1, \dots, 1}_{\mu_l \text{ terms}} \right),$$

which implies that $b = \hat{b}_\mu \in \hat{B}^{hi}$ by Lemma 4.4. Hence $b \in \hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}$ as b is a weight vector of weight λ . Note that $c_{b'} \neq 0$ only if b' is a weight vector of weight λ . On the other hand, if $b' \in \hat{B}[\mu]$ and b' is a weight vector of weight λ then we must have that λ is a weight of the Weyl module of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ associated to μ , which implies that $\mu \geq_A \lambda$. Therefore, we can rewrite (13) as

$$z_{0,\lambda} \equiv b \downarrow_{q=1} + \sum_{b \neq b' \in \hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}} c_{b'} b' \downarrow_{q=1} \pmod{\hat{M}[\lambda]_{\mathbb{Z}}},$$

where $b \in \hat{B}[\lambda]^{hi}$, $c_{b'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each b' . This proves our claim.

Step 2. We claim that

$$z_{0,\lambda} \equiv b \downarrow_{q=1} + \sum_{b \neq b' \in B[\lambda]^{hi}} c_{b'} b' \downarrow_{q=1} \pmod{M[>\lambda]_{\mathbb{Z}}}, \quad (14)$$

where $b \in B[\lambda]^{hi}$ and $c_{b'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each b' .

Indeed, this follows directly from the result we obtained in Step 1 and Lemma 4.5.

Step 3. Let $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ be the Kostant \mathbb{Z} -form in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$. It is well-known that (cf. [Lu1, (6.7)(c),(6.7)(d)])

$$\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}) \cong \left(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z} \right) / \left(\langle K_1 - 1, \dots, K_m - 1 \rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{Z} \right).$$

We claim that $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda} \cong \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$, and $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} / \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda}$ has a Weyl filtration.

Recall the equality (14) we obtained in Step 2. Let $b \in B[\lambda]^{hi}$ be the canonical basis element we obtained in Step 2. Using Lemma 3.7 3), we can get a sequence $\{\mu_{(i)}\}_{i=1}^k$ of dominant weights in π_0 and construct a Weyl filtration of $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ as follows:

$$0 = M_{(0)}^{\mathcal{A}} \subset M_{(1)}^{\mathcal{A}} \subset \dots \subset M_{(k-1)}^{\mathcal{A}} \subset M_{(k)}^{\mathcal{A}} = M_{\mathcal{A}} = V_{\mathcal{A}}^{\otimes n}, \quad (15)$$

such that

- (1) for each integer $1 \leq i \leq k$, $M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is spanned by the canonical basis elements it contains;
- (2) for each integer $1 \leq i \leq k$, there is a $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -module isomorphism: $M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}} / M_{(i-1)}^{\mathcal{A}} \cong \Delta(\mu_{(i)})_{\mathcal{A}}$, such that if $b' \in M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}} \cap B$ then the canonical image of b' in $M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}} / M_{(i-1)}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is mapped either to 0 or to a canonical basis element of $\Delta(\mu_{(i)})_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (3) $\mu_{(i)} > \mu_{(j)}$ only if $i < j$;
- (4) $B[\lambda]^{hi} \setminus \{b\} \subseteq M_{(i_0-1)}^{\mathcal{A}}$, where $1 \leq i_0 \leq k$ is the unique integer such that $b \in M_{(i_0)}^{\mathcal{A}} \setminus M_{(i_0-1)}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

In particular, $\mu_{(i_0)} = \lambda$ as $b \in B[\lambda]^{hi}$. Thus we can write $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^N$, where $N = (2m)^n$, such that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, the elements in the subset $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \sum_{s=1}^i \dim \Delta(\mu_{(s)})\}$ form an \mathcal{A} -basis of $M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}}$. Moreover,

$$M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{b \in M_{(i)}^{\mathcal{A}} \cap B^{hi}} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})b. \quad (16)$$

Specializing at $q = 1$, we get a $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -submodules filtration of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}$. We define a new $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -submodules filtration of $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}$ as follows:

$$0 = N_{(0)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset N_{(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \dots \subset N_{(k-1)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset N_{(k)}^{\mathbb{Z}} = M_{\mathbb{Z}} = V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$N_{(i)}^{\mathbb{Z}} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda} + \sum_{b' \in M_{(i-1)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap B^{hi}} \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})b' \downarrow_{q=1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i < i_0; \\ M_{(i)}^{\mathbb{Z}}, & \text{if } i_0 \leq i \leq k. \end{cases}$$

We claim that (17) is a Weyl filtration of $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$. In fact, to prove this claim, it suffices to show that for each $1 \leq i \leq i_0$, $N_{(i)}^{\mathbb{Z}}/N_{(i-1)}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is isomorphic to some Weyl module.

Let F be any field which is a \mathbb{Z} -algebra. Let $\mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}) := \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$. Replacing \mathbb{Z} by F in (17), we get a $\mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -submodules filtration of $M_F := V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n} \otimes F$ as follows:

$$0 = N_{(0)}^F \subset N_{(1)}^F \subset \cdots \subset N_{(k-1)}^F \subset N_{(k)}^F = M_F. \quad (18)$$

Recall that $z_{0,\lambda}$ is a maximal vector of weight λ . Using (14), (16), together with the third and the fourth properties of the filtration (15), we see that

$$N_{(i_0)}^F = \mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda} + \sum_{b' \in M_{(i_0-1)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap B^{hi}} \mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})b' \downarrow_{q=1}.$$

By the second property of the filtration (15), we know that

$$\left(\sum_{b' \in M_{(i)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap B^{hi}} \mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})b' \downarrow_{q=1} \right) / \left(\sum_{b' \in M_{(i-1)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap B^{hi}} \mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})b' \downarrow_{q=1} \right) \cong \Delta(\mu_{(i)})_F.$$

It follows that each $N_{(i)}^F/N_{(i-1)}^F$ must be a homomorphic image of $\Delta(\lambda_{(i)})_F$, where

$$\lambda_{(i)} := \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ \mu_{(i-1)}, & 1 \leq i \leq i_0; \\ \mu_{(i)}, & \text{if } i_0 + 1 \leq i \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Noting that

$$\dim(M_F) = (2m)^n = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } \Delta(\mu_{(i)})_{\mathbb{Z}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim \Delta(\mu_{(i)})_F = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim \Delta(\lambda_{(i)})_F,$$

and comparing the dimensions, we deduce that the natural surjection from $\Delta(\lambda_{(i)})_F$ onto $N_{(i)}^F/N_{(i-1)}^F$ must be an isomorphism. In particular,

$$\mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda} = N_{(1)}^F \cong \Delta(\lambda_{(1)})_F = \Delta(\lambda)_F.$$

Since $z_{0,\lambda}$ is a maximal vector of weight λ , we have a natural surjection from $\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ onto $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda}$, which induces a surjection from $\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes F$ onto $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda} \otimes F$. On the other hand, we also have a natural surjection from $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})z_{0,\lambda} \otimes F$

onto $\mathbb{U}_F(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})_{z_{0,\lambda}} \cong \Delta(\lambda)_F$. It follows again by comparing dimensions that the natural surjection from $\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes F$ onto $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})_{z_{0,\lambda}} \otimes F$ is an isomorphism for any field F . Hence the natural surjection from $\Delta(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ onto $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})_{z_{0,\lambda}}$ must be an isomorphism as well. By a similar argument, we can show that the natural surjection from $\Delta(\lambda_{(i)})_{\mathbb{Z}}$ onto $N_{(i)}^{\mathbb{Z}}/N_{(i-1)}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ must be an isomorphism as well for each i . As a result, the $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})$ -module $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})_{z_{0,\lambda}} = N_{(k)}^{\mathbb{Z}}/N_{(1)}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has a Weyl filtration. This proves our claim.

Finally, by taking $F = K$ and noting that $\mathbb{U}_K(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})_{z_{0,\lambda}} = (KG)_{z_{0,\lambda}}$ (cf. [Ja2]) whenever K is an algebraically closed field, we deduce that $(KG)_{z_{0,\lambda}} \cong \Delta(\lambda)$, and $V^{\otimes n}/(KG)_{z_{0,\lambda}}$ has a Weyl filtration. This completes the proof of the statement 2).

Corollary 4.7. *Let g be an integer with $0 \leq g \leq [n/2]$ and λ a partition of $n - 2g$ satisfying $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$. Then there exists an embedding $\Delta(\lambda) \hookrightarrow V^{\otimes n-2g}$ such that $V^{\otimes n-2g}/\Delta(\lambda)$ has a Weyl filtration. In particular,*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_G^1(V^{\otimes n-2g}/\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n}) = 0.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 3.5.

In the remaining part of this section, we fix an integer $0 \leq g \leq [n/2]$ and a partition λ of $n - 2g$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$. For simplicity, we shall write $z_{g,\lambda}$ instead of $z_{g,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} 1_K$. By Lemma 4.3 and specializing q to 1, we get that $z_{g,\lambda}$ is a non-zero maximal vector of weight λ with respect to the action of G on $V^{\otimes n}$. That is,

$$0 \neq z_{g,\lambda} \in (V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U. \quad (19)$$

As a consequence, $z_{g,\lambda} \mathfrak{B}_n \subseteq (V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U$. On the other hand, we have

$$(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n}).$$

Since $V^{\otimes n}$ has a good filtration, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that the dimensions of $\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n})$ and hence of $(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U$ are independent of K . Therefore, to complete the proof of Lemma 3.10 as well as the second part of Theorem 1.9, it suffices to prove that

$$(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U = z_{g,\lambda} \mathfrak{B}_n. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 4.8. *With the notations as above, we have that $z_{g,\lambda} \mathfrak{B}_n = z_{g,\lambda} K \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

Proof. For each $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ and $\underline{i} \in I(2m, n)$, recall that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\underline{i}} e_{s,t} = -\langle v_{i_s}, v_{i_t} \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{2m} v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{s-1}} \otimes v_k \otimes v_{i_{s+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \\ v_{i_{t-1}} \otimes v_k^* \otimes v_{i_{t+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from the definition of $z_{g,\lambda}$ and some direct verification.

In view of the above discussion and Lemma 4.8, to prove (20), it suffices to show that $(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U = z_{g,\lambda}K\mathfrak{S}_n$. Using Corollary 4.7, we have an embedding $\Delta(\lambda) \hookrightarrow V^{\otimes n-2g}$ such that $V^{\otimes n-2g}/\Delta(\lambda)$ has a Weyl filtration. Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram of homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2g}, V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(g)}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2g}, V^{\otimes n}) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & \beta \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(g)}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n}), \end{array} \quad (21)$$

where by Lemma 3.4 the top horizontal map is an isomorphism and the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}_{KG}^1(V^{\otimes n-2g}/\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n}) = 0$ forces that the right vertical map is a surjection. Since the bottom horizontal map is an injection, it follows that the left vertical map β must be a surjection and the bottom horizontal map must be an isomorphism. Now applying Lemma 3.4, we get that $\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(\Delta(\lambda), V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(g)})$ is spanned by $\beta(\sigma\tau_g)$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, where τ_g is defined in (4). Therefore, we can deduce that the subspace of maximal vectors of weight λ in $V^{\otimes n}$ is spanned by all $\beta(\sigma\tau_g)(z_{0,\lambda}) = z_{g,\lambda}\sigma$, where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Hence $(V^{\otimes n})_{\lambda}^U = z_{g,\lambda}K\mathfrak{S}_n$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.10 as well as the second part of Theorem 1.9.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.8

The purpose of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 1.8.

Lemma 5.1. *For any integer $1 \leq f \leq [n/2]$, we have that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = 0.$$

In particular, the canonical embedding

$$\iota_1 : \mathrm{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$$

is actually an isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \neq 0$. By the proof of Lemma 3.3, $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ is a sum of some submodules M_i such that $M_i \cong V^{\otimes n-2f}$ for each i . It follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \neq 0.$$

Since $V^{\otimes n-2f}$ is a tilting module, $V^{\otimes n-2f}$ has a Weyl filtration. For each $\mu \in X^+$, $(V^{\otimes n-2f} : \Delta(\mu)) \neq 0$ only if $\mu \vdash (n-2f-2t)$ for some integer $0 \leq t \leq [(n-2f)/2]$.

By Theorem 1.5, we know that $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ has a good filtration. For each $\lambda \in X^+$, $(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} : \nabla(\lambda)) \neq 0$ only if $\lambda \vdash (n-2s)$ for some integer $0 \leq s < f$.

By Lemma 3.5, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n-2f}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in X^+} (V^{\otimes n-2f} : \Delta(\lambda))(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} : \nabla(\lambda)) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n-2f-2t, \lambda \vdash n-2s \\ 0 \leq t \leq [(n-2f)/2] \\ 0 \leq s < f}} (V^{\otimes n-2f} : \Delta(\lambda))(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} : \nabla(\lambda)) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Lemma 5.2. 1) *The canonical map*

$$\theta_1 : \operatorname{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$$

is surjective;

2) *the dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is independent of K .*

Proof. We have the following exact sequence of maps:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) &\rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} \\
&\operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_G^1(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $V^{\otimes n}$ has a Weyl filtration, and by Theorem 1.8, $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ has a good filtration, it follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_G^1(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = 0$. This implies that the canonical map

$$\theta_1 : \operatorname{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$$

is surjective. This proves 1).

The above exact sequence implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \\
&= \dim \operatorname{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $V^{\otimes n}$ has a Weyl filtration as well as a good filtration, and $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ has a good filtration, and both the character formula of $V^{\otimes n}$ and of $V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ are independent of K , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in X^+} (V^{\otimes n} : \Delta(\lambda))(V^{\otimes n} : \nabla(\lambda)) - \sum_{\lambda \in X^+} (V^{\otimes n} : \Delta(\lambda))(V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} : \nabla(\lambda)) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in X^+} \left((V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} : \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda))(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} : \nabla_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)) - \right. \\
&\quad \left. (V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n} : \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda))(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)} : \nabla_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda)) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is independent of K . Note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \mathrm{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}).$$

So 2) also follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.8: Let φ''_K denote the natural K -algebra homomorphism: $\mathfrak{B}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$. Then

$$\varphi_K(\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \varphi''_K(\mathfrak{B}_n).$$

In view of Lemma 5.2, it suffices to show that φ''_K is surjective. We consider the following commutative diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{B}_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_K} & \mathrm{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}) \\ \varphi''_K \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_1 \\ \mathrm{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) & \xrightarrow[\iota_1]{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}, V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}), \end{array}$$

By [DDH], the top horizontal map is surjective. By Lemma 5.2, θ_1 is surjective. By Lemma 5.1, ι_1 is an isomorphism. It follows that φ''_K must be surjective, as required.

We remark that Theorem 1.8 can be strengthened in the following sense: the algebraically closed field K can be replaced by an arbitrary infinite field.

Proposition 5.3. *For any infinite field K (not necessarily algebraically closed), $\dim_K \mathrm{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is independent of the infinite field K . Moreover,*

$$\varphi_K(\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \mathrm{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}).$$

Proof. Let $A_K^{sy}(2m, n) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_n}(V^{\otimes n})$ be the symplectic Schur algebra. By Theorem 1.1, there is a natural surjection $KSp_{2m}(K) \rightarrow A_K^{sy}(2m, n)$. The action of $KSp_{2m}(K)$ on $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ factors through an action of $A_K^{sy}(2m, n)$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \mathrm{End}_{A_K^{sy}(2m, n)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}).$$

Let \overline{K} be the algebraic closure of K . By [DDH] and [O], $A_K^{sy}(2m, n) \otimes_K \overline{K} \cong A_{\overline{K}}^{sy}(2m, n)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) &= \mathrm{End}_{A_K^{sy}(2m, n)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{End}_{A_{\overline{K}}^{sy}(2m, n)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \otimes_K \overline{K}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_K \mathrm{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) &= \dim_K \mathrm{End}_{A_K^{sy}(2m, n)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) \\ &= \dim_{\overline{K}} \mathrm{End}_{\overline{K}Sp_{2m}(\overline{K})}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}) = \dim_{\overline{K}} \varphi''_{\overline{K}}(\mathfrak{B}_n^{\overline{K}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim_K \text{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is independent of the infinite field K . Note that $\varphi_K''(\mathfrak{B}_n^{\overline{K}}) = \varphi_K(\mathfrak{B}_n) \otimes_K \overline{K}$. It follows that

$$\dim_K \varphi_K(\mathfrak{B}_n) = \dim_{\overline{K}} \varphi_K''(\mathfrak{B}_n^{\overline{K}}) = \dim_K \text{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}),$$

from which the proposition follows immediately.

If $f = 1$ and $m \geq n$, then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim K\mathfrak{S}_n &= \dim \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (\dim S_{\mathbb{C}}^{\lambda})^2 = \dim \text{End}_{KSp_{2m}(\mathbb{C})}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}/V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}) \\ &= \dim \text{End}_{KG}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

This implies that φ_K maps $K\mathfrak{S}_n \cong \mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}$ isomorphically onto

$$\text{End}_{KSp_{2m}(K)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)}).$$

This gives the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. ([DS], [Ma]) *Let K be an arbitrary infinite field. If $m \geq n$, then $\varphi_{1,K}$ maps $\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)} \cong K\mathfrak{S}_n$ isomorphically onto $\text{End}_{KSp(V)}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(1)})$.*

Remark 5.5. Let f be an integer with $1 \leq f \leq [n/2]$ and K an infinite field. Proposition 5.3 proves one side of the Brauer-Schur-Weyl duality between $\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$ and $KSp(V)$ on $V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}$. We conjecture that the other side of the Brauer-Schur-Weyl duality is also true. That is, the dimension of the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is independent of K and the natural K -algebra homomorphism $\psi_K : KSp_{2m}(K) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{B}_n/\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)}}(V^{\otimes n}/V^{\otimes n}\mathfrak{B}_n^{(f)})$ is also surjective.

References

- [B] R. Brauer, *On algebras which are connected with semisimple continuous groups*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 857–872.
- [BW] J. Birman and H. Wenzl, *Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), 249–273.
- [De] C. De Concini, *Symplectic standard tableaux*, Adv. in Math. **34** (1979), 1–27.
- [DP] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 330–354.
- [DDH] R. Dipper, S. Doty and J. Hu, *Brauer algebras, symplectic Schur algebras and Schur-Weyl duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 189–213.
- [DPS] J. Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Quantum Weyl reciprocity and tilting modules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 321–352.
- [DS] C. De Concini, E. Strickland, *Traceless tensors and the symmetric group*, J. Alg. **61** (1979), 112–28.

- [DH] S. Doty and J. Hu, *Schur–Weyl duality for orthogonal groups*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **98** (2009), 679–713.
- [DM] F. Digne and J. Michel, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 21, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [E] J. Enyang, *Cellular bases for the Brauer and Birman–Murakami–Wenzl algebras*, J. Alg. **281** (2004), 413–449.
- [FKK] I.B. Frenkel, M.G. Khovanov and A.A. Kirillov, *Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials and canonical basis*, Transformation Groups **3** (1998), 321–336.
- [GW] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [GL] J. J. Graham and G. I. Lehrer, *Cellular algebras*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996), 1–34.
- [Gr] R. M. Green, *q-Schur algebras and quantized enveloping algebras*, PhD thesis, Warwick University, 1995.
- [HW1] P. Hanlon and D. B. Wales, *On the decomposition of Brauer’s centralizer algebras*, J. Alg. bf 121 (1989), 409–445.
- [HW2] P. Hanlon and D. B. Wales, *Eigenvalues connected with Brauer’s centralizer algebras*, J. Alg. **121** (1989), 446–475.
- [Hu1] J. Hu, *Specht filtrations and tensor spaces for the Brauer algebra*, J. Algebraic Combinatorics **28** (2008), 281–312.
- [Hu2] J. Hu, *BMW algebra, quantized coordinate algebra and type C Schur–Weyl duality*, to appear in Representation Theory (2010).
- [HX] J. Hu and Z. Xiao, *Partially harmonic tensors and quantized Schur–Weyl duality*, submitted, preprint, (2010).
- [HY] J. Hu and Y. Yang, *Some irreducible representations of Brauer’s centralizer algebras*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal **46** (2004), 499–513.
- [Ja1] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [Ja2] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, second edition, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [Lu1] G. Lusztig, *Finite dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 257–296.
- [Lu2] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Math., vol. 110, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.
- [Ma] M. Maliakas, *Traceless tensors and invariants*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **124** (1998), 73–80.
- [Mu] J. Murakami, *The Kauffman polynomial of links and representation theory*, Osaka J. Math. **26** (1987), 745–758.
- [O] S. Oehms, *Centralizer coalgebras, FRT-construction, and symplectic monoids*, J. Algebra (1) **244** (2001), 19–44.
- [Wen] H. Wenzl, *On the structure of Brauer’s centralizer algebras*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 173–193.
- [Wey] H. Weyl, *The classical groups, their invariants and representations*, Princeton University Press, 1946.