

Spin-orbit corrections of order $m\alpha^6$ to the fine structure of (37, 35) state in ${}^4\text{He}^+\bar{p}$ atom.

Vladimir I. Korobov
 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
 141980, Dubna, Russia*

Zhen-Xiang Zhong
 Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, CAS
 430071, Wuhan, People's Republic of China

Precise numerical calculation of radiofrequency intervals between hyperfine sublevels of the (37, 35) state of the antiprotonic helium-4 atom is presented. Theoretical consideration includes the QED corrections of order $m\alpha^6$ to the electron spin-orbit interaction. The effective Hamiltonian is derived using the formalism of the nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics (NRQED).

PACS numbers: 36.10.-k, 31.15.A-,31.30.J-

I. INTRODUCTION

The high precision spectroscopy measurement of the hyperfine structure of the antiprotonic helium has two-fold interest. First, it is expected that it may be a way to obtain improved value of the magnetic moment of an antiproton. The other point is that it can be a good benchmark for testing QED theoretical methods for the Coulomb three-body bound states to a high precision.

At present several theoretical calculations for the hyperfine structure of the (37, 35) state of the ${}^4\text{He}^+\bar{p}$ atom have been performed [1, 2, 3, 4]. Since all the results were obtained within the frames of the same Breit-Pauli approximation, the major difference in the obtained data was either due to numerical inaccuracy of the nonrelativistic solution or due to a difference in the choice of the physical constants. Still they were in a good agreement (within the error bars of the theoretical approximation) with the first experimental observation of the 13 GHz

intervals [5].

Recently, the ASACUSA experiment has obtained new precise values for the two RF transitions of the (37, 35) state in the ${}^4\text{He}^+\bar{p}$ atom [6, 7] (the notation is shown on the schematic diagram of the (37, 35) state on Fig. 1):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+ &= 12\,896.641(63) \text{ MHz}, \\ \tau_- &= 12\,924.461(63) \text{ MHz}.\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

The difference between τ_+ and τ_- is nearly proportional to the antiprotonic magnetic moment and has a value

$$\Delta\tau = 27.825(33) \text{ MHz}.\quad (2)$$

That should be compared with the theoretical prediction [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+ &= 12\,896.35(69) \text{ MHz}, \\ \tau_- &= 12\,924.24(69) \text{ MHz}.\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

It is seen that the experimental error is more than an order of magnitude smaller and transitions have some systematic shift toward larger values. The Breit-Pauli approximation used so far is limited by the uncertainty of order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ and higher order corrections should be included into consideration to achieve the similar accuracy as in the experiment.

The effective Hamiltonian of the hyperfine interaction may be written (see details in [3])

$$\begin{aligned}H^{\text{eff}} &= \underline{E}_1(\mathbf{s}_e \cdot \mathbf{L}) + E_2(\mathbf{s}_{\bar{p}} \cdot \mathbf{L}) + E_3(\mathbf{s}_e \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\bar{p}}) \\ &\quad + E_4 \{2L(L+1)(\mathbf{s}_e \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\bar{p}}) \\ &\quad - 3[(\mathbf{s}_{\bar{p}} \cdot \mathbf{L})(\mathbf{s}_e \cdot \mathbf{L}) + (\mathbf{s}_e \cdot \mathbf{L})(\mathbf{s}_{\bar{p}} \cdot \mathbf{L})]\}.\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

To get improved values for the τ_+ and τ_- transitions one needs to get contributions of the next to the leading order for the electron spin-orbit interaction coefficient E_1 . It may be done within the framework of the NRQED formalism [8]. Some details of the derivation of the $m\alpha^6$ order contributions may be found in [9].




FIG. 1: Schematic diagram of hyperfine sublevels of the (37, 35) state of ${}^4\text{He}^+\bar{p}$ atom.

*Electronic address: korobov@theor.jinr.ru

II. CORRECTIONS OF ORDER $m\alpha^6$ TO THE EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN OF THE FINE STRUCTURE.

In what follows we use the notation: $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{r}_e$, and $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{p}_e$ are coordinates and impulses of three particles in the center of mass frame, where subscript 1 stands for a helium nucleus and 2 for an antiproton. We also make use $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{R}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ for coordinates of an electron with respect to one of the nuclei.

According to the NRQED the effective Hamiltonian includes the three new interactions, which contribute to the electron spin-orbit term at the $m\alpha^6$ order. Two are relativistic corrections of order v^2/c^2 to the vertex functions for the spin-orbit and Fermi interactions (see [8])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 &= e^2 \left(i \frac{3\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e^P [\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}_e] (p_e'^2 + p_e^2)}{32m_e^4} \right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2} (Z_i) \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= -e^2 \left(i \frac{[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e^P \times \mathbf{q}] (p_e'^2 + p_e^2)}{8m_e^3} \right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2} \left(Z_i \frac{\mathbf{P}_i}{M_i} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The third is the seagull vertex interaction with one Coulomb and one transverse photon lines:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_3 &= e^4 \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e^P}{4m_e^2} \left[\left\{ \left[-\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_2^2} \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{q_2^i q_2^j}{\mathbf{q}_2^2} \right) \right] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(-Z_2 \frac{\mathbf{P}_2 + \mathbf{P}_2}{2M_2} \right) \right\} \left[\frac{i\mathbf{q}_1}{\mathbf{q}_1^2} \right] (Z_1) \right] + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

the sources may be two different nuclei or may coincide.

To get a complete set of corrections one needs to take into account the second order contribution as well

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_A &= 2\alpha^4 \left\langle H_B \left| Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q \left| \frac{Z_i(\mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{p}_e)}{2m_e^2 r_i^3} \mathbf{s}_e \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2\alpha^4 \left\langle H_B \left| Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q \left| \frac{Z_i(\mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{P}_i)}{m_e M_i r_i^3} \mathbf{s}_e \right. \right. \right. \right. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$H_B = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_e^4}{8m_e^3} + \frac{\pi}{2m_e^2} [Z_1 \delta(\mathbf{r}_1) + Z_2 \delta(\mathbf{r}_2)]. \quad (8)$$

Radiative corrections (form factors of the electron) have been already included into consideration as contributions to the anomalous magnetic moment.

Transforming potentials \mathcal{V}_i to the coordinate space and atomic units one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 &= -\alpha^6 c^2 \frac{3Z_i}{16m_e^4} \left\{ p_e^2, \frac{1}{r_i^3} [\mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{p}_e] \right\} \mathbf{s}_e, \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= \alpha^6 c^2 \frac{Z_i}{4m_e^3 M_i} \left\{ p_e^2, \frac{1}{r_i^3} [\mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{P}_i] \right\} \mathbf{s}_e. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_3 &= -\alpha^6 c^2 \frac{Z_1 Z_2}{4m_e^2} \left\{ \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_2]}{M_2 r_1^3 r_2} + \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_1]}{M_1 r_1 r_2^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2]}{r_1^3 r_2^3} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{P}_1)}{M_1} - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_2 \mathbf{P}_2)}{M_2} \right] \right\} \mathbf{s}_e. \end{aligned} \quad (10a)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_4 = -\alpha^6 c^2 \frac{1}{4m_e^2} \left\{ Z_1^2 \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_1]}{M_1 r_1^4} + Z_2^2 \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_2]}{M_2 r_2^4} \right\} \mathbf{s}_e. \quad (10b)$$

III. VARIATIONAL WAVE FUNCTION

For numerical calculations we use the exponential variational expansion, which has been discussed in details in [10]. Namely, the wave function for a state with a total orbital angular momentum L and of a total spatial parity $\pi = (-1)^L$ is expanded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}_1) &= \sum_{l_1+l_2=L} \mathcal{Y}_{LM}^{l_1 l_2}(\hat{\mathbf{R}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1) G_{l_1 l_2}^{L\pi}(R, r_1, r_2), \\ G_{l_1 l_2}^{L\pi}(R, r_1, r_2) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ C_n \operatorname{Re} [e^{-\alpha_n R - \beta_n r_1 - \gamma_n r_2}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + D_n \operatorname{Im} [e^{-\alpha_n R - \beta_n r_1 - \gamma_n r_2}] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where \mathbf{R} is a position vector of an antiproton and \mathbf{r}_1 is a position vector of an electron with respect to a nucleus; parameters α_n are complex, and β_n, γ_n are real, they are generated in a pseudorandom way,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_n) &= \left[\left[\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \sqrt{p_\alpha} \right] (A_2 - A_1) + A_1 \right], \\ \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_n) &= \left[\left[\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \sqrt{p'_\alpha} \right] (A'_2 - A'_1) + A'_1 \right], \\ \beta_n &= \left[\left[\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \sqrt{p_\beta} \right] (B_2 - B_1) + B_1 \right], \\ \gamma_n &= \left[\left[\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \sqrt{p_\gamma} \right] (C_2 - C_1) + C_1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here $[x]$ denotes fractional part of x , and p_α, p_β or p_γ are some prime numbers and A_i, B_i, C_i are variational parameters.

For the initial wave function of the bound state we use the triple basis set with the total number of terms $N = 2200$ in expansion (11) and full optimization of variational parameters. That yields the non-relativistic energy for this state

$$E_{nr}(37, 35) = -2.899\,282\,183\,295\,31(1) \text{ au}$$

The CODATA06 recommended values [11] have been adopted for calculations: $m_{\bar{p}} = m_p = 1836.152\,672\,47(80)m_e$, $m_\alpha = 7294.299\,5365(31)m_e$ and $R_\infty c = 3.289\,841\,960\,361(22) \times 10^6$ MHz.

For the intermediate states of the second order iteration the similar variational expansion (11) with various basis lengths $N = 520 \div 960$ has been used.

IV. REDUCE A SINGULARITY IN THE SECOND ORDER CONTRIBUTION

The H_B operator in the second order term (7) is too singular. It requires careful consideration because intermediate states should include functions with asymptotic

set	$[A_1, A_2]$	$[A'_1, A'_2]$	$[B_1, B_2]$	$[C_1, C_2]$
1-st set	[66.6, 87.6]	[0.4, 5.2]	[0.00, 2.05]	[0.00, 0.87]
2-nd set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[0.94, 5.70]	[0.00, 1.94]
3-d set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[5.00, 80.0]	[0.00, 0.10]
4-th set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[0.00, 0.20]	[2.00, 70.0]
5-th set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[90., 1000.]	[0.00, 0.10]
6-th set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[0.00, 0.10]	[80.0, 800.]
7-th set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[10 ³ , 10 ⁴]	[0.00, 0.10]
8-th set	[66.0, 75.4]	[0.0, 5.4]	[0.00, 0.10]	[800., 10 ⁴]

TABLE I: Variational parameters for eight basis sets used in the second order contribution calculations.

behaviour at small distances like $\sim 1/r_1$ (or $1/r_2$). The usual regular trial functions would result in a very slow convergence of ΔE_A .

In order to smooth the perturbation and to reduce the singularity of the intermediate wave function we may use transformation

$$H'_B = H_B - (E_0 - H_0)U - U(E_0 - H_0) \quad (13)$$

The delta-function singularity in $|H_B\Psi_0\rangle$ has the following structure

$$H_B\Psi_0 = -\frac{1}{m_e^2} \left[Z_1 \left(\frac{\mu_1}{m_e} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi\delta(\mathbf{r}_1) + Z_2 \left(\frac{\mu_2}{m_e} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi\delta(\mathbf{r}_2) \right] \Psi_0 + \dots, \quad (14)$$

where $1/\mu_i = 1/m_e + 1/M_i$.

It is natural to take U in the form $U = c_1/r_1 + c_2/r_2$. The coefficients c_i may be obtained by substituting U into the initial Schrödinger equation

$$(E_0 - H_0) \left(\frac{c_1}{r_1} + \frac{c_2}{r_2} \right) = -\frac{2c_1}{\mu_1} \pi\delta(\mathbf{r}_1) - \frac{2c_2}{\mu_2} \pi\delta(\mathbf{r}_2) + \dots$$

then comparing the latter expression with Eq. (14) one gets:

$$c_1 = \frac{\mu_1(2\mu_1 - m_e)}{4m_e^3} Z_1, \quad (15)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{\mu_2(2\mu_2 - m_e)}{4m_e^3} Z_2.$$

Thus the second order term may be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle H_B | Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q | H_{SO} \rangle \\ &= \langle H'_B | Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q | H_{SO} \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle U H_{SO} \rangle - \langle U \rangle \langle H_{SO} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Matrix elements of H'_B may be obtained directly from Eq. (13). Additional term to the effective Hamiltonian is expressed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_m^{(6)} \rangle &= \langle U H_{SO} \rangle - \langle U \rangle \langle H_{SO} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(\frac{c_1}{r_1} + \frac{c_2}{r_2} \right) H_{SO} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{c_1}{r_1} + \frac{c_2}{r_2} \right\rangle \langle H_{SO} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

For the numerical evaluation of the second order term from Eq. (16) we use the eight basis sets, where the first two approximate the regular part of the intermediate solution, and the remaining six sets with growing exponents are introduced to reproduce behaviour of the type $\ln(r_1)$ (or $\ln(r_2)$) at small values of r_1 or r_2 . The particular variational parameters used are presented in Table I.

V. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

Matrix elements in Eqs. (9)-(10a) and (16)-(17) for the basis functions (11) of the exponential variational expansion were evaluated analytically using the recurrences derived in [12] with some modifications, which allowed to improve stability. The generating functions $\Gamma_{-4,0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $\Gamma_{-3,-1,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ were taken from [13]. What corresponds to the cut-off regularization of the integrals at $r_{1,2} = \rho$, where $\rho \ll 1$.

For all vector operators the reduced matrix element is assumed. Here we present numerical values of some the most complicate operators.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle p_e^2 \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_e]}{r_1^3} \right\rangle &= 1.5575929 & \left\langle p_e^2 \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{p}_e]}{r_2^3} \right\rangle &= 2.2459243 \\ \left\langle p_e^2 \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_1]}{r_1^3} \right\rangle &= 3272.7020 & \left\langle p_e^2 \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_2]}{r_2^3} \right\rangle &= -3080.3879 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

n_1	n_2	n_3	$\frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_e]}{r_1^3}$	$\frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_1]}{r_1^3}$	$\frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{p}_e]}{r_2^3}$	$\frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_2]}{r_2^3}$
20	20	0	0.2883781	680.0299	0.5135404	-1204.595
20	20	20	0.2411901	624.7925	0.4875501	-1177.070
40	20	20	0.2242844	573.8206	0.4899458	-1192.019
60	20	20	0.2536075	633.2949	0.5022857	-1194.613
60	40	20	0.2232982	526.5736	0.4881029	-1187.319
60	40	40	0.2847268	714.1212	0.4849738	-1160.058
80	40	40	0.2812069	716.9230	0.4696484	-1159.465

TABLE II: Convergence of the second order contribution matrix elements for the spin-orbit interaction.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{1}{r_2} \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_2]}{r_1^3} \right\rangle &= 205.83272 & \left\langle \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_1]}{r_2^3} \right\rangle &= 1391.5321 \\
\left\langle \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2]}{r_1^3 r_2^3} (\mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{P}_1) \right\rangle &= 551.65420 & \left\langle \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2]}{r_1^3 r_2^3} (\mathbf{r}_2 \mathbf{P}_2) \right\rangle &= 551.43328
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle H'_B \left| Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q \right| \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_e]}{r_1^3} \right\rangle &= 0.2812 & \left\langle H'_B \left| Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q \right| \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{p}_e]}{r_2^3} \right\rangle &= 0.4696 \\
\left\langle H'_B \left| Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q \right| \frac{[\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_1]}{r_1^3} \right\rangle &= 717. & \left\langle H'_B \left| Q(E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q \right| \frac{[\mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{P}_2]}{r_2^3} \right\rangle &= -1160.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It is worthy to say that the second order iteration, even after reduction of the singularity, still reveals slow convergence. In Table II we present results of numerical calculations for various sets of basis functions. The results depend very little on increase of the 1st and 2nd basis sets (see Table I), which represent regular behaviour. The following notation has been used in Table II: n_1 is a number of basis functions for 3d and 4th sets, n_2 is for 5th and 6th sets, etc. As is seen from the Table, no more than two digits may be accepted with confidence as convergent. However, the increase of the basis sets leads to numerical instability, which we attribute to very large angular momentum of the state ($L = 35$) what makes the recursion used for analytic evaluation of the matrix elements to be too long and unstable for large exponents. The octuple precision has been used in these calculations and still it was not enough to provide necessary stability.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Summing up the contributions from Eq. (7), (9), and (10a)-(10b) we obtain the $m\alpha^6$ order contribution to the electron spin-orbit interaction:

$$\Delta E_1 = -0.000030(4) \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ au} \tag{21}$$

Thus a new value for the E_1 coefficient would be

$$E_1 = -0.552\,563(4) \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ au} \tag{22}$$

where the uncertainty is primarily due to slow convergence of the second order iteration.

Using this new value for the E_1 coefficient and keeping E_2-E_4 as in [3] one may solve the effective Hamiltonian (4) and get updated theoretical values for transition frequencies:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_+ &= 12897.0(1)(3) \text{ MHz} \\
\tau_- &= 12924.9(1)(3) \text{ MHz} \\
\Delta\tau &= 27.897(0)(3) \text{ MHz}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The first error indicates the numerical uncertainty of present calculations, while the second one is an estimate of the theoretical uncertainty due to yet uncalculated higher order terms. As it should be $\Delta\tau$ does not change its value comparing with previous calculations [3]. The values of τ_+ and τ_- leap over the experimental result and become to overestimate ones if we take the numerical error as a measure of uncertainty. Still in theory we need to include into consideration effects of the next order in α , which contain terms of order $(\alpha^3 \ln \alpha)E_1$ and are of the magnitude of the discrepancy.

It is worthy to note here that the obtained value of ΔE_1 is unexpectedly small. That explains rather good

agreement of the experiment with the results of the Breit-Pauli approximation.

In order to get the improved value for $\Delta\tau$ one needs to perform a complete calculation of all the contributions of order $m\alpha^6(m/M)$, which provides corrections to the remaining coefficients, $E_2 - E_4$, in the effective HFS Hamiltonian (4). This work is in progress now.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grants No. 08-02-00341 and 09-02-91000-ASF is gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] D. Bakalov and V.I. Korobov, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 1662 (1998).
 - [2] N. Yamanaka, Y. Kino, H. Kudo, and M. Kamimura, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 012518 (2001).
 - [3] V.I. Korobov and D. Bakalov, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **34**, L519 (2001)
 - [4] Y. Kino, N. Yamanaka, M. Kamimura, and H. Kudo, *Hyperfine Interactions* **146/147**, 331 (2003).
 - [5] E. Widmann, J. Eades, T. Ishikawa, J. Sakaguchi, T. Tasaki, H. Yamaguchi, R.S. Hayano, M. Hori, H.A. Torii, B. Juhász, D. Horváth, and T. Yamazaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 243402 (2002).
 - [6] T. Pask, D. Barna, A. Dax, R.S. Hayano, M. Hori, D. Horváth, B. Juhász, C. Malbrunot, J. Marton, N. Ono, K. Suzuki, J. Zmeskal, and E. Widmann, *J. Phys. B* **41**, 081008 (2008).
 - [7] T. Pask, D. Barna, A. Dax, R.S. Hayano, M. Hori, D. Horváth, S. Friedreich, B. Juhász, O. Massiczek, N. Ono, A. Sótér, and E. Widmann, *Phys. Lett. B* **678**, 55 (2009).
 - [8] T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 4909 (1996).
 - [9] V.I. Korobov, L. Hilico, and J.-Ph. Karr, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 012501 (2009).
 - [10] V.I. Korobov, D. Bakalov, and H.J. Monkhorst, *Phys. Rev. A*, **59**, R919 (1999).
 - [11] P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, and D.B. Newell, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 633 (2008).
 - [12] V.I. Korobov, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **35**, 1959 (2002).
 - [13] F.E. Harris, A.M. Frolov, and V.H. Smith, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 6323 (2004).