

System Size and Collision Energy Dependence of v_2 for Identified Charged Hadrons at RHIC-PHENIX

Maya Shimomura for the PHENIX Collaboration

Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Univ. of Tsukuba, Tenno-dai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

Abstract

The transverse momentum (p_T) and centrality dependence of the azimuthal anisotropy (v_2) are measured for charged hadrons in different energies and collision species by the PHENIX experiment at RHIC. We find that v_2 divided by the participant eccentricity of the initial geometry proportionally increases with the number of participants to the 1/3 power except at small N_{part} in Cu+Cu at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 62.4$ GeV. Taking the eccentricity and quark number scaling into account, there is a universal scaling for v_2 with different energies and collision sizes. The results indicate that v_2 is determined by more than just the geometrical eccentricity. It also depends on the size of collision. We also report that both the behaviors of v_2 and p_T distributions can be understood from the thermal nature of produced particles based on hydro-dynamical behavior.

1. Introduction

Relativistic heavy ion collisions have been considered as a way to create the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), the phase of de-confined quarks and gluons. The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven National Laboratory was constructed to create and study the QGP. One of the most powerful probes for investigating the characteristics of the QGP is measuring the azimuthal anisotropy of the charged particles produced by the collisions. The strength of the elliptic anisotropy (v_2) reflects the initial geometrical anisotropy, which creates pressure gradients in the collision area. This pressure gradient transfers a spatial anisotropy into a momentum anisotropy since particles are pushed harder in the direction of the larger gradients. Thus, the measured v_2 reflects the dynamical properties of the dense matter produced in the collisions.

2. Motivation

One of the most remarkable findings at RHIC is that the strength of v_2 can be described well by hydro-dynamical models in the low transverse momentum region (~ 1 GeV/c) [1]. In the intermediate transverse momentum region ($1 \sim 4$ GeV/c), v_2 is consistent with quark number (q_n) and KE_T ($= m_T - m_0$) scaling, and the result supports a quark-recombination model [2]. For a more comprehensive understanding of v_2 , we have carried out systematic measurements of v_2 , by measuring v_2 for identified charged hadrons in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ and 62.4 GeV, and studied the dependence on collision energy, species and centrality. We expect that the v_2 is determined not only by the initial ellipticity, but is also influenced by a finite evolution time which can be related to the collision volume.




Figure 1: Comparison of integrated v_2 as a function of N_{part} for four collision systems. Left panel shows v_2 vs. N_{part} , middle panel is v_2/ϵ vs. N_{part} and right panel is $v_2/(\epsilon \cdot N_{\text{part}}^{1/3})$ vs. N_{part} . Closed symbols indicate the results of $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV, open symbols are for $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 62.4$ GeV. Circles indicate Au+Au collisions and squares Cu+Cu. The statistical and systematic errors are included in the bars.

3. Results

3.1. Energy, System Size and Species Dependence

The left panel in Figure 1 shows v_2 vs. N_{part} for two collision systems and two collision energies. The v_2 agrees well at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ and 62.4 GeV, but there is a clear difference between the Cu+Cu and Au+Au results. Since Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions have different initial geometrical eccentricities at the same N_{part} , applying the eccentricity (ϵ) scaling shows that the data follows a universal curve as shown in the middle panel in Figure 1. Here, we use the participant eccentricity, calculated with a long and short axis determined by the distribution of participants at each collision, using a Monte-Carlo simulation based on a Glauber model and including effects from participant fluctuations. The details of the participant eccentricity are described in [3]. One can see that v_2/ϵ is not a constant and it depends on N_{part} . Therefore, v_2 can be normalized by ϵ at the same N_{part} , but ϵ is not enough to determine v_2 . We newly found that v_2/ϵ is proportional to $N_{\text{part}}^{1/3}$, and as shown in the right panel in Figure 1 $v_2/(\epsilon \cdot N_{\text{part}}^{1/3})$ is independent of the collision system except for small N_{part} in Cu+Cu at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 62.4$ GeV. This exception indicates this might be a region where the matter has not reached sufficient thermalization, although the errors are too large to discuss the difference. A scan of collision energies would be important to further study this effect. Figure 1 is for $p_T = 0.2 - 1.0$ GeV/c. The results for $p_T = 1.0 - 2.0$ and 2.0 - 4.0 GeV/c have the same tendency as well. Similar to the result in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV, v_2 in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 62.4$ GeV and in Cu+Cu at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV are mostly consistent with $q_n + KE_T$ scaling for centralities 0 - 50%. In addition to the fact that $v_2(p_T)$ does not depend on collision energy at RHIC energies, v_2 normalized by quark number + KE_T , eccentricity, and $N_{\text{part}}^{1/3}$ scaling follows a universal curve as shown in Figure 2. This figure includes the 45 curves for $\pi/K/p$ in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV, in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 62.4$ GeV and in Cu+Cu at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV for the five centrality bins from 0 - 50% in 10% steps. The χ^2/NDF of the polynomial fitting is 2.1.

3.2. Radial Flow Effect with Blast-Wave Fit

To understand the N_{part} dependence and KE_T scaling behavior for the v_2 , we use a Blast-wave model to extract dynamical properties of the matter, especially at freeze-out. This model is a two-parameter model describing a boosted thermal source based on relativistic hydrodynamics [4].




Figure 2: $v_2/(\epsilon \cdot N_{\text{part}}^{1/3} \cdot q_n)$ vs. KE_T/q_n for $\pi/K/p$ in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV, in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 62.4$ GeV and in Cu+Cu at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV at five centrality bins for 0-50 % as 10 % step for each system. There are 45 curves. Applying polynomial fitting, χ^2/NDF is 2.1.

The two parameters, the radial velocity (β_T) and the freeze-out temperature (T_{fo}), are extracted from the invariant cross section data according to the following equation:

$$\frac{dN}{m_T dm_T} \propto \int_0^R r dr m_T I_0\left(\frac{p_T \sinh \rho}{T_{\text{fo}}}\right) K_1\left(\frac{m_T \cosh \rho}{T_{\text{fo}}}\right), \quad (1)$$

where I_0 and K_1 represent modified Bessel functions with ρ the transverse boost $\rho(r) = \tanh^{-1} \beta_T(r)$, $\beta_T(r) = \beta_s(\frac{r}{R})$, and $m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2}$. Re-plotting the measured p_T spectra, weighted by measured $v_2(p_T)$, we obtain the p_T spectra in and out-of plane separately for $\pi/K/p$. We use two data sets: Cu+Cu and Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV. Applying the Blast-wave fitting to the p_T spectra in and out-of plane separately, the β_T and T_{fo} in and out-of plane are obtained separately. From these values, $\beta_{T2} = (\beta_{T\text{in}} - \beta_{T\text{out}})/(\beta_{T\text{in}} + \beta_{T\text{out}})/2$ and $T_{\text{fo}2} = (T_{\text{foin}} - T_{\text{foout}})/(T_{\text{foin}} + T_{\text{foout}})/2$ are calculated. $\beta_{T2}(T_{\text{fo}2})$ indicates the amplitude of the second harmonic of the $\beta_T(T_{\text{fo}})$ azimuthal distribution.




Figure 3: β_T vs. N_{part} for in and out-of plane in Cu+Cu and Au+Au at 200 GeV. This result is obtained by fitting to PHENIX PRELIMINARY results.




Figure 4: β_{T2}/ϵ vs. N_{part} in Cu+Cu and Au+Au at 200 GeV. This result is obtained by fitting to PHENIX PRELIMINARY results.

Figure 3 shows the β_T vs. N_{part} for in and out-of plane in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions. The magnitude of β_T is clearly different between in and out-of plane, and it agrees well between Au+Au and Cu+Cu, especially for the in-plane data. The amplitude of the second harmonic, β_{T2} , is not the same at the same N_{part} between Cu + Cu and Au + Au. When scaled by eccentricity, β_{T2}/ε agrees between Au+Au and Cu+Cu and it is flat at $N_{\text{part}} \geq 40$ as shown in Figure 4 . Since v_2 is proportional to β_{T2} in this model, v_2 should be scaled by participant eccentricity. However, as shown in Figure 1, this is not what is seen in the measured v_2 results. Therefore, this implies that v_2 is not determined by only β_{T2} but includes other effects such as the freeze-out temperature (T_{fo}) of radial flow. Figure 5 shows the T_{fo} vs. N_{part} for both in and out-of plane. The magnitude of T_{fo} agrees well between Au+Au and Cu+Cu collision at the same N_{part} . It can be seen that T_{fo} depends on N_{part} , and it can influence v_2 since the larger T_{fo} makes p_T spectra flatter. Figure 6 shows v_2/n_q vs. T_{fo} obtained by the blast wave calculation, fixing parameters to reasonable values ($\langle\beta_T\rangle = 0.5$, $\beta_{T2} = 0.04$, $KE_T/n_q = 0.5$ GeV/c) and changing only T_{fo} . In the freeze out temperature region ($0.10 \leq T_{\text{fo}} \leq 0.16$ GeV), it can be seen that KE_T scaling is approximately held by this calculation. In this figure, going from central to peripheral collisions, namely going from low T_{fo} to high T_{fo} , finite deviations among $\pi/K/p$ are expected, and v_2 for protons becomes larger than that for pions. This deviation has the same tendency as the v_2 data.




Figure 5: T_{fo} vs. N_{part} for in and out-of plane in Cu+Cu and Au+Au at 200 GeV. This result is obtained by fitting to PHENIX PRELIMINARY results.




Figure 6: v_2/n_q vs. T_{fo} by the blast-wave calculation with fixed parameters ($\langle\beta_T\rangle = 0.5$, $\beta_{T2} = 0.12$, $KE_T/n_q = 0.5$ GeV/c).

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my great thanks to the organizers of this conference, Quark Matter 2009, for the opportunity to present these results. I am grateful to my PHENIX collaborators for many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] S. S. Adler et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 182301 (2003)
- [2] A. Adare et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 162301 (2007)
- [3] B. Alver et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 242302 (2007)
- [4] S. S. Adler et al., *Phys. Rev.* **C72**, 014903 (2005)