

# Statistical mechanics formulation of radiobiology

O. Sotolongo-Grau<sup>1</sup>, D. Rodriguez-Perez<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Santos-Miranda<sup>2</sup>,  
J. C. Antoranz<sup>1,3</sup>, and Oscar Sotolongo-Costa<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Física Matemática y de Fluidos, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED), Spain

<sup>2</sup>Servicio de Oncología Radioterápica, Hospital General Gregorio Marañón, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Cátedra de Sistemas Complejos “Henri Poincaré”, Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

## Abstract

The expression of survival factors for radiation damaged cells is empirical and based on probabilistic assumptions. We obtain it either from the maximum entropy principle for the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy and/or from the Tsallis entropy. Empiric models are found to be particular cases of the obtained expression. The survival factor exhibits a phase transition behaviour. This formulation supports different tissues grouped as universality classes.

Radiobiology, Survival factor, Entropy, Statistical mechanics

## 1 Introduction

Empiric laws are at the grounds of science. However, when a scientific discipline grows it is possible that the initially developed empiric laws become unable to explain all new experimental data obtained. Then engineering corrections and fitting coefficients emerge and usually explain those unexplained behaviors. When the experimental data grows enough even those corrections cannot explain the results; then a new set of corrections is looked for, found and a proper explanation for the new observed phenomena is provided. Eventually a large amount of anomalous behaviours is observed and the empiric law reaches its limit. It is impossible to go any further without getting deeper.

At this point, a theoretical approach able to successfully explain the data is needed. Even if the new theory brings too complex expressions (like in the theory of relativity), the limits of every approximation will be clear and it will be possible to reformulate the entire experimental approach.

The tissue effect is an empirical concept widely used by oncologists to find, given a radiation dosage, the survival factor of tissue or tumor cells [1]. It is

widely used in clinical radiotherapy for both tumor and tissue cells and can be used to predict the outcome of a treatment. It has been developed for years and it is capable, as a concept, of gathering together several models of interaction between cells and ionizing radiation. Even when using the “single hit, single target”, the “single hit, multi-target”, the “two hit, single target” or the linear-quadratic model [2] the powerful principle of grouping lethal events on a single adimensional quantity remains.

The general expression used in radiobiology for fraction of survival cells is deduced assuming that lethal events per cell follow a Poisson distribution [2]. We think, besides, that the dependence between survival factor and tissue effect could be found from deeper laws based on first principles of nature.

The maximum entropy principle is completely universal, well established and has an almost unlimited range of applications in physics, biology, demography, economy etc. In its modern formulation it says, “Given a model of probability distributions, choose the distribution with highest entropy.” [3]. It means that one should look for a distribution, consistent with the observed constraints, which maximizes the entropy.

## 2 Radiobiology and extensivity

According to the radiobiology linear model for the cell survival factor, the fraction of tissue killed by a radiation dose  $D$  is

$$F_d = 1 - \exp[-\alpha D] \quad (1)$$

Then  $F_s = 1 - F_d$  is the cumulative probability of cell survival. From this follows that

$$p(D) dD = \alpha \exp[-\alpha D] dD \quad (2)$$

is the killed cell probability density (per dose unit). The cumulative probability fulfils the additive property:

$$F_s [D_1 + D_2] = F_s [D_1] F_s [D_2] \quad (3)$$

meaning that the effects of radiation are cumulative following an additive model. The additive variable here is the tissue effect,  $E = \alpha D = -\log [F_s]$  whose sum is

$$E [D_1 + D_2] = E [D_1] + E [D_2] \quad (4)$$

However, the linear model is not accurate enough for a higher radiation dosage and the empiric experience shows that the tissue effect must be calculated as

$$E = \alpha D + \beta D^2 \quad (5)$$

in what is called the LQ Model. The main problem involved here is that the probability function is not extensive. That is, the survival fraction for two doses is,

$$F_S[D_1 + D_2] = \exp[-\alpha(D_1 + D_2) - \beta(D_1^2 + D_2^2 + 2D_1D_2)] \quad (6)$$

and this implies,

$$F_S[D_1 + D_2] = F_S[D_1] \cdot F_S[D_2] \cdot \exp[2\beta D_1D_2] < F_S[D_1] \cdot F_S[D_2] \quad (7)$$

This means that the survival fraction is lower if the radiation is applied in a single higher dose than if the same amount of radiation is applied in two doses. Or, in other words, a continuous radiation application kills more cells than a fractioned one. As  $E = -\log F_S$  we can arrive to

$$E[D_1 + D_2] > E[D_1] + E[D_2] \quad (8)$$

meaning that  $E$  is a nonadditive variable. As a result of the nonlinear nature of  $E$  in this case, the superposition principle is not fulfilled.

### 3 The classical approach

Prior to reproduce the Tsallis entropy discussion for the nonextensive model, we will study the linear model extensive problem. This model fulfils that if the amount of absorbed radiation is unlimited, no single cell will survive,

$$F_s[D \rightarrow \infty] = 0 \quad (9)$$

If the tissue effect is defined as proportional to the absorbed radiation  $E = \alpha_0 D$  and  $p(E)$  is the probability density of killing a cell, then the fraction of killed cells for a tissue effect lower than  $E$  will be

$$F_d(E) = \int_0^E p(x) dx \quad (10)$$

and the survival fraction for every tissue effect lower than  $E$  will be

$$F_s(E) = \int_E^\infty p(x) dx \quad (11)$$

Using  $p(E)$  it is possible to write a Boltzmann entropy functional, defined as

$$S = \int_0^\infty dE p(E) \log \frac{1}{p(E)} \quad (12)$$

Under the maximum entropy principle the expression of  $p(E)$  can be found if some assumptions are established. The completeness principle,

$$\int_0^\infty p(E) dE = 1 \quad (13)$$

and the mean value existence,

$$\int_0^\infty p(E) E dE = \langle E \rangle < \infty \quad (14)$$

are demanded.

Using the maximum entropy principle, the functional

$$\int_0^{\infty} p(E) \log \frac{1}{p(E)} dE + a \int_0^{\infty} p(E) dE + b \int_0^{\infty} Ep(E) dE \quad (15)$$

is built. Here  $a$  and  $b$  are the Lagrange multipliers and can be found following the imposed restrictions and maximizing the functional. It is straightforwardly obtained

$$p(E) = \frac{1}{\langle E \rangle} e^{-\frac{E}{\langle E \rangle}} \quad (16)$$

the exponential distribution for the tissue effect.

The survival probability of a single cell will be

$$F_s = e^{-\frac{E}{\langle E \rangle}} \quad (17)$$

We must note that (17) is the experimentally proved and the normally used expression for the survival factor as a function of tissue effect and justified in the literature only through probabilistic arguments [2]. We can take  $\alpha = \alpha_0 / \langle E \rangle = 1 / \langle D \rangle$  and the expression (17) gets written in the known standard radiobiology form.

## 4 The generalized approach

Now that the extensive problem is solved, we will look for the non extensive solution in a similar way. To apply this principle we demand that there exists some amount of absorbed radiation  $\Delta < \infty$ , or its equivalent tissue effect,  $\Omega = \alpha_0 \Delta$ , after which no cell survives,

$$F_s[\Omega] = 0 \quad (18)$$

We will propose the use of Tsallis entropy [4],

$$S_q = \frac{1}{q-1} \left( 1 - \int_0^{\infty} p^q(E) dE \right) = \frac{1}{q-1} \left( 1 - \int_0^{\Omega} p^q(E) dE \right) \quad (19)$$

as the generalized entropy. We impose the conditions

$$\int_0^{\infty} p(E) dE = \int_0^{\Omega} p(E) dE = 1 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} p^q(E) E dE = \int_0^{\Omega} p^q(E) E dE = \langle E \rangle_q < \infty \quad (21)$$

and apply the method of Lagrange multipliers. The functional

$$\frac{1 - \int_0^\Omega p^q(E) dE}{1 - q} + a_q \int_0^\Omega p(E) dE + b_q \int_0^\Omega dE p^q(E) E \quad (22)$$

is maximized under those conditions and the values of  $\Omega$ ,  $a_q$  and  $b_q$  are found,

$$\Omega = \frac{2 - q}{1 - q} \left( \frac{\langle E \rangle_q}{2 - q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2 - q}} \quad (23)$$

$$a_q = -\frac{q}{1 - q} \left( \frac{\langle E \rangle_q}{2 - q} \right)^{\frac{1 - q}{2 - q}} \quad (24)$$

$$b_q = -\frac{1}{2 - q} \left( \frac{\langle E \rangle_q}{2 - q} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2 - q}} \quad (25)$$

From where the probability density function

$$p(E) = \left( \frac{2 - q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2 - q}} \left( 1 - \frac{1 - q}{2 - q} \left( \frac{2 - q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2 - q}} E \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - q}} \quad (26)$$

is obtained. Then, the survival factor is

$$F_s(E) = \left( 1 - \frac{1 - q}{2 - q} \left( \frac{2 - q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2 - q}} E \right)^{\frac{2 - q}{1 - q}} \quad (27)$$

or using expression (23),

$$F_s(E) = \left( 1 - \frac{E}{\Omega} \right)^{\frac{2 - q}{1 - q}} \quad (28)$$

for every  $E < \Omega$ . From (23) it is not hard to see that when we deal with the extensive limit ( $q \rightarrow 1$ ) then  $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\langle E \rangle_q \rightarrow \langle E \rangle$ .

Defining  $E = \alpha_0 D$  as in the previous subsection, we eventually get the expression for the survival factor of cells under radiation,

$$F_s(D) = \begin{cases} \left( 1 - \frac{D}{\Delta} \right)^{\frac{2 - q}{1 - q}} & \forall D < \Delta \\ 0 & \forall D \geq \Delta \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Notice that whereas (28) is expressed as function of the non measurable magnitudes  $\Omega$  and  $E$ , (29) expresses the survival factor in terms of the measurable quantities  $D$  and  $\Delta$ .

The value of  $\Delta$  characterizes a critical point for cell survival probability and divides the phase plane in two sections with very different properties. For  $D < \Delta$  probabilities of survival and death coexists but when  $D$  becomes equal to  $\Delta$ , a phase transition takes place and no cell survives. This behavior resembles phase transition in ferromagnetics near the Curie point.

Rescaling the radiation dose as  $D/\Delta$  would allow to study the reaction of tissue cells under radiation in a more general way.

## 5 The $q \rightarrow 1$ limit

The expression (27) must include the particular cases corresponding to extensive systems. The cell survival probability limit ( $\Omega$ ), fits into this principle. Expression (23) gives the maximal tissue effect as a function of the  $q$ -mean value of  $E$ .  $\langle E \rangle_q$  remains bounded for any value of  $q$ . When  $q$  tends to 1,  $\langle E \rangle_q$  tends to  $\langle E \rangle$  and  $\Omega$  diverges in the extensive case. The obtained divergence is a trivial result that raises from Boltzmann formulation. However for  $q \neq 1$  the divergence disappears in agreement with the experience. As shown in figure 1,  $\Omega$  is big only if the system is extensive enough. If  $q$  is far from the unity, every cell will be annihilated with a finite amount of radiation.

If the system is almost extensive ( $q \approx 1$ ), and the radiation dosage is not too high, we can write the equation (27) as the Taylor expression of the exponential function up to second order,

$$F_s(D) = \exp \left[ -\alpha_0 \left( \frac{2-q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}} D - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{2} \frac{1-q}{2-q} \left( \frac{2-q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} D^2 + O(D^3) \right] \quad (30)$$

and comparing with (5) we find

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \left( \frac{2-q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{2-q}{1-q} \quad (31)$$

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha_0^2}{2} \frac{1-q}{2-q} \left( \frac{2-q}{\langle E \rangle_q} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} = \frac{1}{2\Delta^2} \frac{2-q}{1-q} \quad (32)$$

These expressions show that in the extensive case ( $q = 1$ ), then  $\alpha = 1/\langle D \rangle$  and  $\beta = 0$  recovering the linear model obtained from the Boltzmann formulation. This also allows to establish a correspondence between the known magnitudes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  from the widely used LQ model and the newly defined parameters. Furthermore, the  $\beta$  parameter of the LQ model can be interpreted as a second order approximation of the non-extensive model. However, if  $D$  is high enough or  $\Delta$  becomes a small quantity, the LQ model becomes useless to describe the survival factor.

## 6 Comparison with experimental data

To compare with experimental data we have selected some example plots in [1] and [5], where the survival factor is plotted as a function of  $D$  and separated curves are obtained for different radiation conditions. We used (29) to fit. As it is a function of  $D/\Delta$ , all curves collapse to a single one. This means that  $\Delta$  is the natural unit of  $D$ . Every plot of  $F_s$  for a given tissue must converge to the same curve if  $D$  is expressed in the appropriate  $\Delta$  units.

Figure 2 shows experimental data of a human melanoma under radiation for  $F_s$  as a function of  $D/\Delta$  at different dose rates.

We can see that, at least for the represented ones, even when the dose rate affects the transition point  $\Delta$ , the exponent  $\frac{2-q}{1-q}$  in (29) remains constant.

This is also true for the kind of radiation. Figure 3 shows cell survival data, extracted from [5], for stem cells under a beam of neutrons and electrons in different conditions.

Though the cell survival factor ( $F_s$ ) as a function of  $D$  depends on multiple factors, like the dose rate or the kind of radiation, the dependence of  $F_s$  with  $D/\Delta$  has a universal character. In this case, as the variable  $D$  is rescaled by  $\Delta$ , the curves collapse and a universal behaviour emerges. The main factor then becomes the exponent, dependent on  $q$ , which in fact divides the cell behaviour under radiation in universality classes. The parallel with critical phenomena becomes apparent.

## 7 Conclusions

We have found a theoretical approach that puts the well known LQ model on physical grounds starting from first principles, rather than on probabilistic assumptions attempting to express the survival factors in terms of the tissue effect. Analyzing the used expressions we found that the tissue effect is not additive if defined through the LQ model. The non linearity of the tissue effect makes inapplicable the superposition principle, i.e., the tissue effect due to a continuous dose is higher than the corresponding to a fractioned one if the same amount of total energy is applied.

The survival factor expression as a function of the absorbed energy per unit mass was derived from the maximum entropy principle following the Boltzmann entropy. Since the linear model is obtained from the Boltzmann entropy and it does not explain the known experimental data, so, a more general approach is introduced. Using the Tsallis  $q$ -entropy formulation a generalized expression for the survival factor is found. The extensive limit shows that the obtained expression is consistent with the known empiric laws. Besides, the empiric coefficients could be expressed in the new terminology and a new interpretation of its meaning is provided.

The law obtained for the survival factor exhibits a phase transition behavior similar to second order ferromagnetic phase transitions where the imanated state corresponds to cell survival. A critical value of the absorbed energy marks the frontier between the non survivor cell region and the coexistence between survival and death probabilities. The transition between both regions occurs with a non integer critical exponent revealing a behavior similar to the ferromagnetic phase transition at the Curie point. Rescaling every case with this coefficient allows to find the common shape for different experimental data belonging to the same tissue. When compared with available experimental data, the phenomenon is shown as universal for a given tissue. Values of  $q$  would allow to group different tissues in universality classes.

## References

- [1] GG Steel. *Basic Clinical Radiobiology for Radiation Oncologists*. Edward Arnold Publishers, London, 1993.
- [2] M Tubiana. *Introduction to Radiobiology*. Taylor & Francis, London, 1990.
- [3] P Harremoes and F Topsoe. Maximum entropy fundamentals. *Entropy*, 3:191–226, 2001.
- [4] C Tsallis. Possible generalization of boltzmann-gibbs statistics. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 52:479–487, 1988.
- [5] P Mayles, A Nahum, and JC Rosenwald. *Handbook of radiotherapy physics*. Taylor & Francis, London, 2007.






Figure 1: Tissue effect limit ( $\Omega$ ) as function of the extensivity parameter ( $q$ ) for  $\langle E \rangle_q = 1$ . Even when in the plot  $\langle E \rangle_q$  is assumed constant for every  $q$ , as the values of this parameter remains enclosed, the general qualitative behaviour, near  $q = 1$ , must be close to the represented one.




Figure 2: Cell survival curves for a human melanoma irradiated at dose rates of  $150 \text{ cGy/min}$  ( $\Delta = 28 \text{ Gy}$ ),  $7.6 \text{ cGy/min}$  ( $\Delta = 42 \text{ Gy}$ ) and  $1.6 \text{ cGy/min}$  ( $\Delta = 48 \text{ Gy}$ ). The solid line is the survival factor as function of  $D/\Delta$  for  $q = 0.93$ . Data was extracted from [1].




Figure 3: Survival curves for intestinal stem-cells. The radiations were neutrons ( $\Delta = 39$  Gy), electrons [high ( $\Delta = 68$  Gy) and low dose rate ( $\Delta = 76$  Gy)] and electrons under hypoxic conditions ( $\Delta = 180$  Gy). The solid line is the survival factor as a function of  $D/\Delta$  for  $q = 0.97$ . Data was extracted from [5].