

# Optical gain in DNA-DCM for lasing in photonic materials

Marco Leonetti,<sup>1,2</sup> Riccardo Sapienza,<sup>2</sup> Marta Ibisate,<sup>2</sup> Claudio Conti,<sup>3</sup> Cefe López<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma La Sapienza,  
I-00185, Roma, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (CSIC) and Unidad Asociada CSIC-UVigo,  
Cantoblanco 28049 Madrid España.2010*

<sup>3</sup>*Research Center SOFT INFM-CNR, c/o Università di Roma Sapienza,  
I-00185, Roma Italy.*

\* *Corresponding author: cefe@icmm.csic.es*

Compiled May 25, 2022

We present a detailed study of the gain length in an active medium obtained by doping of DNA strands with DCM dye molecules. The superior thermal stability of the composite and its low quenching, permits to obtain optical gain coefficient larger than  $300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . We also show that such an active material is excellent for integration into photonic nano-structures, to achieve, for example, efficient random lasing emission, and fluorescent photonic crystals. © 2022 Optical Society of America

*OCIS codes:* 140.4480, 160.1435, 160.2540.

Nano-engineered devices and meta-materials are offering nowadays novel ways of controlling light propagation and amplification ranging from random systems [1] to photonic crystals [2].

Nano-structured lasers are typically realized on a sub-wavelength structured dielectric matrix, either periodically or randomly, in which an active medium is inserted to provide optical gain. Their optimization has often been focused on the increase of the matrix quality and refractive index contrast, while recently more efficient active media like fluorescent polymers [3] have proven to enhance light amplification.

Within these novel materials, DNA strands intercalated with dye molecules have been proposed as efficient and stable gain medium [4]. The superior thermal stability of the composite (up to  $250 \text{ C}^\circ$ ) and its low quenching due to a controlled proximity of the dye molecules attached to the DNA provide an enhancement of the emitted integrated luminescence with respect to conventional polymer-Dye composite [5]. This makes DNA based dyes optimal candidates to infiltrate nanostructures and realize novel active photonic devices.

Direct gain measurements are the best way to compare the optical amplification and efficiency of active media [6, 7]. At variance with simple luminescence experiments, gain studies are not affected by sample thickness uncertainty or by variations of out-coupling due to edge roughness.

In this Letter we report on the characterization of the optical amplification of DNA films intercalated with DCM laser dye (DNA-DCM) by measuring the optical gain coefficient  $g$  which reaches values as large as  $300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . We demonstrate how this material can be used to introduce efficient gain into self-assembled photonic nano-structures.

For the sample preparation DNA-surfactant insoluble in water is dissolved in ethanol and mixed with dye

ethanolic solution [8]. DNA-DCM films have been obtained by vertical deposition on a glass substrate in an oven at  $45 \text{ C}^\circ$ . Samples with different DNA to DCM weight ratio (ranging from  $0.5 \text{ wt}\%$  to  $10 \text{ wt}\%$ ) and various thickness ( $10\text{-}50 \mu\text{m}$ ) have been prepared.

The optical gain coefficient has been measured with the variable stripe length (VSL) technique [7] (a sketch of the setup is given in figure 1a). The sample is optically pumped by laser light ( $0.02\text{-}2 \text{ mJ/pulse}$ ,  $9 \text{ ns}$  pulse at  $10 \text{ Hz}$  repetition rate,  $532 \text{ nm}$  wavelength) focused by a cylindrical lens to a narrow ( $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$ ) stripe. The length  $z$  of the illuminated area is adjusted by cutting the pump beam with a blade of variable position. The light emitted at the edge of the sample, in the direction  $z$  of the stripe is focused by a spherical doublet lens ( $\text{NA} = 0.16$ ) to a fiber-coupled spectrograph. The optical gain coefficient  $g$  is obtained by fitting the measured emitted intensity  $I(z)$  integrated in a wavelength range of  $8 \text{ nm}$  around the emission maximum at  $620 \text{ nm}$ , (figure 1c) with :

$$I(z) = \frac{A_0}{g} [\exp(gz) - 1]. \quad (1)$$

In Eq. 1,  $g$  is the modal gain defined as in ref. [7] and  $A_0$  is a scaling factor accounting for the number of excited molecules and the collection efficiency.

Figure 1b (solid circles) shows the emission intensity as function of the detection angle  $\theta$ . The asymmetric emission is due to the weak waveguiding effect in the stripe (of refractive index  $n \sim 1.53$ ) [6]. Solid squares in figure 1b shows that gain does not depend on  $\theta$ . We performed all measurements by integrating the signal between  $\theta = 0 \text{ deg}$  and  $\theta = 10 \text{ deg}$ .

Figure 2a shows the optical gain coefficient  $g$  as function of the DNA-DCM weight percentage. Optimal amplification efficiency is obtained for a dye density between  $2$  and  $3 \text{ wt}\%$  while at higher concentrations quenching phenomena decrease the effective optical amplification.

This value slightly differs from ref. [5] where maximal light emission is obtained at 1 *wt%*. It is important to notice that these gain measurements are not affected by uncertainty in the sample thickness or out-coupling at the sample edge which are all included in the prefactor  $A_0$ . Figure 2b shows the optical gain coefficient as function of pump power for an optimal DNA-DCM ratio of 3 *wt%*. For energies higher than 4 nJ/ $\mu\text{m}^2$  the gain coefficient saturates while above 9 nJ/ $\mu\text{m}^2$  gain reduction is due to optical damage. It is remarkable that the maximum measured value for  $g$  ( $\sim 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), is one order of magnitude greater than that reported for doped plastic materials [6].

The synthesized DNA-DCM is in the form of a solution that can be dried into a film but also easily infiltrated in nano-structured dielectric materials or added at their assembly stage. We followed both strategies. Due to the high polarity of the compound, it destabilizes colloidal solutions of polymeric beads like polystyrene or PMMA. This prevents the formation of a stable colloidal crystal but helps the deposition of active polymeric photonic glasses [9] made by micron-sized polystyrene beads arranged in disordered fashion. Conversely it does not affect colloidal silica solutions from which active photonic crystals [10] can be efficiently grown. Post-assembly infiltration is feasible but less efficient due to high viscosity of the DNA-DCM composite. We have grown photonic crystals and photonic glasses with DNA-DCM (dye content of 3 *wt%*).

Two different structures have been realized by vertical deposition from a water solution containing 450 nm silica beads with and without a 0.2 *wt%* of DNA-DCM. Figure 3a compares the reflectivity in the  $\langle 111 \rangle$  direction for the two samples: the Bragg peak is shifted by  $\sim 30$  nm by the presence of a homogeneous layer of DNA-DCM polymer coating the spheres (confirmed by scanning electron microscopy inspection) that modifies the medium average refractive index. The infiltration process changes the filling fraction but not the quality of the opal lowering the peak reflectivity to  $\sim 0.4$  due to contrast decrease, but essentially preserving its linear optical properties.

DNA-DCM can also be efficiently infiltrated in disordered nano-structured system like photonic [9] glasses made of 920 nm polystyrene beads, as the presence of DNA helps the disordered flocculation of the beads. Total transmittivity of the samples with pure DNA (1 *wt%*) and with only HCl as flocculation agent are compared in figure 3b. This test is performed without DCM to avoid the effect of the large dye absorption. The characteristic Mie resonances in the total transmission [11] are unperturbed by the presence of a small quantity of DNA coating the spheres. Having proven the feasibility of a non-destructive DNA-DCM infiltration, we have performed a lasing experiment on samples, with and without DNA, obtaining random lasing (RL) in photonic glasses, but not photonic crystal lasing due to the low refractive index contrast. RL, is the process of emission of stimulated light from a disordered active dielectric medium, which

may be produced upon sufficiently intense laser pumping [12,13]. RL threshold is determined by the scattering mean free path ( $\ell_s$ ) and the gain length ( $\ell_g = 1/g$ ); its minimization is achieved by reducing the critical length necessary for net amplification, which is defined in the diffusive approximation as  $L_c = \pi\sqrt{\ell_g\ell_s/3}$  [14]. For the photonic glass infiltrated by DNA-DCM (1 *wt%*),  $\ell_g$  is  $\sim 40 \mu\text{m}$  while  $\ell_s$  ranges between 1 and 3  $\mu\text{m}$ , thus  $L_c$  results to be  $\sim 10\text{-}20 \mu\text{m}$ . This value for  $L_c$ , smaller than the thickness of our sample ( $\sim 50 \mu\text{m}$ ), allows for RL emission when pumping the DNA-DCM infiltrated photonic glass with the pump laser focused to a 300  $\mu\text{m}$  spot. In figure 4a the normalized emission intensity is plotted versus wavelength for different pump pulse energies. The spectral full width at half maximum (FWHM) narrows from 85 nm to 15 nm by increasing the pump energy. Figure 4b shows the peak intensity (open squares) and FWHM (open triangles) as a function of the pump energy. We have obtained a clear efficiency advantage as lasing threshold occurs at 0.5 nJ/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ . This is at least one order of magnitude lower than that obtained in previous similar experiments with dry photonic glasses infiltrated with  $\sim 10$  times more DCM dye [15]. An identical sample infiltrated with the same amount of DCM (without DNA) does not show significant amplification (fig. 4b filled circles) or line narrowing.

In conclusion, we reported on DNA-DCM infiltrated in nanostructured photonic materials. We measured optical gain coefficients with values as high as  $\sim 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , low quenching and a large thermal stability. Careful characterization of the gain length in the active material allows to predict the lasing threshold and to efficiently design novel light emitting disordered or ordered nanostructures.

The authors wish to thanks R. Feuillade and J. Saenz for the fruitful collaboration. C.C. acknowledges support from ERC GRANT (FP7/2007-2013) n.201766. This work was partially funded by the Spanish MICINN under contract MAT2006-09062 and Consolider NanoLight.es CSD20070046. M.I. is a RyC researcher.

## References

1. D. S. Wiersma, "The physics and applications of random lasers," *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 359, (2008)
2. Yu. A. Vlasov, K. Luterova, I. Pelant, B. Honerlage, and V. N. Astratov, "Enhancement of optical gain of semiconductors embedded in three-dimensional photonic crystals," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **71**, 1616 (1997)
3. D. Zhang, Y. Wang, and D. Ma, "Random lasing emission from a red fluorescent dye doped polystyrene film containing dispersed polystyrene nanoparticles," *App. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 091115 (2007)
4. A. J. Steckl, "DNA a new material for photonics?," *Nat. Phot.* **1**, 3, (2007)
5. Z. Yu and W. Li and J. A. Hagen and Y. Zhou and D. Klotzkin and J. G. Grote and A. J. Steckl, "Photoluminescence and lasing from deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) thin films doped with sulforhodamine," *Appl. Opt.* **46**, 1507, (2007)

6. A. Costela, O. García, L. Cerdán, I. García-Moreno, and R. Sastre, “Amplified spontaneous emission and optical gain measurements from pyrromethene 567 doped polymer waveguides and quasi-waveguides,” *Opt. Expr.* **16**, 7023, (2008)
7. L. Dal Negro, P. Bettotti, M. Cazzanelli, D. Pacifici, L. Pavesi, “Applicability conditions and experimental analysis of the variable stripe length method for gain measurements,” *Opt. Comm.*, **229**, 337 (2004)
8. Y. Kawabe, L. Wang, S. Horinouchi, N. Ogata, “Amplified Spontaneous Emission from Fluorescent-Dye-Doped DNA-Surfactant Complex Films,” *Adv. Mater.* **12**, 1281, (2000)
9. P. D. García, R. Sapienza, A. Blanco, and C. López, “Photonic Glass: A Novel Random Material for Light,” *Adv. Mater.* **19**, 2597 (2007)
10. C. López, “Material aspects of photonic crystals,” *Adv. Mater.* **15**, 1679, (2003)
11. R. Sapienza, P. D. García, J. Bertolotti, M. D. Martin, A. Blanco, L. Viña, C. López, and D. S. Wiersma, “Observation of Resonant Behavior in the Energy Velocity of Diffused Light,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 233902, 2007
12. D. S. Wiersma, A. Lagendijk, “Light diffusion with gain and random lasers,” *Phys. Rev. E*, **54**,4, (1996)
13. C. Conti, M. Leonetti, A. Fratalocchi, L. Angelani, and G. Ruocco, “Condensation in Disordered Lasers: Theory, 3D + 1 Simulations, and Experiments,” *Phys Rev. Lett.* **101**, 143901 (2008)
14. H. Cao, *Waves in Random and Complex Media*, 13:3, R1–R39 (2003)
15. S. Gottardo, R. Sapienza, P. D. García, A. Blanco, D. S. Wiersma and C. López, “Resonance-driven random lasing,” *Nat. Phot.* **2**, 429, (2008)

## List of Figures

1	(Color online). a) VSL setup; b) Intensity from the illuminated stripe (solid circles) and optical gain coefficient $g$ (solid squares) as function of the detection angle $\theta$ ; c) VSL measurement at two different pump energies. The continuous lines are fit from the model (see text). . . . .	5
2	Optical gain coefficient $g$ plotted as function of DNA-DCM weight percentage (a) and of pump pulse energy density (b) for a DNA to DCM ratio of 3 wt% (black dot in fig 2a). . . . .	6
3	(Color online). a) Reflectivity as function of wavelength measured for infiltrated (dashed line) and bare (continuous line) photonic crystal. The Bragg peak is evident around $1 \mu\text{m}$ ; b) Total transmissivity as a function of wavelength in bare (continuous line) and DNA-filled (dashed line), photonic glass. . .	7
4	(Color online). Random lasing from DNA-DCM infiltrated Photonic Glass. a) Emission spectra from DNA-DCM infiltrated photonic glass at different pump power, below and above the random lasing threshold ( $\sim 0.5 \text{ nJ}/\mu\text{m}^2$ ). b) Peak emission (open squares) and FWHM (open triangles) as a function of pump energy for DNA-DCM infiltrated Photonic Glass. Continuous line results from a linear fit to the points above threshold ( $0.5 \text{ nJ}/\mu\text{m}$ ). As a reference, the filled circles plot the peak intensity of a photonic glass infiltrated only with DCM. . . . .	8




Fig. 1. (Color online). a) VSL setup; b) Intensity from the illuminated stripe (solid circles) and optical gain coefficient  $g$  (solid squares) as function of the detection angle  $\theta$ ; c) VSL measurement at two different pump energies. The continuous lines are fit from the model (see text).




Fig. 2. Optical gain coefficient  $g$  plotted as function of DNA-DCM weight percentage (a) and of pump pulse energy density (b) for a DNA to DCM ratio of 3 wt% (black dot in fig 2a).




Fig. 3. (Color online). a) Reflectivity as function of wavelength measured for infiltrated (dashed line) and bare (continuous line) photonic crystal. The Bragg peak is evident around  $1 \mu\text{m}$  ; b) Total transmissivity as a function of wavelength in bare (continuous line) and DNA-filled (dashed line), photonic glass.




Fig. 4. (Color online). Random lasing from DNA-DCM infiltrated Photonic Glass. a) Emission spectra from DNA-DCM infiltrated photonic glass at different pump power, below and above the random lasing threshold ( $\sim 0.5$  nJ/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ). b) Peak emission (open squares) and FWHM (open triangles) as a function of pump energy for DNA-DCM infiltrated Photonic Glass. Continuous line results from a linear fit to the points above threshold (0.5 nJ/ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ). As a reference, the filled circles plot the peak intensity of a photonic glass infiltrated only with DCM.