

Contactless 2-dimensional laser sensor for 3-dimensional wire position and tension measurements

M. Prall, V. Hannen, R. Jöhren, H.W. Ortjohann, M. Reinhardt*
and Ch. Weinheimer

Institut für Kernphysik
Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany
Email: matthias.prall@uni-muenster.de

Submitted to IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science

February 6, 2020

Abstract

We have developed a contact-free 2-dimensional laser sensor with which the position of wires can be measured in 3 dimensions with an accuracy of better than $10\,\mu\text{m}$ and with which the tension of the wires can be determined with an accuracy of 0.04 N. These measurements can be made from a distance of 15 cm. The sensor consists of commercially available laser pointers, lenses, color filters and photodiodes. In our application we have used this laser sensor together with an automated 3 dimensional coordinate table. For a single position measurement, the laser sensor is moved by the 3-dimensional coordinate table in a plane and determines the coordinates at which the wires intersect with this plane. The position of the plane itself (the third coordinate) is given by the third axis of the measurement table which is perpendicular to this plane. The control and readout of the table and the readout of the laser sensor were realized with LabVIEW. The precision of the position measurement in the plane was determined with wires of 0.2 mm and 0.3 mm diameter. We use the sensor for the quality assurance of the wire electrode modules for the KATRIN neutrino mass experiment. We expect that the precision is at least comparable or better if the wires are thinner. Such a device could be well suited for the measurement of wire chamber geometries even with more than one wire layer.

*Present adress: Institut für Biologische Grenzflächen, KIT, Germany

1 Introduction

Conventional wire sensors measure the position in 1 dimensions along a certain axis and the tension of a wire from a short distance of a few millimeters [1]. The principle of these sensors can be extended to a laser-based sensor, which is sensitive to all three dimensions and which is able to measure from a much larger distance. Other authors describe wire position measurements with a laser diode with an accuracy of better than $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for relative wire separations of thin wires ($< 76\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ diameter) in a wire chamber but with a principle, which is restricted to 1 dimension [2]. There is an example of a measurement with a single CCD and a precision of $O(10)\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for wires of a wire chamber [3] in 1 dimension. There is also an example [4] of a measurement with two CCDs in 2 dimensions with an accuracy of $O(1)\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ but from a smaller distance of $\leq 40\text{ mm}$. The last method is the most precise method in 2 dimensions, which we know, but it is not able to measure wire tensions.

We have developed a contact-free laser sensor with which the position of wires can be measured in 2 dimensions (3 dimensions when operated with a 3-dimensional coordinate table) from a rather large distance of 15 cm. In this paper, we show that the accuracy of position measurements is better than $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for wires with a diameter of 0.2 mm - 0.3 mm. In addition the wire tension can be determined by measuring the oscillation frequency of the wire via the reflected laser light. In other sensors, such as described in [5], an electrical contact between the wire and a sensor has to be established to measure the wire tension. As a mechanical contact with the wires has to be established, a damage or change of the wire tension cannot be excluded if the wires are thin. In another well-known method for measuring the wire tension, an external magnetic field has to be applied and mechanical oscillations of the wire are induced by passing an A.C. current through it. As long as no special techniques are employed one has to scan a frequency range to find the resonant frequency of the wire which gives the tension. This procedure can take several minutes [6]. Our sensor determines wire tensions in a few seconds.

Our device could be well suited for the measurement of a complex detector which consists of many wires e.g. a large wire chamber. We are using it to control the quality of the wire modules of the inner electrode of the KATRIN neutrino mass experiment [7] where a precision of 0.2 mm per module has to be ensured [8][9].

Our article is organized in the following way: The principle of the position measurement with our novel sensor is presented in section 2. An estimate of the accuracy of the position measurement is given in section 3, section 4 presents the tension measurements with this device and in section 5 we estimate the accuracy of these measurements. Section 6 explains the set-up of the actual sensor and in section 7, we give a conclusion.

2 Principle of the Position Measurement

In this section we explain the principle of a position measurement of a wire with our laser sensor. The coordinates x and y of the wire will be determined by the sensor (fig. 1) the z coordinate is defined by the position of the plane in which the sensor moves during the measurement.

In the following, we have to work with two different coordinate systems: A coordinate system with the index *sen* which has its origin in the intersection of the red and green laser beams of the laser sensor (fig. 1) and a system with the index *abs* for an absolute coordinate. We will also use values with the index *enc*, these are readings of the encoders for the sensor positions on a 3-dimensional coordinate table.

The heart of the sensor are a red and a green laser (fig. 1) and two detectors directly next to the lasers. The detectors measure laser light which is reflected from the wires. The detectors are equipped with filters such that they detect only the red or green light from the red or green laser which is reflected by the wire.




Figure 1: Determination of the y-coordinate of the wire. The orientation of the coordinate system of the laser sensor is indicated by the arrows. The sensor moves from left to right across a wire. This results in a red and green reflex at the encoder readings $x_{enc,red}$ and $x_{enc,gr}$. The two laser are 18 cm apart and the distance between the lasers and the wire is approximately 15 cm. The wire must not be more than 5 mm away from the intersection of the two laser beams. The angle between the two laser beams is $70^\circ = 180^\circ - \alpha - \beta$. This angle can be different but was optimized for our application.

For a position measurement of the x and y coordinates of a wire, the sensor is being moved across the wire with the help of the 3-dimensional coordinate table along a straight line until both laser beams have hit the wire once and laser light was reflected and detected by the two detectors. During the motion, the position of the sensor and the intensity of the reflected light are being measured

and digitized. The direction of motion is indicated by the vector $\vec{v}$ (fig. 1). This motion is parallel to the x-axis of the coordinate system of the 3-dimensional coordinate table, i.e. x_{enc} changes continuously.

The wire has to be in the vicinity (± 5 mm) of the intersection of the laser beams. This requirement will be explained in section 6. For the following, we assume that the wire is between the laser sensor and the intersection of the two laser beams. The red reflection occurs, when the sensor is at the position $x_{enc,red}$ and the green reflection occurs, when the sensor is at $x_{enc,gr}$. The positions $x_{enc,red}$ and $x_{enc,gr}$ are defined as the maxima of the gaussians with which the reflected light distribution can be described (fig. 1 and 2).




Figure 2: Reflections of the red and green laser as measured with the laser sensor. The position information comes from the 3-dimensional coordinate table which moves the sensor across the wire. The maxima of the fitted gaussians are used as $x_{enc,red}$ & $x_{enc,gr}$ in eq. (1) and eq. (5). The gaussians are fitted automatically after each scan with LabVIEW.

Figure 1 shows, that the y-coordinate y_{sen} of the wire relative to the intersection point of the two laser beams is linearly related to the distance between the x-positions of the laser sensor $x_{enc,red}$ and $x_{enc,gr}$ at which the reflexes occurred:

$$y_{sen} = m \cdot (x_{enc,red} - x_{enc,gr}) \quad (1)$$

The slope m is defined by the two angles of the laser beams α and β :

$$m = \frac{\tan(\alpha) \cdot \tan(\beta)}{\tan(\alpha) + \tan(\beta)} \quad (2)$$

We cannot measure the angles of laser beams α and β very accurately in a direct way, but we can determine precisely the positions of the sensor defined by the 3-dimensional coordinate table, when the reflections occur. Therefore,

we determine the slope m of eq. (1) as follows: We move the sensor across the wire at an unknown distance y and we record $(x_{enc,red} - x_{enc,gr})$. Then, we move the sensor to a new position $y_{enc,i}$ which is Δy closer to the wire (e.g. $\Delta y = 0.5$ mm) and repeat the measurement at several distances $y_{enc,i}$ which differ by Δy . After several measurements at different positions $y_{enc,i}$, we plot $(x_{enc,red} - x_{enc,gr})$ against the different distances $y_{enc,i}$ from the wire, which differ by Δy and to fit the slope m to these data. As the distances $y_{enc,i}$ are not necessarily equal to the distance between the intersection of the laser beams and the wires, we do not use eq. (1) as fit function but include an offset b

$$y_{enc} = m \cdot (x_{enc,red} - x_{enc,gr}) + b \quad (3)$$

in the fit function. Note that in eq. (3), we write y_{enc} and not y_{sen} . The offset b is necessary, because in general, the encoder coordinate y_{enc} , of the arm which moves the sensor and which was recorded during the measurement does not coincide with the coordinate y_{sen} .

When a y -position is later determined with the laser sensor, we want to determine y_{sen} from $(x_{enc,red} - x_{enc,gr})$, thus we use formula (1) without the offset b and the slope m which we determined with the fit of formula (3) as this gives us the desired value y_{sen} . Thus, to calibrate the y -position of the sensor system means to determine the slope m of equations (1) - (3).

Now we also have to calibrate the x -coordinate in the sensor system with respect to the intersection point of the two laser beams (see fig. 3). We define x_{sen} by the reflection of the green laser. Therefore the angle β needs to be determined. From fig. 1 we can read:

$$y_{sen} = \tan(\beta) \cdot x_{sen} \quad (4)$$

From the same calibration data which we used to obtain the slope m of eq. (1) we can now determine $\tan(\beta)$ in a similar way: Again, the slope $\tan(\beta)$ does not depend on absolute coordinates but on the changes of the coordinates. Therefore we can plot the point of reflections of the green laser $x_{enc,gr}$ versus the various positions $y_{enc,i}$ differing by Δy and fit the slope $\tan(\beta)$ to the data.

Now, we have to combine the positions in the coordinate system of the laser sensor (indices sen) and the readings of the encoders $x_{enc,red}$ and $x_{enc,green}$ to obtain absolute positions. In the previous discussion, we have implicitly assumed, that m is a positive number i.e. the distance between $x_{enc,red}$ and $x_{enc,green}$ becomes larger, if y_{enc} increases. Thus, in fig. 1 y_{enc} increases from top to bottom. This implies, that y_{sen} has to be subtracted from y_{enc} to get an absolute position. As x_{sen} and x_{enc} have opposite orientations, they have to be subtracted as well.

$$\begin{aligned} y_{sen} &= m \cdot (x_{enc,red} - x_{enc,gr}) \\ y_{abs} &= y_{enc} - y_{sen} \\ x_{abs} &= x_{enc,gr} - x_{sen} \\ &= x_{enc,gr} - y_{sen} \cdot \arctan(\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

3 Accuracy of the Position Measurement

To determine the accuracy of the position measurement with the laser sensor, we have mounted a test wire on a set-up which could be moved in x & y direction with micrometer screws. The angle between the two lasers in our sensor is 70° .

Table 1: The values used for the determination of the accuracy of the position measurements with our laser sensor. Two independent series of measurement were taken for x and y. Only one coordinate was varied in each measurement series.

$x_{wire}(mm)$	$x_{meas}(mm)$	$y_{wire}(mm)$	$y_{meas}(mm)$
0.00	0.09	0.30	0.34
0.10	0.20	0.40	0.42
0.20	0.30	0.50	0.54
0.30	0.39	0.60	0.62
0.40	0.50	0.70	0.72
0.50	0.60	0.80	0.82
0.60	0.69	0.90	0.92
0.70	0.79	1.00	1.02
0.80	0.89	1.10	1.11
0.90	0.99		
1.00	1.09		

Table 1 shows the result of this test run. Positions with the index *wire* were set with the micrometer screw. Positions with the index *meas* were determined with the laser sensor. The resolution of the 3-dimensional coordinate table which moves the sensor is 0.01 mm. To estimate the precision of the laser sensor, we assume that the relation between the wire positions and the measured positions can be described by the equations

$$x_{meas} = f(x_{wire}) = a_x + x_{wire} \quad (6)$$

and

$$y_{meas} = f(y_{wire}) = a_y + y_{wire}. \quad (7)$$

i.e. that there are only arbitrary offsets $a_{x,y}$ between the laser sensor data and the actual positions. They result from the initial position of the micrometer screw which moved the test wire. Equations (6) and (7) were fitted to the data (table 1). The reduced χ^2 of these fits become 1 if we assume an uncertainty of 0.005 mm for the x-measurement and an uncertainty of 0.01 mm for the y-measurement. The uncertainty of the measurement of the x-coordinate is smaller, than the resolution of the 3-dimensional coordinate table. This is reasonable as the statistically distributed difference $|x_{wire} - x_{meas}|$ is never larger, than the resolution of 0.01 mm itself. In this case, the intrinsic accuracy of the laser sensor is smaller than the resolution of the 3-dimensional coordinate table.

4 Principle of the Tension Measurement

If a wire with length l , density ρ and cross-section A is held with a force F and corresponding tension $S = F/A$ is deflected from its equilibrium position, it will oscillate. The fundamental mode has a wavelength $\lambda = 2 \cdot l$. Both ends of the wire are fixed. The speed of sound of a wave on such a wire is given by

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{F}{A\rho}}. \quad (8)$$

Together with the relation $c = \lambda f$, one arrives at the formula for the oscillation frequency of the wire:

$$f = \frac{1}{2 \cdot l} \sqrt{\frac{S}{\rho}} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot l} \sqrt{\frac{F}{A \cdot \rho}} \quad (9)$$

This formula is a good approximation because for small oscillation amplitudes, no corrections for the elasticity of the material need to be applied.

We use eq. (9) to determine the tension of the wires in our electrode modules with our laser sensor. The design values for our wires are e.g. 10 N for wires with 0.3 mm diameter. The material of the wires is stainless steel type 1.4404 ($\rho = 7980 \text{ kg/m}^3$) thus the oscillation frequency is approx. 37 Hz.

To measure this oscillation, we measure the time-dependent reflection of one laser beam, e.g. the red one. Note, that the wire will reflect light twice per oscillation period, thus the reflected light pattern has a frequency $f' = 2 \cdot f$.

The wire has to be close to the intersection of the two laser beams because the diameter of the laser beam needs to be small. At this point, the laser beams are focussed to a minimum diameter by lenses (sec. 6). The wire starts to oscillate after it was shot at with short pulse of clean gas (argon with purity 4.6). The nozzle, which is being used to generate this pulse is marked with 'C' in fig. 6. The nozzle consists of a metal capillary with an inner diameter of 2 mm. An electronically controlled valve opens the connection between the nozzle and a reservoir of argon at 8 bar for 10 ms. This results in a pulse which has a diameter of approx. 10 mm at the location of the wire. The distance which the argon has to travel between the valve and the exit of the nozzle is approximately 130 mm (fig. 1 and fig. 6).

The reflected intensity versus time as recorded by our data acquisition system is plotted in fig. 3, upper panel. We let a peak finding algorithm determine the position of the fundamental mode. The measurement stops if the peak finding algorithm has found a frequency in a certain range. In our example, this range could be 40 Hz to 90 Hz. The exact values for the upper and lower limits of this range, which one would choose depend on the variation of the actual wire tension.

Our data acquisition system samples the signal from the detector with $f_0 = 8000$ samples per second, which corresponds to a Nyquist frequency of 4000 Hz. Since the oscillation of the reflected laser light becomes typically stationary after 1 s and dies away within less than ten seconds, we sample the signal for some seconds and calculate the Fourier transform every 0.5 s i.e. every 4000 samples (fig. 4 bottom).

In order to suppress high frequency noise, we filter the signal with a 3rd order Butterworth lowpass with a cutoff frequency of 100 Hz in LabVIEW (version 8.0) before the power spectrum of the signal is being computed.

We use the LabVIEW function 'Power Spectrum' to compute the power spectrum of the signal. The fundamental mode of the signal is being determined with the LabVIEW function 'Harmonic Distortion Analyzer'. Our 4000 samples at a sampling frequency of 8000 Hz result in a frequency spacing of 2 Hz (see fig. 3 bottom). The peak algorithm 'Harmonic Distortion Analyzer' can interpolate the oscillating frequency with a higher precision than the frequency spacing.




Figure 3: Upper picture: Reflected intensity versus time in seconds (The data have an arbitrary offset, therefore, the intensity has negative values in the plot). The varying height of the signal is an aliasing effect. Lower picture: FFT of the reflected intensity. As the wire moves through the laser beam twice per oscillation period the detected frequency appears at twice the oscillation frequency. Note that the frequency spacing of the Fourier transform is 2 Hz.

5 Accuracy of the tension measurement

In order to determine the precision of the tension measurement, we varied the tension of a 176 cm long test wire with 0.3 mm diameter and measured the frequency with our laser sensor (table 2). The tension was measured independently with a calibrated load cell (WRC-01 USB, model DBBP-20 by Weiss Robotics).

Table 2: The values used for the determination of the accuracy of the tension measurements with our laser sensor.

$f_{meas}(Hz)$	$F_{wire}(N)$
56.14	5.02
60.18	5.80
62.58	6.21
63.44	6.45
67.17	7.18
69.86	7.75
72.32	8.25
74.94	8.95
77.18	9.43
77.08	9.46
80.82	10.33
83.76	11.10
87.96	12.23

Following eq. 9, we fit the resulting data with a parabola

$$F(f) = a \cdot f'^2, \quad (10)$$

where a was the only free parameter. Note, that the measured frequency f' is twice the oscillation frequency of the wire f , as mentioned before. The reduced χ^2 of this fit becomes 1 if we assume an uncertainty of 0.04 N for the tension. The best fit parameter a is $1.586 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ N/Hz}^2$. Figure 4 shows the parabolic fit of the data. The plot of the deviations (fig. 5) confirms the precision of the method.

The parameter a can also be computed with eq. (9). With our parameters ($l = 1.76 \text{ m}$, wire diameter = 0.3 mm and $\rho = 7980 \text{ kg/m}^3$), it should be $a = 1.747 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ N/Hz}^2$, which is slightly larger than the experimental value. The two parameters l and ρ are known with high precision, but an uncertainty of the wire diameter could explain the difference between the theoretical and experimental value of a . Our experimental value is compatible with theory if one assumes, that the real wire diameter is 0.285 mm instead of 0.3 mm, which is not unrealistic.




Figure 4: Fit of wire tensions with a parabola. The tensions were measured with a calibrated load cell and the frequencies were measured with our laser sensor. Note, that the wires move through the laser beam twice per oscillation period. Thus the laser sensor measures a frequency, which is twice the oscillation frequency of the wire.




Figure 5: The deviations of the parabolic fit scatter around zero. The reduced χ^2 of the corresponding fit becomes 1 if one assumes an uncertainty of 0.04 N.

6 Set-Up of the Laser Sensor

Fig. 7 displays a cross-sectional view of our laser sensor. Fig. 6 shows the actual sensor mounted on the measurement head of our 3-dimensional coordinate table. The weight of this sensor is 250 g. It is vital that the laser beams have cross sections which are small compared to the diameters of the wires. To meet this requirement we focus the laser beams with lenses having a focal length ($f=150$ mm, diam. 22 mm) identical to the desired measurement distance between the laser and the wire (fig. 1).

The position and frequency measurements are made in the vicinity (± 5 mm) of the intersection of the laser beams. This point has a distance of 150 mm to these lenses. This guarantees, that the laser beams are narrow where the measurement is made. The laser beams, which are being generated by the laser pointers have diameters of approx. 2 mm. The lasers are ordinary laser pointers with 1 mW power. The wavelength of the green laser is 532 nm and the wavelength of the red laser pointer lies between 640 nm and 660 nm. The battery compartments of the laser pointers were removed (fig. 6) and the batteries were replaced with a laboratory power supply and connected with cables (fig. 6).

The removal of the batteries helps to keep the weight down and avoids de-adjustments of the lasers due to the torque created by the weight of the batteries. The reflected light is detected with pin-diodes. The signal of the pin-diodes is being amplified by a custom-made operational amplifier circuit. The filters, which sit in front of the diodes are a green and red bandpass filter by Baader Planetary, Germany (item number 2458304 and 2458307). As it should be clear from section 2 the diodes need to be equipped with filters such that they detect only red or green light. The filters make sure that the device can be operated in an illuminated room. The detectors are both equipped with special optics which focus the light on the diodes. The first lens ($f=150$ mm, diam. 22 mm) parallelizes the reflected light and the second lens ($f=20$ mm) focusses it on the diode.

Our laser sensor is being used with a very robust 3-dimensional coordinate table (weight 2500 kg, System C by Stiefelmayer). We equipped this coordinate table with three motors (type A-Max by Maxon Motor) for the three axes. Due to the use of a very robust 3 dimensional coordinate table and speed-regulated direct current motors we could avoid vibrations of the laser sensor which would spoil the measurements. The motors are controlled with LabVIEW via three RS-232 interfaces of our measurement computer. This computer also digitizes the signals from the laser sensor with a measurement card (NI PCI-6014 by National Instruments). The 3-dimensional position of the measurement arm, which holds the laser sensor is measured with three magneto-mechanical encoders. The resolution of these encoders is 0.01 mm. The position information of these three encoders is digitized by electronics built in our electronic workshop. The position information is read in from this electronics into our measurement computer with LabVIEW via the above mentioned measurement card.




Figure 6: The laser sensor with its most important components: A, the red laser, B, the detector for red light, C, the nozzle, D, the detector for green light and E the green laser.




Figure 7: Cross-sectional view of the laser sensor. The positions of the pin-diodes are indicated by 'detector'. In order to make sure that the detectors detect only red or green light, filters sit directly in front of the diodes (not in the picture).

7 Conclusion

We developed a new 2-dimensional laser sensor to determine wire positions as well as wire tensions from a distance of 15 cm. The laser sensor is moved by a precise 3-dimensional coordinate table and the intensity of the reflected light is recorded resulting in position resolution of better than $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Wire oscillations can be excited by blowing a short pulse of clean gas on it. The Fast Fourier Transform of the reflected light yields the wire tension with a precision of 0.04 N. An important property for our application is the lightweight construction of the laser sensor ($< 250\text{ g}$) to avoid a bending of the arm of the 3-dimensional coordinate table.

The key features of our novel sensor are the ability to measure precise 2-dimensional positions from a distance of 15 cm which is much larger than in other sensors. It also combines position and tension measurements. The tension measurements can be done contactless within a few seconds.

We apply this wire measurement system for the quality assurance of the wire electrode system of the KATRIN neutrino mass experiment [7]. In a second version of the sensor we have enlarged the detector lenses to a diameter of 40 mm in order to increase the angle of acceptance to $\pm 10^\circ$ to measure wires non-perpendicular to the laser plane.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank A. Gebel for his fundamental hardware studies [10] as well as the mechanical and electronical workshops of our institute for their support.

This project is funded by the German Ministry of Research and Education (BMBF).

References

- [1] H. Gottschlag, *diploma thesis*, Univ. of Münster (2005).
- [2] D.S. Carman, D. Bilodeau, L.C. Bland, A. Eads, T. Rinckel, K. Solberg *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, v. 394, p. 409-414.
- [3] J. Berdugo, *Control on the measurements of the Position of the wires for the CMS muon layers*, CIEMAT CMS group Madrid.
Available: wwwae.ciemat.es/cms/much/javierps/mesa.eps
- [4] K. Hashemi, *Open Source Instruments, Inc. 130 Mount Auburn Street W-tertoun, MA 02472* Wire Position Sensor.
Available: <http://www.opensourceinstruments.com/>
- [5] L. Lohonyai, *Wire Stretch Meter, Model WSM-660, User Manual*, KFKI Budapest (1995).
- [6] M. Convery, *A Device for Quick and Reliable Measurement of Wire Tension*, BaBar TNDC-96-93 (1996).
- [7] *KATRIN Design Report*, FZKA7090 (2004).
- [8] K. Hugenberg, *diploma thesis*, Univ. of Münster (2008).
- [9] K. Valerius, *phd thesis*, Univ. of Münster, (2009).
- [10] A. Gebel, *diploma thesis*, Univ. of Münster (2007).