

# All-Optical Computing with Solitons Trapped in $\Lambda$ -type Media

Willem P. Beeker,<sup>1</sup> Chris J. Lee,<sup>1</sup> Edip Can,<sup>2</sup> Klaus J. Boller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Laser Physics & Nonlinear Optics Group, MESA+ Research Institute for Nanotechnology,  
University of Twente, P. O. Box 217, Enschede 7500AE, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Applied Physics and J. M. Burgers Centre for Fluid Dynamics,  
University of Twente, P. O. Box 217, Enschede 7500AE, The Netherlands*

(Dated: May 30, 2019)

In this paper we theoretically investigate the properties of solitons, based on self induced transparency (SIT), propagating in  $\Lambda$ -type media. We show that SIT solitons can be trapped with their phase preserved in the ground state coherence of the medium. These phases can be manipulated in a systematic way by the application of appropriate light fields, such as additional SIT solitons. We show that in the continuous variable regime, logical operations can be performed on the additional SIT solitons.

PACS numbers: 42.79.Ta, 42.50.Gy, 42.65.Tg

## I. INTRODUCTION

Strong and coherent interactions between  $\Lambda$ -type three-level atomic media and resonant light pulses have been a topic of much interest recently. This interest has been driven by quantum memory systems [1, 2], quantum logic operations [3], and image storage [4]. In the case of quantum information processing, where it is necessary to store and process multiple quantum bits (qubits) in the same medium, it is of great interest to examine the influence of multiple light pulses on the spatial distribution of the ground-state coherence of the medium.

In view of the latter, the use of solitons based on self induced transparency (SIT solitons) [5] appears to be an interesting candidate for continuous variable quantum computing [6]. Continuous variable optical computing (quantum or classical) requires a nonlinear optical interaction. Generally, the non-resonant Kerr effect is chosen as the nonlinear optical operation, which unfortunately requires that intense optical pulses and/or long interaction lengths must be used. SIT is a resonant effect, thereby providing a much stronger interaction. This offers the possibility of reducing the interaction lengths and pulse intensities required for continuous variable optical computing.

A further disadvantage of using the nonresonant Kerr effect is that the information is stored in an optical pulse that propagates with the speed of light. Operations between different pulses must be carefully timed so that the overlap between them in the linear (e.g., beam-splitters) or nonlinear optical elements is maximized. However, as we will show here, in the case of SIT solitons, the timing problem is much less critical. SIT solitons can be stored in the ground state coherence of a three-level medium by means of an appropriate applied control light field. This allows computational operations to be performed on the stationary coherence, rather than on the traveling soliton. We observed that multiple operations can be performed on a single stored soliton with the result of each operation stored in the medium. Each operation results in a complementary pulse of light.

The interaction of a single SIT soliton with a control pulse has been investigated by Vemuri et al. [7]. They showed that a single SIT soliton can be “cloned” in a  $\Lambda$ -type medium (see Fig 1) by applying a weak control light field resonant with the  $|2\rangle$ - $|3\rangle$  transition. Further research [8, 9, 10] showed that the spatial region of the medium where the SIT soliton and control pulse overlap is left with a significant population in  $|2\rangle$  and a ground state coherence extending to either side of the region, referred to as the trapped soliton. Additionally, the existence of the ground state coherence has been shown to be sufficient to trap SIT solitons that are injected into the medium later [8]. Loiko et al. and Vemuri et al. have characterized many of the important parameters influencing SIT soliton trapping, however, the phases of the light fields and ground state coherences have not been investigated.

Here, we investigate the influence of additional SIT solitons on the state of a medium that contains a trapped SIT soliton. We explain how a trapped SIT soliton is able to capture another SIT soliton, injected at a later time. By examining the phase relationship between injected pulses, the coherence of the medium, and emitted pulses, we show that SIT solitons are suitable for optical computing. To demonstrate this, we present a series of operations that implement an exclusive-OR (XOR) gate.

## II. THEORY

To study the propagation and interaction of light in a three-level medium as shown in Fig. 1, we employ a density matrix approach. The medium’s constituent atoms are considered to be at rest and have two ground states ( $|1\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle$ ) and one excited state ( $|3\rangle$ ). The  $|1\rangle$ - $|3\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle$ - $|3\rangle$  transitions are dipole allowed and radiative, while the  $|1\rangle$ - $|2\rangle$  transition is dipole forbidden. We consider a one-dimensional medium extending in the  $z$ -direction and plane waves traveling in the  $+z$ -direction. This leads to the following set of differential equations, the solution of which provides the time and space-dependent population

densities and coherences between populations throughout the medium.

$$\dot{\rho}_{11}(z) = -\frac{i}{2}(\chi_{13}\rho_{13} - \chi_{13}^*\rho_{13}^*) + \rho_{33}R_{31} \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{22}(z) = -\frac{i}{2}(\chi_{23}\rho_{23} - \chi_{23}^*\rho_{23}^*) + \rho_{33}R_{32} \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{33}(z) = \frac{i}{2}(\chi_{13}\rho_{13} + \chi_{23}\rho_{23} - \chi_{13}^*\rho_{13}^* - \chi_{23}^*\rho_{23}^*) - (R_{31} + R_{32})\rho_{33} \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{12}(z) = \frac{i}{2}(\chi_{13}^*\rho_{23}^* - \chi_{23}\rho_{13}) \quad (4)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{13}(z) = \frac{i}{2}(\chi_{13}^*(\rho_{33} - \rho_{11}) - \chi_{23}^*\rho_{12}) - \beta_{13}\rho_{13} \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{23}(z) = \frac{i}{2}(\chi_{23}^*(\rho_{33} - \rho_{22}) - \chi_{13}^*\rho_{12}) - \beta_{23}\rho_{23} \quad (6)$$

The diagonal elements of the density matrix,  $\rho_{ii}$ , are the population densities, normalized such that  $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$ , of the energetic states of the medium. The off-diagonal elements,  $\rho_{ij}$ , are the coherence terms that are proportional to the polarization of the medium. The decay constants  $R_{31}$  and  $R_{32}$  account for the limited lifetime of state  $|3\rangle$ . Decay of the coherence between states  $i$  and  $j$  is given by the rate,  $\beta_{ij}$ , representing non-radiative processes, such as collisional dephasing. The Rabi frequencies of the medium are given by  $\chi_{13} = \frac{E_S\mu_{13}}{\hbar}$  and  $\chi_{23} = \frac{E_C\mu_{23}}{\hbar}$ . Where  $\mu_{13}$  and  $\mu_{23}$  are the transition dipole moments of the two radiative transitions. The medium is driven by the light fields  $E_S$  and  $E_C$ .

The light fields, which are assumed to be resonant with their respective transitions, are formulated using the Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA) [11]. As is standard with SIT pulses, the duration of the pulses are assumed to be much shorter than the coherence decay rates, but long enough for the slowly varying envelope approximation (SVEA) to hold. The temporal profile of the light field envelopes are chosen as sech-squared functions, as SIT solitons assume this form typically [5]. We specify the duration and amplitude of the light pulse via the pulse area.

To investigate phase preservation, we define the phase of the light envelope as the argument of  $E_n = A_n e^{i\phi_n} f(z, t)$  where  $A_n$  is the real positive valued peak amplitude of the pulse and  $f$  is the sech-shaped envelope. Likewise the phase of the ground state coherence is given by the argument  $\rho_{12} = A_\rho e^{i\theta} g(z)$ , where  $g$  is the real and positive shape of the coherence structure.

Applying the SVEA, the Maxwell equations are given by:

$$\frac{dE_S}{dz} = i \frac{k_S}{\epsilon_0} N \mu_{13} \rho_{13}^* \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dE_C}{dz} = i \frac{k_C}{\epsilon_0} N \mu_{23} \rho_{23}^* \quad (8)$$

with  $k_S$  and  $k_C$  being the wavenumbers of the SIT and coupling fields,  $N$  the density of the active medium and




FIG. 1: State of the nonzero density matrix elements throughout the medium after the storage of a single SIT soliton by a small coupling pulse. The excited region, where  $\rho_{22}$  is nonzero, is accompanied by a region with maximal ground state coherence,  $\rho_{12}$ . The inset shows the used  $\Lambda$ -system.

$\epsilon_0$  the electric permittivity of the vacuum. In these calculations a typical value of the product of  $N\mu = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$  was used.

The differential equations Eq. (1-8), form a set of coupled equations that were solved numerically using both a fourth order Runge-Kutta algorithm, and the Euler method. At each grid location along the propagation axis, the medium's state is represented by an independent density matrix. All calculations begin with  $|1\rangle$  fully occupied ( $\rho_{11} = 1$ ) and no coherence between any of the levels.

After a number of solitons and control pulses have interacted with the medium, we examine the spatial structure of the ground state coherence ( $\rho_{12}$ ), the phase of the ground state coherence, and the phase of light emitted by the medium.

### III. RESULTS

To explain the phase behaviour of SIT solitons that are themselves captured by a trapped SIT soliton, we must examine the phase behaviour of a single trapped SIT soliton. Consider an SIT soliton with an initial phase  $\phi_{S1}$  and a weak control pulse with a phase  $\phi_{c1}$ . Fig. 1 shows the resulting ground state coherence after the trapping of an SIT soliton. We observe that the resulting ground state coherence has the phase  $\phi_{c1} - \phi_{S1}$ , and can, therefore, serve as storage for optical phase information.

#### A. Interactions between SIT solitons

We now turn to the case where a second SIT soliton is injected into the medium after the capture of a first SIT soliton. In this case, the second SIT soliton encounters an excited medium with populations and coherences shown

in Fig. 1. As will be described in more detail, we observed that, in general, the second and also all additional SIT solitons are captured as well upon “collision” with the excited region, and that this leads to the creation of additional excited regions. A distinct feature of this process is that the second and subsequent SIT solitons are captured without a control pulse being injected. The latter is important because it allows multiple SIT soliton interactions without critically controlling the timing of their arrival in the medium.

To illustrate this, we begin by describing the initial capture of the first SIT soliton and the subsequent collision. Fig. 2(a) shows the amplitude of the SIT solitons as a function of time and space, while Fig. 2(b) shows the amplitude of control light fields. An initial SIT soliton is injected into the medium at time *A*, which travels slowly through the medium, as can be seen by the slope. A control pulse with a phase of  $\pi$  is injected after the SIT soliton, it is however, too weak to be visible on the scale of Fig. 2(b). The control pulse overtakes the SIT soliton at time/position *B*, where it is amplified at the expense of the SIT soliton, producing the excited region illustrated in Fig. 1. The amplified control pulse exits the medium without further interaction.

The second SIT soliton with a phase of  $\pi$  is injected into the medium at time *C*, however no control light fields are injected. Nevertheless, the SIT soliton is trapped in the medium by the collision with the excited region at time/position *D*. When the SIT pulse enters the first half of the excited region, the ground state coherence ensures that there is stimulated emission on both the  $|1\rangle\text{--}|3\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle\text{--}|3\rangle$  transition, simultaneously. Note that the light on the control transition is in phase with the original control pulse, indicated by a negative value in Fig. 2(b) at location *D*. This control pulse is amplified at the expense of the SIT soliton, trapping the SIT soliton and extending the excited region in the medium.

At location *E*, the control light field enters the second half of the excited region, where the sign of the coherence is the reverse to the first half. The population transfer due to the control pulse removes this coherence, generates a new SIT soliton, which we refer to as a transitory SIT soliton. In doing so, the control pulse is completely absorbed and a new control light field with its phase equal to the phase of the first amplified control pulse is emitted. This control pulse captures the transitory SIT soliton, being amplified and inverted in sign in the process and exits the medium (location *F*). As a result, the medium now has a single extended excitation region.

One would expect that the coherence generated during the capture of the transitory SIT soliton replicates that of the second SIT soliton capture. However, the original coherence, which is the source for all the control light fields after the first SIT soliton is captured, is an odd function with respect to the center location of the captured SIT soliton. Thus, the control light fields, emitted from each side of this location during the collision, are out of phase with each other. Consequently, these two




FIG. 2: (a) Electric field amplitude of light resonant with the  $|1\rangle\text{--}|3\rangle$  transition, as either an injected SIT soliton, or emission from the medium. (b) Electric field amplitude of light resonant with the  $|2\rangle\text{--}|3\rangle$  transition, as either an injected control pulse, or emission from the medium. Event *A*: the first SIT soliton is introduced to the medium. Event *B*: the SIT soliton and control pulse overlap, resulting in the SIT soliton’s capture and the coupling pulse’s amplification. Event *C*: the second SIT soliton with a phase of  $\pi$  is introduced to the medium. Event *D*: the SIT soliton collides with the first half of the excited region, emitting a negative control pulse. Event *E*: the negative control pulse captures the SIT soliton and is amplified. It then destructively interferes with the ground state coherence of the second half of the excited region, resulting in the emission of a transitory SIT soliton. Event *F*: the remaining control light field is amplified by the transitory SIT soliton, capturing it in the process and expanding the original excitation region.

light fields destructively interfere with each other. The control light that subsequently captures the transitory SIT soliton is generated from the residual excited population and coherence, which has a phase identical to that of the original control pulse, thus, the coherence associated with the transitory SIT soliton is identical to that of the first SIT soliton.

In summary, during the collision, the medium emits a control pulse, which has a phase that is the sum of the phase of the second soliton and the phase of the stored coherence as given by Eq. 9.

$$\phi_{c2} = \phi_{S2} + \phi_{c1} - \phi_{S1} \quad (9)$$

As discussed below, it is important to note that the soliton collision results in a control pulse exiting the medium ( $F$ ). This is a general result, because it also occurs if subsequent collisions occur.

### B. Optical logic gates

Here, we show that the continuous variable equivalent of the XOR gate can be implemented using combinations of SIT solitons and control pulses. In the continuous variable regime, using the SIT soliton phase as the information carrier, an XOR operation is given by  $|\phi_1, \phi_2\rangle \rightarrow |\phi_1, \phi_1 + \phi_2\rangle$ . To implement this, the logic operation begins with the capture of the first SIT soliton (chosen with a phase  $\phi_{S1} = 0$  as a reference), by the application of a control pulse ( $\phi_{c1}$ ). The phase difference is transferred to the ground state coherence of the medium at the capture location, storing it ( $\phi_{c1} - \phi_{S1} = \phi_{c1}$ ). Finally, the SIT soliton with the phase to be operated on ( $\phi_{S2}$ ) is inserted into the medium. This SIT soliton collides with the stored coherence, generating a control pulse possessing the desired phase according to Eq. 9 ( $\phi_{c2} = \phi_{S2} + \phi_{c1}$ ).

Note that, in addition to encoding the result of the XOR operation in the phase of the emitted control pulse, the ground state coherence of the medium also stores the result of the operation. The stationary nature of the ground-state coherence then allows multiple operations to be performed. Furthermore, because each operation results in the medium emitting a pulse of light that encodes the result of that operation, conditional operations become feasible.

## IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the behavior of SIT solitons in a three-level medium by solving the density matrix and

1D Maxwell equations. We have found that the phase of multiple SIT solitons can be stored as a ground state coherence in the three level medium by either the application of a control light field or by colliding the SIT soliton with a pre-existing ground-state coherence. We have found that the phase of the ground state coherence, the SIT soliton and control pulses have fixed relationships, which provide a mechanism for manipulating the phase of the ground state coherence. Finally, we demonstrate the operations required to produce an XOR gate. We note that the results from every operation on the ground-state coherence is stored in the ground-state coherence *and* emitted as a control light field pulse. This provides a convenient mechanism for implementing conditional operations.

SIT based computational schemes may be implemented in cold gases or solid state systems [9, 10], which might allow that a larger number of computations could be performed on a single SIT soliton. The maximum number of operations that can be performed on a stored soliton can be estimated by comparing laser pulse repetition frequencies and ground state dephasing times. An ultracold atomic ensemble quantum memory gate with a 1 ms decoherence time was recently demonstrated [12], while laser repetition rates exceeding 300 GHz have also been realized [13]. This provides an upper bound of 300 million operations on a stored SIT pulse. Even if more commonly available mode-locked lasers were used, one might expect this to be on the order of 80 k operations.

It is also interesting to consider the applicability of such a scheme to continuous variable quantum computation. The results that we present here are rather general, indicating that performing these operations with solitons with squeezed states [14] may result in the squeezed state being transferred to the ground state coherence. However, the scheme would also add at least one quanta of additional phase noise, indicating that a more rigorous calculation is required.

- 
- [1] A. Kuzmich et al., *Nature* **423**, 731 (2003).
  - [2] N. Gisin and R. Thew, *Nature Photonics* **1**, 165 (2007).
  - [3] J. H. Plantenberg et al., *Nature* **447**, 836 (2007).
  - [4] M. Shuker et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 223601 (2008).
  - [5] S. L. McCall and E. L. Hahn, *Phys. Rev.* **183**, 457 (1969).
  - [6] S. Lloyd and S. L. Braunstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1784 (1999).
  - [7] G. Vemuri, G. S. Agarwal, and K. V. Vasavada, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3889 (1997).
  - [8] W. P. Beeker, D. N. Deriga, and K. J. Boller, in *Quantum Electronics Conference, 2005. International* (Optical Society of America, Tokyo, Japan, 2005), vol. 1, pp. 718–719.
  - [9] Y. Loiko and C. Serrat, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 063809 (2006).
  - [10] Y. Loiko et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 023801 (2007).
  - [11] P. W. Milonni and J. H. Eberly, *Lasers* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988).
  - [12] B. Zhao et al., *Nature Physics* **5**, 95 (2009).
  - [13] K. Merghem et al., *App. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 021107 (2009).
  - [14] P. D. Drummond et al., *Nature* **365**, 307 (1993).