

# Semi-symmetric algebras: General Constructions.

## Part II

Valentin Vankov Iliev  
 Section of Algebra,  
 Institute of Mathematics and Informatics,  
 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

November 20, 2018

### Abstract

In [3] we present the construction of the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E)$  of a module  $E$  over a commutative ring  $K$  with unit, which generalizes the tensor algebra  $T(E)$ , the symmetric algebra  $S(E)$ , and the exterior algebra  $\wedge(E)$ , deduce some of its functorial properties, and prove a classification theorem. In the present paper we continue the study of the semi-symmetric algebra and discuss its graded dual, the corresponding canonical bilinear form, its coalgebra structure, as well as left and right inner products. Here we present a unified treatment of these topics whose exposition in [2, A.III] is made simultaneously for the above three particular (and, without a shadow of doubt — most important) cases.

## 1 Introduction

In order to make the exposition self-contained, in this introduction we remind the main definitions and results from [3].

Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with unit 1. Denote by  $U(K)$  the group of units of  $K$ . Given a positive integer  $d$ , let  $W \leq S_d$  be a permutation group, and let  $\chi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of the group  $W$ , that is, a group homomorphism  $\chi: W \rightarrow U(K)$ . We call a  $W$ -module any  $K$ -linear representation of  $W$  and view it also as a left unitary module over the group ring  $KW$ . Let  $M$  be a  $W$ -module. We denote by  ${}_{\chi}M$  the  $W$ -submodule of  $M$ , generated by all differences  $\chi(\sigma)z - \sigma z$ , where  $\sigma \in W$ ,  $z \in M$ , and by  $M_{\chi}$  the  $W$ -submodule of  $M$ , consisting of all  $z \in M$  such that  $\sigma z = \chi(\sigma)z$  for all  $\sigma \in W$ . Given  $K$ -modules  $E, F$ , we denote by  $Mult_K(E^d, F)$  the  $K$ -module consisting of all  $K$ -multilinear maps  $E \rightarrow F$ , and by  $T^d(E)$  — the  $d$ -th tensor power of  $E$ . The  $K$ -modules  $T^d(E)$ ,  $Hom_K(T^d(E), F)$ , and  $Mult_K(E^d, F)$  have the usual structure of  $W$ -modules, see [1, Ch. III, Sec. 5,  $n^o$  1]. We denote the factor-module  $T^d(E)/{}_{\chi^{-1}}T^d(E)$  by  $[\chi]^d(E)$ , and call it  *$d$ -th semi-symmetric power of weight  $\chi$  of the  $K$ -module  $E$* . By definition,  $[\chi]^0(E) = K$ . The image of the tensor  $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_d \in T^d(E)$  by the

canonical homomorphism  $\varphi_d: T^d(E) \rightarrow [\chi]^d(E)$  is denoted by  $x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d$ , and is called *decomposable  $d - \chi$ -vector*. Thus,  $x_{\sigma(1)}\chi \dots \chi x_{\sigma(d)} = \chi(\sigma)x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d$  for any permutation  $\sigma \in W$ .

In [3, (1.1.1)] we show that  $d$ -th semi-symmetric power  $[\chi]^d(E)$  is a representing object for the functor  $Mult_K(E^d, -)_\chi$ . As usual, we denote by  $S_\infty$  the group of all permutations of the set of all positive integers, which fix all but finitely many elements. We identify the symmetric group  $S_d$  with the subgroup of  $S_\infty$ , consisting of all permutations fixing any  $n > d$ . Let  $(W_d)_{d \geq 1}$  be a sequence of subgroups of  $S_\infty$ . This sequence is said to be *admissible* if  $W_d \leq S_d$  for all  $d \geq 1$ . A sequence of  $K$ -valued characters  $(\chi_d: W_d \rightarrow U(K))_{d \geq 1}$  is said to be *admissible* if its sequence of domains  $(W_d)_{d \geq 1}$  is admissible. We define an injective endomorphism  $\omega$  of the symmetric group  $S_\infty$  by the formula  $(\omega(\sigma))(d) = \sigma(d-1) + 1$ ,  $(\omega(\sigma))(1) = 1$ . A sequence  $(W_d)_{d \geq 1}$  is called  $\omega$ -*stable* if it is admissible, and  $W_d \leq W_{d+1}$ ,  $\omega(W_d) \leq W_{d+1}$ , for all  $d \geq 1$ . A sequence of linear  $K$ -valued characters  $(\chi_d: W_d \rightarrow U(K))_{d \geq 1}$  is said to be  $\omega$ -*invariant* if its sequence of domains  $(W_d)_{d \geq 1}$  is  $\omega$ -stable, and

$$\chi_{d+1}|_{W_d} = \chi_d = \chi_{d+1} \circ \omega|_{W_d}$$

for all  $d \geq 1$ . Given a  $K$ -module  $E$ , any admissible sequence of characters  $\chi = (\chi_d)_{d \geq 1}$  produces a graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E) = \coprod_{d \geq 0} [\chi]^d(E)$ , where  $[\chi]^d(E) = [\chi_d]^d(E)$ , and  $[\chi]^0(E) = K$ . Denote by  $\varphi(E)$  the canonical  $K$ -linear homomorphism  $\coprod_{d \geq 0} \varphi_d: T(E) \rightarrow [\chi](E)$ , where  $\varphi_0 = id_K$ . We denote by  $K^{(\infty)}$  a free  $K$ -module with countable basis. The following two theorems are proved in [3] (see [3, 1.3.1] and [3, 1.3.3]):

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\chi$  be an admissible sequence of characters. The following statements are then equivalent.*

- (i) *The sequence  $\chi$  is  $\omega$ -invariant;*
- (ii) *for any  $K$ -module  $E$  the  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E)$  has a structure of associative graded  $K$ -algebra, such that  $\varphi(E)$  is a homomorphism of graded  $K$ -algebras;*
- (iii) *the  $K$ -module  $[\chi](K^{(\infty)})$  has a structure of associative graded  $K$ -algebra, such that  $\varphi(K^{(\infty)})$  is a homomorphism of graded  $K$ -algebras.*

The  $K$ -algebra  $[\chi](E)$  is called the *semi-symmetric algebra of weight  $\chi$  of the  $K$ -module  $E$* , and its elements —  $\chi$ -*vectors*.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $W = (W_d)_{d \geq 1}$  be an  $\omega$ -stable sequence of groups. Then the group of all  $\omega$ -invariant sequences of characters on  $W$  (with componentwise multiplication) is trivial or isomorphic to the multiplicative subgroup of  $K$  consisting of all involutions.*

We obtain immediately

**Corollary 3** *If  $\chi = (\chi_d)_{d \geq 1}$  is an  $\omega$ -stable sequence of characters, then*

- (i) *one has  $\chi = \chi^{-1}$ , where  $\chi^{-1} = (\chi_d^{-1})_{d \geq 1}$ ;*
- (ii) *if the ring  $K$  is an integral domain, then the possible values of  $\chi_d$  in  $K$  are  $\pm 1$  for any  $d \geq 1$ .*

When  $W_d = \{1\}$  for all  $d \geq 1$ , the graded algebra  $[\chi](E)$  coincides with the tensor algebra  $T(E)$ . When  $W_d = S_d$  and  $\chi_d$  is the unit character for all  $d \geq 1$ , the graded algebra  $[\chi](E)$  coincides with the symmetric algebra  $S(E)$ . When  $W_d = S_d$  and  $\chi_d$  is the signature for all  $d \geq 1$ , the graded algebra  $[\chi](E)$  is the anti-symmetric algebra of  $E$ ; in particular, if  $1/2 \in K$ , then  $[\chi](E)$  is the exterior algebra  $\wedge(E)$  of the  $K$ -module  $E$ . If  $E$  is a  $n$ -generated  $K$ -module,  $k \geq n$ , and if  $W_d = \{1\}$  for all  $d \leq k$ ,  $W_d = S_d$  for all  $d > k$ , and  $\chi_d$  is the signature for all  $d \geq 1$ , then  $[\chi](E)$  is the tensor algebra truncated by its elements of degree  $> k$ .

Let  $W \leq S_d$  be a permutation group and let  $\chi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of the group  $W$ . In [5, 1] we construct a basis for the  $d$ -th semi-symmetric power  $[\chi]^d(E)$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , starting from the standard basis for  $T^d(E)$  in the case  $K$  is a field of characteristics 0, but the results hold when  $K$  is a commutative ring with unit, which is an integral domain, the order of the group  $W$  is invertible in  $K$ , and the  $K$ -module  $E$  is free, see [4] where this generalization was announced. The counterexamples from [4] show that these conditions are necessary for  $[\chi]^d(E)$  to be a free  $K$ -module for all permutation groups  $W \leq S_d$  and for all characters  $\chi: W \rightarrow U(K)$ . Here we prove these general results, see Theorem 5, its Corollary 6, and Example 10.

In this paper we continue the study of semi-symmetric algebras under the condition that the commutative ring  $K$  is both a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ring and an integral domain, and under the assumption that the  $K$ -module  $E$  is a free  $K$ -module with a finite basis. We unite the bases for  $[\chi]^d(E)$ ,  $d \geq 0$ , and get a basis for the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E)$  of weight  $\chi$ , considered as a  $K$ -module. This is done in Corollary 9.

Further, we study some duality properties of the semi-symmetric powers and algebras of weight  $\chi$ . In Theorem 11 we define a non-singular bilinear form on the product  $[\chi]^d(E) \times [\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*)$ , and use it to identify the  $K$ -modules  $([\chi]^d(E))^*$  and  $[\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*)$ . Mimicking the case of an exterior power, we make use of generalized Schur function (see [6]) instead of determinant. After this identification, the above bilinear form coincides with the canonical bilinear form of the  $K$ -module  $[\chi]^d(E)$ ; here  $M^*$  denotes the dual of the  $K$ -module  $M$ . Thus, we get an identification of the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E^*)$  with the dual graded algebra  $([\chi](E))^{*gr}$  of the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E)$ , see Theorem 16, (i). Moreover, we extend the sequence of the above canonical bilinear forms to the canonical bilinear form of the graded algebras  $[\chi](E)$  and  $([\chi](E))^{\otimes k}$ , by assuming that the homogeneous components are orthogonal, see Theorem 16, (ii), (iii). Because of the above identification, the elements of the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E^*)$  are called  $\chi$ -forms. In Corollary 22 we define a structure of graded coassociative and counital  $K$ -coalgebra on  $[\chi](E)$ , and show that the structure of graded associative algebra with unit on its dual  $([\chi](E))^{*gr} = [\chi](E^*)$ , defined by functoriality, coincide with the usual structure of graded associative algebra with unit on the graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E^*)$ . In particular, when  $[\chi](E)$  is the graded  $K$ -module underlying the symmetric algebra (or the exterior algebra, or the tensor algebra) of the  $K$ -module  $E$ , we obtain the usual structure of  $K$ -coalgebra on it (see [2, A III, 139-141]). In Section 5, following [1, Ch. III, Sec.

8,  $n^o$  4], we find out the main properties of the left and right inner products of a  $\chi$ -vector and a  $\chi$ -form.

## 2 Basis of semi-symmetric algebra of a free module

Let  $W$  be a finite group, and let  $\chi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of the group  $W$ . Let us assume that  $|W| \in U(K)$  and set  $a_\chi = |W|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W} \chi^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma$ . The element  $a_\chi$  of the group ring  $KW$  defines  $K$ -linear endomorphism  $a_\chi: M \rightarrow M$  by the rule  $z \mapsto a_\chi z$ . Then the  $W$ -submodule  ${}_\chi M$  of  $M$  is the kernel of  $a_\chi$ , and the  $W$ -submodule  $M_\chi$  of  $M$  is the image of  $a_\chi$ .

Let  $M$  be a free  $K$ -module with basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Let us suppose that the finite group  $W$  acts on the index set  $I$ . Denote by  $W_i$  the stabilizer of  $i \in I$  and by  $W^{(i)}$  a system of representatives of the left classes of  $W$  modulo  $W_i$ . Let  $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of maps  $W \rightarrow U(K)$  such that  $\gamma_i(\sigma\tau) = \gamma_{\tau i}(\sigma)\gamma_i(\tau)$  for all  $i \in I$ , and all  $\sigma, \tau \in W$ . In particular, the restriction of  $\gamma_i$  on  $W_i$  is a  $K$ -valued character of the group  $W_i$  for any  $i \in I$ . The  $K$ -module  $M$  has a structure of monomial  $W$ -module, defined by the rule

$$\sigma e_i = \gamma_i(\sigma) e_{\sigma i}, \quad \sigma \in W, \quad i \in I. \quad (1)$$

We set  $I(\chi, M) = \{i \in I \mid \gamma_i = \chi \text{ on } W_i\}$ ,  $I_0(\chi, M) = I \setminus I(\chi, M)$ .

**Lemma 4** (i) *The set  $I(\chi, M)$  is a  $W$ -stable subset of  $I$ ;*

(ii) *one has  $a_\chi(v_i) = 0$  for  $i \in I_0(\chi, M)$ .*

**Proof:** (i) Given  $i \in I$ , suppose  $\sigma \in W$  and  $\tau \in W_i$ . Then  $W_{\sigma i} = \sigma W_i \sigma^{-1}$  and  $\chi(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}) = \chi(\tau)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\sigma i}(\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}) &= \gamma_{\sigma^{-1}\sigma i}(\sigma\tau)\gamma_{\sigma i}(\sigma^{-1}) = \gamma_{\sigma i}(\sigma^{-1})\gamma_i(\sigma\tau) = \\ &= \gamma_{\sigma\tau i}(\sigma^{-1})\gamma_i(\sigma\tau) = \gamma_i(\sigma^{-1}\sigma\tau) = \gamma_i(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The complement of  $I(\chi, M)$  in  $I$  also is  $W$ -stable; let  $i \in I \setminus I(\chi, M)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_\chi(v_i) &= |W|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W^{(i)}} \sum_{\tau \in W_i} \chi^{-1}(\sigma\tau) \gamma_i(\sigma\tau) v_{\sigma\tau i} = \\ &= |W|^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W^{(i)}} \chi^{-1}(\sigma) \gamma_i(\sigma) \left( \sum_{\tau \in W_i} \chi^{-1}(\tau) \gamma_i(\tau) \right) v_{\sigma i}, \end{aligned}$$

and the equality  $a_\chi(v_i) = 0$  holds because the product  $\chi^{-1}\gamma_i$  is not the unit character of the group  $W_i$ .

We choose an element  $i$  from any  $W$ -orbit in  $I$  and denote the set of these  $i$ 's by  $I^*$ . Finally, we set  $J(\chi, M) = I^* \cap I(\chi, M)$ , and  $J_0(\chi, M) = I^* \cap I_0(\chi, M)$ .

Following [2, Ch. III, Sec. 5,  $n^\circ 4$ ], we get a basis of the  $K$ -module  $M$  consisting of

$$e_j, \quad j \in J(\chi, M), \quad (2)$$

$$e_i - \chi(\sigma)\gamma_i(\sigma)e_{\sigma i}, \quad i \in I^*, \sigma \in W^{(i)}, \sigma \notin W_i, \quad (3)$$

$$e_i, \quad i \in J_0(\chi, M). \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 5** *Let the ring  $K$  be an integral domain and let  $|W| \in U(K)$ . Then*

- (i) *the union of the families (3) and (4) is a basis for  ${}_\chi M$ ;*
- (ii) *the family  $a_\chi(e_j)$ ,  $j \in J(\chi, M)$ , is a basis for  $M_\chi$ ;*
- (iii) *the family  $e_j \bmod({}_\chi M)$ ,  $j \in J(\chi, M)$ , is a basis for the factor-module  $M/{}_M M$ .*

**Proof:** (i) The family (3) is in  ${}_\chi M$  by definition. Lemma 4, (ii), implies that the family (4) is contained in  ${}_\chi M$ . Now, set  $J = J(\chi, M)$  and suppose that  $\sum_{j \in J} k_j a_\chi(v_j) = 0$  for some  $k_j \in K$  such that  $k_j = 0$  for all but a finite number of indices  $j \in J$ . We have

$$\sum_{j \in J} k_j a_\chi(v_j) = |W|^{-1} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{\sigma \in W^{(j)}} k_j |W_j| \chi^{-1}(\sigma) \gamma_j(\sigma) v_{\sigma j},$$

hence  $k_j = 0$  for all  $j \in J$ , which proves part (i). In addition, we have proved that the elements  $a_\chi(v_j)$ ,  $j \in J(\chi, M)$ , are linearly independent.

(ii) The elements  $a_\chi(v_j)$ ,  $j \in J(\chi, M)$ , are in  $M_\chi$  and, moreover, each element of  $M_\chi$  has the form  $a_\chi(z)$  for some  $z \in M$ . Since the union of families (2) – (4) is a basis for  $M$  and since the endomorphism  $a_\chi$  annihilates (3) and (4), part (ii) holds.

(iii) Part (ii) implies part (iii).

Now, let us suppose that the  $K$ -module  $E$  has basis  $(e_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ . Then the tensor power  $M = T^d(E)$  has basis  $(e_i)_{i \in L^d}$ , and if  $W \leq S_d$  is a permutation group, the rule  $\sigma e_i = e_{\sigma i}$ ,  $\sigma \in W$ , defines on  $M$  a structure of monomial  $W$ -module.

**Corollary 6** *Let  $W \leq S_d$  be a permutation group and let  $\chi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of  $W$ . If  $K$  is an integral domain and  $|W| \in U(K)$ , then the  $d$ -th semi-symmetric power  $[\chi](E)$  of weight  $\chi$  of a free  $K$ -module  $E$  with basis  $(e_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$  is a free  $K$ -module with basis*

$$(e_{j_1} \chi \cdots \chi e_{j_d})_{(j_1, \dots, j_d) \in J(\chi, T^d(E))}.$$

**Proof:** Substitute  $M = T^d(E)$ ,  $I = L^d$ ,  $\gamma_i(\sigma) = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in W$ ,  $i \in L^d$ , in Theorem 5.

**Corollary 7** *Let  $W \leq S_d$  be a permutation group and let  $\chi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of  $W$ . If  $K$  is an integral domain,  $|W| \in U(K)$ , and if  $E$  is a projective  $K$ -module (a projective  $K$ -module of finite type), then the  $d$ -th semi-symmetric power  $[\chi](E)$  of weight  $\chi$  is a projective  $K$ -module (a projective  $K$ -module of finite type).*

**Proof:** Let  $L$  be a set (a finite set), and let  $K^{(L)}$  be the free  $K$ -module with the canonical basis indexed by  $L$ . Let

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow K^{(L)}$$

be a splitting monomorphism of  $K$ -modules. Since the functor  $[\chi]^d(-)$  transforms epimorphisms into epimorphisms, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [\chi]^d(E) \rightarrow [\chi]^d(K^{(L)})$$

also is a splitting monomorphism of  $K$ -modules, and, moreover, according to Corollary 6,  $[\chi]^d(K^{(L)})$  is a free  $K$ -module (free module with finite basis). Therefore  $[\chi]^d(E)$  is a projective  $K$ -module (a projective  $K$ -module of finite type).

**Remark 8** Let us set  $J(\chi, T^0(E)) = \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $e_\emptyset = 1$ . We unite the bases of all semi-symmetric powers  $[\chi]^d(E)$  (see Corollary 6), thus getting  $J(\chi, T(E)) = \cup_{d \geq 0} J(\chi_d, T^d(E))$ . In particular, when  $L = [1, n]$ , the elements of the set  $J(\chi, T^d(E))$  can be chosen to be lexicographically minimal in their  $W$ -orbits, and we can introduce following notation:

$$I(\chi, T^d(E)) = I(\chi, n, d), \quad I_0(\chi, T^d(E)) = I_0(\chi, n, d),$$

$$J(T^d(E), \chi) = J(\chi, n, d), \quad J(T(E), \chi) = J(\chi, n).$$

For any  $i \in I(\chi, n, d)$  we define  $\ell m(i)$  to be the lexicographically minimal element in the  $W$ -orbit of  $i$ , and set  $\zeta(i) = \chi_d(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma \in W_d$  is such that  $\sigma i = \ell m(i)$ . Since the restriction of the character  $\chi_d$  is identically 1 on the stabilizer  $(W_d)_i$ , the element  $\zeta(i) \in U(K)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\chi = (\chi_d)_{d \geq 1}$  be an  $\omega$ -invariant sequence of characters and let  $W = (W_d)_{d \geq 1}$  be the sequence of their domains.

**Corollary 9** Let  $K$  be both a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ring and an integral domain.

(i) If  $E$  is a  $K$ -module with basis  $(e_\ell)_{\ell \in L}$ , then the family  $(e_j)_{j \in J(T(E), \chi)}$  is a basis for the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E)$  of weight  $\chi$ , considered as a  $K$ -module;

(ii) If  $E$  is a  $K$ -module with finite basis  $(e_\ell)_{\ell=1}^n$ , then the family  $(e_j)_{j \in J(\chi, n)}$  is a basis for the semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E)$  of weight  $\chi$ , considered as a  $K$ -module. If  $j \in J(\chi, n, d)$  and  $k \in J(\chi, n, e)$ , then the multiplication table of the  $K$ -algebra  $[\chi](E)$  is given by the formulae

$$e_j \chi e_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (j, k) \in I_0(\chi, n, d+e) \\ \zeta(j, k) e_{\ell m(j, k)} & \text{if } (j, k) \in I(\chi, n, d+e). \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** (i) Straightforward use of Corollary 6.

(ii) The first part is a particular case of (i). We have  $e_j \chi e_k = e_{(j, k)}$ , and in case  $(j, k) \in I_0(\chi, n, d+e)$  Lemma 4, (ii), implies  $e_{(j, k)} = 0$ . Otherwise,  $e_{\ell m(j, k)} \in J(\chi, n, d+e)$ , and we make use of Remark 8.

**Example 10** We will show that if some of the conditions of Corollary 6 fail, then the  $K$ -module  $[\chi]^d(E)$  is not necessarily free.

(i) The ring  $K$  is not an integral domain.

We set  $K = \mathbb{Z}_{15}$ ,  $W = \{(1), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\} \leq S_4$  is the Klein four group,  $\chi((12)(34)) = 4$ ,  $\chi((13)(24)) = 4$ ,  $\chi((14)(23)) = 1$ ,  $E = Ke_1 \coprod Ke_2$ ,  $I = [1, 2]^4$ ,  $e_i = e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_4}$  for  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_4) \in I$ . We have  $\chi = \chi^{-1}$ . The  $K$ -module  $T^4(E)_\chi$  is spanned by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} a_\chi(e_{(1,1,1,1)}) &= 10e_{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ a_\chi(e_{(2,2,2,2)}) &= 10e_{(2,2,2,2)}, \\ a_\chi(e_{(1,1,2,2)}) &= 5e_{(1,1,2,2)} + 5e_{(2,2,1,1)}, \\ a_\chi(e_{(1,2,1,2)}) &= 5e_{(1,2,1,2)} + 5e_{(2,1,2,1)}, \\ a_\chi(e_{(1,2,2,1)}) &= 8e_{(1,2,2,1)} + 8e_{(2,1,1,2)}, \\ a_\chi(e_{(1,1,1,2)}) &= e_{(1,1,1,2)} + e_{(1,1,2,1)} + e_{(1,2,1,1)} + 4e_{(2,1,1,1)}, \\ a_\chi(e_{(1,2,2,2)}) &= e_{(1,2,2,2)} + e_{(2,1,2,2)} + e_{(2,2,1,2)} + 4e_{(2,2,2,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ -module  $M_\chi$  is isomorphic to the submodule

$$\mathbb{Z}_{15}e^{(1)} \coprod \mathbb{Z}_{15}e^{(2)} \coprod \mathbb{Z}_{15}e^{(3)} \coprod \mathbb{Z}_{15}10e^{(4)} \coprod \mathbb{Z}_{15}10e^{(5)} \coprod \mathbb{Z}_{15}5e^{(6)} \coprod \mathbb{Z}_{15}5e^{(7)}$$

of a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ -module with 7 generators  $e^{(1)}, \dots, e^{(7)}$ . This submodule has  $15^3 3^4$  elements, and this number is not a power of 15, hence  $[\chi]^4(E) = M/\chi M$  is not a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ -module.

(ii) The order  $|W|$  of the group  $W$  is not invertible in the ring  $K$ .

We denote by  $\varepsilon$  a primitive 3-th root of unity and set  $K = \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ ,  $W = \{(1), (123), (132)\} \leq S_3$ ,  $\chi(123) = \varepsilon$ ,  $E = Ke_1 \coprod Ke_2$ ,  $M = T^3(E)$ ,  $I = [1, 2]^3$ ,  $e_i = e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes e_{i_3}$  for  $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3) \in I$ . The  $K$ -module  $[\chi^2]^3(E) = M/\chi M$  is spanned by the elements

$$e_{(1,1,1)}, e_{(2,2,2)}, e_{(1,1,2)}, e_{(1,2,2)} \pmod{\chi M}.$$

Suppose that for some  $k_1, \dots, k_4 \in K$  we have

$$k_1 e_{(1,1,1)} + k_2 e_{(2,2,2)} + k_3 e_{(1,1,2)} + k_4 e_{(1,2,2)} \in \chi M. \quad (5)$$

Applying the operator of  $\chi$ -symmetry  $A_\chi = \sum_{\sigma \in W} \chi^2(\sigma) \sigma$ , we obtain

$$k_1 A_\chi e_{(1,1,1)} + k_2 A_\chi e_{(2,2,2)} + k_3 A_\chi e_{(1,1,2)} + k_4 A_\chi e_{(1,2,2)} = 0.$$

On the other hand,  $A_\chi e_{(1,1,1)} = A_\chi e_{(2,2,2)} = 0$ , and  $A_\chi e_{(1,1,2)}$  and  $A_\chi e_{(1,2,2)}$  are linearly independent over  $K$ , hence  $k_3 = k_4 = 0$ . Thus,

$$k_1 e_{(1,1,1)} + k_2 e_{(2,2,2)} = \ell_1 (1 - \varepsilon) e_{(1,1,1)} + \ell_2 (1 - \varepsilon) e_{(2,2,2)} + f,$$

where  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in K$ , and  $f$  is a  $K$ -linear combination of the tensors  $e_{(1,1,2)} - \varepsilon e_{(2,1,1)}$ ,  $e_{(1,1,2)} - \varepsilon^2 e_{(1,2,1)}$ ,  $e_{(1,2,2)} - \varepsilon e_{(2,1,2)}$ , and  $e_{(1,2,2)} - \varepsilon^2 e_{(2,2,1)}$ , that is,  $k_1 \in (1 - \varepsilon)K$ ,  $k_2 \in (1 - \varepsilon)K$ , and  $f = 0$ . Therefore, (5) is equivalent to  $k_1 \in (1 - \varepsilon)K$ ,  $k_2 \in (1 - \varepsilon)K$ , and  $k_3 = k_4 = 0$ . In particular, the  $K$ -module  $[\chi^2]^3(E)$  has non-zero torsion part, hence it is not free.

### 3 Duality

Let the ring  $K$  be an integral domain. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the category of  $K$ -modules with finite bases and, as usual, denote by  $Ob(\mathcal{F})$  its set of objects. Let  $E$  be a  $K$ -module with finite basis  $(e_\ell)_{\ell=1}^n$  and let  $E^*$  be the dual  $K$ -module with dual basis  $(e_\ell^*)_{\ell=1}^n$ . Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the canonical bilinear form  $E \times E^* \rightarrow K$ ,  $(x, x^*) \mapsto x^*(x)$ . Let  $W \leq S_d$  be a permutation group with  $|W| \in U(K)$ , and let  $\chi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of  $W$ . We set  $|W_\emptyset| = 1$ . For any  $d \times d$ -matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  over  $K$ , the expression

$$d_\chi^W(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} \chi(\sigma) a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)1} \cdots a_{\sigma^{-1}(d)d}$$

is known as (generalized) Schur function. It was introduced by I. Schur in [6].

**Theorem 11** (i) *The formulae*

$$[\chi]^d(E) \times [\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*) \rightarrow K, \quad (6)$$

$$B(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_d, x_1^* \chi^{-1} \cdots \chi^{-1} x_d^*) = d_\chi^W((\langle x_i, x_j^* \rangle)_{i,j=1}^d),$$

for  $d \geq 1$ , and the formula

$$[\chi]^0(E) \times [\chi^{-1}]^0(E^*) \rightarrow K, \quad (7)$$

$$B(k, k^*) = k k^*,$$

define non-singular bilinear forms;

(ii) if  $\iota_E^{(d)}: [\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*) \rightarrow ([\chi]^d(E))^*$  (resp.,  $\iota^{(0)}: [\chi^{-1}]^0(E^*) \rightarrow ([\chi]^0(E))^*$ ) is the isomorphism of  $K$ -modules, associated with (6) (resp., with (7)), then the family  $\iota^{(d)} = (\iota_E^{(d)})_{E \in Ob(\mathcal{F})}$  (resp.,  $\iota^{(0)}$ ) is an isomorphism of functors,  $\iota^{(d)}: [\chi^{-1}]^d(-^*) \rightarrow ([\chi]^d(-))^*$ ;

(iii) after the identifications via the functor  $\iota^{(d)}$  from (ii),  $B$  is the canonical bilinear form of the  $K$ -module  $[\chi]^d(E)$ , and the bases  $(e_j)_{j \in J}$  and  $((1/|W_j|)e_j^*)_{j \in J}$  are dual.

**Proof:** (i) For  $d = 0$  we get the multiplication of the ring  $K$ . Let us suppose  $d \geq 1$ . The product  $E^d \times (E^*)^d$  has a natural structure of  $W \times W$ -module (see [3, 2.1]), and the map

$$E^d \times (E^*)^d \rightarrow K,$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d, x_1^*, \dots, x_d^*) \mapsto d_\chi^W((\langle x_i, x_j^* \rangle)_{i,j=1}^d),$$

is semi-symmetric of weight  $\chi$  with respect to variables  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ , and semi-symmetric of weight  $\chi^{-1}$  with respect to variables  $x_1^*, \dots, x_d^*$ . Hence by [3, Lemma 2.1.2] it gives rise to a bilinear form  $B$  given by formulae (6). We have  $J(\chi, n, p) = J(\chi^{-1}, n, p) = J$ , and in accord with Corollary 6,  $(e_j)_{j \in J}$  is a basis for  $[\chi]^d(E)$ , and  $(e_j^*)_{j \in J}$  is a basis for  $[\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*)$ . If  $\delta(j, k)$  is Kronecker's delta, then

$$B(e_j, e_k^*) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} \chi^{-1}(\sigma) \langle e_{j_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}, e_{k_1}^* \rangle \cdots \langle e_{j_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}}, e_{k_d}^* \rangle$$



$$= \sum_{\sigma \in W} \chi^{-1}(\sigma) \delta(j_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, k_1) \dots \delta(j_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}, k_d),$$

hence

$$B(e_j, e_k^*) = |W_j| \delta(j, k). \quad (8)$$

In particular, (6) and (7) are non-singular forms for any  $d \geq 0$ .

(ii) For any  $K$ -linear map  $u: E \rightarrow F$  we denote by  ${}^t u: F^* \rightarrow E^*$  its transpose. A direct computation shows that

$${}^t([\chi]^d(u)) \circ \iota_F^{(d)} = \iota_E^{(d)} \circ ([\chi^{-1}]^d({}^t u)). \quad (9)$$

(iii) The equality (8) yields that  $(e_j)_{j \in J}, (\frac{1}{|W_j|} e_j^*)_{j \in J}$  is a pair of dual bases.

**Remark 12** Throughout the end of the paper we will use notation

$$\langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_d, x_1^* \chi^{-1} \dots \chi^{-1} x_d^* \rangle = B(x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_d, x_1^* \chi^{-1} \dots \chi^{-1} x_d^*),$$

and in this notation, for any  $x = \sum_{j \in J} x_j e_j$ , and for any  $x^* = \sum_{j \in J} x_j^* e_j^*$ , one has

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} |W_j| x_j x_j^*. \quad (10)$$

**Remark 13** In accord with Theorem 11, (ii), (iii), for any  $d \geq 1$ , and for any  $K$ -module  $E$  with finite basis we identify  $([\chi]^d(E))^*$  with  $[\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*)$  as  $K$ -modules via the functor  $\iota^{(d)}$ , and call the elements of  $[\chi^{-1}]^d(E^*)$   $d$ - $\chi$ -forms on  $E$ .

**Corollary 14** For any  $K$ -linear map  $u: E \rightarrow F$  one has  ${}^t([\chi]^d u) = [\chi^{-1}]^d({}^t u)$ .

**Proof:** This is the equality (9) after the identifications via the functor  $\iota^{(d)}$ .

Let  $A = (a_{rs})$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $K$  and let  $d \geq 1$ . For any  $j \in J(\chi, m, d)$ ,  $k \in J(\chi, n, d)$ , we set  $a_{jk} = \prod_{t=1}^d a_{j_t k_t}$ , and

$$A_{(j)k}(\chi) = \sum_{\tau \in W^{(j)}} \chi^{-1}(\tau) a_{\tau j k},$$

and call the expression  $A_{(j)k}(\chi)$  the  $(j, k)$ -th row minor of weight  $\chi$  of  $A$ .

Let  $A = (a_{rt})$  and  $A' = (a'_{sh})$  be two  $n \times d$  matrices over  $K$ . Using notation from the beginning of Section 3, we set  $x_t = \sum_{r=1}^n a_{rt} e_r$ ,  $x_h^* = \sum_{s=1}^n a'_{sh} e_s^*$ , where  $t, h = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $\langle x_t, x_h^* \rangle = \sum_{r=1}^n a_{rt} a'_{rh}$  is the  $th$ -entry of the matrix  ${}^t A A'$ , and hence

$$\langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_d, x_1^* \chi^{-1} \dots \chi^{-1} x_d^* \rangle = d_\chi({}^t A A'). \quad (11)$$

On the other hand,

$$x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_d = \sum_{j \in J} A_{(j)}(\chi) e_j, \quad x_1^* \chi^{-1} \dots \chi^{-1} x_d^* = \sum_{j \in J} A'_{(j)}(\chi^{-1}) e_j^*, \quad (12)$$

where  $A_{(j)}(\chi) = A_{(j)k}(\chi)$ , and  $A'_{(j)}(\chi^{-1}) = A'_{(j)k}(\chi^{-1})$  with  $k = (1, \dots, d)$ . Therefore (10) and (11) yield

$$d_\chi({}^tAA') = \sum_{j \in J} |W_j| A_{(j)}(\chi) A'_{(j)}(\chi^{-1}).$$

In particular, when  $A = A'$  we obtain generalized Lagrange identity

$$d_\chi({}^tAA) = \sum_{j \in J} |W_j| A_{(j)}(\chi) A_{(j)}(\chi^{-1}).$$

**Lemma 15** *Let  $A = (a_{th})$  be a  $d \times d$  matrix over  $K$ . Then, in the previous notations, one has:*

- (i)  $d_\chi({}^tA) = d_{\chi^{-1}}(A)$ ;
- (ii)  $d_\chi(A) = \langle x_1 \chi^{-1} \dots \chi^{-1} x_d, e_1^* \chi \dots \chi e_d^* \rangle$ ;
- (iii) *The generalized Schur function  $d_\chi(A)$  is semi-symmetric of weight  $\chi^{-1}$  (resp., of weight  $\chi$ ) with respect to the columns (resp., the rows) of the matrix  $A$ .*

**Proof:** (i) Direct checking.

(ii) Using (i) and (11) with  $d = n$  and  $A' = I_d$  (the unit  $d \times d$  matrix), we obtain the equality.

(iii) This is an immediate consequence of (ii) and (i).

Throughout the end of the paper we fix the following notation:

$K$  is both a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ring and an integral domain;

$(\chi_d: W_d \rightarrow K)_{d \geq 1}$  is an  $\omega$ -invariant sequence of characters;

$E$  is a  $K$ -module with finite basis;

$[\chi](E)$  is the semi-symmetric algebra of weight  $\chi$  of  $E$ .

We remind that the dual graded  $K$ -module  $([\chi](E))^{*gr}$  is, by definition, the direct sum  $\coprod_{d \geq 0} ([\chi]^d(E))^*$ , where we identify a linear form on  $[\chi]^d(E)$  with its extension by 0 to  $[\chi](E)$ . Let us set  $\iota = \coprod_{d \geq 0} \iota^{(d)}$ .

Since the  $K$ -module  $E$  has a finite basis, then it is a projective module of finite type, and using Corollary 7, [2, A II, p. 80, Cor. 1], and Theorem 11, we obtain

**Theorem 16** (i)  $\iota: [\chi](-^*) \rightarrow ([\chi](-))^{*gr}$  is an isomorphism of functors;

(ii) *After the identification via the functor  $\iota$  from (i), the restriction of the canonical bilinear form of the  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E)$  on  $[\chi](E) \times [\chi](E^*)$  is given by the formulae*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: [\chi](E) \times [\chi](E^*) \rightarrow K, \quad (13)$$

$$\langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_r, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_s^* \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \neq s \\ d_\chi(\langle x_i, x_j^* \rangle_{i,j=1}^r) & \text{if } r = s \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } r = s = 0; \end{cases}$$

(iii) *for any  $k \geq 2$  the restriction of the canonical bilinear form of the  $K$ -module  $([\chi](E))^{\otimes k}$  on  $([\chi](E))^{\otimes k} \times [\chi](E^*)^{\otimes k}$  is given by the formulae*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: ([\chi](E))^{\otimes k} \times [\chi](E^*)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow K, \quad (14)$$

$$\langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_r \otimes x_1\chi \dots \chi x_{r'} \otimes \dots, x_1^*\chi \dots \chi x_s^* \otimes x_1^*\chi \dots \chi x_{s'}^* \otimes \dots \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (r, r', \dots) \neq (s, s', \dots) \\ \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_r, x_1^*\chi \dots \chi x_r^* \rangle \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_{r'}, x_1^*\chi \dots \chi x_{r'}^* \rangle \dots & \text{if } (r, r', \dots) = (s, s', \dots), \end{cases}$$

**Remark 17** Let  $d, e, \dots, h$ , be non-negative integers with  $d + e + \dots + h = n$ . We set

$$J(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h) = \{(j, k, \dots, r) \in J(\chi, n, d) \times J(\chi, n, e) \times \dots \times J(\chi, n, h) \mid \ell m(j, k, \dots, r) = (1, \dots, n)\}.$$

Let  $M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)$  be the set of lexicographically minimal representatives of left classes of  $W_n$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \dots \times \omega^{d+e+\dots}(W_h)$ . We identify the set  $M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)$  with the set  $J(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)$  via the canonical bijection

$$M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h) \rightarrow J(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h),$$

$$\zeta \mapsto ((\zeta(1), \dots, \zeta(d)), (\zeta(d+1), \dots, \zeta(d+e)), \dots, (\zeta(d+e+\dots+1), \dots, \zeta(n))).$$

We fix  $(\lambda, \mu, \dots, \nu) \in J(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)$ , and let  $\sigma \in W_n$  be a permutation, such that  $\lambda = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(d))$ ,  $\mu = (\sigma(d+1), \dots, \sigma(d+e))$ , ..., and  $\nu = (\sigma(d+e+\dots+1), \dots, \sigma(n))$ . We have  $\zeta(\lambda, \mu, \dots, \nu) = \chi(\sigma)$ . Let us write  $d_\chi(A)$  for  $d_{\chi_n}(A)$ .

**Proposition 18** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $K$ . Then

$$d_\chi(A) = \sum_{(j, k, \dots, r) \in J(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \zeta(j, k, \dots, r) A_{(j)\lambda}(\chi) A_{(k)\mu}(\chi) \dots A_{(r)\nu}(\chi).$$

(Laplace expansion of  $d_\chi(A)$  with respect to  $\lambda, \mu, \dots, \nu$ ).

**Proof:** Indeed, using Lemma 15, (ii), Corollary 3, (i), the expansions (12), and Corollary 9, (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_\chi(A) &= \langle x_1\chi^{-1} \dots \chi^{-1}x_n, e_1^*\chi \dots \chi e_n^* \rangle = \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_n, e_1^*\chi \dots \chi e_n^* \rangle = \\ &= \zeta(\lambda, \mu, \dots, \nu) \langle x_{\lambda_1}\chi \dots \chi x_{\lambda_d}\chi x_{\mu_1}\chi \dots \chi x_{\mu_e}\chi x_{\nu_1}\chi \dots \chi x_{\nu_h}, e_1^*\chi \dots \chi e_n^* \rangle = \\ &= \zeta(\lambda, \mu, \dots, \nu) \left\langle \sum_{(j, k, \dots, r) \in J(\chi, n, d) \times J(\chi, n, e) \times \dots \times J(\chi, n, h)} \zeta(j, k, \dots, r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. A_{(j)\lambda}(\chi) A_{(k)\mu}(\chi) \dots A_{(r)\nu}(\chi) e_{\ell m(j, k, \dots, r)}^* \chi \dots \chi e_n^* \right\rangle = \\ &= \zeta(\lambda, \mu, \dots, \nu) \sum_{(j, k, \dots, r) \in J(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \zeta(j, k, \dots, r) A_{(j)\lambda}(\chi) A_{(k)\mu}(\chi) \dots A_{(r)\nu}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 19** For any non-negative integers  $d, e, \dots, h$  with  $d+e+\dots+h = n$  one has the following expansions of the bilinear form (13) (Laplace expansions):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\zeta) \langle x_{\zeta(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(d)}, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_d^* \rangle \\
& \quad \langle x_{\zeta(d+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(d+e)}, x_{d+1}^* \chi \dots \chi x_{d+e}^* \rangle \\
& \quad \dots \langle x_{\zeta(d+e+\dots+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(n)}, x_{d+e+\dots+1}^* \chi \dots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\zeta) \langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_d, x_{\zeta(1)}^* \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(d)}^* \rangle \\
& \quad \langle x_{d+1} \chi \dots \chi x_{d+e}, x_{\zeta(d+1)}^* \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(d+e)}^* \rangle \\
& \quad \dots \langle x_{d+e+\dots+1} \chi \dots \chi x_n, x_{\zeta(d+e+\dots+1)}^* \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(n)}^* \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \sum_{\zeta' \in W_n} \chi(\zeta') (\langle x_{\zeta'(1)} \rangle, x_1^*) \dots (\langle x_{\zeta'(d)} \rangle, x_d^*) (\langle x_{\zeta'(d+1)} \rangle, x_{d+1}^*) \dots (\langle x_{\zeta'(d+e)} \rangle, x_{d+e}^*) \\
& \quad \dots (\langle x_{\zeta'(d+e+\dots+1)} \rangle, x_{d+e+\dots+1}^*) \dots (\langle x_{\zeta'(n)} \rangle, x_n^*) = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \sum_{(\sigma', \tau', \dots, \eta') \in W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \dots \times \omega^{d+e+\dots}(W_h)} \chi(\zeta) \chi(\sigma') \chi(\tau') \dots \chi(\eta') \\
& \quad (\langle x_{\zeta(\sigma'(1))} \rangle, x_1^*) \dots (\langle x_{\zeta(\sigma'(d))} \rangle, x_d^*) (\langle x_{\zeta(\tau'(d+1))} \rangle, x_{d+1}^*) \dots (\langle x_{\zeta(\tau'(d+e))} \rangle, x_{d+e}^*) \\
& \quad \dots (\langle x_{\zeta(\eta'(d+e+\dots+1))} \rangle, x_{d+e+\dots+1}^*) \dots (\langle x_{\zeta(\eta'(n))} \rangle, x_n^*) = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\zeta) \left( \sum_{\sigma' \in W_d} \chi(\sigma') \langle x_{\zeta(\sigma'(1))} \rangle, x_1^* \rangle \dots \langle x_{\zeta(\sigma'(d))} \rangle, x_d^* \rangle \right) \\
& \quad \left( \sum_{\tau' \in \omega^d(W_e)} \chi(\tau') \langle x_{\zeta(\tau'(d+1))} \rangle, x_{d+1}^* \rangle \dots \langle x_{\zeta(\tau'(d+e))} \rangle, x_{d+e}^* \rangle \right) \\
& \quad \dots \left( \sum_{\eta' \in \omega^{d+e+\dots}(W_h)} \chi(\eta') \langle x_{\zeta(\eta'(d+e+\dots+1))} \rangle, x_{d+e+\dots+1}^* \rangle \dots \langle x_{\zeta(\eta'(n))} \rangle, x_n^* \rangle \right) = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\zeta) \langle x_{\zeta(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(d)}, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_d^* \rangle \\
& \quad \langle x_{\zeta(d+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(d+e)}, x_{d+1}^* \chi \dots \chi x_{d+e}^* \rangle \dots \\
& \quad \langle x_{\zeta(d+e+\dots+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\zeta(n)}, x_{d+e+\dots+1}^* \chi \dots \chi x_n^* \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equality, we can write

$$\langle x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_n^* \rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\zeta' \in W_n} \chi(\zeta') (\langle x_1, x_{\zeta'-1(1)}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_d, x_{\zeta'-1(d)}^* \rangle) (\langle x_{d+1}, x_{\zeta'-1(d+1)}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_{d+e}, x_{\zeta'-1(d+e)}^* \rangle) \\
& \quad \cdots (\langle x_{d+e+\cdots+1}, x_{\zeta'-1(d+e+\cdots+1)}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_n, x_{\zeta'-1(n)}^* \rangle) = \\
& \sum_{\zeta' \in W_n} \chi(\zeta') (\langle x_1, x_{\zeta'(1)}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_d, x_{\zeta'(d)}^* \rangle) (\langle x_{d+1}, x_{\zeta'(d+1)}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_{d+e}, x_{\zeta'(d+e)}^* \rangle) \\
& \quad \cdots (\langle x_{d+e+\cdots+1}, x_{\zeta'(d+e+\cdots+1)}^* \rangle \cdots \langle x_n, x_{\zeta'(n)}^* \rangle),
\end{aligned}$$

and then we proceed by analogy.

According to Lemma 31, for any  $n$  we obtain a  $K$ -linear map

$$[\chi]^n(E) \rightarrow \oplus_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} [\chi]^d(E) \otimes [\chi]^e(E) \cdots \otimes [\chi]^h(E),$$

$$x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n \mapsto \sum_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\rho)$$

$$(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(d)}) \otimes (x_{\rho(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(d+e)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_{\rho(d+e+\cdots+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(n)}).$$

Therefore, for any  $k \geq 2$  we get a homomorphism of graded  $K$ -modules

$$c_k(E): [\chi](E) \rightarrow ([\chi](E))^{\otimes k}, \quad (15)$$

$$c_k(E)(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n) = \sum_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\rho)$$

$$(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(d)}) \otimes (x_{\rho(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(d+e)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (x_{\rho(d+e+\cdots+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(n)}).$$

**Corollary 20** *For any  $k$  in number non-negative integers  $d, e, \dots, h$  with  $d + e + \cdots + h = n$  one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n, x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \langle c_k(E)(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n), x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_d^* \otimes x_{d+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_{d+e}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{d+e+\cdots+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \langle x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_d \otimes x_{d+1} \chi \cdots \chi x_{d+e} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{d+e+\cdots+1} \chi \cdots \chi x_n, c_k(E^*)(x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^*) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Using (14), and Proposition 19, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n, x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\zeta) \langle x_{\zeta(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\zeta(d)} \otimes x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_d^* \rangle \\
& \quad \langle x_{\zeta(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\zeta(d+e)} \otimes x_{d+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_{d+e}^* \rangle \\
& \quad \cdots \langle x_{\zeta(d+e+\cdots+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\zeta(n)} \otimes x_{d+e+\cdots+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \sum_{\zeta \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\zeta) \\
& \quad \langle x_{\zeta(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\zeta(d)} \otimes x_{\zeta(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\zeta(d+e)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\zeta(d+e+\cdots+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\zeta(n)}, \\
& \quad x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_d^* \otimes x_{d+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_{d+e}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{d+e+\cdots+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^* \rangle = \\
& \langle c_k(E)(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n), x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_d^* \otimes x_{d+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_{d+e}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{d+e+\cdots+1}^* \chi \cdots \chi x_n^* \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the second identity of Proposition 19, we obtain the second identity of this corollary.

## 4 Coalgebra properties

Let us set  $c_k = c_k(E)$ , and  $c_E = c_2(E)$ , where  $c_k(E)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , is the homomorphism of graded  $K$ -modules from (15).

**Proposition 21** *One has*

$$c_k = (c_{k-1} \otimes 1) \circ c_E = (1 \otimes c_{k-1}) \circ c_E,$$

where  $1$  is the identity map of  $[\chi](E)$ .

**Proof:** We have

$$c_E(x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n) = \sum_{p+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho)$$

$$(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(p)}) \otimes (x_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}).$$

First, we apply the  $K$ -linear map  $c_{k-1} \otimes 1$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{k-1} \otimes 1)(c_E(x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n)) &= \sum_{p+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) \\ c_{k-1}(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(p)}) \otimes (x_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) &= \\ \sum_{p+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \sum_{d+e+\dots=p} \sum_{\varrho \in M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots)} \chi(\rho \varrho) (x_{\rho(\varrho(1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d))}) &= \\ \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(d+1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d+e))}) \otimes \dots \otimes (x_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) &= \\ \sum_{p+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \sum_{d+e+\dots=p} \sum_{\varrho \in M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots)} \chi(\rho \varrho) (x_{\rho(\varrho(1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d))}) &= \\ \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(d+1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d+e))}) \otimes \dots \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(p+1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(n))}) &= \\ \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{(\rho, \varrho) \in M(\chi; n; p, h) \times M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots)} \chi(\rho \varrho) (x_{\rho(\varrho(1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d))}) &= \\ \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(d+1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d+e))}) \otimes \dots \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(p+1))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(n))}). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Notation 29, we set  $\rho \varrho \sigma' \tau' \dots \eta' = 1 \cdot (\rho \varrho)$ , where  $\sigma' \in W_d$ ,  $\tau' \in \omega^d(W_e)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\eta' \in \omega^p(W_h)$ ,  $\sigma' = \sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in W_d$ ,  $\tau' = \omega^d(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in W_e$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\eta' = \omega^p(\eta)$ ,  $\eta \in W_h$ . Then  $\chi(\rho \varrho) \chi(\sigma) \chi(\tau) \dots \chi(\eta) = \chi(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{k-1} \otimes 1)(c_E(x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n)) &= \\ \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{(\rho, \varrho) \in M(\chi; n; p, h) \times M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots)} \chi(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho)) (x_{\rho(\varrho(\sigma(1)))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(\sigma(d)))}) &= \\ \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(\tau(d+1)))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(\tau(d+e)))}) \otimes \dots \otimes (x_{\rho(\varrho(\eta(p+1)))} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(\eta(n))}) &= \\ \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{(\rho, \varrho) \in M(\chi; n; p, h) \times M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots)} \chi(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho)) (x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(d)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\otimes(x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))}(d+1)\chi \cdots \chi x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))}(d+e)) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))}(p+1)\chi \cdots \chi x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))}(n)).$$

According to Lemma 32 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{k-1} \otimes 1)(c_E(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n)) = \\ \sum_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} \sum_{\varsigma \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\varsigma)(x_{\varsigma(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\varsigma(d)}) \\ \otimes(x_{\varsigma(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\varsigma(d+e)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{\varsigma(p+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\varsigma(n)}) = \\ c_k(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we apply the  $K$ -linear map  $1 \otimes c_{k-1}$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes c_{k-1})(c_E(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n)) = \sum_{d+q=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) \\ (x_{\rho(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(d)}) \otimes c_{k-1}(x_{\rho(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) = \\ \sum_{d+q=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \sum_{e+\cdots+h=q} \sum_{\varrho \in \omega^d(M(\chi; q; e, \dots, h))} \chi(\rho \varrho)(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(d)}) \\ \otimes(x_{\rho(\varrho(d+1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d+e))}) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{\rho(\varrho(p+1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(n))}) = \\ \sum_{d+q=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \sum_{e+\cdots+h=q} \sum_{\varrho \in \omega^d(M(\chi; q; e, \dots, h))} \chi(\rho \varrho)(x_{\rho(\varrho(1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d))}) \\ \otimes(x_{\rho(\varrho(d+1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d+e))}) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{\rho(\varrho(p+1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(n))}) = \\ \sum_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} \sum_{(\rho, \varrho) \in M(\chi; n; d, q) \times \omega^d(M(\chi; q; e, \dots, h))} \chi(\rho \varrho)(x_{\rho(\varrho(1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d))}) \\ \otimes(x_{\rho(\varrho(d+1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(d+e))}) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{\rho(\varrho(p+1))} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\rho(\varrho(n))}) = \\ \sum_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} \sum_{(\rho, \varrho) \in M(\chi; n; d, q) \times \omega^d(M(\chi; q; e, \dots, h))} \chi(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(d)}) \\ \otimes(x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(d+e)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(p+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{(1 \cdot (\rho \varrho))(n)}) = \\ \sum_{d+e+\cdots+h=n} \sum_{\varsigma \in M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h)} \chi(\varsigma)(x_{\varsigma(1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\varsigma(d)}) \\ \otimes(x_{\varsigma(d+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\varsigma(d+e)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes(x_{\varsigma(p+1)} \chi \cdots \chi x_{\varsigma(n)}) = \\ c_k(x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $m_E$  the multiplication of the algebra  $[\chi](E)$ :

$$m_E: [\chi](E) \otimes [\chi](E) \rightarrow [\chi](E),$$

$$x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_d \otimes y_1 \chi \cdots \chi y_e \mapsto x_1 \chi \cdots \chi x_d \chi y_1 \chi \cdots \chi y_e,$$

and by  $\varepsilon_E: K \rightarrow [\chi](E)$ ,  $\varepsilon_E(a) = a1$ , the unit of the algebra  $[\chi](E)$ .

**Corollary 22** (i) The  $K$ -linear map  $c_E: [\chi](E) \rightarrow [\chi](E) \otimes [\chi](E)$  defines a structure of graded coassociative  $K$ -coalgebra on the graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E)$ , which is, moreover, counital, with counit, the linear form  $\epsilon_E$  defined by the rule

$$\epsilon_E: [\chi](E) \rightarrow K,$$

$$\epsilon_E(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } z \in [\chi]^0(E) \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in ([\chi](E))_+; \end{cases}$$

(ii) The structure  $([\chi](E), c_E, \epsilon_E)$  of graded coassociative  $K$ -coalgebra with counit on the graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E)$  defines by functoriality a structure of graded associative algebra with unit on its dual  $([\chi](E))^{*gr} = [\chi](E^*)$ , and the last one coincide with the canonical structure  $([\chi](E^*), m_{E^*}, \varepsilon_{E^*})$  of graded associative algebra with unit on the graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E^*)$ ;

**Proof:** (i) The case  $k = 3$  of Proposition 21 yields coassociativity of  $[\chi](E)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\epsilon_E \otimes 1)(c_E(x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n)) = \\ & (\epsilon_E \otimes 1) \left( \sum_{p+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho)(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(p)}) \otimes (x_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) \right) = \\ & \sum_{p+h=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) \epsilon_E((x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(p)})) \otimes (x_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) = \\ & \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; 0, n)} \chi(\rho) \epsilon_E(1) \otimes (x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) = \\ & 1 \otimes x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n = x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes \epsilon_E)(c_E(x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n)) = \\ & (1 \otimes \epsilon_E) \left( \sum_{d+q=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho)(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(d)}) \otimes (x_{\rho(d+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) \right) = \\ & \sum_{d+q=n} \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho)(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(d)}) \otimes \epsilon_E((x_{\rho(d+1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)})) = \\ & \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; n, 0)} \chi(\rho)(x_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi x_{\rho(n)}) \otimes \epsilon_E(1) = \\ & x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n \otimes 1 = x_1 \chi \dots \chi x_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\epsilon_E \otimes 1) \circ c_E = (1 \otimes \epsilon_E) \circ c_E = 1.$$

(ii) Corollary 20 yields that the multiplication  $m_{E^*}$  in the graded algebra  $([\chi](E^*), m_{E^*}, \varepsilon_{E^*})$  is the transpose of the comultiplication  $c_E$  of the graded coassociative  $K$ -coalgebra with counit  $([\chi](E), c_E, \epsilon_E)$ . Moreover, the counit  $\epsilon_E$  is an element of  $([\chi](E))^{*gr}$ , such that if  $z \in [\chi](E)$ ,  $z = z_0 + z_1 + z_2 + \dots$ , then  $\langle z, \epsilon_E \rangle = z_0 = z_0 1$ . The transpose of  $\epsilon_E$  is the  $K$ -linear map  $K^* \rightarrow ([\chi](E))^{*gr}$ ,



$\ell \mapsto \ell \circ \epsilon_E$ . We compose it with the canonical isomorphism  $K \rightarrow K^*$ , and, after the identification of  $([\chi](E))^{*gr}$  with  $[\chi](E^*)$  via the isomorphism from Theorem 16, (i), we get the  $K$ -linear map  $K \rightarrow [\chi](E^*)$ ,  $k \mapsto k1$ , and this is the unit 1 of the algebra  $[\chi](E^*)$ .

## 5 Inner products of a $\chi$ -vector and a $\chi$ -form

The semi-symmetric algebra  $[\chi](E)$  becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $K$ -module by setting  $[\chi]^d(E) = 0$  for negative integers  $d$ .

Let  $d$  and  $q \geq 0$  be integers with  $d + q = n$ . Let  $a = a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q$  be a fixed decomposable  $q - \chi$ -vector. The right multiplication by  $a$  in the algebra  $[\chi](E)$ ,

$$x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d \mapsto x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d \chi a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q,$$

defines an endomorphism  $e'(a)$  of degree  $q$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E)$ . The transpose of  $e'(a)$  is an endomorphism  $i'(a)$  of degree  $-q$  of the dual  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E^*)$ . We define  $e'(a)$  and  $i'(a)$  for  $a \in [\chi](E)$  by linearity.

For any  $\chi$ -vector  $a \in [\chi](E)$  and for any  $\chi$ -form  $a^* \in [\chi](E^*)$  denote the  $\chi$ -form  $i'(a)(a^*)$  by  $a \rfloor a^*$  and call it *left inner product of  $a$  and  $a^*$* . Thus,

$$\langle x\chi a, a^* \rangle = \langle x, a \rfloor a^* \rangle$$

for  $x \in [\chi](E)$ .

**Proposition 23** *Let  $d$  and  $q \geq 0$  be integers with non-negative sum  $n = d + q$ . Then for any decomposable  $q - \chi$ -vector  $a = a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q$ , and for any decomposable  $n - \chi$ -form  $a^* = a_1^*\chi \dots \chi a_n^*$ , the left inner product  $a \rfloor a^*$  is the  $d - \chi$ -linear form*

$$\sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) \langle a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q, a_{\rho(d+1)}^* \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(n)}^* \rangle a_{\rho(1)}^* \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(d)}^*$$

in case  $n \geq q$ , and 0 in case  $n < q$ .

**Proof:** In case  $n < q$  we have  $a \rfloor a^* = 0$  by the definition of the endomorphism  $i'(a)$ . Otherwise,  $i'(a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q)(a_1^*\chi \dots \chi a_n^*)$  is the linear form

$$x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d \mapsto \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d \chi a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q, a_1^*\chi \dots \chi a_n^* \rangle$$

on  $[\chi](E)$ . Proposition 19 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d \chi a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q, a_1^*\chi \dots \chi a_n^* \rangle = \\ & \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d, a_{\rho(1)}^* \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(d)}^* \rangle \langle a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q, a_{\rho(d+1)}^* \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(n)}^* \rangle = \\ & \langle x_1\chi \dots \chi x_d, \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) \langle a_1\chi \dots \chi a_q, a_{\rho(d+1)}^* \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(n)}^* \rangle a_{\rho(1)}^* \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(d)}^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

After the identification of  $[\chi]^d(E)^{*gr}$  with  $[\chi](E^*)$ , we obtain the result.

Given non-negative integers  $d, q$  with  $d + q = n$ , a integer  $m \geq 1$ , and  $i \in J(\chi, m, d)$ ,  $j \in J(\chi, m, q)$ ,  $k \in J(\chi, m, n)$ , one sets

$$M_{k,..,j}(\chi; n; d, q) = \{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q) \mid j_1 = k_{\rho(d+1)}, \dots, j_q = k_{\rho(n)}\},$$

$$M'_{k,i,..}(\chi; n; d, q) = \{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q) \mid k_{\rho(1)} = i_1, \dots, k_{\rho(d)} = i_d\}.$$

**Corollary 24** *Let  $(e_\ell)_{\ell=1}^m$  be a basis for the  $K$ -module  $E$  and let  $(e_\ell^*)_{\ell=1}^m$  be its dual basis in the dual  $K$ -module  $E^*$ . Let  $(e_j)_{j \in J(\chi, m)}$  and  $(e_k^*)_{k \in J(\chi, m)}$  be the corresponding bases of  $[\chi](E)$  and  $[\chi](E^*)$ , respectively. If  $j \in J(\chi, m, q)$ ,  $k \in J(\chi, m, n)$ , and if  $d + q = n$ , then the left inner product  $e_j \rfloor e_k^*$  is the  $d - \chi$ -linear form*

$$\sum_{\rho \in M_{k,..,j}(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) e_{\rho(1)}^* \chi \cdots \chi e_{\rho(d)}^*$$

in case  $n \geq q$ , and 0 in case  $n < q$ .

**Proof:** In accord with Proposition 23, in case  $n < q$  we have  $e_j \rfloor e_k^* = 0$ , and in case  $n \geq q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_j \rfloor e_k^* &= \\ \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) \langle e_{j_1} \chi \cdots \chi e_{j_q}, e_{k_{\rho(d+1)}}^* \chi \cdots \chi e_{k_{\rho(n)}}^* \rangle e_{k_{\rho(1)}}^* \chi \cdots \chi e_{k_{\rho(d)}}^* &= \\ \sum_{\rho \in M_{k,..,j}(\chi; n; d, q)} \chi(\rho) e_{k_{\rho(1)}}^* \chi \cdots \chi e_{k_{\rho(d)}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 25** *The addition and the external composition law  $(a, a^*) \mapsto a \rfloor a^*$  on  $[\chi](E^*)$  define on this set a structure of left unital  $[\chi](E)$ -module.*

**Proof:** The external composition law is bilinear and the associativity of the the graded algebra  $[\chi](E)$  is equivalent to the equality  $e'(a\chi b) = e'(b) \circ e'(a)$  for  $a, b \in [\chi](E)$ . Then  $i'(a\chi b) = i'(a) \circ i'(b)$ , and hence  $(a\chi b) \rfloor a^* = a \rfloor (b \rfloor a^*)$ . Moreover,  $1 \rfloor a^* = a^*$ .

Let  $p \geq 0$  and  $h$  be integers with  $p + h = n$ . Let  $a^* = a_1^* \chi \cdots \chi a_p^*$  be a fixed decomposable  $p - \chi$ -form. The left multiplication by  $a^*$  in the algebra  $[\chi](E^*)$ ,

$$x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_h^* \mapsto a_1^* \chi \cdots \chi a_p^* \chi x_1^* \chi \cdots \chi x_h^*,$$

defines an endomorphism  $e(a^*)$  of degree  $p$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E^*)$ . The transpose of  $e(a^*)$  is an endomorphism  $i(a^*)$  of degree  $-p$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $K$ -module  $[\chi](E)$ . We define  $e(a^*)$  and  $i(a^*)$  for  $a^* \in [\chi](E)$  by linearity.

For any  $\chi$ -form  $a^* \in [\chi](E^*)$ , and for any  $\chi$ -vector  $a \in [\chi](E)$  denote the  $\chi$ -vector  $i(a^*)(a)$  by  $a \rfloor a^*$  and call it *right inner product of  $a$  and  $a^*$* . Thus,

$$\langle a \rfloor a^*, x^* \rangle = \langle a, a^* \chi x^* \rangle$$

for  $x^* \in [\chi](E^*)$ .

**Proposition 26** *Let  $h$  and  $p \geq 0$  be integers with non-negative sum  $n = p + h$ . Then for any decomposable  $n - \chi$ -vector  $a = a_1 \chi \dots \chi a_n$ , and for any decomposable  $p - \chi$ -form  $a^* = a_1^* \chi \dots \chi a_p^*$ , the right inner product  $a \rfloor a^*$  is the  $h - \chi$ -vector*

$$\sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) \langle a_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(p)}, a_1^* \chi \dots \chi a_p^* \rangle a_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(n)}$$

in case  $n \geq p$ , and 0 in case  $n < p$ .

**Proof:** In case  $n < p$  we have  $a \rfloor a^* = 0$  by the definition of the endomorphism  $i(a^*)$ . Otherwise, according to Proposition 19 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \rfloor a^*, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_h^* \rangle &= \langle a_1 \chi \dots \chi a_n, a_1^* \chi \dots \chi a_p^* x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_h^* \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) \langle a_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(p)}, a_1^* \chi \dots \chi a_p^* \rangle \langle a_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(n)}, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_h^* \rangle = \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) \langle a_{\rho(1)} \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(p)}, a_1^* \chi \dots \chi a_p^* \rangle a_{\rho(p+1)} \chi \dots \chi a_{\rho(n)}, x_1^* \chi \dots \chi x_h^* \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and we get the result.

**Corollary 27** *Let  $(e_\ell)_{\ell=1}^m$  be a basis for the  $K$ -module  $E$  and let  $(e_\ell^*)_{\ell=1}^m$  be its dual basis in the dual  $K$ -module  $E^*$ . Let  $(e_j)_{j \in J(\chi, m)}$  and  $(e_k^*)_{k \in J(\chi, m)}$  be the corresponding bases of  $[\chi](E)$  and  $[\chi](E^*)$ , respectively. If  $j \in J(\chi, m, p)$ ,  $k \in J(\chi, m, n)$ , and if  $p + h = n$ , then the right inner product  $e_k \rfloor e_j^*$  is the  $h - \chi$ -vector*

$$\sum_{\rho \in M_{k, j, \cdot}(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) e_{k_{\rho(p+1)}} \chi \dots \chi e_{k_{\rho(n)}}$$

in case  $n \geq p$ , and 0 in case  $n < p$ .

**Proof:** In accord with Proposition 23, in case  $n < p$  we have  $e_k \rfloor e_j^* = 0$ , and in case  $n \geq p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_k \rfloor e_j^* &= \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in M(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) \langle e_{k_{\rho(1)}} \chi \dots \chi e_{k_{\rho(p)}}, e_{j_1}^* \chi \dots \chi e_{j_p}^* \rangle e_{k_{\rho(p+1)}} \chi \dots \chi e_{k_{\rho(n)}} = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in M_{k, j, \cdot}(\chi; n; p, h)} \chi(\rho) e_{k_{\rho(p+1)}} \chi \dots \chi e_{k_{\rho(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 28** *The addition and the external composition law  $(a, a^*) \mapsto a \rfloor a^*$  on  $[\chi](E)$  define on this set a structure of right unital  $[\chi](E^*)$ -module.*

**Proof:** The external composition law is bilinear and the associativity of the the graded algebra  $[\chi](E^*)$  is equivalent to the equality  $e(a^* \chi b^*) = e(a^*) \circ e(b^*)$  for  $a^*, b^* \in [\chi](E^*)$ . Then  $i(a^* \chi b^*) = i(b^*) \circ i(a^*)$ , and hence  $a \rfloor (a^* \chi b^*) = (a \rfloor a^*) \rfloor b^*$ . Moreover,  $a \rfloor 1 = a$ .

## 6 Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Grant MM-1503/2005 of the Bulgarian Foundation of Scientific Research.

## A Appendix

**Notation 29** Let  $d, e, \dots, h$  be  $k$  in number nonnegative integers with  $d + e + \dots + h = n$ . We assume  $k \leq n$ . Let  $\alpha: [1, d] \rightarrow [1, n]$ ,  $\beta: [1, e] \rightarrow [1, n], \dots, \gamma: [1, h] \rightarrow [1, n]$ , be strictly increasing maps with disjoint images. Let  $\theta_\alpha \in S_n$  be a permutation with  $\theta_\alpha(1) = \alpha(1), \dots, \theta_\alpha(d) = \alpha(d)$ , let  $\theta_\beta \in S_n$  be a permutation with  $\theta_\beta(1) = \beta(1), \dots, \theta_\beta(e) = \beta(e), \dots$ , let  $\theta_\gamma \in S_n$  be a permutation with  $\theta_\gamma(1) = \gamma(1), \dots, \theta_\gamma(h) = \gamma(h)$ . For any permutation  $\theta \in S_n$  we denote by  $c_\theta: S_n \rightarrow S_n$  the conjugation  $c_\theta(\zeta) = \theta\zeta\theta^{-1}$ . We have

$$c_{\theta_\alpha}(S_d) = S_{Im\alpha}, \quad c_{\theta_\beta}(S_e) = S_{Im\beta}, \quad \dots, c_{\theta_\gamma}(S_h) = S_{Im\gamma}.$$

Let  $K$  be a commutative ring with unit 1. Let  $U \leq S_d, V \leq S_e, \dots, W \leq S_h$  be permutation groups, and let  $\varepsilon: U \rightarrow U(K), \delta: V \rightarrow U(K), \dots, \varpi: W \rightarrow U(K)$ , be linear  $K$ -valued characters. We embed the Cartesian product  $U \times V \times \dots \times W$  in  $S_n$  as  $X = c_{\theta_\alpha}(U)c_{\theta_\beta}(V) \dots c_{\theta_\gamma}(W)$  and for any  $\zeta \in X$ ,  $\zeta = c_{\theta_\alpha}(\sigma)c_{\theta_\beta}(\tau) \dots c_{\theta_\gamma}(\eta)$ ,  $\sigma \in U, \tau \in V, \dots, \eta \in W$ , we set

$$\chi(\zeta) = \varepsilon(\sigma)\delta(\tau) \dots \varpi(\eta).$$

The map  $\chi: X \rightarrow U(K)$  is a  $K$ -linear character of the group  $X$ . Let  $E$  be a  $K$ -module and let  $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in E^d, (y_1, \dots, y_e) \in E^e, \dots, (z_1, \dots, z_h) \in E^h$  be generic elements. We set

$$\xi_i = \begin{cases} x_{\alpha^{-1}(i)} & \text{if } i \in Im\alpha \\ y_{\beta^{-1}(i)} & \text{if } i \in Im\beta \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{\gamma^{-1}(i)} & \text{if } i \in Im\gamma \end{cases}$$

Let  $Y \leq S_n$  be a permutation group with  $X \leq Y$ , and let  $M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)$  be the set of all lexicographically minimal representatives of the left classes of  $Y$  modulo  $X$ . For any  $\zeta' \in Y, \zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)$ , we denote by  $\zeta' \cdot \zeta$  the lexicographically minimal representative of  $\zeta'\zeta$  modulo  $X$ , and set  $\zeta' \cdot \zeta = \zeta'\zeta v_{\zeta'\zeta}$ , where  $v_{\zeta'\zeta} \in X$ ,  $v_{\zeta'\zeta} = c_{\theta_\alpha}(\sigma)c_{\theta_\beta}(\tau) \dots c_{\theta_\gamma}(\eta)$ , with  $\sigma \in U, \tau \in V, \dots, \eta \in W$ .

In case an  $\omega$ -invariant sequence of characters  $\chi = (\chi_d)_{d \geq 1}$  is given, if the opposite is not stated, we specialize the maps  $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma$ , the groups  $U, V, \dots, W$ , and the characters  $\varepsilon, \delta, \dots, \varpi$ , on them, as follows:  $\alpha(1) = 1, \dots, \alpha(d) = d, \beta(1) = d+1, \dots, \beta(e) = d+e, \dots, \gamma(1) = d+e+\dots+1, \dots, \gamma(h) = d+e+\dots+h, U = W_d, V = W_e, \dots, W = W_h, Y = W_n, \varepsilon = \chi_d, \delta = \chi_e, \dots, \varpi = \chi_h$ . Then

$$c_{\theta_\alpha}(U) = W_d, \quad c_{\theta_\beta}(V) = \omega^d(W_e), \quad \dots, c_{\theta_\gamma}(W) = \omega^{d+e+\dots}(W_h),$$

and, using notation from Remark 17,

$$M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y) = M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h).$$

**Lemma 30** *The rule  $(\zeta', \zeta) \mapsto \zeta' \cdot \zeta$  defines a left action of the group  $Y$  on the set  $M(Y; \alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma)$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\zeta'' \in Y$ . The three elements  $(\zeta''\zeta') \cdot \zeta$ ,  $\zeta''(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)$ , and  $\zeta'' \cdot (\zeta' \cdot \zeta)$  are in the class  $\zeta''\zeta'\zeta X$ , so we get  $(\zeta''\zeta') \cdot \zeta = \zeta'' \cdot (\zeta' \cdot \zeta)$ . Finally,  $1_Y \cdot \zeta = \zeta$ .

**Lemma 31** *Let  $\pi$  be a linear  $K$ -valued character of  $Y$ , and  $\pi|_X = \chi$ . Let  $\varepsilon^2 = 1_U$ ,  $\delta^2 = 1_V$ ,  $\varpi^2 = 1_W$ , and  $\pi^2 = 1_Y$ . The formula*

$$[\pi]^n(E) \rightarrow \coprod_{d+e+\dots+h=n} [\varepsilon]^d(E) \otimes [\delta]^e(E) \dots \otimes [\varpi]^h(E),$$

$$\xi_1 \pi \dots \pi \xi_n \mapsto \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta)$$

$$(\xi_{\zeta(\alpha(1))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta(\alpha(d))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta(\beta(1))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta(\beta(e))}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\xi_{\zeta(\gamma(1))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta(\gamma(h))}),$$

defines a  $K$ -linear map.

**Proof:** The map

$$f: E^n \rightarrow \coprod_{d+e+\dots+h=n} [\varepsilon]^d(E) \otimes [\delta]^e(E) \dots \otimes [\varpi]^h(E),$$

$$f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta)$$

$$(\xi_{\zeta(\alpha(1))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta(\alpha(d))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta(\beta(1))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta(\beta(e))}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\xi_{\zeta(\gamma(1))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta(\gamma(h))}),$$

is multilinear and semi-symmetric of weight  $\pi$ . Indeed, let  $\zeta' \in Y$ . We have

$$f(\xi_{\zeta'(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\zeta'(n)}) = \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta)$$

$$(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(1)))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(d)))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(1)))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(e)))}) \otimes \dots$$

$$\otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(1)))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(h)))}) =$$

$$\pi(\zeta') \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta' \zeta)$$

$$(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(1)))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(d)))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(1)))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(e)))}) \otimes \dots$$

$$\otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(1)))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(h)))}).$$

Since

$$\pi(\zeta' \cdot \zeta) = \pi(\zeta' \zeta v_{\zeta' \zeta}) = \pi(\zeta' \zeta) \chi(v_{\zeta' \zeta}) = \pi(\zeta' \zeta) \varepsilon(\sigma) \delta(\tau) \dots \varpi(\eta),$$

using Lemma 30, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\xi_{\zeta'(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\zeta'(n)}) &= \pi(\zeta') \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta' \zeta) \\
&(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(1)))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(d)))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(1)))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(e)))}) \otimes \dots \\
&\otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(1)))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(h)))}) = \\
&\pi(\zeta') \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta' \cdot \zeta) \\
&\varepsilon(\sigma)(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(1)))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(d)))}) \otimes \delta(\tau)(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(1)))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(e)))}) \otimes \dots \\
&\otimes \varpi(\eta)(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(1)))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(h)))}) = \\
&\pi(\zeta') \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta' \cdot \zeta) \\
&(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(\sigma(1)))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\alpha(\sigma(d)))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(\tau(1)))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\beta(\tau(e)))}) \otimes \dots \\
&\otimes \varpi(\eta)(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(\eta(1)))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(\gamma(\eta(h)))}) = \\
&\pi(\zeta') \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta' \cdot \zeta) \\
&(\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(v_{\zeta'\zeta}(\alpha(1)))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(v_{\zeta'\zeta}(\alpha(d)))}) \otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(v_{\zeta'\zeta}(\beta(1)))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(v_{\zeta'\zeta}(\beta(e)))}) \otimes \dots \\
&\otimes (\xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(v_{\zeta'\zeta}(\gamma(1)))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{\zeta'(\zeta(v_{\zeta'\zeta}(\gamma(h)))}) = \\
&\pi(\zeta') \sum_{d+e+\dots+h=n} \sum_{\zeta \in M_{U,V,\dots,W}^{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\gamma}(Y)} \pi(\zeta' \cdot \zeta) \\
&(\xi_{(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)(\alpha(1))} \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon \xi_{(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)(\alpha(d))}) \otimes (\xi_{(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)(\beta(1))} \delta \dots \delta \xi_{(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)(\beta(e))}) \otimes \dots \\
&\otimes (\xi_{(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)(\gamma(1))} \varpi \dots \varpi \xi_{(\zeta' \cdot \zeta)(\gamma(h))}) = \\
&\pi(\zeta') f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to [3, (1.1.1)],  $f$  gives rise to the desired  $K$ -linear map.

Let  $\chi = (\chi_d)_{d \geq 1}$  be an  $\omega$ -invariant sequence of characters. Using Notation 29, we have

**Lemma 32** *The maps*

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\chi; n; p, h) \times M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots) &\rightarrow M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h), \\
M(\chi; n; d, q) \times \omega^d M(\chi; q; e, \dots, h) &\rightarrow M(\chi; n; d, e, \dots, h), \\
(\rho, \varrho) &\mapsto 1 \cdot (\rho \varrho),
\end{aligned}$$

are bijections.

**Proof:** If  $W_n/W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_n$  modulo  $W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)$ , if  $W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)/W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$ , then the family

$$\{\rho\varrho \mid (\rho, \varrho) \in (W_n/W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)) \times (W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)/W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h))\}$$

of elements of  $W_n$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_n$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$ . Thus, the first map is a bijection because  $M(\chi; p; d, e, \dots)$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_p \times \omega^p(W_h)$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$ . Similarly, if  $W_n/W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_n$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)$ , if  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)/W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$ , then the family

$$\{\rho\varrho \mid (\rho, \varrho) \in (W_n/W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)) \times (W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)/W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h))\}$$

of elements of  $W_n$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_n$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$ . The second map is a bijection, too, because  $\omega^d M(\chi; q; e, \dots, h)$  is a set of representatives of the left classes of  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_q)$  modulo  $W_d \times \omega^d(W_e) \times \cdots \times \omega^p(W_h)$ .

## References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Livre II Algèbre, Chapitre III Algèbre multilinéaire*, Paris, Hermann & Cie, Editeurs 1948.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre, Chapitres 1–3*, Hermann, Paris 1970.
- [3] V. V. Iliev, *Semi-symmetric Algebras: General Constructions*, J. Algebra, 148 (1992), pp. 479–496.
- [4] V. V. Iliev, *Semi-symmetric Algebra of a Free Module*, C. R. Bulg. Acad. Sci., 45 No 10 (1992), pp. 5–7.
- [5] V. V. Iliev, *On a New Approach to Williamson's Generalization of Pólya's Enumeration Theorem*, Serdica Math. J. 26 (2000), pp. 155–166.
- [6] I. Schur, *Über endliche Gruppen und Hermitesche Formen*, Math. Z., 1 (1918), pp. 184–207, in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Band II, pp. 189–212.