

FINITE P-CENTRAL GROUPS OF HEIGHT K

J. GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ AND T. S. WEIGEL

ABSTRACT. A finite group G is called p^i -central of height k if every element of order p^i of G is contained in the k^{th} -term $\zeta_k(G)$ of the ascending central series of G . If p is odd such a group has to be p -nilpotent (Thm. A). Finite p -central p -groups of height $p - 2$ can be seen as the dual analogue of finite potent p -groups, i.e., for such a finite p -group P the group $P/\Omega_1(P)$ is also p -central of height $p - 2$ (Thm. B). In such a group P the index of P^p is less or equal than the order of the subgroup $\Omega_1(P)$ (Thm. C). If the Sylow p -subgroup P of a finite group G is p -central of height $p - 1$, p odd, and $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent, then G is also p -nilpotent (Thm. D). Moreover, if G is a p -soluble finite group, p odd, and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ is p -central of height $p - 2$, then $N_G(P)$ controls p -fusion in G (Thm. E). It is well-known that the last two properties hold for Swan groups (see [11]).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number. Following a suggestion of A. Mann¹ we call a finite group G p -central if every element of order p is central in G , i.e., let

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega_i(G, p) = \langle g \in G \mid g^{p^i} = 1 \rangle, \quad i \geq 0;$$

then G is p -central if, and only if, $\Omega_1(G, p) \leq Z(G)$. For a finite p -group P we put $\Omega_i(P) = \Omega_i(P, p)$. Obviously, subgroups of p -central groups are p -central.

Powerful finite p -groups play an important role in the study of compact p -adic analytic groups (see [6, §3]). For finite p -central p -groups the notion of p -centrality is the dual analogue of being powerful provided p is odd. Although one must say that p -centrality plays a less important role in the study of pro- p groups, finite p -central groups are quite often the most important examples when it comes to explicit computations in cohomology or homotopy of classifying spaces of finite groups (see for example [3], [11, Thm. 1.7], [23]).

In this note we study a more general class of finite groups. For $i, k \geq 1$ we say that a finite group G is p^i -central of height k if $\Omega_i(G, p) \leq \zeta_k(G)$, where $\zeta_k(G)$ denotes the k^{th} -term of the ascending central series of G . In particular, if G is a finite p^i -central group of height k , then $\Omega_i(G, p)$ is a p -group. If G is a p^i -central group of height 1 we simply call G p^i -central. For odd primes p one can restrict the analysis of finite p -central groups to the study of p -central p -groups (see §3.5).

Date: October 30, 2018.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D15, secondary 20D20, 20F14.

The first author acknowledges support by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, grant MTM2008-06680-C02-01.

¹A. Mann suggested this terminology to the second author during a train journey from Dublin to Galway in 1994.

Theorem A. *Let p be odd, and let G be a finite p -central group of height $k \geq 1$. Then G is p -nilpotent, i.e., if $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , then P has a normal complement in G .*

For p -central finite groups Theorem A was already proved in [23, Thm. 2.4].

Example 1.1. For an odd prime number r the finite group $G = \text{SL}_2(r)$ is 2-central. Hence Theorem A does not hold for $p = 2$.

The first remarkable result for finite p -central p -groups is the fact that for a finite p -central p -group P , p odd, $P/\Omega_i(P)$ is also p -central, i.e., $(\Omega_i(P))_{i \geq 1}$ is an ascending central series of P . In this form one can find this result in a paper by J. Buckley (see [4]). However, in his first book which appeared three years earlier B. Huppert cited an unpublished result of J. G. Thompson (see [12, Hilfssatz 12.2]) reproducing a proof he is giving credit to N. Blackburn which is also implying the just mentioned statement. For this reason we refer to this result as the Blackburn-Buckley-Thompson theorem. For $p = 2$ it remains true if one requires that $\Omega_2(P)$ is contained in the center of P (see [15]). In Section 2.2 we will prove the following generalization.

Theorem B. *Let k and i be positive integers such that $k \leq p - 2$ or $k = p - 1$ and $i \geq 2$. Let P be a p^i -central pro- p group of height k such that the torsion elements of P form a finite p -group. Then, for all $j \geq 1$, $P/\Omega_j(P)$ is also a p^i -central pro- p group of height k . Moreover*

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_j(P) = \{x \in P \mid x^{p^j} = 1\}.$$

Some families of finite p -groups - like powerful or regular groups - have a nice power structure. In particular, the index of the agemo subgroup (the subgroup being generated by the p -powers or, simply, P^p) is equal to the number of elements of order p (see [7], [10]). For an arbitrary finite p -group P the index $|P : P^p|$ is bounded in terms of p and $|\Omega_1(P)|$, i.e., there exist a function f such that $|P : P^p| \leq f(p, |\Omega_1(P)|)$ (see [9], [16]). In a finite p -central p -group P of height $p - 2$ the index of the agemo subgroup is bounded just by the cardinality of $|\Omega_1(P)|$ (see §2.3).

Theorem C. *Let k, i be positive integers such that $k \leq p - 2$ or $k = p - 1$ and $i \geq 2$, and let P be a p^i -central p -group of height k . Then*

$$(1.3) \quad |P : P^p| \leq |\Omega_1(P)|.$$

Moreover, if $P^p = \{x^p \mid x \in P\}$, then equality holds in (1.3).

In [11], S. Priddy and H-W. Henn showed that a finite p -central p -group P , p odd², is a *Swan group*, i.e., if G is a finite group containing P as Sylow p -subgroup, then the restriction map in cohomology

$$(1.4) \quad \text{res}_{N_G(P)}^G : H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(N_G(P), \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbb{N}_0 -graded, connected, anti-commutative \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. As pointed out by J. Thévenaz in [21] a finite p -group P is a Swan group if, and only if, $N_G(P)$ controls p -fusion in G for all finite groups G with $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. This property of p -central groups seems to be very particular, and one cannot expect that it holds in a much more general context (see Ex. 1.2). Nevertheless, there are two weaker properties which also hold for p -central groups of small height.

²For $p = 2$ one has to require that $(\Omega_i(P))_{i \geq 1}$ is an ascending central series.

Example 1.2. Let $p \geq 5$, $n \geq 2$ and put $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n.\mathbb{Z})$. Then $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ is p -central of height 3, but $N_G(P)$ does not control p -fusion in G . Hence, by [17], P is not a Swan group.

A finite p -group P will be called to *determine p -nilpotency locally* if the following holds: Let G be a finite group containing P as Sylow p -subgroup such that $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent. Then G is also p -nilpotent. From J. Tate's nilpotency criterion (see [20]) it follows that Swan groups determine p -nilpotency locally. For finite p -central p -groups of small height we will show the following (see §4).

Theorem D. *Let p be odd, and let P be a finite p -central p -group of height $p - 1$. Then P determines p -nilpotency locally.*

Finite p -central p -groups of small height possess a 'Swan group like' property which concerns their embeddings into p -soluble groups. For simplicity we say that a p -soluble group G is a $p'pp'$ -sandwich group, if $O_p(G/O_{p'}(G))$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $G/O_{p'}(G)$. In Section 5.2 we will show the following.

Theorem E. *Let p be odd, and let G be a finite p -soluble group containing a p -central Sylow p -subgroup P of height $p - 2$. Then G is a $p'pp'$ -sandwich group. In particular, $N_G(P)$ controls p -fusion in G .*

The mod p cohomology ring $H^\bullet(G) = H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ of a finite p -central group G is much easier to analyze than for an arbitrary finite group. In [3], C. Broto and H-W. Henn showed that $H^\bullet(G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Thus by a result of D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson (see [2]) its Hilbert series

$$(1.5) \quad h_G(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^k(G)) \cdot t^k$$

satisfies some functional equation. In case that p is odd and G satisfies some additional property - the Ω_1 -extension property (see Remark 2.5) - one can determine the \mathbb{F}_p -algebra structure of $H^\bullet(G)$ explicitly modulo some finite-dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -algebra which satisfies Poincaré duality (see [23]).

A finite p -central p -group P of height 2 may have maximal elementary abelian subgroups of different order, and thus, its mod p -cohomology ring will not be Cohen-Macaulay (see [2]). In order to know whether one can extend the result of C. Broto and H-W. Henn to p -central p -groups of height $p - 2$, it would be interesting to investigate the following question.

Question F. Let p be odd, and let P be a finite p -central p -group of height $p - 2$ such that $\Omega_k(P)/\Omega_{k-1}(P)$ is abelian for all $k \geq 1$. Is $H^\bullet(P)$ Cohen-Macaulay?

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank A. E. Zalesskii for some very helpful discussions.

Notations and basic definitions. We use the standard notations in group theory. Multiple commutators will always supposed to be left normed. We simply write $[N, (k)M]$ for the commutator $[N, M, \dots, M]$ with M appearing k -times. By G^{p^j} we denote the (closed) subgroup of the (topological) group G which is (topologically) generated by the p^j -powers of G ; $\Omega_i(G, p)$ will denote the (closed) subgroup generated by the elements of order less or equal to p^i . For a (closed) normal subgroup N of G we denote by $N^{1/p}$ the (closed) normal subgroup generated by the set $\{x \in G \mid x^p \in N\}$.

2. p -CENTRAL p -GROUPS OF HEIGHT k

Let p be a prime number, and let k be a positive integer satisfying $k \leq p - 1$. For a pro- p group P and an integer n we put

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_n^{(k)}(P) = \begin{cases} P & \text{if } n \leq 1, \\ \text{cl}(\lambda_{n-k}^{(k)}(P)^p \cdot [\lambda_{n-1}^{(k)}(P), P]) & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{cl}(_)$ denotes the closure operation. One has the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let P be a pro- p group, and let $k \leq p - 1$. For $m, n \geq 1$ one has:*

- (a) $[\lambda_n^{(k)}(P), \lambda_m^{(k)}(P)] \leq \lambda_{m+n}^{(k)}(P)$;
- (b) $\lambda_n^{(k)}(P)^p \leq \lambda_{n+k}^{(k)}(P)$;
- (c) if $k \leq p - 2$, then for $x, y \in \lambda_n^{(k)}(P)$, $(xy)^p \lambda_{n+k+1}^{(k)}(P) = x^p y^p \lambda_{n+k+1}^{(k)}(P)$.

In particular, $(\lambda_n^{(k)}(P))_{n \geq 1}$ is a descending p -central series. If $k \leq p - 2$ the associated graded object

$$(2.2) \quad \text{gr}_{\bullet}^{(k)}(P) = \coprod_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{(k)}(P) / \lambda_{n+1}^{(k)}(P)$$

carries naturally the structure of a graded $\mathbb{F}_p[t_{\bullet}]$ -Lie algebra with t_{\bullet} being homogeneous of degree k .

Proof. (a) and (b) follow from a similar argument as in [8, Lemma 4.6]. By [12, Chap. III, Theorem 9.4], one has

$$(2.3) \quad (xy)^p \equiv x^p y^p \pmod{\gamma_2(\lambda_n^{(k)}(P))^p \gamma_p(\lambda_n^{(k)}(P))}.$$

By (a) and (b), $\gamma_2(\lambda_n^{(k)}(P))^p \gamma_p(\lambda_n^{(k)}(P)) \leq \lambda_{n+k+1}^{(k)}(P)$. This yields the claim. \square

Note that for $k = 1$ the series $(\lambda_n^{(k)}(P))_{n \geq 1}$ coincides with the descending p -central series of P . A finite p -group P is called of k -elementary p -length ℓ if $\text{gr}_{\ell}^{(k)}(P) \neq 0$, but $\text{gr}_{\ell+1}^{(k)}(P) = 0$. In particular, a finite p -group P is of k -elementary p -length ℓ , $\ell \leq k$, if, and only if, it is of exponent p and nilpotency class ℓ .

2.1. p -Central p -groups of small height. Let p be odd, let $k \leq p - 2$, and let P be a finite p -group. Then P is k -powerful if, and only if, $t_{\bullet}: \text{gr}_{\bullet}^{(k)}(P) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\bullet+k}^{(k)}(P)$ is surjective. A weak form of injectivity of the mapping t_{\bullet} has the following consequence.

Proposition 2.2. *Let P be a finite p -group of k -elementary p -length ℓ , $k \leq p - 2$, and assume that $t_s: \text{gr}_s^{(k)}(P) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{s+k}^{(k)}(P)$ is injective for $s < \ell - k + 1$. Then P is p -central of height k .*

Proof. By hypothesis, one has $\lambda_{\ell-k+1}^{(k)}(P) \leq \zeta_k(P)$, and every element of order p must be contained in $\lambda_{\ell-k+1}^{(k)}(P)$. \square

Example 2.1. Let $k \leq p - 2$.

(a) Let F be a finitely generated free pro- p group. Then Proposition 2.2 implies that for any $m \geq 1$ the finite p -group $P_m = F / \lambda_{m+k}^{(k)}(F)$ is p -central of height k .

(b) Let Q be a finitely generated torsion-free k -powerful pro- p group. Then Proposition 2.2 shows that $Q / \lambda_{m+k}^{(k)}(Q)$ is a finite p -central p -group of height k . In particular, if Q is a finitely generated uniformly powerful pro- p group, then Q / Q^p is

is a finite p -central p -group. This result can also be obtained from the theory of powerful groups. (see [6].)

(c) Let M be a finite \mathbb{Z}_p -module. It is straight forward to check that

$$(2.4) \quad \text{PL}(M) = \{ \text{id}_M + p \cdot \alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M) \}.$$

is a p -central p -group. For p odd it is also powerful.

(d) For $n \geq 2$ let P be a Sylow pro- p subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and for $m \geq 1$ let K_m denote the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p)$, i.e., K_m is just the m^{th} -congruence subgroup.

Suppose that $p > n + 1$. Then P is torsion-free. Moreover, one has

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma_{n+1}(P) \leq P^p,$$

i.e., P is n -powerful and thus potent. This fact can be used to show that for $P_m = P/K_{m+1}$ one has

$$(2.6) \quad \Omega_1(P_m) = K_m/K_{m+1}.$$

In particular, P_m is p -central of height $2n - 1$.

2.2. Homomorphic images of p -central pro- p groups of height k . The following power-commutator relations are well-known.

Lemma 2.3. *Let P be a pro- p group, and let N be a closed normal subgroup of P . If $N = \langle X \rangle$ then*

$$(2.7) \quad [N,_{(r)} P]^p \leq [P(N),_{(r)} P][N,_{(r+p-1)} P],$$

where $P(N) = \langle x^p \mid x \in X \rangle^G$. In particular, for $i \geq 1$ one has

$$(2.8) \quad [\Omega_i(P),_{(r)} P]^p \leq [\Omega_{i-1}(P),_{(r)} P][\Omega_i(P),_{(r+p-1)} P].$$

Proof. See Theorem 2.7 of [8]. \square

Theorem B can be deduced from Lemma 2.3 as follows.

Proof of Theorem B. By induction, it suffices to prove the theorem for $j = 1$. Let T be the torsion subgroup. By hypothesis, T is closed and nilpotent. Moreover, there exists $m > 0$ such that $[T,_{(m)} P] = 1$.

As the nilpotence class of $\Omega_1(P)$ is bounded by $p - 1$, $\Omega_1(P) = \{ x \in P \mid x^p = 1 \}$ (see [10]). In order to show that $P/\Omega_1(P)$ is p^i -central of height k it suffices to show that for all $r \geq k$, $[\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P] \leq \Omega_1(P)$. As the claim certainly holds for $r \geq m$, we proceed by reverse induction on $r < m$. Suppose that the assumption is true for $r + 1 \leq m$.

(1) If $k \leq p - 2$, then by Lemma 2.3 and induction

$$(2.9) \quad [\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P]^p \leq [\Omega_i(P),_{(r)} P][\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r+p-1)} P] \leq [\Omega_1(P),_{(p-2)} P] = 1.$$

Hence $[\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P] \leq \Omega_1(P)$.

(2) If $k = p - 1$ and $i \geq 2$ the same argument as in (2.9) yields

$$(2.10) \quad [\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P]^p \leq \Omega_1(P) \cap Z(P).$$

Hence $[\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P] \leq \Omega_2(P)$, and therefore

$$(2.11) \quad [\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P]^p \leq [\Omega_i(P),_{(r)} P][\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r+p-1)} P] \leq [\Omega_2(P),_{(p-1)} P] = 1.$$

Thus $[\Omega_{i+1}(P),_{(r)} P] \leq \Omega_1(P)$, and this yields the claim. \square

The following example shows that the hypothesis in Theorem B on the finiteness of the subgroup generated by torsion elements cannot be removed.

Example 2.2. Let p be odd, let $C_{p^{i+1}}$ be the cyclic group of order p^{i+1} , $i \geq 1$, and let A denote its canonical homomorphic image of order p . Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_p[A]/\mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \Delta$, where $\Delta = \sum_{a \in A} a$. The semi-direct product $P = C_{p^{i+1}} \rtimes M$, with the canonical action of $C_{p^{i+1}}$ on M , is a p -adic analytic pro- p group which is p^i -central. However, $P/\Omega_i(P)$ is not p -central. If $c \in C_{p^{i+1}}$ is a generator and $a \in M$, then $c.a$ is an element of order p^{i+1} . Hence the closed subgroup of P generated by all torsion elements is the entire group P .

Theorem B can be applied also to certain families of p -central pro- p groups.

Corollary 2.4. *Let P be a p^i -central p -adic analytic pro- p group of height k such that $\gamma_{h(p-1)}(P) \leq \gamma_i(P)^{p^j}$ with $h(p-1) < j(p-1) + i$. Then $P/\Omega_j(P)$ is also a p^i -central pro- p group of height k and $\Omega_j(P) = \{x \in P \mid x^{p^j} = 1\}$.*

Proof. By [8, Thm. 4.8], the torsion elements of P form a finite p -group. Thus Theorem B yields the claim. \square

Remark 2.5. Let p be odd, and let P be a p -central p -group of height k , $k \leq p-2$. We say that P has the Ω_1 -*extension property* if there exists a finite p -central p -group Q of height k such that $P \simeq Q/\Omega_1(Q)$. It can be shown that all p -groups³ described in Example 2.1 have this property. However, there are examples of p -central groups which do not have this property. For p -central p -groups of height 1 this property has a strong impact on the structure of the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology algebra $H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (see [23]). It is very likely that this is also the case for p -central p -groups of height larger than 1 but less than $p-2$.

2.3. Bounding the index of the agemo subgroup. For a finite p -group P we define the following series of characteristic subgroups:

$$(2.12) \quad M_n(P) = \begin{cases} [\Omega_1(P),_{(p-n-1)} P] & \text{if } n \leq p-1, \\ M_{n-p+1}(P)^{1/p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $M_{n+1}(P) \geq M_n(P)$. For $n < 0$ we put $M_n(P) = 1$. If P is a p -central p -group of height $p-2$ (resp. a p^2 -central group of height $p-1$) this series has the following properties.

Proposition 2.6. *Let p be odd, let P be a finite p -central p -group of height $p-2$ (resp. a p^2 -central group of height $p-1$), and let $n \geq 0$. Then one has the following:*

- (a) $P/M_n(P)$ is a p -central group of height $p-2$ (resp. a p^2 -central group of height $p-1$).
- (b) $[M_n(P), P] \leq M_{n-1}(P)$.
- (c) $M_n(P)^p \leq M_{n-p+1}(P)$.
- (d) If $x \in M_n(P)$, $y \in M_m(P)$ and $n \geq m$, then

$$(2.13) \quad (xy)^p \equiv x^p y^p \pmod{M_{m-p}(P)}.$$

³In Example 2.1(d) one has to require that $n \leq (p-1)/2$.

Proof. (a) We restrict our considerations to the case when P is p -central of height $p - 2$. The case when P is p^2 -central of height $p - 1$ follows by a similar argument (see proof of Theorem B).

By Theorem B, it suffices to prove the statement for $n \leq p - 1$. Hence one has to show that for $1 \leq s \leq p - 1$ and $r \geq p - 2 - s$,

$$(2.14) \quad [[\Omega_1(P)_{(s)} P]^{1/p}_{(r)} P] \leq \Omega_1(P).$$

We proceed by reverse induction on r . For r large, (2.14) is certainly true. Suppose that (2.14) is true for $r + 1$. Then, by Lemma 2.3,

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} [[\Omega_1(P)_{(s)} P]^{1/p}_{(r)} P]^p &\leq [\Omega_1(P)_{(s+r)} P][[\Omega_1(P)_{(s)} P]^{1/p}_{(r+p-1)} P] \\ &\leq [\Omega_1(P)_{(p-2)} P] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[[\Omega_1(P)_{(s)} P]^{1/p}_{(r)} P] \leq \Omega_1(P)$, and this yields the claim.

(b) We may assume that $n \geq p - 1$, and proceed by induction on n . By (a) and induction, one has

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} [M_n(P), P]^p &= [M_{n-p+1}(P)^{1/p}, P]^p \leq [M_{n-p+1}(P), P][M_{n-p+1}(P)^{1/p}_{(p)} P] \\ &\leq M_{n-p}(P)[M_{n-p+1}, P] = M_{n-p}(P). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[M_n(P), P] \leq M_{n-1}(P)$.

(c) is obvious.

(d) By the Hall-Petrescu formula (see [12, Theorem 9.4]) one has

$$(2.17) \quad (xy)^p \equiv x^p y^p \pmod{[M_m(P), P]^p [M_m(P)_{(p-1)} P]}.$$

Thus if $m \leq p - 1$ there is nothing to prove. Let $m > p - 1$. Then $M_m(P) = M_{m-p+1}(P)^{1/p}$, and by (a),

$$(2.18) \quad [M_m(P)_{(p-1)} P] = [M_{m-p+1}(P)^{1/p}_{(p-1)} P] = [M_{m-p+1}(P), P] = M_{m-p}(P).$$

Hence, (b) and (c) yield the claim. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Let $m_\bullet(P) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{n+1}(P)/M_n(P)$. By Proposition 2.6(d), $t_\bullet : m_\bullet(P) \rightarrow m_\bullet(P)$, $t_n(xM_{n-1}(P)) = x^p M_{n-p}(P)$, is a well-defined, \mathbb{F}_p -linear mapping of graded \mathbb{F}_p vector spaces of degree $1 - p$. Thus $m_\bullet(P)$ is a graded $\mathbb{F}_p[t_\bullet]$ module. Moreover, by construction of the series $(M_n(P))_{n \geq 0}$, one has

$$(2.19) \quad \text{Ann}(t_\bullet) = m_{(p-1)}(P) = \prod_{0 \leq n \leq p-2} M_{n+1}(P)/M_n(P).$$

For any subgroup Q of P we put $m_\bullet(Q) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (Q \cap M_{n+1}(P))/M_n(P)$. Hence by (2.19), $\text{Ann}(t_\bullet) = m_\bullet(\Omega_1(P))$. On the other hand one has

$$(2.20) \quad t_\bullet.M(P) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_{n+p}(P)^p M_n(P)/M_n(P)$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad m_\bullet(P^p) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (P^p \cap M_{n+1}(P))/M_n(P).$$

As $M_{n+p}(P)^p \leq P^p \cap M_{n+1}(P)$, one has

$$(2.22) \quad |P : P^p| = |m_\bullet(P) : m_\bullet(P^p)| \leq |m_\bullet(P) : t_\bullet.m_\bullet(P)| = |\text{Ann}(t_\bullet)| = |\Omega_1(P)|.$$

Moreover, if $P^p = \{x^p \mid x \in P\}$, then $P^p \cap M_{n+1}(P) = M_{n+p}(P)^p$. Hence equality holds in (2.22). \square

3. FUSION OF ELEMENTARY ABELIAN p -SUBGROUPS AND F -ISOMORPHISMS OF MOD- p COHOMOLOGY RINGS

Throughout this section we fix a prime number p .

3.1. The mod p cohomology ring of a finite group. Recall that an \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A_\bullet is called *anti-commutative*, if for $a \in A_n$, $b \in A_m$, $n, m \geq 0$ one has

$$(3.1) \quad b \cdot a = (-1)^{n \cdot m} a \cdot b.$$

Such an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra is called *connected*, if $A_0 = \mathbb{F}_p \cdot 1_A$. For a finite group G the *cohomology algebra*

$$(3.2) \quad H^\bullet(G) = H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebra.

Let $\alpha_\bullet: A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ be a homomorphism of finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. Then α_\bullet is called an *F -isomorphism*, if $\ker(\alpha_\bullet)$ is nilpotent, and for all $b \in B_n$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $b^{p^k} \in \text{im}(\alpha_\bullet)$. If S is a subgroup of the finite group G , then

$$(3.3) \quad \text{res}_S^G: H^\bullet(G) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(S)$$

is a homomorphism of finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebras.

3.2. Quillen stratification. Let G be a finite group. Let \mathcal{C}_G denote the category the objects of which are elementary p -abelian subgroups of G and morphisms are given by conjugation, i.e., for $E, E' \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_G)$ one has

$$(3.4) \quad \text{mor}_G(E, E') = \{ i_g: E \rightarrow E' \mid g \in G, g E g^{-1} \leq E' \},$$

where $i_g(e) = g e g^{-1}$, $e \in E$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_G) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}_G} H^\bullet(E)$$

is a finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. Moreover, the restriction maps res_E^G yield a map

$$(3.6) \quad q_G = \prod_{E \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}_G)} \text{res}_E^G: H^\bullet(G) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_G).$$

Although it is quite difficult to determine the explicit structure of the mod p cohomology algebra $H^\bullet(G)$ for a given finite group G , one knows its structure up to F -isomorphism thanks to the following remarkable result of D. Quillen which is also known as Quillen stratification (see [1, Cor. 5.6.4], [19]).

Theorem 3.1 (D. Quillen). *Let G be a finite group. Then $q_G: H^\bullet(G) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_G)$ is an F -isomorphism.*

3.3. Quillen stratification and fusion. Let H be a subgroup of the finite group G containing a Sylow p -subgroup of G which controls fusion of elementary abelian p -subgroups. Then the canonical embedding functor

$$(3.7) \quad j_{H,G}: \mathcal{C}_H \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_G$$

is an equivalence of categories. Thus $H^\bullet(j_{H,G}): H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_G) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_H)$ is an isomorphism of connected, anti-commutative, \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. Thus from Theorem 3.1 one concludes the following property which can be seen as a weak version of G. Mislin's result (see [17]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let H be a subgroup of the finite group G containing a Sylow p -subgroup of G which controls fusion of elementary abelian p -subgroups. Then $\text{res}_G^G: H^\bullet(G) \rightarrow H^\bullet(H)$ is an F -isomorphism.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the commutative diagram

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^\bullet(G) & \xrightarrow{q_G} & H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_G) \\ \text{res}_H^G \downarrow & & \downarrow H^\bullet(j_{H,C}) \\ H^\bullet(H) & \xrightarrow{q_H} & H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_H) \end{array}$$

and the previously mentioned remark. \square

3.4. Quillen's p -nilpotency criterion. In [18], D. Quillen has shown the following.

Theorem 3.3 (D. Quillen). *Let G be a finite group, let p be odd, and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then G is p -nilpotent if, and only if, $\text{res}_P^G: H^\bullet(G) \rightarrow H^\bullet(P)$ is an F -isomorphism.*

Remark 3.4. (a) Let G be a finite group, and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup. By a result of J. Tate (see [20]), G is p -nilpotent if, and only if, $\text{res}_P^G: H^\bullet(G) \rightarrow H^\bullet(P)$ is an isomorphism. Every isomorphism of finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, \mathbb{N}_0 -graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebras is in particular also an F -isomorphism. Hence Theorem 3.3 can be seen as a generalization of J. Tate's nilpotency criterion for p odd.

(b) Let p be odd. Let G be a finite group, and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. From Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 one concludes that G is p -nilpotent if, and only if, P controls fusion of elementary abelian p -subgroups.

3.5. Finite p -central groups of height k . For finite p -central groups of height k one has the following fundamental property.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a finite p -central group of height k , $k \geq 1$, and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then for any elementary p -abelian subgroup E of G , one has*

$$(3.9) \quad G = P \cdot \text{Cent}_G(E).$$

Proof. The subgroup $\Omega_1(G, p)$ is nilpotent of class at most k , and therefore a finite p -group. Let p^e be the exponent of $\Omega_1(G, p)$. By the Hall-Petrescu collection formula (see [8, Theorem 2.1]), for any $y \in \Omega_1(G, p)$ and $x \in G$ one has

$$(3.10) \quad [y, x^{p^{e+k}}] \in \prod_{0 \leq i \leq e+k} [\Omega_1(G, p), (p^i)G]^{p^{e+k-i}} = \{1\}.$$

In particular, $G^{p^{e+k}} \leq \text{Cent}_G(\Omega_1(G, p))$. Moreover, for any Sylow p -subgroup P of G one has $G = P \cdot G^{p^{e+k}}$. Since every elementary p -abelian subgroup E of G is contained in $\Omega_1(G, p)$, this yields the claim. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Let G be a finite p -central group of height k , p odd. By Proposition 3.5, P controls fusion of elementary abelian p -subgroups. Then Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 yield the claim. \square

4. FINITE p -GROUPS WHICH DETERMINE p -NILPOTENCY LOCALLY

Let p be an odd prime number. For $m \geq 1$ we denote by \mathbf{C}_{p^m} the cyclic group of order p^m . Let $Y_p(1) = \mathbf{C}_p \wr \mathbf{C}_p$ denote the regular wreath product, and let $\beta: \mathbf{C}_p \wr \mathbf{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$ denote the canonical homomorphism with elementary abelian kernel. By $Y_p(m)$, $m \geq 1$, we denote the finite p -group which is the pull-back of the diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}_{p^m} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_p \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \beta \\ Y_p(m) & \dashrightarrow & \mathbf{C}_p \wr \mathbf{C}_p \end{array}$$

In [24] it was shown that every finite p -group P not containing subgroups isomorphic to $Y_p(m)$, $m \geq 1$, determines p -nilpotency locally. As a consequence we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let p be odd, and let P be a finite p -central p -group of height $p-1$. Then P does not contain subgroups isomorphic to $Y_p(m)$ for some $m \geq 1$. In particular, P determines p -nilpotency locally.*

Proof. Suppose P contains a subgroup isomorphic to $Y_p(m)$, $m \geq 1$. This implies that $Y_p(m)$ is p -central of height $p-1$, a contradiction. \square

5. SEMI-SIMPLE MODULES FOR FINITE p -SOLUBLE GROUPS

Let p be a prime number, and let \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^f$, denote the finite field with q elements. Then there exists a unique unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of the p -adic numbers of degree f . Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}_q = \text{int}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ is a complete discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_q$ and residue field isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_q .

For a finite group G we will call a finitely generated left $\mathbb{Z}_q[G]$ -module L a left $\mathbb{Z}_q[G]$ -lattice, if it is also a free \mathbb{Z}_q -module.

The purpose of this section is to translate I. M. Isaacs version (see [13], [14]) of the Fong-Swan-Rukolaine theorem (see [5, Thm. 22.1]) in a module theoretic way (see Thm. 5.1). Using results of P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii one can then describe the size of a Jordan block of an element of order p in a p -soluble group G on any finite semi-simple left $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ -module (see Cor. 5.2). This description will allow us to establish a sufficient criterion for the normality of a Sylow p -subgroup in a finite p -soluble group provided p is odd (see Lemma 5.3).

5.1. The Fong-Swan-Rukolaine theorem. The following theorem can be seen as a module theoretic version of I. M. Isaacs version of the Fong-Swan-Rukolaine theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite p -soluble group, let \mathbb{E} be a finite field of characteristic p and let M be an absolutely irreducible left $\mathbb{E}[G]$ -module. Then there exists a finite extension \mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{E} of \mathbb{E} and a left $\mathbb{Z}_q[G]$ -lattice L with the following properties:*

- (i) $L/p.L \simeq M \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{F}_q$;
- (ii) $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_q} \mathbb{Q}_q$ is an absolutely irreducible left $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ -module.

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathbb{E}}$ denote an algebraic closure of \mathbb{E} , let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ denote an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let \mathbb{Q}_p^{un} denote the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Let $\bar{\chi}_M$ denote the Brauer character of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \bar{\mathbb{E}}$. By [13] and [14], there exists an irreducible

left $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -module V such that the restriction of its character to p -regular conjugacy classes of G agrees with $\bar{\chi}_M$, and all its character values are contained in \mathbb{Q}_p^{un} . Then by [22, Lemma 3.3], there exists a finite unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of \mathbb{Q}_p and an absolutely irreducible left $\mathbb{Q}_q[G]$ -module W such that $V \simeq W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_q} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We may assume that \mathbb{F}_q contains \mathbb{E} . Let $L < W$ be a full left $\mathbb{Z}_q[G]$ -lattice contained in W . Then by construction $L/p.L \simeq M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{E}$, and $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_q} \mathbb{Q}_q \simeq W$. This yields the claim. \square

From [22, Lemma 3.1] one concludes the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let G be a finite p -soluble group, let M be a finite semi-simple $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ -module, and let $\phi: G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M)$ be the representation associated to M . Then for every element $g \in G$ of order p the size of a Jordan block of $\phi(g)$ is either 1, $p-1$ or p .*

Corollary 5.2 can be translated into a group theoretic context as follows:

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a finite p -soluble group, $p \neq 2$, and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Let M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module, and let $\phi_M: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M)$ denote the associated representation. Assume further that*

- (i) $\ker(\phi_M) \leq O_p(G)$;
- (ii) for all $g \in P$, $(\phi_M(g) - \text{id}_M)^{p-2} = 0$.

Then $P = \ker(\phi_M)$; in particular, P is normal in G .

Proof. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow M^{\text{ss}}$ denote the semi-simplification of the $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module M , and let $\phi_{M^{\text{ss}}}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M^{\text{ss}})$ denote the associated \mathbb{F}_p -linear representation. By (i), $\ker(\phi_M)$ is a normal p -subgroup. Let $\tilde{\phi}_M: \mathbb{F}_p[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M)$ denote the associated homomorphism of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \ker(\phi_{M^{\text{ss}}}) / \ker(\phi_M) \leq \text{id}_M + \text{Jac}(\text{im}(\tilde{\phi}_M)),$$

where $\text{Jac}(_)$ denotes the Jacobson radical. In particular, $\ker(\phi_{M^{\text{ss}}}) / \ker(\phi_M)$ is a p -group, and thus $\ker(\phi_{M^{\text{ss}}})$ is a normal p -subgroup of G .

Let $g \in P^\circ = \phi_{M^{\text{ss}}}(P)$ be an element satisfying $g^p = 1$. Since $\phi_{M^{\text{ss}}}(G)$ is p -soluble and as M^{ss} is a semi-simple left $\mathbb{F}_p[\phi_{M^{\text{ss}}}(G)]$ -module, Corollary 5.2 implies that a Jordan block of g must have length 1, $p-1$ or p . Hypothesis (ii) yields that $(g-1)^{p-2} = 0 \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M^{\text{ss}})$. Thus every Jordan block of g must have size 1; i.e., $g = 1$. Therefore, $P^\circ = \{1\}$, and this yields the claim. \square

5.2. The sandwich theorem. Let G be a finite group. By $O_{p',p}(G)$ we denote the normal subgroup of G containing $O_{p'}(G)$ and satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad O_{p',p}(G)/O_{p'}(G) = O_p(G/O_{p'}(G)).$$

Similarly, we denote by $O_{p',p,p'}(G)$ the normal subgroup of G containing $O_{p',p}(G)$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad O_{p',p,p'}(G)/O_{p',p}(G) = O_{p'}(G/O_{p',p}(G)).$$

The finite group G will be called a $p'pp'$ -sandwich group, if $G = O_{p',p,p'}(G)$. Note that a $p'pp'$ -sandwich group is p -soluble. One has the following property.

Proposition 5.4. *Let G be a finite $p'pp'$ -sandwich group, and let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Then $N_G(P)$ controls p -fusion in G .*

Proof. Let $Q = O_{p',p}(G)$ and $M = O_{p'}(G)$; in particular, $P \leq Q$. The Frattini argument yields that $G = Q.N_G(P) = M.N_G(P)$. Put $M_o = M \cap N_G(P)$. Then one has a commutative diagram of finite groups

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N_G(P) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G \\ & \searrow \beta & \swarrow \gamma \\ & & N_G(P)/M_o \end{array}$$

and thus also a commutative diagram of homomorphism of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^\bullet(N_G(P), \mathbb{F}_p) & \xleftarrow{H^\bullet(\alpha)} & H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ & \searrow H^\bullet(\beta) & \swarrow H^\bullet(\gamma) \\ & & H^\bullet(N_G(P)/M_o, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

Since $H^\bullet(\beta)$ and $H^\bullet(\gamma)$ are inflation mappings with respect to normal subgroups of p' -order, $H^\bullet(\beta)$ and $H^\bullet(\gamma)$ are isomorphisms. Hence $H^\bullet(\alpha) = \text{res}_{N_G(P)}^G$ is an isomorphism. Thus by G. Mislin's theorem (see [17]), $N_G(P)$ controls p -fusion in G . \square

The following lemma will turn out to be useful for our purpose.

Lemma 5.5. *Let p be an odd prime, and let G be a finite p -soluble group. Assume further that $O_{p'}(G) = \{1\}$, $O_p(G) \neq \{1\}$, and that $\Omega_1(O_p(G))$ is of exponent p . Then $\text{Cent}_G(\Omega_1(O_p(G))) \leq O_p(G)$.*

Proof. Put $\Omega = \Omega_1(O_p(G))$ and $C = \text{Cent}_G(\Omega)$. Then $O_p(C) \leq O_p(G)$, and therefore $\Omega_1(O_p(C)) \leq \Omega$. Thus $\Omega_1(O_p(C)) \leq Z(\Omega)$, and $O_p(C)$ is a non-trivial p -central normal subgroup of G . Let M be the characteristic subgroup of C containing $O_p(C)$ such that $M/O_p(C) = O_{p'}(C/O_p(C))$. Let $H \leq M$ be a p' -Hall subgroup of M . Then H is acting trivially on $\Omega_1(O_p(C))$, and thus on $O_p(C)$ (see [12, Kap. IV, Satz 5.12]). In particular, $[H, O_p(C)] = \{1\}$, and therefore $H = O_{p'}(M)$. Hence, as $O_{p'}(G) = \{1\}$, $H = \{1\}$, and $C = O_p(C)$. This yields the claim. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let p be odd, and let G be a finite p -soluble group containing a Sylow p -subgroup $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ which is p -central of height $p-2$. Then $P.O_{p'}(G)$ is normal in G . In particular, G is a $p'pp'$ -sandwich group.*

Proof. We may assume that $O_{p'}(G) = \{1\}$ and $O_p(G) \neq \{1\}$. Let $\Omega = \Omega_1(O_p(G))$. Since P is p -central of height $p-2$, Ω is of exponent p . Thus by Lemma 5.5, one has $\text{Cent}_G(\Omega) \leq O_p(G)$.

Let $M = \text{gr}_{\bullet}^{(1)}(\Omega)$ denote the graded $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module associated to the descending p -central series of Ω (see (2.2)), and let $\phi_M: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(M)$ denote the associated representation for G . Let $g \in \ker(\phi_M)$ be an element of order co-prime to p . Then $g \in \text{Cent}_G(\Omega) \leq O_p(G)$. Hence $g = 1$, and $\ker(\phi_M) \leq O_p(G)$. As P is p -central of height $p-2$, one has for $g \in P$ that $(\phi_M(g) - \text{id}_M)^{p-2} = 0$. Hence $\ker(\phi_M) = P$ by Lemma 5.3. This yields the claim. \square

From Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 5.4 one concludes the following consequence which can be seen an analogue of J. Thévenaz's result.

Corollary 5.7. *Let p be odd, and let G be a finite p -solvable group, for which $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ is p -central of height $p - 2$. Then $N_G(P)$ controls p -fusion in G .*

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology. II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 31, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, Cohomology of groups and modules.
- [2] D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson, *Projective resolutions and Poincaré duality complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994), no. 2, 447–488.
- [3] C. Broto and H-W. Henn, *Some remarks on central elementary abelian p -subgroups and cohomology of classifying spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **44** (1993), no. 174, 155–163.
- [4] J. Buckley, *Finite groups whose minimal subgroups are normal*, Math. Z. **116** (1970), 15–17.
- [5] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1981, With applications to finite groups and orders, Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [6] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal, *Analytic pro- p groups*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 61, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [7] G. A. Fernández-Alcober, *Omega subgroups of powerful p -groups*, Israel J. Math. **162** (2007), 75–79.
- [8] G. A. Fernández-Alcober, J. González-Sánchez, and A. Jaikin-Zapirain, *Omega subgroups of pro- p groups*, Israel J. Math. **166** (2008), 393–412.
- [9] J. González-Sánchez, *Bounding the index of the agemo*, to appear in the J. Algebra, 2007.
- [10] P. Hall, *A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **36** (1933), 29–95.
- [11] H-W. Henn and S. Priddy, *p -nilpotence, classifying space indecomposability, and other properties of almost all finite groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **69** (1994), no. 3, 335–350.
- [12] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [13] I. M. Isaacs, *Lifting Brauer characters of p -solvable groups*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), 171–188.
- [14] ———, *Lifting Brauer characters of p -solvable groups. II*, J. Algebra **51** (1978), no. 2, 476–490.
- [15] A. Mann, *Generators of 2-groups*, Israel J. Math. **10** (1971), 158–159.
- [16] ———, *The power structure of p -groups. II*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), no. 2, 953–956.
- [17] G. Mislin, *On group homomorphisms inducing mod- p cohomology isomorphisms*, Comment. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), no. 3, 454–461.
- [18] D. Quillen, *A cohomological criterion for p -nilpotence*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **1** (1971), no. 4, 361–372.
- [19] ———, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **94** (1971), 549–572; *ibid.* (2) **94** (1971), 573–602.
- [20] J. Tate, *Nilpotent quotient groups*, Topology **3** (1964), no. suppl. 1, 109–111.
- [21] J. Thévenaz, *Most finite groups are p -nilpotent*, Exposition. Math. **11** (1993), no. 4, 359–363.
- [22] P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesskii, *Mod p reducibility of unramified representations of finite groups of Lie type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **84** (2002), no. 2, 439–472.
- [23] T. S. Weigel, *p -central groups and Poincaré duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 9, 4143–4154.
- [24] ———, *Finite p -groups which determine p -nilpotency locally*, submitted, 2009.

T. S. WEIGEL, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, U5-3067, VIA R.COZZI, 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: thomas.weigel@unimib.it

JON GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ, UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS,
ESTADÍSTICA Y COMPUTACIÓN, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, AVDA. DE LOS CASTROS, s/N, E-39071
SANTANDER, SPAIN

E-mail address: `jon.gonzalez@unican.es`