Finite-Element Simulations of Light Propagation through Circular Subwavelength Apertures

Sven Burger, ^{ab} Bernd H. Kleemann, ^c Lin Zschiedrich, ^{ab} Frank Schmidt ^{ab}

^a Zuse Institute Berlin, Takustraße 7, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

^b JCMwave GmbH, Haarer Straße 14a, D-85640 Putzbrunn, Germany

^c Carl Zeiss AG, Carl-Zeiss-Straße 22, D-73447 Oberkochen, Germany

This paper will be published in Proc. SPIE Vol. **7366** (2009) 736621, (*Photonic Materials, Devices, and Applications III, Ali Serpenguzel, Editor*) and is made available as an electronic preprint with permission of SPIE. One print or electronic copy may be made for personal use only. Systematic or multiple reproduction, distribution to multiple locations via electronic or other means, duplication of any material in this paper for a fee or for commercial purposes, or modification of the content of the paper are prohibited.

ABSTRACT

Light transmission through circular subwavelength apertures in metallic films with surrounding nanostructures is investigated numerically. Numerical results are obtained with a frequency-domain finite-element method. Convergence of the obtained observables to very low levels of numerical error is demonstrated. Very good agreement to experimental results from the literature is reached, and the utility of the method is demonstrated in the investigation of the influence of geometrical parameters on enhanced transmission through the apertures.

Keywords: subwavelength aperture, 3D Maxwell solver, finite-element method, nanophotonics, plasmonics

1. INTRODUCTION

Experiments by Lezec *et al.* investigating light transmission through circular subwavelength apertures in metallic films with surrounding nanostructures have revealed surprising effects of enhanced transmission and a collimated beam of transmitted light.¹ The mechanisms involved in the transmission spectra can be understood using 2D models and approximative methods.^{2,3} However, for a fully quantitative understanding and from the point of view of optics design, accurate numerical simulations of Maxwell's equations for the 3D, cylindrically symmetric problem are desired. Popov *et al.* have developed a well converging field expansion method with a Fourier-Bessel basis in order to simulate similar setups.^{4–6} To our knowledge results on a simulation of the experiment of Lezec *et al.* with this method have not been published. Baida *et al.* have investigated the setting numerically using a finite-difference time-domain method (FDTD), however, an agreement of simulation results with the experiment is not reached with this method.⁷

We report on a dedicated finite-element method for the simulation task at hand. With this, accurately converged results can be obtained in relatively short computation times. We discuss simulations of the experimental setup¹ and present simulation results agreeing very well with results of the experiment.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2.1 reviews the general field of light transmission through periodic and isolated subwavelength apertures and motivates the need for accurate simulation tools. The investigated setup of a single aperture surrounded by circular grooves is defined in Section 2.2. Section 2.3 introduces the finite-element solver JCMsuite used in this study. Numerical results are presented in Chapter 3 including a convergence study for the investigated setup in Section 3.1, results on the experimental setup of Lezec *et al.* and on the setup investigated by Baida *et al.* in Section 3.2, and results on the influence of a geometrical parameter on transmission spectra through the subwavelength apertures in Section 3.3. Chapter 4 concludes the paper.

Corresponding author: S. Burger

URL: http://www.zib.de/Numerik/NanoOptics/ Email: burger@zib.de

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Enhanced transmission through isolated and periodic subwavelength apertures

In 1997 experiments were reported⁸ claiming surprisingly high transmission of light through 2D-periodic subwavelength hole arrays drilled in a metallic sheet of silver. The enhancement was reported to be orders of magnitude larger as compared with the transmission of one single hole of same size predicted by standard aperture theory.⁹ The explanation of the experiments was mainly based on the existence of surface plasmons polaritons (SPPs) that are excited by the incident light field. The experiment has created a lot of attention, and the perception of surface plasmons has been widely supported by further investigation. However, in 2004 Lezec and Thio report on the experimental observation of both enhancement and suppression in the transmission spectra of hole arrays in metallic films.¹⁰ Their work suggests that a lateral interference phenomenon of an evanescent wave with the incident light is the driving force in shaping the transmission spectra. Especially, a suppression in the transmission spectrum is not be explained by the SPP model which predicts only enhancement with respect to the single-hole case. Enhanced transmission with a similar signature is also reported for hole arrays in tungsten which does not support SPPs in the investigated regime. The authors further report on a transmission enhancement factor not larger than 7, in contrast to the often-quoted enhancement factor of order 1000.^{10,11} The explanation of the transmission spectra with interference phenomena has been supported also by a study showing that the transmission peak positions are determined by the period of the hole array and are material independent.¹² Flammer et al. studied transmission through a single slit surrounded by linear grating structures.¹³ Also this work confirms the explanation through an interference phenomenon. However, in this case it has been found that the surface wave is an SPP, and not an evanescent wave. The theoretical model of this work shows that a SPP is launched along the metal surface, while interference of the SPP with the incident light along with resonant cavity effects give rise to suppression and enhancement in transmission. In another work it has even been shown that there are no holes necessary for enhanced transmission through thin metallic films provided the film is modulated.¹⁴

This story of different interpretations of experimental results points out that approximate models often trigger in-depth physical understanding of the ongoing physics. However, when different models lead to partly contradictive conclusions, a comparison with rigorous simulations has to be performed. These methods need to consider the full wavelength dependent material dispersion behaviour, must be able to reach a sufficient spatial resolution of the structures and should have a good convergence rate to get fast and accurate results.

2.2 Investigated Setup

The investigated setup is relatively simple: A plane wave under normal incidence illuminates a silver sheet which is perforated by a small circular hole, surrounded by assist features. The geometry is symmetric under rotation around the axis of the hole. Figure 1 schematically shows the geometrical setup,¹ the parameters are given in Table 1. For the relative electric permittivity of silver, $\varepsilon_{\rm r}$, we assume a Drude model, $\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 1 - \omega_{\rm p}^2/(\omega^2 + i\,\omega\,\omega_{\rm c})$, with plasma frequency $\omega_{\rm p}$ and collision frequency $\omega_{\rm c}$ as given in Table 1, and frequency $\omega = c_0 2\pi/\lambda_0$. The geometry and material parameters correspond to the parameters of Ref.⁷ (structure *CAG*), investigating the same experimental setup.¹





Figure 1. Schematics of the experimental setup: silver sheet (light blue) of thickness t is perforated by a cylindrical hole of diameter d, surrounded by four circular grooves of width w, depth h and distance p. The setup is rotationally symmetric around the y-axis. An illuminating plane wave is incident in y-direction.

In sets of successive simulations either numerical parameters are scanned in order to investigate the convergence of the obtained discrete solutions, or physical parameters like the wavelength or geometry parameters are scanned in order to obtain, e.g., the spectral response of the structure. The angular spectrum of transmitted light is investigated by evaluating Fourier transforms of the computed field at the boundaries of the computational domain. For an analysis of the field distributions, these can also be exported and visualized. Figure 2 shows an example where a simulated near field is visualized in different 2D sections through the 3D field distribution. The sections clearly resemble the cylindrical geometry of the structure.

	set 1	$\operatorname{set} 2$	set 3	set 4	set 5
Geometry parameters					
d	$330\mathrm{nm}$		$300\mathrm{nm}$	L	
t	$300\mathrm{nm}$				
h	$60\mathrm{nm}$				
p	$600\mathrm{nm}$		$550\mathrm{nm}$ - $650\mathrm{nm}$	$565\mathrm{nm}$	
w	p/2				
Incident spectrum					
λ	$670\mathrm{nm}$	400nm-1100nm	$500\mathrm{nm}$	$-800\mathrm{nm}$	$631.4\mathrm{nm}$
Material properties					
$\omega_{ m p}$	$1.374e16 \text{ s}^{-1}$				
$\omega_{ m c}$	$3.21 e13 s^{-1}$				

Table 1. Five different sets of used parameter settings, for geometry parameters compare Fig. 1. Please note that we investigate settings with two different values for the central hole diameter d because we compare to results from a numerical study⁷ using d = 330 nm and we compare to experimental results¹ where the setting d = 300 nm was reported.



Figure 2. Pseudocolor images of the magnitude of a simulated electric field, $\beta |\mathbf{E}|$, in five cross-sections parallel to the surface of the silver film, at different heights y. From left to right: y = -151 nm (1 nm below the surface on the entrance side), y = -91 nm (1 nm below the bottom of the grooves on the entrance side), y = 0 nm (at the center of the film), y = 91 nm (1 nm above the bottom of the grooves on the exit side), y = 151 nm (1 nm above the surface on the exit side). The pseudocolor images (red: high magnitude, blue: low magnitude) are scaled by a factor β relative to the amplitude of the incoming plane wave (from left to right: $\beta = 4\%, 8\%, 8\%, 20\%, 10\%$). The parameters of the simulation are given in Table 1 (set 5). [See original paper for better image resolution.]

2.3 Numerical Method

For solving the time-harmonic Maxwell's equations describing light scattering we use a dedicated finite-element method for nanooptics simulations: The finite-element package *JCMsuite* is a joint development of the Zuse Institute Berlin and JCMwave. This solver has been successfully applied to a wide range of electromagnetic field computations including metamaterials,¹⁵ photonic crystal fibers,¹⁶ nearfield-microscopy,¹⁷ and optical microlithography.¹⁸ The solver is also used for pattern reconstruction in optical metrology.¹⁹ The performance of our methods has been pointed out in several benchmarks.^{20, 21} The main ingredients for an accurate performance are adaptive methods, based on goal-oriented error estimators, higher-order vector elements, and fast direct and iterative numerical methods for solving matrix equations.²²

In this contribution we apply the solver for light scattering computations on rotationally symmetric geometries, included in the programme package *JCMsuite*. Assuming harmonic time-dependence of the electric field with frequency ω , $\boldsymbol{E}_{t} = \boldsymbol{E} \exp(-i\omega t)$, Maxwell's equations can be written as

$$\operatorname{curl} \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{E} - \omega^2 \varepsilon \boldsymbol{E} = 0 \quad , \tag{1a}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \epsilon \boldsymbol{E} = 0 \quad . \tag{1b}$$

The spatial distribution of the tensorial permittivity ε and permeability μ can be chosen (nearly) arbitrarily in the computational window $\Omega_{\text{int}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. The scattering problem is defined as follows: Given an incoming electric field $\boldsymbol{E}_{\text{inc}}$ compute the total electric field \boldsymbol{E} (satisfying (1) in \mathbb{R}^3) such that the scattered field $\boldsymbol{E}_{\text{sc}} = \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{inc}}$ defined on the exterior domain $\Omega_{\text{ext}} = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_{\text{int}}$ is purely outward radiating.

Using the transformation rules for differential forms with Jacobian matrix J of the transformation $(r, y, \phi) \mapsto (x, y, z)$ and $\operatorname{curl}_* = (\partial_r, \partial_y, \partial_{\varphi})^t \times$, $\boldsymbol{E}_* = \mathrm{J}^t \boldsymbol{E}$, $\varepsilon_* = |\mathrm{J}|\mathrm{J}^{-1}\varepsilon \mathrm{J}^{-t}$ and $\mu_* = |\mathrm{J}|\mathrm{J}^{-1}\mu \mathrm{J}^{-t}$, Maxwell's equations in cylinder coordinates (r, y, φ) read as

$$\operatorname{curl}_* \mu_*^{-1} \operatorname{curl}_* E_* - \omega^2 \varepsilon_* E_* = 0 \quad , \tag{2a}$$

$$\operatorname{div}_* \epsilon_* \boldsymbol{E}_* = \boldsymbol{0} \quad . \tag{2b}$$

We assume now that the material distribution is cylindrically symmetric, i.e., $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(r, y)$, $\mu_* = \mu_*(r, y)$, and we expand the transformed incoming field $\mathbf{E}_{inc,*} = J^t \mathbf{E}_{inc}$ in a Fourier series,

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{inc},*}(r,y,arphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=\infty} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{inc},n}(r,y) e^{inarphi}$$

With this, the 3D Maxwell's equations separate into 2D problems for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\operatorname{curl}_{*,n}\mu_*^{-1}\operatorname{curl}_{*,n}\boldsymbol{e}_n - \omega^2 \varepsilon_* \boldsymbol{e}_n = 0 \quad , \tag{3}$$

with $\operatorname{curl}_{*,n} = (\partial_r, \partial_y, in)^{\mathrm{t}} \times$. Equation (3) in its weak formulation is solved several times for increasing |n|. The computation is stopped automatically when the incoming modes $e_{\operatorname{inc},n}$ become sufficiently small.

3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

3.1 Convergence

We demonstrate sound convergence properties of our finite-element solver by performing several computations of the same physical setup with increasing numerical resolution. The physical parameters for this convergence study are given in Table 1 (parameter set 1), the wavelength of the incident plane wave corresponds to a transmission peak for this parameter setting.

The physical observable of interest in this case is the far field intensity transmitted through the aperture, T, detected at a specific detection angle. T is obtained from the FEM near field solution. The far field intensity T(N) computed from a numerical approximation with N degrees of freedom, E_N , in general differs from T computed from the exact solution. The relative error of T(N) is defined as $\Delta T(N) = |T(N) - T_{qe}|/|T_{qe}|$, where T_{qe} is the intensity computed from the quasi-exact solution, i.e., from a solution on a finer mesh than the meshes of the solutions corresponding to T(N). Indeed, it would be desirable to compare T(N) to an analytical solution. However, for problems where an analytical solution is not available, the quasi-exact solution is used as a makeshift. To validate the results we have further tested that results of our method converge towards results obtained from Mie-Theory (for scattering off a sphere), and we have further quantitatively reproduced published results on light transmission through circular holes obtained from a Fourier-Bessel modal method.⁴

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the relative error $\Delta T(N)$ on the number of degrees of freedom in the numerical approximation, N. The detection angle is in normal direction to the silver film in this case. Increasing the finite-element polynomial degree and refining the mesh, both leads to an increase in N. And an increase in N leads – when the convergent regime is reached – to a decrease of the relative error of the numerical approximation. For the displayed results we have used finite-elements of second polynomial order, and we have used up to ten successive adaptive mesh refinement steps. Figure 3 further displays the computational effort in terms of computation time on a standard workstation. The software has multi-processing capabilities, however, for a better comparability we have used a single core of a cpu only for these computations. The convergence plot shows that for achieving a relative error of, e.g., one percent a moderate number of unknowns of about $N = 10^5$ is needed, corresponding to computation times well below one minute on a standard personal computer (PC).



Figure 3. a) Convergence plot: Relative error of computed transmission through a nanoaperture in dependence on number of unknowns N of the FEM problem. b) Computational effort: Computation time in seconds (single-thread computation on a standard workstation) in dependence on N (corresponding to the computations displayed in a).

3.2 Enhanced transmission and beaming effect

In order to numerically investigate the experimental setting of Lezec *et al.* we have performed a wavelength scan and recorded far-field transmission into different spatial directions. The physical parameters in this case were as defined in Table 1 (parameter set 3). These parameters correspond to the published data by Lezec *et al.*¹ Figure 4 shows the simulated spectra. Clearly, enhanced transmission around a peak wavelength of $\lambda = 660$ nm is found for low observation angles. This is in very good agreement with the experimental findings.¹



Figure 4. a) Intensity spectrum of transmitted light for different detection angles. b) Angular intensity spectrum of transmitted light at maximum transmission wavelength ($\lambda = 660 \text{ nm}$).

For reproducing the beaming effect observed in the experiment we further compute the far-field pattern of transmitted light at wavelength of maximum transmission through the nanoaperture. Figure 4 b) shows the corresponding angular spectrum of diffracted light: Transmitted light emerges from the aperture as a beam with small angular divergence of approximately ± 3.5 degree (FWHM). Again these results agree very well with the experimental findings.

For comparison to results obtained with a FDTD method we also include an intensity spectrum for the geometrical values defined in Table 1 (parameter set 2). The geometry and material parameters correspond to



Figure 5. Intensity spectrum of transmitted light at a detection angle of 0 degree. Geometry as defined in parameter set 1 of Table 1. Transmission peak around a wavelength of $\lambda = 670$ nm.

the parameters of Ref.⁷ Figure 5 shows the computed spectrum. We note that this spectrum is significantly different from the spectrum computed by Baida *et al.* using FDTD (Fig. 2b in Ref.⁷). The spectrum of Ref.⁷ is also in discrepancy with the experimental results of Lezec *et al.* In Ref.⁷ the discrepancy between simulation results and experimental findings is attributed to either the difference between the dispersive properties of the real metal used in the experiment and the data used in the simulations, or to a possible difference between geometrical parameters used in the modeling and those of the real experiment. However, our results with the same geometry and material parameters, as shown in Figure 5, agree well with the experimental findings. A slight shift (≈ 10 nm) in transmission peak wavelength is explained by a difference in aperture diameter between numerical parameters (d = 330 nm) and experimental setting (d = 300 nm). This suggests that the discrepancy in Ref.⁷ is rather caused by insufficient convergence of the used numerical methods.



3.3 Dependence of enhanced transmission on geometrical parameters

Figure 6. Dependence of the transmission spectrum on the spacing p of the circular grooves surrounding the nanoaperture. Intensity spectra of transmitted light at a detection angle of 0 degree (a), resp. 4 degree (b). The groove spacing is varied between 550 nm (black, peak at $\lambda \approx 618.5$ nm) and 650 nm (red, peak at $\lambda \approx 700.5$ nm).

We have performed a set of numerical experiments where we simulate transmission spectra for different spacings p of the grating structure surrounding the aperture (cf. Fig. 1). All other parameters of the setup

remain fixed to set 4 of Table 1. As numerical parameters, second order finite-elements and two successive adaptive refinement steps of the coarse grids were chosen. According to Section 3.1 this setting corresponds to a relative error of the transmission values of about one percent. Figure 6 shows how the transmission maxima for detection angles 0 degree and 4 degree are shifted to the red side of the spectrum with increasing spacing p. In the investigated regime, the spectral position of the maxima depends nearly linearly on spacing p. The significant shift of the transmission peak demonstrates the strong influence of the structures surrounding the nanoaperture, emphasizing the importance of interference effects for enhanced transmission through subwavelength apertures.

A maximum of enhanced transmission is observed at a spacing of p = 565 nm and a wavelength of $\lambda = 631.4$ nm. Figure 2 shows the near field amplitude in different cross sections through the 3D field distribution for these parameters (parameter set 5 of Table 1). It is interesting to see how well the field distribution at the exit side of the structure resembles the field distribution on the input side, with a relative amplitude scaling of (only) about 2.5.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a finite-element method for accurate and fast, rigorous simulations of light propagation in cylindrically symmetric, metallic nano-structures. Simulation results of light transmission through structured nano-apertures show an excellent agreement with experimental results from the literature. The method has been used to investigate the strong dependency of transmission spectra on a geometrical parameter.

With the presented finite-element solver, a method is at hand which allows for more detailed studies of light transmission through subwavelength apertures, and for an efficient and reliable design of metallic nanostructures for specific applications, e.g., in sensing or for near field microscopy.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. J. Lezec et al., "Beaming light from a subwavelength aperture," Science 297, 820 (2002).
- [2] L. Martin-Moreno et al., "Theory of highly directional emission from a single subwavelength aperture surrounded by surface corrugations," Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 167401 (2003).
- [3] F.J. Garcia-Vidal et al., "Focusing light with a single subwavelength aperture flanked by surface corrugations," Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 4500 (2003).
- [4] E. Popov et al., "Surface plasmon excitation on a single subwavelength hole in a metallic sheet," Appl. Opt. 44, 2332 (2005).
- [5] E. Popov et al., "Optimization of plasmon excitation at structured apertures," Appl. Opt. 44, 6141 (2005).
- [6] E. Popov et al., "Enhanced transmission of light through a circularly structured aperture," Appl. Opt. 44, 6896 (2005).
- [7] F. I. Baida *et al.*, "Enhanced confined light transmission by single subwavelength apertures in metallic films," *Appl. Opt.* 42, 6811 (2003).
- [8] T. W. Ebbesen *et al.*, "Extraordinary optical transmission through sub-wavelength hole arrays," *Nature* 391, 667 (1998).
- [9] H. A. Bethe, "Theory of diffraction by small holes," Phys. Rev. 66, 163 (1944).
- [10] H. J. Lezec and T. Thio, "Diffracted evanescent wave model for enhanced and suppressed optical transmission through subwavelength hole arrays," Opt. Expr. 12, 3629 (2004).
- [11] One can account for the large discrepancy in reported transmission enhancement factors as follows:¹² The transmission enhancement factor is the maximum per-hole transmission measured for a hole array compared to transmission through a single hole. Bethe showed in a theoretical study that the transmission of a single sub-wavelength hole drilled in a ideally conducting metal screen with infinitesimal thickness behaves as $(d/\lambda)^{4,9}$ Bethe's approximations of holes much smaller than the wavelength, of infinitesimal thickness, and of the perfect metal do not hold true in the experiments.^{8,10} Therefore, comparing the measured value of transmission enhancement to the theoretical Bethe-Bouwkamp prediction for the transmission coefficient of a small hole in a perfect metal film yields a very large transmission enhancement factors.

- [12] N. Garcia and M. Bai, "Theory of transmission of light by subwavelength cylindrical holes in metallic films," Opt. Expr. 14, 10028 (2006).
- [13] P. D. Flammer *et al.*, "Interference and resonant cavity effects explain enhanced transmission through subwavelength apertures in thin metal films," *Opt. Expr.* **15**, 7984 (2007).
- [14] N. Bonod *et al.*, "Resonant optical transmission through thin metallic films with and without holes," *Opt. Expr.* 11, 482 (2003).
- [15] C. Enkrich *et al.*, "Magnetic metamaterials at telecommunication and visible frequencies," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 203901 (2005).
- [16] F. Couny *et al.*, "Generation and photonic guidance of multi-octave optical-frequency combs," *Science* **318**, 1118 (2007).
- [17] T. Kalkbrenner et al., "Optical microscopy using the spectral modifications of a nano-antenna," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 200801 (2005).
- [18] S. Burger et al., "3D simulations of electromagnetic fields in nanostructures," Proc. SPIE 6617, 6617OV (2007).
- [19] F. Scholze *et al.*, "The influence of line edge roughness and CD uniformity on EUV scatterometry for CD characterization of EUV masks," *Proc. SPIE* 6617, 66171A (2007).
- [20] S. Burger et al., "Benchmark of FEM, waveguide and FDTD algorithms for rigorous mask simulation," Proc. SPIE 5992, 378 (2005).
- [21] S. Burger et al., "Benchmark of rigorous methods for electromagnetic field simulation," Proc. SPIE 7122, 71221S (2008).
- [22] J. Pomplun *et al.*, "Adaptive finite element method for simulation of optical nano structures," *phys. stat.* sol. (b) **244**, 3419 (2007).