

# Boundary Lax pairs from non-ultra local Poisson algebras

Jean Avan<sup>1a</sup> and Anastasia Doikou<sup>2b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LPTM, Universite de Cergy-Pontoise (CNRS UMR 8089), Saint-Martin 2  
2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin, F-95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex, France

<sup>b</sup> University of Patras, Department of Engineering Sciences,  
GR-26500, Patras, Greece

## Abstract

We consider non-ultra local linear Poisson algebras on a continuous line . Suitable combinations of representations of these algebras yield representations of novel generalized linear Poisson algebras or “boundary” extensions. They are parametrized by a “boundary” scalar matrix and depend in addition on the choice of an anti-automorphism. The new algebras are the classical-linear counterparts of known quadratic quantum boundary algebras. For any choice of parameters the non-ultra local contribution of the original Poisson algebra disappears. We also systematically construct the associated classical Lax pair. The corresponding discrete linear algebra is constructed, and the classical boundary discrete and continuum PCM models are examined as physical examples.

---

<sup>1</sup>avan@ptm.u-cergy.fr

<sup>2</sup>adoikou@upatras.gr

# 1 Introduction

We have recently derived a general algebraic construction for the Lax representation of a classical integrable system when the Lax matrix obeyed a Poisson bracket algebra identified with several so-called boundary algebras [1]. The systematic formulation of the boundary algebra and Lax pair, given an original classical  $r$ -matrix structure, gives to our derivation a universal nature which we expect makes it very useful to define and study classical integrable systems with boundaries (see examples in [10])

We had previously examined the situation where the Poisson structure for the classical Lax operator was expressed in terms of a single non-dynamical parametric skew-symmetric classical  $r$ -matrix together with a set of  $c$ -number non-dynamical parameters encapsulated in a single  $k$  matrix obeying a purely algebraic quadratic equation expressed in term of the  $r$  matrix. This formulation was in fact a classical limit of the quantum reflection algebra of Cherednik and Sklyanin [2] symbolically written  $R_{12}K_1R'_{12}K_2 = K_2R'_{12}K_1R_{12}$  where e.g.  $R'_{12} = R_{21}$

The most general reflection equation, derived by Freidel and Maillet [3], is a 4-matrix quadratic structure  $A_{12}K_1B'_{12}K_2 = K_2C'_{12}K_1D_{12}$ , together with some relation between  $A, B, C, D$  guaranteeing the existence of an invertible scalar  $k$  matrix solution, the simplest one being  $AB = CD$ ; additional unitarity conditions require for instance  $B_{12} = C_{21}$ . It has a classical limit involving a non-skew symmetric  $r$  matrix  $r = a + b$  (lower case letters denote respective classical limits of quantum matrices  $ABCD$ ). Such classical limits allow [3] for construction in particular of non-ultralocal linear Poisson algebra structures.

We shall present here the generalization of [1] to such a situation where in addition the partner matrix  $b$  in the “pair” of  $r$  matrices is a symmetric matrix denoted by  $s$ . (The most general situation where  $b$  has no particular property will be left for further studies).

We shall first establish the general continuum and discrete version of the Poisson bracket structure for the local relevant combinations of Lax matrix and boundary matrix (with suitable constraints) relevant in this context. It will be noted that the  $s$  contribution vanishes from the boundary algebra, at least such as is defined here.

We shall then consider the example of an integrated boundary algebra constructed from the  $r, s$  pair of the classical Principal Chiral Model [3].

The purpose of examining this particular example is two-fold: on the one hand there exists an underlying ‘discrete’ current algebra arising from (2.21), associated to this model. On the other hand the boundary non-local charges emerging from this model will be shown to be in exact correspondence with the quantum boundary non-local charges derived in earlier works (see e.g [4]), for various types of boundary conditions. In addition these models may

be thought of as the discrete analogues of the known classical sigma models, which seem to play an important role within the AdS/CFT context (see e.g. [5]) especially from the string theory point of view, whereas their discrete counterparts should be of great significance from the gauge theory point of view.

In order to obtain the integrated version in this example we first construct the local Poisson structure for the discrete Lax matrix constructed from the original non ultralocal representation. An integrated version of both discrete and continuous cases is then proposed, relying on the construction of a suitable monodromy matrix (in the discrete case) and its continuous limit, obeying now quadratic Poisson algebras. The generating matrix is obtained by computing a monodromy matrix for the non ultralocal Lax matrix, then twisting it by an appropriate automorphism. The reverse order of procedure will not be considered here. Again the  $s$  contribution vanishes after integration, in a way recalling the computation of the equal-interval Poisson structure for the monodromy matrix in the complex sine Gordon case [6]. Both discrete and continuous non-local classical charges are then constructed following our general scheme; they are in exact agreement with the previously computed quantum non local charges [4], thereby validating the whole approach for our classical reflection algebras in this generalized, non skew-symmetric non ultralocal framework.

## 2 Linear reflection Poisson algebras from $r, s$ pairs

Let us consider the  $L$  matrix [7] satisfying the fundamental non-ultra linear local Poisson algebra [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ L(\lambda, x), \otimes L(\mu, y) \right\} &= \delta(x - y) \left( \left[ (r - s)(\lambda, \mu), L(\lambda, x) \otimes \mathbb{I} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ (r + s)(\lambda, \mu), \mathbb{I} \otimes L(\mu, x) \right] \right) - 2s(\lambda, \mu) \delta'(x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the associativity condition for the Poisson bracket yield sufficient conditions for the pair  $r, s$  expressed as the general classical Yang-Baxter equation [8, 9]:

$$\begin{aligned} &[(r + s)_{13}(\lambda, \eta), (r - s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu)] + [(r + s)_{23}(\mu, \eta), (r + s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu)] \\ &+ [(r + s)_{23}(\lambda, \mu), (r + s)_{13}(\lambda, \eta)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here  $r$  is skew-symmetric:  $r_{12} = -r_{21}$ ;  $s$  is symmetric:  $s_{12} = s_{21}$ . This choice of decomposition of a non-skew-symmetric  $r$ -matrix  $r + s$  is quite general although not universal: there exists for instance situations where the classical  $r$  matrix is more relevantly decomposed into a skew-symmetric part and a semi-diagonal part (see e.g. the  $r$  matrix structure of the scalar Calogero-Moser Lax matrix) but we shall not consider this situation here.

Inspired essentially by the already constructed boundary type algebras [1] we define the following object. Let  $\sigma$  be any anti-automorphism of the Lie algebra (2.1), then define:

$$\mathbb{T}(\lambda, x) = L(\lambda, x) k(\lambda) + k(\lambda) L^\sigma(\lambda, x). \quad (2.3)$$

We show that the Poisson brackets of  $\mathbb{T}$  are expressed in certain algebraic forms subject to sets of consistency conditions on  $k$ . There are in particular two specific anti-automorphisms with an interesting physical interpretation, which have been extensively discussed in the context of integrable boundary conditions: they describe the so-called soliton-preserving (SP) boundary conditions associated to the reflection algebra and the soliton-non preserving (SNP) associated to the twisted Yangian (for a detailed discussion and relevant references see e.g. [1, 10]). For historical reasons we shall keep the terminology ‘reflection algebras’ and ‘twisted Yangians’ for the linear algebras associated to these two physical anti-automorphisms defined below:

$$\begin{aligned} L^\sigma(\lambda) &= -L(-\lambda) && \text{Reflection algebra,} \\ L^\sigma(\lambda) &= L^t(-\lambda) && \text{Twisted Yangian.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We now derive the Poisson bracket:  $\{\mathbb{T}(\lambda), \otimes \mathbb{T}(\mu)\}$ . To achieve this we shall need in addition to (2.1) the following exchange relations, which follow from (2.1) under the action of the anti-morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ L^\sigma(\lambda, x), \otimes L(\mu, y) \right\} &= \delta(x-y) \left( - \left[ (r-s)^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu), L^\sigma(\lambda, x) \otimes \mathbb{I} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ (r+s)^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu), \mathbb{I} \otimes L(\mu, x) \right] \right) - 2\delta'(x-y) s^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) \\ \left\{ L(\lambda, x), \otimes L^\sigma(\mu, y) \right\} &= \delta(x-y) \left( \left[ (r-s)^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu), L(\lambda, x) \otimes \mathbb{I} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[ (r+s)^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu), \mathbb{I} \otimes L^\sigma(\mu, x) \right] \right) - 2\delta'(x-y) s^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) \\ \left\{ L^\sigma(\lambda, x), \otimes L^\sigma(\mu, y) \right\} &= \delta(x-y) \left( - \left[ (r-s)^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu), L^\sigma(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{I} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[ (r+s)^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu), \mathbb{I} \otimes L^\sigma(\mu, x) \right] \right) - 2\delta'(x-y) s^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By explicit use of the algebraic relations (2.1) and (2.5) we obtain (recall the notation  $A_1 = A \otimes \mathbb{I}$ ,  $A_2 = \mathbb{I} \otimes A$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathbb{T}_1(\lambda, x), \mathbb{T}_2(\mu, y) \right\} &= \left\{ L_1(\lambda, x) k_1(\lambda) + \hat{L}_1(\lambda, x) k_1(\lambda), L_2(\mu, y) k_2(\mu) + k_2(\mu) \hat{L}_2(\mu, y) \right\} = \dots \\ &= \delta(x-y) \left( \left( (r-s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) + k_2(\mu) (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) \right) L_1(\lambda, x) k_1(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( -k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) - k_1(\lambda) (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) k_1^{-1}(\lambda) \right) k_1(\lambda) L_1^\sigma(\lambda, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - L_1(\lambda, x) k_1(\lambda) \left( k_1^{-1}(\lambda) (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) + k_1^{-1}(\lambda) k_2(\mu) (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - k_1(\lambda) L_1^\sigma(\lambda, x) \left( -k_2(\mu)(r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) - (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) \right) \\
& + \left( (r+s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) + k_1(\lambda)(r+s)^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) \right) L_2(\mu, y) k_2(\mu) \\
& + \left( -k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu)(r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) - k_2(\mu)(r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) k_2^{-1}(\mu) \right) k_2(\mu) L^\sigma(\mu, y) \\
& - L_2(\mu, y) k_2(\mu) \left( k_2^{-1}(\lambda)(r+s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) + k_2^{-1}(\mu) k_1(\lambda)(r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) \right) \\
& - k_2(\mu) L_2^\sigma(\mu, y) \left( -k_1(\lambda)(r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) - (r+s)_{12}^{t_2}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) \right) \\
& - 2\delta'(x-y) \left( s_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) + k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) s_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + k_1(\lambda) s_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) + k_2(\mu) s_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

By imposing the following set of constraints on  $k$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) + k_1(\lambda) k_2(\mu) A_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) \\
& + k_1(\lambda) A_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) + k_2(\mu) A_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) = 0, \\
& \text{where } A_{12}(\lambda, \mu) \equiv r(\lambda, \mu), \quad s(\lambda, \mu)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

we end up with the generalized linear algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \mathbb{T}_1(\lambda, x), \mathbb{T}_2(\mu, y) \right\} = \\
& \left( r_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_1(\lambda, x) - \mathbb{T}_1(\lambda, x) \tilde{r}_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) + r_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_2(\mu, y) - \mathbb{T}_2(\mu, y) \tilde{r}_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) \right) \delta(x-y)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where we define<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) &= (r-s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) + k_2(\mu)(r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu), \\
\tilde{r}_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) &= -k_2(\mu)(r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) - (r-s)_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) k_2(\mu) \\
r_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) &= (r+s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda) + k_1(\lambda)(r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_1}(\lambda, \mu) \\
\tilde{r}_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) &= -k_1(\lambda)(r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) - (r+s)_{12}^{\sigma_2}(\lambda, \mu) k_1(\lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

A significant consequence of the algebraic construction above is that the non-ultra locality of the original linear algebra (2.1) is lost no matter what matrix  $k$  we choose, as long as it satisfies the constraint (2.7)!

---

<sup>3</sup>Note that constraints (2.7) may be equivalently expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& k_1^{-1}(\lambda) r_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) - \tilde{r}_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) k_1^{-1}(\lambda) = 0 \\
& k_2^{-1}(\mu) r_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) - \tilde{r}_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) k_2^{-1}(\mu) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Define now  $\mathbb{L}(\lambda, x) = k^{-1}(\lambda) \mathbb{T}(\lambda, x)$ , we prove:

$$\left\{ tr_a \mathbb{L}_a^N(\lambda, x), tr_b \mathbb{L}_b^M(\mu, y) \right\} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Indeed from:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ tr_a \mathbb{L}_a^N(\lambda, x), tr_b \mathbb{L}_b^M(\mu, y) \right\} = \\ & \sum_{n,m} tr_{ab} \mathbb{L}_a^{N-n}(\lambda, x) \mathbb{L}_b^{M-m}(\mu, y) \left\{ \mathbb{L}_a(\lambda, x), \mathbb{L}_b(\mu, y) \right\} \mathbb{L}_a^{n-1}(\lambda, x) \mathbb{L}_b^{m-1}(\mu, y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

employing (2.8) the preceding expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \dots \propto \\ & tr_{ab} \left\{ \mathbb{L}_a^{N-1}(\lambda, x) \mathbb{L}_b^{M-1}(\mu, y) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) k_b^{-1}(\mu) \right. \\ & \left. \left( r_{ab}^-(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_a(\lambda, x) - \mathbb{T}_a(\lambda, x) \tilde{r}_{ab}^-(\lambda, \mu) + r_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_b(\mu, y) - \mathbb{T}_b(\mu, y) \tilde{r}_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \right) \right\} \delta(x - y) \\ & = \dots = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Note that in order to show that the latter expression is zero we moved appropriately the factors in the products within the trace and we used (2.8).

We finally identify the Lax formulation associated (see also [1, 11, 12]) to the generalized algebra (2.7)–(2.10). Defining Hamiltonians as:  $tr_a \mathbb{L}^n(\lambda) = \sum_i \frac{\mathcal{H}_n^{(i)}}{\lambda^i}$  the classical equations of motion for  $\mathbb{L}$ :

$$\dot{\mathbb{L}}(\mu, y) = \left\{ \mathcal{H}_n^{(i)}, \mathbb{L}(\mu, y) \right\} \quad (2.14)$$

take a zero curvature form

$$\dot{\mathbb{L}}(\mu, y) = \left[ \mathbb{A}(\lambda, \mu, x), \mathbb{L}(\mu, y) \right], \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\mathbb{A}_n$  is identified as:

$$\mathbb{A}_n(\lambda, \mu, x) = n tr_a \left( \mathbb{L}_a^{n-1}(\lambda, x) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) \tilde{r}_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \right). \quad (2.16)$$

The proof runs as follows.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ tr_a \mathbb{L}_a^n(\lambda, x), \mathbb{L}_b(\mu, y) \right\} = \dots = \\ & n tr_a \left( \mathbb{L}_a^{n-1}(\lambda, x) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) k_b^{-1}(\mu) (r_{ab}^-(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_a(\lambda, x) - \mathbb{T}_a(\lambda, x) \tilde{r}_{ab}^-(\lambda, \mu) \right. \\ & \left. + r_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_b(\mu, y) - \mathbb{T}_b(\mu, y) \tilde{r}_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu)) \right) \delta(x - y) \\ & = n tr_a \left( \mathbb{L}_a^{n-1}(\lambda, x) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) k_b(\mu) (r_{ab}^-(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_a(\lambda, x) - \mathbb{T}_a(\lambda, x) \tilde{r}_{ab}^-(\lambda, \mu)) \right) \delta(x - y) \\ & + n tr_a \left( \mathbb{L}_a^{n-1}(\lambda, x) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) k_b(\mu) (r_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_b(\mu, y) - \mathbb{T}_b(\mu, y) \tilde{r}_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu)) \right) \delta(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Taking into account (2.8) we see that the first term of RHS of the equality above disappears and the last term may be appropriately rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ tr_a \mathbb{L}_a^n(\lambda, x), \mathbb{L}_b(\mu, y) \right\} &= \left( n tr_a \left( \mathbb{L}^{n-1}(\lambda, x) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) \tilde{r}_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \right) \mathbb{L}_b(\mu, y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - n \mathbb{L}_b(\mu, x) tr_a \left( \mathbb{L}^{n-1}(\lambda, x) k_a^{-1}(\lambda) \tilde{r}_{ab}^+(\lambda, \mu) \right) \right) \delta(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From the latter formula (2.16) is finally deduced.

It is now easy to introduce the discrete analogue of this algebra. Consider the following discrete non-ultra local Poisson algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ L_{1n}(\lambda), L_{2m}(\mu) \right\} &= \delta_{nm} \left( \left[ (r - s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu), L_{1n}(\lambda) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ (r + s)_{12}(\lambda, \mu), L_{2m}(\mu) \right] \right) - s_{12}(\lambda, \mu) (\delta_{n+1m} - \delta_{nm+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

One shows along the same lines as in the previous section that a generalized ‘boundary’ type algebra is also deduced:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathbb{T}_{1n}(\lambda), \mathbb{T}_{2m}(\mu) \right\} &= \\ & \left( r_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_{1n}(\lambda) - \mathbb{T}_{1n}(\lambda) \tilde{r}_{12}^-(\lambda, \mu) + r_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) \mathbb{T}_{2m}(\mu) - \mathbb{T}_{2m}(\mu) \tilde{r}_{12}^+(\lambda, \mu) \right) \delta_{nm} \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}$  is defined as

$$\mathbb{T}_{an}(\lambda) = L_{an}(\lambda) k(\lambda) + k(\lambda) L_{an}^\sigma(\lambda). \quad (2.21)$$

Notice that as in the continuum case when considering the ‘boundary’ algebra (2.19) we lose the non-ultra local contribution, due to the constraints (2.7).

### 3 Application: the Principal Chiral model

Rather than considering the general setting for derivation of the integrated version of our algebraic structure by construction of a suitable monodromy matrix we shall exemplify it on the physically relevant case of the Principal Chiral Model algebra.

#### 3.1 The local discrete Poisson structure

The  $r, s$  matrices are chosen to be (see e.g. [3, 13]):

$$\begin{aligned} r(\lambda, \mu) &= \frac{1}{2(\lambda - \mu)} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2 - 1} + \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - 1} \right) \Pi \\ s(\lambda, \mu) &= -\frac{(\lambda + \mu)}{2(\lambda^2 - 1)(\mu^2 - 1)} \Pi \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and are solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter type equation.

The particular Lax operator (see e.g. [14, 3] and references therein for the continuum model) here takes the form:

$$L_n(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda j_{0,n} + j_{1,n}}{\lambda^2 - 1} \quad (3.2)$$

It satisfies (2.1). Consider the generators of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfying

$$[t_a, t_b] = C_{abc} t_c \quad (3.3)$$

where  $C_{abc}$  is a fully antisymmetric tensor. Then the local currents  $j_\mu$ ,  $\mu \in \{0, 1\}$  (local indices omitted) may be expressed as:

$$j_\mu = \sum_a j_\mu^a t_a. \quad (3.4)$$

Define also

$$\Pi = \sum_a t_a \otimes t_a \quad (3.5)$$

which satisfies

$$[\Pi, t_c \otimes \mathbb{I}] = -[\Pi, \mathbb{I} \otimes t_c] = C_{bca} t_a \otimes t_b. \quad (3.6)$$

In the defining representation of  $gl_n$  for instance it is easy to show that  $\Pi \propto \mathcal{P} + c$ , recalling that  $\mathcal{P}$  is the permutation operator and  $c$ -depends on the rank of the algebra.

The currents  $j_i$  indeed obey:

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_{0,n}, \otimes j_{0,m}\} &= \delta_{nm} \left[ (r-s)(\lambda, \mu), j_{0,n} \otimes \mathbb{I} \right] \\ \{j_{0,n}, \otimes j_{1,m}\} &= \delta_{nm} \left[ (r-s)(\lambda, \mu), j_{1,m} \otimes \mathbb{I} \right] + \Pi(\delta_{n+1m} - \delta_{nm+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We shall focus henceforth on the two physical anti-morphisms (2.4). Then the ‘boundary’ currents are defined from  $\mathbb{T}$  (2.3) in a straightforward manner as –note that here we consider for simplicity constant ( $\lambda$ -independent)  $k$  matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} j_{0,n}^{(b)} &= j_{0,n} k + k j_{0,n}, & j_{1,n}^{(b)} &= j_{1,n} k - k j_{1,n} & \text{Reflection algebra} \\ \tilde{j}_{0,n}^{(b)} &= \tilde{j}_{0,n} \tilde{k} - \tilde{k} \tilde{j}_{0,n}^t, & \tilde{j}_{1,n}^{(b)} &= \tilde{j}_{1,n} \tilde{k} + \tilde{k} \tilde{j}_{1,n}^{t_1} & \text{Twisted Yangian} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and they satisfy the following algebraic relations.

*Reflection algebra:*

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_{0,n}^{(b)}, \otimes j_{\alpha,m}^{(b)}\} &= [r, \mathbb{I} \otimes j_{\alpha,n}^{(b)}] \delta_{nm}, & \alpha &\in \{0, 1\}, \\ \{j_{1,n}^{(b)}, \otimes j_{1,m}^{(b)}\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$



$$r = \Pi \tilde{k}_1 + \tilde{k}_1 \Pi. \quad (3.10)$$

*Twisted Yangian:*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{j}_{0,n}^{(b)}, \otimes \tilde{j}_{\alpha,m}^{(b)}\} &= [\tilde{r}, \mathbb{I} \otimes \tilde{j}_{\alpha,n}^{(b)}] \delta_{nm}, & \alpha \in \{0, 1\}, \\ \{\tilde{j}_{1,n}^{(b)}, \otimes \tilde{j}_{1,m}^{(b)}\} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we define

$$\tilde{r} = \Pi \tilde{k}_1 - \tilde{k}_1 \Pi^{t_1}. \quad (3.12)$$

The exchange relations (3.9), (3.11) are valid provided that the  $k$  and  $\tilde{k}$  matrices satisfy the following constraints obtained from (2.7)

$$k^2 \propto \mathbb{I}, \quad \tilde{k}_1 \Pi^{t_1} \tilde{k}_2 = \tilde{k}_2 \Pi^{t_1} \tilde{k}_1. \quad (3.13)$$

Let us consider the integrals of motion emerging from  $\text{tr} \mathbb{L}^2$

$$\mathbb{L}_n(\lambda) = k^{-1} L_n(\lambda) k - L_n(-\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} \left( \lambda j_{0,n}^{(b)} + j_{1,n}^{(b)} \right) \quad (3.14)$$

then

$$\text{tr} \mathbb{L}_n^2(\lambda) = \sum_k \frac{\mathbb{I}^{(k)}}{\lambda^{k+1}} \quad (3.15)$$

and the explicit form of the associated integrals of motion are:

$$\mathbb{I}^{(1)} = \text{tr} \left( (j_{0,n}^{(b)})^2 \right), \quad \mathbb{I}^{(2)} = 2 \text{tr} \left( j_{0,n}^{(b)} j_{1,n}^{(b)} \right), \quad \mathbb{I}_3 = \text{tr} \left( (j_{0,n}^{(b)})^2 + (j_{1,n}^{(b)})^2 \right), \quad \dots \quad (3.16)$$

The associated  $A$  operators of the Lax pairs are then given by (2.16):

$$\mathbb{A}_2(\lambda, \mu) = 2 \text{tr}_a \{ \mathbb{L}_{an}(\lambda) k_a^{-1} \tilde{r}_{ab}(\lambda, \mu) \}. \quad (3.17)$$

### 3.2 The monodromy matrix and the boundary discrete and continuum PCM models

Having constructed the *local* boundary current algebra naturally associated to the discrete PCM model, we are now in position to construct and study explicit models with open integrable boundary conditions by deriving an “integrated” monodromy matrix which will now be ruled by a quadratic boundary algebra. We shall identify some of the boundary non-local charges, which belong to the quadratic algebra, by appropriately expanding the associated generating function.

Note that in this approach we shall construct the final monodromy matrix in two distinct steps: *first* integrate over the original Lax matrix  $L$  obeying the non-ultralocal Poisson structure (2.1); *then* twisting the resulting monodromy matrix. Reversing these operations should give a qualitatively different algebraic structure and associated conserved quantities, and shall be discussed in a later work.

We first remain in the discrete framework and introduce the natural object:

$$T(\lambda) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N L_n(\lambda) \right\}. \quad (3.18)$$

One can show in this particular case, taking into account the form of the  $r$ ,  $s$  matrices that  $T$  satisfies (see also [14]):

$$\left\{ T_1(\lambda), T_2(\mu) \right\} = \left[ r_{12}(\lambda, \mu), T_1(\lambda)T_2(\mu) \right], \quad (3.19)$$

where  $r$  is the matrix defined in (3.1). This result can be compared to the one in [6] where the Poisson structure of the equal-point limit of the monodromy matrix for the (continuous) complex sine Gordon model was shown to take the form (3.19) even though the local Poisson brackets were of non-ultralocal form.

Henceforth, we shall consider two ‘physical’ anti-automorphisms that correspond to two distinct sets of boundary conditions (SP and SNP) associated with the reflection algebra and twisted Yangian respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} T^\sigma(\lambda) &= T^{-1}(-\lambda) \quad \text{Reflection algebra,} \\ T^\sigma(\lambda) &= T^t(-\lambda) \quad \text{Twisted Yangian.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

We define below the classical versions of the reflection algebra and the twisted Yangian (see e.g. [3, 2]) associated to these two types of boundary conditions:

### Reflection algebra (SP)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_1(\lambda_1), \mathcal{T}_2(\lambda_2) \right\} &= r_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \mathcal{T}_1(\lambda_1) \mathcal{T}_2(\lambda_2) - \mathcal{T}_1(\lambda_1) \mathcal{T}_2(\lambda_2) r_{21}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_1(\lambda_1) r_{21}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{T}_2(\lambda_2) - \mathcal{T}_2(\lambda_2) r_{12}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{T}_1(\lambda_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

### Twisted Yangian (SNP)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_1(\lambda_1), \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2(\lambda_2) \right\} &= r_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_1(\lambda_1) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2(\lambda_2) - \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_1(\lambda_1) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2(\lambda_2) r_{21}^{t_1 t_2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \\ &+ \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_1(\lambda_1) r_{12}^{t_1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2(\lambda_2) - \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2(\lambda_2) r_{21}^{t_2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_1(\lambda_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Representations of the algebras above are given as is well known by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}(\lambda) &= T(\lambda) K(\lambda) T^{-1}(-\lambda), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda) &= T(\lambda) \tilde{K}(\lambda) T^t(-\lambda),\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

$K, \tilde{K}$  are  $c$ -number solutions of the reflection algebra and twisted Yangian respectively

Connection of this construction with the local boundary procedure in (2.20), (2.21) is established by the following remark: Consider equation (3.23), then take the linear limit, that is

$$T(\lambda) \rightarrow 1 + \eta \sum_n L_n(\lambda), \quad T^\sigma(\lambda) \rightarrow 1 + \eta \sum_n L_n^\sigma(\lambda), \quad K(\lambda) \rightarrow k \tag{3.24}$$

where  $\sigma$  defined in (2.4) and (3.20) for  $L$  and  $T$  respectively. Then via (3.23)

$$\mathcal{T}(\lambda) \rightarrow k + \eta \sum_n \mathbb{T}_n(\lambda) \tag{3.25}$$

and (2.8) is the linear limit of (3.21), (3.22). Notice that we introduce the parameter  $\eta$  to show that we only keep first order linear terms (see (3.18)).

Expansion of the representations in powers of  $\lambda^{-1}$  will provide the discrete analogues of the non-local charges of the PCM. First the expansion of  $T(\lambda) = \sum_i \frac{\mathcal{T}^{(k)}}{\lambda^{k+1}}$  where the first terms are given as

$$T^{(0)} = \sum_{l=1}^N j_{0,l}, \quad T^{(1)} = \sum_{l=1}^N j_{0,l} + \sum_{l>i} j_{0,l} j_{0,i}. \tag{3.26}$$

The asymptotic expansions of the  $c$ -number matrices are also given by

$$K(|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty) \sim k + \frac{1}{\lambda} f + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}), \quad \tilde{K}(|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty) \sim \tilde{k} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{f} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}). \tag{3.27}$$

Then it is quite straightforward to see that the first terms of the expansions

$$\mathcal{T}(\lambda) = \sum_k \frac{\mathcal{T}^{(k)}}{\lambda^{k+1}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\lambda) = \sum_k \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}}{\lambda^{k+1}} \tag{3.28}$$

which are the so-called boundary non-local charges, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^{(0)} &= \sum_{l=1}^N \left( j_{0,l} k + k j_{0,l} \right), \\ \mathcal{T}^{(1)} &= \sum_{l=1}^N \left( j_{1,l} k - k j_{1,l} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^N \left( j_{0,l} f + f j_{0,l} \right) + \sum_{l,i} j_{0,l} k j_{0,i} + \sum_{l>i} j_{0,l} j_{0,i} k + \sum_{l<i} k j_{0,l} j_{0,i},\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)} &= \sum_{l=1}^N \left( j_{0,l} \tilde{k} - \tilde{k} j_{0,l}^t \right), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(1)} &= \sum_{l=1}^N \left( j_{1,l} \tilde{k} + \tilde{k} j_{1,l}^t \right) + \sum_{l=1}^N \left( j_{0,l} \tilde{f} - \tilde{f} j_{0,l}^t \right) - \sum_{l,i} j_{0,l} \tilde{k} j_{0,i}^t + \sum_{l>i} j_{0,l} j_{0,i} \tilde{k} + \sum_{l<i} \tilde{k} j_{0,l}^t j_{0,i}^t.\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

For relevant results on classical continuum expressions –although only associated to the reflection algebra– see also [15], and for quantum analogues –for both reflection equation and twisted Yangian– see [4]. The results found are in exact correspondence with the quantum discrete expressions derived in [4].

The continuum limit is now obtained after considering the following map:

$$j_n \hookrightarrow j(x), \quad L_n(\lambda) \hookrightarrow L(x, \lambda), \quad T(\lambda) \hookrightarrow T(0, -L, \lambda)\tag{3.31}$$

where we now define

$$T(0, -L, \lambda) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ \int_{-L}^0 dx \, L(x, \lambda) \right\}\tag{3.32}$$

and it satisfies the quadratic algebra (3.19) (see also [16] and references therein). Evidently the discrete linear algebra (2.19) now is substituted by the continuum current algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}\{j_0(x), \otimes j_0(y)\} &= [\Pi, \mathbb{I} \otimes j_0(x)] \delta(x-y) \\ \{j_0(x), \otimes j_1(y)\} &= [\Pi, \mathbb{I} \otimes j_1(x)] \delta(x-y) + \Pi \delta'(x-y) \\ \{j_1(x), \otimes j_1(y)\} &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

whereas the ‘boundary’ current algebras are then given by:

*Reflection algebra:*

$$\begin{aligned}\{j_0^{(b)}(x), \otimes j_\mu^{(b)}(y)\} &= [\mathbf{r}, \mathbb{I} \otimes j_\mu^{(b)}(x)] \delta(x-y), \quad \mu \in \{0, 1\}, \\ \{j_1^{(b)}(x), \otimes j_1^{(b)}(y)\} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

*Twisted Yangian:*

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tilde{j}_0^{(b)}(x), \otimes \tilde{j}_\mu^{(b)}(y)\} &= [\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, \mathbb{I} \otimes \tilde{j}_\mu^{(b)}(x)] \delta(x-y), \quad \mu \in \{0, 1\}, \\ \{\tilde{j}_1^{(b)}(x), \otimes \tilde{j}_1^{(b)}(y)\} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

The representations of the reflection algebra and twisted Yangian are still given by (3.23), but taking also into account the relations above (3.31). Then the corresponding continuum analogues of the boundary non-local charges (3.29), (3.30) are given by, for the reflection algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}^{(0)}(0, -L) &= \int_{-L}^0 dx \left( j_0(x)k + kj_0(x) \right) \\
\mathcal{T}^{(1)}(0, -L) &= \int_{-L}^0 dx \left( j_1(x)k - kj_1(x) \right) + \int_{-L}^0 dx_1 dx_2 j_0(x_1)kj_0(x_2) + \int_{-L}^0 dx \left( j_0(x)f + fj_0(x) \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{0 \geq x_1 > x_2 \geq -L} dx_1 dx_2 j_0(x_1)j_0(x_2)k + \int_{-L \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq 0} dx_1 dx_2 kj_0(x_1)j_0(x_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

And similarly for the twisted Yangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}(0, -L) &= \int_{-L}^0 dx \left( j_0(x)\tilde{k} - \tilde{k}j_0^t(x) \right) \\
\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(1)}(0, -L) &= \int_{-L}^0 dx \left( j_1(x)\tilde{k} + \tilde{k}j_1^t(x) \right) - \int_{-L}^0 dx_1 dx_2 j_0(x_1)\tilde{k}j_0^t(x_2) + \int_{-L}^0 dx \left( j_0(x)\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}j_0^t(x) \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{0 \geq x_1 > x_2 \geq -L} dx_1 dx_2 j_0(x_1)j_0(x_2)\tilde{k} + \int_{-L \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq 0} dx_1 dx_2 \tilde{k}j_0^t(x_1)j_0^t(x_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

From these expressions it is clear that the continuum non-local charges (3.36), (3.37) are, as one would expect, in exact correspondence with their discrete counterparts (3.29), (3.30). The whole procedure is therefore at least self-consistent and nicely illustrates the use of both non-local and boundary structures to yield physically relevant quantities.

It is clear in addition that the construction which we propose can certainly be made systematic and universal, that is independent of the choice of model ( $r, s$  matrix and Lax matrix)

## References

- [1] J. Avan and A. Doikou, Nucl. Phys. **B800** (2008) 591.
- [2] I. Cherednik, Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1984) 977;  
E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A21** (1988) 2375.
- [3] L. Freidel and J.M. Maillet, Phys. Lett. **B263** (1991) 403;  
J.-M. Maillet, Phys. Lett. **B162** (1985) 137.

- [4] A. Doikou, J. Math. Phys. **46** 053504 (2005).
- [5] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 046002;  
V.A. Kazakov, A. Marshakov, J.A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, JHEP 0405 (2004) 024.
- [6] J.M. Maillet, Nucl. Phys. **B269** (1985) 54-76.
- [7] P.D. Lax, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1968) 467.
- [8] E.G. Sklyanin, Preprint LOMI E-3-97, Leningrad, 1979.
- [9] M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Funct. Anal. Appl. **17** (1983) 259.
- [10] J. Avan and A. Doikou, *arXiv.0809.2734*, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B
- [11] O. Babelon, D. Bernard and M. Talon, *Introduction to classical Integrable systems*,  
(2003) Cambridge monographs in Mathematical Physics.
- [12] O. Babelon and C.M. Viallet, Phys. Lett. **B237** (1990) 411.
- [13] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967) 1312.
- [14] L.D. Faddeev, *Integrable models in 1 + 1 dimensional quantum field theory* in Recent  
Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics (J.B. Zuber and R. Stora, Ed.),  
Les Houches Lectures 1982, North Holland (1984) 561;  
L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtakajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*,  
(1987) Springer-Verlag.
- [15] G.W. Delius, N.J. MacKay and B.J. Short, Phys. Lett. **B522** (2001) 335; Erratum-ibid.  
**B524** (2002) 401.
- [16] S. Rajeev, A. Stern and P. Vitale, Phys. Lett. **B388** (1996) 769