The Planck Oscillator Model

B.G. Sidharth

International Institute for Applicable Mathematics & Information Sciences Hyderabad (India) & Udine (Italy)

B.M. Birla Science Centre, Adarsh Nagar, Hyderabad - 500 063 (India)

Abstract

We consider a model for an underpinning of the universe: there are oscillators at the Planck scale in the background dark energy. Starting from a coherent array of such oscillators it is possible to get a description from elementary particles to Black Holes including the usual Hawking-Beckenstein theory. There is also a description of Gravitation in the above model which points to a unified description with electromagnetism.

1 Introduction

Max Planck, more than a century ago introduced a combination of the well known fundamental constants, \hbar , G, c that gave a length, mass and time scale viz.,

$$l = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} \sim 10^{-33} cm$$

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \sim 10^{-5} gm$$

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^5}} \sim 10^{-42} sec$$
(1)

We can easily verify that l plays the role of the Compton length and the Schwarzschild radius of a black hole of the mass m [1]

$$l = \frac{\hbar}{2mc}, \ l = \frac{2Gm}{c^2} \tag{2}$$

Today in various Quantum gravity approaches the Planck length l is considered to be the fundamental minimum length, and so also the time interval t. Spacetime intervals smaller than given in (1) and (2) are meaningless both classically and Quantum mechanically. Classically because we cannot penetrate the Schwarzchild radius, and Quantum mechanically because we encounter unphysical phenomena inside a typical Compton scale. All this has been discussed in greater detail by the author (Cf.ref.[2] and several references therein). In any case, it is worth pointing out that Quantum mechanically it is meaningless to speak about spacetime points, as these would imply infinite momenta and energy. This is at the root of the infinities and divergences that we encounter, both in the classical theory of the electron as also in Quantum mechanics and Quantum Field Theory. In Quantum Field Theory we have to take recourse to the mathematical device of Renormalization to overcome this difficulty.

At another level, it may be mentioned that the author's 1997 model invoked a background dark energy and fluctuations therein to deduce a model of the universe that was accelerating with a small cosmological constant, together with several other relations completely consistent with Astrophysics and Cosmology (Cf.ref.[3] and several references therein). At that time it may be recalled, the accepted standard big bang model told us that the universe was dominated by dark matter and was consequently decelerating and would eventually come to a halt. However the observations of distant supernovae by Perlmutter and others confirmed in 1998 the dark energy driven accelerating universe of the author. All this is well known.

2 The Planck Oscillator Model

It is against this backdrop that the author had put forward his model of Planck oscillators in the dark energy driven Quantum vacuum, several years ago (Cf.ref.[4] and several references therein, [5]). To elaborate let us consider an array of N particles, spaced a distance Δx apart, which behave like oscillators that are connected by springs. As is known we then have [4, 6, 7, 8] (Cf.in particular ref.[8])

$$r = \sqrt{N\Delta x^2}$$

$$ka^2 \equiv k\Delta x^2 = \frac{1}{2}k_B T \tag{3}$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T the temperature, r the total extension and k is the spring constant given by

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{k}{m} \tag{4}$$

$$\omega = \left(\frac{k}{m}a^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{2} = \omega_0 \frac{a}{r} \tag{5}$$

It must be pointed out that equations (3) to (5) are general and a part of the well known theory referred to in [6, 7, 8]. In particular there is no restriction on the temperature T. m and ω are the mass of the particle and frequency of oscillation. In (4) ω_0 is the frequency of the individual oscillator, while in (5) ω is the frequency of the array of N oscillators, N given in (3).

We now take the mass of the particles to be the Planck mass and set $\Delta x \equiv a = l$, the Planck length as the mass and length are free parameters. We also use the well known Einstein-de Broglie relations that give quite generally the frequency in terms of energy and mass.

$$E = \hbar\omega = mc^2 \tag{6}$$

It may be immediately observed that if we use (4) and (3) we can deduce that

$$k_BT \sim mc^2$$

Independently of the above steps this agrees with the (Beckenstein) temperature of a Black Hole of Planck mass in the usual theory. Indeed as noted, Rosen [9] had shown that a Planck mass particle at the Planck scale can be considered to be a Universe in itself with a Schwarzchild radius equalling the Planck length.

Thus we have shown from the above theory of oscillators that an oscillator with the Planck mass and with a spatial extent at the Planck scale has the same temperature as the Beckenstein temperature of a Schwarzchild Black Hole of mass given by the Planck mass. We may reiterate that while equations (3) to (5) are valid generally, in the special case where the mass is taken to be the Planck mass and the distance a is taken to be the Planck length, we get a complete identification with the corresponding Schwarzchild Black Hole and the Beckenstein temperature. The above results can be obtained by a different route as described in [10].

3 Elementary Particles and Black Hole Thermodynamics

We have also argued that, given the well known effect that the universe consists of $N \sim 10^{80}$ elementary particles like the pion, it is possible to deduce that a typical elementary particle consists of $n \sim 10^{40}$ Planck oscillators. These form a coherent array described by equations (3) to (6) above. In this case N in (3) becomes n and we can immediately deduce the following

$$l_{\pi} = \sqrt{n} l \, m_{\pi} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{7}$$

which give the Compton wavelength and mass of a typical elementary particle. So a typical elementary particle is given as the lowest energy state of the above coherent array of n Planck oscillators.

Interestingly the above description can lead to an immediate correspondence with black hole thermodynamics. We now rewrite equation (5) as, (interchanging the roles of ω and ω_0),

$$\omega_0 = \frac{r}{a}\omega$$

Remembering that, quite generally, the frequency and mass are related by (6), i.e.,

$$\omega = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar},$$

we get on using (3)

$$\hbar\omega\langle\frac{l}{r}\rangle^{-1} \approx mc^2 \times \frac{r}{l} \approx Mc^2 = \sqrt{N}mc^2$$
 (8)

where we now consider not the lowest energy states of the array as previously but rather energy states much higher than the Planck energy. Generally, if an arbitrary mass M, as in (8), is given in terms of N Planck oscillators, in the above model, then we have from (8) and (3):

$$M = \sqrt{Nm} \text{ and } R = \sqrt{Nl},$$
 (9)

where R is the radius or extension of the object. We must stress the factor \sqrt{N} in (9), arising from the fact that the oscillators are coupled, as given in

(3). If the oscillators had not been coupled, or equivalently had not formed a coherent system, then we would have, for example, M = Nm or R = Nl instead of (9). Using the fact that l has been chosen to be the Schwarzschild radius of the mass m, this gives immediately,

$$R = 2GM/c^2$$

This shows that if an arbitrary mass M consists of N coherent Planck oscillators as above, and specifically equation (9), then its radius R is given by the above expression, which is its Schwarzchild radius. In other words, such an object shows up as a Schwarzchild Black Hole. It must be emphasized that the expression for R follows from the theory of oscillators, specifically equation (9) and shows that it is identical to the Schwarzchild radius for the same mass M. We have merely used the known equivalence of the Planck length and Schwarzchild radius for the Planck mass.

4 Thermodynamic Gravitation

We can push the above consideration further. So far we have considered only a coherent array. This is necessary for meaningful physics and leads to the elementary particle masses and their other parameters as seen above. Cercignani [11] had used Quantum oscillations, though just before the dark energy era – these were the usual Zero Point oscillations, which had also been invoked by the author in his model. Invoking gravitation, what he proved was, in his own words, "Because of the equivalence of mass and energy, we can estimate that this (i.e. chaotic oscillations) will occur when the former will be of the order of $G[(\hbar\omega)c^{-2}]^2[\omega^{-1}c]^{-1}=G\hbar^2\omega^3c^{-5}$, where G is the constant of gravitational attraction and we have used as distance the wavelength. This must be less than the typical electromagnetic energy $\hbar\omega$. Hence ω must be less than $(G\hbar)^{-1/2}c^{5/2}$, which gives a gravitational cut off for the frequency in the zero-point energy."

In other words he deduced that there has to be a maximum frequency of oscillators given by

$$G\hbar\omega_{max}^2 = c^5 \tag{10}$$

for the very existence of coherent oscillations. We would like to point out that if we use the well known equation encountered above namely

$$\hbar\omega = mc^2,$$

in equation (10) we get the well known relation

$$Gm_P^2 \approx \hbar c$$
 (11)

which shows that at the Planck scale the gravitational and electromagnetic strengths are of the same order. This is not surprising because it was the very basis of Cercignani's derivation – if indeed the gravitational energy is greater than that given in (11) that is greater than the electromagnetic energy, then the Zero Point oscillators, which we have called the Planck oscillators would become chaotic and incoherent – there would be no physics.

Let us now speak only in terms of the background dark energy. We also use the fact that there is a fundamental minimum spacetime interval, namely at the Planck scale. Then we can argue that (11) is the necessary and sufficient condition for coherent Planck oscillators to exist, in order that there be elementary particles as given by (7) and the rest of the requirements for the meaningful physical universe. In other words gravitational energy represented by the gravitation constant G given in (11) is a measure of the energy from the Quantum background that allows a physically meaningful universe – in this sense it is not a separate fundamental interaction.

It is interesting that (11) also arises in Sakharov's treatment of gravitation where it is a residual type of an energy [12, 2].

To proceed if we use (7) in (11) we can easily deduce

$$Gm^2 \approx \frac{e^2}{n} = \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{12}$$

where now $N \sim 10^{80}$, the number of particles in the universe.

Equation (12) has been known for a long time emperically, without any fundamental explanation. Here we have deduced it on the basis of the Planck oscillator model. Equation (12) too brings out the relation between gravitation and the background Zero Point Field or Quantum vacuum or dark energy. It shows that the gravitational energy has the same origin as the electromagnetic energy but is in a sense a smeared out effect over the N particles of the universe. In the context of the above considerations we can now even claim that (12) gives the desired unified description of electromagnetism and gravitation.

5 Black Holes Again

If we use (4), (3) and (10) we get [13]

$$k_B T = m\omega_{max}^2 l^2 = \frac{c^5/G\hbar}{ml^2} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{Gm},$$

remembering that l by (2) is the Compton wavelength. That is we get

$$k_B T = \frac{\hbar c^3}{Gm} \tag{13}$$

Equation (13) is the well known Beckenstein temperature formula valid for a Black Hole of arbitrary mass but derived here for the Planck mass.

Can we now generalize equation (13) to the case of a Black Hole of arbitrary mass, as in the original Beckenstein formula but using only the characterization of the Black Hole in terms of Planck oscillators, as above? This is what we will do. In fact to a Black Hole of mass M characterized in terms of N oscillators as in equation (9), we associate a Black Hole temperature defined by

$$\bar{T} = \frac{T}{\sqrt{N}},$$

where T is given in (13). (N here is not necessarily the number of particles in the universe). Using this with (9) in (13) we immediately get

$$k_B \bar{T} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{GM} \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) which is the analogue of (13) is the required result. After this identification, we next use the following known relations for a Schwarzchild Black Hole [14]:

$$dM = TdS, S = \frac{kc}{4\hbar G}A,\tag{15}$$

where T is the Black Hole temperature, now identified with (14), S the entropy and A is the area of the Black Hole. The area is given by, using (9)

$$A = Nl^2 (16)$$

because, this area is $\sim R^2$. Alternatively this shows that there are N elementary areas l^2 forming the Black Hole. Indeed this defines the basic quantum

of area of quantum gravity approaches and is in pleasing agreement with the result of Baez deduced from a different quantum gravity consideration [15]. Using equations (9), (13) and (16), we can easily see that equation (15) is valid for the mass M given by (9) or (8).

This completes the identification of Black Holes characterized by coherent Planck oscillators, with the conventional Hawking-Beckenstein theory.

6 Conclusion

We have shown that it is possible to consider the universe to have an underpinning of oscillators in the background dark energy. This leads to a meaningful description of the universe of elementary particles and also of black hole thermodynamics. Finally it provides a description of gravitation, not as a separate fundamental interaction, but rather as the energy of the background Quantum vacuum that is a result of the fact that there is a minimum fundamental spacetime interval in the universe.

References

- [1] Kiefer, C. (2004). Quantum Gravity (Clarendon Press, Oxford).
- [2] Sidharth, B.G. (2008). *Thermodynamic Universe* (World Scientific, Singapore).
- [3] Sidharth, B.G. (2001). Chaotic Universe: From the Planck to the Hubble Scale (Nova Science, New York).
- [4] Sidharth, B.G. (2005). The Universe of Fluctuations (Springer, Netherlands).
- [5] Sidharth, B.G. (2004). Found. Phys. Lett. 17, (5), pp.503-506.
- [6] Sidharth, B.G. (2002). Found. Phys. Lett. 15, (6), pp.577-583.
- [7] Goodstein, D.L. (1975). *States of Matter* (Dover Publications, Inc., New York), pp.462ff.
- [8] Jack Ng, Y. and Van Dam, H. (1994) Mod. Phys. Lett. A. 9, (4), pp.335–340.

- [9] Rosen, N. (1993). Int. J. Th. Phys., 32, (8), pp.1435–1440.
- [10] B.G. Sidharth. (2006). Int. J. Mod. Phys. A. 21, (31), December 2006, pp.6315.
- [11] Cercignani, C. (1998). Found. Phys. Lett. Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 189-199.
- [12] Sakharov, A.D. (1968). Soviet Physics Doklady Vol.12, No.11, pp.1040– 1041.
- [13] Sidharth, B.G. The Planck Oscillators and the Black Hole Thermodynamics Correspondence Int. J. Th. Phys (in press).
- [14] Ruffini, R. and Zang, L.Z. (1983). Basic Concepts in Relativistic Astrophysics (World Scientific, Singapore), p.111ff.
- [15] Baez, J. (2003). *Nature* Vol.421, February 2003, pp.702–703.