

# SUBFIELDS OF NONDEGENERATE TAME SEMIRAMIFIED DIVISION ALGEBRAS

KARIM MOUNIRH AND A. R. WADSWORTH

ABSTRACT. We show in this article that in many cases the subfields of a nondegenerate tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree over a Henselian valued field are inertial field extensions of the center [Th. 2.3, Th. 2.10 and Prop. 2.13].

## INTRODUCTION

In their work on abelian crossed product algebras [AS], Amitsur and Saltman defined a condition they called *nondegeneracy* for matrices encoding the multiplicative structure of such algebras. They used nondegenerate generic abelian crossed product algebras to prove the existence of noncyclic  $p$ -algebras, thereby settling a question that had been open since Albert's work on  $p$ -algebras in the 1930's. Saltman at that time also showed in [S<sub>1</sub>] that nondegenerate generic abelian crossed product  $p$ -algebras had only one Galois group occurring for maximal subgroups Galois over the center, and he used this to prove the existence of noncrossed product  $p$ -algebras. Later, in [S<sub>2</sub>, Th. 7.17] he used nondegenerate generic abelian crossed products to give examples of indecomposable division algebras of exponent  $p$  and degree  $p^2$ , for any odd prime  $p$ , over a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. More recently, McKinnie in [Mc<sub>1</sub>, Def. 2.4] defined nondegeneracy for inertially split semiramified division algebras over Henselian valued fields in terms of nondegeneracy of certain matrices over the residue field; she used this to study prime-to- $p$  extensions of generic crossed product  $p$ -algebras. In [Mc<sub>2</sub>] she further proved the indecomposability of nondegenerate inertially split semiramified  $p$ -algebras over Henselian fields of characteristic  $p$ . Independently of McKinnie's work, the first author defined in [M<sub>2</sub>] nondegeneracy in the somewhat more general context of inertially split division algebras over Henselian fields; in the semiramified case considered in [Mc<sub>2</sub>] this definition agrees with McKinnie's definition, and also that of Amitsur and Saltman. He proved in particular in [M<sub>2</sub>, Th. 3.5] that in all characteristics a nondegenerate inertially split semiramified division algebra of prime power degree over a Henselian valued field is indecomposable.

The various formulations of nondegeneracy will be reviewed at the beginning of §2 below.

In all the work just described, the nondegeneracy condition for the algebras was crucial in obtaining constraints on the possible subfields of the algebras which are normal over the center. Thus, it seems worthwhile to investigate more closely the possible subfields of a nondegenerate division algebra, particularly the normal subfields. We do this here in the Henselian setting, i.e., where  $E$  is a field with a Henselian valuation  $v$ , and  $D$  is a division algebra of prime power degree over  $E$ ;  $v$  extends uniquely to a valuation  $w$  on  $D$ , and it is assumed that  $D$  is inertially split and semiramified over  $E$  with respect to  $w$ . (The valuation-theoretic terminology used here will be recalled later in this Introduction.) There is then a unique up to isomorphism maximal subfield  $N$  of  $D$  which is inertial (= unramified) over  $E$ , and  $N$  is abelian Galois over  $E$ . The inertial field extensions of  $E$  in  $D$  are fully classified up to isomorphism as

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W60, 16K50, 16W50.

*Key words and phrases.* (Graded) Brauer group, Valued division algebras, Henselization, Graded division algebras.

the subfields of  $N$ , and they are all abelian Galois over  $E$ . The question is thus what other subfields of  $D$  may exist. This will be studied in §2 below.

Whenever there is a valuation  $v$  on a division algebra  $D$ , the filtration of  $D$  induced by  $v$  yields an associated graded ring  $GD$  in which every nonzero homogeneous element is a unit—this is called a graded division ring. When the valuation on the center  $Z(D)$  is Henselian, the structure of the graded ring closely mimics that of  $D$ . Likewise, algebraic field extensions of a Henselian valued field correspond to graded field extensions of the associated graded field. In §1 we will prove some properties for algebraic extensions of valued and graded fields, which have some interest in their own right and are needed for §2. We show in Th. 1.5 that if  $(E, v)$  is a valued field and  $(M, w)$  is a normal finite-dimensional valued field extension of  $(E, v)$ , then the associated graded field  $GM$  is a normal graded field extension of  $GE$ . We give in Th. 1.9 an extension of Hensel’s Lemma for polynomials over a valued field all of whose roots have the same value. We prove also in Prop. 1.12 that if  $E$  is a Henselian valued field with residue characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $L$  is a purely wild (resp., simple purely wild) finite-dimensional graded field extension of  $GE$ , then there is a defectless field extension (resp., a defectless simple field extension)  $K$  of  $E$  such that  $GK = L$ . Moreover, if  $\text{char}(E) = p$ , then  $K$  can be a purely inseparable field extension of  $E$ . We give in Cor. 1.13 a new (and more explicit) proof of [HW<sub>1</sub>, Th. 5.2] which for an arbitrary Henselian valued field  $E$  establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional tame field extensions of  $E$  and the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional tame graded field extensions of  $GE$ . We prove also in the last part of §1 some results concerning cyclic graded field extensions.

In §2 we consider subfields of nondegenerate algebras. Let  $E$  be a field with a Henselian valuation  $v$ , with residue field  $\overline{E}$  and value group  $\Gamma_E$ . Let  $D$  be a nondegenerate inertially split semiramified division algebra with center  $E$ , of degree  $p^n$  for some prime  $p$ . We show in Th. 2.3 that if  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) = p$  and  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is not cyclic, then any subfield of  $D$  normal over  $E$  is an abelian Galois inertial extension of  $E$ , and that all maximal subfields of  $D$  Galois over  $E$  have the same Galois group. We prove also in Prop. 2.6 that for  $E$  of any residue characteristic and  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  noncyclic,  $D$  is an elementary abelian crossed product if and only if  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  is elementary abelian. Further, we prove in Prop. 2.8 that if  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$ , then all subfields of  $D$  abelian Galois over  $E$  are inertial over  $E$ . We show also that if  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)$  is arbitrary but  $\exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) = p$ , then any non-maximal subfield of  $D$  is inertial over  $E$ . In this case, we show in Th. 2.10 that if  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic and  $K$  is a maximal subfield of  $D$  which is normal over  $E$  with Galois group not the quaternion group, then either  $K$  is cyclic Galois over  $E$  with  $[K : E] = p^2$  or  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ . More results concerning subfields in the case where  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) \nmid \deg(D)$  are proved at the end of §2.

We now recall some basic terminology from the theory of valued and graded division algebras which will be used throughout the paper.

Let  $E$  be a field,  $D$  a finite-dimensional division algebra over  $E$ , and  $\Gamma$  a totally ordered abelian group. Let  $\infty$  be an element of a set strictly containing  $\Gamma$  with  $\infty \notin \Gamma$ ; extend the order on  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  by setting  $\gamma < \infty$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and define  $\gamma + \infty = \infty + \infty = \infty$ . A map  $v : D \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  is called a valuation on  $D$  if it satisfies the following conditions (for all  $c, d \in D$ ):

- (1)  $v(c) = \infty$  if and only if  $c = 0$ ;
- (2)  $v(cd) = v(c) + v(d)$ ;
- (3)  $v(c + d) \geq \min\{v(c), v(d)\}$ .

We will say that  $(D, v)$  is a valued division algebra over  $E$ . The value group of  $v$  on  $D$  is  $\Gamma_D = v(D^*)$ , where  $D^* = D \setminus \{0\}$ , the group of multiplicative units of  $D$ . The residue division algebra is

$$\overline{D} = V_D/M_D = \{d \in D \mid v(d) \geq 0\} / \{d \in D \mid v(d) > 0\}.$$

Of course,  $v$  restricts to a valuation on  $E$ ; we write  $|\Gamma_D : \Gamma_E|$  for the ramification index of  $D$  over  $E$ , which is the index in  $\Gamma_D$  of its subgroup  $\Gamma_E$ . Also, we identify the residue field  $\overline{E}$  with its canonical image in  $\overline{D}$ , and write  $[\overline{D} : \overline{E}]$  for the residue degree of  $D$  over  $E$ , which is the dimension of  $\overline{D}$  as an  $\overline{E}$ -vector space. For background on valued division algebras, the reader can consult [JW] or [W].

Recall the Fundamental Inequality:

$$[\overline{D} : \overline{E}] |\Gamma_D : \Gamma_E| \leq [D : E] < \infty.$$

$D$  is said to be *defectless* over  $E$  if  $[D : E] = [\overline{D} : \overline{E}] |\Gamma_D : \Gamma_E|$ . We say  $D$  *inertial* (or *unramified*) over  $E$  if  $[D : E] = [\overline{D} : \overline{E}]$  and the center  $Z(\overline{D})$  is separable over  $\overline{E}$ . At the other extreme,  $D$  is *totally ramified* over  $E$  if  $[D : E] = |\Gamma_D : \Gamma_E|$ . Now assume  $E = Z(D)$ . Then,  $D$  is *inertially split* if it has a maximal subfield which is inertial over  $E$ . Also,  $D$  is said to be *semiramified* if it is defectless over  $E$ ,  $\overline{D}$  is a field, and  $[\overline{D} : \overline{E}] = |\Gamma_D : \Gamma_E|$ . It is called *nicely semiramified* if it is inertially split and it has a *totally ramified of radical type* maximal subfield, i.e., a maximal subfield  $K$  totally ramified over  $E$  such that  $K = E[t_1^{1/n_1}, \dots, t_r^{1/n_r}]$ , where  $t_1, \dots, t_r \in E^*$ ,  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \langle v(t_i^{1/n_i}) + \Gamma_E \rangle$  and  $\text{ord}(v(t_i^{1/n_i}) + \Gamma_E) = n_i$ . For  $a \in V_D$ , we write  $\overline{a}$  for the image of  $a$  in  $\overline{D} = V_D/M_D$ . There is a well-defined canonical group homomorphism  $\theta_D$  mapping  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  to the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(Z(\overline{D})/\overline{E})$ , given by  $\theta_D(\gamma + \Gamma_E) : \overline{a} \mapsto \overline{dad^{-1}}$  for all  $a \in V_D$  with  $\overline{a} \in Z(\overline{D})$ , where  $d$  is an arbitrary element of  $D^*$  with  $v(d) = \gamma$ . By [JW, Prop. 1.7, Lemma 5.1],  $Z(\overline{D})$  is normal over  $\overline{E}$  and  $\theta_D$  is surjective; moreover, if  $D$  is inertially split, then  $Z(\overline{D})$  is Galois over  $\overline{E}$  and  $\theta_D$  is an isomorphism. If the valuation on  $E$  is Henselian, we say that  $D$  is *tame* if  $D$  is defectless over  $E$ ,  $Z(\overline{D})$  is separable over  $\overline{E}$ , and  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) \nmid |\ker(\theta_D)|$ . Equivalently (see [HW2, Prop. 4.3]),  $D$  is tame iff it is split by the maximal tamely ramified field extension of  $E$ .

We will be working with graded division rings and fields as well as valued ones. We recall some of the terminology and basic facts in the graded setting, and the connections between the valued setting and the graded setting.

Let  $F$  be an associative ring (with 1) and let  $\Gamma$  be a totally ordered abelian group. We say that  $F$  is a *graded ring of type  $\Gamma$*  if there are additive subgroups  $F_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) of  $F$  such that  $F = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_\gamma$  and  $F_\gamma F_\delta \subseteq F_{\gamma+\delta}$ , for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . In this case, the set  $\Gamma_F = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid F_\gamma \neq 0\}$  is called the *support* of  $F$ . If  $F$  is a graded ring of type  $\Gamma$  and  $x \in F_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ , we say that  $x$  is a homogeneous element of  $F$ ; if  $x \neq 0$ , we say that  $x$  has *grade*  $\gamma$  and we write  $\text{gr}(x) = \gamma$ .

A graded ring  $F$  (of type  $\Gamma$ ) which is commutative and for which all nonzero homogeneous elements are invertible is called a *graded field*. Note that, because of the total ordering on  $\Gamma$ , in a graded field  $F$  every element of the group  $F^*$  of multiplicative units must be homogeneous; so  $F^*$  coincides with the set of nonzero homogeneous elements of  $F$ . Furthermore, the total ordering on  $\Gamma$  implies that  $F$  is an integral domain. It is easy to see also that if  $M$  is a graded  $F$ -module (i.e.,  $M = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} M_\gamma$  with  $F_\gamma M_\delta \subseteq M_{\gamma+\delta}$  for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ ) then  $M$  is a free  $F$ -module with a homogeneous base, and any two such bases have the same cardinality. We therefore write  $\dim_F(M)$  for the rank of  $M$  as free  $F$ -module.

Let  $F$  be a commutative graded ring of type  $\Gamma$ . An algebra  $A$  over  $F$  is called a *graded algebra* (of type  $\Gamma$ ) over  $F$  if  $A$  is a graded ring of type  $\Gamma$  and  $F_\gamma \subseteq A_\gamma$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $F$  and  $A$  are graded fields, we call  $A$  a graded field extension of  $F$ . If  $F$  is a graded field, then a graded algebra over  $F$  in which every nonzero homogeneous element is a unit is called a *graded division algebra* over  $F$ . If  $F$  is the center of a graded division algebra  $A$ , then  $A$  is called a *graded central division algebra* over  $F$ . We write  $[A : F]$  for  $\dim_F(A)$ . For a graded division algebras  $A$ , the support set  $\Gamma_A$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , and  $A_0$  is a division ring which is an algebra over  $F_0$ . Furthermore, it is easy to prove the Fundamental Equality:

$$[A : F] = [A_0 : F_0] |\Gamma_A : \Gamma_F|.$$

Let  $F$  be a graded field, let  $q(F)$  be its quotient field, and let  $q(F)_{\text{alg}}$  an algebraic closure of  $q(F)$ . Clearly, for any element  $\lambda$  of the divisible hull  $\Delta_F$  of  $\Gamma_F$  (i.e.,  $\Delta_F = \Gamma_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ ), there is a unique grading of type  $\Delta_F$  on the polynomial ring  $F[X]$  extending the grading of  $F$  and for which  $X$  is a homogeneous element with  $\text{gr}(X) = \lambda$ . We denote  $F[X]$  with this grading by  $F[X]^{(\lambda)}$ . A polynomial  $f \in F[X]$  is called  $\lambda$ -homogenizable if  $f$  is homogeneous in  $F[X]^{(\lambda)}$ . Let  $x \in q(F)_{\text{alg}}$  and let  $f_{x,q(F)}$  denote its minimal polynomial over  $q(F)$ . We say that  $x$  is *gr-algebraic* over  $F$  if  $f_{x,q(F)}$  is a homogenizable polynomial of  $F[X]$ . It is shown in [HW<sub>1</sub>, Prop. 2.2] that  $x$  is gr-algebraic over  $F$  if and only if the ring  $F[x]$  is a graded field extension of  $F$  and  $x$  is homogeneous in  $F[x]$ . If  $K$  is a graded field extension of  $F$ , we say that  $K$  is *gr-algebraic* over  $F$  if every homogeneous element of  $K$  is gr-algebraic over  $F$ . This holds, in particular, whenever  $[K : F] < \infty$ , by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Prop. 2.2]. Let  $F_{\text{gr-alg}} = F[\{x \in q(F)_{\text{alg}} \mid x \text{ is gr-algebraic over } F\}]$ , then as proved in [HW<sub>1</sub>, Cor. 2.7(c)],  $F_{\text{gr-alg}}$  is a gr-algebraic graded field extension of  $F$  which contains every other gr-algebraic graded field extension of  $F$  in  $q(F)_{\text{alg}}$ . We call  $F_{\text{gr-alg}}$  ‘the’ *graded algebraic closure* of  $F$ .

Let  $K$  be a graded field extension of a graded field  $F$  with  $[K : F] < \infty$ . In analogy with the valuation terminology,  $K$  is said to be *totally ramified* over  $F$  if  $[K : F] = |\Gamma_K : \Gamma_F|$ . We say  $K$  is *inertial* over  $F$  if  $[K : F] = [K_0 : F_0]$  and  $K_0$  is separable over  $F_0$ . Also,  $K$  is *tame* over  $F$  if  $K_0$  is separable over  $F_0$  and  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$  has no  $p$ -torsion if  $\text{char}(F) = p \neq 0$ . Further,  $K$  is *purely wild* over  $F$  if  $\text{char}(F) = p \neq 0$ ,  $K_0$  is purely inseparable over  $F_0$ , and  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$  is a  $p$ -group. By [HW<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 3.6]  $K/F$  is purely wild if and only if  $q(K)/q(F)$  is purely inseparable. If  $A$  is a graded central division algebra over  $F$ , we say that  $A$  is *semiramified* if  $A_0$  is a field and  $[A_0 : F_0] = |\Gamma_A : \Gamma_F|$ ;  $A$  is *nicey semiramified* if  $A$  has a maximal subfield inertial over  $F$  and another which is totally ramified over  $F$ .

If  $F$  is a graded field and  $A$  is a graded division algebra of type  $\Gamma$  finite-dimensional over  $F$ , we denote by  $q(A)$  the algebra of central quotients of  $A$ . So,  $q(A) \cong A \otimes_F q(F)$ , which is a division ring over  $q(F)$  with  $[q(A) : q(F)] = [A : F] < \infty$ . The graded structure on  $A$  and the total ordering on  $\Gamma$  induce a canonical valuation  $v$  on  $q(A)$  as follows (see [B<sub>3</sub>, §4] or [HW<sub>2</sub>, §4]): For nonzero  $a = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_{\gamma} \in A$  (with each  $a_{\gamma} \in A_{\gamma}$ ) set  $v(a)$  to be the least  $\gamma$  for which  $a_{\gamma} \neq 0$ . Then for nonzero  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in F$ , define  $v(ab^{-1}) = \underline{v(a)} - v(b)$ . It is easy to check that  $v$  is well-defined and is a valuation on  $q(A)$  with  $\Gamma_{q(A)} = \Gamma_A$  and  $\underline{q(A)} \cong A_0$ . Note that this canonical valuation depends not only on  $\Gamma$  as a group, but also on the choice of ordering on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $Hq(F)$  denote the Henselization of  $q(F)$  with respect to its canonical valuation (see [EP, §5.2] or [E, §16]), and set  $Hq(A) = q(A) \otimes_{q(F)} Hq(F)$ . If  $F = Z(A)$  (so  $q(F) = Z(q(A))$ ), it is known by Morandi’s Henselization theorem [Mor, Th. 2] that  $Hq(A)$  is a division algebra over  $Hq(F)$ . The Henselian valuation on  $Hq(F)$  has a unique extension to a valuation on  $Hq(A)$ , and it is known that  $Hq(D)$  is a tame central division algebra over  $Hq(F)$  (see [B<sub>2</sub>, Cor. 4.4] or [HW<sub>2</sub>, Th. 5.1]).

Going in the other direction, suppose we start with a field  $E$  with a valuation  $v$ . Then, the filtration of  $E$  induced by  $v$  yields a canonical graded field  $GE$ . Namely, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $E^{\gamma} = \{x \in E \mid v(x) \geq \gamma\}$  and  $E^{>\gamma} = \{x \in E \mid v(x) > \gamma\}$ . Obviously,  $E^{>\gamma}$  is a subgroup of the additive group  $E^{\gamma}$ . So, we can define the factor group  $GE_{\gamma} = E^{\gamma}/E^{>\gamma}$ . For  $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ , we denote by  $\tilde{x}$  the element  $x + E^{>v(x)}$  of  $GE_{v(x)}$ ; for  $0 \in E$ , set  $\tilde{0} = 0$  in  $GE$ . One can easily see that the additive group  $GE = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} GE_{\gamma}$  with the multiplication law defined for homogeneous elements by  $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \widetilde{xy}$ , is a graded field. Similarly, if  $D$  is a valued division algebra finite dimensional over a field  $E$ , then the analogous filtration of  $D$  yields a graded division algebra  $GD = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} GD_{\gamma}$  where  $GD_{\gamma} = D^{\gamma}/D^{>\gamma}$  (see [B<sub>3</sub>, §4] or [HW<sub>2</sub>, §4]). Note that  $GD_0 = \overline{D}$  and  $\Gamma_{GD} = \Gamma_D$ . It is easy to see that if  $F$  is a graded field and  $D$  is a graded central division algebra over  $F$ , then  $D$  is canonically isomorphic as a graded ring to  $Gq(D)$ , the associated graded ring of the valued division algebra  $q(D)$ , via the mapping  $x = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x_{\gamma} \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{x}_{\gamma}$ . Likewise,  $D \cong GHq(D)$ , the associated graded ring of  $Hq(D)$ .

It is known that graded central division algebras over a graded field  $F$  play an analogous role to central division algebras over a Henselian valued field. Indeed, their equivalence classes form a *graded Brauer group*  $\text{GBr}(F)$ , and there is a group isomorphism  $\text{GBr}(F) \rightarrow \text{TBr}(Hq(F))$ , where  $\text{TBr}(Hq(F))$  is the tame part of the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(Hq(F))$  [HW<sub>2</sub>, Th. 5.1]. Conversely, for any Henselian valued field  $E$ , there is a canonical group isomorphism  $\text{TBr}(E) \rightarrow \text{GBr}(GE)$  [HW<sub>2</sub>, Th. 5.3].

## 1. GRADED AND VALUED FIELD EXTENSIONS

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a graded field, take any  $\lambda$  in the divisible hull of  $\Gamma_F$ , and let  $f$  be a nonzero  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomial in  $F[X]$ . Then,*

- (1) *If  $h \in F[X]$  and  $h \mid f$ , then  $h$  is  $\lambda$ -homogenizable.*
- (2) *For  $g \in F[X]$ ,  $f \mid g$  in  $F[X]$  if and only if  $f \mid g$  in  $q(F)[X]$ .*
- (3)  *$f$  is irreducible in  $F[X]$  if and only if  $f$  is irreducible in  $q(F)[X]$ . When this occurs,  $f$  is a prime element of  $F[X]$ .*

*Thus, unique factorization holds for  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomials in  $F[X]$ .*

*Proof.* (1) This holds because  $\Gamma_{F[X](\lambda)}$  is totally ordered. Therefore, for nonzero  $h, k$  in  $F[X]$ , the lowest [resp. highest] grade homogeneous component of  $hk$  is the product of the lowest [resp. highest] grade components of  $h$  and  $k$ . So, if  $hk$  is homogeneous, then  $h$  and  $k$  must also be homogeneous.

(2) Write  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$ . Since  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -homogenizable, each nonzero  $a_i$  is homogeneous in  $F$ , so lies in  $F^*$ . (2) thus follows by the division algorithm for polynomials, since the leading coefficient of  $f$  is a unit.

(3) Since the leading coefficient of  $f$  lies in  $F^*$ , we may assume that  $f$  is monic. Because the integral domain  $F$  is integrally closed by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Cor. 1.3], if  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[X]$ , then  $f$  is irreducible in  $q(F)[X]$ . Conversely, if  $f$  is irreducible in  $q(F)[X]$ , then  $fF[X]$  is a prime ideal of  $F[X]$ , since (2) shows that  $fF[X] = (f q(F)[X]) \cap F[X]$ . Hence  $f$  is a prime element of  $F[X]$ , so it is irreducible in  $F[X]$ .

Since nonzero constant  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomials are units of  $F[X]$ , it follows by induction on degree and by (1) and (3) above that every  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomial of positive degree is a product of prime  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomials. The usual argument gives the uniqueness of such a factorization.  $\square$

Let  $F$  be a graded field and let  $L$  be an algebraic graded field extension of  $F$ . Then, we say that  $L$  is *normal* over  $F$  if every homogenizable irreducible polynomial  $g$  of  $F[X]$  which has a root in  $L$  factors into polynomials of degree one in  $L[X]$ . When this occurs, each root  $x$  of such a  $g$  is homogeneous in  $L$ , since  $X - x$  is homogenizable in  $L[X]$  by Lemma 1.1(1). Moreover, the minimal polynomial  $f_{x,q(F)}$  of  $x$  over  $q(F)$  lies in  $F[X]$  (as  $L$  is integral over  $F$ , which is integrally closed), and  $f_{x,q(F)}$  is  $\lambda$ -homogenizable, where  $\lambda = \text{gr}(x)$ . So by Lemma 1.1,  $g = a f_{x,q(F)}$  for some  $a \in F^*$ . Thus,  $L$  is normal over  $F$  if and only if for any  $x \in L^*$ ,  $f_{x,q(F)}$  factors into polynomials of degree one in  $L[X]$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $L/F$  be an algebraic graded field extension. Then,  $L$  is normal over  $F$  if and only if  $q(L)$  is a normal field extension of  $q(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L$  is normal over  $F$  and consider a  $q(F)$ -monomorphism  $\sigma$  from  $q(L)$  into  $q(L)_{\text{alg}}$ , the algebraic closure of  $q(L)$ . Let  $x \in L^*$  and let  $f_{x,q(F)}$  be its minimal polynomial over  $q(F)$ . Obviously, we have  $f_{x,q(F)}(\sigma(x)) = 0$ . It follows by the normality of  $L/F$  that  $\sigma(x) \in L$ . Note that we have  $q(L) = L \cdot q(F)$ , so  $\sigma(q(L)) = q(L)$ . Therefore,  $q(L)$  is a normal field extension of  $q(F)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $q(L)$  is a normal field extension of  $q(F)$  and let  $g$  be a homogenizable irreducible polynomial of  $F[X]$  with a root in  $L$ . By Lemma 1.1(3)  $g$  remains irreducible over  $q(F)$ , so by the normality  $g$  splits over  $q(L)$ . Clearly, the roots of  $g$  are integral over  $F$ , so they all lie in  $L$  as  $L$  is integrally closed.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $L/F$  be a finite-dimensional graded field extension. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $L/F$  is tame and normal.
- (2)  $L$  is a Galois graded field extension of  $F$ .

*Proof.* This follows by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Th. 3.11(a),(b), Lemma 1.2].  $\square$

Let  $L/F$  be a normal finite-dimensional graded field extension. The Galois group of  $L$  over  $F$  is the group  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  consisting of graded (i.e., grade-preserving)  $F$ -isomorphisms of  $L$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$  and let  $L^G$  denote the set of elements of  $L$  invariant under the action of  $G$ ; so,  $L^G$  is a graded subfield of  $L$ . It was proved in [B<sub>5</sub>, p. 26] that  $L$  is tame over  $L^G$ . The following proposition gives a more general result.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $L/F$  be a finite-dimensional normal graded field extension with Galois group  $G$ . Then,  $L^G$  is purely wild over  $F$  and  $L$  is Galois over  $L^G$ . Moreover, if  $T$  is the tame closure of  $F$  in  $L$ , then  $L = T \cdot L^G \cong_g T \otimes_F L^G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $L/F$  is normal, by Lemma 1.2  $q(L)/q(F)$  is a normal field extension. By [HW<sub>1</sub>, Cor. 2.5(d)], every  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(q(L)/q(F))$  restricts to a graded  $GF$ -automorphism of  $GL$ . Furthermore, the map  $\text{Gal}(q(L)/q(F)) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F) = G$  by restriction is an isomorphism as  $q(L) = L \otimes_F q(F)$ . Therefore, we identify  $\text{Gal}(q(L)/q(F))$  with  $G$ . Recall from field theory (see, e.g., [K, Prop. 3.2, p. 316]) that the normality of  $q(L)$  over  $q(F)$ , implies  $q(L)$  is Galois over  $q(L)^G$ , which is purely inseparable over  $q(F)$ . Moreover, if  $S$  is the separable closure of  $q(F)$  in  $q(L)$ , then  $q(L) = S \cdot q(L)^G \cong S \otimes_{q(F)} q(L)^G$ . Now, since every  $x$  in  $q(L)$  is expressible as  $ab^{-1}$  with  $a \in L$  and  $b \in F \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $q(L)^G = L^G \cdot q(F) = q(L^G)$ . Hence,  $L$  is Galois over  $L^G$  by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Th. 3.11(b)] as  $q(L)$  is Galois over  $q(L^G)$ , and  $L^G$  is purely wild over  $F$  by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 3.6] as  $q(L^G)$  is purely inseparable over  $q(F)$ . By [HW<sub>1</sub>, (3.8)],  $q(T) = S$ . Because  $T \otimes_F L^G$  is a torsion-free  $F$ -module, it injects into  $(T \otimes_F L^G) \otimes_F q(F) \cong q(T) \otimes_{q(F)} q(L^G) \cong q(L)$ . Let  $L' = T \cdot L^G$ , which is the image of  $T \otimes_F L^G$  under its injective mapping to  $q(L)$ . Then,  $L'$  is a graded subfield of  $L$ , and the isomorphism  $T \otimes_F L^G \rightarrow L'$  respects the gradings. Also,  $[L : L'] = [q(L) : q(L')] = 1$ , as  $q(L') = q(T) \cdot q(L^G) = q(L)$ . Thus,  $L = L' = T \cdot L^G \cong_g T \otimes_F L^G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(E, v)$  be a valued field and  $(M, w)$  a finite-dimensional valued field extension of  $(E, v)$ . If  $M$  is normal over  $E$ , then  $GM$  is normal over  $GE$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $w$  is the unique valuation of  $M$  extending  $v$  on  $E$ . Let  $x \in M$  and let  $f_{x,E}$  be its minimal polynomial over  $E$ . Since  $M$  is normal over  $E$ , we can write  $f_{x,E} = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - x_i)$ , where  $x_i = \sigma_i(x)$  for some  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(M/E)$ . Moreover, since  $w$  is the unique extension of  $v$  to  $M$ ,  $w(x_i) = w(x)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore, the polynomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n (X - \tilde{x}_i)$  lies in  $GE[X]$ , by [B<sub>4</sub>, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4] (or by Lemma 1.8 below, which shows that  $h = f^{(\lambda)} \in GE[X]$ ). Hence, the minimal polynomial  $f_{\tilde{x}, q(GE)}$  of  $\tilde{x}$  over  $q(GE)$  splits into polynomials of degree one in  $GM[X]$ , showing that  $GM$  is normal over  $GE$ .

Now, without assuming that  $w$  is the unique extension of  $v$  to  $M$ , let  $I = M^{\text{Gal}(M/E)}$  and let  $K$  be the decomposition field of  $w$  over  $I$ . Since  $M$  is normal over  $K$  and  $w$  is the unique extension of its restriction  $w|_K$  to  $M$ , by the first part of the proof  $GM$  is normal over  $GK$ . So by Lemma 1.2,  $q(GM)$  is normal over  $q(GK)$ . Moreover, since  $(K, w|_K)$  is an immediate field extension of  $(I, w|_I)$  by

[EP, Cor. 5.3.8(0), pp. 134–135], we have  $GK = GI$ . Note that because  $I$  is purely inseparable over  $E$ , we have  $GI$  is purely wild over  $GE$ , so  $q(GI)$  is purely inseparable over  $q(GE)$ . Therefore,  $q(GM)$  is normal over  $q(GE)$ , so again by Lemma 1.2,  $GM$  is normal over  $GE$ .  $\square$

In what follows we will consider polynomials over a valued field  $(E, v)$  for which all the roots in an algebraic closure  $E_{\text{alg}}$  of  $E$  have the same value for any valuation that extends  $v$  to  $E_{\text{alg}}$ . The following proposition generalizes [B<sub>4</sub>, Lemma 2.1], which gives (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) under the additional assumptions that  $v$  is Henselian and  $f$  is monic.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $(E, v)$  be a valued field,  $E_{\text{alg}}$  an algebraic closure of  $E$ , and let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in E[X]$  with  $a_0 a_n \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}(v(a_0) - v(a_n))$  in the divisible hull of  $\Gamma_E$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For some extension of  $v$  to  $E_{\text{alg}}$ , all the roots of  $f$  in  $E_{\text{alg}}$  have the same value.*
- (2) *For every extension of  $v$  to  $E_{\text{alg}}$ , all the roots of  $f$  in  $E_{\text{alg}}$  have value  $\lambda$ .*
- (3)  *$v(a_i) \geq (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n)$  for every  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .*
- (4) *Let  $w$  be an extension of  $v$  to  $E_{\text{alg}}$ ,  $c \in E_{\text{alg}}$  with  $w(c) = \lambda$ , and let  $h = \frac{1}{a_n c^n} f(cX)$ . Then  $h$  is a monic polynomial of  $V_{\text{alg}}[X]$ , where  $V_{\text{alg}}$  is the valuation ring of  $w$ .*

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $f = a_n(X - x_1) \dots (X - x_n)$  in  $E_{\text{alg}}[X]$ , and let  $s_j$  be the  $j$ -th symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Suppose the  $x_i$  all have the same value for some extension  $w$  of  $v$  to  $E_{\text{alg}}$ . Then  $w(x_i) = \lambda$  for all  $i$ , as  $a_0 = (-1)^n a_n x_1 \dots x_n$ . Since  $s_j$  is a sum of products of  $j$  of the  $x_i$ ,  $w(s_j) \geq j\lambda$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  we have  $a_i = (-1)^{n-i} s_{n-i} a_n$ ; hence,  $v(a_i) = w(s_{n-i}) + v(a_n) \geq (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $w$  be an extension of  $v$  to  $E_{\text{alg}}$ , and let  $x$  be any root of  $f$  in  $E_{\text{alg}}$ . Since  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = 0$ , in the list of values  $w(a_0), w(a_1 x), \dots, w(a_n x^n)$  the least value must occur at least twice. If  $w(x) > \lambda$ , then (3) yields for  $i > 0$ ,

$$w(a_i x^i) > v(a_i) + i\lambda \geq (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n) + i\lambda = n\lambda + v(a_n) = v(a_0).$$

This is not possible, since then the least value on the list would be  $w(a_0)$ , occurring only once. Similarly, if  $w(x) < \lambda$ , then for  $i < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} w(a_n x^n) &= v(a_n) + i w(x) + (n - i)w(x) \\ &< v(a_n) + i w(x) + (n - i)\lambda \leq v(a_i) + i w(x) = w(a_i x^i). \end{aligned}$$

This is also ruled out, since the least value on the list would be then  $w(a_n x^n)$ , occurring only once. Therefore,  $w(x) = \lambda$  for any root  $x$  of  $f$ .

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) Clearly,  $h$  is a monic polynomial. Write  $h = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i X^i$ , where  $b_i = a_i a_n^{-1} c^{i-n}$ . Then,  $w(b_i) = v(a_i) - v(a_n) + (i - n)\lambda$ . Hence,  $w(b_i) \geq 0$  if and only if  $v(a_i) \geq (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.7.** If  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in E[X]$  satisfies the equivalent conditions of Prop. 1.6, then we call  $f$  a  $\lambda$ -polynomial, where  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}(v(a_0) - v(a_n))$  is the common value of all the roots of  $f$ . We then write  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} := \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} X^i \in GE[X]$ , where  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)}$  is the class of  $a_i$  in  $GE_{(n-i)\lambda + v(a_n)}$ . Observe that  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{a}_i$  if  $v(a_i) = (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n)$  and  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} = 0$  if  $v(a_i) > (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n)$ . Thus,  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}$  is a  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomial in  $GE[X]$  with  $\text{gr}(\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}) = v(a_0)$  and  $\text{deg}(\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}) = \text{deg}(f)$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $(E, v)$  be a valued field, and let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$  be a  $\lambda$ -polynomial in  $E[X]$ . Let  $K$  be an algebraic field extension of  $E$  over which  $f$  splits, say  $f = a_n(X - x_1) \dots (X - x_n)$  in  $K[X]$ , and let  $w$  be any extension of  $v$  to  $K$ . Then,  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{a}_n(X - \tilde{x}_1) \dots (X - \tilde{x}_n)$  in  $GK[X]$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i = a_n \prod_{i=1}^n (X - x_i)$ . Let  $s_0 = 1$  and for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  let  $s_k$  be the  $k$ -th symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . So,  $a_i = a_n (-1)^{n-i} s_{n-i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $g = \tilde{a}_n \prod_{i=0}^n (X - \tilde{x}_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i X^i$  in  $GK[X]$ . Let  $t_0 = \tilde{1}$  and let  $t_k$  be the  $k$ -th symmetric polynomial in  $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . So, each  $b_i = \tilde{a}_n (-1)^{n-i} t_{n-i}$ . Now, each  $s_k$  is a sum of monomials of degree  $k$  in the  $x_i$  (so of value  $k\lambda$ ). Hence,  $w(s_k) \geq k\lambda$ . We have  $(x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k})^\sim = \tilde{x}_{j_1} \dots \tilde{x}_{j_k}$  for all indices  $j_1, \dots, j_k$ . When  $w(s_k) = k\lambda$ ,  $\tilde{s}_k$  is the sum of the images of its monomials in  $GK$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{s}_k = t_k \neq 0$  in  $GK_{k\lambda}$ . When  $w(s_k) > k\lambda$ , the sum of its images in  $GK_{k\lambda}$  is 0, i.e.,  $t_k = 0$ . Now consider  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} X^i$ . If  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} \neq 0$ , then  $v(a_i) = (n-i)\lambda + v(a_n)$ , so  $v(s_{n-i}) = (n-i)\lambda$ , and hence,

$$\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{a}_i = (a_n (-1)^{n-i} s_{n-i})^\sim = \tilde{a}_n (-1)^{n-i} \tilde{s}_{n-i} = \tilde{a}_n (-1)^{n-i} t_{n-i} = b_i.$$

On the other hand, if  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} = 0$ , then  $v(a_i) > (n-i)\lambda + v(a_n)$ , yielding  $v(s_{n-i}) > (n-i)\lambda$ , so  $t_{n-i} = 0$ ; hence,  $b_i = \tilde{a}_n (-1)^{n-i} t_{n-i} = 0 = \tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = g$ .  $\square$

Let  $(E, v)$  be a Henselian valued field. The next theorem generalizes to arbitrary  $\lambda$ -polynomials over  $E$  well-known basic properties for 0-polynomials, which are those  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i$  in  $V_E[X]$  with  $v(a_n) = v(a_0) = 0$  (cf. [EP, Th. 4.1.3, pp. 87–88]).

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $(E, v)$  be a Henselian valued field,  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$  a polynomial of  $E[X]$  with  $a_0 a_n \neq 0$  and let  $f' = \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{a}_i X^i \in GE[X]$ . Then,*

- (1) *If  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial and  $f = gh$  in  $E[X]$ , then  $g$  and  $h$  are  $\lambda$ -polynomials and  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{g}^{(\lambda)} \tilde{h}^{(\lambda)}$  in  $GE[X]$ . So, if  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}$  is irreducible in  $GE[X]$ , then  $f$  is irreducible in  $E[X]$ .*
- (2) *If  $f$  is irreducible in  $E[X]$ , then  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}(v(a_0) - v(a_n))$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{a}_n k^s$  for some irreducible monic homogeneous polynomial  $k$  of  $GE[X]^{(\lambda)}$  and some positive integer  $s$ .*
- (3) *If  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial in  $E[X]$  and if  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \ell m$  in  $GE[X]$  with  $\gcd(\ell, m) = 1$ , then there exist  $\lambda$ -polynomials  $g, h$  in  $E[X]$  such that  $f = gh$ ,  $\tilde{g}^{(\lambda)} = \ell$ , and  $\tilde{h}^{(\lambda)} = m$ .*
- (4) *If  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial and  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}$  has a simple root  $b$  in  $GE$ , then  $f$  has a simple root  $a$  in  $E$  with  $\tilde{a} = b$ .*
- (5) *Suppose  $f'$  is a  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomial of  $GE[X]$ . Then,  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial and  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = f'$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $x$  is any root of  $g$  or of  $h$  in  $E_{\text{alg}}$ , then  $x$  is also a root of  $f$ , so  $x$  has value  $\lambda$ . Thus,  $g$  and  $h$  are  $\lambda$ -polynomials. Let  $K$  be any algebraic extension of  $E$  over which  $f$  splits (so  $g$  and  $h$  split), and let  $w$  be any extension of  $v$  to  $K$ . In  $K[X]$  write  $g = b \prod_{i=1}^r (X - x_i)$  and  $h = c \prod_{i=r+1}^n (X - x_i)$ . Then the leading coefficient of  $f$  is  $bc$ , and  $\tilde{bc} = \tilde{b}\tilde{c}$  in  $GE$ . By applying Lemma 1.8 to  $f, g$ , and  $h$ , we obtain  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{g}^{(\lambda)} \tilde{h}^{(\lambda)}$ .

(2) Let  $N$  be a normal field extension of  $E$  that contains the roots  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  of  $f$  and denote the unique extension of the Henselian  $v$  to  $N$  by  $w$ . Since  $f$  is irreducible in  $E[X]$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there is an  $E$ -automorphism  $\sigma_i$  of  $N$  such that  $\sigma_i(x_1) = x_i$ . Because  $v$  is Henselian,  $w \circ \sigma_i = w$ . So,  $w(x_i) = w(x_1)$ . This shows that  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial for  $\lambda = w(x_1)$ . Moreover, as  $\sigma_i$  preserves  $w$ , it induces a graded  $GE$ -automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}_i$  on  $GN$  for which  $\tilde{\sigma}_i(\tilde{x}_1) = \tilde{x}_i$ . This automorphism of course extends to a  $q(GE)$ -automorphism of  $q(GN)$ . So, the minimal polynomial  $k$  of  $\tilde{x}_1$  over  $q(GE)$  is also the minimal polynomial of  $\tilde{x}_i$ . Since the monic irreducible factors of  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}$  in  $q(GE)[X]$  are the minimal polynomials of its roots and Lemma 1.8 shows that the roots of  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)}$  are the  $\tilde{x}_i$ , we must have  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{a}_n k^s$  in  $q(GE)[X]$ . This  $k$  lies in  $GE[X]$  as noted above (because the graded field  $GE$  is integrally closed), and  $k$  is  $\lambda$ -homogenizable by Lemma 1.1(1) above.

(3) Note that  $\ell$  and  $m$  are  $\lambda$ -homogenizable by Lemma 1.1(1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f, \ell$ , and  $m$  are monic polynomials. Write  $f = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{t_i}$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct monic



irreducible polynomials in  $E[X]$ . By (1) above the  $p_i$  are also  $\lambda$ -polynomials and by (2) each  $\tilde{p}_i^{(\lambda)}$  equals  $q_i^{s_i}$  for some monic irreducible  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomial  $q_i$  in  $GE[X]$ , so  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{s_i t_i}$ . Since each  $q_i$  is a prime element of  $GE[X]$  by Lemma 1.1(3),  $q_i$  divides  $\ell$  or  $m$  but not both. Let  $g$  be the product of those  $p_i^{t_i}$  with  $q_i$  dividing  $\ell$ , and  $h$  the product of the  $p_i^{t_i}$  with  $q_i$  dividing  $m$ . Then,  $f = gh$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{g}^{(\lambda)} = \ell$ , and  $\tilde{h}^{(\lambda)} = m$  by the unique factorization for  $\lambda$ -homogenizable polynomials (see Lemma 1.1).

(4) Write  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = (X - b)m$  in  $GE[X]$  with  $(X - b) \nmid m$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $X - b$  and  $m$  are  $\lambda$ -homogenizable, and since  $X - b$  is prime in  $GE[X]$ ,  $\gcd(X - b, m) = 1$ . So by (3) above,  $f = gh$  for  $\lambda$ -polynomials  $g$  and  $h$  in  $E[x]$  with  $\tilde{g}^{(\lambda)} = X - b$  and  $\tilde{h}^{(\lambda)} = m$ . Write  $g = c_1 X + c_0$ ; so,  $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{1}$  and  $\tilde{c}_0 = b$ . Then,  $a = c_0 c_1^{-1}$  is a root of  $g$ , so of  $f$ , and  $\tilde{a} = \tilde{c}_0 \tilde{c}_1^{-1} = b$ . Since  $\tilde{a}$  is not a root of  $h^{(\lambda)}$ ,  $a$  cannot be a root of  $h$  by Lemma 1.8. So,  $a$  is a simple root of  $f$ .

(5) Since  $f'$  is  $\lambda$ -homogenizable in  $GE[X]$ , for any  $i$  with  $a_i \neq 0$ ,  $v(a_i) + i\lambda = v(a_n) + n\lambda$ , hence  $v(a_i) = (n - i)\lambda + v(a_n)$ . In particular, we have  $v(a_0) = n\lambda + v(a_n)$ , hence  $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}(v(a_0) - v(a_n))$ . So, by Prop. 1.6(3)  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial. Moreover, we have  $\tilde{a}_i^{(\lambda)} = \tilde{a}_i$  for any  $i$ , so  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = f'$ .  $\square$

For monic  $\lambda$ -polynomials over a Henselian valued field, Lemma 1.8 and Th. 1.9(2) and (4) were essentially proved by Boulagouaz in [B<sub>4</sub>, Lemme 2.4, Th. 2.5, Cor. 2.6].

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $E$  be a Henselian valued field, and let  $g = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i X^i$  be a  $\lambda$ -homogenizable irreducible polynomial of  $GE[X]$ , with  $b_0 \neq 0$ . Choose any  $a_i \in E$  with  $\tilde{a}_i = b_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , and let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$ . Then, for any root  $a$  of  $f$  in an algebraic extension  $K$  of  $E$  such that  $\tilde{a}$  is a root of  $g$  in  $GK$ , we have  $G(E[a]) = GE[\tilde{a}]$  and  $[E[a] : E] = [G(E[a]) : GE]$ .*

*Proof.* By Th. 1.9(5)  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial in  $E[X]$ , with  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = g$ . So by Th. 1.9(1),  $f$  is irreducible in  $E[X]$ . Now, clearly  $GE[\tilde{a}] \subseteq G(E[a])$ . But, as  $f$  and  $g$  are irreducible,

$$[GE[\tilde{a}] : GE] = \deg(g) = \deg(f) = [E[a] : E] \geq [G(E[a]) : GE] \geq [GE[\tilde{a}] : GE].$$

Hence, equality holds throughout, which implies that  $G(E[a]) = GE[\tilde{a}]$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.11.* Note that (1) and (5) of Th. 1.9 are true without assuming that  $v$  is Henselian. So, the Henselian assumption can be omitted from Cor. 1.10, as well.

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $E$  be a Henselian valued field with residue characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $L$  a purely wild finite-dimensional graded field extension of  $GE$ , then there is a defectless field extension  $K$  of  $E$  such that  $GK = L$ . If  $\text{char}(E) = p$ , then  $K$  can be chosen to be purely inseparable field extension of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a field extension of  $E$  such that  $L \subseteq GN$ . Assume first that  $L = GE[\tilde{a}]$  for some  $a \in N$ , and let  $p^n = [L : GE] = [q(L) : q(GE)]$ . Since  $q(L)$  is purely inseparable over  $q(GE)$ , the minimal polynomial of  $\tilde{a}$  over  $q(GE)$  is  $g := X^{p^n} - \tilde{a}^{p^n}$ , where  $\tilde{a}^{p^n} \in L^* \cap q(GE) \subseteq GN^* \cap q(GE) = GE^*$ . So, there is  $b \in E$  such that  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{a}^{p^n}$ . Let  $f = X^{p^n} - b$  and let  $x$  be a root of  $f$  in some finite-dimensional field extension  $N'$  of  $N$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{x}$  is a root of  $g$ ; so,  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{a}$  because  $\tilde{x}^{p^n} = \tilde{b} = \tilde{a}^{p^n}$  in  $GN'$ . Note that  $g$  is  $\text{gr}(\tilde{a})$ -homogenizable, so it is irreducible in  $GE[X]$  by Lemma 1.1(3). By Cor. 1.10, we have  $G(E[x]) = GE[\tilde{x}] = GE[\tilde{a}] = L$ .

Now, let  $L$  be an arbitrary finite-dimensional purely wild graded field extension of  $GE$ . Then, we can write  $L = GE[\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_r]$ , and the result follows by induction on  $r$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Th. 1.9, we have the following Corollary which gives explicitly the correspondence between (finite-dimensional) tame valued field extensions over a Henselian valued field and tame graded field extensions. Recall that if  $L$  is a finite-dimensional extension of a Henselian valued field  $E$ , then

$L$  is tame (or tamely ramified) over  $E$  if  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) = p > 0$ ,  $\overline{L}$  is separable over  $\overline{E}$ ,  $p \nmid |\Gamma_L : \Gamma_E|$ , and  $[L : E] | \Gamma_L : \Gamma_E| = [L : E]$ .

**Corollary 1.13.** [HW<sub>1</sub>, Th. 5.2] *Let  $(E, v)$  be a Henselian valued field. Then, the map  $K \mapsto GK$  gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of  $E$ -isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional tame field extensions of  $E$  and the set of graded  $GE$ -isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional tame graded field extensions of  $GE$ . Moreover,  $K$  is a Galois tame (finite-dimensional) field extension of  $E$  if and only if  $GK$  is a Galois (finite-dimensional) graded field extension of  $GE$ , in which case  $\text{Gal}(K/E) \cong \text{Gal}(GK/GE)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $K$  is a tame field extension of  $E$ , then obviously  $GK$  is a tame graded field extension of  $GE$ . Let  $K'$  be a tame field extension of  $E$  such that  $K' \cong K$ . Since  $E$  is Henselian, the isomorphism respects the valuations on  $K$  and  $K'$  extending  $v$  on  $E$ ; so,  $GK \cong_g GK'$ .

Conversely, if  $L$  is a tame finite-dimensional graded field extension of  $GE$ , then  $q(GL)$  is separable over  $q(GE)$  by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Prop. 3.5]; so, we can write  $L = GE[\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_r]$ , where  $x_i \in E_{\text{alg}}$  with  $\tilde{x}_i$  separable over  $q(GE)$ . Let  $g$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\tilde{x}_1$  over  $q(GE)$ . Then  $g$  is  $\lambda$ -homogenizable in  $GE[X]$  where  $\lambda = \text{gr}(\tilde{x}_1)$ ,  $g$  is irreducible in  $GE[X]$  by Lemma 1.1(3), and  $\tilde{x}_1$  is a simple root of  $g$ . Take any  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X^i \in E[X]$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i X^i = g$ . By Th. 1.9(5),  $f$  is a  $\lambda$ -polynomial with  $\tilde{f}^{(\lambda)} = g$ . So,  $f$  is irreducible in  $E[X]$  by Th. 1.9(1), and Th. 1.9(4) applied over  $E[x_1]$  shows that  $f$  has a simple root  $a_1 \in E[x_1]$  with  $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{x}_1$ . By Cor. 1.10,  $G(E[a_1]) = GE[\tilde{a}_1]$ . Moreover,  $E[a_1]$  is tame over  $E$ , as  $G(E[a_1])$  is tame over  $GE$  and  $[E[a_1] : E] = [G(E[a_1]) : GE]$  by Cor. 1.10. Since  $L = G(E[a_1])[\tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_r]$ , which is tame over  $G(E[a_1])$ , by induction on  $r$  there exist  $a_2, \dots, a_r \in E[a_1][x_2, \dots, x_r]$  such that each  $\tilde{a}_i = \tilde{x}_i$  and  $E[a_1][a_2, \dots, a_r]$  is tame over  $E[a_1]$  with  $G(E[a_1][a_2, \dots, a_r]) = G(E[a_1])[\tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_r] = L$ . Let  $K = E[a_1, \dots, a_r]$ . Then  $GK = L$  and  $K$  is tame over  $E$ , as  $K$  is tame over  $E[a_1]$  and  $E[a_1]$  is tame over  $E$ . For the uniqueness of  $K$  up to isomorphism, suppose  $K''$  is another tame field extension of  $E$  such with a graded  $GE$ -isomorphism  $\eta : L \rightarrow GK''$ . Let  $b = \eta(\tilde{a}_1)$ , which is a root of the  $g$  above in  $GK''$ . With the  $f$  above, Th. 1.9(4) applied over  $K''$  shows that  $f$  has a root  $a''_1$  in  $K''$  with  $\tilde{a}''_1 = b$ . Since  $f$  is irreducible in  $E[X]$  with roots  $a_1$  and  $a''_1$ , we have an  $E$ -isomorphism  $\psi : E[a_1] \rightarrow E[a''_1]$  with  $\psi(a_1) = a''_1$ . The induced  $GE$ -isomorphism  $\tilde{\psi} : G(E[a_1]) \rightarrow G(E[a''_1])$  maps  $\tilde{a}_1$  to  $\tilde{a}''_1 = \eta(\tilde{a}_1)$ . So,  $\tilde{\psi} = \eta|_{G(E[a_1])}$ , as  $G(E[a_1]) = GE[\tilde{a}_1]$ . Since  $K = E[a_1][a_2, \dots, a_r]$ , it follows by induction on  $r$  that there is an  $E$ -isomorphism  $K \rightarrow K''$  inducing  $\eta$  on the graded fields.

Now, let  $K$  be a Galois tame finite-dimensional field extension of  $E$ , let  $G = \text{Gal}(K/E)$ , and let  $w$  be the unique extension of  $v$  to  $K$ . By Prop. 1.3 and Th. 1.5,  $GK$  is a Galois graded field extension of  $GE$ . Take any  $\sigma \in G$ . Since  $w \circ \sigma = w$ ,  $\sigma$  induces a graded  $GE$ -automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma} : GK \rightarrow GK$  satisfying  $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) = \sigma(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(GK/GE)$  be the group homomorphism defined by  $\varphi(\sigma) = \tilde{\sigma}$ , and let  $G^v = \ker(\varphi)$ . So,

$$G^v = \{\sigma \in G \mid \widetilde{\sigma(x)} = \tilde{x} \text{ for all } x \in K\} = \{\sigma \in G \mid w(\sigma(x) - x) > w(x) \text{ for all } x \in K \setminus \{0\}\},$$

which shows that  $G^v$  is the ramification group for  $w$  over  $E$  (cf. [E, Th. (20.5)(c)]). But, since  $K$  is tame over  $E$  the ramification group is trivial, e.g., by the table in [E, p. 171] as  $K/E$  is defectless; hence,  $\varphi$  is injective. Since  $|\text{Gal}(GK/GE)| = [GK : GE] = [K : E] = |G|$ ,  $\varphi$  is a group isomorphism.

Let  $M$  be a tame finite-dimensional field extension of  $E$  such that  $GM$  is a Galois graded field extension of  $GE$  and consider a Galois tame finite-dimensional field extension  $N$  of  $E$  containing  $M$ . By the above  $GN$  is a Galois graded field extension of  $GE$  [resp., of  $GM$ ] and  $\text{Gal}(N/E) \cong \text{Gal}(GN/GE)$  [resp.,  $\text{Gal}(N/M) \cong \text{Gal}(GN/GM)$ ]. Since  $GM$  is a Galois graded field extension of  $GE$ , then  $\text{Gal}(GN/GM)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(GN/GE)$ , therefore  $\text{Gal}(N/M)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(N/E)$ . Hence,  $M$  is a Galois field extension of  $E$ .  $\square$

Let  $L/F$  be a finite-dimensional Galois graded field extension. In the same way as for ungraded fields, one may define the norm  $N_{L/F}$  of  $L$  over  $F$  by  $N_{L/F} = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/F)} \sigma(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ . The following lemma is the graded version of Hilbert's Th. 90.

**Lemma 1.14.** *Let  $L/F$  be a finite-dimensional Galois graded field extension with cyclic Galois group generated by  $\sigma$ . Then, for any  $x \in L^*$ ,  $N_{L/F}(x) = 1$  if and only if there exists  $y \in L^*$  such that  $x = y\sigma(y)^{-1}$*

*Proof.* Since  $L$  is Galois over  $F$ ,  $q(L)$  is Galois over  $q(F)$  with  $\text{Gal}(q(L)/q(F)) \cong \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Hence, for any  $x \in L$ ,  $N_{L/F}(x) = N_{q(L)/q(F)}(x)$ . Assume that  $N_{L/F}(x) = 1$ . Then, as  $N_{q(L)/q(F)}(x) = 1$ , by Hilbert's Th. 90 there is  $z \in q(L)^*$  such that  $x = z\sigma(z)^{-1}$ . We may assume  $z \in L \setminus \{0\}$ . Write  $z = z_1 + \dots + z_r$ , where all the  $z_i$  are nonzero homogeneous elements of  $L$  and  $\text{gr}(z_i) < \text{gr}(z_{i+1})$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i < r$ . Since  $\sigma(z)x = z$  and  $x$  is homogeneous, for every  $i$ ,  $\sigma(z_i)x = z_i$ . We can take for  $y$  any  $z_i$ . The converse is clear.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.15.** *Let  $F$  be a graded field and  $n$  a positive integer with  $\text{char}(F) \nmid n$ . Suppose  $F_0$  contains a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Then,*

- (1) *If  $L$  is a cyclic Galois graded field extension of  $F$  with  $[L : F] = n$ , then there is  $x \in L^*$  such that  $L = F[x]$ ,  $x^n \in F^*$  and  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  is generated by the graded  $F$ -automorphism  $\sigma$  defined by  $\sigma(x) = \zeta x$ .*
- (2) *Conversely, if  $a \in F^*$  and  $x$  is a root of the polynomial  $X^n - a$  in  $q(F)_{\text{alg}}$ , then  $F[x]$  is a cyclic Galois graded field extension of  $F$  with  $[F[x] : F] = m$ , where  $m \mid n$  and  $x^m \in F^*$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ . We have  $N_{L/F}(\zeta^{-1}) = 1$ , so by Lemma 1.14 there is  $x \in L^*$  such that  $\sigma(x) = \zeta x$ . Accordingly,  $\sigma(x^n) = \sigma(x)^n = (\zeta x)^n = x^n$ . Hence,  $x^n \in F^*$ . Since  $\sigma^i(x) = \zeta^i x$ , the  $\sigma^i(x)$  are pairwise distinct for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which implies that the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $q(F)$  is  $X^n - x^n$ . So,  $[F[x] : F] = n = [L : F]$ , showing that  $F[x] = L$ .

(2) By [L, Th. 6.2, p. 324],  $q(F)(x)$  is cyclic Galois over  $q(F)$  and  $[q(F)(x) : q(F)] = m$  where  $m \mid n$  and  $x^m \in q(F)^*$ . Hence, by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Th. 3.11]  $F[x]/F$  is cyclic Galois of dimension  $m$ , and by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Cor. 2.5(b)],  $x^m \in F[x]^* \cap q(F) = F^*$ .  $\square$

Let  $F$  be a graded field with  $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$ . Then, Galois graded  $p$ -extensions of  $F$  are inertial over  $F$ , so they are exactly graded fields of the form  $KF$ , where  $K$  is any Galois  $p$ -extensions of  $F_0$ . Thus, a graded field extension  $L/F$  of dimension a power of  $p$  is cyclic if  $L = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W_n(L_0)$  and  $(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p) - (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in W_n(F_0)$  (here  $W_n(L_0)$  is the ring of Witt vectors associated to the field  $L_0$ ). In particular, cyclic extensions of degree  $p$  of  $F$  are  $F[x]$ , where  $x$  is a root of a polynomial  $X^p - X - a$  for some  $a \in F_0$  with  $x \notin F_0$ .

## 2. SUBFIELDS OF NONDEGENERATE TAME SEMIRAMIFIED DIVISION ALGEBRAS

For a central simple algebra  $A$  over a field  $E$ , as usual we set  $\text{deg}(A) = \sqrt{[A : E]}$  and  $\text{exp}(A) =$  the order of  $[A]$  in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(E)$ .

Before reviewing the notion of nondegeneracy, we recall Tignol's Dec groups. Let  $N$  be a finite-dimensional Galois field extension of a field  $E$  with abelian Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(N/E)$ . Since  $G$  is abelian, there is a base  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$  of  $G$ , i.e.,  $G = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \sigma_m \rangle$ . Let  $r_i$  be the order of  $\sigma_i$  in  $G$ . For each  $j$ , let  $K_j$  be the fixed field of the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all the  $\sigma_i$  for  $i \neq j$ . So,  $K_j$  is a cyclic Galois extension of  $E$  with  $[K_j : E] = r_j$  and  $\text{Gal}(K_j/E) = \langle \sigma_j|_{K_j} \rangle$ ; also,  $N = K_1 \otimes_E \dots \otimes_E K_m$ . The group  $\text{Dec}(N/E)$ , introduced by Tignol in [T], is the subgroup of the relative Brauer group  $\text{Br}(N/E)$

(=  $\ker(\mathrm{Br}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(N))$ ) generated by all the subgroups  $\mathrm{Br}(L/E)$  as  $L$  ranges over the fields with  $E \subseteq L \subseteq N$  and  $\mathrm{Gal}(L/E)$  cyclic. Equivalently,  $\mathrm{Dec}(N/E)$  is the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Br}(E)$  generated by the  $\mathrm{Br}(K_i/E)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Tignol showed in [T, Cor. 1.4] that  $\mathrm{Dec}(N/E)$  consists of the Brauer classes of central simple  $E$  algebras  $T$  containing  $N$  such that  $\deg(T) = [N : E]$  and  $T$  is a tensor product of cyclic algebras with respect to the  $K_i$ , i.e.,  $T \cong (K_1/E, \sigma_1, c_1) \otimes_E \dots \otimes_E (K_m/E, \sigma_m, c_m)$ . Such algebras  $T$  were said by Tignol to decompose according to  $N$ , whence the name  $\mathrm{Dec}(N/E)$ . As the definition makes clear,  $\mathrm{Dec}(N/E)$  is intrinsic to  $N$  and  $E$ , and is independent of the choice of cyclic decomposition of  $\mathrm{Gal}(N/E)$ .

Now, with  $E, N, G$ , and the  $\sigma_i$  as above, let  $A$  be a central simple  $E$ -algebra containing  $N$  as a maximal subfield with  $\deg(A) = [N : E]$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , choose (by Skolem-Noether)  $z_i \in A^*$  with  $z_i c z_i^{-1} = \sigma_i(c)$  for all  $c \in N$ . Let  $u_{i,j} = z_i z_j z_i^{-1} z_j^{-1} \in C_A(N)^* = N^*$ , and let  $b_i = z_i^{r_i}$ , which also lies in  $N$ , as  $\sigma_i^{r_i} = \mathrm{id}_N$ . Then,  $A = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j_1 \leq r_1 - 1} \dots \bigoplus_{0 \leq j_m \leq r_m - 1} N z_1^{j_1} \dots z_m^{j_m}$ , and the multiplication in  $A$  is completely determined by  $N$ ,  $G$ , the  $u_{i,j}$ , and the  $b_i$ , so we write  $A = (N/E, G, S, U, \mathbf{b})$ , where  $U = (u_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ , and  $S = (\sigma_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  is the chosen base of  $G$ . Amitsur and Saltman defined in [AS, p. 81] a condition that they called *degeneracy* for the matrix  $(u_{i,j})$ , which by [BM, Prop. 0.13] is equivalent to: there is a field  $L$ ,  $E \subseteq L \subseteq N$  such that  $\mathrm{Gal}(N/L)$  is noncyclic and  $[C_A(L)] \in \mathrm{Dec}(N/L)$ . When there is such an  $L$ , we say that  $N$  is *degenerate in  $A$* , or (when  $N$  is understood)  $A$  is *degenerate*. When there is no such  $L$ , we say that  $N$  is *nondegenerate in  $A$* . Note that the characterization in [BM] makes it clear that degeneracy is intrinsic to  $N$  and  $A$ , independent of the presentation of  $G$  and of the choice of the  $z_i$ . (However, degeneracy is not intrinsic to  $A$ . Indeed, K. McKinnie has recently given in [Mc<sub>3</sub>] an example of a central division algebra  $A$  over a field  $E$  with maximal subfields  $N$  and  $N'$  each abelian Galois over  $A$  such that  $N$  is degenerate in  $A$  but  $N'$  is not.) Clearly, if  $\mathrm{Gal}(N/E)$  is cyclic, then  $N$  is nondegenerate in  $A$ . Also, it is easy to see that if  $[N : E]$  has more than one distinct prime factor, then  $N$  is nondegenerate in  $A$  if and only if each primary component of  $N$  is nondegenerate in the corresponding primary component of  $A$ . Therefore, our focus will be on nondegenerate algebras of prime power degree with  $\mathrm{Gal}(N/E)$  noncyclic. The first examples of nondegenerate algebras (with  $\mathrm{Gal}(N/E)$  noncyclic) given in [AS, Remark, p. 82] satisfied  $\exp(A) = \deg(A)$ , (for which the nondegeneracy holds trivially, see [AS, Lemma 1.7]). Subsequently, Saltman gave in [S<sub>2</sub>, Cor. 12.15] an example of a nondegenerate algebra  $A$  with  $\deg(A) = p^2$  and  $\exp(A) = p$  for any odd prime  $p$  over a field  $E$  containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Recently, McKinnie has given in [Mc<sub>2</sub>] more examples of nondegenerate division algebras of odd prime exponent, from which further examples can be built as well. See Remarks 2.1 below.

Now let  $F$  be a graded field, and let  $B$  be an inertially split graded  $F$ -central division algebra. Then, as defined in [M<sub>2</sub>, Remark 2.13],  $B$  is said to be *degenerate* if it has a graded subfield  $L$  inertial over  $F$  such that  $C_B(L)$  is nicely semiramified with  $\Gamma_{C_B(L)}/\Gamma_L$  noncyclic. Assume now that  $B$  is semiramified as well as inertially split. Then,  $B_0$  is a field abelian Galois over  $F_0$  with  $\mathrm{Gal}(B_0/F_0) \cong \Gamma_B/\Gamma_F$ . The graded subfields  $L$  of  $B$  inertial over  $F$  are the graded subfields of  $B_0 F$  containing  $F$ , and are in one-to-one correspondence with the subfields of  $B_0$  containing  $F_0$ . ( $L \leftrightarrow L_0$ ; note that  $L = L_0 F$ .) In particular,  $B_0 F$  is a maximal graded subfield of  $B$ ; it is inertial over  $F$ , and it contains all other graded subfields of  $B$  inertial over  $F$ . Furthermore,  $B_0 F$  is Galois over  $F$  with  $\mathrm{Gal}(B_0 F/F) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(B_0/F_0)$ , which is abelian. In this context, the degeneracy of  $B$  is equivalent to what can be called the degeneracy of  $B_0 F$  in  $B$ ; that is,  $B$  is degenerate iff there is an inertial graded field extension  $L$  of  $F$  in  $B$  such that  $\Gamma_{C_B(L)}/\Gamma_L$  ( $\cong \mathrm{Gal}(B_0 F/L) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(B_0/L_0)$ ) is noncyclic and  $C_B(L)$  is isomorphic to a tensor product of cyclic graded algebras over  $L$  with respect to cyclic Galois graded field extensions of  $L$  within  $B_0 F$ . (This equivalence holds because  $C_L(B)$  is inertially split and semiramified by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 1.3], so every cyclic

subalgebra of  $C_L(B)$  determined by an inertial cyclic graded field extension of  $L$  is nicely semiramified, by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 1.3].) By [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 2.15],  $B$  is degenerate if and only if the maximal subfield  $q(B_0F)$  is degenerate in the  $q(F)$ -central division algebra  $q(B)$ . Also, let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$  be any base of  $\text{Gal}(B_0/F_0)$ , and choose any  $y_i \in B^*$  with  $y_i c y_i^{-1} = \sigma_i(c)$  for all  $c \in B_0$ . Let  $u_{i,j} = y_i y_j y_i^{-1} y_j^{-1} \in B_0^*$ . Then, by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 2.17]  $B$  is degenerate if and only if the collection  $(u_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  satisfies the Amitsur-Saltman degeneracy condition as elements of the abelian Galois field extension  $B_0$  of  $F_0$ .

The generic abelian crossed products of Amitsur and Saltman are associated to such graded division algebras. Specifically, let  $A = (N/E, G, S, U, \mathbf{b})$  be an abelian crossed product over a field  $E$ , as described above. From the data associated to  $A$ , Amitsur and Saltman defined in [AS, p. 83] a generic abelian crossed product  $A' = \mathcal{K}(N/E, G, S, U)$  which is a division algebra of the same degree as  $A$  whose center  $Z$  is purely transcendental over  $E$ ;  $A'$  has a maximal subfield  $M = N \otimes_E Z$  which is abelian Galois over  $Z$  with  $\text{Gal}(M/Z) \cong \text{Gal}(N/E) = G$ , and  $A'^*$  contains elements  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  such that  $y_i$  induces  $\sigma_i$  on  $M$  by conjugation, and  $y_i y_j y_i^{-1} y_j^{-1} = u_{i,j}$  for all  $i, j$ . This  $A'$  depends up to isomorphism on the choice of base  $S = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$  of  $G$  and on  $U = (u_{i,j})$ , but not on  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ . Also, it follows from [T, Prop. 2.3] that  $M$  is degenerate in  $A'$  iff  $N$  is degenerate in  $A$ .  $A'$  is definable as the ring of quotients of an iterated twisted polynomial ring, and it was shown in [BM, Th. 1.1] that  $A'$  is therefore also  $q(B)$  for a graded division ring  $B$ , which is an iterated twisted Laurent polynomial ring. Let  $F = Z(B)$ , a graded field. It was shown further in [BM, Th. 1.1] that  $q(F) = Z$ ,  $F_0 = E$ , and  $B$  is inertially split and semiramified over  $F$  with  $B_0 = N$  and  $\Gamma_B = \mathbb{Z}^m$ . Moreover, by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 2.15],  $M$  is degenerate in  $A'$  iff  $B$  is degenerate. We will see in Cor. 2.5 below how results on subfields of nondegenerate algebras over Henselian fields yield another proof of one of Saltman's key results about maximal subfields generic abelian crossed products.

*Remarks 2.1.* (i) Let  $p$  be an odd prime number, and let  $G$  be a noncyclic finite abelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . McKinnie gave in [Mc<sub>2</sub>, Cor. 3.2.11] an example of a central division algebras  $A$  over any suitable field  $E$  of any characteristic with maximal subfield  $N$  nondegenerate in  $A$  with  $\text{Gal}(N/E) \cong G$  and  $\exp(A) = p$ . This yields nondegenerate algebras of higher exponent, as follows: Say  $A = (N/E, G, S, U, \mathbf{b})$ , as above. Let  $A' = \mathcal{K}(N/E, G, S, U)$  be the associated generic abelian crossed product. Let  $E' = Z(A')$ , which is purely transcendental over  $E$ , and let  $N' = N \otimes_E E'$ , which is a maximal subfield which is nondegenerate in  $A'$  with  $\text{Gal}(N'/E') \cong \text{Gal}(N/E) \cong G$ . But,  $\exp(A') = \text{lcm}(\exp(A), \exp(G))$  by [T, Prop 2.3]. Thus, simply by choosing  $G$  to have exponent  $p^r$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ , we obtain nondegenerate abelian crossed products of exponent  $p^r$  and degree  $p^n$  (cf. [Mc<sub>2</sub>, Ex. 3.3.1]).

(ii) From any nondegenerate generic abelian crossed product  $A' = \mathcal{K}(N/E, G, S, U)$  of degree  $p^n$  and exponent  $p^r$ , one can obtain a nondegenerate inertially split semiramified division algebra  $A''$  over a Henselian valued field with  $\deg(A'') = p^n$  and  $\exp(A'') = p^r$ . For  $A''$ , nondegeneracy is defined to mean nondegeneracy in  $A''$  of the (unique up to isomorphism) maximal subfield of  $A''$  which is inertial over  $Z(A'')$ . One can obtain such an  $A''$  as what McKinnie calls the ‘‘power series generic abelian crossed product [Mc<sub>1</sub>, Def. 3.6], in which the iterated twisted polynomials in  $A'$  are replaced by iterated twisted Laurent series. Another way to produce such an  $A''$  is to view  $A'$  as  $q(B)$  for  $B$  an inertially split graded division algebra, and let  $A'' = A' \otimes_{Z(A')} HZ(A')$ , where  $HZ(A')$  is the Henselization of  $Z(A')$  with respect to a valuation on  $Z(A')$  induced by the grading on  $Z(B)$ . (See the proof of Cor. 2.5 below.)

(iii) If one wants nondegenerate abelian crossed product algebras with specified exponent exceeding  $\exp(G)$ , these are obtainable by a slight adaptation of McKinnie's examples as follows: Her  $A$  is obtained as  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} E$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a division algebra with nondegenerate maximal subfield  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{E}) \cong G$  but  $\exp(\mathcal{A}) = \deg(\mathcal{A}) = |G|$ , while  $E$  is the function field  $E = \mathcal{E}(Y)$ , where  $Y$  is

the Brauer-Severi variety of  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes p}$ . Passage from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $E$  generically splits  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes p}$ , so generically reduces the exponent of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $p$  (while assuring that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} E$  is a division ring.) For any  $r$  with  $1 \leq r < n$ , let  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}(Z)$ , where  $Z$  is the Brauer-Severi variety of  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes p^r}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}'$  and  $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}'$ . Then, by Amitsur's theorem [GS, Th. 5.4.1, p. 125],  $\ker(\mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(\mathcal{E}'))$  is the cyclic group generated by  $[\mathcal{A}^{\otimes p^r}]$ ; so,  $\exp(\mathcal{A}') = p^r$ . Also,  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a division algebra (of degree  $p^n$ ) by the Schofield-van den Bergh index reduction formula [SB, Th. 1.3] for function fields of Brauer-Severi varieties. Clearly,  $\mathcal{N}'$  is a maximal subfield of  $\mathcal{A}'$  which is Galois over  $\mathcal{E}'$  with  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{E}') \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{E}) \cong G$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{N}'$  is nondegenerate in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . To see this, let  $\mathcal{E}'' = \mathcal{E}' \cdot E$ , the free composite of  $\mathcal{E}'$  and  $E$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ ; so,  $\mathcal{E}''$  is the function field over  $E$  of the Brauer-Severi variety of  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes p^r}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes p^r}$  is split,  $\mathcal{E}''$  is purely transcendental over  $E$ , by [GS, Th. 5.1.3, p. 115]. Therefore, since  $\mathcal{N}$  is nondegenerate in  $\mathcal{A}$  (as McKinnie proved),  $\mathcal{N} \otimes_E \mathcal{E}''$  is nondegenerate in  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_E \mathcal{E}''$ , which follows from by [T, Prop. 2.3]. Then, as  $\mathcal{A}' \otimes_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{E}'' \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes_E \mathcal{E}''$  and  $\mathcal{N}' \otimes_{\mathcal{E}'} \mathcal{E}'' \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes_E \mathcal{E}''$ ,  $\mathcal{N}'$  must be nondegenerate in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

Throughout the rest of this section, let  $E$  be a field with Henselian valuation  $v$ , and let  $D$  be a division algebra with center  $E$  and  $[D : E] = p^n$  for some prime number  $p$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We assume further that  $D$  is inertially split semiramified with respect to the unique extension of  $v$  to a valuation of  $D$ .

There is a distinguished maximal subfield  $N$  of  $D$ , namely, an inertial maximal subfield. This  $N$  is unique up to isomorphism in  $D$ , and, since  $\overline{N} = \overline{D}$  is abelian Galois over  $\overline{E}$  (see Prop. 2.2(1) below),  $N$  is abelian Galois over  $E$ , with  $\mathrm{Gal}(N/E) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ . We assume further that  $D$  is nondegenerate, by which is meant that  $N$  is nondegenerate in  $D$ . Such  $D$  and  $N$  exist, as we noted in Remark 2.1(ii). The goal of this section is to obtain information about subfields of  $D$  (containing  $E$ ). Of course, the inertial subfields are known: their isomorphism classes are in one-to-one correspondence with the subfields of  $\overline{D}$  containing  $\overline{E}$ . The interest, therefore, is with the noninertial subfields. We first recall some known properties of  $D$  and its subfields which will be used repeatedly below.

**Proposition 2.2.**

- (1)  $\overline{D}$  is abelian Galois over  $\overline{E}$  with  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E}) \cong \Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$ .
- (2) If  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic, then  $D$  has no (non-trivial) subfield totally ramified over  $E$ .
- (3) If  $K$  is a subfield of  $D$  containing  $E$  such that  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is noncyclic, then  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ .
- (4) Let  $M$  be a subfield of  $D$  with  $M$  inertial over  $E$ , and let  $C = C_D(M)$ . Then  $C$  is inertially split, semiramified, and nondegenerate, with  $\overline{C} = \overline{D}$ .

*Proof.* (1) This holds by [JW, Lemma 5.1], as  $D$  is inertially split with  $\overline{D}$  a field. (2) holds by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 3.2], and (3) by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 3.3]. (4)  $C$  is inertially split since  $D$  is, and by [JW, Th. 3.1(b)] (or by embedding  $M$  in an inertial lift of  $\overline{D}$  over  $E$  in  $D$ ),  $\overline{C} = \overline{D}$ . Since  $C$  is inertially split with  $\overline{C}$  a field, by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 1.3(1)]  $C$  is semiramified; the nondegeneracy of  $C$  is immediate from the nondegeneracy of  $D$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *With the hypotheses above, assume further that  $\mathrm{char}(\overline{E}) = p$  and  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic. Let  $K$  be a subfield of  $D$  with  $K$  normal over  $E$ . Then,  $K$  is Galois and inertial over  $E$ . So, there is a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  such that  $\mathrm{Gal}(K/E) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})/H$ . In particular, if  $K$  is a maximal subfield of  $D$  which is Galois over  $E$ , then  $\mathrm{Gal}(K/E) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be a subfield of  $D$  which is normal over  $E$ . Then by Th. 1.5,  $GK$  is a normal graded field extension of  $GE$ . Let  $L = GK^{\mathrm{Gal}(GK/GE)}$ . By Prop. 1.4,  $L$  is a purely wild graded field extension of  $GE$ . Therefore, by [M<sub>2</sub>, Lemma 3.1],  $L = GE$ . Hence, by Prop. 1.4 again,  $GK$  is a Galois graded field extension of  $GE$ . In particular, by [HW<sub>1</sub>, Th. 3.11]  $GK$  is a tame graded field extension of  $GE$ ;

since also  $[GK : GE]$  is a power of  $p$ , we must have  $\Gamma_{GK} = \Gamma_{GE}$ . Hence,  $GK$  is inertial over  $GE$ . Therefore,  $K$  is an inertial valued field extension of  $E$ , and by Cor. 1.13,  $K$  is a Galois field extension of  $E$ . So,  $\text{Gal}(K/E) \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/\overline{E})$ , which is a homomorphic image of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ . The rest is obvious.  $\square$

**Remark.** Independently, McKinnie has proved in [Mc<sub>2</sub>, Th. 1.2.1] that if  $D$  is a semiramified division  $p$ -algebra over a Henselian valued field  $E$  with  $\overline{D}$  separable over  $\overline{E}$  (which is equivalent to saying  $D$  is an inertially split semiramified division algebra over  $E$ ) and with  $\overline{D}/\overline{E}$  not strongly degenerate (See [Mc<sub>1</sub>, Def. 1.5]), and if  $K$  is a Galois subfield of  $D$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/E)$  is of the form  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})/H$ , where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *Suppose  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) = p$ . Then  $D$  is a cyclic algebra if and only if  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is cyclic.*

*Proof.* Recall from Prop. 2.2(1) that  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ . Thus, if  $D$  is a cyclic algebra, then Th. 2.3 shows that  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  is a cyclic group, so  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is also cyclic. Conversely, if  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is cyclic, then ‘the’ inertial lift of  $\overline{D}$  over  $E$  in  $D$  (see [JW, Th. 2.9]) is a cyclic maximal subfield of  $D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** [S<sub>1</sub>, Th. 3.2] *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a field with  $\text{char}(\mathcal{E}) = p \neq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a noncyclic abelian Galois field extension of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $[\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{E}] = p^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $G = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{E}, G, S, U)$  be any associated generic abelian crossed product with  $U = (u_{i,j})$  nondegenerate; let  $Z = Z(\mathcal{A})$ . For any subfield  $L$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $L$  Galois over  $Z$ , there is a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{Gal}(L/Z) \cong G/H$ . In particular, if  $L$  is a Galois maximal subfield of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\text{Gal}(L/Z) \cong G$ .*

*Proof.* As recalled preceding Remark 2.1 above, by [BM, Th. 1.1],  $\mathcal{A} = q(B)$  for some semiramified graded division algebra  $B$  with  $B_0 = \mathcal{N}$ ,  $Z(B)_0 = \mathcal{E}$ , and  $q(Z(B)) = Z$ . Let  $HZ$  be the Henselization of  $Z$  with respect to the canonical valuation on  $Z$  determined by the grading on  $Z(B)$  with some chosen total ordering of  $\Gamma_{Z(B)}$ ; let  $H\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \otimes_Z HZ$ . Because the valuation on  $Z$  extends to  $\mathcal{A} = q(B)$ , by Morandi’s Henselization Theorem [Mor, Th. 2]  $H\mathcal{A}$  is a division ring with valuation extending the valuation on  $HZ$ , and  $\overline{H\mathcal{A}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong B_0 \cong \mathcal{N}$  and  $\Gamma_{H\mathcal{A}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ ; also,  $\overline{HZ} \cong \overline{Z} \cong Z(B)_0 \cong \mathcal{E}$ . So,  $H\mathcal{A}$  is inertially split with  $\text{Gal}(\overline{H\mathcal{A}}/\overline{HZ}) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{E}) = G$  and associated graded ring  $GHA \cong GA \cong B$ . Since  $U = (u_{i,j})$  is nondegenerate in  $\mathcal{N}$  for  $G$  with base  $S$ , by [M<sub>2</sub>, Prop. 2.17]  $B$  is a nondegenerate graded division algebra, so by [M<sub>2</sub>, Lemma 2.14]  $H\mathcal{A}$  is nondegenerate. Let  $L$  be a subfield of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $L$  Galois over  $Z$ . Then  $L \otimes_Z HZ$  is a subfield of  $H\mathcal{A}$  Galois over  $HZ$  with  $\text{Gal}((L \otimes_Z HZ)/HZ) \cong \text{Gal}(L/Z)$ . So, by Th. 2.3, there is a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{Gal}(L/Z) \cong G/H$ . In particular, if  $L$  is a Galois maximal subfield of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\text{Gal}(L/Z) \cong G$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Assume  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic, and let  $K$  be a subfield of  $D$  which is elementary abelian Galois over  $E$ . Then,  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ . Therefore,  $D$  is an elementary abelian crossed product if and only if  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  is elementary abelian.*

*Proof.* Since  $K$  is an elementary abelian field extension of  $E$ , we can write  $K = K_1 \otimes_E K_2 \otimes_E \dots \otimes_E K_r$ , where each  $K_i$  is a cyclic field extension of  $E$  with  $[K_i : E] = p$ . By Prop. 2.2(2),  $D$  contains no proper totally ramified field extensions of  $E$ . Hence, each  $K_i$  is inertial over  $E$ ; so,  $K$  is also inertial over  $E$ . If  $K$  is in addition a maximal subfield of  $D$ , then  $\overline{D}$  ( $= \overline{K}$ ) is elementary abelian over  $\overline{E}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  is elementary abelian and let  $M$  be the inertial lift of  $\overline{D}$  over  $E$  in  $D$ . Then,  $M$  is a maximal subfield of  $D$  which is Galois over  $E$  with  $\text{Gal}(M/E) \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{M}/\overline{E})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.7.* Prop. 2.6 is not true if  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is cyclic. Indeed, let  $k$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, with a cyclic Galois extension  $L$  with  $[L : k] = p^2$ . Let  $N$  be the field with  $k \subsetneq N \subsetneq L$ . Let  $E$  be the Laurent series field  $k((X))$ , and let  $D$  be the cyclic algebra  $(L((X))/E, \sigma, X)$ , where  $\sigma$  is any generator of  $\text{Gal}(L((X))/E)$ . Then,  $D$  is a division algebra of degree  $p^2$  with center  $E$ . Moreover,

with respect to the Henselian  $X$ -adic valuation on  $E$ ,  $D$  is tame and semiramified, with  $\overline{D} = L$ . This  $D$  is trivially nondegenerate since  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  is cyclic. We have  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . But, take  $t$  in  $D$  with  $tat^{-1} = \sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in L((X))$  and  $t^{p^2} = X$ . Then,  $D$  also contains the maximal subfield  $N((X))[t^p]$  which is elementary abelian Galois over  $E$ .

For a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $P$ ,  $\text{rk}(P)$  denotes the number of summands in a cyclic decomposition of  $P$ ; so  $\text{rk}(P) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(P/pP)$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$ , and let  $K$  be a subfield of  $D$  which is abelian Galois over  $E$ . Then,  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $K = K_1 \otimes_E K_2 \otimes_E \dots \otimes_E K_r$ , where each  $K_i$  is a cyclic Galois field extension of  $E$ . So,  $\overline{K}_i$  is cyclic over  $\overline{E}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{D}$  cannot be cyclic over  $\overline{K}_i$  (since  $\text{rk}(\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})) \geq 3$ ). So, by Prop. 2.2(3) each  $K_i$  is inertial over  $E$ . Hence,  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $K$  be any subfield of  $D$  containing  $E$  with  $K$  not inertial over  $E$ . Then,  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is cyclic and  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$ . In particular, if  $\exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) = p$ , then  $K$  is a maximal subfield of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $K$ , and let  $C = C_D(M)$ . By Prop. 2.2(4) above,  $C$  is a nondegenerate inertially split semiramified division algebra with  $\overline{C} = \overline{D}$ . Moreover  $K$  is a subfield of  $C$  which is a non-trivial totally ramified extension of the center  $M$  of  $C$ . So, by Prop. 2.2(1) and (2) applied to  $C$  as an  $M$ -algebra,  $\text{Gal}(\overline{C}/\overline{M})$  is cyclic. Then,  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is cyclic, as  $\overline{D} = \overline{C}$  and  $\overline{K} = \overline{M}$ . The canonical isomorphism  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$  of Prop. 2.2(1) is induced by conjugation, so it injects  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E$  into  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$ . If  $p = \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) = \exp(\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E}))$ , then the cyclic group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{C}/\overline{M})$  has exponent and hence order  $p$ . Then,  $p = |\text{Gal}(\overline{C}/\overline{M})| = \deg(C)$ , as  $C$  is semiramified. So the proper extension  $K$  of  $M$  is a maximal subfield of  $C$ , and hence  $K$  is also a maximal subfield of  $D$ .  $\square$

Let  $H$  be a finite nonabelian group. We say that  $H$  is a *quaternion group* if  $H$  has order 8 and is generated by two elements  $a$  and  $b$  satisfying the conditions  $a^4 = b^4 = 1$ ,  $a^2 = b^2$  and  $ba = a^{-1}b$ . If  $K/E$  is a normal [resp., Galois] field extension with a quaternion Galois group, we say that  $K$  is a quaternion normal [resp., Galois] field extension of  $E$ . We say that a finite group  $H$  is *Hamiltonian* if  $H$  is nonabelian and every subgroup of  $H$  is normal. Recall that a Hamiltonian group is the direct product of a quaternion group with an abelian group of odd order and an abelian group of exponent two [H, Th. 12.5.4].

**Theorem 2.10.** *Suppose  $\exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) = p$ , and let  $K$  be a subfield of  $D$  containing  $E$ . Then,*

- (1) *If  $K$  is not inertial over  $E$ , then  $K$  is a maximal subfield  $D$ .*
- (2) *If  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic and  $K$  is a non-quaternion normal maximal subfield of  $D$ , then either  $K$  is cyclic Galois over  $E$  with  $[K : E] = p^2$  or  $K$  is inertial and elementary abelian Galois over  $E$ .*

*Proof.* (1) This follows by Lemma 2.9.

(2) Let  $G = \text{Gal}(K/E)$  and let  $I = K^G$ . Then,  $I$  is purely inseparable over  $E$ , so  $\overline{I}$  is purely inseparable over  $\overline{E}$ . Since  $\overline{D}$  is separable over  $\overline{E}$ , we have  $\overline{I} = \overline{E}$ ; hence  $I$  is totally ramified over  $E$ . Since  $D$  is nondegenerate, Prop. 2.2(2) shows that  $I = E$ . Therefore,  $K$  is Galois over  $E$ . If  $L$  is any proper subfield of  $K$ , then by part (1) of this proof  $L$  is inertial over  $E$ , hence  $L$  is an abelian Galois field extension of  $E$ . Therefore, any subgroup of  $G$  is a normal subgroup. So,  $G$  is Hamiltonian or abelian.



If  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ , then  $K$  is Galois over  $E$  since  $\overline{K}$  is Galois over  $\overline{E}$ , and  $\text{Gal}(K/E) \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/\overline{E})$ ; this group is elementary abelian since  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E}) \cong \Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$ , which is elementary abelian. Suppose now  $K$  is not inertial over  $E$ , and let  $M$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $K$ . We have seen that every proper subfield of  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ , so lies in  $M$ . Therefore,  $\text{Gal}(K/M)$  is the unique minimal proper subgroup of  $G$ . So,  $G$  admits no nontrivial direct product decompositions, and since  $G$  is assumed non-quaternion, it cannot be Hamiltonian. Hence,  $G$  is abelian, and since it has a unique minimal proper subgroup it must be cyclic. The exponent assumption then implies that the cyclic groups  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E$  and  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/\overline{E})$  have order at most  $p$ . So  $[K : E]$  is at most  $p^2$ . The fact that  $K$  is a maximal subfield of  $D$  and  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic imply that  $[K : E] = p^2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $K$  be a tame finite-dimensional Galois extension of the Henselian field  $E$ , and let  $L$  be a field with  $E \subseteq L \subseteq K$ , such that  $\overline{L} = \overline{K}$ . Then,  $L$  is Galois over  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $L$ . Because  $\overline{L} = \overline{K}$ ,  $M$  is the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $K$ . Since  $K$  is Galois, totally ramified, and tame over  $M$ , we have the canonical isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(K/M) \cong \text{Hom}(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_M, \Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is the group of roots of unity in  $\overline{K}$ , by [E, (20.11)]. For any field  $N$  with  $M \subseteq N \subseteq K$ , this isomorphism maps  $\text{Gal}(K/N)$  to  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_N, \Omega)$ . This yields a one-to-one correspondence between subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(K/M)$  and subgroups of  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_M$ . Therefore, for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/E)$ , since  $\sigma(L) \supseteq \sigma(M) = M$  and  $\Gamma_{\sigma(L)} = \Gamma_L$ , we must have  $\sigma(L) = L$ . As  $K$  is Galois over  $E$ , it follows that  $L$  is normal, hence also Galois, over  $E$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $H$  a non-trivial cyclic subgroup of  $G$ . We say that  $H$  is *maximally cyclic* in  $G$  if there is no cyclic subgroup  $H'$  of  $G$  such that  $H \subsetneq H'$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic. We have previously seen in the proof of Th. 2.3 and also in the proof of Th. 2.10 that if  $K$  is a normal field extension of  $E$  in  $D$ , then  $K$  is a Galois field extension of  $E$ . From the nondegeneracy of  $D$  over  $F$  we have seen in Prop. 2.2(3) that if  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is noncyclic, then  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ . In the next proposition we will study the case where  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is maximally cyclic in  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $K$  be a subfield of  $D$  which is normal and tame over  $E$  such that  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is cyclic. Suppose  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is maximally cyclic in  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ . Then,  $K$  is Galois over  $E$ . Furthermore,*

- (1) *If  $\deg(D)$  is odd, then  $K$  is an abelian field extension of  $E$ .*
- (2) *If  $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$  is noncyclic and  $\deg(D)$  is a power of 2, then  $K$  is either a quaternion or an abelian field extension of  $E$ .*

*Therefore, if  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$  and  $K$  is not a quaternion field extension of  $E$ , then  $K$  is inertial over  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = \text{Gal}(K/E)$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Th. 2.10(2),  $K$  is Galois over  $E$ . Let  $L$  be a field with  $E \subseteq L \subseteq K$ . If  $\overline{L} = \overline{K}$ , then by Lemma 2.11  $L$  is Galois over  $E$ . On the other hand, if  $\overline{L} \subsetneq \overline{K}$  then  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{L})$  is not cyclic by the maximal cyclicity assumption. Therefore, by Prop. 2.2(3),  $L$  is inertial, and hence Galois, over  $E$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(L/E)$  is Galois in all cases,  $G$  is Hamiltonian or abelian. In case (1), since  $|G|$  is odd,  $G$  must be abelian.

(2) Suppose  $G$  is Hamiltonian. Since  $G$  is nonabelian,  $K$  is not inertial over  $E$ . Suppose we have a tensor decomposition  $K = L_1 \otimes_E L_2$  with fields  $L_i$  containing  $E$ . Since  $K$  is not inertial over  $E$ , one of the  $L_i$ , say  $L_1$ , is not inertial over  $E$ . Then,  $\overline{L_1} = \overline{K}$ , as we saw above. Let  $M_i$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $L_i$ . Then,  $\overline{M_2} = \overline{L_2} \subseteq \overline{K} = \overline{L_1} = \overline{M_1}$ . Therefore,  $M_2$  is a subfield of  $M_1$ . Since  $M_1 \otimes_E M_2$  is a field (a subfield of  $L_1 \otimes_E L_2$ ), we must have  $M_2 = E$ . Therefore,  $L_2$  is totally ramified over  $E$ . Hence,  $L_2 = E$ , by Prop. 2.2(2). This shows that  $K$  admits no nontrivial tensor

product decompositions over  $E$ , and hence that  $G$  admits no nontrivial direct product decompositions. Therefore, the Hamiltonian group  $G$  must be quaternionic, so  $K$  is quaternionic over  $E$ .

The rest of the proposition follows by Prop. 2.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *Assume  $\deg(D)$  is odd and  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) \nmid \deg(D)$ . Let  $K$  be a maximal subfield of  $D$  such that  $K$  is Galois over  $E$ . If  $|\Gamma_K : \Gamma_E| = \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/E)$  is abelian and  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming  $D \neq E$ , the assumption on  $\Gamma_K$  assures that  $K$  is not inertial over  $E$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.9,  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is cyclic. Let  $M$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $K$ , and let  $C = C_D(M)$ . By Prop. 2.2(4),  $C$  is inertially split and semiramified with  $\overline{C} = \overline{D}$ . Now,  $K$  is a maximal subfield of  $C$ , since it is maximal in  $D$ , and  $K$  is totally ramified over  $M$ . Since

$$|\Gamma_K : \Gamma_M| = [K : M] = \text{ind}(C) = |\Gamma_C : \Gamma_M|,$$

we have  $\Gamma_K = \Gamma_C$ . As  $\overline{M} = \overline{K}$  and  $\Gamma_M = \Gamma_E$ , Prop. 2.2(1) applied to  $C$  shows that

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K}) = \text{Gal}(\overline{C}/\overline{M}) \cong \Gamma_C/\Gamma_M = \Gamma_K/\Gamma_E.$$

Therefore,

$$|\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})| = |\Gamma_K : \Gamma_E| = \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) = \exp(\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E}));$$

so,  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is maximally cyclic in  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})$ . Hence, by (1) and the last assertion of Prop. 2.13,  $K$  is abelian Galois over  $E$  and  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \leq 2$  (as  $K$  is not inertial over  $E$ ).  $\square$

*Remark 2.15.* Suppose  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) \neq p$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $D^*$  such that  $\text{ord}(v(x) + \Gamma_E) = \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)$ , and let  $L$  be a maximal subfield of  $D$  containing  $x$ . Then by Cor. 2.14,  $L$  cannot be Galois over  $E$  if  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** *Suppose  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) \neq p$  and  $\text{rk}(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F) \geq 3$ . Suppose  $K$  is a subfield of  $D$  which is Galois but not inertial over  $E$ . Then,  $[K : E] \geq p \deg(D) \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $K$  is minimal in  $D$  with the property that  $K$  is Galois but not inertial over  $E$ . Let  $M$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $E$  in  $K$ . Then,  $K$  is Galois, totally ramified, and tame over  $M$  (as  $\text{char}(\overline{E}) \neq p$ ). Let  $L$  be a field with  $M \subseteq L \subsetneq K$ . Then,  $\overline{L} = \overline{K}$  since  $\overline{M} = \overline{K}$ , so  $L$  is Galois over  $E$  by Lemma 2.11. Hence,  $L$  is inertial over  $E$  by the minimality of  $K$ , i.e.,  $L = M$ . Thus,  $M$  is a maximal proper subfield of  $K$ . Since  $K$  is Galois over  $M$ , this implies that  $[K : M] = p$ . So,  $|\Gamma_K : \Gamma_E| = |\Gamma_K : \Gamma_M| = [K : M] = p$ . Now, by Prop. 2.2(3),  $\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{K})$  is a cyclic group. Hence,  $[\overline{D} : \overline{K}] \leq \exp(\text{Gal}(\overline{D}/\overline{E})) = \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)$  (see Prop. 2.2(1)). Therefore,

$$[K : E] = [\overline{K} : \overline{E}] |\Gamma_K : \Gamma_E| = [\overline{D} : \overline{E}] [\overline{D} : \overline{K}]^{-1} p \geq p \deg(D) \exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)^{-1}.$$

$\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AS] S. A. Amitsur and D. Saltman, Generic abelian crossed products and  $p$ -algebras, *J. Algebra*, **51** (1978), 76–87.
- [B<sub>1</sub>] M. Boulagouaz, The graded and tame extensions, pp. 27–40 in: *Commutative Ring Theory (Fez, 1992)*, Eds. P.- J. Cahen et al., Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 153, Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [B<sub>2</sub>] M. Boulagouaz, Le gradué d’une algèbre à division valuée, *Comm. Algebra*, **23** (1995), 4275–4300.
- [B<sub>3</sub>] M. Boulagouaz, Algèbre à division graduée centrale, *Comm. Algebra*, **26** (1998), 2933–2947.
- [B<sub>4</sub>] M. Boulagouaz, Une généralisation du lemme de Hensel, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.*, **5** (1998), 665–673.
- [B<sub>5</sub>] M. Boulagouaz, An introduction to the Galois theory for graded fields, pp. 21–31 in: *Algebra and Number Theory (Fez)*, Eds. M. Boulagouaz and J.-P. Tignol, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 208, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [BM] M. Boulagouaz and K. Mounirh, Generic abelian crossed products and graded division algebras, pp. 33–47 in: *Algebra and Number Theory (Fez)*, Eds. M. Boulagouaz and J.-P. Tignol, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 208, Dekker, New York, 2000.

- [E] O. Endler, *Valuation Theory*, Springer, New York (1972).
- [EP] A. Engler and A. Prestel, *Valued Fields*, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [GS] P. Gille and T. Szamuely, *Central Simple Algebras and Galois Cohomology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2006.
- [JW] B. Jacob and A. R. Wadsworth, Division algebras over Henselian fields, *J. Algebra*, **128** (1990), 126–179.
- [H] M. Hall, Jr., *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- [HW<sub>1</sub>] Y.-S. Hwang and A. R. Wadsworth, Algebraic extensions of graded and valued fields, *Comm. Algebra*, **27** (1999), 821–840.
- [HW<sub>2</sub>] Y.-S. Hwang and A. R. Wadsworth, Correspondences between valued division algebras and graded division algebras, *J. Algebra*, **220** (1999), 73–114.
- [K] G. Karpilovsky, *Topics in Field Theory*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **155**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [L] S. Lang, *Algebra*, 2nd Ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1984.
- [Mor] P. J. Morandi, The Henselization of a valued division algebra, *J. Algebra*, **122** (1989), 232–243.
- [M<sub>1</sub>] K. Mounirh, Nicely semiramified division algebras over Henselian fields, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2005**, No. 4, 571–577.
- [M<sub>2</sub>] K. Mounirh, Nondegenerate semiramified valued and graded division algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **36** (2008), 4386–4406.
- [Mc<sub>1</sub>] K. Mckinnie, Prime to  $p$  extensions of the generic abelian crossed product, *J. Algebra*, **317** (2007), 813–832.
- [Mc<sub>2</sub>] K. Mckinnie, Indecomposable  $p$ -algebras and Galois subfields in generic abelian crossed products, *J. Algebra*, **320** (2008), 1887–1907.
- [Mc<sub>3</sub>] K. Mckinnie, Degeneracy and decomposability in abelian crossed products, preprint, arXiv:0809.1395.
- [S<sub>1</sub>] D. Saltman, Noncrossed product  $p$ -algebras and Galois  $p$ -extensions, *J. Algebra*, **52** (1978), 302–314.
- [S<sub>2</sub>] D. Saltman, *Lectures on Division Algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math., Vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [SB] A. Schofield and M. van den Bergh, The index of a Brauer class on a Brauer-Severi variety, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **333** (1992), 729–739.
- [T] J.-P. Tignol, Produits croisés abéliens, *J. Algebra*, **70** (1981), 420–436.
- [W] A. R. Wadsworth, Valuation theory on finite dimensional division algebras, pp. 385–449 in *Valuation Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. I, eds. F.-V. Kuhlmann et al., Fields Institute Communications, Vol. 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

*E-mail address:* akamounirh@hotmail.com

(for A. R. Wadsworth) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112, USA

*E-mail address:* arwadsworth@ucsd.edu