The Missing Mass of the Milky Way Galaxy

Kenneth Dalton

e-mail: kxdalton@yahoo.com

Abstract

This work verifies the principle that gravitation is caused by *energy* and not simply by mass alone. A model is proposed in which cosmic ray protons flow radially through the galaxy. The resulting electric field energy creates a gravitational force, in addition to the conventional Newtonian force. The model yields a rotation curve that agrees in detail with the experimental curve. The total electric field energy is calculated. It is the missing mass of the galaxy.

1. Introduction.

In the proposed model, electrons are separated from protons by the galactic magnetic field. Similar charge separations are known to occur in our solar system. For example, the solar wind is a plasma with enough thermal energy to escape the Sun. The flux is neutral, composed almost equally of protons and electrons (there are some ions, as well). The total flux is 10^{36} particles sec⁻¹, moving with an average velocity of 400 km sec⁻¹. However, the lighter electrons have the greater outward velocity, and a charge separation occurs. This gives rise to an electric field which aids the acceleration of ions. [1]

A second example is provided by cosmic rays, which are very energetic charged particles. The cosmic ray flux arriving at Earth is not neutral: protons make up 90% of the total. It is thought that magnetic fields in the solar system deflect the electrons, thereby causing the observed separation of charge.

The central bulge of the Milky Way Galaxy contains some 10^{10} stars. Assuming a thermal flux similar to that of the Sun, one would expect the bulge to emit 10^{46} particles sec⁻¹. The magnetic field in the disk preferentially separates electrons. They flow outwardly through the disk, following the magnetic lines. The free protons are mutually repelled and move rapidly away from each other, creating a radial electric field in the galaxy. The electric field is such that the protons reach cosmic ray energy. It is found that the density of free protons is very small, about 1 km^{-3} . Nevertheless, the energy of the electric field produces a gravitational force, which is greater than that produced by the mass of stars alone.

2. The Electric Field.

The rotation curves of many galaxies have now been measured. They exhibit a characteristic flat velocity profile at points far from the galactic center. These observations cannot be understood in terms of conventional Newtonian theory, if visible mass is taken to be the sole source of gravitation. This apparent failure underlies the belief that a great deal of mass is missing from the cosmos.

Here, the argument is made that electric field energy contributes to the gravitational field of the galaxy. The electric field arises from cosmic ray protons that emanate from the central bulge. They stream radially through the core, through the halo, and eventually exit the galaxy. These protons are confined only by the limiting speed of light. In a steady state, the amount of proton charge passing through each spherical surface is given by

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \rho v A = \rho c \left(4\pi r^2\right) \tag{1}$$

where r is the distance from the center. This yields the formula for electric charge density in the galaxy

$$\rho = \frac{\dot{Q}}{4\pi c r^2} = \frac{\chi}{4\pi r^2} \tag{2}$$

An electrostatic field satisfies the equation $\nabla \cdot E = -\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi \rho$. In the present case,

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\phi}{dr}\right) = -\frac{\chi}{r^2} \tag{3}$$

Integrate once to obtain

$$E = -\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{\chi}{r} - \frac{k}{r^2} \tag{4}$$

where k is the constant of integration. In a steady state, the central bulge (radius r_b) remains electrically neutral, so that $k = \chi r_b$ and

$$E = \frac{\chi}{r} \left(1 - \frac{r_b}{r} \right) \tag{5}$$

3. The Gravitational Field.

Einstein's gravitational field equations are given by

$$\kappa R_{\mu\nu} + (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T) = 0 \tag{6}$$

where $\kappa = c^4/8\pi G$. $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy tensor of matter and electromagnetism. Since the field is weak, it will be sufficient to calculate the single component

$$g_{00} = 1 + \frac{2}{c^2}\psi$$
 (7)

Moreover, the field is time-independent, in which case $c^2 R_{00} = -\nabla^2 \psi$. [2-4] Therefore, the gravitational potential satisfies a generalization of Newton's law

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} \Big(T_{00} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{00} T \Big) \tag{8}$$

The energy density of an electrostatic field is $T_{00}^{(e-m)} = E^2/8\pi$, while for matter at rest $T_{00}^{(m)} = \rho_m c^2$. The scalar $T^{(e-m)}$ is identically zero, while $T^{(m)} = \rho_m c^2$, and it follows that

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \left(\rho_m c^2 + \frac{E^2}{4\pi} \right) \tag{9}$$

4. Gravity as $r \to \infty$.

In regions far from the galactic center, beyond the core and in the halo, the only source of gravitation is electric field energy. In this region, the gravitational potential satisfies the equation (5, 9)

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\psi}{dr}\right) = \frac{G}{c^2}\left(\frac{\chi}{r}\right)^2\left(1-\frac{r_b}{r}\right)^2\tag{10}$$

Integrate this equation to find

$$\frac{d\psi}{dr} = \frac{\chi^2 G}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{r_b}{r^2} \left[2\ln\frac{r}{r_b} + \frac{r_b}{r} \right] \right\} + \frac{K}{r^2}$$
(11)

The corresponding velocity (squared) curve is obtained by equating $-d\psi/dr$ to the centripetal acceleration $-v^2/r$

$$v^{2} = \frac{\chi^{2}G}{c^{2}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{r_{b}}{r} \left[2\ln\frac{r}{r_{b}} + \frac{r_{b}}{r} \right] \right\} + \frac{K}{r}$$
(12)

In the limit $r \longrightarrow \infty$, this yields the expression

$$v_{\infty} = \frac{\chi G^{1/2}}{c} \tag{13}$$

As $r \longrightarrow \infty$, the rotation curve gradually rises toward this asymptotic value.

5. Gravity in the Core and Halo.

In the core of the galaxy, outside the dense central bulge, the mass density is assumed to be a constant ρ_c . In this region, the gravitational field satisfies

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\psi}{dr}\right) = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2}\left(\rho_c c^2 + \frac{E^2}{4\pi}\right) \tag{14}$$

This equation integrates to

$$\frac{d\psi}{dr} = \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho_c Gr + \frac{\chi^2 G}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{r_b}{r^2} \left[2\ln\frac{r}{r_b} + \frac{r_b}{r} \right] \right\} + \frac{K'}{r^2}$$
(15)

Near the periphery of the bulge, the electrical term is zero, and $d\psi/dr = GM_b/r_b^2$. Therefore, the constant of integration is

$$K' = GM_b \left(1 - \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_b} \right) \tag{16}$$

where $M_b = \frac{4}{3}\pi \rho_b r_b^3$. This yields the expression for velocities in the core

$$v^{2} = \frac{GM_{b}}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{c}}{\rho_{b}} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \pi \rho_{c} Gr^{2} + \frac{\chi^{2} G}{c^{2}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{r_{b}}{r} \left[2 \ln \frac{r}{r_{b}} + \frac{r_{b}}{r} \right] \right\}$$
(17)

The first term is a decreasing function of r, while the latter two terms are increasing. At the edge of the core, where $r = r_c$, formula (17) may be equated to (12). This determines the integration constant

$$K = G(M_b + M_c) \tag{18}$$

where $M_c = \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho_c(r_c^3 - r_b^3)$. It follows that velocities in the halo satisfy

$$v^{2} = \frac{G(M_{b} + M_{c})}{r} + \frac{\chi^{2}G}{c^{2}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{r_{b}}{r} \left[2\ln\frac{r}{r_{b}} + \frac{r_{b}}{r} \right] \right\}$$
(19)

6. Numerical Results. [5, 6]

The experimental value of v_{∞} is very uncertain. We choose $v_{\infty} = 240 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in order to obtain good agreement in the core and halo. Formula (13) then gives the value of χ for our galaxy

$$\chi = 2.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ statvolts}$$
⁽²⁰⁾

The mass M_b may be calculated from $GM_b/r_b = v_b^2$, using data from the rotation curve: $r_b = 600$ parsecs (pc) and $v_b = 260$ km s⁻¹

$$M_b = 10^{10} \text{ solar masses} \tag{21}$$

The mass M_c is calculated by means of (19), using data for the Sun: $r_0 = 8.4 \text{ kpc}$ and $v_0 = 220 \text{ km s}^{-1}$

$$M_c = 1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ solar masses}$$
(22)

Together with the core radius, $r_c = 4.0$ kpc, this determines all parameters in formulas (17) and (19). They predict the following values for the rotation curve:

r	.6	1	2	3	4	6	8.4	12	24	48	∞
v	260	220	187	202	224	219	220	222	227	231	240

The fit with the experimental curve is remarkable. [6]

The number density of protons may be calculated by setting $\rho = ne$ in formula (2). It varies from $n = 10^{-13}$ cm⁻³ near the bulge to $n = 10^{-16}$ cm⁻³ near the galactic fringe. This is much less than the density of cosmic rays that impinge upon Earth's atmosphere (10⁻¹⁰ particles cm⁻³). Nevertheless, a large number of protons leave the halo each second: set Q = Ne in (1) to find

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{\chi c}{e} = 1.7 \times 10^{41} \text{ protons sec}^{-1}$$
(23)

(In a steady state, an equal number of electrons would leave the galaxy by way of the disk.)

The total energy of the electric field is given by

$$\int \frac{E^2}{8\pi} 4\pi r^2 dr = \frac{\chi^2 r_b}{2} \left\{ \frac{r}{r_b} - 2\ln\frac{r}{r_b} - \frac{r_b}{r} \right\}$$
(24)

This integral diverges linearly. If a cutoff is introduced at r = 60 kpc, then the energy is equal to that of 3.5×10^{11} solar masses. This electric field energy is more than 10 times greater than the visible mass energy in our galaxy. It is the 'missing mass' of the galaxy.

The potential difference is given by

$$\Delta \phi = -\int E \, dr = -\chi \left\{ \ln \frac{r}{r_b} + \frac{r_b}{r} - 1 \right\} \tag{25}$$

Here, the divergence is logarithmic; with the cutoff, $\Delta \phi = -3 \times 10^{24}$ volts. If each proton in (23) were to escape with 10^{24} eV, then the entire energy content of the galaxy would be depleted in 10^4 years. Therefore, the vast majority of protons must attain an energy no greater than 10^{18} eV. Cosmic ray measurements show this to be the case.

7. Concluding Remarks.¹

The strength of the electric field (5) is not great. It reaches a maximum of 0.4 statvolts cm⁻¹ at $r = 2r_b$, then decreases to 0.1 statvolts cm⁻¹ near the galactic fringe. (Galactic magnetic fields are of the order 10^{-6} gauss. Thus, the radial electric force on a given proton is far greater than any magnetic force.) The energy density $E^2/8\pi$ is similar in magnitude to the mass energy density in the core $\rho_c c^2$. The enormous total energy of the field is due to its huge volume and not to its strength.

This electric field is fixed in the galaxy, sustained by the flow of highly energetic cosmic ray protons. These protons, emerging from the center of the galaxy, are spatially ordered, because the speed of light yields a $1/r^2$ steady state distribution of particles (2). This large scale flow of cosmic ray protons differs qualitatively from the thermal motion of particles that form the galactic plasma.

The model yields two independent results which agree with experiment: (a) the complete rotation curve of the galaxy and (b) its missing mass. To the extent that spiral galaxies are alike, it also explains their contribution to the missing mass of the universe as a whole. Lastly, the model provides a new mechanism for the acceleration of galactic cosmic rays.

¹The calculation of the electrostatic field (section 2) was macroscopic, in that the charge density was assumed to be continuous. In fact, the charge is due to relativistic protons moving through the galactic medium. The density of interstellar plasma is estimated to be .02 cm⁻³, with a plasma frequency $\omega_p = 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and Debye screening length $\lambda_D = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}$. [7] A proton passes through one Debye length in the time $c^{-1}\lambda_D = 1.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}$. This is much less than the response time of the plasma, $\omega_p^{-1} = 10^{-4} \text{ s}$. Therefore, the plasma remains in equilibrium, and screening does not occur. It is transparent to the flow of proton charge. Microscopically, the proton field appears as a series of electromagnetic pulses. [8] Macroscopically, it appears as an electrostatic field.

References.

- T. Ecrenaz, J. Bibring, M. Blanc, *The Solar System*, (Springer, 2003); cited in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_wind
- 2. P.A.M. Dirac, General Theory of Relativity, (Wiley, 1975) section 16.
- 3. S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology, (Wiley, 1972) section 7.2.
- C. Misner, K. Thorne, and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (Freeman, New York, 1973) section 18.
- B. Carroll and D. Ostlie, An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics, (Addison-Wesley, 1996) chapter 22.
- V. Kong and G. Rainey, The Disk Rotation of the Milky Way Galaxy, http://www.csupomona.edu/~jis/1999/kong.pdf
- 7. R. Fitzpatrick, *Introduction to Plasma Physics*, http://www.farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/plasma/plasma.html
- 8. J. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, (Wiley, 1998) sect. 11.10.