

# A Simple Proof of an Inequality Connecting the Alternating Number of Independent Sets and the Decycling Number

Vadim E. Levit

Ariel University Center of Samaria, Ariel, Israel  
levitv@ariel.ac.il

Eugen Mandrescu

Holon Institute of Technology, Holon, Israel  
eugen\_m@hit.ac.il

## Abstract

If  $s_k$  denotes the number of independent sets of cardinality  $k$  and  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum independent set in graph  $G$ , then  $I(G; x) = s_0 + s_1x + \dots + s_{\alpha(G)}x^{\alpha(G)}$  is the *independence polynomial* of  $G$  [8].

In this paper we provide an elementary proof of the inequality  $|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\varphi(G)}$ , where  $\varphi(G)$  is the decycling number of  $G$ .

**Keywords:** independent set, independence polynomial, decycling number, forest, cyclomatic number.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper  $G = (V, E)$  is a finite, undirected, loopless and without multiple edges graph, with vertex set  $V = V(G)$  and edge set  $E = E(G)$ . By  $G - W$  we mean the subgraph induced by  $V - W$ . The set  $N(v) = \{w : w \in V \text{ and } vw \in E\}$  *neighborhood* of the vertex  $v \in V$ , and  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . A *leaf* is a vertex having a unique neighbor.

A set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices is called *independent*. The *independence number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ , is the cardinality of a maximum independent set.

If  $G$  has  $s_k$  independent sets of size  $k$ , then

$$I(G; x) = s_0 + s_1x + s_2x^2 + \dots + s_{\alpha(G)}x^{\alpha(G)}$$

is known as the *independence polynomial* of  $G$  [8]. Some properties of the independence polynomial are presented in [1, 4, 6, 12, 13, 14].

The value of a graph polynomial at a specific point can give sometimes a very surprising information about the structure of the graph [2]. In the case of independence polynomials, let us notice that:

- $I(G; 1) = s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{\alpha}$  equals the number of independent sets of  $G$ . It is known as the *Fibonacci number* of  $G$  [11, 16, 17].

- $I(G; -1) = s_0 - s_1 + s_2 - \dots + (-1)^{\alpha} s_{\alpha}$  is equal to difference of the numbers of independent sets of even and odd sizes. It is known as the *alternating number of independent sets* [5]. The value of  $|I(G; -1)|$  can be any non-negative integer. For instance,  $|I(K_{\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha}; -1)| = n - 1$ , where  $K_{\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha}$  is the complete  $n$ -partite graph.
- $I(G; -1) = -\tilde{\chi}(Ind(G))$ , where  $\tilde{\chi}(\Sigma)$  is the *reduced Euler characteristic* of the abstract simplicial complex  $\Sigma$ . Recall that an abstract simplicial complex on a finite vertex set  $\Sigma_0$  is a subset  $\Sigma$  of  $2^{\Sigma_0}$  satisfying:  $\{v\} \in \Sigma$  for every  $v \in \Sigma_0$ , and  $A \subseteq B \in \Sigma$  implies  $A \in \Sigma$ . The elements of  $\Sigma$  are *faces* and the dimension of a face  $A$  is  $|A| - 1$ . For a simplicial complex with  $s_i$  faces of dimension  $i - 1$ , the *reduced Euler characteristic* equals  $-s_0 + s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - \dots$ . The family  $Ind(G)$  of all independent sets of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  forms a simplicial complex on  $V$ , called the *independence complex* of  $G$  [9].

The *cyclomatic number*  $\nu(G)$  of the graph  $G$  is the dimension of the *cycle space* of  $G$ , i.e., the dimension of the linear space spanned by the edge sets of all the cycles of  $G$ . The *decycling number* [3] (or the *feedback vertex number* [18])  $\varphi(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of vertices that need to be removed in order to eliminate all its cycles. While  $\nu(G)$  can be easily computed, since  $\nu(G) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + p$ , where  $p$  is the number of connected components of  $G$ , it is known that to compute  $\varphi(G)$  is an **NP**-complete problem [10]. It is clear that  $\varphi(G) \leq \nu(G)$  holds for every graph  $G$ .

The inequality  $|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\nu(G)}$  has been established in [15], while a stronger result, namely,  $|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\varphi(G)}$  has been proved in [7].

In this paper we provide a simple proof of the inequality  $|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\varphi(G)}$  using only elementary arguments.

## 2 Results

**Proposition 2.1** [8] *If  $v \in V(G)$ , then  $I(G; x) = I(G - v; x) + x \cdot I(G - N[v]; x)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** *For any graph  $G$  the alternating number of independent sets is bounded as follows*

$$|I(G; -1)| \leq 2^{\varphi(G)},$$

where  $\varphi(G)$  is the decycling number of  $G$ .

**Proof.** We establish the inequality by induction on  $\varphi(G)$ .

- If  $\varphi(G) = 0$ , then  $G$  is a forest, and we have to show that  $|I(G; -1)| \leq 1$ .

We proceed by mathematical induction on  $n = |V(G)|$ .

For  $n = 0$ ,  $I(G; x) = 1$  and  $I(G; -1) = 1$ , while for  $n = 1$ ,  $I(G; x) = 1 + x$  and  $I(G; -1) = 0$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a forest with  $|V(G)| = n \geq 2$ .

If  $G$  has no leaves, then  $I(G; x) = (1 + x)^n$  and  $I(G; -1) = 0$ . Otherwise, let  $v$  be a leaf of  $G$  and  $N(v) = \{u\}$ . According to Proposition 2.1 we obtain that

$$I(G; x) = I(G - u; x) + x \cdot I(G - N[u]; x) = (1 + x) \cdot I(G - \{u, v\}; x) + x \cdot I(G - N[u]; x).$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis, we finally get

$$|I(G; -1)| = |(-1) \cdot I(G - N[u]; -1)| \leq 1.$$

- Assume that the statement is true for graphs with the decycling number  $\varphi(G) \leq k$ .  
Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\varphi(G) = k + 1$ . Clearly, there exists some  $v \in V(G)$ , such that  $\varphi(G - v) < \varphi(G)$ . According to Proposition 2.1, we get:

$$I(G; -1) = I(G - v; -1) - I(G - N[v]; -1).$$

By the induction hypothesis, it assures that

$$|I(G; -1)| \leq |I(G - v; -1)| + |I(G - N[v]; -1)| \leq 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{\varphi(G)},$$

and this completes the proof.

■

Notice that if  $G = qK_3$ , then  $I(G; x) = (1 + 3x)^q$  and hence,  $I(G; -1) = (-2)^{\varphi(G)}$ .

**Conjecture 2.3** *For every positive integer  $k$  and each integer  $q$  such that  $|q| \leq 2^k$ , there is a graph  $G$  with  $\varphi(G) = k$  and  $I(G; -1) = q$ .*

### 3 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Alexander Engström for drawing their attention to the fact that some of their findings from [15] may be translated to known results in combinatorial topology.

### References

- [1] Y. Alavi, P. J. Malde, A. J. Schwenk, P. Erdős, *The vertex independence sequence of a graph is not constrained*, *Congressus Numerantium* **58** (1987) 15-23.
- [2] P. N. Balister, B. Bollobás, J. Cutler, L. Pebody, *The interlace polynomial of graphs at  $-1$* , *European Journal of Combinatorics* **23** (2002) 761-767.
- [3] L. W. Beineke, R. C. Vandell, *Decycling graphs*, *Journal of Graph Theory* **25** (1997) 59-77.
- [4] J. I. Brown, K. Dilcher, R. J. Nowakowski, *Roots of independence polynomials of well-covered graphs*, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **11** (2000) 197-210.
- [5] M. Bousquet-Mélou, S. Linusson, E. Nevo, *On the independence complex of square grids*, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **27** (2008) 423-450.
- [6] M. Chudnovsky, P. Seymour, *The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* **97** (2007) 350-357.
- [7] A. Engström, *Upper bounds on the Witten index for supersymmetric lattice models by discrete Morse theory*, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **30** (2009) 429-438.
- [8] I. Gutman, F. Harary, *Generalizations of the matching polynomial*, *Utilitas Mathematica* **24** (1983) 97-106.

- [9] J. Jonsson, *Simplicial Complexes of Graphs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1928**, Springer, 2008, 378 pp.
- [10] R. Karp, *Reducibility among combinatorial problems*, in *Complexity of Computer Computations* (eds. R. E. Miller and J. W. Thatcher), Plenum Press 1972, 85-103.
- [11] A. Knopfmachera, R. F. Tichy, S. Wagner, V. Ziegler, *Graphs, partitions and Fibonacci numbers*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **155** (2007) 1175-1187.
- [12] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *The independence polynomial of a graph - a survey*, Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Algebraic Informatics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece, 20-23 October, 2005, pp. 233-254.
- [13] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Independence polynomials of well-covered graphs: Generic counterexamples for the unimodality conjecture*, European Journal of Combinatorics **27** (2006) 931-939.
- [14] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On the roots of independence polynomials of almost all very well-covered graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **156** (2008) 478-491.
- [15] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *The independence polynomial of a graph at -1*, E-print, arXiv:0904.4819v1 [math.CO]
- [16] A. S. Pedersen, P. D. Vestergaard, *The number of independent sets in unicyclic graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **152** (2005) 246-256.
- [17] H. Prodinger, R. F. Tichy, *Fibonacci numbers of graphs*, Fibonacci Quart. **20** (1982) 16-21.
- [18] S. Ueno, Y. Kajitani, S. Gotoh, *On the nonseparating independent set problem and feedback set problem for graphs with no vertex degree exceeding three*, Discrete Mathematics **72** (1988) 355-360.