

On the semi-classical 3D Neumann Laplacian with variable magnetic field

Nicolas Raymond

November 1, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we are interested in the semi-classical estimates of the spectrum of the Neumann Laplacian in dimension 3. This work aims to present a complementary case to the one presented in the paper of Helffer and Morame in the case of constant magnetic field. More precisely, in the case when the magnetic field is variable and under the most generic condition for which boundary localizations can be observed, we prove a three terms upper bound for the lowest eigenvalue and establish some semi-classical behaviour of the spectrum.

1 Introduction and main results

Let Ω be an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth boundary. For a vector potential $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $h > 0$, we introduce

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

and the quadratic form defined for all $\psi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ by :

$$q_{\mathbf{A}}^h(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} |(ih\nabla + \mathbf{A})\psi|^2 dx.$$

One of our interests will be the lowest eigenvalue denoted by $\lambda_1^h(\mathbf{A})$ of the associated self-adjoint operator i.e. the Neumann realization of $(ih\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2$ on Ω denoted by $P_{\mathbf{A}}^h$. The question of analyzing the behaviour of $\lambda_1^h(\mathbf{A})$ as h tends to 0 appears in the theory of superconductivity when studying the third critical field of the Ginzburg-Landau functional (see [FH09]) and also in the theory of phase transition of liquid crystals (see [HP07]). In this last

topics, it turns out that the case when the magnetic field admits constant strength really plays a particular role. In this paper, we will treat the case when both direction and strength of the magnetic field may vary, what can happen in the superconductivity case. In fact, until now, only the case with constant magnetic field was studied in details. Before analyzing the general problem, we need to recall some properties of a simplified model on the half space which were first established in [LP00] and then in [HM02, FH09].

Model in \mathbb{R}_+^3

Let us consider the case of the constant magnetic field in

$$\mathbb{R}_+^3 = \{(r, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : t > 0\}.$$

The angle between the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and the plane $t = 0$ is denoted by θ_1 and so, up to a rotation, we can assume (in the case when the norm of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is 1) :

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (0, \cos \theta, \sin \theta),$$

with

$$\theta = \theta_1 \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

An associated vector potential is given by :

$$\mathbf{A} = (t \cos \theta - s \sin \theta, 0, 0)$$

and we consider the Neumann realization on \mathbb{R}_+^3 of

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(\theta) = (D_r + V_\theta)^2 + D_s^2 + D_t^2,$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad V_\theta = t \cos \theta - s \sin \theta.$$

The bottom of the spectrum of this operator is denoted by :

$$(1.3) \quad \inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}(\theta)) = \sigma(\theta).$$

Although we work in 3D, we will have to consider the Neumann realization on \mathbb{R}_+^2 denoted by $H(\theta)$ with domain :

$$D(H(\theta)) = \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : H(\theta)\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \text{ and } D_t\psi = 0 \text{ on } t = 0\}$$

and defined by :

$$(1.4) \quad H(\theta) = D_t^2 + D_s^2 + V_\theta^2.$$

It has been proved in [LP00] (see [FH09] and the references therein), that :

1. $\inf \sigma(H(\theta)) = \sigma(\theta)$ for $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$,
2. σ is analytic on $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$, strictly increasing on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and satisfies :
 $0 < \sigma(0) < 1$ and $\sigma(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$.
3. For $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$:

$$(1.5) \quad \inf \sigma_{ess}(H(\theta)) = 1.$$

4. $\sigma(\theta)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $H(\theta)$ associated to some positive and L^2 -normalized function u_θ (see also [RS78]).

In particular, the minimal energy of $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$ is obtained when the magnetic field is tangent ($\theta = 0$). Using a rescaling, the bottom of the spectrum when the field admits $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|$ for module is given by $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|\sigma(\theta)$.

For $x \in \partial\Omega$, we let :

$$(1.6) \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(x) = \sigma(\theta(x))\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x)\|,$$

where $\theta(x)$ is defined by :

$$\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x)\| \sin \theta(x) = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$$

with $\boldsymbol{\nu}(x)$ the inward pointing normal at x .

Lu-Pan's result : main term of the asymptotics

We recall the asymptotics which was first established by Lu-Pan (cf. [LP00, FH09]) :

Theorem 1.1 *The following asymptotic expansion holds :*

$$\lambda_1^h(\mathbf{A}) = \min(\inf_{\Omega} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x)\|, \inf_{\partial\Omega} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(x))h + O(h^{5/4}).$$

Remark 1.2.

1. In fact, until now, the proof of the upper bound was just sketched (see [LP00, Theorem 5.1]). We will see that, if some generic conditions are satisfied, the remainder for the upper bound is better than $O(h^{5/4})$.
2. The Stokes formula implies that :

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} dx = 0,$$

and so there exists a point where the magnetic field is tangent to the boundary. Consequently, when the magnetic field has constant strength, the first term in the asymptotics is $\Theta_0\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0)\|h$.

3. The case when the magnetic field is constant has already been studied in details under generic assumptions in [HM04] where a two terms asymptotics is proved with a second term of order $h^{4/3}$.
4. When the magnetic field just admits a constant strength, one or two term asymptotics are established in the papers [HP07, HP08, Ray09b].
5. Finally, let us mention that this kind of asymptotics has already appeared in dimension 2 in the constant case (see [BS98, BPT98, LP99, dPFS00, HM01, FH06]) and that we have obtained a two terms expansion in [Ray09a] when the magnetic field is not uniform.

■

Main assumptions and ideas

Let us state our hypotheses on the magnetic field in this paper. Our estimates are only interesting when the following condition is satisfied (cf. Theorem (1.1)) :

$$(1.7) \quad \inf_{\partial\Omega} \hat{\beta} < \inf_{\Omega} \|\beta\|.$$

This condition is also called the surface superconductivity condition (cf. [LP00, FH09]). It implies a localization of the groundstates on the boundary when $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence, on the boundary, we wish to deal with some generic case in the same way as we have done in 2D (see [Ray09a]) ; so we will assume first that :

$$(1.8) \quad \hat{\beta} \text{ admits a minimum at } x_0$$

and that at this point the field is neither tangent, neither perpendicular to the boundary, i.e :

$$(1.9) \quad 0 < \theta(x_0) = \theta_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Our point is to obtain the semi-classical behaviour of eigenvalues in the bottom of the spectrum of $P_{\mathbf{A}}^h$. In order to do that we will construct an operator whose spectrum is close to the one of $P_{\mathbf{A}}^h$. From this, it will follow a quasimode construction associated to the approximated operator and simultaneously we will get, in some sense, the candidate to a three terms asymptotics (in powers of h) of the lowest eigenvalues. To define the different terms in the asymptotics, each one corresponding to a step of the quasimode construction, we need to introduce a few invariants mixing the geometry of the domain, the properties of the magnetic field and spectral quantities attached to $H(\theta)$. We first define the direct orthonormal basis $(\vec{l}_0, \vec{\tau}_0, \vec{\nu}_0)$ attached to the point x_0 :

1. $\vec{\nu}_0$ is the inward pointing normal at x_0
2. $\vec{\tau}_0 = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0) - (\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0) \cdot \vec{\nu}_0)\vec{\nu}_0}{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0) - (\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0) \cdot \vec{\nu}_0)\vec{\nu}_0\|}$
3. $\vec{l}_0 = \vec{\tau}_0 \times \vec{\nu}_0$.

Let us now present our main results.

Second term of the asymptotics

Our first invariant depends on the the second fundamental form at x_0 denoted by K :

$$K_{11} = K(\vec{l}_0, \vec{l}_0), K_{22} = K(\vec{\tau}_0, \vec{\tau}_0), K_{12} = K(\vec{l}_0, \vec{\tau}_0).$$

It also depends on the first derivatives of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ at x_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \nabla \left\langle \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0)\|}, \vec{\tau}_0 \right\rangle \cdot \vec{\tau}_0 \\ \tilde{\delta} &= \nabla \left\langle \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0)\|}, \vec{l}_0 \right\rangle \cdot \vec{l}_0, \\ \eta &= \nabla \left\langle \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0)\|}, \vec{\tau}_0 \right\rangle \cdot \vec{\nu}_0 - K_{11} \cos(\theta),\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta = \theta_1$. At x_0 we define the quantity $C^{\boldsymbol{\beta}, K}(x_0)$ by :

$$2\beta \left\langle V_\theta(st - \frac{T}{2}s^2)u_\theta, u_\theta \right\rangle + \eta \left\langle t^2 V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \right\rangle + \frac{2\tilde{\delta}}{\sin \theta} \int_{t>0} t |D_s u_\theta|^2 + \left\langle H_K u_\theta, u_\theta \right\rangle, \quad (1.10)$$

where V_θ is defined in (1.2) and

$$T = T(\theta) = -\frac{C(\theta)}{S(\theta)}, \quad (1.11)$$

with

$$C = C(\theta) = \cos \theta \sigma(\theta) - \sin \theta \sigma'(\theta), S = S(\theta) = \sin \theta \sigma(\theta) + \cos \theta \sigma'(\theta) \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$H_K = (K_{11} + K_{22})\partial_t + 2tK_{11}V_\theta^2 + 2tK_{22}D_s^2 + 2tK_{12}(V_\theta D_s + D_s V_\theta). \quad (1.13)$$

Remark 1.3.

1. We can notice that $S(\theta) > 0$. Indeed, we know (see [FH09]) that $\sigma'(\theta) \geq 0$, $\sigma(\theta) > 0$ and we have assumed that $\theta \neq 0$.
2. An integration by parts provides that

$$\langle t(V_\theta D_s + D_s V_\theta)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0$$

and so $C^{\beta,K}(x_0)$ is real.

3. We will see that the construction of $C^{\beta,K}(x_0)$ as part of the second term of the asymptotics uses that x_0 is a critical point of $\hat{\beta}$.

■

We can now state a theorem dealing with the second term of the asymptotics :

Theorem 1.4 *Under Assumptions (1.8) and (1.9), there exists $D > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that, for $0 < h \leq h_0$, there exists at least one eigenvalue μ of $P_{\mathbf{A}}^h$ such that :*

$$|\mu - (\hat{\beta}(x_0)h + C^{\beta,K}(x_0)\|\beta(x_0)\|^{1/2}h^{3/2})| \leq Dh^2,$$

where $C^{\beta,K}(x_0)$ is defined in (1.10).

Then, to continue the asymptotic expansion, we assume the following generic condition :

$$(1.14) \quad \hat{\beta} \text{ admits a non-degenerate minimum at } x_0.$$

Third term of the asymptotics

We denote by \mathfrak{S}_β the Hessian matrix at x_0 , namely :

$$\mathfrak{S}_\beta(r, s) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_r^2 \hat{\beta}(x_0)r^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{rs}^2 \hat{\beta}(x_0)(sr + rs) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_s^2 \hat{\beta}(x_0)s^2$$

We can now introduce a fundamental operator linked with the behaviour of the third term of the asymptotics :

$$(1.15) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_\beta = \mathfrak{S}_\beta(D_\tau, \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}).$$

By the non-degeneracy Assumption (1.14), this operator is an harmonic oscillator whose spectrum is explicitly known. We can now state the main result of this paper :

Theorem 1.5 *Under Assumptions (1.14) and (1.9), there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $D_n > 0$ and $h_n > 0$ such that, for $0 < h \leq h_n$, there exists at least one eigenvalue μ_n of $P_{\mathbf{A}}^h$ such that :*

$$|\mu_n - (\hat{\beta}(x_0)h + C^{\beta,K}(x_0)\|\beta(x_0)\|^{1/2}h^{3/2} + (\gamma_n(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\beta}) + d)h^2)| \leq D_n h^{5/2},$$

where $\gamma_n(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\beta})$ is the n -th eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\beta}$.

This theorem admits the following easy corollary :

Corollary 1.6 *Under Assumptions (1.14) and (1.9), there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}$, $D_1 > 0$ and $h_1 > 0$ such that, for $0 < h \leq h_1$:*

$$\lambda_1^h(\mathbf{A}) \leq \hat{\beta}(x_0)h + C^{\beta,K}(x_0)\|\beta(x_0)\|^{1/2}h^{3/2} + (\gamma_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{\beta}) + d)h^2 + D_1 h^{5/2}.$$

An interesting point would be to get the corresponding lower bound.

Organization of the paper

In Section 2, we study some spectral properties of $H(\theta)$. In Section 3, we consider a change of coordinates and see how the magnetic field and the metrics are transformed. In Section 4, we explain how we get, using Taylor approximations, a simplified operator. Finally, in Section 5, we use the simplified model to construct a quasimode and prove Theorem 1.4 and 1.5.

2 The family of operators $H(\theta)$

This section is devoted to the study of a family of operators $H(\theta)$ which plays a fundamental role. Let us explain why we are reduced to this family of operators in \mathbb{R}_+^2 . Considering the operator (1.1) and performing a Fourier transform in the variable r , we are led to the τ -dependent family of Neumann realizations :

$$H(\theta, \tau) = (\tau + V_{\theta})^2 + D_s^2 + D_t^2.$$

Then, under Assumption (1.9) and making a translation in the variable s , $H(\theta, \tau)$ is unitarily equivalent to $H(\theta, 0) = H(\theta)$.

In the next subsection, we study the exponential decay properties of the solutions of equations in the form :

$$h(\theta)v = f,$$

where :

$$(2.16) \quad h(\theta) = H(\theta) - \sigma(\theta).$$

This kind of equations will indeed appear many times in the final quasimode construction.

2.1 Exponential decay

We begin to notice that, in view of (1.5) and using Persson's Theorem (cf. [Agm82, FH09]), when $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and for any $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{1 - \sigma(\theta)}$, we get :

$$e^{\alpha\sqrt{s^2+t^2}}u_\theta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Let us denote

$$L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : \exists \alpha > 0, \quad e^{\alpha(t+\langle s \rangle)}f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)\}$$

and

$$H_{exp}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : \exists \alpha > 0, \quad e^{\alpha(t+\langle s \rangle)}f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)\},$$

where $\langle s \rangle = (s^2 + 1)^{1/2}$.

Thus, we have :

$$(2.17) \quad u_\theta \in H_{exp}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Let us now state the main proposition of this subsection.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $f \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ such that $\langle f, u_\theta \rangle = 0$. Then, if v denotes a solution of*

$$(2.18) \quad h(\theta)v = f,$$

then

$$v \in H_{exp}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Proof.

We begin to prove the control in the variable s . Let us introduce a smooth cutoff function χ satisfying

$$\chi(s) = 1 \text{ if } |s| \leq 1 \text{ and } \chi(s) = 0 \text{ if } |s| \geq 2.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider the (bounded and inversible) multiplication operator by $e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle}$ where $\chi_n(s) = \chi(n^{-1}s)$. Let us verify that it preserves $D(H(\theta))$.

For $\psi \in D(H(\theta))$, we have :

$$H(\theta)(e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle}\psi) = e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle}H(\theta)\psi - \epsilon\chi_n'(s)\langle s \rangle D_s\psi - \epsilon\chi_n(s)\frac{s}{\langle s \rangle}D_s\psi.$$

As $D_s\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, we deduce that $H(\theta)(e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle}\psi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Moreover, $e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle}\psi$ satisfies the Neumann condition and so :

$$e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle}\psi \in D(H(\theta)).$$

We now use a Grushin method and we introduce $\mathfrak{H}(\theta)$ defined on $D(H(\theta)) \times \mathbb{C}$ by :

$$\mathfrak{H}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} h(\theta) & u_\theta \\ \langle \cdot, u_\theta \rangle & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that this operator is invertible. (2.18) is equivalent to :

$$\mathfrak{H}(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

if we take for v the unique solution orthogonal to u_θ (all the other solutions will satisfy the decay properties because u_θ does). Letting $\tilde{v} = e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} v$ and $\tilde{f} = e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} f$, we can rewrite :

$$\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathfrak{H}(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can notice that $D(\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n}) = D(\mathfrak{H}(\theta))$. An easy computation provides :

$$\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} h(\theta) e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} & e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} u_\theta \\ \langle \cdot, e^{-\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} u_\theta \rangle & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can write, using the exponential decay of u_θ (see (2.17)), that :

$$\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n} = \mathfrak{H}(\theta) + \epsilon F_n,$$

where F_n is bounded relatively to $\mathfrak{H}(\theta)$ uniformly with respect to n . The conclusion is then standard ; for ϵ small enough $\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n}$ is invertible and there exists $C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\|(\mathfrak{H}(\theta)^{\epsilon,n})^{-1}\| \leq C(\epsilon).$$

This leads to the inequality :

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} \leq C(\epsilon) \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}.$$

Thus, we deduce :

$$\|e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} \leq C(\epsilon) \|e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}.$$

The dominated convergence Theorem shows that the r.h.s converges when $n \rightarrow +\infty$ to $\|e^{\epsilon\langle s \rangle} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}$. So, we infer that $e^{\epsilon\chi_n(s)\langle s \rangle} v$ weakly converges

(after subsequence extraction) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ to some function h . The convergence in the sense of distributions and again the dominated convergence theorem proves that : $e^{\epsilon \langle s \rangle} v = h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Consequently, the decay with respect to s is proved.

We now explain the decay with respect to the variable t . We proceed exactly in the same way, but we have to deal with the Neumann condition. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider a smooth cutoff function η_n such that :

$$\eta_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq 2n \end{cases} .$$

We observe that the (bounded and inversible) multiplication operator by $e^{\epsilon \eta_n(t)t}$ preserves $D(H(\theta))$; then, it remains to use the same analysis as previously by using this time the decay of u_θ with respect to t and the proposition is proved after having noticed (by integration by parts) that :

$$q_\theta(e^{\alpha/2(t+\langle s \rangle)} v) < +\infty,$$

where q_θ is the quadratic form associated to $H(\theta)$. ■

Let us denote :

$$H_{exp}^\infty = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) : \forall (\ell, k) \in \mathbb{N}^4 \quad D_s^\ell D_t^k f \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)\}.$$

It is clear that $H_{exp}^\infty \subset \mathcal{S}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}})$. Proposition 2.1 permits to prove the following proposition :

Proposition 2.2 *The groundstate u_θ of $H(\theta)$ belongs to H_{exp}^∞ .*

Proof.

We first recall that $u_\theta \in H_{exp}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Then, let us take the derivative with respect to s :

$$h(\theta) \partial_s u_\theta = 2 \sin \theta V_\theta u_\theta \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Proposition 2.1 provides $D_s u_\theta \in H_{exp}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. A recursion gives that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$D_s^m u_\theta \in H_{exp}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Then, we come back to the equation :

$$D_t^2 u_\theta = (-D_s^2 - V_\theta^2 + \sigma(\theta)) u_\theta \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Taking the derivative with respect to s , we find :

$$D_t^2 D_s^m u_\theta \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$$

and differentiating with respect to t , we deduce :

$$D_t^3 D_s^m u_\theta \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

Finally, a recursion provides, for all integers ℓ, k :

$$D_s^\ell D_t^k u_\theta \in L_{exp}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2).$$

■

We can now state the other important corollary :

Corollary 2.3 *Let $g \in H_{exp}^\infty$ and $f \in D(H(\theta))$ such that :*

$$h(\theta)f = g.$$

Then, $f \in H_{exp}^\infty$.

Proof.

The proof is essentially the same as the one of the previous corollary.

■

2.2 A few properties of u_θ

We begin to establish some properties of u_θ related to the tangential coordinate s .

2.2.1 A momentum formula

The definition of u_θ provides :

$$H(\theta)u_\theta = \sigma(\theta)u_\theta.$$

We differentiate with respect to s this identity and obtain the formula :

$$(2.19) \quad h(\theta)\partial_s u_\theta = 2 \sin \theta V_\theta u_\theta.$$

Therefore, taking the scalar product with u_θ , we deduce the lemma :

Lemma 2.4

For all $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$, we have :

$$\int_{t>0} (t \cos \theta - s \sin \theta) |u_\theta(s, t)|^2 ds dt = 0.$$

We again take the derivative in (2.19) with respect to s to find :

$$(2.20) \quad h(\theta)\partial_s^2 u_\theta = 2 \sin \theta (2V_\theta \partial_s u_\theta - \sin \theta u_\theta) = 2 \sin \theta (\partial_s V_\theta + V_\theta \partial_s) u_\theta.$$

If we take the derivative two more times, we get :

$$h(\theta)\partial_s^4 u_\theta = 12 \sin^2 \theta \partial_s^2 u_\theta + 8 \sin \theta V_\theta \partial_s^3 u_\theta.$$

We deduce the lemma :

Lemma 2.5 *We have :*

$$\int V_\theta \partial_s^3 u_\theta u_\theta ds dt = \frac{3}{2} \sin \theta \int \partial_s^2 u_\theta u_\theta ds dt.$$

2.2.2 Another formulas

We will meet the question of finding g s.t :

$$(2.21) \quad h(\theta)g = -t \partial_s u_\theta.$$

We let :

$$(2.22) \quad L_s = -\partial_s^2 + \sin^2 \theta s^2.$$

An easy computation gives the following commutator formula :

$$L_s H(\theta) = H(\theta) L_s + 4 \cos \theta \sin \theta t \partial_s.$$

We infer :

$$h(\theta)(L_s u_\theta) = -4 \cos \theta \sin \theta t \partial_s u_\theta.$$

Hence, we can define a solution of (2.21) by :

$$(2.23) \quad f_0 = (2 \sin(2\theta))^{-1} (\sin^2 \theta s^2 u_\theta - \partial_s^2 u_\theta).$$

Finally, we have :

$$L_s h(\theta) f_0 = h(\theta)(L_s f_0) + 4 \cos \theta \sin \theta t \partial_s f_0.$$

We take the scalar product to get :

$$\langle L_s h(\theta) f_0, u_\theta \rangle = \langle 4 \cos \theta \sin \theta t \partial_s f_0, u_\theta \rangle.$$

But, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_s h(\theta) f_0, u_\theta \rangle &= - \langle L_s (t \partial_s u_\theta), u_\theta \rangle = - \sin^2 \theta \int t s^2 \partial_s u_\theta u_\theta ds dt \\ &= \sin^2 \theta \int t s u_\theta^2 ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

So, we deduce the following lemma :

Lemma 2.6 *We have the identity :*

$$\langle 4 \cos \theta t \partial_s f_0, u_\theta \rangle = \sin \theta \int t s u_\theta^2 ds dt.$$

Finally, differentiating twice the equation (2.21) satisfied by f_0 , we obtain :

Lemma 2.7 *We have, on one hand :*

$$\begin{aligned} h(\theta) \partial_s^2 f_0 &= -t \partial_s^2 u_\theta + 2 \sin \theta V_\theta f_0, \\ \langle -t \partial_s^2 u_\theta + 2 \sin \theta V_\theta f_0, u_\theta \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand :

$$\begin{aligned} h(\theta) \partial_s^2 f_0 &= -t \partial_s^3 u_\theta + 2 \sin \theta (-\sin \theta f_0 + 2V_\theta \partial_s f_0), \\ \langle -t \partial_s^3 u_\theta + 2 \sin \theta (-\sin \theta f_0 + 2V_\theta \partial_s f_0), u_\theta \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.2.3 Properties of $H(\theta, \rho)$

The main ingredient in the following analysis is the so-called Feynman-Hellmann formula. We introduce a parameter ρ which will permit to play with a scale invariance. We define :

$$H(\theta, \rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} (D_s^2 + D_t^2) + \rho V_\theta^2$$

and consider :

$$(2.24) \quad H(\theta, \rho) u_{\theta, \rho} = \sigma(\theta) u_{\theta, \rho},$$

where

$$u_{\theta, \rho}(s, t) = u_\theta(\rho^{-1/2} s, \rho^{-1/2} t).$$

We can notice that $H(\theta, 1) = H(\theta)$.

2.2.4 Feynman-Hellmann with respect to ρ

We take the derivative with respect to ρ :

$$(H(\theta, \rho) - \sigma(\theta)) \partial_\rho u = -\partial_\rho H u,$$

and

$$\partial_\rho H = -\rho^{-2} (D_s^2 + D_t^2) + \rho^{-1/2} (\tau + \sqrt{\rho} V_\theta) V_\theta.$$

We use (2.24) to obtain :

$$(2.25) \quad (H(\theta, \rho) - \sigma)\partial_\rho u = \frac{\sigma u_{\theta,\rho}}{\rho} - 2V_\theta^2 u_{\theta,\rho}.$$

Multiplying this last equation by $u_{\theta,\rho}$ and integrating, one recovers the so-called Virial Theorem :

$$(2.26) \quad \int_{t>0} (|D_s u_\theta|^2 + |D_t u_\theta|^2) ds dt = \int_{t>0} |V_\theta u_\theta|^2 ds dt = \frac{\sigma(\theta)}{2}.$$

Remark 2.8.

If we only perform a rescaling in the variable s , we find the identity :

$$(2.27) \quad \int |D_s u_\theta|^2 ds dt = -\sin \theta \int s V_\theta u_\theta^2 ds dt.$$

■

2.2.5 Feynman-Hellmann with respect to θ

We take the derivative of (2.24) with respect to θ :

$$(H(\theta, \rho) - \sigma(\theta))\partial_\theta u = \sigma'(\theta)u - \partial_\theta H u,$$

and

$$\partial_\theta H = 2\rho V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta.$$

We find :

$$(2.28) \quad (H - \sigma)\partial_\theta u = \sigma'(\theta)u_\theta - 2\rho V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta u_\theta.$$

Taking $\rho = 1$ and multiplying by u_θ , we get :

$$(2.29) \quad 2 \int_{t>0} V_\theta \frac{\partial V_\theta}{\partial \theta} |u_\theta|^2 ds dt = \sigma'(\theta),$$

which also reads :

$$(2.30) \quad \sigma'(\theta) := -2 \int_{t>0} (\cos \theta t - \sin \theta s)(\sin \theta t + \cos \theta s) |u_\theta(s, t)|^2 ds dt.$$

Remark 2.9.

Combining (2.29) and (2.26), it follows :

$$(2.31) \quad \int t V_\theta u_\theta^2 ds dt = \frac{C(\theta)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int s V_\theta u_\theta^2 ds dt = -\frac{S(\theta)}{2}.$$

■

2.2.6 Consequences

A first identity

We get the following lemma :

Lemma 2.10 *Defining*

$$(2.32) \quad I_1(\theta) = \int_{t>0} (t - sT(\theta))V_\theta |u_\theta|^2 ds dt,$$

where $T(\theta)$ is defined in (1.11), we have : $I_1(\theta) = 0$.

Proof.

One multiplies (2.25) by σ' and (2.28) by $\frac{\sigma}{\rho}$ to obtain :

$$(2.33) \quad (H(\theta, \rho) - \sigma(\theta))w_{\theta, \rho} = -2\sigma'V_\theta^2 u_{\theta, \rho} + 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta u_{\theta, \rho},$$

where

$$(2.34) \quad w_{\theta, \rho} = \sigma' \partial_\rho u_{\theta, \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \sigma \partial_\theta u_{\theta, \rho}.$$

We take $\rho = 1$, multiply by u_θ , integrate and Lemma 2.10 is proved. ■

We will denote :

$$(2.35) \quad w_0 = \sigma' \partial_\rho u_{\theta, 1} - \sigma \partial_\theta u_{\theta, 1}.$$

A second identity

We now apply the operator

$$(2.36) \quad j(\theta, \rho) = \sigma' \partial_\rho - \frac{\sigma}{\rho} \partial_\theta$$

to (2.33), take $\rho = 1$ and we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} & j(\theta, \rho)(H(\theta, \rho))w_{\theta, \rho} + (H(\theta, \rho) - \sigma)j(\theta, \rho)w_{\theta, \rho} \\ & = (-2\sigma'V_\theta^2 + 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta)w_{\theta, \rho} + j(\theta, \rho)(-2\sigma'V_\theta^2 + 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta)u_{\theta, \rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, on the one hand, we get :

$$(2.37) \quad j(\theta, \rho)(H(\theta, \rho)) = -\sigma'(D_s^2 + D_t^2) + \sigma'V_\theta^2 - 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta,$$

and one the other hand :

$$\begin{aligned} & j(\theta, \rho)(-2\sigma'V_\theta^2 + 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta) = -\sigma \partial_\theta (-2\sigma'V_\theta^2 + 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta) \\ & = 2\sigma''\sigma V_\theta^2 + 2\sigma\sigma'V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta - 2\sigma^2(\partial_\theta V_\theta)^2 - 2\sigma^2 V_\theta \partial_\theta^2 V_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with u_θ , and using (2.29) and (2.26), we deduce the lemma :

Lemma 2.11 *We have the identity :*

$$\int_{t>0} (2\sigma'V_\theta^2 - 2\sigma V_\theta\partial_\theta V_\theta)w_0u_\theta dsdt + \int_{t>0} (\sigma\partial_\theta V_\theta - \sigma'V_\theta)^2u_\theta^2 dsdt = \frac{\sigma^2\sigma'' + \sigma^3}{2}.$$

A third identity

If we take the derivative of (2.33) two times with respect to s , we get :

$$h(\theta)\partial_s w_0 = 2\sin\theta V_\theta w_0 - 2V_\theta u_\theta + 2(Cs + St)\sin\theta u_\theta - 2(Cs + St)V_\theta\partial_s u_\theta$$

and then :

$$\begin{aligned} h(\theta)\partial_s^2 w_0 &= 4\sin\theta V_\theta\partial_s w_0 - 2\sin^2\theta w_0 - 2(Cs + St)V_\theta\partial_s^2 u_\theta \\ &\quad + 4C\sin\theta u_\theta - 4CV_\theta\partial_s u_\theta + 4\sin\theta(Cs + St)\partial_s u_\theta \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce the lemma :

Lemma 2.12 *We have on one hand :*

$$2\sin\theta \langle V_\theta w_0, u_\theta \rangle + 2\sin\theta \langle (Cs + St)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle - 2 \langle (Cs + St)V_\theta\partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0$$

and on the other hand :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 4\sin\theta V_\theta\partial_s w_0 - 2\sin^2\theta w_0 - 2(Cs + St)V_\theta\partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ &= -\sin\theta \langle (2C + 4(Cs + St)\partial_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Two more identities satisfied by f_0 and w_0

If we rescale the equation (2.21) satisfied by f_0 , we get :

$$(H(\theta, \rho) - \sigma(\theta))f_{0,\rho} = -t\partial_s u_{\theta,\rho},$$

where

$$f_{0,\rho}(s, t) = f_0(\rho^{-1/2}s, \rho^{-1/2}t).$$

We now apply the operator j , take $\rho = 1$ and use the identity (2.37) to get :

$$h(\theta)j(f_{0,\rho}) + \sigma't\partial_s u_\theta + (2\sigma'V_\theta^2 - 2\sigma V_\theta\partial_\theta V_\theta)f_0 = -t\partial_s w_0.$$

Taking the scalar product with u_θ , we find :

$$\int (2\sigma'V_\theta^2 - 2\sigma V_\theta\partial_\theta V_\theta)f_0u_\theta dsdt + \int t\partial_s w_0u_\theta dsdt = 0.$$

Moreover, noticing that

$$0 = j \left(\int t\partial_s u_{\theta,\rho}^2 dsdt \right) = 2 \int tu_{\theta,\rho}w_{\theta,\rho} dsdt,$$

we get the lemma :

Lemma 2.13 *We have the following cancellations :*

$$\int (2\sigma'V_\theta^2 - 2\sigma V_\theta \partial_\theta V_\theta) f_0 u_\theta ds dt = 0$$

and

$$\int t \partial_s w_0 u_\theta ds dt = 0$$

Remark 2.14.

It follows from Corollary 2.3 that all the solutions of equations of the form $h(\theta)f = g$ that we have met in this section belong to H_{exp}^∞ . ■

3 A choice of coordinates near the boundary

This section deals with a system of coordinates near the boundary. Indeed, it will be useful to be reduced to a simplified model on an half space.

3.1 A general choice of coordinates

Let us assume that $0 \in \partial\Omega$. In some neighborhood V of 0, we take the coordinates (y_1, y_2) on $\partial\Omega$ (via a map \mathcal{C}^3 denoted ϕ and which will be defined precisely in the following). We denote $\nu(\phi^{-1}(y_1, y_2))$ the inward pointing normal at the point $\phi^{-1}(y_1, y_2)$ and we define local coordinates in V :

$$\Phi(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \phi^{-1}(y_1, y_2) + y_3 \nu(\phi^{-1}(y_1, y_2)).$$

More precisely, for a point $x \in V$, $\phi^{-1}(y_1, y_2)$ is the projection of x on $\partial\Omega \cap V$ and $y_3 = t = d(x, \partial\Omega)$.

Transformation of the magnetic field

We now want to determine the new vector potential and magnetic field in these new coordinates. Let us introduce the 1-form ω :

$$\omega = A_1 dx_1 + A_2 dx_2 + A_3 dx_3.$$

We have :

$$\omega = \tilde{A}_1 dy_1 + \tilde{A}_2 dy_2 + \tilde{A}_3 dy_3.$$

We can express dx_i as a function of (dy_j) and we get :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = D_y \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{A}(\Phi^{-1}(y))).$$

Then, in order to find the new field, we write :

$$d\omega = (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_2 dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$

The comatrix formula provides :

$$(3.38) \quad \tilde{\beta} = \det(D\Phi)^{-1} ((D\Phi))^t \beta,$$

where

$$\tilde{\beta} = \nabla_y \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Metrics in the new coordinates

The euclidean metrics is

$$g_0 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2.$$

In the new coordinates (y_1, y_2, y_3) , we have :

$$g_0 = \sum_{k,j} g_{ij} dy_k \otimes dy_j,$$

where (g_{kj}) is the matrix $(D\Phi^{-1})^t (D\Phi^{-1})$. We denote (g^{kj}) the inverse matrix of (g_{kj}) .

If the support of u is sufficiently concentrated near x_0 , we have :

$$(3.39) \quad q_{\mathbf{A}}^h(u) = \int_{\Omega} |(ih\nabla + \mathbf{A})u|^2 dx = \int_{t>0} |(ih\nabla_y + \tilde{\mathbf{A}})\tilde{u}|_{D\Phi^t(D\Phi)}^2 |\det D\Phi^{-1}| dy,$$

where

$$|(ih\nabla_y + \tilde{\mathbf{A}})\tilde{u}|_{D\Phi^t(D\Phi)}^2 = \sum_{k,j} g^{kj} (ih\nabla_{y_k} + \tilde{A}_k)\tilde{u} \overline{(ih\nabla_{y_j} + \tilde{A}_j)\tilde{u}}.$$

We will denote by $\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{A}}^h$ the associated Neumann realization on $L^2(|\det D\Phi^{-1}| dy)$, namely :

$$(3.40) \quad \tilde{P}_{\mathbf{A}}^h = |\det D\Phi| \sum_{k,j} (ih\nabla_j + \tilde{A}_j) |\det D\Phi^{-1}| g^{kj} (ih\nabla_k + \tilde{A}_k).$$

3.2 Coordinates (r, s, t)

We now introduce normal coordinates near x_0 . $(\vec{\tau}_0, \vec{l}_0)$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent plane at x_0 ; we denote by (r, s) the corresponding coordinates. Then, it is standard, using the exponential map near x_0 , that (r, s) define a

local parametrization of the boundary and they are called normal coordinates (cf. [Laf96]). So, there exists an open set S of \mathbb{R}^2 and a diffeomorphism ϕ such that :

$$\phi : \mathcal{W}_{x_0} \rightarrow S, \phi(x) = (r, s).$$

Moreover, if G denotes the first fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$, we have :

$$G = I_3 + G_1(r, s) + O(r^3 + s^3),$$

where G_1 is a quadratic form in r and s .

Letting $t(x) = d(x, \partial\Omega)$, we define the system of coordinates on the boundary : $(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (r, s, t)$. The metrics g_0 can be expressed as :

$$g_0 = dt \otimes dt + G - 2tK + t^2L,$$

where K, L are respectively the second and third fundamental forms on $\partial\Omega$.

Taylor expansion of the metrics

We let :

$$K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{12} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

We write the following Taylor expansion :

$$K = K_0 + K_1(r, s) + O(r^2 + s^2),$$

where K_1 is linear in r and s .

In general, for a 2×2 matrix U , we will denote U^0 the matrix :

$$U^0 = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & 0 \\ U_{12} & U_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we can write :

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= I_3 - 2tK^0 + G_1^0(r, s) - 2tK_1^0 + t^2L^0 + O(r^3 + s^3) \\ &= I_3 - 2tK^0 + G_1^0(r, s) + R(r, s, t) + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3) \\ &= g_{app} + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3), \end{aligned}$$

where R is homogeneous of degree 2 and of partial degree 1 with respect to r and s .

Remark 3.1.

In the following, we take as convention to always denote by R the homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 and of partial degree 1 with respect to r and s .

■

We deduce :

$$D\Phi^{-1} = I_3 - tK^0 + \frac{G_1^0}{2} + R(r, s, t) + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3)$$

and

$$D\Phi = I_3 + tK^0 - \frac{G_1^0}{2} + R(r, s, t) + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3).$$

It follows :

$$|g|^{1/2} = 1 - tK_0^M + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{G_1^0}{2}\right) + R(r, s, t) + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3) = m_{app} + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3),$$

where $K_0^M = K_{11} + K_{22}$ is the mean curvature and :

$$m_{app} = |g_{app}|^{1/2}.$$

The dual metrics satisfies :

(3.41)

$$g^0 = I_3 + 2tK^0 - G_1^0(r, s) + R(r, s, t) + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3) = g_{app}^0 + O(r^3 + s^3 + t^3).$$

Remark 3.2.

In the following, it will be convenient to denote by $G_{ij}^{r^2}$ (resp. $G_{ij}^{s^2}$, G_{ij}^{rs}) the coefficient of r^2 in the coefficient with index (i, j) of G_1 (resp. the coefficient of s^2 , the coefficient of rs).

■

4 An approximated operator on \mathbb{R}_+^3

In this section, we use the local coordinates introduced in the previous section to construct a model operator near x_0 . The one term asymptotics was obtained by considering the model with constant field in \mathbb{R}_+^3 ; in the following, we keep more terms in the approximation of $P_{\mathbf{A}}^h$ to get a three terms asymptotics.

4.1 The model

In this subsection, we approximate first the metrics and then the magnetic field in order to get a model operator.

Approximation of the metrics

With (3.40) and (3.41), we are reduced to consider the operator on $L^2(m_{app}drdsdt)$, with Dirichlet condition on $t = t_0$, $|r| = r_0$, $|s| = s_0$ (for t_0, r_0, s_0 positive and small enough) and Neumann condition on $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{app} &= h^2(m_{app})^{-1}D_t m_{app} D_t \\ &+ (1 + 2tK_{11} - G_{11} - R_{11})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r)^2 + (1 + 2tK_{22} - G_{22} - R_{22})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s)^2 \\ &+ (2K_{12}t - G_{12} - R_{12})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r)(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s) \\ &+ (2K_{12}t - G_{12} - R_{12})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s)(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r) \\ &+ h^2\tilde{R}_1(r, s, t)D_r + h^2\tilde{R}_2(r, s, t)D_s, \end{aligned}$$

where the $\tilde{R}_i(r, s, t)$ are linear functions in r, s and t coming from a commutator between the metrics and the derivatives D_r and D_s .

This first approximation of the operator \tilde{P}_A^h satisfies, for ψ sufficiently concentrated near x_0 (an explicit choice will be made in the following) :

$$\begin{aligned} (4.42) \quad \|\tilde{P}_A^h\psi - \mathcal{H}^{app}\psi\| &\leq Ch^2 \sum_{k,j} \left((|r|^3 + |s|^3 + t^3) |D_k D_j \psi| \right) \\ &+ Ch \left((|r|^3 + |s|^3 + t^3) |\psi| \right) + Ch \sum_j \left((r^4 + s^4 + t^4) |D_j \psi| \right) + C \left((|r|^5 + |s|^5 + t^5) |\psi| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Omitting the terms of order 3, we get :

$$\begin{aligned} (4.43) \quad \mathcal{H}^{MOD} &= h^2 n_{app} D_t m_{app} D_t \\ &+ (1 + 2tK_{11} - G_{11} - R_{11})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r)^2 + (1 + 2tK_{22} - G_{22} - R_{22})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s)^2 \\ &+ (2K_{12}t - G_{12} - R_{12})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r)(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s) \\ &+ (2K_{12}t - G_{12} - R_{12})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s)(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r) \\ &+ h^2\tilde{R}_1(r, s, t)D_r + h^2\tilde{R}_2(r, s, t)D_s. \end{aligned}$$

with

$$n_{app} = 1 + tK_0^M - \text{Tr}\left(\frac{G_1^0}{2}\right) + R.$$

Taylor approximation of the magnetic field

The Taylor formula applied to β at x_0 gives (at the order 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \beta_0 + r\partial_r\beta(x_0) + s\partial_s\beta(x_0) + t\partial_t\beta(x_0) \\ &= \beta^{(1)} + O(r^2 + s^2 + t^2), \end{aligned}$$

and with (3.38) we are led to :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + t(-K_0^M \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + K^0 \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0)) + r \partial_r \boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0) + s \partial_s \boldsymbol{\beta}(x_0) + O(r^2 + s^2 + t^2). \\ &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(1)} + O(r^2 + s^2 + t^2).\end{aligned}$$

We can notice that, on $t = 0$, we have $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(1)}$.

Let us write :

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_r^{(1)} &= -\delta_0 r - \epsilon_0 s - \xi_0 t, \\ \beta_s^{(1)} &= \cos \theta_1 + \alpha_0 r + \beta_0 s + \eta_0 t, \\ \beta_t^{(1)} &= \sin \theta_1 + \gamma_0 s + \zeta_0 r + \mu_0 t,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta}_r^{(1)} &= -\delta r - \epsilon s - \xi t, \\ \tilde{\beta}_s^{(1)} &= \cos \theta_1 + \alpha r + \beta s + \eta t, \\ \tilde{\beta}_t^{(1)} &= \sin \theta_1 + \gamma s + \zeta r + \mu t.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the relations :

$$(4.44) \quad \delta_0 = \delta, \epsilon_0 = \epsilon, \alpha_0 = \alpha, \beta_0 = \beta, \gamma_0 = \gamma, \zeta_0 = \zeta,$$

$$(4.45) \quad \xi = \xi_0 - K_{12} \cos \theta, \eta = \eta_0 - K_{11} \cos \theta, \mu = \mu_0 - K_0^M \sin \theta.$$

We now write the formula at the order 2 for β and $\tilde{\beta}$, but only when $t = 0$; indeed, this will be enough in the following. So, the approximation at the order 2 of β and $\tilde{\beta}$ on $t = 0$ can be written as :

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_r^{(2)} &= -\delta r - \epsilon s - \overline{C}_0 r s - \overline{F}_0 s^2 - \overline{D}_0 r^2, \\ \beta_s^{(2)} &= \cos \theta_1 + \alpha r + \beta s + C_0 r s + F_0 s^2 + D_0 r^2, \\ \beta_t^{(2)} &= \sin \theta_1 + \gamma s + \zeta r - 2B_0 r s - 3H_0 s^2 - E_0 r^2.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta}_r^{(2)} &= -\delta r - \epsilon s - \overline{C} r s - \overline{F} s^2 - \overline{D} r^2, \\ \tilde{\beta}_s^{(2)} &= \cos \theta_1 + \alpha r + \beta s + C r s + F s^2 + D r^2, \\ \tilde{\beta}_t^{(2)} &= \sin \theta_1 + \gamma s + \zeta r - 2B r s - 3H s^2 - E r^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have (cf. 3.38), on $t = 0$:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left(1 + \text{Tr}\left(\frac{G_1}{2}\right)\right) \left(I_3 - \frac{G_1^0}{2}\right) \beta$$

and this provides a relation between the capital letters of $\tilde{\beta}$ and β . Identifying the terms in s^2 , we get (cf. Remark 3.2):

$$(4.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{F} &= \overline{F}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta G_{12}^{s^2}, \\ F &= F_0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta G_{11}^{s^2}, \\ H &= H_0 - \frac{1}{6} \sin \theta (G_{11}^{s^2} + G_{22}^{s^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain for the term in r^2 :

$$(4.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{D} &= \overline{D}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta G_{12}^{r^2}, \\ D &= D_0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta G_{11}^{r^2}, \\ E &= E_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta (G_{11}^{r^2} + G_{22}^{r^2}). \end{aligned}$$

and for the term in rs :

$$(4.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{C} &= \overline{C}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta G_{12}^{rs}, \\ C &= C_0 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta G_{11}^{rs}, \\ B &= B_0 - \frac{1}{4} \sin \theta (G_{11}^{rs} + G_{22}^{rs}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we choose a gauge such that :

$$\tilde{A}_t = 0$$

and such that the Taylor approximation of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ at the order 3 denoted by $\tilde{A}^{(3)}$ is defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_r^{(3)} &= V_\theta + P_{r,2} + P_{r,3} \\ \tilde{A}_s^{(3)} &= P_{s,2} + P_{s,3} \\ \tilde{A}_t^{(3)} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.49) \quad & P_{r,2} = (\alpha t - \zeta s)r + \beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2 + \frac{\eta}{2}t^2 \\
& P_{r,3} = (At^2 + Bs^2 + Cst)r + (Dt + Es)r^2 + (Fts^2 + Gt^2s + Hs^3 + It^3) \\
& P_{s,2} = \delta rt + \epsilon st + \frac{\xi}{2}t^2 \\
& P_{s,3} = \bar{A}t^2r + \bar{C}str + \bar{D}tr^2 + \bar{F}ts^2 + \bar{G}t^2s + \bar{I}t^3.
\end{aligned}$$

The model operator on $L^2(m_{app}drdsdt)$ is :

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.50) \quad & \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{M}} = h^2 n_{app} D_t m_{app} D_t \\
& + (1 + 2tK_{11} - G_{11} - R_{11})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r^{(3)})^2 + (1 + 2tK_{22} - G_{22} - R_{22})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s^{(3)})^2 \\
& + (2K_{12}t - G_{12} - R_{12})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r^{(3)})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s^{(3)}) \\
& + (2K_{12}t - G_{12} - R_{12})(hD_s + \tilde{A}_s^{(3)})(hD_r + \tilde{A}_r^{(3)}) \\
& + h^2 \tilde{R}_1(r, s, t)D_r + h^2 \tilde{R}_2(r, s, t)D_s
\end{aligned}$$

and it satisfies :

$$(4.51) \quad \|\mathcal{H}^{app}\psi - \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{M}}\psi\| \leq Ch \sum_j \|(r^4 + s^4 + t^4)D_j\psi\| + C\||r|^5 + |s|^5 + t^5\|\|\psi\|.$$

In conclusion, we can write :

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.52) \quad & \|\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{A}}^h\psi - \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{M}}\psi\| \leq Ch^2 \sum_{k,j} \||r|^3 + |s|^3 + t^3\|\|D_k D_j \psi\| \\
& + Ch\||r|^3 + |s|^3 + t^3\|\|\psi\| + Ch \sum_j \|(r^4 + s^4 + t^4)D_j\psi\| + C\||r|^5 + |s|^5 + t^5\|\|\psi\|.
\end{aligned}$$

4.2 Non-degenerate minimum of $\hat{\beta}$

In this subsection, we express the condition of non-degenerate minimum of $\hat{\beta}$. Firstly, we write that x_0 is a critical point of $\hat{\beta}$ and secondly we give the expression of the Hessian matrix of $\hat{\beta}$. Moreover, we will assume that $\|\beta(x_0)\| = 1$.

4.2.1 Critical point of $\hat{\beta}$

By definition of θ , we have :

$$(4.53) \quad \|\beta\| \sin \theta = \beta_t.$$

Let us compute the partial derivatives of θ . We have :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \beta_t &= \frac{\partial_r \beta \cdot \beta}{\|\beta\|} \sin \theta + \|\beta\| \cos \theta \partial_r \theta \\ \partial_s \beta_t &= \frac{\partial_s \beta \cdot \beta}{\|\beta\|} \sin \theta + \|\beta\| \cos \theta \partial_s \theta \end{aligned}$$

In $r = s = t = 0$, we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= (\alpha \cos \theta_1 + \zeta \sin \theta_1) \sin \theta_1 + \cos \theta_1 \partial_r \theta \\ \gamma &= (\beta \cos \theta_1 + \gamma \sin \theta_1) \sin \theta_1 + \cos \theta_1 \partial_s \theta \end{aligned}$$

and so :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \theta &= \zeta \cos \theta_1 - \alpha \sin \theta_1 \\ \partial_s \theta &= \gamma \cos \theta_1 - \beta \sin \theta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we differentiate $\hat{\beta}$ with respect to r and s :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\partial_r \beta \cdot \beta}{\|\beta\|} \sigma(\theta) + \|\beta\| \sigma'(\theta) \partial_r \theta \\ \partial_s \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\partial_s \beta \cdot \beta}{\|\beta\|} \sigma(\theta) + \|\beta\| \sigma'(\theta) \partial_s \theta \end{aligned}$$

At $r = s = t = 0$, we have : $\partial_r \hat{\beta} = 0$ and $\partial_s \hat{\beta} = 0$. This leads to :

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \cos \theta_1 + \zeta \sin \theta_1) \sigma(\theta_1) + \sigma'(\theta_1) \partial_r \theta &= 0 \\ (\beta \cos \theta_1 + \gamma \sin \theta_1) \sigma(\theta_1) + \sigma'(\theta_1) \partial_s \theta &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find :

$$\begin{aligned} C(\theta_1) \alpha + S(\theta_1) \zeta &= 0, \\ C(\theta_1) \beta + S(\theta_1) \gamma &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where C and S are defined in (1.12). This can be rewritten as :

$$(4.54) \quad \zeta = T\alpha \text{ and } \gamma = T\beta,$$

with T defined in (1.11).

4.2.2 Hessian matrix of $\hat{\beta}$

A computation associated with the relations (4.54) gives, for the first derivatives at x_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \|\beta\| &= (\alpha \cos \theta + \zeta \sin \theta) = \alpha \frac{\sigma'}{S}, \\ \partial_s \|\beta\| &= (\beta \cos \theta + \gamma \sin \theta) = \beta \frac{\sigma'}{S}, \end{aligned}$$

and for the second derivatives at x_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r^2 \|\beta\| &= \delta^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} \alpha^2 + 2D_0 \cos \theta - 2E_0 \sin \theta, \\ \partial_s^2 \|\beta\| &= \epsilon^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} \beta^2 + 2F_0 \cos \theta - 6H_0 \sin \theta \\ \partial_{rs}^2 \|\beta\| &= \delta\epsilon + \alpha\beta \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} + C_0 \cos \theta - 2B_0 \sin \theta. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get the following Taylor expansion :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta\| &= 1 + \alpha \frac{\sigma'}{S} r + \beta \frac{\sigma'}{S} s + \frac{r^2}{2} (\delta^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} \alpha^2 + 2D_0 \cos \theta - 2E_0 \sin \theta) \\ &+ \frac{s^2}{2} (\epsilon^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} \beta^2 + 2F_0 \cos \theta - 6H_0 \sin \theta) + rs (\delta\epsilon + \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} \alpha\beta + C_0 \cos \theta - 2B_0 \sin \theta). \end{aligned}$$

We now want a Taylor expansion of θ . We have :

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &= \sin \theta_1 + (\cos \theta_1 \partial_r \theta + \sin \theta_1 \partial_s \theta) + r^2 \left(\frac{\cos \theta_1}{2} \partial_r^2 \theta - \frac{\sin \theta_1}{2} (\partial_r \theta)^2 \right) \\ &+ s^2 \left(\frac{\cos \theta_1}{2} \partial_s^2 \theta - \frac{\sin \theta_1}{2} (\partial_s \theta)^2 \right) + rs (\cos \theta_1 \partial_{rs} \theta - \sin \theta_1 \partial_r \theta \partial_s \theta). \end{aligned}$$

After computations using (4.53), we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_r^2 \theta}{2} &= \alpha^2 \frac{\sigma \sigma'}{S^2} - D_0 \sin \theta - E_0 \cos \theta - \frac{\sin \theta}{2 \cos \theta} \delta^2, \\ \frac{\partial_s^2 \theta}{2} &= \beta^2 \frac{\sigma \sigma'}{S^2} - F_0 \sin \theta - 3H_0 \cos \theta - \frac{\sin \theta}{2 \cos \theta} \epsilon^2, \\ \partial_{rs}^2 \theta &= -2\alpha\beta \frac{\sigma \sigma'}{S^2} - C_0 \sin \theta - 2B_0 \cos \theta - \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \epsilon \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can write the second derivatives of $\hat{\beta}$:

$$(4.55) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_r^2 \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sigma^3 + \sigma^2 \sigma''}{2S(\theta)^2} \alpha^2 + \frac{C(\theta)}{2 \cos \theta} \delta^2 + D_0 C(\theta) - E_0 S(\theta)$$

$$(4.56) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_s^2 \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sigma^3 + \sigma^2 \sigma''}{2S(\theta)^2} \beta^2 + \frac{C(\theta)}{2 \cos \theta} \epsilon^2 + F_0 C(\theta) - 3H_0 S(\theta)$$

$$(4.57) \quad \partial_{rs}^2 \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sigma^3 + \sigma^2 \sigma''}{2S(\theta)^2} \alpha \beta + \frac{C(\theta)}{2 \cos \theta} \delta \epsilon + C_0 C(\theta) - 2B_0 S(\theta)$$

5 Construction of a quasimode

In this section, we construct a quasimode and prove Theorem 1.5. More precisely, we proceed in three steps. First, we choose an appropriate scaling. Then, we make a Fourier transform and a translation as announced at the beginning of Section 2. We expand the new operator in powers of h and look formally for a quasimode expressed as a series in powers of h . As we are just interested in a three terms asymptotics for the bottom of the spectrum, we will just keep the three first terms of the series. The construction of the quasimode (denoted by ψ) will then deeply use the results of Section 2.2. In particular, the solutions $f_0, w_0, \partial_s u_\theta$ and $\partial_s^2 u_\theta$ of equations of the form $h(\theta)v = f$ will appear in the expression of the quasimode. Finally, we will estimate a quantity expressed as $\|(P_{\mathbf{A}}^h - \lambda(h))\chi\psi\|$ (where χ is a cutoff function) by using the exponential decay properties proved in Section 2.1 to control the error of the truncations and we will conclude with the spectral Theorem.

5.1 Rescaling

We first make the following rescaling :

$$(5.58) \quad t = h^{1/2} \tilde{t}, s = h^{1/2} \tilde{s}, r = h^{1/2} \tilde{r}.$$

We omit the tilde, we divide by h and, instead of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{M}}$, we consider :

$$(5.59) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{h,new} &= n_{app}^h D_t m_{app}^h D_t + f_{11}^h (D_r + \overline{A}_r^h)^2 + f_{22}^h (D_s + \overline{A}_s^h)^2 \\ &+ f_{12}^h (D_r + \overline{A}_r^h)(D_s + \overline{A}_s^h) + f_{12}^h (D_s + \overline{A}_s^h)(D_r + \overline{A}_r^h) \\ &+ h \tilde{R}_1(r, s, t) D_r + h \tilde{R}_2(r, s, t) D_s, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_r^h &= V_{\theta_1} + h^{1/2}P_{r,2} + hP_{r,3}, \\ \overline{A}_s^h &= h^{1/2}P_{s,2} + hP_{s,3},\end{aligned}$$

and with

$$\begin{aligned}n_{app}^h &= 1 + h^{1/2}tK_0^M - h\text{Tr}\left(\frac{G_1^0}{2}\right) + hR, & m_{app}^h &= 1 - h^{1/2}tK_0^M + h\text{Tr}\left(\frac{G_1^0}{2}\right) + hR \\ f_{11}^h &= 1 + 2h^{1/2}tK_{11} - hG_{11} - hR_{11}, & f_{12}^h &= 2h^{1/2}K_{12}t - hG_{12} - hR_{12} \\ f_{22}^h &= 1 + 2h^{1/2}tK_{22} - hG_{22} - hR_{22} & &.\end{aligned}$$

5.2 Quasimode

We begin first by taking a partial Fourier transform in r , denoted by \mathcal{F} and then we perform the translation U_θ :

$$s = \tilde{s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}.$$

to get the operator $\mathcal{H}^{h,new}$ which is unitarily equivalent to $H^{h,new}$:

$$\mathcal{H}^{h,new} = U_\theta^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{-1}H^{h,new}\mathcal{F}U_\theta.$$

So, to get $\mathcal{H}^{h,new}$, we just have to replace (omitting the tilde) in $H^{h,new}$:

$$s \text{ by } s + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta} \text{ and } r \text{ by } D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta}D_s.$$

We formally expand $\mathcal{H}^{h,new}$ in powers of $h^{1/2}$ and we write :

$$\mathcal{H}^{h,new} = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} h^{j/2}H_j$$

with

$$H_0 = D_s^2 + D_t^2 + V_\theta^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}H_1 &= (2V_\theta(\alpha t - \zeta s) + 2\delta t D_s)\boxed{D_\tau} - \frac{2\zeta}{\sin \theta}V_\theta\boxed{\tau D_\tau} \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{\sin \theta}(\beta t - \gamma s)V_\theta + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^2 \theta}(V_\theta D_s + D_s V_\theta) + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sin \theta}t D_s\right)\boxed{\tau} - \frac{\gamma}{\sin^2 \theta}V_\theta\boxed{\tau^2} + \tilde{H}_1,\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{H}_1 is defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_1 = & -\frac{1}{\sin \theta}(\alpha t - \zeta s)(D_s V_\theta + V_\theta D_s) + 2V_\theta(\beta s t - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2) + \eta V_\theta t^2 \\ & - \frac{2\delta t}{\sin \theta} D_s^2 + \epsilon t(s D_s + D_s s) + \xi t^2 D_s + H_K \end{aligned}$$

and where H_K is defined in (1.13). The reason why we have boxed the terms involving τ is that, after a partial scalar product in the variable (s, t) , we will be reduced to operators in the variable τ . The expression of H_2 will be discussed later.

We look for a quasimode expressed as a formal series in powers of $h^{1/2}$:

$$u^h = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} h^{j/2} u_j$$

attached to an eigenvalue in the form :

$$\lambda_1^h = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} h^{j/2} \lambda_j.$$

Then, writting formally that u^h satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}^{h,new} u^h = \lambda_1^h u^h,$$

we are led to the system :

$$\begin{aligned} h^0 : H_0 u_0 &= \lambda_0 u_0, \\ h^{1/2} : H_1 u_0 + H_0 u_1 &= \lambda_1 u_0 + \lambda_0 u_1, \\ h : H_2 u_0 + H_1 u_1 + H_0 u_2 &= \lambda_2 u_0 + \lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_0 u_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the next subsection, we construct a solution for this system.

5.2.1 The coefficient of h^0

H_0 (as an operator in the (s, t) variable) has been analyzed in Section 2. We take as λ_0 the lowest eigenvalue of H_0 (which was denoted before by $H(\theta)$) and we choose

$$(5.60) \quad u_0(\tau, s, t) = \phi_0(\tau) u_\theta(s, t),$$

with ϕ_0 (of norm 1) to be determined later.

5.2.2 The coefficient of $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$

We have to compute :

$$\langle H_1 u_0, u_\theta \rangle .$$

This quantity has the structure :

$$\mathfrak{A}D_\tau\phi_0 + \mathfrak{B}\tau D_\tau\phi_0 + \mathfrak{C}\tau\phi_0 + \mathfrak{D}\tau^2\phi_0 + \mathfrak{E}\phi_0,$$

where :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} &= \langle (2V_\theta(\alpha t - \zeta s) + 2\delta t D_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{B} &= - \langle \frac{2\zeta}{\sin\theta} V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{C} &= \langle (2(\beta t - \gamma s)V_\theta + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^2\theta}(V_\theta D_s + D_s V_\theta) + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sin\theta} t D_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{D} &= \langle -\frac{\gamma}{\sin^2\theta} V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{E} &= \langle \tilde{H}_1 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Let us examine \mathfrak{A} . We recall that (2.23) holds. Moreover, using Lemma 2.10 and (4.54), we find :

$$\langle 2V_\theta(\alpha t - \zeta s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, we have proved that $\mathfrak{A} = 0$.

Let us now consider \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{D} . By Lemma 2.4, we have : $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{D} = 0$.

Finally, let us prove that \mathfrak{C} is also equal to 0.

Again by (4.54) and Lemma 2.10, we find :

$$\langle (2(\beta t - \gamma s)V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Then, as we have just proved above, we get :

$$\langle \frac{2\epsilon}{\sin\theta} t D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Finally, we recall that (2.20) holds. We deduce that $\lambda_1 = \mathfrak{E}$. Let us simplify its expression. We first observe that :

$$\langle t^2 D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Then, we get :

$$\langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)(D_s V_\theta + V_\theta D_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Indeed, an integration by parts gives :

$$\langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)\partial_s(V_\theta u_\theta), u_\theta \rangle = - \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)\partial_s u_\theta, V_\theta u_\theta \rangle,$$

where we have used Lemma 2.4. Moreover, in the same way, we get :

$$\langle (D_s s + s D_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Consequently, we have (cf. (1.10) and relations (4.44)) :

$$\begin{aligned} E = \lambda_1 = 2 \langle V_\theta(\beta s t - \frac{\gamma}{2})u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \eta \langle t^2 V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle - \frac{2\delta}{\sin \theta} \int_{t>0} t |D_s u_\theta|^2 \\ + \langle H_K u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

So, for u_1 , we take a solution of the equation

$$(H_0 - \sigma(\theta))u_1 = \lambda_1 u_0 - H_1 u_0.$$

Gathering all the results of Section 2.2, we can write the expression of u_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 = (\frac{\alpha}{S} w_0 + 2i\delta f_0) \boxed{D_\tau \phi_0} + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_s u_\theta \boxed{\tau D_\tau \phi_0} \\ + (\frac{\beta}{S \sin \theta} w_0 - i \frac{\zeta}{2 \sin^3 \theta} \partial_s^2 u_\theta + 2i \frac{\epsilon}{\sin \theta} f_0) \boxed{\tau \phi_0} + \frac{\gamma}{2 \sin^3 \theta} \partial_s u_\theta \boxed{\tau^2 \phi_0} + \tilde{u}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{u}_1 is defined by :

$$(5.61) \quad (H - \sigma)\tilde{u}_1 = (\lambda_1 - \tilde{H}_1)u_\theta.$$

Before analyzing the coefficient of h , let us state a lemma concerning \tilde{u}_1 :

Lemma 5.1 *We have the identity :*

$$2 \sin \theta \int_{t>0} V_\theta \tilde{u}_1 u_\theta ds dt = \int_{t>0} \partial_s (\tilde{H}_1 u_\theta) u_\theta ds dt.$$

Proof.

We take the derivative of (5.61) with respect to s , we multiply by u_θ and integrate. ■

At this step of the proof, we have not used yet the non-degeneracy condition (1.14). In fact, this construction is enough for the proof of Theorem 1.4.

The coefficient of h

We are interested in λ_2 and u_2 and we are led to examine the compatibility condition :

$$\langle H_1 u_1 + H_2 u_0, u_\theta \rangle = \lambda_2 \phi_0.$$

We have not given yet the explicit expression of H_2 , but it is easy to observe that the last equation can be put into the form :

$$(A_1 \tau^2 D_\tau^2 + A_2 \tau^4 + A_3 \tau^3 D_\tau + A_4 \tau D_\tau^3 + A_5 \tau D_\tau^2 + A_6 \tau^2 D_\tau + A_7 \tau^3 + A_8 D_\tau^3 + A_9 D_\tau^2 + A_{10} \tau D_\tau + A_{11} \tau^2 + A_{12} D_\tau + A_{13} \tau + A_{14}) \phi_0 = \lambda_2 \phi_0.$$

Moreover, the coefficients of K and G_1 (introduced in Section 3.2) just play a priori a role in the coefficients A_i for $i \in \{9, \dots, 14\}$ (for degree and scaling reasons). In the next paragraph, let us be more accurate on that point.

“Reduction” to the case of the flat metrics

We prove that the coefficients of τ^2 , D_τ^2 and τD_τ do not depend on G_1 and K and that we can do, in some sense, exactly as if the metrics were flat. Before starting the analysis, let us recall that the relations (4.44) still hold so that the curvature play a role in ξ and η .

Let us first consider the dependence of the coefficients on K . We begin by noticing that the one of D_τ^2 has no such dependence.

Then, we consider the one of τ^2 . Collecting all the terms involving K in $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$, we find that they will appear only in the following coefficient (we use Lemma 5.1) :

$$\frac{\gamma}{2 \sin^3 \theta} \int_{t>0} \tilde{H}_1 \partial_s u_\theta u_\theta ds dt - \frac{\gamma}{2 \sin^3 \theta} \int_{t>0} \partial_s (\tilde{H}_1 u_\theta) u_\theta ds dt.$$

Thus, we can compute the commutator $\tilde{H}_1 \partial_s - \partial_s \tilde{H}_1$ modulo the terms which do not involve the curvature ; we get :

$$\frac{\gamma}{2 \sin^3 \theta} (K_{11} t^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta - 4K_{11} t \sin \theta V_\theta - 4K_{12} t \sin \theta D_s).$$

Consequently, the coefficient is :

$$\langle \frac{\gamma}{2 \sin^2 \theta} (K_{11} t^2 \cos \theta - 4K_{11} t V_\theta) u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle.$$

Then, we consider the coefficient of τ^2 involving the curvature in H_2 :

$$\frac{\gamma K_{11} \cos \theta t^2}{2 \sin^2 \theta} - \frac{2\gamma K_{11} t V_\theta}{\sin^2 \theta}.$$

In conclusion, the coefficient of τ^2 does not depend on K .

We now analyze the coefficient of τD_τ . Modulo terms not depending on the curvature, we see that there is only one term in H_1 which has to play a role in τD_τ and looking at the expression of the corresponding coefficient, we are reduced to the same computations as for τ^2 .

Let us now consider the dependence of the coefficients with respect to G_1 (see Remark 3.2). First, let us consider the term in τ^2 and let us look for the terms involving G_1 :

$$-G_{11}^{s^2} \int_{t>0} V_\theta^2 u_\theta^2 - G_{22}^{s^2} \int_{t>0} |D_s u_\theta|^2$$

and :

$$(5.62) \quad \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \left(6H \int_{t>0} s V_\theta u_\theta^2 + 2F \int_{t>0} t V_\theta u_\theta^2 \right).$$

A priori, in (5.62), there should be the term in \overline{F} , but the coefficient is essentially : $\langle t D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0$. Recalling the relations (4.46), (5.62) gives the contribution :

$$-\frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} (G_{11}^{s^2} + G_{22}^{s^2}) \sin \theta \int_{t>0} s V_\theta u_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \cos \theta G_{11}^{s^2} \int_{t>0} t V_\theta u_\theta^2.$$

Then, we recall Remark 2.27 and the coefficients of $G_{11}^{s^2}$ and $G_{22}^{s^2}$ cancel. Secondly, we look at the terms in D_τ^2 and τD_τ and we observe that the terms $G_{11}^{r^2}$ and $G_{22}^{r^2}$ on one hand and G_{11}^{rs} and G_{22}^{rs} on the other hand cancel for the same reason as for $G_{11}^{s^2}$ and $G_{22}^{s^2}$ after having used the expressions (4.47) and (4.48).

Finally, the terms in R (see Remark 3.2) playing at the scale h and with degree less than 1 with respect to r and s will just provide, after the Fourier transform and the translation, coefficients of D_τ and τ and, as we will see, these terms are not important to prove Theorem 1.5.

Now we give an explicit expression of H_2 (modulo terms which do not change the coefficients of D_τ^2 , τD_τ and τ^2 , but only those of τ , D_τ and the constant) :

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= P_{r,2} \left(D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_{s,s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}, t \right)^2 + P_{s,2} \left(D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_{s,s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}, t \right)^2 \\ &+ P_{r,3} \left(D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_{s,s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}, t \right) V_\theta + V_\theta P_{r,3} \left(D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_{s,s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}, t \right) \\ &+ P_{s,3} \left(D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_{s,s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}, t \right) V_\theta + V_\theta P_{s,3} \left(D_\tau - \frac{1}{\sin \theta} D_{s,s} + \frac{\tau}{\sin \theta}, t \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the $P_{i,j}$ are given in (4.49) (and in which we put the index 0 at all coefficients). The guess is that we have the following cancellations :

$$(5.63) \quad A_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, 8\}.$$

Then, we would be reduced to an equation of the form :

$$(A_9 D_\tau^2 + A_{10} \tau D_\tau + A_{11} \tau^2 + A_{12} D_\tau + A_{13} \tau + A_{14}) \phi_0 = \lambda_2 \phi_0.$$

Finally, writing formally that $D_\tau = r$ and $\tau = s$, we would hope to get the expression of the Hessian matrix.

Let us now prove the cancellations (5.63) !

Coefficient of $D_\tau^3, \tau D_\tau^3,$

Such terms do not appear. Indeed, with the choice of gauge in (4.49), there is no r^2 in the homogeneous polynomials of order 2 and no r^3 in the homogeneous polynomials of order 3.

Coefficient of τ^4

We can observe that the coefficient of τ^4 in H_2 is :

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{4 \sin^4 \theta},$$

moreover the coefficient of τ^4 in $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$ is :

$$-\frac{\gamma^2}{2 \sin^5 \theta} \langle V_\theta \partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle.$$

But, taking the scalar product of (2.20) by u_θ , we obtain

$$\langle V_\theta \partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = \frac{\sin \theta}{2}.$$

Coefficient of $\tau^2 D_\tau^2$

The coefficient of $\tau^2 D_\tau^2$ in H_2 is :

$$\frac{\zeta^2}{\sin^2 \theta}$$

and in $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$:

$$-\frac{2\zeta^2}{\sin^3 \theta} \langle V_\theta \partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle.$$

Coefficient of τ^3

The coefficient of τ^3 in $H_1 u_1$ is :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta\gamma}{S \sin^4 \theta} \langle (St + Cs)\partial_s u_\theta, V_\theta u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\gamma\zeta}{S \sin^5 \theta} \langle (D_s V_\theta + V_\theta D_s)\partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + i \frac{\epsilon\gamma}{\sin^4 \theta} \langle t\partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle - \frac{\gamma\beta}{S \sin^3 \theta} \langle V_\theta w_0, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\gamma\zeta}{2 \sin^5 \theta} \langle V_\theta \partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & - \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{\sin^3 \theta} \langle V_\theta f_0, u_\theta \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

In H_2 , the coefficient reads :

$$-\frac{\gamma\beta}{S \sin \theta} \langle (St + Cs)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{2H}{\sin^3 \theta} \langle V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle .$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we find that the term in $\epsilon\gamma$ disappear.

Let us consider the term in $\gamma\zeta$. We take the derivative of (2.20) with respect to s and make the scalar product with u_θ to find :

$$\langle V_\theta \partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle (D_s V_\theta, V_\theta D_s)\partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

We treat the term in $\beta\gamma$ with Lemma 2.12 and the wished cancellation follows.

Coefficient of $\tau^3 D_\tau$

From $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$, we find the coefficient :

$$-\frac{\gamma\zeta}{\sin^4 \theta} \langle V_\theta \partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle$$

and from $\langle H_2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle$:

$$\frac{\zeta\gamma}{2 \sin \theta}.$$

Then, we conclude exactly as for the coefficient of $\tau^2 D_\tau^2$.

Coefficients of τD_τ^2 and $\tau^2 D_\tau$

Their cancellation also comes from (2.20), Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.12. We now study the expressions of the coefficients of the quadratic terms τ^2, D_τ^2 and τD_τ .

Coefficient of D_τ^2

The terms in D_τ^2 coming from $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$ are :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha^2}{S^2} \langle 2V_\theta(Cs + St)w_0, u_\theta \rangle - 4\delta^2 \langle t\partial_s f_0, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + \frac{2i\delta\alpha}{S} \langle 2V_\theta(Cs + St)f_0, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{2i\alpha\delta}{S} \langle t\partial_s w_0, u_\theta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.13, the imaginary terms cancel. Then, the terms in D_τ^2 in $\langle H_2 u_0, u_\theta \rangle$ are :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha^2}{S^2} \langle (Cs + St)^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \delta^2 \langle t^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + 2D_0 \langle tV_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + 2E_0 \langle sV_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

So, we observe, with Lemma 2.6 and (2.31), that the coefficient of δ^2 is

$$-\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \int_{t>0} t s u_\theta^2 ds dt + \int_{t>0} t^2 u_\theta^2 ds dt = \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \int_{t>0} t V_\theta u_\theta^2 ds dt = \frac{C(\theta)}{2 \cos \theta}.$$

Then, with Lemma 2.11 and again (2.31) (for the coefficients of D_0 and E_0), we have proved (see (4.55)) that the coefficient of D_τ^2 is :

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_r^2 \hat{\beta}(x_0).$$

Coefficient of τ^2

From $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$ and with Lemma 5.1, we get as coefficient of τ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \left(\frac{2}{\sin \theta} (\beta t - \gamma s) V_\theta + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^2 \theta} (V_\theta D_s + D_s V_\theta) + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sin \theta} t D_s \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left(\frac{\beta}{S \sin \theta} w_0 - i \frac{\zeta}{2 \sin^3 \theta} \partial_s^2 u_\theta + 2i \frac{\epsilon}{\sin \theta} f_0 \right), u_\theta \right\rangle + \frac{\gamma}{2 \sin^3 \theta} \langle [\tilde{H}_1, \partial_s] u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

From $\langle H_2 u_0, u_\theta \rangle$, we find the coefficient :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle (\beta t - \gamma s)^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle - \frac{\gamma}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle (\beta s t - \frac{\gamma}{2} s^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} t^2) u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + \frac{\zeta^2}{\sin^4 \theta} \langle D_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^3 \theta} \langle (D_s (\beta t - \gamma s) + (\beta t - \gamma s) D_s) u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + 2 \frac{F_0}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle t V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + 6 \frac{H_0}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle s V_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + 2 \bar{F}_0 \langle t D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle t^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{\sin \theta} \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s) D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $2\bar{F}_0 \langle t D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0$. Then, let us gather some terms. We have first, with the same formulas as for the coefficient of D_τ^2 :

$$\langle 2(\beta t - \gamma s) V_\theta \frac{\beta}{S \sin \theta} w_0, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle (\beta t - \gamma s)^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 2 \frac{\sigma^3 + \sigma^2 \sigma'}{\sin^2 \theta S(\theta)^2} \beta^2.$$

There are other terms in β^2 (or $\beta\gamma$) :

$$(5.64) \quad -\frac{\gamma}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle (\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{2\sin^3 \theta} \langle [2V_\theta(\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2), \partial_s]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle .$$

But, we have :

$$[2V_\theta(\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2), \partial_s] = 2\sin\theta(\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2) - 2(\beta t - \gamma s),$$

so, with (2.10), we find :

$$\langle [2V_\theta(\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2), \partial_s]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = \langle 2\sin\theta(\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle .$$

Thus, (5.64) cancels.

Let us gather the terms in $\gamma\eta$:

$$(5.65) \quad -\frac{\gamma\eta}{2\sin^2 \theta} \langle t^2u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\gamma\eta}{2\sin^3 \theta} \langle t^2[V_\theta, \partial_s]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

As terms in ϵ^2 , we have (exactly as in the paragraph concerning D_τ^2 with δ^2):

$$\frac{\epsilon^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle t^2u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle - \frac{4\epsilon^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle t\partial_s f_0, u_\theta \rangle = \frac{C(\theta)}{2\sin^2 \theta \cos \theta} \epsilon^2.$$

Let us now consider the terms in ζ^2 :

$$(5.66) \quad \frac{\zeta^2}{\sin^4 \theta} \langle D_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{\zeta^2}{2\sin^5 \theta} \langle (V_\theta \partial_s + \partial_s V_\theta) \partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle .$$

After an integration by parts, we can apply Lemma 2.5 and find that (5.66) cancels. Then, we have :

$$2\frac{F_0}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle tV_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + 3\frac{H_0}{\sin^2 \theta} \langle sV_\theta u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} (F_0 C(\theta) - 3H_0 S(\theta)).$$

Let us now prove that all the other terms vanish.

So, let us first notice (Lemma 2.13) :

$$\langle \frac{4i\epsilon}{\sin^2 \theta} (\beta t - \gamma s) V_\theta f_0, u_\theta \rangle + \langle \frac{2i\epsilon\beta}{S \sin^2 \theta} t \partial_s w_0, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Then, we have, with Lemma 2.7 :

$$\langle -\frac{2\zeta\epsilon}{\sin^3 \theta} (V_\theta \partial_s + \partial_s V_\theta) f_0, u_\theta \rangle + \langle \frac{\zeta\epsilon}{\sin^4 \theta} t \partial_s^3 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Let us write the remaining terms (for the last one, see Lemma 5.1) :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \frac{2i\zeta}{\sin^4\theta}(\beta t - \gamma s)V_\theta \partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \langle \frac{i\beta\zeta}{S \sin^3\theta}(V_\theta \partial_s + \partial_s V_\theta)w_0, u_\theta \rangle \\
& + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^3\theta} \langle (D_s(\beta t - \gamma s) + (\beta t - \gamma s)D_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\
& + \frac{\gamma}{\sin^3\theta} \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{i\gamma}{2 \sin^4\theta} \langle [\partial_s, (\alpha t - \zeta s)(\partial_s V_\theta + V_\theta \partial_s)]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

After two integrations by parts, it is easy to see that :

$$\frac{\zeta}{\sin^3\theta} \langle (D_s(\beta t - \gamma s) + (\beta t - \gamma s)D_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.$$

Then, using Lemma 2.12, we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \langle \frac{i\zeta}{\sin^4\theta}(\beta t - \gamma s)V_\theta \partial_s^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \langle \frac{i\beta\zeta}{S \sin^3\theta}(V_\theta \partial_s + \partial_s V_\theta)w_0, u_\theta \rangle \\
& = -i \frac{\zeta\beta}{2S \sin^3\theta} \langle (2C + 4(Cs + St)\partial_s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have :

$$\frac{\gamma}{\sin^3\theta} \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = \frac{i\zeta\gamma}{2 \sin^3\theta}.$$

It is easy to see that :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle [\partial_s, (\alpha t - \zeta s)(\partial_s V_\theta + V_\theta \partial_s)]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)[\partial_s, (\partial_s V_\theta + V_\theta \partial_s)]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\
& = -2 \sin\theta \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)\partial_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle = -\zeta \sin\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we have proved that the coefficient of τ^2 is :

$$\frac{1}{2 \sin^2\theta} \partial_s^2 \hat{\beta}(x_0).$$

Coefficient of τD_τ

From $\langle H_1 u_1, u_\theta \rangle$ we get the following coefficient of τD_τ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (2V_\theta(\alpha t - \zeta s) + 2\delta t D_s) \left(\frac{\beta}{S \sin\theta} w_0 - i \frac{\zeta}{2 \sin^3\theta} \partial_s^2 u_\theta + 2i \frac{\epsilon}{\sin\theta} f_0 \right), u_\theta \rangle \\
& + \langle \left(\frac{2}{\sin\theta}(\beta t - \gamma s)V_\theta + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^2\theta}(V_\theta D_s + D_s V_\theta) + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sin\theta} t D_s \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{S} w_0 + 2i\delta f_0 \right), u_\theta \rangle \\
& + \frac{\zeta}{\sin^2\theta} \langle [\tilde{H}_1, \partial_s]u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

From $\langle H_2 u_0, u_\theta \rangle$, we find the coefficient :

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2\zeta}{\sin\theta} \langle (\beta st - \frac{\gamma}{2}s^2 + \frac{\eta}{2})u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{2}{\sin^2\theta} \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)D_s u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & \frac{2}{\sin\theta} \langle (\alpha t - \zeta s)(\beta t - \gamma s)u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle + \frac{2\epsilon\delta}{\sin\theta} \langle t^2 u_\theta, u_\theta \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} (4B_0 \int_{t>0} sV_\theta u_\theta^2 ds dt + 2C_0 \int_{t>0} tV_\theta u_\theta^2 ds dt). \end{aligned}$$

We do not have to redo all the computations ; indeed, these are exactly the same kind of computations that we have met before. We just have to recall the relations (4.54) and observe that all is divided by $\sin\theta$ and we get as coefficient :

$$\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_{rs} \hat{\beta}(x_0).$$

In conclusion, ϕ_0 satisfies the equation :

$$(5.67) \quad \mathfrak{S}_\beta(D_\tau, \frac{\tau}{\sin\theta})\phi_0 + A_{12}D_\tau\phi_0 + A_{13}\tau\phi_0 + A_{14}\phi_0 = \lambda_2\phi_0.$$

A priori A_{12} , A_{13} and A_{14} are complex numbers. For $(c_1, c_2, d) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, we introduce :

$$(5.68) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\beta, c_1, c_2, d} = \mathfrak{S}_\beta(D_\tau + c_1, \tau + c_2) + d.$$

Then, $\mathfrak{S}_{\beta, c_1, c_2, d}$ is just some shifted harmonic oscillator. After some (complex) translation to eliminate the linear terms, it is easy to put Equation (5.67) into the form :

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\beta, c_1, c_2, d} \tilde{\phi}_0 = \lambda_2 \tilde{\phi}_0,$$

for some complex numbers c_1 , c_2 and d . Thus, we choose for $\tilde{\phi}_0$ the normalized eigenfunction associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = \gamma_n(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_\beta) + d$. At this step, we do not know that d is real. We just know that $\lambda_2 - d$ is real.

5.3 Upper bound

The computations of the last section lead us to choose as a quasimode :

$$\psi = \chi(r, s, t)v^h(h^{-1/2}r, h^{-1/2}s, h^{-1/2}t),$$

where χ is a smooth cutoff function in a neighborhood of x_0 and where :

$$v^h = \mathcal{F}U_\theta u^h,$$

with

$$u^h = u_0 + h^{1/2}u_1 + hu_2.$$

To get Theorem 1.4, we would just have to keep the two first terms (which were obtained only under Assumption (1.8)), but we do not explicit the proof which is essentially the same as the one of Theorem 1.5 (see below).

We recall (4.52) and we observe that (we use that v^h has an exponential decay to control the commutators of χ with the derivatives by $O(h^\infty)$) :

$$(5.69) \quad h^2 \sum_{k,j} \left\| (|r|^3 + |s|^3 + t^3) |D_k D_j \psi| \right\| \leq Ch^2 h^{3/2} h^{-1},$$

$$(5.70) \quad h \left\| (|r|^3 + |s|^3 + t^3) |\psi| \right\| \leq Ch h^{3/2},$$

$$(5.71) \quad h \sum_j \left\| (r^4 + s^4 + t^4) |D_j \psi| \right\| \leq Ch h^{4/2} h^{-1/2},$$

$$(5.72) \quad \left\| (|r|^5 + |s|^5 + t^5) |\psi| \right\| \leq Ch^{5/2}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\| \tilde{P}_{\mathbf{A}}^h \psi - \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{M}} \psi \| \leq Ch^{5/2}.$$

Then, by construction of u^h and by controlling the remainders in the expansion of $H^{h,new}$ with the exponential decay, it follows :

$$\| (\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{M}} - (\lambda_0 h + \lambda_1 h^{3/2} + (\gamma_n(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_\beta) + d) h^2)) \psi \|_{L^2(m_{app} dr ds dt)} = O(h^{5/2}).$$

Then, with the spectral Theorem, we have :

$$d(\sigma(P_{\mathbf{A}}^h), \lambda_0 h + \lambda_1 h^{3/2} + (\gamma_n(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_\beta) + d) h^2) = O(h^{5/2}).$$

Thus, as $\sigma(P_{\mathbf{A}}^h) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we get necessarily that $\gamma_n(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_\beta) + d \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular d is real and we have proved Theorem 1.5.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Professor Bernard Helffer for his active reading and comments which have improved the presentation of this paper. I would also like to thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute of Vienna where I have written this paper.

References

- [Agm82] S. Agmon. Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second-order elliptic equations : bounds on eigenfunctions of N-body Schrödinger operators. Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [BPT98] P. Bauman, D. Phillips, and D. Tang. Stable nucleation for the Ginzburg-Landau system with an applied magnetic field. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 142:1–43, 1998.

- [BS98] A. Bernoff and P. Sternberg. Onset of superconductivity in decreasing fields for general domains. J. Math. Phys., 39:1272–1284, 1998.
- [dPFS00] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, and P. Sternberg. Boundary concentration for eigenvalue problems related to the onset of superconductivity. Comm. in Math. Phys., 210:413–446, 2000.
- [FH06] S. Fournais and B. Helffer. Accurate eigenvalue asymptotics for the magnetic Neumann Laplacian. Annales de l’institut Fourier, 56:1–67, 2006.
- [FH09] S. Fournais and B. Helffer. Spectral methods in surface superconductivity. To appear, 2009.
- [HM01] B. Helffer and A. Morame. Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity. J. Funct. Anal., 185(2):604–680, 2001.
- [HM02] B. Helffer and A. Morame. Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem : The case of dimension 3. Proc. Indian. Sci., 112(1):71–84, 2002.
- [HM04] B. Helffer and A. Morame. Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem : curvature effects in the case of dimension 3 (general case). Ann. Scient. E. Norm. Sup, 37(4):105–170, 2004.
- [HP07] B. Helffer and X-B. Pan. Reduced Landau-de Gennes functional and surface smectic state of liquid crystals. Preprint, 2007.
- [HP08] B. Helffer and X-B. Pan. On some spectral problems and asymptotics limits occuring in the analysis of liquid crystals. Preprint, 2008.
- [Laf96] J. Lafontaine. Introduction aux variétés différentielles. Presses universitaires de Grenoble, 1996.
- [LP99] K. Lu and X.-B. Pan. Eigenvalue problems of Ginzburg-Landau in bounded domains. J. Math. Phys., 40(6):2647–2670, 1999.
- [LP00] K. Lu and X.-B. Pan. Surface nucleation of superconductivity in 3-dimension. J. Differential Equations, 168:386–452, 2000.
- [Ray09a] N. Raymond. Sharp Asymptotics for the Neumann Laplacian with Variable Magnetic Field : case of Dimension 2. Annales Henri Poincaré, 10(1):95–122, 2009.

- [Ray09b] N. Raymond. Uniform spectral estimates for families of schrödinger operators with magnetic field of constant intensity and applications. To appear in Cubo, 11, 2009.
- [RS78] M. Reed and B. Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics, analysis of operators. Academic Press, 1978.