

# The Domination Polynomials of Cubic Graphs of Order 10

Saieed Akbari<sup>a</sup>, Saeid Alikhani<sup>b,d,1</sup>, Yee-hock Peng<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology  
11365-9415 Tehran, Iran*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Yazd University  
89195-741, Yazd, Iran*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Mathematics, and*

<sup>d</sup>*Institute for Mathematical Research, University Putra Malaysia  
43400 UPM Serdang, Malaysia*

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G$  be a simple graph of order  $n$ . The domination polynomial of  $G$  is the polynomial  $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^n d(G, i)x^i$ , where  $d(G, i)$  is the number of dominating sets of  $G$  of size  $i$ , and  $\gamma(G)$  is the domination number of  $G$ . In this paper we study the domination polynomials of cubic graphs of order 10. As a consequence, we show that the Petersen graph is determined uniquely by its domination polynomial.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C60.

**Keywords:** Domination polynomial; Equivalence class; Petersen graph; Cubic graphs.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph. The *order* of  $G$  is the number of vertices of  $G$ . For any vertex  $v \in V$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $v$  is the set  $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$  and the *closed neighborhood* of  $v$  is the set  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . For a set  $S \subseteq V$ , the open neighborhood of  $S$  is  $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$  and the closed neighborhood of  $S$  is  $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$ . A set  $S \subseteq V$  is a *dominating set* if  $N[S] = V$ , or equivalently, every vertex in  $V \setminus S$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $S$ . The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in  $G$ . A dominating set with cardinality  $\gamma(G)$  is called a  $\gamma$ -*set*. The family of all  $\gamma$ -sets of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $\Gamma(G)$ . For a detailed treatment of these parameters, the reader is referred to [2]. The

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: alikhani@yazduni.ac.ir

$i$ -subset of  $V(G)$  is a subset of  $V(G)$  of size  $i$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(G, i)$  be the family of dominating sets of a graph  $G$  with cardinality  $i$  and let  $d(G, i) = |\mathcal{D}(G, i)|$ . The *domination polynomial*  $D(G, x)$  of  $G$  is defined as  $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{|V(G)|} d(G, i)x^i$ , where  $\gamma(G)$  is the domination number of  $G$  (see[1]).

We denote the family of all dominating sets of  $G$  with cardinality  $i$  and contain a vertex  $v$  by  $\mathcal{D}_v(G, i)$ , and  $d_v(G, i) = |\mathcal{D}_v(G, i)|$ . Two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are said to be *dominating equivalence*, or simply  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalent, written  $G \sim H$ , if  $D(G, x) = D(H, x)$ . It is evident that the relation  $\sim$  of being  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence is an equivalence relation on the family  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs, and thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is partitioned into equivalence classes, called the  *$\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes*. Given  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , let

$$[G] = \{H \in \mathcal{G} : H \sim G\}.$$

We call  $[G]$  the equivalence class determined by  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be *dominating unique*, or simply  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique, if  $[G] = \{G\}$ .

The minimum degree of  $G$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . A graph  $G$  is called  *$k$ -regular* if all vertices have the same degree  $k$ . A *vertex-transitive graph* is a graph  $G$  such that for every pair of vertices  $v$  and  $w$  of  $G$ , there exists an automorphism  $\theta$  such that  $\theta(v) = w$ . One of the famous graphs is the Petersen graph which is a symmetric non-planar cubic graph. In the study of domination polynomials, it is interesting to investigate the dominating sets and domination polynomial of this graph. We denote the Petersen graph by  $P$ .

In this paper, we study the dominating sets and domination polynomials of cubic graphs of order 10. As a consequence, we show that the Petersen graph is determined uniquely by its domination polynomial. In the next section, we obtain domination polynomial of the Petersen graph. In Section 3, we list all  $\gamma$ -sets of connected cubic graphs of order 10. This list will be used to study the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence of these graphs in the last section. In Section 4, we prove that the Petersen graph is  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique. In the last section, we study the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of some cubic graphs of order 10.

## 2 Domination Polynomial of the Petersen Graph

In this section we shall investigate the domination polynomial of the Petersen graph. First, we state and prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a vertex transitive graph of order  $n$  and  $v \in V(G)$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $d(G, i) = \frac{n}{i}d_v(G, i)$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly, if  $D$  is a dominating set of  $G$  with size  $i$ , and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , then  $\theta(D)$  is also a dominating set of  $G$  with size  $i$ . Since  $G$  is a vertex transitive graph, then for every two vertices  $v$  and  $w$ ,  $d_v(G, i) = d_w(G, i)$ . If  $D$  is a dominating set of size  $i$ , then there are exactly  $i$  vertices  $v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_i}$  such that  $D$  counted in  $d_{v_{j_r}}(G, i)$ , for each  $1 \leq r \leq i$ . Hence  $d(G, i) = \frac{n}{i}d_v(G, i)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** ([2], p.48) *If  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ , then  $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{3n}{8}$ .*

We need the following theorem for finding the domination polynomial of the Petersen graph.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with domination polynomial  $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(G, i)x^i$ . If  $d(G, j) = \binom{n}{j}$  for some  $j$ , then  $\delta(G) \geq n - j$ . More precisely,  $\delta(G) = n - l$ , where  $l = \min \left\{ j \mid d(G, j) = \binom{n}{j} \right\}$ , and there are at least  $\binom{n}{l-1} - d(G, l-1)$  vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$  in  $G$ . Furthermore, if for every two vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$ , say  $u$  and  $v$  we have  $N[u] \neq N[v]$ , then there are exactly  $\binom{n}{l-1} - d(G, l-1)$  vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $d(G, j) = \binom{n}{j}$  for any  $r \geq j$ , every  $r$ -subset of the vertices of  $G$  forms a dominating set for  $G$ . Suppose that there is a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $\deg(v) < n - j$ . Consider  $V(G) \setminus N[v]$ . Clearly,  $|V(G) \setminus N[v]| \geq j$ , and  $V(G) \setminus N[v]$  is not a dominating set for  $G$ , a contradiction. Now, assume that  $S$  is a  $(l-1)$ -subset of  $V(G)$  which is not a dominating set. Thus there is a vertex  $u \in V(G) \setminus S$  which is not covered by  $S$ . Since  $\delta(G) \geq n - l$ , we have  $\deg(u) = n - l$ . Let  $S' \neq S$  be a  $(l-1)$ -subset which is not a dominating set. Thus there exists

a vertex  $u' \in V(G) \setminus S'$  which is not covered by  $S'$ . As we did before  $\deg(u') = n - l$ . We claim that  $u \neq u'$ . Since  $S \neq S'$ , there exists a vertex  $x \in S \cap (V(G) \setminus S')$ . We know that  $u'x \in E(G)$ . If  $u = u'$ , then  $ux \in E(G)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $u \neq u'$  and the claim is proved. If  $u$  and  $v$  are two vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$  and  $N[u] = N[v]$ , then  $V(G) \setminus N[u] = V(G) \setminus N[v]$  is an  $(l - 1)$ -subset which is not a dominating set for  $G$ . Thus we have at least  $\binom{n}{l-1} - d(G, l - 1)$  vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$  in  $G$ . The last part of theorem is obvious.  $\square$




Figure 1: Cubic graphs of order 10.

Indeed, by Theorem 2, we have the following theorem which relates the domination polynomial and the regularity of a graph  $G$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $H$  be a  $k$ -regular graph, where for every two vertices  $u, v \in V(H)$ ,  $N[u] \neq N[v]$ . If  $D(G, x) = D(H, x)$ , then  $G$  is also a  $k$ -regular graph.*

There are exactly 21 cubic graphs of order 10 given in Figure 1 (see [3]). Using Theorem 1, the domination number of a connected cubic graph of order 10 is 3. There are just two non-connected cubic graphs of order 10. Clearly, for these graphs, the domination number is also 3. Note that the graph  $G_{17}$  is the Petersen graph. For the labeled graph  $G_{17}$  in Figure 1, we obtain all dominating sets of size 3 and 4 in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *For the Petersen graph  $P$ ,  $d(P, 3) = 10$  and  $d(P, 4) = 75$ .*

**Proof.** First, we list all dominating sets of  $P$  of cardinality 3, which are the  $\gamma$ -sets of the labeled Petersen graph (graph  $G_{17}$ ) given in Figure 1.

$\mathcal{D}(P, 3) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 4, 10\}, \{1, 8, 9\}, \{2, 4, 8\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, \{2, 9, 10\}, \{3, 5, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{4, 6, 7\}, \{5, 7, 8\} \right\}$ . Now, we shall compute  $d(P, 4)$ . By Lemma 1, it suffices to obtain the dominating sets of cardinality 4 containing one vertex, say the vertex labeled 1. These dominating sets are listed below.

$\mathcal{D}_1(P, 4) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 3, 7\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \{1, 2, 4, 10\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 8, 9\}, \{1, 2, 9, 10\}, \{1, 3, 4, 7\}, \{1, 3, 4, 10\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{1, 3, 5, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 6, 10\}, \{1, 3, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 7, 9\}, \{1, 3, 7, 10\}, \{1, 3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 3, 9, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 10\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}, \{1, 4, 6, 10\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 4, 9, 10\}, \{1, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 8, 9\}, \{1, 6, 8, 9\}, \{1, 7, 8, 9\}, \{1, 8, 9, 10\} \right\}$ .

Therefore by Lemma 1,  $d(P, 4) = \frac{10 \times 30}{4} = 75$ .  $\square$

We need the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G$  be a cubic graph of order 10. Then the following hold:*

(i)  $d(G, i) = \binom{n}{i}$ , for  $i = 7, 8, 9, 10$ .

(ii) if  $t$  and  $s$  are the number of subgraphs isomorphic to  $K_4 \setminus \{e\}$  ( $e$  is an edge) and  $K_4$  in  $G$ , respectively, then  $d(G, 6) = \binom{10}{6} - (10 - t - 3s)$ .

(iii) if  $G$  has no subgraph isomorphic to graphs given in Figure 2, then  $d(G, 5) = \binom{10}{5} - 60$ .




Figure 2: Graphs illustrated in Lemma 3.

**Proof.** (i) It follows from Theorem 2.

(ii) If  $G$  is a cubic graph of order 10, then for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $V(G) \setminus N[v]$  is not a dominating set. Also, if  $S \subset V(G)$ ,  $|S| = 6$  and  $S$  is not a dominating set, then  $S = V(G) \setminus N[v]$ , for some  $v \in V(G)$ . Note that if  $G$  has  $K_4 \setminus \{e\}$  as a subgraph, then there are two vertices  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that  $G \setminus N[u_1] = G \setminus N[u_2]$ . Also if  $G$  has  $K_4$  as its subgraph, then there are four vertices  $u_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  such that  $G \setminus N[u_i] = G \setminus N[u_j]$ , for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$ . Hence we have  $d(G, 6) = \binom{10}{6} - (10 - t - 3s)$ .

(iii) It suffices to determine the number of 5-subsets which are not dominating set. Suppose that  $S \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $|S| = 5$ , and  $S$  is not a dominating set for  $G$ . Thus there exists  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $N[v] \cap S = \emptyset$ . Now, note that for every  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $V(G) \setminus (N[x] \cup \{y\})$ , where  $y \in V(G) \setminus N[x]$  is a 5-subset which is not a dominating set for  $G$ . Also since none of the graphs given in Figure 2 is a subgraph of  $G$ , for every two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $x'$  and any two arbitrary vertices  $y \in V(G) \setminus N[x]$  and  $y' \in V(G) \setminus N[x']$ , we have  $V(G) \setminus (N[x] \cup \{y\}) \neq V(G) \setminus (N[x'] \cup \{y'\})$ . This implies that the number of 5-subsets of  $V(G)$  which are not dominating sets is  $10 \times 6 = 60$ . So we have  $d(G, 5) = \binom{10}{5} - 60$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** For cubic graphs of order 10, the following hold:

- (i) If  $G \in \{G_{20}, G_{21}\}$ , then  $d(G, 6) = \binom{10}{6} - 7$ .
- (ii) If  $G \in \{G_1, G_{18}\}$ , then  $d(G, 6) = \binom{10}{6} - 8$ .
- (iii) If  $G \in \{G_3, G_5\}$ , then  $d(G, 6) = \binom{10}{6} - 9$ .
- (iv) For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq 21$ , if  $i \notin \{1, 3, 5, 18, 20, 21\}$ , then  $d(G_i, 6) = \binom{10}{6} - 10$ .
- (v) If  $G \in \{G_6, G_7, G_8, G_{10}, G_{17}\}$ , then  $d(G, 5) = \binom{10}{5} - 60$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The domination polynomial of the Petersen graph  $P$  is:*

$$D(P, x) = x^{10} + \binom{10}{9}x^9 + \binom{10}{8}x^8 + \binom{10}{7}x^7 + \left[\binom{10}{6} - 10\right]x^6 + \left[\binom{10}{5} - 60\right]x^5 + 75x^4 + 10x^3.$$

**Proof.** The result follows from Lemma 2 and Corollary 1.  $\square$

### 3 $\gamma$ -Sets of cubic graphs of order 10.

In this section, we present all  $\gamma$ -sets of connected cubic graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{18}, G_{19}$  shown in Figure 1. The results here will be useful in studying the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence of these graphs in the last section.

$$\Gamma(G_1) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 3, 8\}, \{1, 3, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 4, 9\}, \{1, 5, 7\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{2, 6, 10\}, \{3, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{4, 6, 8\}, \{4, 6, 9\}, \{4, 6, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\} \right\}. \text{ Therefore, } d(G_1, 3) = |\Gamma(G_1)| = 22.$$

$$\Gamma(G_2) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 8\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 4, 9\}, \{1, 4, 10\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{3, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{4, 6, 9\}, \{5, 6, 9\} \right\}. \text{ Therefore } d(G_2, 3) = |\Gamma(G_2)| = 12.$$

$$\Gamma(G_3) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 6\}, \{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{1, 6, 9\}, \{1, 7, 9\}, \{2, 3, 6\}, \{2, 3, 7\}, \{2, 4, 8\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{4, 6, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\}, \{4, 8, 10\}, \{5, 9, 10\} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Therefore } d(G_3, 3) = |\Gamma(G_3)| = 17.$$

$$\Gamma(G_4) = \left\{ \{1, 5, 6\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 6, 7\}, \{1, 7, 8\}, \{2, 4, 9\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 6, 7\}, \{2, 7, 8\}, \{3, 4, 9\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 8, 9\}, \{4, 5, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\}, \{4, 9, 10\} \right\}. \text{ Therefore } d(G_4, 3) = |\Gamma(G_4)| = 15.$$

$\Gamma(G_5) = \left\{ \{1, 4, 7\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 4, 9\}, \{1, 5, 7\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{1, 6, 7\}, \{1, 6, 8\}, \{1, 6, 9\}, \right.$   
 $\{2, 4, 7\}, \{2, 4, 8\}, \{2, 4, 9\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 7\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{3, 4, 9\},$   
 $\left. \{3, 5, 9\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{4, 7, 10\}, \{4, 8, 10\}, \{4, 9, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_5, 3) = |\Gamma(G_5)| = 24$ .

$\Gamma(G_6) = \left\{ \{1, 4, 7\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \right.$   
 $\left. \{4, 7, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_6, 3) = |\Gamma(G_6)| = 10$ .

$\Gamma(G_7) = \left\{ \{1, 4, 8\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\} \right\}$ .

Therefore  $d(G_7, 3) = |\Gamma(G_7)| = 6$

$\Gamma(G_8) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7\}, \{2, 6, 10\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{4, 6, 8\} \right\}$ .

Therefore  $d(G_8, 3) = |\Gamma(G_8)| = 6$ .

$\Gamma(G_9) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{4, 6, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\}, \right.$   
 $\left. \{5, 7, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_9, 3) = |\Gamma(G_9)| = 10$ .

$\Gamma(G_{10}) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 9\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 8, 9\}, \{2, 3, 10\}, \{2, 9, 10\}, \{3, 4, 6\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{4, 5, 7\}, \{4, 5, 7\}, \right.$   
 $\left. \{4, 6, 7\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_{10}, 3) = |\Gamma(G_{10})| = 10$ .

$\Gamma(G_{11}) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{2, 3, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{2, 7, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \right.$   
 $\left. \{4, 5, 10\}, \{4, 6, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_{11}, 3) = |\Gamma(G_{11})| = 12$ .

$\Gamma(G_{12}) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{2, 6, 10\}, \{2, 7, 9\}, \{3, 5, 10\}, \right.$   
 $\left. \{3, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{4, 6, 8\}, \{4, 6, 9\}, \{4, 6, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_{12}, 3) = |\Gamma(G_{12})| = 15$ .

$\Gamma(G_{13}) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 8\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 4, 9\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 7, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{4, 5, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_{13}, 3) = |\Gamma(G_{13})| = 8$ .

$\Gamma(G_{14}) = \left\{ \{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 3, 8\}, \{1, 3, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 4, 9\}, \{1, 5, 7\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \right.$   
 $\{2, 3, 7\}, \{2, 4, 7\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{4, 6, 10\},$   
 $\left. \{4, 7, 10\}, \{5, 7, 10\}, \{5, 8, 10\}, \{5, 9, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_{14}, 3) = |\Gamma(G_{14})| = 22$ .

$\Gamma(G_{15}) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 8\}, \{1, 3, 8\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{2, 5, 10\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{2, 7, 8\}, \{3, 5, 10\}, \{3, 6, 7\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \right.$

$\{4, 5, 10\}, \{4, 6, 9\}, \{4, 7, 10\}\}$ . Therefore  $|\Gamma(G_{15})| = 12$ .

$\Gamma(G_{16}) = \{\{1, 3, 8\}, \{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 4, 9\}, \{3, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{4, 6, 9\}\}$ . Therefore  $d(G_{16}, 3) = 6$ .

$\Gamma(G_{17}) = \{\{1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 4, 10\}, \{1, 8, 9\}, \{2, 4, 8\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, \{2, 9, 10\}, \{3, 5, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{4, 6, 7\}, \{5, 7, 8\}\}$ . Therefore,  $d(G_{17}, 3) = 10$ .

$\Gamma(G_{18}) = \{\{1, 5, 8\}, \{1, 5, 9\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 7\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{2, 6, 10\}, \{3, 5, 8\}, \{3, 5, 9\}, \{3, 6, 7\}, \{3, 6, 8\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{4, 5, 8\}, \{4, 5, 9\}\}$ . Therefore,  $d(G_{18}, 3) = 16$ .

$\Gamma(G_{19}) = \{\{1, 4, 8\}, \{1, 5, 8\}, \{2, 4, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{2, 5, 9\}, \{2, 6, 9\}, \{2, 7, 9\}, \{3, 5, 10\}, \{3, 6, 9\}, \{3, 6, 10\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{4, 7, 10\}, \{5, 8, 10\}\}$ . Therefore  $|\Gamma(G_{19})| = 13$ .

## 4 $\mathcal{D}$ -Equivalence class of the Petersen Graph

In this section we show that the Petersen graph is  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique.

**Theorem 5.** *The Petersen graph  $P$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $G$  is a graph such that  $D(G, x) = D(P, x)$ . Since for every two vertices  $x, y \in V(P)$ ,  $N[x] \neq N[y]$ , by Theorem 3,  $G$  is a 3-regular graph of order 10. Using the  $|\Gamma(G_i)|$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 21$  in Section 3 we reject some graphs from  $[P]$ . Since  $d(G_6, 3) = d(G_9, 3) = d(G_{10}, 3) = d(G_{17}, 3) = 10$ , we compare the cardinality of the families of dominating sets of these four graphs of size 4.

$\mathcal{D}_1(G_6, 4) = \{\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 10\}, \{1, 2, 4, 7\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \{1, 2, 4, 9\}, \{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 5, 9\}, \{1, 2, 6, 9\}, \{1, 2, 9, 10\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6\}, \{1, 3, 4, 7\}, \{1, 3, 4, 8\}, \{1, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 10\}, \{1, 3, 7, 10\}, \{1, 3, 8, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 7, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 8, 9\}, \{1, 5, 8, 10\}, \{1, 6, 8, 9\}, \{1, 8, 9, 10\}\}$ .

Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $d(G_6, 4) = \frac{34 \times 10}{4} = 85 > d(P, 4) = 75$ .

Now, we obtain the family of all dominating sets of  $G_{10}$  of size 4.

$$\mathcal{D}_1(G_{10}, 4) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 3, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 10\}, \{1, 2, 4, 9\}, \{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 5, 9\}, \{1, 2, 6, 9\}, \right. \\ \{1, 2, 7, 9\}, \{1, 2, 8, 9\}, \{1, 2, 9, 10\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6\}, \{1, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 10\}, \\ \{1, 3, 7, 9\}, \{1, 3, 7, 10\}, \{1, 3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 3, 8, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \\ \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 8, 9\}, \\ \left. \{1, 5, 8, 10\}, \{1, 6, 8, 9\}, \{1, 7, 8, 9\}, \{1, 8, 9, 10\} \right\}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $d(G_{10}, 4) = \frac{34 \times 10}{4} = 85 > d(P, 4) = 75$ .

Now, for graph  $G_9$  we have,  $\mathcal{D}(G_9, 4) =$

$$\left\{ \{1, 2, 3, 9\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \{1, 2, 5, 7\}, \{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 8, 9\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 8\}, \right. \\ \{1, 3, 4, 9\}, \{1, 3, 4, 10\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{1, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 5, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 3, 7, 9\}, \\ \{1, 3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 3, 9, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 6\}, \{1, 4, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{1, 4, 6, 10\}, \\ \{1, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 7, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7, 9\}, \\ \{1, 5, 7, 10\}, \{1, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 7, 8, 9\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5, 8\}, \{2, 3, 5, 9\}, \{2, 3, 6, 8\}, \\ \{2, 3, 6, 9\}, \{2, 3, 7, 9\}, \{2, 4, 5, 6\}, \{2, 4, 5, 7\}, \{2, 4, 5, 8\}, \{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{2, 4, 6, 9\}, \{2, 4, 6, 10\}, \\ \{2, 4, 7, 8\}, \{2, 4, 7, 9\}, \{2, 4, 7, 10\}, \{2, 5, 6, 7\}, \{2, 5, 6, 8\}, \{2, 5, 6, 9\}, \{2, 5, 7, 8\}, \{2, 5, 7, 9\}, \\ \{2, 5, 7, 10\}, \{2, 5, 8, 9\}, \{2, 5, 8, 10\}, \{2, 6, 7, 8\}, \{2, 6, 8, 9\}, \{2, 6, 8, 10\}, \{2, 7, 8, 9\}, \{3, 4, 5, 10\}, \\ \{3, 4, 6, 10\}, \{3, 4, 6, 10\}, \{3, 4, 7, 10\}, \{3, 5, 6, 9\}, \{3, 5, 7, 10\}, \{3, 5, 8, 10\}, \{3, 5, 9, 10\}, \{3, 6, 7, 10\}, \\ \{3, 6, 8, 10\}, \{3, 6, 7, 9\}, \{3, 6, 8, 9\}, \{3, 6, 9, 10\}, \{3, 7, 9, 10\}, \{4, 5, 6, 10\}, \{4, 5, 7, 10\}, \{4, 5, 8, 10\}, \\ \{4, 6, 7, 10\}, \{4, 6, 8, 10\}, \{4, 6, 9, 10\}, \{4, 7, 8, 10\}, \{4, 7, 9, 10\}, \{5, 6, 7, 10\}, \{5, 7, 8, 10\}, \{5, 7, 9, 10\}, \\ \left. \{6, 7, 8, 10\}, \{6, 7, 8, 10\}, \{7, 8, 9, 10\} \right\}.$$

Therefore  $d(G_9, 4) = 91 > d(P, 4)$ .

Hence  $[P] = \{P\}$ , and so the Petersen graph is  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique.  $\square$

By the arguments in the proof of Theorem 5, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** (i) *The graph  $G_9$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique,*

(ii)  $[G_6] = \{G_6, G_{10}\}$  *with the following domination polynomial:*

$$x^{10} + \binom{10}{9}x^9 + \binom{10}{8}x^8 + \binom{10}{7}x^7 + \left(\binom{10}{6} - 10\right)x^6 + \left(\binom{10}{5} - 60\right)x^5 + 85x^4 + 10x^3.$$

## 5 $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence class of cubic graphs of order 10

In this section, we shall study the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of other cubic graphs of order 10.

We need the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.**([1]) *If a graph  $G$  has  $m$  components  $G_1, \dots, G_m$ , then  $D(G, x) = D(G_1, x) \cdots D(G_m, x)$ .  $\square$*

**Corollary 3.** *Two graphs  $G_{20}$  and  $G_{21}$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence, with the following domination polynomial:*

$$D(G_{20}, x) = D(G_{21}, x) = x^{10} + 10x^9 + 45x^8 + 120x^7 + 203x^6 + 216x^5 + 134x^4 + 36x^3.$$

**Proof.** Two graphs  $G_{20}$  and  $G_{21}$  are disconnected with two components. In other words  $G_{20} = H \cup K_4$  and  $G_{21} = H' \cup K_4$ , where  $H$  and  $H'$  are graphs with 6 vertices. It is not hard to see that

$$D(H, x) = D(H', x) = x^6 + 6x^5 + 15x^4 + 20x^3 + 9x^2.$$

On the other hand,  $D(K_4, x) = x^4 + 4x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x$ . By Theorem 6, we have the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *The graphs  $G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{16}$ , and  $G_{19}$  in Figure 1 are  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique.*

**Proof.** Using  $\gamma$ -sets in Section 3,  $|\Gamma(G_{12})| = 15$ ,  $|\Gamma(G_{13})| = 7$ ,  $|\Gamma(G_{14})| = 22$ , and  $|\Gamma(G_{19})| = 13$ . By comparing these numbers with the cardinality of  $\gamma$ -sets of other 3-regular graphs, we have the result. Now, we consider graph  $G_{16}$ . Since  $d(G_7, 3) = d(G_8, 3) = d(G_{16}, 3) = 6$ , we shall obtain  $d(G_i, 4)$  for  $i = 7, 8, 16$ .

$\mathcal{D}_1(G_7, 4) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \{1, 2, 4, 9\}, \{1, 2, 4, 10\}, \{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 5, 9\}, \{1, 2, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 8, 10\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6\}, \{1, 3, 4, 7\}, \{1, 3, 4, 8\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{1, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 3, 7, 10\}, \{1, 3, 8, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{1, 4, 6, 10\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 7, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 5, 6, 9\}, \{1, 5, 7, 9\}, \{1, 5, 8, 9\}, \{1, 6, 8, 9\}, \{1, 8, 9, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $d(G_7, 4) = \frac{32 \times 5}{2} = 80$ .




Figure 3: Cubic graphs of order 10 with identical domination polynomial.

$\mathcal{D}_1(G_8, 4) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 9\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 5, 7\}, \{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 6, 10\}, \right.$   
 $\{1, 3, 4, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 9\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{1, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 5, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 3, 7, 9\},$   
 $\{1, 3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 3, 9, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 7, 9\},$   
 $\{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 5, 6, 7\}, \{1, 5, 7, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7, 9\}, \{1, 5, 7, 10\}, \{1, 6, 7, 10\},$   
 $\left. \{1, 7, 9, 10\} \right\}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $d(G_8, 4) = \frac{32 \times 5}{2} = 80$ .

Now, we obtain  $d(G_{16}, 4)$ .

$\mathcal{D}_1(G_{16}, 4) = \left\{ \{1, 2, 3, 8\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 4, 7\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\}, \{1, 2, 4, 9\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 5, 7\}, \right.$   
 $\{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 6, 7\}, \{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 8\}, \{1, 3, 4, 9\}, \{1, 3, 5, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 3, 6, 9\},$   
 $\{1, 3, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 3, 8, 10\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 5, 9\}, \{1, 4, 5, 10\}, \{1, 4, 6, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\},$   
 $\left. \{1, 4, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 7, 9\}, \{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 10\}, \{1, 4, 9, 10\}, \{1, 5, 6, 10\}, \{1, 5, 7, 10\}, \right.$

$\{1, 5, 8, 10\}, \{1, 6, 7, 10\}, \{1, 7, 8, 10\}\}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $d(G_{16}, 4) = \frac{34 \times 5}{2} = 85$ . Hence  $[G_{16}] = \{G_{16}\}$ .  $\square$

By the arguments in the proof of Theorem 7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.** *Two graphs  $G_7$  and  $G_8$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence.*

In summary, in this paper we showed that the Petersen graph is  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique. Also, we proved that the graphs  $G_2, G_9, G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}, G_{16}, G_{17}$ , and  $G_{19}$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique, and  $[G_6] = \{G_6, G_{10}\}$ ,  $[G_7] = \{G_7, G_8\}$ ,  $[G_{20}] = \{G_{20}, G_{21}\}$  (see Figure 3). We are not able to determine the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence of  $G_1, G_3, G_4, G_5$ , and  $G_{18}$ , but we think that they are  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique.

**Acknowledgement.** The first author is indebted to the Institute for Mathematical Research (INSPERM) at University Putra Malaysia (UPM) for the partial support and hospitality during his visit.

## References

- [1] S. Alikhani, Y. H. Peng, Introduction to Domination polynomial of a graphs, Ars Combinatoria, to appear.
- [2] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [3] G. B. Khosrovshahi, Ch. Maysoori, Tayfeh-Rezaie, A Note on 3-Factorizations of  $K_{10}$ , J. Combin. Designs 9 (2001), 379-383.