

On the Picard number of divisors in Fano manifolds

Cinzia Casagrande

July 7, 2010

Abstract

Let X be a complex Fano manifold of arbitrary dimension, and D a prime divisor in X . We consider the image $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ of $\mathcal{N}_1(D)$ in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ under the natural push-forward of 1-cycles. We show that $\rho_X - \rho_D \leq \text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq 8$. Moreover if $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 3$, then either $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, or $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 3$ and X has a fibration in Del Pezzo surfaces onto a Fano manifold Y such that $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 4$. We give applications to Fano 4-folds, to Fano varieties with pseudo-index > 1 , and to surjective morphisms whose source is Fano, having some high-dimensional fibers or low-dimensional target.

1 Introduction

Let X be a complex Fano manifold of arbitrary dimension n , and consider a prime divisor $D \subset X$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of one-cycles in X , with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence; its dimension is the *Picard number* of X , and similarly for D .

The inclusion $i: D \hookrightarrow X$ induces a push-forward of one-cycles $i_*: \mathcal{N}_1(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1(X)$, that does not need to be injective nor surjective. We are interested in the image $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) := i_*(\mathcal{N}_1(D))$, which is the linear subspace of $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ spanned by numerical classes of curves contained in D . The codimension of $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ is equal to the dimension of the kernel of the restriction $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$.

If X is a Del Pezzo surface, then $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \rho_X - 1 \leq 8$. Our main result is that the same holds in any dimension.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n . For every prime divisor $D \subset X$, we have*

$$\rho_X - \rho_D \leq \text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq 8.$$

Moreover, suppose that there exists a prime divisor D with $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 3$. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) $X \cong S \times Y$, where S is a Del Pezzo surface with $\rho_S \geq \text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) + 1$, and D dominates Y under the projection;
- (ii) $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 3$ and there exists a flat surjective morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$, with connected fibers, where Y is an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold, and $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 4$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J45; Secondary 14E30.

When $n \geq 4$ and D is ample, one has $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(X)$ and also $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \rho_D$ by Lefschetz Theorems on hyperplane sections, see [Laz04, Ex. 3.1.25]. However in general $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ can be smaller than ρ_X : for instance, the blow-up of any projective manifold at a point contains a divisor $D \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

In case (ii) of Th. 1.1 the variety X does not need to be a product of lower dimensional varieties, see Example 3.4.

Theorem 1.1 generalizes an analogous result in [Cas03] for toric Fano varieties, obtained in a completely different way, using combinatorial techniques.

Fano manifolds with large Picard number. The Picard number of a Fano manifold is equal to the second Betti number, and is bounded in any fixed dimension [KMM92]. A Del Pezzo surface S has $\rho_S \leq 9$, and if X is a Fano 3-fold, then either $\rho_X \leq 5$, or $X \cong S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\rho_X \leq 10$ [MM81, Th. 2].

Starting from dimension 4, the maximal value of ρ_X is unknown. We expect that if ρ_X is large enough, then X should be a product of lower dimensional Fano varieties, and that the maximal Picard number should be achieved just for products of Del Pezzo surfaces (see also [Deb03, p. 122]).

Conjecture 1.2. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n . Then*

$$\rho_X \leq \begin{cases} \frac{9n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{9n-7}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $X \cong S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r$ or $X \cong S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where S_i are Del Pezzo surfaces with $\rho_{S_i} = 9$.

In particular for $n = 4$, we expect that $\rho_X \leq 18$. To our knowledge, all known examples of Fano 4-folds which are not products have $\rho \leq 6$ (see [Cas08, Ex. 7.9] for an explicit example with $\rho = 6$). Moreover, if $X \rightarrow S \times Y$ is a smooth blow-up where S is a surface with $\rho_S \geq 3$, then X is again a product, see Rem. 3.37. We refer the reader to [Cas06] for related results on the maximal Picard number of toric Fano varieties.

Let's give some applications of our results to dimensions 4 and 5.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a Fano manifold, and suppose that there exists a prime divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 3$.*

If $\dim X = 4$ then either $\rho_X \leq 6$, or X is a product of Del Pezzo surfaces and $\rho_X \leq 18$.

If $\dim X = 5$ then either $\rho_X \leq 9$, or X is a product and $\rho_X \leq 19$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let X be a Fano 4-fold. Suppose that one of the following holds:*

- (i) *X contains a smooth divisor which is Fano;*
- (ii) *X has a morphism onto a curve;*
- (iii) *X has a morphism onto a surface S with $\rho_S \geq 2$;*
- (iv) *X has a morphism onto a 3-dimensional variety Z with $\rho_Z \geq 5$;*
- (v) *X has a morphism onto a 4-dimensional variety Z with $\rho_Z \geq 4$, having a 3-dimensional fiber, or infinitely many 2-dimensional fibers.*

Then either $\rho_X \leq 12$, or X is a product of Del Pezzo surfaces and $\rho_X \leq 18$.

We recall that a *contraction* is a morphism with connected fibers onto a normal projective variety. It is well-known that contractions play a crucial role in the study

of Fano varieties: Mori theory gives a bijection between the contractions of X and the faces of the cone of effective curves $\text{NE}(X)$, which is a convex polyhedral cone of dimension ρ_X in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$. In particular, when ρ_X is large, X has plenty of contractions.

As a consequence of Prop. 1.4, if X is a Fano 4-fold with $\rho_X > 12$, and X is not a product, every contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ with $\rho_Z \geq 5$ is birational. Using results from [AW97] we can give a fairly explicit description of φ , see Rem. 4.4.

Fano manifolds with pseudo-index > 1 . The pseudo-index of a Fano manifold X is

$$\iota_X = \min\{-K_X \cdot C \mid C \text{ is a rational curve in } X\},$$

and is a multiple of the index of X . One expects that Fano varieties with large pseudo-index are simpler, in particular we have the following.

Conjecture 1.5 (generalized Mukai conjecture, [BCDD03]). *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension n and pseudo-index $\iota_X > 1$. Then*

$$\rho_X \leq \frac{n}{\iota_X - 1},$$

with equality if and only if $X \cong (\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X - 1})^{\rho_X}$.

The condition $\iota_X > 1$ means that X contains no rational curves of anticanonical degree one. Conj. 1.5 generalizes a conjecture of Mukai [Muk88] where the index takes the place of the pseudo-index. It has been proved for $n \leq 5$ [BCDD03, ACO04], if X is toric [Cas06], and if $\iota_X \geq n/3 + 1$ [Wiś90, CMSB02, NO10].

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a Fano manifold with pseudo-index $\iota_X > 1$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\iota_X = 2$ and there exists a smooth morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ with fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$, where Y is a Fano manifold with $\iota_Y > 1$;
- (ii) for every prime divisor $D \subset X$, we have $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(X)$, $\rho_X \leq \rho_D$, and the restriction $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{R})$ is injective. Moreover for every pair of prime divisors D_1, D_2 in X , we have $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Notice that by [BCDD03, Lemme 2.5], if we are in case (i) and Y satisfies Conj. 1.5, then X does too.

Surjective morphisms with high-dimensional fibers or low-dimensional target. As an application of Th. 1.1, we deduce some properties of surjective morphisms $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ when either Z has dimension 2 or 3, or there is some prime divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\dim \varphi(D) \leq 1$. We give several statements in different situations; the common philosophy is that the Picard number ρ_Z of the target must be very low, and if ρ_Z is close to the bound, then X is a product. These results apply in particular to contractions of X .

Corollary 1.7 (Morphisms with a divisorial fiber). *Let X be a Fano manifold and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ be a surjective morphism with a fiber of codimension 1. Then $\rho_Z \leq 8$.*

Moreover if $\rho_Z \geq 4$ then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, $\dim Z = 2$, and φ factors through $X \rightarrow S \rightarrow T$, with $S \rightarrow T$ a blow-down.

Corollary 1.8 (Morphisms sending a divisor to a curve). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ a surjective morphism which sends a divisor to a curve. Then $\rho_Z \leq 9$.*

Suppose moreover that $\rho_Z \geq 5$. Then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, and one of the following holds:

- (i) $\dim Z = 2$ and φ factors through the projection $X \rightarrow S$;
- (ii) $\dim Z = 3$, Y has a contraction onto $\mathbb{P}^1$, and φ factors through $X \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with $S \rightarrow T$ is a blow-down.

Corollary 1.9 (Morphisms onto surfaces). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $\varphi: X \rightarrow T$ a morphism onto a surface. Then $\rho_T \leq 9$.*

Moreover if $\rho_T \geq 4$ then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, and φ factors through the projection $X \rightarrow S$.

Corollary 1.10 (Morphisms onto 3-folds). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ a surjective morphism with $\dim Z = 3$. Then $\rho_Z \leq 10$.*

Moreover if $\rho_Z \geq 6$ then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, Y has a contraction onto $\mathbb{P}^1$, and φ factors through $X \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Corollaries 1.9 and 1.10 generalize a result in [Cas08, Th. 1.1], concerning so-called “quasi-elementary” contractions of Fano manifolds onto surfaces or 3-folds.

We conclude with an application to contractions onto a curve.

Corollary 1.11 (Contractions onto $\mathbb{P}^1$). *Let X be a Fano manifold, $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ a contraction, and $F \subset X$ a general fiber. Then $\rho_X \leq \rho_F + 8$.*

Moreover if $\rho_X \geq \rho_F + 4$, then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, φ factors through the projection $X \rightarrow S$, and $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$.

Outline of the paper. The idea that a special divisor should affect the geometry of X is classical. In [BCW02] Fano manifolds containing a divisor $D \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$ are classified. This classification has been extended in [Tsu06] to the case $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-a)$ with $a > 0$; moreover [Tsu06, Prop. 5] shows that if X contains a divisor D with $\rho_D = 1$, then $\rho_X \leq 3$. More generally, divisors $D \subset X$ with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$ or 2 play an important role in [Cas08, Cas09].

In section 2 we develop the approach used in [Cas09] to study some special type of contractions of X . Here we give an overview, and refer the reader to section 2 for more details.

After [BCHM10], we know that Fano manifolds are Mori dream spaces (see [HK00]). Then given a prime divisor $D \subset X$ we can run a Mori program for $-D$, which roughly means that we contract or flip extremal rays having positive intersection with D , until we get a fiber type contraction. If $c := \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) > 0$, by studying how the codimension of $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ varies under the birational maps and the related properties of the extremal rays, we obtain $c - 1$ *pairwise disjoint* divisors $E_1, \dots, E_{c-1} \subset X$, all intersecting D , such that each E_i is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with $E_i \cdot f_i = -1$, where $f_i \subset E_i$ is a fiber.

Then in section 3 we define an invariant of X as $c_X := \max\{\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \mid D \text{ is a prime divisor in } X\}$, and restate our main result in terms of this invariant (Th. 3.3). We consider the case $c_X \geq 3$, and apply the construction of section 2 to divisors of “minimal Picard number”, *i.e.* with $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$. First of all we show that there exists a prime divisor E_0 with $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) = c_X$, such that E_0 is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with $E_0 \cdot f_0 = -1$, where $f_0 \subset E_0$ is a fiber. Applying the previous results to E_0 , we obtain a bunch of disjoint divisors with a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle structure, and we use them to show that X is a product, or to construct a fibration in Del Pezzo surfaces. The proof is quite long and divided in several steps.

At the end of section 3 we prove the corollaries concerning arbitrary dimensional Fano varieties.

Finally in section 4 we consider in detail the applications to Fano 4-folds.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Tommaso de Fernex for an important suggestion concerning Lemma 2.5. I also thank one of the referees for many comments that helped to improve the exposition.

This paper was written mainly during a visit to the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, for the program in Algebraic Geometry in spring 2009. I would like to thank MSRI for the kind hospitality, and GNSAGA-INdAM and the Research Project “Geometria delle varietà algebriche e dei loro spazi di moduli” (PRIN 2006) for financial support.

Notation and terminology

We work over the field of complex numbers.

A *manifold* is a smooth projective variety.

A $\mathbb{P}^1$ -*bundle* is a projectivization of a rank 2 vector bundle.

The *anticanonical degree* of a curve $C \subset X$ is $-K_X \cdot C$.

$\mathcal{N}^1(X)$ is the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of Cartier divisors with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence.

$[C]$ is the numerical equivalence class in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ of a curve $C \subset X$.

$[D]$ is the numerical equivalence class in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$ of a divisor D in X .

$\equiv$ stands for numerical equivalence.

For any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor D in X , $D^\perp := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_1(X) \mid D \cdot \gamma = 0\}$.

An *extremal ray* of a closed, convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is a one-dimensional face.

If R is an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$, $\text{Locus}(R) \subseteq X$ is the union of all curves whose class is in R .

If R is an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$ and D is a divisor in X , we say that $D \cdot R > 0$, respectively $D \cdot R = 0$, etc. if for a non-zero element $\gamma \in R$ we have $D \cdot \gamma > 0$, respectively $D \cdot \gamma = 0$, etc.

If φ is a contraction of X , $\text{NE}(\varphi)$ is the face of $\text{NE}(X)$ generated by classes of curves contracted by φ .

A contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is *elementary* if $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 1$; in this case $\text{NE}(\varphi)$ is an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$ with $\text{Locus}(\text{NE}(\varphi)) = \text{Exc}(\varphi)$.

We say that an elementary contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ (or the extremal ray $\text{NE}(\varphi)$) is of type (a, b) if $\dim \text{Exc}(\varphi) = a$ and $\dim \varphi(\text{Exc}(\varphi)) = b$.

We say that an elementary contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ (or the extremal ray $\text{NE}(\varphi)$) is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$ if it is the blow-up of a smooth codimension 2 subvariety contained in the smooth locus of Y .

For any closed subset Z of X , $\mathcal{N}_1(Z, X) := i_*(\mathcal{N}_1(Z)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(X)$, where $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

2 Running a Mori program for $-D$

In this section we show the following result, which will be the key step for the proof of Th. 1.1.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor. Suppose that $c := \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) > 0$.*

Then there exist pairwise disjoint smooth prime divisors $E_1, \dots, E_s$, with $c-1 \leq s \leq c$, such that every E_j is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with $E_j \cdot f_j = -1$, where $f_j \subset E_j$ is a fiber; moreover $D \cdot f_j > 0$ and $[f_j] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$.

The proof of this proposition relies on the fundamental fact, shown in [BCHM10], that *any Fano manifold is a Mori dream space*. We refer the reader to [HK00] for the definition and properties of Mori dream spaces; in particular we will use the following.

Proposition 2.2 ([BCHM10], Cor. 1.3.1 and [HK00], Prop. 1.11(1)). *Let X be a Fano manifold and B a divisor in X . Then there exists a finite sequence*

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} X_1 \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} X_k$$

such that:

- every X_i is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial projective variety;
- for every $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ there exists an extremal ray R_i of X_i such that $B_i \cdot R_i < 0$, where $B_i \subset X_i$ is the transform¹ of B , $\text{Locus}(R_i) \subsetneq X_i$, and σ_i is either the contraction of R_i (if R_i is divisorial), or its flip (if R_i is small);
- either B_k has a positive multiple which is base-point-free, or there exists an extremal ray R_k in X_k , with a fiber type contraction, such that $B_k \cdot R_k < 0$.

Moreover, the choice of the extremal rays R_i is arbitrary among those that have negative intersection with B_i .

A sequence as above is called a *Mori program for the divisor B* .

Following [Cas09], we apply this construction to the case where $B = -D$ with $D \subset X$ a prime divisor, that is: we consider extremal rays having *positive* intersection with D . If we find an extremal ray R with $D \cdot R > 0$ and $\text{Locus}(R) \subsetneq X$, we contract or flip R , and restart. Notice that $D \neq \text{Locus}(R)$, because $D \cdot R > 0$. In particular, $-D$ can never become effective, hence the program must end with a fiber type contraction. We collect in the following two lemmas the results that we need from [Cas09].

Lemma 2.3 ([Cas09], Rem. 2.6, Lemma 3.6, and Rem. 2.5). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor. Consider a Mori program for $-D$:*

$$(2.3) \quad X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} X_1 \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} X_k$$

Let $D_i \subset X_i$ be the transform of D , and $c_i := \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)$ (where $D_0 = D$ and $c_0 = c$). Moreover let $A_1 \subset X_1$ be the indeterminacy locus of σ_0^{-1} , and for $i = 2, \dots, k$, if σ_{i-1} is a divisorial contraction (respectively, if σ_{i-1} is a flip), let $A_i \subset X_i$ be the union of $\sigma_{i-1}(A_{i-1})$ (respectively, the transform of A_{i-1}) and the indeterminacy locus of σ_{i-1}^{-1} . Then we have the following:

- there exists an extremal ray R_k in X_k such that $D_k \cdot R_k > 0$ and whose contraction $\varphi: X_k \rightarrow Y$ is of fiber type;
- for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ the map $X_i \dashrightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over $X_i \setminus A_i$, and $\text{Sing}(X_i) \subseteq A_i \subset D_i$;
- if $R_i \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, then $c_{i+1} = c_i$;
- either $R_k \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k)$ and $c_k = 0$, or $R_k \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k)$ and $c_k = 1$.

Lemma 2.4 ([Cas09], Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor. Assume that there exists a Mori program for $-D$ as (2.3), where moreover $-K_{X_i} \cdot R_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we have the following:*

¹More precisely, B_i is the transform of B_{i-1} if σ_{i-1} is a flip, and $B_i = (\sigma_{i-1})_*(B_{i-1})$ if σ_{i-1} is a divisorial contraction.

- X_i has terminal singularities for every $i = 1, \dots, k$;
- if $R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, then R_i is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$, $\text{Locus}(R_i) \cap A_i = \emptyset$, and $c_{i+1} = c_i - 1$.

Now the crucial remark is that since X is Fano, there is always a suitable choice of a Mori program where all involved extremal rays have positive anticanonical degree.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a Fano manifold and B a divisor on X . Then there exists a Mori program for B , in which every extremal ray R_i has positive anticanonical degree.*

This is a very special case of the MMP with scaling, see [BCHM10, Rem. 3.10.9]. For the reader's convenience, we give a proof. The idea is to choose a facet of the cone of nef divisors $\text{Nef}(X) \subset \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ met by moving from $[B]$ to $[-K_X]$ along a line in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$, and to repeat the same at each step.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. We can assume that B is not nef. Set

$$\lambda_0 := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid (1 - \lambda)(-K_X) + \lambda B \text{ is nef}\},$$

so that $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$, and $H_0 := (1 - \lambda_0)(-K_X) + \lambda_0 B$ is nef but not ample. Then there exists an extremal ray R_0 of $\text{NE}(X)$ such that $H_0 \cdot R_0 = 0$ and $B \cdot R_0 < 0$; in particular, $-K_X \cdot R_0 > 0$.

If R_0 is of fiber type, we are done. Otherwise, let $\sigma_0: X \dashrightarrow X_1$ be either the contraction of R_0 (if divisorial), or its flip (if small), and let B_1 be the transform of B . Then $(1 - \lambda_0)(-K_{X_1}) + \lambda_0 B_1$ is nef in X_1 .

If B_1 is nef we are done. If not, we set

$$\lambda_1 := \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid (1 - \lambda)(-K_{X_1}) + \lambda B_1 \text{ is nef}\},$$

so that $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 < 1$, and $H_1 := (1 - \lambda_1)(-K_{X_1}) + \lambda_1 B_1$ is nef but not ample. There exists an extremal ray R_1 of $\text{NE}(X_1)$ such that $H_1 \cdot R_1 = 0$ and $B_1 \cdot R_1 < 0$, hence $-K_{X_1} \cdot R_1 > 0$. Now we iterate the procedure. ■

Proof of Prop. 2.1. By Lemma 2.5 we can construct a Mori program for $-D$ where every extremal ray has positive anticanonical degree, so that both Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 apply. Summing up, for every $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ we have

$$c_i = \begin{cases} c_{i+1} & \text{if } R_i \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i) \\ c_{i+1} + 1 & \text{if } R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i) \end{cases}, \text{ and } c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } R_k \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k) \\ 1 & \text{if } R_k \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k) \end{cases}.$$

Thus we get:

$$c = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \#\{i \in \{0, \dots, k\} \mid R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)\}.$$

Set $s := c - c_k \in \{c-1, c\}$ and let $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\} \subseteq \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ be the subset of indices i for which $R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)$. By Lemma 2.4 for every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ the map $\sigma_{i_j}: X_{i_j} \rightarrow X_{i_j+1}$ is the blow-up of a smooth subvariety of codimension 2, contained in the smooth locus of X_{i_j+1} ; moreover $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \cap A_{i_j} = \emptyset$, hence $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j})$ does not intersect the loci of the previous birational maps. Let $E_j \subset X$ be the transform of $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \subset X_{i_j}$. Then $E_j \cong \text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j})$ and $E_1, \dots, E_s$ are pairwise disjoint in X . Therefore each E_j is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with $E_j \cdot f_j = -1$, where $f_j \subset E_j$ is a fiber, and $D \cdot f_j > 0$ because $D_{i_j} \cdot R_{i_j} > 0$ in X_{i_j} . Finally $[f_j] \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ would yield $R_{i_j} \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_{i_j}, X_{i_j})$, which is excluded by definition, and the proposition is proved. ■

We also need a more detailed description as follows.

Lemma 2.6 (Conic bundle case). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) > 0$. Keeping the notation of Lemma 2.3 and of the proof of Prop. 2.1, assume that $\varphi: X_k \rightarrow Y$ is finite on D_k . Set $\sigma := \sigma_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_0: X \dashrightarrow X_k$ and $\psi := \varphi \circ \sigma: X \dashrightarrow Y$.*

Then there exist open subsets $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$, with $E_1, \dots, E_s \subset U$, such that $\psi: U \rightarrow V$ is a conic bundle, and V is smooth.

Set moreover $Z_j := \psi(E_j) \subset V$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Then $Z_1, \dots, Z_s$ are pairwise disjoint smooth prime divisors, and $\psi^(Z_j) = E_j + \widehat{E}_j$, where: $\widehat{E}_j \subset U$ is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $\widehat{f}_j \subset \widehat{E}_j$, $\widehat{E}_j \cdot \widehat{f}_j = -1$, $E_j \cdot \widehat{f}_j = \widehat{E}_j \cdot f_j = 1$, and $f_j + \widehat{f}_j$ is numerically equivalent to a general fiber of ψ , for every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.*

We refer the reader to [Cas03, p. 1478-1479] for an explicit description of the rational conic bundle ψ in the toric case.

Remark 2.7. Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor; apply Prop. 2.1 to D . If we get $s = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) - 1$ divisors $E_1, \dots, E_s$, then we are in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.6: indeed $c_k = 1$, hence $R_k \notin \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k)$ and φ must be finite on D_k .

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Since $D_k \cdot R_k > 0$, every fiber of φ must intersect D_k . On the other hand φ is finite on D_k , thus every fiber of φ has dimension 1, and $\dim Y = n - 1$.

Then A_k cannot dominate Y , because $\text{codim } A_k \geq 2$; recall moreover that $\text{Sing}(X_k) \subseteq A_k$. Restricting φ we get a contraction $X_k \searrow \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(A_k)) \rightarrow Y \setminus \varphi(A_k)$ of a smooth variety, with $-K_{X_k}$ relatively ample, and one-dimensional fibers. By [And85, Th. 3.1] (see also [AW97, Th. 4.1]) we conclude that $Y \setminus \varphi(A_k)$ is smooth and that $\varphi|_{X_k \searrow \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(A_k))}$ is a conic bundle.

Recall that $\sigma^{-1}: X_k \dashrightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over $X_k \setminus A_k$. If $U_1 := \sigma^{-1}(X_k \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(A_k)))$, then $\psi: U_1 \rightarrow Y \setminus \varphi(A_k)$ is again a conic bundle; in particular it is flat, and induces an injective morphism $\iota: Y \setminus \varphi(A_k) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(X)$. Let $H \subset \text{Hilb}(X)$ be the closure of the image of ι , and $\mathcal{C} \subset H \times X$ the restriction of the universal family over $\text{Hilb}(X)$. We get a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X_k \\ \pi \downarrow & & & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \varphi \\ H & \xleftarrow{\iota} & & & Y \end{array}$$

where $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H$ and $e: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$ are the projections, and ι is birational.

Keeping the notation of the proof of Prop. 2.1, let $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and let $T_{i_j} \subset X_{i_j+1}$ be the center of the blow-up $\sigma_{i_j}: X_{i_j} \rightarrow X_{i_j+1}$. We claim that:

- (i) for every $m \in \{i_j + 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ we have $\text{Locus}(R_m) \cap T_{i_j} = \emptyset$, and the image $T'_j \subset X_k$ of $T_{i_j} \subset X_{i_j+1}$ is a connected component of A_k ;
- (ii) the images $\varphi(T'_1), \dots, \varphi(T'_s), \varphi(A_k \setminus (T'_1 \cup \dots \cup T'_s))$ are pairwise disjoint in Y .

Let's first notice that the claim implies the statement. Indeed set

$$V := Y \setminus \varphi(A_k \setminus (T'_1 \cup \dots \cup T'_s)).$$

By (i) V is open in Y and $\varphi^{-1}(V) \subseteq \sigma(\text{dom}(\sigma))$, and by (ii) $T'_1 \cup \dots \cup T'_s \subset \varphi^{-1}(V)$. Set $U := \sigma^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(V)) \subseteq X$. Then $E_1, \dots, E_s \subset U$, and $\psi: U \rightarrow V$ is regular and

proper. More precisely, every fiber of ψ over V is one-dimensional, and as before we see that this is a conic bundle. We have a factorization

$$U \xrightarrow[\sigma|_U]{\psi} \varphi^{-1}(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi} V$$

and $\sigma|_U$ is just the blow-up of $T'_1 \cup \dots \cup T'_s$. For every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ we have $Z_j = \psi(E_j) = \varphi(T'_j)$, so $Z_1, \dots, Z_s$ are pairwise disjoint by (ii). Now let $\widehat{E}_j \subset U$ be the transform of $\varphi^{-1}(Z_j)$. Then $\psi^{-1}(Z_j) = E_j \cup \widehat{E}_j$, and the rest of the statement follows from standard arguments on conic bundles.

We show the claim. The underlying idea is to compare the degenerations in X and in X_k of the general fibers the conic bundle.

Let $x \in T_{i_j} \subset X_{i_j+1}$ and let $l \subset E_j \subset X$ be the (transform of the) fiber of σ_{i_j} over x .

Let $B_0 \subset H$ be a general irreducible curve which intersects $\pi(e^{-1}(l))$. Since π is equidimensional and the general fiber of π over B_0 is $\mathbb{P}^1$, the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(B_0) \subset \mathcal{C}$ is irreducible. Set $S := e(\pi^{-1}(B_0)) \subset X$, then $S \cap l \neq \emptyset$ by construction.

Consider the normalizations $B \rightarrow B_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(B_0)$ of B_0 and $\pi^{-1}(B_0)$ respectively; we have induced morphisms $e_B: \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_B: \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow B$. Because B_0 is general, $B_0 \cap \text{dom}(\iota^{-1}) \neq \emptyset$, and ι^{-1} induces a morphism $\eta: B \rightarrow Y$. Set $B_1 := \eta(B) \subset Y$.

Again, since φ is equidimensional and the general fiber of φ over B_1 is $\mathbb{P}^1$, the inverse image $\varphi^{-1}(B_1) \subset X_k$ is irreducible; call S_k this surface, which is just the transform of $S \subset X$ under σ .

Recall that φ is finite on D_k , hence no component of a fiber of φ can be contained in A_k . On the other hand, by the generality of B_0 , the general fiber of $\varphi|_{S_k}$ does not intersect A_k . Therefore S_k can intersect A_k at most in a finite number of points.

Consider now $\sigma_S := \sigma|_S: S \dashrightarrow S_k$. Then σ_S is an isomorphism over $S_k \setminus (S_k \cap A_k)$, hence by Zariski's main theorem $\xi := \sigma_S \circ e_B: \mathcal{C}_B \rightarrow S_k$ is a morphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xi & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{C}_B & \xrightarrow{e_B} & S \subset X & \xrightarrow{\sigma_S} & S_k \subset X_k \\ \pi_B \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \varphi \\ B & \xrightarrow{\eta} & B_1 & \subset & Y \end{array}$$

Let $y \in B$ be such that $C := e_B(\pi_B^{-1}(y)) \subset S$ intersects l . Since C is numerically equivalent in X to a general fiber of ψ , we have $-K_X \cdot C = 2$ and $E_j \cdot C = 0$; in particular C has at most two irreducible components, because $-K_X$ is ample.

Set $f := \varphi^{-1}(\eta(y))$. Since f is numerically equivalent in X_k to a general fiber of φ , we have $-K_{X_k} \cdot f = 2$. Recall that no irreducible component of f can be contained in A_k ; on the other hand, f must intersect A_k , otherwise σ_S would be an isomorphism over f , $C = \sigma_S^{-1}(f)$, and $C \cap E_j = \emptyset$, a contradiction because $l \subset E_j$.

Let's show that f is integral. Indeed let C_1 be an irreducible component of f . If $C_1 \cap A_k = \emptyset$, then C_1 is contained in the smooth locus of X_k and $-K_{X_k} \cdot C_1 \geq 1$. If instead $C_1 \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$, then [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] gives $-K_{X_k} \cdot C_1 > 1$. Therefore f must be irreducible and reduced.

For every $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ let $\tilde{f}_i \subset X_i$ be the transform of $f \subset X_k$ (where $X_0 = X$). Again by [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] we get $-K_X \cdot \tilde{f}_0 < -K_{X_k} \cdot f = 2$, hence $-K_X \cdot \tilde{f}_0 = 1$.

Notice that $\xi(\pi_B^{-1}(y)) \subset S_k$ is contained in f ; on the other hand ξ cannot contract to a point a fiber of π_B , hence $\xi(\pi_B^{-1}(y)) = f$. Then $\tilde{f}_0 \subseteq C$, because $C = e_B(\pi_B^{-1}(y))$, and we get $C = \tilde{f}_0 \cup C'$, where $C' \subset X$ is an irreducible curve.

Since $f \not\subset A_k$, we have $\tilde{f}_0 \not\subset E_j$; in particular $E_j \cdot \tilde{f}_0 \geq 0$. If $E_j \cdot \tilde{f}_0 = 0$, then also $E_j \cdot C' = 0$ and $C \subset E_j$, which is impossible. Hence $E_j \cdot \tilde{f}_0 > 0$.

Consider now the blow-up $\sigma_{i_j} : X_{i_j} \rightarrow X_{i_j+1}$. We have $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j} = E_j \cdot \tilde{f}_0 \geq 1$, hence using the projection formula we get $-K_{X_{i_j+1}} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j+1} \geq -K_{X_{i_j}} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j} + 1$. On the other hand [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] gives

$$1 = -K_X \cdot \tilde{f}_0 \leq -K_{X_{i_j}} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j} \quad \text{and} \quad -K_{X_{i_j+1}} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j+1} \leq -K_{X_k} \cdot f = 2.$$

We conclude that $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j} = 1$, $-K_X \cdot \tilde{f}_0 = -K_{X_{i_j}} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j}$, and $-K_{X_{i_j+1}} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j+1} = -K_{X_k} \cdot f$, and again by [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] this implies that for every $m \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, $m \neq i_j$, $\text{Locus}(R_m)$ is disjoint from $\tilde{f}_m$.

We show that $C' = l$ (recall that $l \subset X$ is the transform of the fiber of σ_{i_j} over $x \in T_{i_j}$). Since C' intersects $\tilde{f}_0$ and $\tilde{f}_0 \cap \text{Locus}(R_0) = \emptyset$, we see that C' is not contained in $\text{Locus}(R_0)$. Iterating this reasoning for every σ_m with $m \in \{0, \dots, i_j-1\}$, we see that C' intersects the locus where $X \dashrightarrow X_{i_j}$ is an isomorphism; let $\tilde{C}' \subset X_{i_j}$ be its transform.

If $\sigma_{i_j}(\tilde{C}')$ were a curve, then by the same reasoning it could not be contained in $\text{Locus}(R_m)$ for any $m = i_j+1, \dots, k-1$, and in the end we would get a curve $\tilde{C}'_k \subset X_k$, distinct from f , which should belong to $\xi(\pi_B^{-1}(y))$, which is impossible. Thus $\tilde{C}'$ must be a fiber of σ_{i_j} . On the other hand $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \cdot \tilde{f}_{i_j} = 1$, thus $\tilde{f}_{i_j}$ intersects a unique fiber of σ_{i_j} , and $C' = l$.

In particular this implies that $x \in \tilde{f}_{i_j+1} \cap T_{i_j}$. Since $x \in T_{i_j}$ was arbitrary, we have shown (i). Moreover in X_k we have $f \cap (A_k \setminus T'_j) = \emptyset$, which yields $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(T'_j)) \cap (A_k \setminus T'_j) = \emptyset$, and we get (ii). $\blacksquare$

Remark 2.8. Prop. 2.1 implies at once that if X is a Fano manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, and $D \subset X$ is a prime divisor with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$, then $\rho_X \leq 3$ (see [Tsu06, Prop. 5] and [Cas08, Prop. 3.16]). Indeed any two divisors which intersect D must also intersect each other, so that in Prop. 2.1 we must have $s \leq 1$ and $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq 2$.

Corollary 2.9. *Let X be a Fano manifold with pseudo-index $\iota_X > 1$. For every prime divisor $D \subset X$, we have*

$$\rho_X - \rho_D \leq \text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq 1.$$

Moreover if there exists a prime divisor D with $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$, then $\iota_X = 2$ and there exists a smooth morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ with fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$, finite on D , such that Y is a Fano manifold with $\iota_Y > 1$.

This Corollary implies Th. 1.6 (just notice that if $D_1, D_2 \subset X$ are two disjoint divisors, then $\mathcal{N}_1(D_1, X) \subseteq D_2^\perp \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(X)$).

Proof. Suppose that $D \subset X$ is a prime divisor with $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) > 0$, and apply Prop. 2.1. Since X contains no curves of anticanonical degree 1, we must have $s = 0$ and $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$. By Rem. 2.7 we are in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.6.

Keeping the same notation as in the proof of Prop. 2.1, we show that $k = 0$ and $X = X_k$. Indeed if not, we have $A_k \neq \emptyset$ in X_k . Take f a fiber of φ intersecting A_k .

Then, using [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we see that f is integral, and that the transform $\tilde{f} \subset X$ of f has anticanonical degree 1 in X , a contradiction.

Thus we get a conic bundle $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$, which is finite on D . In fact, since X contains no curves of anticanonical degree 1, φ must be a smooth fibration in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then Y is Fano by [Wi91, Prop. 4.3], and finally we have $\iota_Y \geq \iota_X = 2$ by [BCDD03, Lemme 2.5]. $\blacksquare$

3 Divisors with minimal Picard number

Let X be a Fano manifold, and consider

$$c_X := \max\{\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \mid D \text{ is a prime divisor in } X\}.$$

We always have $0 \leq c_X \leq \rho_X - 1$. If S is a Del Pezzo surface, then $c_S = \rho_S - 1 \in \{0, \dots, 8\}$.

Example 3.1. Consider a Fano manifold $X = S \times Y$, where S is a Del Pezzo surface. Then $c_X = \max\{\rho_S - 1, c_Y\}$. More precisely, for any prime divisor $D \subset X$, we have three possibilities:

- $D = C \times Y$ where $C \subset S$ is a curve, and $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \rho_S - 1$;
- $D = S \times D_Y$ where $D_Y \subset Y$ is a divisor, and $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D_Y, Y) \leq c_Y$;
- D dominates both S and Y under the projections, and $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq \rho_S - 1$.

Indeed suppose that $D \subset X$ is a prime divisor with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) > \rho_S - 1$. Then $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) < \rho_Y + 1$, so that D cannot dominate Y under the projection, and $D = S \times D_Y$.

Example 3.2. If X is a Fano manifold with pseudo-index $\iota_X \geq 3$ (for instance $X = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_r}$ with $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$), then $c_X = 0$ by Cor. 2.9.

We are going to use Prop. 2.1 to prove the following.

Theorem 3.3. *For any Fano manifold X we have $c_X \leq 8$. Moreover:*

- if $c_X \geq 4$ then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, $\rho_S = c_X + 1$, and $c_Y \leq c_X$;
- if $c_X = 3$ then there exists a flat, quasi-elementary contraction $X \rightarrow Y$ where Y is an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold, $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 4$, and $c_Y \leq 3$.

A contraction φ is *quasi-elementary* if $\ker \varphi_*$ is generated by the numerical classes of the curves contained in a general fiber of φ ; we refer the reader to [Cas08] for properties of quasi-elementary contractions. In particular, in the case where $c_X = 3$ in Th. 3.3, the general fiber of the contraction $X \rightarrow Y$ is a Del Pezzo surface S with $\rho_S \geq 4$.

Example 3.4 (Codimension 3). Let $n \geq 3$ and $Z = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$. Then Z is a toric Fano manifold with $\rho_Z = 2$, and the $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ has three pairwise disjoint sections $T_1, T_2, T_3 \subset Z$ which are closed under the torus action. Let $X \rightarrow Z$ be the blow-up of T_1, T_2, T_3 . Then X is Fano with $\rho_X = 5$, and it has a smooth morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ such that every fiber is the Del Pezzo surface S with $\rho_S = 4$. If $E \subset X$ is one of the exceptional divisors of the blow-up, one easily checks that $\rho_X - \rho_E = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E, X) = 3$, hence $c_X \geq 3$. However X is not a product, thus $c_X = 3$ by Th. 3.3.

The proof of Th. 3.3 will take all the rest of section 3; we will proceed in several steps, and here we give a plan. The first part consists of preliminary results, while the actual proof of Th. 3.3 is given in the second and third parts.

- In §§3.5 and 3.11 we consider what happens when one applies Prop. 2.1 to a prime divisor D with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$. We show some properties of the divisors $E_1, \dots, E_s$ obtained in this way; these will be crucial in the following steps.
- In §§3.15 - 3.21 we consider the case where either $c_X \geq 4$, or $c_X = 3$ and X satisfies an additional assumption (see 3.12). Under these hypotheses we show that $X \cong S \times Y$, where S is a Del Pezzo surface. An outline of this part of the proof is given in §3.15.
- In §§3.23 - 3.32 we consider the case where $c_X = 3$ and X does not satisfy the assumption of the previous part. We construct a flat, quasi-elementary contraction of X onto an $(n-2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold, with the desired properties. An outline of this part of the proof is given in §3.23.

3.5. Let X be a Fano manifold, and consider a prime divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$. Applying Prop. 2.1 to D , we get $s \geq c_X - 1$ divisors $E_1, \dots, E_s$. The first step is to study how $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$, $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X)$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X)$ are related; this will be done in the next two lemmas, which concern respectively the cases $s \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$. The key properties that we show are the following: for every $i = 1, \dots, s$

$$(3.6) \quad \text{if } s \geq 2, \text{ then } \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = c_X;$$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{if } s \geq 3, \text{ then } \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f_i] \text{ is an extremal ray of type } (n-1, n-2)^{sm}, \text{ and} \\ &(E_i)|_{D \cap E_i} \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

We show also some technical properties which are needed in the sequel.

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a Fano manifold, $D \subset X$ a prime divisor with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$, and $E_1, \dots, E_s \subset X$ the divisors given by Prop. 2.1 applied to D . Assume that $s \geq 2$.*

Then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = c_X$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_j^\perp$ for every $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ with $i \neq j$. Since $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$, we have $E_j \cdot C_1 = 0$ for every curve $C_1 \subset D \cap E_i$. On the other hand $D \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$, hence there exists some curve $C_2 \subset D$ with $E_j \cdot C_2 > 0$. Therefore we get:

$$\mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_j^\perp \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(D, X).$$

Consider now the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle structure on E_i . Since $D \cdot f_i > 0$, $D \cap E_i$ must dominate the basis of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, hence we have:

$$\mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = \mathbb{R}[f_i] + \mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X).$$

Then $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \leq 1 + \dim \mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X) \leq \dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$, which implies that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = \dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \rho_X - c_X$. Moreover we see that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X) = \rho_X - c_X - 1$, thus $\mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_j^\perp$. ■

Lemma 3.9. *Let X be a Fano manifold, $D \subset X$ a prime divisor with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$, and $E_1, \dots, E_s \subset X$ the divisors given by Prop. 2.1 applied to D . Assume that $s \geq 3$.*

Then for every $i = 1, \dots, s$ the ray $R_i := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f_i]$ is extremal of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$, with contraction $\varphi_i: X \rightarrow Y_i$ where $E_i = \text{Exc}(\varphi_i)$ and Y_i is Fano.

Moreover there exists a linear subspace $L \subset \mathcal{N}_1(X)$, of codimension $c_X + 1$, such that:

$$(3.10) \quad L = \mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_i, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_i^\perp = \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap E_i^\perp \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Proof. Set $L := \mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_1, X)$; Lemma 3.8 already gives that $\text{codim } L = c_X + 1$ and that $L = \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_i^\perp$ for every $i = 2, \dots, s$. If $i, j \in \{2, \dots, s\}$ are distinct (recall that $s \geq 3$), again by Lemma 3.8 we get

$$L = \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_i^\perp = \mathcal{N}_1(D \cap E_j, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap E_1^\perp.$$

Finally let $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Since $E_i \cdot f_i = -1$, we have $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \not\subseteq E_i^\perp$. Therefore $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap E_i^\perp = \dim L$, but $L \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap E_i^\perp$, so we get (3.10).

Let's show that R_i is an extremal ray of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$ in X . Notice first of all that $(-K_X + E_i) \cdot f_i = 0$ and $(-K_X + E_i) \cdot C > 0$ for every irreducible curve C not contained in E_i . Now if $C \subset E_i$, we know by [ACO04, Lemma 5.1] that $C \equiv \lambda f_i + \mu C'$, where $C' \subset D \cap E_i$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Thus

$$(-K_X + E_i) \cdot C = \mu(-K_X + E_i) \cdot C' = \mu(-K_X) \cdot C' \geq 0,$$

so that $-K_X + E_i$ is nef and $(-K_X + E_i)^\perp \cap \text{NE}(X) = R_i$ is an extremal ray. Since $D \cdot R_i > 0$ and $R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$, [Cas09, Lemma 3.9] yields that R_i is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$. Finally $-K_X + E_i = \varphi_i^*(-K_{Y_i})$, thus $-K_{Y_i}$ is ample and Y_i is Fano. $\blacksquare$

3.11. We consider now a Fano manifold X satisfying the following:

Assumption 3.12. Either $c_X \geq 4$, or $c_X = 3$ and for every prime divisor $D \subset X$ with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 3$, applying Prop. 2.1 to D we get $s = 3$.

By (3.6) and (3.7) there exists a prime divisor $E_0 \subset X$ such that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) = c_X$ and $E_0 = \text{Locus}(R_0)$, R_0 an extremal ray of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$. We apply Prop. 2.1 to E_0 , and get divisors $E_1, \dots, E_s$, with $s \geq 3$ by our assumption.

Let $\pi: E_0 \rightarrow F_0$ be the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle given by the contraction of R_0 . Since $E_1, \dots, E_s$ are pairwise disjoint, either $E_0 \cap E_i$ is a union of fibers of π for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, or π is finite on $E_0 \cap E_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$. In Lemma 3.13 we show that up to replacing E_0 with another divisor with the same properties, we can always reduce to the second situation, and assume that $E_0 \cap E_1, \dots, E_0 \cap E_s$ are horizontal for π .

Fix now $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. The second important fact is that in this situation, the roles of E_0 and E_i are exchangeable, so that (3.7) yields both $(E_i)|_{E_0 \cap E_i} \equiv 0$ and $(E_0)|_{E_0 \cap E_i} \equiv 0$. Using this, we show in Lemma 3.14 that the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle structures on E_0 and E_i are trivial, so that all these divisors are products.

Lemma 3.13. *Let X be a Fano manifold satisfying assumption 3.12.*

Then there exists an extremal ray R_0 of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$, with contraction $\varphi_0: X \rightarrow Y_0$ and exceptional divisor E_0 , such that Y_0 is Fano, $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) = c_X$, and if $R_1, \dots, R_s$ are the extremal rays given by Lemma 3.9 applied to E_0 , we have $E_i \cdot R_0 > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$, where $E_i = \text{Locus}(R_i)$.

Proof. Notice first of all that by our assumptions, if $D \subset X$ is a prime divisor with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$, applying Prop. 2.1 to D we always get $s \geq 3$, hence Lemma 3.9 holds.

In particular, there exists an extremal ray S^0 of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$ such that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E^0, X) = c_X$, where $E^0 := \text{Locus}(S^0)$. Moreover the target of the contraction of S^0 is Fano. We apply Lemma 3.9 to E^0 , and get extremal rays $S_1^1, \dots, S_{s_1}^1$ with exceptional divisors $E_1^1, \dots, E_{s_1}^1$.

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, s_1\}$. We have $E_i^1 \neq E^0$, thus $E_i^1 \cdot S^0 \geq 0$. Because $E_i^1 \cap E^0 \neq \emptyset$, if $E_i^1 \cdot S^0 = 0$ then E_i^1 contains some curve with class in S^0 . Since $E_1^1, \dots, E_{s_1}^1$ are pairwise disjoint, there are just two possibilities: either $E_i^1 \cdot S^0 > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s_1$, or $E_i^1 \cdot S^0 = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s_1$. Thus if $E_1^1 \cdot S^0 > 0$, we set $R_0 = S^0$ and we have the statement.

If $E_1^1 \cdot S^0 = 0$, then we restart with E_1^1 . Proceeding in this way, either we get an extremal ray R_0 as in the statement, or we construct iteratively a sequence of extremal rays $S^0, S^1 = S_1^1, S^2, \dots, S^h$ of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$, such that:

- (a) if E^i is the exceptional divisor of S^i , we have $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E^i, X) = c_X$, for every $i = 0, \dots, h$;
- (b) $E^{i-1} \cdot S^i > 0$ and $S^i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(E^{i-1}, X)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, h$;
- (c) $E^i \cdot S^j = 0$ and $E^i \cap E^j \neq \emptyset$ for every $0 \leq j < i \leq h$.

Indeed, suppose that $S^0, \dots, S^{h-1}$ are given. Then we apply Lemma 3.9 to E^{h-1} , and we get extremal rays $S_1^h, \dots, S_{s_h}^h$ such that $E^{h-1} \cdot S_l^h > 0$, $S_l^h \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(E^{h-1}, X)$, and $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_l^h, X) = c_X$ for every $l = 1, \dots, s_h$, where $E_l^h := \text{Locus}(S_l^h)$.

If $E_1^h \cdot S^{h-1} > 0$, we set $R_0 := S^{h-1}$ and we have the statement.

Let's assume that $E_1^h \cdot S^{h-1} = 0$, and set $S^h := S_1^h$. Then $S^0, \dots, S^h$ satisfy (a) and (b). Let's show that $E_l^h \cdot S^j = 0$ and $E_l^h \cap E^j \neq \emptyset$ for all $j = 0, \dots, h-1$ and $l = 1, \dots, s_h$; in particular this gives (c).

We proceed by decreasing induction on j : suppose that $E_l^h \cdot S^i = 0$ and $E_l^h \cap E^i \neq \emptyset$ for $j \leq i \leq h-1$ and for every $l = 1, \dots, s_h$. Then E_l^h contains a curve in S^j and $E^{j-1} \cdot S^j > 0$, hence $E_l^h \cap E^{j-1} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover $E_l^h \cdot S^j = 0$ implies that $E_l^h \neq E^{j-1}$, thus $E_l^h \cdot S^{j-1} \geq 0$.

Notice that again, since $E_1^h, \dots, E_{s_h}^h$ are pairwise disjoint, the intersections $E_l^h \cdot S^{j-1}$ are either all zero or all positive.

By contradiction, suppose that $E_l^h \cdot S^{j-1} > 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1}, X) = \mathbb{R}(S^{j-1}) + \mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1} \cap E_l^h, X),$$

hence $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1} \cap E_l^h, X) \leq c_X + 1$. As in the proof of (3.10), using that $E_1^h, \dots, E_{s_h}^h$ are pairwise disjoint and $s_h \geq 3$, we deduce that $\mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1} \cap E_l^h, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1}, X) \cap (E_l^h)^\perp$, and hence also

$$\mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1} \cap E_l^h, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(E_l^h, X) \cap (E_l^h)^\perp.$$

But this is impossible, because $S^j \subset \mathcal{N}_1(E_l^h, X) \cap (E_l^h)^\perp$ while $S^j \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(E^{j-1}, X)$.

Consider now a sequence of extremal rays $S^0, \dots, S^h$ satisfying (a) and (c) above. Then $\mathbb{R}(S^0 + \dots + S^h)$ has dimension $h+1$ and is contained in $\mathcal{N}_1(E^h, X)$, which yields $h < \rho_X - c_X$. This means that after finitely many steps we achieve an R_0 as in the statement. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 3.14. *Let X be a Fano manifold satisfying assumption 3.12, and consider the extremal rays $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_s$ and the divisors $E_0, \dots, E_s$ given by Lemma 3.13.*

Then for every $i = 0, \dots, s$ we have $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_i$, with F_i an $(n-2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold. Moreover $\mathcal{N}_1(\{pt\} \times F_i, X) = L \subset E_0^\perp$, where $L \subset \mathcal{N}_1(X)$ is as

in (3.10), and R_i is the unique extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$ having negative intersection with E_i .

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 3.9

$$L = \mathcal{N}_1(E_0 \cap E_i, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) \cap E_i^\perp = \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap E_i^\perp \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, s$$

and $\text{codim } L = c_X + 1$. Since $E_1 \cdot R_0 > 0$, we have $\mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) = \mathbb{R}R_0 + \mathcal{N}_1(E_0 \cap E_1, X)$, so that $R_0 \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(E_0 \cap E_1, X)$. Moreover $\mathcal{N}_1(E_0 \cap E_1, X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$, and for dimensional reasons we see that they coincide, hence $R_0 \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$.

Consider now Prop. 2.1 applied to the divisor E_1 . We claim that we can construct a Mori program for $-E_1$ such that every extremal ray has positive anticanonical degree, and moreover the first extremal ray is exactly R_0 . Indeed since Y_0 is again Fano, we can just apply Lemma 2.5 to Y_0 in order to construct the rest of the sequence. The output of Prop. 2.1 applied to E_1 will be $s_1 \geq 3$ pairwise disjoint divisors $F_1, \dots, F_{s_1}$, with $F_1 = E_0$. Now by (3.10) we conclude that $L \subset E_0^\perp$; in particular

$$\mathcal{N}_1(E_0 \cap E_1, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) \cap E_0^\perp = \mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X) \cap E_1^\perp.$$

Therefore considering the divisor $G := (E_1)_{|E_0}$ in E_0 , we get $\mathcal{N}_1(G, E_0) \subseteq G^\perp = (E_0|_{E_0})^\perp$ in $\mathcal{N}_1(E_0)$. This means that G is nef and $G \cdot C = 0$ for every curve $C \subset G$.

Let $i: E_0 \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion and take $\gamma \in \overline{\text{NE}}(E_0) \cap G^\perp$ with $\gamma \neq 0$. Then $i_*(\gamma) \in \text{NE}(X) \cap E_0^\perp$, hence:

$$-K_{E_0} \cdot \gamma = -(K_X + E_0) \cdot i_*(\gamma) = -K_X \cdot i_*(\gamma) = (-K_X)_{|E_0} \cdot \gamma > 0.$$

By the contraction theorem, there exists a contraction $g: E_0 \rightarrow Z$ such that $-K_{E_0}$ is g -ample and $\text{NE}(g) = \overline{\text{NE}}(E_0) \cap G^\perp$ (see for instance [Deb01, Th. 7.39 and Rem. 7.40(1)]). In particular g sends G to a union of points, hence $\dim Z = 1$. Because $G \cdot f_0 > 0$, g does not contract the fibers of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle on E_0 , and $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

By [Cas09, Lemma 4.9] we conclude that $E_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_0$, where F_0 is a Fano manifold of dimension $n - 2$. Moreover $\mathcal{N}_1(\{pt\} \times F_0, X)$ is contained in L and has codimension at most 1 in $\mathcal{N}_1(E_0, X)$, thus it coincides with L . Finally if R is an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$ with $E_0 \cdot R < 0$, then $R \subseteq i_*(\text{NE}(E_0)) \subseteq R_0 + (\text{NE}(X) \cap L)$, therefore $R = R_0$. The proof for $E_1, \dots, E_s$ is analogous. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Theorem 3.3.

3.15. Outline of the proof when X satisfies assumption 3.12. In paragraphs 3.16 – 3.21 we are going to show that under assumption 3.12 we have $X \cong S \times Y$, where S is a Del Pezzo surface.

Let's first see how this implies Th. 3.3 for X . We have $c_X = \max\{\rho_S - 1, c_Y\}$ (see Ex. 3.1), and if $c_X = \rho_S - 1$, we have the statement (if $c_X = 3$, we just take the projection $X \rightarrow Y$).

Suppose instead that $\rho_S - 1 < c_X$, hence $c_Y = c_X \geq 3$. Again by Ex. 3.1, any prime divisor $D \subset X$ with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = c_X$ will be a product $D = S \times D_Y$, where $D_Y \subset Y$ is a prime divisor with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D_Y, Y) = c_Y$. It is then easy to see that Y too satisfies assumption 3.12, so we can iterate the procedure and get $Y \cong S_2 \times Y_2$. In the end we write X as a product $S_1 \times \dots \times S_r \times Y'$ where $c_X = \rho_{S_r} - 1$, and we are done.

Let's now give an outline of the proof that X is a product. Let $E_0, \dots, E_s \subset X$ be the divisors constructed in Lemma 3.13.

In §3.16 we show that $E_1, \dots, E_s$ are the exceptional divisors of the blow-up $\sigma: X \rightarrow X_s$ of a Fano manifold X_s in s smooth codimension 2 subvarieties. Moreover there is an elementary contraction of fiber type $\varphi: X_s \rightarrow Y$ such that if $\psi := \varphi \circ \sigma: X \rightarrow Y$, then $\psi(E_0) = Y$, and ψ is finite on $\{pt\} \times F_0 \subset E_0$ (recall that $E_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_0$). We have then two possibilities: either ψ is not finite on E_0 and $\dim Y = n - 2$, or ψ is finite on E_0 and $\dim Y = n - 1$.

We first consider the case where ψ is not finite on E_0 , in §3.17. We use the divisors $E_0, \dots, E_s$ to define a contraction $X \rightarrow S$ onto a surface, such that the induced morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow S \times Y$ is finite. Finally we show that in fact π is an isomorphism; here the key property is that $E_0, \dots, E_s$ are products.

Then we consider the case where ψ is finite on E_0 . In this situation Y is smooth, and both ψ and φ are conic bundles. If $T_1, \dots, T_s \subset X_s$ are the subvarieties blown-up by σ , the transforms $\widehat{E}_1, \dots, \widehat{E}_s \subset X$ of $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(T_i))$ are smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles.

In §3.20, as a preliminary step, we study how $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X)$, $\mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X)$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X)$ are related; this is similar to §3.5, and as for E_i we show that $\widehat{E}_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \widehat{F}_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Since $\psi(E_0) = Y$, Y is covered by the family of rational curves $\psi(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{pt\})$. In §3.21 we use a result from [BCD07] to show that in fact these rational curves are the fibers of a smooth morphism $Y \rightarrow Y'$, where $\dim Y' = n - 2$.

In this way we get a contraction $X \rightarrow Y'$, and we proceed similarly to the previous case: we use the divisors $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_s, \widehat{E}_1, \dots, \widehat{E}_s$ to define a contraction $X \rightarrow S$ onto a surface, and show that the induced morphism $X \rightarrow S \times Y'$ is an isomorphism.

3.16. Let X be a Fano manifold satisfying assumption 3.12, and let $E_0, \dots, E_s$ be as in Lemma 3.13. Recall that $L = \mathcal{N}_1(E_0 \cap E_i, X) \subset E_j^\perp$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 0, \dots, s$. We construct explicitly a Mori program for $-E_0$.

If R is an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$ different from $R_1, \dots, R_s$, we have $E_i \cdot R \geq 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, hence $(-K_X + E_1 + \dots + E_s) \cdot R > 0$. On the other hand $(-K_X + E_1 + \dots + E_s) \cdot R_i = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, so that $-K_X + E_1 + \dots + E_s$ is nef and

$$(-K_X + E_1 + \dots + E_s)^\perp \cap \text{NE}(X) = R_1 + \dots + R_s$$

is a face of $\text{NE}(X)$. Since $[f_1], \dots, [f_s]$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$, this face has dimension s , and its contraction $\sigma: X \rightarrow X_s$ is the simultaneous blow-down of $R_1, \dots, R_s$. Moreover X_s is again smooth and Fano.

Set $D_s := \sigma(E_0) \subset X_s$. The normalization of D_s is $E_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_0$, and σ is the blow-up of s smooth subvarieties $T_1, \dots, T_s \subset D_s$ which are images of $\{pt\} \times F_0 \subset E_0$. If $\iota: D_s \hookrightarrow X_s$ is the inclusion, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1(D_s, X_s) &= \mathbb{R}[\sigma(f_0)] \oplus \sigma_*(L), \quad \mathcal{N}_1(T_i, X_s) = \sigma_*(L) \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, s, \\ \text{and } \iota_*(\text{NE}(D_s)) &\subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\sigma(f_0)] + (\text{NE}(X_s) \cap \sigma_*(L)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\sigma^*(D_s) = E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s (E_0 \cdot f_i) E_i$, using the projection formula we see that $D_s \cdot \sigma(f_0) > 0$ and $\sigma_*(L) \subseteq D_s^\perp$.

Suppose that there exists an extremal ray R of X_s with a birational contraction and such that $D_s \cdot R > 0$.

If there is a curve $C_0 \subset D_s$ with $[C_0] \in R$, then $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\sigma(f_0)]$ and $\text{Locus}(R) \supsetneq D_s$, which is impossible. Therefore the contraction of R is finite on D_s , and as in the proof of [Cas09, Lemma 3.9] we see that R is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$ and $E_R := \text{Locus}(R)$ is

a prime divisor with $E_R \cap (T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_s) = \emptyset$. In particular $E_R \cdot C_1 = 0$ for every curve $C_1 \subseteq T_1$, hence $\sigma_*(L) \subseteq E_R^\perp$. Moreover $E_R \cdot \sigma(f_0) \geq 0$ because $E_R \neq D_s$.

Suppose that $R \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_s, X_s)$, and let C_2 be an irreducible curve with class in R . Then $[C_2] = \lambda[\sigma(f_0)] + \gamma$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \sigma_*(L)$. We get $D_s \cdot C_2 = \lambda D_s \cdot \sigma(f_0)$, thus $\lambda > 0$. On the other hand $-1 = E_R \cdot C_2 = \lambda E_R \cdot \sigma(f_0)$, which gives a contradiction.

Therefore we must have $R \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_s, X_s)$, and by Prop. 2.1 the transform of E_R in X gives a new divisor E_{s+1} with the same properties as $E_1, \dots, E_s$ (recall that the divisors E_i are defined in Lemma 3.9 exactly as the divisors obtained by applying Prop. 2.1 to E_0). In the end, up to replacing s by a bigger number, we can assume that there exists an elementary contraction of fiber type $\varphi: X_s \rightarrow Y$ such that $D_s \cdot \text{NE}(\varphi) > 0$; set $\psi := \varphi \circ \sigma: X \rightarrow Y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \psi & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X_s & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_1(T_1, X_s) \subseteq D_s^\perp$, φ must be finite on T_1 , so that $\dim Y \geq n - 2$.

3.17. First case: φ is not finite on D_s . In this case $\text{NE}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_s, X_s)$, therefore $\mathcal{N}_1(D_s, X_s) = \mathcal{N}_1(X_s)$ and $s = c_X$. Moreover $\dim Y = n - 2$ and the general fiber of φ is a Del Pezzo surface. We also notice that $\varphi \circ \sigma|_{E_0}$ is finite on $\{pt\} \times F_0$ and contracts f_0 , hence $\text{NE}(\varphi) = \sigma_*(R_0)$, and $\text{NE}(\psi)$ is an $(s + 1)$ -dimensional face of $\text{NE}(X)$ containing $R_0, \dots, R_s$.

Let's consider the divisor $H := 2E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s E_i$ on X . We have $H \cdot R_i > 0$ for every $i = 0, \dots, s$, and $H^\perp \supset L$. Then H is nef and defines a contraction $\xi: X \rightarrow S$ such that $\text{NE}(\xi) = H^\perp \cap \text{NE}(X)$. For any $i = 0, \dots, s$ the image $\xi(\{pt\} \times F_i)$ is a point and $\xi(E_i) = \xi(f_i)$ is an irreducible rational curve; in particular $\dim \xi(\text{Supp } H) = 1$ and S is a surface.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X_s \\ & \searrow \xi & & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \varphi \\ S & & & & Y \end{array}$$

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S \times Y$ be the morphism induced by ξ and ψ . We observe first of all that π is finite: consider an irreducible curve $C \subset X$ such that $\xi(C) = \{pt\}$. If C is disjoint from $\text{Supp } H = E_0 \cup \dots \cup E_s$, then $\sigma(C) \subset X_s$ is a curve disjoint from D_s , so that $\psi(C)$ is a curve. If instead C intersects $E_0 \cup \dots \cup E_s$, then it must be contained in it, and we have $C \subset \{pt\} \times F_i$ for some i . This implies that $\psi(C)$ is again a curve, and also that for every $j = 0, \dots, s$ we have $E_j \cdot C = 0$, therefore $\text{NE}(\xi) \subseteq E_j^\perp$ and $E_j = \xi^*(\xi(E_j))$.

In particular, ξ must be equidimensional, hence S is smooth by [ABW92, Prop. 1.4.1] and [Cas08, Lemma 3.10]. We need the following two remarks.

Remark 3.18. Let W be a smooth Fano variety and suppose we have two contractions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ W_1 & & W_2 \end{array}$$

such that W_1 is smooth and the induced morphism $\pi: W \rightarrow W_1 \times W_2$ is finite. Consider the relative canonical divisor $K_{W/W_1} := K_W - \pi_1^* K_{W_1}$. If $\ker(\pi_2)_* \subseteq (K_{W/W_1})^\perp$ in $\mathcal{N}_1(W)$, then π is an isomorphism.

This is rather standard, we give a proof for the reader's convenience. Let d be the degree of π , and $F \subset W$ a general fiber of π_2 ; the restriction $f := (\pi_1)|_F: F \rightarrow W_1$ is finite of degree d . We observe that F is Fano, hence numerical and linear equivalence for divisors in F coincide, and by assumption $(K_{W/W_1})|_F \equiv 0$. Then

$$K_F = (K_W)|_F = (\pi_1^* K_{W_1})|_F = f^* K_{W_1},$$

so that f is étale. Therefore W_1 is Fano too, in particular it is simply connected, thus f is an isomorphism and $d = 1$.

Remark 3.19. Let X be a smooth projective variety and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a contraction such that Y is smooth and $-K_X$ is f -ample. Let $D \subset X$ be a smooth prime divisor with $\text{NE}(f) \subseteq D^\perp$. Then $f(D)$ is a smooth prime divisor.

Indeed the assumptions imply that $f(D)$ is a divisor and $D = f^*(f(D))$. Let $y \in f(D)$ and let $g \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ be a local equation for $f(D)$. Then $f^*(g)$ is a local equation for D near the fiber over y . Since D is smooth, the differential $d_x(f^*(g))$ is non-zero, where $x \in f^{-1}(y)$. Then $d_y g$ is non-zero, hence $f(D)$ is smooth at y .

We carry on with the proof of Th. 3.3. We want to apply Rem. 3.18 to deduce that $\pi: X \rightarrow S \times Y$ is an isomorphism; for this we just need to show that $K_{X/S} \cdot R_i = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, s$, because $\ker \psi_* = \mathbb{R}(R_0 + \dots + R_s)$. But this follows easily because E_i are products.

Indeed since both S and E_i are smooth, Rem. 3.19 yields that $\xi(E_i)$ is a smooth curve. Therefore $\xi(E_i) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\xi|_{E_i}$ is the projection, hence

$$K_{X/S} \cdot f_i = (K_{X/S})|_{E_i} \cdot f_i = K_{E_i/\xi(E_i)} \cdot f_i = 0.$$

Thus we conclude that π is an isomorphism and $X \cong S \times Y$.

3.20. Second case: φ is finite on D_s . We are in the situation of Lemma 2.6, and in fact we have $U = X$, $V = Y$. This is because in the special Mori program that we have constructed for $-E_0$, we have $s = k$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\} = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, hence $A_k = T'_1 \cup \dots \cup T'_s$ (notation as in the proof of Lemma 2.6).

So both φ and ψ are conic bundles, and Y is smooth of dimension $n-1$. Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_s \subset Y$ and $\widehat{E}_1, \dots, \widehat{E}_s \subset X$ be the divisors given by Lemma 2.6.

Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. We have $\mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X) = \mathbb{R}[\widehat{f}_i] + \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X)$, because $E_i \cdot \widehat{f}_i > 0$. Observe that $[\widehat{f}_i] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X)$: otherwise we would have $\widehat{f}_i \equiv \lambda f_i + \gamma$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in L \subset E_0^\perp \cap E_i^\perp$. Intersecting with E_i we get $\lambda = -1$, hence $E_0 \cdot \widehat{f}_i = -E_0 \cdot f_i < 0$, which is impossible. Therefore we get $\mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X) = \mathbb{R}[\widehat{f}_i] \oplus \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X)$.

Let's show that $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X) = c_X + 1$ and $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X) = c_X$. If $\widehat{E}_i \cap E_0 \neq \emptyset$, it must be $E_0 \cdot \widehat{f}_i > 0$, because φ is finite on D_s . Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.8 we see that $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X) = c_X$. If instead $\widehat{E}_i \cap E_0 = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap E_0^\perp \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X)$, which yields $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X) = c_X + 1$.

On the other hand $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = \mathbb{R}R_i + \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X)$, hence $R_i \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X)$. For dimensional reasons $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X)$, and we conclude that $R_i \notin \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X)$.

We finally show that $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X) = L \subset \widehat{E}_i^\perp$. Indeed as in the proof of Lemma 3.14, we can apply Prop. 2.1 to $\widehat{E}_i$ starting with the extremal ray R_i , so that E_i will be one of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles obtained in this way. By Lemma 3.9, $\mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X) = \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) \cap E_i^\perp = L$. Moreover if $j \neq i$ we have $L \subset \mathcal{N}_1(E_j, X) \subset \widehat{E}_i^\perp$.

Now similarly as before one shows that $\widehat{R}_i := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\widehat{f}_i]$ is an extremal ray of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$ in X , that $\widehat{E}_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \widehat{F}_i$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(\{pt\} \times \widehat{F}_i, X) = L$.

3.21. Observe that $\text{NE}(\psi) = R_1 + \widehat{R}_1 + \cdots + R_s + \widehat{R}_s$ has dimension $s+1$, and that $\psi|_{E_0}: E_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_0 \rightarrow Y$ is finite. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.22. *Let E be a projective manifold and $\pi: E \rightarrow W$ a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $f \subset E$. Moreover let $\psi_0: E \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism onto a projective manifold Y , such that $\dim \psi_0(f) = 1$. Suppose that there exists a prime divisor $Z_1 \subset Y$ such that $\mathcal{N}_1(Z_1, Y) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(Y)$ and $\psi_0^*(Z_1) \cdot f > 0$. Then there is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\ W & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

where Y' is smooth and ζ is a smooth morphism with fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Proof of Lemma 3.22. Consider the morphism $\phi: E \rightarrow W \times Y$ induced by π and ψ_0 , set $E' := \phi(E) \subset W \times Y$, and let $\pi': E' \rightarrow W$ be the projection. For every $p \in W$ we have $\pi^{-1}(p) = \phi^{-1}((\pi')^{-1}(p))$, hence $(\pi')^{-1}(p) = \psi_0(\pi^{-1}(p)) \subset Y$ is an irreducible and reduced rational curve in Y .

Now $\pi': E' \rightarrow W$ is a well defined family of algebraic one-cycles on Y over W (see [Kol96, Def. I.3.11 and Th. I.3.17]), and induces a morphism $\iota: W \rightarrow \text{Chow}(Y)$. Set $V := \iota(W) \subset \text{Chow}(Y)$. Then V is a proper, covering family of irreducible and reduced rational curves on Y , so that V is an *unsplit* family (see [Kol96, Def. IV.2.1]).

The family V induces an equivalence relation on Y as a set, called V -equivalence; we refer the reader to [Deb01, §5] and references therein for the related definitions and properties.

We have $Z_1 \cdot \psi_0(f) > 0$; in particular Z_1 intersects every V -equivalence class in Y . This implies that

$$\mathcal{N}_1(Y) = \mathbb{R}[\psi_0(f)] + \mathcal{N}_1(Z_1, Y)$$

(see for instance [ACO04, Lemma 4.1]). On the other hand by assumption $\mathcal{N}_1(Z_1, Y) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(Y)$, therefore $[\psi_0(f)] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(Z_1, Y)$.

Let $T \subseteq Y$ be a V -equivalence class, and $T_1 \subseteq T$ an irreducible closed subset with $\dim T_1 = \dim T$. We have $\mathcal{N}_1(T_1, Y) = \mathbb{R}[\psi_0(f)]$ by [Kol96, Prop. IV.3.13.3], and $T_1 \cap Z_1 \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\dim(T_1 \cap Z_1) = 0$ and $\dim T = \dim T_1 = 1$, that is: *every V -equivalence class has dimension 1*. Then by [BCD07, Prop. 1] there exists a contraction $\zeta: Y \rightarrow Y'$ whose fibers coincide with V -equivalence classes.

Since Y is smooth, Y' is irreducible, and ζ has connected fibers, the general fiber of ζ is irreducible and smooth. Let $l_0 \subset Y$ be such a fiber; then l_0 must contain some curve of the family V , and we get $l_0 = \psi_0(f_0) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ for some fiber f_0 of π , and moreover $-K_Y \cdot l_0 = 2$.

We have $\text{NE}(\zeta) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[l_0]$, so $-K_Y$ is ζ -ample; this implies that ζ is an elementary contraction and a conic bundle, and that Y' is smooth (see [And85, Th. 3.1]).

Let now l be a fiber of ζ . Then l must contain some curve of the family V , so there exists a fiber f of π such that $l \supseteq \psi_0(f)$. We have $l_0 \equiv l$ and $\psi_0(f_0) \equiv \psi_0(f)$ because they are algebraically equivalent in Y ; this gives $l \equiv \psi_0(f)$ and hence $l = \psi_0(f)$ is an integral fiber of ζ . Therefore ζ is smooth. $\blacksquare$

Let's carry on with the proof of Th. 3.3. We have $\psi^*(Z_1) \cdot f_0 = (E_1 + \widehat{E}_1) \cdot f_0 > 0$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(Z_1, Y) \subseteq Z_2^\perp \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(Y)$. Therefore Lemma 3.22 yields that $[\psi(f_0)]$ belongs to an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(Y)$, whose contraction is a smooth conic bundle $\zeta: Y \rightarrow Y'$.

We consider the composition $\psi' := \zeta \circ \psi: X \rightarrow Y'$; the cone $\text{NE}(\psi')$ is an $(s+2)$ -dimensional face of $\text{NE}(X)$ containing $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_s, \widehat{R}_1, \dots, \widehat{R}_s$.

Now we proceed similarly to the previous case. Let's consider the divisor $H' := 2E_0 + 2\sum_{i=1}^s E_i + \sum_{i=1}^s \widehat{E}_i$ on X . We have $H' \cdot R_0 > 0$, $H' \cdot R_i > 0$ and $H' \cdot \widehat{R}_i > 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$, and $(H')^\perp \supset L$. As before, H' is nef and defines a contraction onto a surface $\xi': X \rightarrow S'$, such that $\xi'(E_0)$, $\xi'(E_i)$, and $\xi'(\widehat{E}_i)$ are irreducible rational curves and $E_0 = (\xi')^*(\xi'(E_0))$, $E_i = (\xi')^*(\xi'(E_i))$, $\widehat{E}_i = (\xi')^*(\xi'(\widehat{E}_i))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Then we consider the morphism $\pi': X \rightarrow S' \times Y'$ induced by ξ' and ψ' . As in the previous case, one sees first that π' is finite, and then that it is an isomorphism, applying Rem. 3.18. This concludes the proof of Th. 3.3 for Fano manifolds satisfying assumption 3.12.

3.23. Outline of the proof of Th. 3.3 when $c_X = 3$ and X does not satisfy assumption 3.12. We consider now a Fano manifold X with $c_X = 3$, having a prime divisor $D \subset X$ with $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 3$, such that applying Prop. 2.1 to D we get $s = 2$. By Rem. 2.7 we are in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.6, so we have divisors $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2 \subset X$, and a birational conic bundle structure on X given by maps

$$X \xleftarrow[\sigma]{\psi} X_k \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\psi} Y$$

where $R_k = \text{NE}(\varphi) \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k)$. Consider the factorization of σ given by (2.3); we keep the same notation as in the proofs of Prop. 2.1 and of Lemma 2.6. Our first goal is to show that $k = 2$ and σ is just the composition of two smooth blow-ups with exceptional divisors E_1 and E_2 . The proof of this fact is quite technical, and will be achieved in several steps.

We first show in §3.24 some properties of $\mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X)$ which are needed in the sequel.

In §3.25 we prove that if $F \subset X$ is a prime divisor whose class in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$ spans an extremal ray of $\text{Eff}(X)$, then F must intersect both $E_1 \cup \widehat{E}_1$ and $E_2 \cup \widehat{E}_2$.

Then we show in §3.26 that the factorization (2.3) of σ contains only two divisorial contractions, the ones with exceptional divisors E_1 and E_2 . We proceed by contradiction, applying 3.25 to the exceptional divisor of a divisorial contraction in the factorization of σ .

In §§3.27 and 3.28 we prove the existence of two disjoint prime divisors $F, \widehat{F} \subset X$, which are smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles with fibers $l \subset F$, $\widehat{l} \subset \widehat{F}$ such that $F \cdot l = \widehat{F} \cdot \widehat{l} = -1$, which are horizontal for the rational conic bundle $\psi: X \dashrightarrow Y$, and intersect the divisors $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$ in a suitable way.

Finally in §§3.29 and 3.30 we use F and $\widehat{F}$ to show that the factorization (2.3) contains no flips. This means that $k = 2$, X_2 and Y are smooth, σ is just a smooth blow-up with exceptional divisors E_1 and E_2 , and φ and ψ are conic bundles.

The situation is now analogous to the one in §3.20, and similarly to that case we prove that there is a smooth contraction $Y \rightarrow Y'$, where $\dim Y' = n - 2$ (see §3.31). We have $\rho_X - \rho_{Y'} = 4$, and the contraction $X \rightarrow Y'$ is flat and quasi-elementary.

To conclude, in §3.32 we show that the conic bundle $\varphi: X_2 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth. This implies that the conic bundle $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ has no non-reduced fibers, and hence by a result in [Wiś91] both Y and Y' are Fano.

3.24. Let X be as in 3.23, and let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We have $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = 3$ by Lemma 3.8. If $[\widehat{f}_i] \in \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X)$, then using the sequence (2.3) one sees that in X_k we get $[\sigma(\widehat{f}_i)] \in \mathcal{N}_1(A_k, X_k) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k)$, but this is impossible because $[\sigma(\widehat{f}_i)] \in \text{NE}(\varphi)$, and $\text{NE}(\varphi) \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_k, X_k)$. Hence $[\widehat{f}_i] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X)$ and $\mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X) = \mathbb{R}[\widehat{f}_i] \oplus \mathcal{N}_1(E_i \cap \widehat{E}_i, X)$. Now as in §3.20 we show that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X) = 3$ and $[f_i] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_i, X)$.

3.25. Let $\text{Eff}(X) \subset \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ be the convex cone spanned by classes of effective divisors. Since X is a Mori dream space, $\text{Eff}(X)$ is a closed, convex polyhedral cone, see [HK00, Prop. 1.11(2)]. If $F_0 \subset X$ is a prime divisor covered by a family of curves with which F_0 has negative intersection, then it is easy to see that $[F_0] \in \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ spans an extremal ray of $\text{Eff}(X)$, and that the only prime divisor whose class belongs to this extremal ray is F_0 itself. In particular, this is true for $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$.

Consider now a prime divisor $F \subset X$ such that $[F]$ spans an extremal ray of $\text{Eff}(X)$. If F is different from $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$, then F must intersect both $E_1 \cup \widehat{E}_1$ and $E_2 \cup \widehat{E}_2$. Indeed if for instance F is disjoint from $E_1 \cup \widehat{E}_1$, then $\mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) \cup \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_1, X) \subseteq E_2^\perp \cap \widehat{E}_2^\perp \cap F^\perp$. However this is impossible, because since $[E_2], [\widehat{E}_2], [F] \in \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ span three distinct extremal rays of $\text{Eff}(X)$, they must be linearly independent, thus $E_2^\perp \cap \widehat{E}_2^\perp \cap F^\perp$ has codimension 3, while $\mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$ and $\mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_1, X)$ are distinct subspaces of codimension 3.

3.26. Let's show that in the factorization (2.3) of σ , the only divisorial contractions are σ_{i_1} and σ_{i_2} , the smooth blow-ups which give rise to E_1 and E_2 . By contradiction, suppose this is not the case, and let $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ be the smallest index for which σ_i is a divisorial contraction with $R_i \subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)$. Then $\text{Exc}(\sigma_i) \subset X_i$ is a prime divisor whose class spans an extremal ray of $\text{Eff}(X_i)$; let $G \subset X$ be its transform. By Lemma 2.6 the divisor G is disjoint from $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$, hence by 3.25 we know that $[G] \in \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ cannot span an extremal ray of $\text{Eff}(X)$. This means that $[G] = \sum_j \lambda_j [G_j]$ with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $G_j \subset X$ prime divisors distinct from G .

On the other hand, the map $\xi := \sigma_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_0: X \dashrightarrow X_i$ induces a surjective linear map $\xi_*: \mathcal{N}^1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^1(X_i)$ such that $\xi_*(\text{Eff}(X)) = \text{Eff}(X_i)$. Then $[\text{Exc}(\sigma_i)] = \sum_j \lambda_j [\xi_*(G_j)]$ in $\mathcal{N}^1(X_i)$, hence $[\xi_*(G_j)] \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\text{Exc}(\sigma_i)]$ for every j . If $\xi_*(G_j) \neq 0$, we get $\xi_*(G_j) = \text{Exc}(\sigma_i)$ and hence $G_j = G$, a contradiction. Thus the only possibility is that $\xi_*(G_j) = 0$ for every j , which gives again a contradiction.

Therefore in the factorization (2.3) of σ every σ_i different from σ_{i_1} and σ_{i_2} is the flip of a small extremal ray.

3.27. We claim that there exist two disjoint smooth prime divisors $F, \widehat{F} \subset X$, different from $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$, such that:

- (i) F and $\widehat{F}$ are $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles, with fibers $l \subset F$ and $\widehat{l} \subset \widehat{F}$ respectively, such that $F \cdot l = \widehat{F} \cdot \widehat{l} = -1$;
- (ii) the intersections $(E_1 + \widehat{E}_1) \cdot l$, $(E_1 + \widehat{E}_1) \cdot \widehat{l}$, $(E_2 + \widehat{E}_2) \cdot l$, $(E_2 + \widehat{E}_2) \cdot \widehat{l}$ are all positive.

Notice that any F satisfying (i) must intersect both $E_1 \cup \widehat{E}_1$ and $E_2 \cup \widehat{E}_2$, and the intersections $(E_1 + \widehat{E}_1) \cdot l$, $(E_2 + \widehat{E}_2) \cdot l$ are either both zero or both positive.

Consider the divisor E_1 , and apply to it Prop. 2.1. If this yields at least two divisors distinct from $\widehat{E}_1$, then these will be F and $\widehat{F}$. If this is not the case, it means that Prop. 2.1 applied to E_1 yields $\widehat{E}_1$ and a divisor F as above; in particular $s = 2$. Then by Rem. 2.7 we can apply Lemma 2.6, and this gives a third divisor $\widehat{F}$, disjoint from F , and such that $\widehat{E}_1 \cdot \widehat{l} = 1$. Then F and $\widehat{F}$ have the desired properties.

3.28. As soon as F (respectively $\widehat{F}$) intersects one of the divisors E_i , then $F \cdot f_i > 0$ and $E_i \cdot l > 0$ (respectively $\widehat{F} \cdot f_i > 0$ and $E_i \cdot \widehat{l} > 0$), and similarly for $\widehat{E}_i$.

Indeed, suppose for instance that $F \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $E_1 \cdot l = 0$, then E_1 contains some curve l , but this is impossible because $(E_2 + \widehat{E}_2) \cdot l > 0$ while $E_1 \cap (E_2 \cup \widehat{E}_2) = \emptyset$; thus $E_1 \cdot l > 0$.

If $F \cdot f_1 = 0$, then F contains some curve f_1 ; let $S \subset F$ be the surface given by the union of the fibers of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle which intersect f_1 . Since $\widehat{E}_1 \cdot f_1 > 0$, we have $S \cap \widehat{E}_1 \neq \emptyset$, and there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset S \cap \widehat{E}_1$. Therefore $C \equiv \lambda l + \mu f_1$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$; on the other hand $C \cap (E_2 \cup \widehat{E}_2) = \emptyset$ and

$$0 = (E_2 + \widehat{E}_2) \cdot C = \lambda(E_2 + \widehat{E}_2) \cdot l,$$

which yields $\lambda = 0$ and $[f_1] \in \mathcal{N}_1(\widehat{E}_1, X)$, a contradiction.

In particular we have $F \cdot f > 0$ and $\widehat{F} \cdot f > 0$, where f is a general fiber of ψ .

3.29. For every $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ let $F_i, \widehat{F}_i \subset X_i$ be the transforms of $F, \widehat{F}$. Let's show that for any flip $\sigma_i: X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$ of a small extremal ray R_i in the factorization (2.3) of σ , the divisors F_i and $\widehat{F}_i$ are disjoint from $\text{Locus}(R_i)$.

By contradiction, suppose for instance that this is not true for F , and let $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ be the smallest index such that σ_j is a flip and F_j intersects $\text{Locus}(R_j)$. Recall that σ is regular on the divisors $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$, and that $\text{Locus}(R_j)$ is disjoint from their images in X_j . Since F_j can intersect A_j only along the images of E_1 and E_2 , we have $\text{Locus}(R_j) \cap F_j \cap A_j = \emptyset$.

Let $\alpha_j: X_j \rightarrow Y_j$ be the contraction of R_j . If α_j is finite on F_j , then $F_j \cdot R_j > 0$, and every non trivial fiber of α_j must have dimension 1. If $C_0 \subset X_j$ is an irreducible curve in a fiber of α_j , then C_0 must intersect F_j , hence $C_0 \not\subset A_j$; in particular $C_0 \not\subset \text{Sing}(X_j)$ (recall that $\text{Sing}(X_j) \subseteq A_j$). Then [Ish91, Lemma 1] yields $-K_{X_j} \cdot C_0 \leq 1$, and [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] implies that $C_0 \cap A_j = \emptyset$. We conclude that $\text{Locus}(R_j) \subseteq X_j \setminus A_j$, but this is impossible because a small contraction on a smooth variety cannot have one-dimensional fibers, see [AW97, Th. 4.1].

Therefore α_j is not finite on F_j , and there exists an irreducible curve $C_1 \subset F_j$ with $[C_1] \in R_j$. Consider its transform $\widetilde{C}_1 \subset F \subset X$, and notice that $\widetilde{C}_1$ is disjoint from $E_1, E_2, \widehat{E}_1, \widehat{E}_2$.

Recall that F intersects both $E_1 \cup \widehat{E}_1$ and $E_2 \cup \widehat{E}_2$. We assume that F intersects E_1 and E_2 , the other cases are analogous. Then $E_1 \cdot l > 0$ and $F \cap E_1$ intersects every fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle structure on F , so that

$$\widetilde{C}_1 \equiv \lambda l + \mu C_2$$

where $C_2 \subset F \cap E_1$ is a curve and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $0 = E_2 \cdot \widetilde{C}_1 = \lambda E_2 \cdot l$ and $E_2 \cdot l > 0$, which implies that $\lambda = 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\widetilde{C}_1 \equiv \mu C_2$ in X . Since the map $X \dashrightarrow X_j$ is regular over F , we deduce that the image of C_2 in X_j has class in R_j , thus $\text{Locus}(R_j)$ intersects the image of E_1 , and we have a contradiction.

3.30. We show that σ is a morphism and $k = 2$. If not, the factorization (2.3) of σ contains some flips. Let $m \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ be the largest index such that $\sigma_m: X_m \dashrightarrow X_{m+1}$ is a flip, and let R'_{m+1} be the corresponding small extremal ray of X_{m+1} . Notice that $\text{Locus}(R'_{m+1})$ is the indeterminacy locus of σ_m^{-1} , and $\text{Locus}(R'_{m+1}) \subseteq A_{m+1}$.

We have either $X_{m+1} = X_k$, or $X_{m+1} = X_{k-1}$ and σ_{k-1} a smooth blow-up, or $X_{m+1} = X_{k-2}$ and $\sigma_{k-2}, \sigma_{k-1}$ smooth blow-ups. In particular the composition $\widetilde{\varphi} :=$

$\varphi \circ \sigma_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{m+1}: X_{m+1} \rightarrow Y$ is a conic bundle, finite on $\text{Locus}(R'_{m+1})$. Since F and $\widehat{F}$ have positive intersection with a general fiber of ψ in X , F_{m+1} and $\widehat{F}_{m+1}$ have positive intersection with the fibers of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ in X_{m+1} ; on the other hand F_{m+1} and $\widehat{F}_{m+1}$ are disjoint from $\text{Locus}(R'_{m+1})$.

As in the proof of Lemma 2.6, using [Cas09, Lemma 3.8] we see that every fiber of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ which intersects $\text{Locus}(R'_{m+1})$ is integral.

Let $C \subset X_{m+1}$ be an irreducible curve with $[C] \in R'_{m+1}$ and $S := \widetilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\widetilde{\varphi}(C))$. Then S is irreducible and the divisors $F_{m+1}, \widehat{F}_{m+1}$ intersect S . On the other hand $F_{m+1} \cap \widehat{F}_{m+1} \cap S = \emptyset$, because S is disjoint from the images of E_1 and E_2 in X_{m+1} , so that C , $F_{m+1} \cap S$, and $\widehat{F}_{m+1} \cap S$ are pairwise disjoint horizontal curves with respect to $\widetilde{\varphi}|_S$.

Let C' be an irreducible component of $\widehat{F}_{m+1} \cap S$. Since $\widetilde{\varphi}|_S$ is a fibration in $\mathbb{P}^1$, we have $C' \equiv \lambda C + \mu f$ where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \subset S$ is a fiber. Then $0 = F_{m+1} \cdot C' = \mu F_{m+1} \cdot f$, hence $\mu = 0$ and $[C'] \in R'_{m+1}$. This yields that $\text{Locus}(R'_{m+1}) \cap F_{m+1} \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

3.31. Therefore X has a conic bundle structure $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\psi(F) = Y$, Y is smooth, and $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$. Recall also from Lemma 2.6 that in Y the divisors $Z_i = \psi(E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are disjoint. The situation is very similar to the case where φ is finite on D_s in §3.20, with the difference that the E_i 's do not need to be products. In the same way we use Lemma 3.22 to show that $[\psi(l)]$ belongs to an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(Y)$, whose contraction is a smooth conic bundle $\zeta: Y \rightarrow Y'$, finite on Z_1 and Z_2 ; in particular Y' is smooth of dimension $n - 2$. The contraction $\psi' := \zeta \circ \psi: X \rightarrow Y'$ is equidimensional and hence flat, and $\rho_X - \rho_{Y'} = 4$. Moreover the general fiber of ψ' is a Del Pezzo surface S containing curves $f_1, \widehat{f}_1, f_2, \widehat{f}_2, l$, hence $\mathcal{N}_1(S, X) = \ker(\psi')_*$ and ψ' is quasi-elementary.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & & & & \\ \sigma \downarrow & \searrow \psi & & \searrow \psi' & \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & Y' \end{array}$$

3.32. We show that the conic bundle $\varphi: X_2 \rightarrow Y$ is smooth. By contradiction, suppose that this is not the case, and let $\Delta_\varphi \subset Y$ be the discriminant divisor of φ . Recall that this is an effective, reduced divisor in Y such that $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is singular if and only if $y \in \Delta_\varphi$.

Consider also the discriminant divisor $\Delta_\psi \subset Y$ of the conic bundle $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$. Since φ is smooth over Z_1 and Z_2 , the divisors Δ_φ, Z_1, Z_2 are pairwise disjoint, and $\Delta_\psi = \Delta_\varphi \cup Z_1 \cup Z_2$.

The fibers of ψ over $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ are singular but reduced, hence $\psi^{-1}(y)$ is non-reduced if and only if $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is. Let $R \subset \Delta_\varphi$ be the set of points y such that $\psi^{-1}(y)$ (equivalently, $\varphi^{-1}(y)$) is non-reduced. Then R is a closed subset of Y , and $R \subseteq \text{Sing}(\Delta_\varphi)$ (see for instance [Sar82, Prop. 1.8(5.c)]). Moreover by [Wiś91, Prop. 4.3] we know that $-K_Y \cdot C > 0$ for every irreducible curve $C \subset Y$ not contained in R .

We have $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(Z_i, Y) \leq 1$ because $\zeta(Z_i) = Y'$ for $i = 1, 2$. This yields $Z_1^\perp = Z_2^\perp = \Delta_\varphi^\perp = \mathcal{N}_1(Z_1, Y) = \mathcal{N}_1(Z_2, Y)$. The three divisors Δ_φ, Z_1, Z_2 are numerically proportional, nef, and cut a facet of $\text{NE}(Y)$, whose contraction $\beta: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ sends Δ_φ, Z_1, Z_2 to points. Even if a priori we do not know whether every curve contracted by β has positive anticanonical degree, the general fiber of β is a Fano manifold. Moreover $\text{NE}(\beta)$ is generated by finitely many classes of rational curves (see [Cas08, Lemma 2.6]).

Thus the same proof as [Cas09, Lemma 4.9] yields that $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y'$, and $\Delta_\varphi = \{pts\} \times Y'$.

In particular Δ_φ is smooth, so that $R = \emptyset$ and Y is Fano. Because $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y'$, Y' is Fano too, so that each connected component of Δ_φ is simply connected. However this is impossible, because by a standard construction the conic bundle φ defines a double cover of every irreducible component of Δ_φ , obtained by considering the components of the fibers in the appropriate Hilbert scheme of lines, see [Bea77, §1.5] and [Sar82, §1.17]. Since φ is an elementary contraction, this double cover is non trivial; on the other hand it is also étale, because there are no non-reduced fibers, and we have a contradiction.

Therefore φ is smooth, hence the conic bundle $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ has no non-reduced fibers. Again by [Wiś91, Prop. 4.3] we conclude that Y and Y' are Fano. Finally $c_{Y'} \leq 3$ by the following remark, which concludes the proof of Th. 3.3. ■

Remark 3.33. Let X be a Fano manifold, $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ a surjective morphism, and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor. We have $\mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) = \varphi_*(\mathcal{N}_1(D, X))$, hence:

- $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z)$;
- if $\varphi(D) = \{pt\}$, then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq \rho_Z$;
- if $\varphi(D)$ is a curve, then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq \rho_Z - 1$.

In particular, if Z is a Fano manifold, then $c_Z \leq c_X$.

Proof of Th. 1.1. We have $c_X \geq \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 3$. If $c_X = 3$, we get (ii). If instead $c_X \geq 4$, applying iteratively Th. 3.3, we can write $X = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r \times Z$, where S_i are Del Pezzo surfaces, $r \geq 1$, and $c_Z \leq 3$.

If D dominates Z under the projection, up to reordering $S_1, \dots, S_r$ we can assume that D dominates $S_2 \times \cdots \times S_r \times Z$. Then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq \rho_{S_1} - 1$ (see Ex. 3.1), and we get (i).

Suppose instead that $D = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r \times D_Z$, where $D_Z \subset Z$ is a prime divisor. Then

$$3 \geq c_Z \geq \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D_Z, Z) = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 3,$$

and the inequalities above are equalities. Therefore we have a flat, quasi-elementary contraction $Z \rightarrow W$, where W is a Fano manifold with $\dim W = \dim Z - 2$, and $\rho_Z - \rho_W = 4$. Then the induced contraction $X \rightarrow S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r \times W$ satisfies (ii). ■

We conclude section 3 proving the corollaries stated in the introduction.

Proof of Cor. 1.3. Suppose that X is not a product of a Del Pezzo surface with another variety. Then by Th. 3.3 $c_X = 3$ and there is a quasi-elementary contraction $X \rightarrow Y$ where Y is a Fano manifold, $\dim Y = n - 2$, and $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 4$. If $n = 4$, [Cas08, Th. 1.1] implies that $\rho_Y \leq 2$, hence $\rho_X \leq 6$. The case $n = 5$ follows similarly. ■

Corollary 3.34 (Images of divisors under a contraction). *Let X be a Fano manifold, $D \subset X$ a prime divisor, and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ a contraction. Then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) \leq 8$.*

Suppose moreover that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) \geq 4$. Then $X \cong S \times Y$ and $Z \cong T \times W$, where S is a Del Pezzo surface, T is a blow-down of S , and one of the following holds:

- (i) $\varphi(D)$ is a divisor in Z , and dominates W under the projection;
- (ii) $\varphi(D) = \{p\} \times W$ and $D = C \times Y$, where $C \subset S$ is a curve contracted to $p \in T$.

Proof. We have $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) \leq 8$ by Rem. 3.33 and Th. 1.1.

Suppose that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) \geq 4$. Then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, and D dominates Y under the projection. Therefore $Z \cong T \times W$, φ is induced by two contractions $S \rightarrow T$ and $Y \rightarrow W$, and $\varphi(D)$ dominates W under the projection.

In particular $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) \geq \rho_W$, hence $\rho_T \geq \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\varphi(D), Z) \geq 4$. This implies that $\dim T = 2$, thus T is a blow-down of S , and $\varphi(D)$ has codimension 1 or 2 in Z .

If $\varphi(D)$ is a divisor, we have (i). Suppose that $\text{codim } \varphi(D) = 2$, and consider the factorization of φ as $S \times Y \xrightarrow{\psi} T \times Y \xrightarrow{\xi} T \times W$. Then $\xi = (\text{Id}_T, f)$ induces an isomorphism $T \times \{y\} \rightarrow T \times \{f(y)\}$ for every $y \in Y$. If y is general, we have $\dim \varphi(D) \cap (T \times \{f(y)\}) = 0$ and $\psi(D) \cap (T \times \{y\}) \cong \varphi(D) \cap (T \times \{f(y)\})$. This implies that $\psi(D)$ has codimension 2 in $T \times Y$, hence D is an exceptional divisor of ψ , which gives the statement. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Cor. 1.7. By taking the Stein factorization, we can factor φ as $X \xrightarrow{\psi} W \rightarrow Z$, where ψ is a contraction and $W \rightarrow Z$ is finite. In particular $\rho_W \geq \rho_Z$, and there is a prime divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\psi(D)$ is a point, hence $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\psi(D), W) = \rho_W$. Then Cor. 3.34 yields that $\rho_W \leq 8$, and if $\rho_W \geq 4$, then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S a Del Pezzo surface, W is a smooth surface and a blow-down of S , and $D = C \times Y$ where $C \subset S$ is a curve contracted in W . This gives the statement. $\blacksquare$

The proof of Cor. 1.8 is similar to that of Cor. 3.34, while Cor. 1.11 follows directly from Th. 1.1. For the proof of Cor. 1.9, we need the following remark.

Remark 3.35. Let X be a smooth projective variety and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a contraction such that $-K_X$ is f -ample. Let $D \subset X$ be a smooth prime divisor with $\text{NE}(f) \subseteq D^\perp$, and let $f(D)^\nu \rightarrow f(D)$ be the normalization. Then the morphism $f_D: D \rightarrow f(D)^\nu$ induced by $f|_D$ is a contraction, and $-K_D$ is f_D -ample.

Indeed, f_D is surjective with connected fibers onto a normal projective variety, hence a contraction. Let $i: D \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion and take $\gamma \in \overline{\text{NE}}(D) \cap \ker(f_D)_*$ with $\gamma \neq 0$. Then $i_*(\gamma) \in \overline{\text{NE}}(X) \cap \ker f_*$, so that

$$-K_D \cdot \gamma = -(K_X + D) \cdot i_*(\gamma) = -K_X \cdot i_*(\gamma) = (-K_X)|_D \cdot \gamma > 0,$$

and $-K_D$ is f_D -ample.

Proof of Cor. 1.9. By Cor. 1.8 and 1.7, we can assume that $\rho_T = 4$ and that φ is equidimensional. Moreover, by taking the Stein factorization, we can assume that φ is a contraction. Therefore T is a smooth rational surface by [ABW92, Prop. 1.4.1] and [Cas08, Lemma 3.10].

Let $D \subset X$ be a prime divisor such that $\varphi(D) \subsetneq T$. If $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 4$, then $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, and D dominates Y under the projection. Since $T \not\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, φ must factor through the projection $S \times Y \rightarrow S$, hence T is a blow-down of S , and we have the statement.

Therefore we can assume that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq 3$ for every prime divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\varphi(D) \subsetneq T$. On the other hand Rem. 3.33 gives $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq \rho_T - 1 = 3$, thus equality holds. This means that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = \text{codim } \varphi_*(\mathcal{N}_1(D, X))$, hence $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \supseteq \ker \varphi_*$.

We know by [Cas08, Lemma 2.6] that $\text{NE}(T)$ is a closed polyhedral cone, and that for every extremal ray R of $\text{NE}(T)$ there exists an elementary contraction $\psi: T \rightarrow T_1$ with $\text{NE}(\psi) = R$.

Fix such an elementary contraction ψ . Since $\rho_T = 4$, ψ must be birational, and $C := \text{Exc}(\psi)$ is an irreducible curve. Moreover ψ lifts to an elementary contraction of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$ in X (see [Cas08, § 2.5]); if $E \subset X$ is the exceptional divisor, we have $\varphi(E) = C$.

Take an irreducible curve $C' \subset T$ disjoint from C , and choose a prime divisor $D \subset X$ such that $\varphi(D) = C'$. Then $E \cap D = \emptyset$ and $E^\perp \supseteq \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \supseteq \ker \varphi_*$, hence $E = \varphi^*(C)$. Since both T and E are smooth, Rem. 3.19 yields that C is smooth, so that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover by Rem. 3.35 the restriction $\varphi|_E: E \rightarrow C$ is a contraction of E such that $-K_E$ is $\varphi|_E$ -ample. Thus [Cas09, Lemma 4.9] yields that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times A$, where A is smooth. In particular, φ is smooth over C .

Consider the minimal closed subset $\Delta \subset T$ such that φ is smooth over $T \setminus \Delta$. We have shown that Δ is disjoint from $\text{Locus}(R)$ for every extremal ray R of $\text{NE}(T)$, therefore Δ must be a finite set. Then φ is quasi-elementary by [Cas08, Lemma 3.3], and [Cas08, Th. 1.1] yields $X \cong T \times Y$. ■

Proof of Cor. 1.10. By taking the Stein factorization, we can assume that φ is a contraction. Then [Cas08, Lemma 2.6] yields that the cone $\text{NE}(T)$ is closed and polyhedral, and for every extremal ray R there exists an elementary contraction ψ of T with $\text{NE}(\psi) = R$. We assume that $\rho_Z \geq 6$, and consider the possible elementary contractions of Z .

If Z has a divisorial elementary contraction with exceptional divisor $E \subset Z$, then $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E, Z) \leq 2$, and we get the statement by Cor. 3.34.

If Z has an elementary contraction of type $(1, 0)$, its lifting in X (see [Cas08, § 2.5]) must be an elementary contraction of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$, whose exceptional divisor is sent to a curve by φ . Then Cor. 1.8 yields that Z is smooth and Fano, so it cannot have small contractions, a contradiction.

Finally if Z has an elementary contraction onto a surface T , then $\rho_T \geq 5$, so we get the statement from Cor. 1.9. ■

Corollary 3.36 (Exceptional divisors). *Let X be a Fano manifold and R a divisorial extremal ray with $E = \text{Locus}(R)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(E, X) \leq 3$;
- (ii) $X \cong S \times Y$ where S is a Del Pezzo surface, and the contraction of R is $S \times Y \rightarrow T \times Y$ induced by the contraction of a (-1) -curve in S . In particular $T \times Y$ is again Fano, R is of type $(n-1, n-2)^{sm}$, and R is the unique extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$ having negative intersection with E .

This corollary recovers the main result of [Cas09], which shows that if X has an elementary contraction of type $(n-1, 1)$, then $\rho_X \leq 5$. Indeed in this case one has $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E, X) = 2$.

Proof of Cor. 3.36. If $\text{codim} \mathcal{N}_1(E, X) \geq 4$, by Th. 1.1 we have $X \cong S \times Y$ with S a Del Pezzo surface, and E dominates Y under the projection. Then R must correspond to a divisorial extremal ray either of S or of Y , in particular E itself is a product. Since we cannot have $E = S \times E_Y$, we get the statement. ■

Remark 3.37. Let S be a smooth surface with $\rho_S \geq 3$, and Y an $(n-2)$ -dimensional manifold. Let $\sigma: X \rightarrow S \times Y$ be the blow-up of a smooth, irreducible subvariety $A \subset S \times Y$, and suppose that X is Fano.

Then either $X \cong \tilde{S} \times Y$ or $X \cong S \times \tilde{Y}$, where $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ and $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ are smooth blow-ups.

Proof. Let $\pi_S: S \times Y \rightarrow S$ be the projection. If $\pi_S(A) = S$, then $\pi_S \circ \sigma$ is a quasi-elementary contraction, and [Cas08, Th. 1.1] implies that $X \cong S \times \tilde{Y}$. Therefore $A = S \times A_Y$, $\tilde{Y}$ is the blow-up of Y along A_Y , and we have the statement.

Set $E := \text{Exc}(\sigma) \subset X$. Then $K_X = \sigma^*(K_{S \times Y}) + (\text{codim } A - 1)E$, and using the projection formula we see that $-K_{S \times Y} \cdot C > 0$ for every irreducible curve C not contained in A .

Suppose that $\pi_S(A) = p \in S$, so that $A \subseteq \{p\} \times Y$, and let $(p, q) \in A$. If $C \subset S$ is an irreducible curve, the curve $C \times \{q\}$ is not contained in A , and $-K_S \cdot C = -K_{S \times Y} \cdot (C \times \{q\}) > 0$, hence S is a Del Pezzo surface; in particular S is covered by curves of anticanonical degree at most 2. Now suppose that $p \in C$ and $-K_S \cdot C \leq 2$, and let $\tilde{C} \subset X$ be the transform of $C \times \{q\}$. Then $E \cdot \tilde{C} > 0$, and again by the projection formula we get $1 \leq -K_X \cdot \tilde{C} \leq 3 - \text{codim } A$, hence $\text{codim } A = 2$. This implies that $A = \{p\} \times Y$ and $X \cong \tilde{S} \times Y$, where $\tilde{S}$ is the blow-up of S in p .

Finally let's suppose that $\pi_S(A)$ is a curve, and show that this gives a contradiction. We claim that there exists a (-1) -curve $C_1 \subset S$ such that $C_1 \cap \pi_S(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_1 \neq \pi_S(A)$. This is clear if S is Del Pezzo, because in this case $\text{NE}(S)$ is generated by classes of (-1) -curves. If S is not Del Pezzo, it means that $\pi_S(A) \cdot S \leq 0$. On the other hand since X is rationally connected, S is a rational surface with $\rho_S \geq 3$, hence S is obtained by a sequence of blow-ups from $\mathbb{P}^2$, and $\pi_S(A)$ must meet some exceptional curve of these blow-ups.

Now if $p \in C_1 \cap \pi_S(A)$, there exists $q \in Y$ such that $(p, q) \in A$. Then $C_1 \times \{q\}$ has anticanonical degree 1, intersects A , and is not contained in A , which is impossible because its transform in X would have non positive anticanonical degree. ■

4 The 4-dimensional case

In this section we consider some applications of our results to the case of dimension 4. By [Cas09, Cor. 1.3] we know that if X is a Fano 4-fold with $\rho_X \geq 7$, then either X is a product, or every extremal ray of X is of type $(3, 2)$ or $(2, 0)$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let X be a Fano 4-fold with $\rho_X \geq 7$.*

If R is an extremal ray of type $(3, 2)$ with exceptional divisor E_R , then R is the unique extremal ray having negative intersection with E_R .

If $E \subset X$ is a prime divisor which is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with $E \cdot f = -1$ where $f \subset E$ is a fiber, then $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f]$ is an extremal ray of type $(3, 2)^{\text{sm}}$ in X .

Proof. We show the second statement, the proof of the first one being similar.

We can assume that X is not a product of Del Pezzo surfaces, so that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E, X) \geq 5$ by Cor. 1.3. Let $R_1, \dots, R_h$ be the extremal rays of $\text{NE}(X)$ having negative intersection with E (notice that $h \geq 1$), and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$.

Recall that R_i is of type $(3, 2)$ or $(2, 0)$. If R_i is small, then $E \supsetneq \text{Locus}(R_i)$ and $[f] \notin R_i$. Hence $\text{Locus}(R_i)$ is 2-dimensional, meets every fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle structure on E , and $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(\text{Locus}(R_i), X) = 1$. This yields $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E, X) = 2$, a contradiction. Therefore R_i is of type $(3, 2)$.

This implies that $-K_X + E$ is nef, and $F := R_1 + \dots + R_h = (-K_X + E)^\perp \cap \text{NE}(X)$ is a face containing $[f]$. If $\dim F > 1$, any 2-dimensional face of F yields a contraction of X onto Z with $\rho_Z = \rho_X - 2 \geq 5$, sending E to a point or to a curve; this contradicts Cor. 1.7 or 1.8. Thus $h = 1$ and $F = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f]$. ■

Proof of Prop. 1.4. Part (i) follows from Cor. 1.3. For the other statements, by taking the Stein factorization, we can assume that the morphism is in fact a contraction of X . Then (ii) follows from (i).

For (iii), let $\varphi: X \rightarrow S$ be a contraction with $\rho_S > 1$, and assume that $\rho_X > 12$. If S has a morphism onto $\mathbb{P}^1$, the statement follows from (ii). Otherwise S has a birational elementary contraction, which lifts to an extremal ray R of type $(3, 2)^{sm}$ in X (see [Cas08, § 2.5]); let E be the exceptional divisor. By Cor. 4.1, E is φ -nef, so that we can factor φ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \eta \\ & & S \end{array}$$

where $\text{NE}(\psi) = E^\perp \cap \text{NE}(\varphi)$, $\psi(E)$ is a cartier divisor in T , $E = \psi^*(\psi(E))$, and $\psi(E) \cdot C > 0$ for every curve $C \subset T$ contracted by η . Since $\varphi(E)$ is a curve, η must be birational. Therefore up to replacing φ with ψ , we can assume that $E^\perp \supseteq \text{NE}(\varphi)$.

Now E is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, and by Rem. 3.35 $\varphi|_E$ induces a contraction $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 = \varphi(E)^\nu$ with $-K_E$ relatively ample. So [Cas09, Lemma 4.9] yields that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times A$ for A a Del Pezzo surface; in particular E is Fano, and we get the statement from (i).

Part (iv) is proved as Cor. 1.10, using Cor. 1.3. Finally (v) follows again from Cor. 1.3 and Rem. 3.33. $\blacksquare$

Remark 4.2. Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor. Suppose that there exist three distinct divisorial extremal rays R_1, R_2, R_3 such that D does not intersect $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$, where E_i is the exceptional divisor of R_i . Then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \geq 3$, so that Th. 1.1 applies to X and D . Indeed $[E_1], [E_2], [E_3] \in \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ are linearly independent because they span three distinct extremal rays of $\text{Eff}(X)$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \subseteq E_1^\perp \cap E_2^\perp \cap E_3^\perp$. In particular, if $n = 4$, then Cor. 1.3 implies that either $\rho_X \leq 6$ or X is a product of Del Pezzo surfaces.

Corollary 4.3. *Let X be a Fano 4-fold with $\rho_X \geq 7$, and R_1, R_2 two extremal rays of type $(3, 2)$.*

If $E_1 \cdot R_2 > 0$ and $E_2 \cdot R_1 = 0$, then X is a product of Del Pezzo surfaces.

If $E_1 \cdot R_2 > 0$ and $E_2 \cdot R_1 > 0$, then any face of $\text{NE}(X)$ containing both R_1 and R_2 yields a contraction of fiber type.

If $E_1 \cdot R_2 = E_2 \cdot R_1 = 0$, then $R_1 + R_2$ is a face of $\text{NE}(X)$ with birational contraction.

Proof. If $E_1 \cdot R_2 > 0$ and $E_2 \cdot R_1 = 0$, we have $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(E_2, X) \leq 1 + \dim \mathcal{N}_1(E_1 \cap E_2, X) = 3$, so the statement follows from Cor. 1.3.

The case where $E_1 \cdot R_2 > 0$ and $E_2 \cdot R_1 > 0$ is well-known; one just observes that if $\varphi_1: X \rightarrow Y_1$ is the contraction of R_1 , and $C \subset X$ is a curve with class in R_2 , then $\varphi_1(E_2) \cdot (\varphi_1)_*(C) \geq 0$, thus any contraction of Y_1 which sends $\varphi_1(C)$ to a point is of fiber type.

Suppose that $E_1 \cdot R_2 = E_2 \cdot R_1 = 0$. By Cor. 4.1 R_i is the unique extremal ray having negative intersection with E_i , so $-K_X + E_1 + E_2$ is nef and $(-K_X + E_1 + E_2)^\perp \cap \text{NE}(X) = R_1 + R_2$ is a face of $\text{NE}(X)$. The associated contraction has exceptional locus $E_1 \cup E_2$, thus it is birational. $\blacksquare$

Remark 4.4. Let X be a Fano 4-fold with $\rho_X \geq 13$, and assume that X is not a product. Consider a contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ with $\rho_Z \geq 5$. We sum up here what we can say on φ .

We know that φ is birational, has no divisorial fibers, and has at most finitely many 2-dimensional fibers, by Prop. 1.4. In particular φ is a semismall map, see [CM02].

We can then apply [AW97, Th. 4.7] to any 2-dimensional fiber of φ , and deduce that

$$\text{Exc}(\varphi) = E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_r \cup L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_t$$

where every L_j is a connected component of $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$, $L_j \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{N}_{L_j/X} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, and $\varphi(L_j)$ is a non Gorenstein point of Z .

Each E_i is the locus of an extremal ray R_i of type $(3, 2)$, and $\varphi(E_i)$ is a surface. We have $E_i \cdot R_j = 0$ for every $j \neq i$, but each E_i must intersect all other E_j 's, except at most two. This follows from Rem 4.2 and Cor. 4.3.

Whenever E_i and E_j intersect, each connected component of $E_i \cap E_j$ is a fiber of φ isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1)$, and its image is a smooth point of Z .

Finally φ can have other 2-dimensional fibers in $E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_r$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$ or to a (possibly singular) quadric, whose images are isolated Gorenstein terminal singularities in Z .

We also notice that $-E_i$ is φ -nef, and that there is a face F of $\text{NE}(\varphi)$ which contains exactly all small extremal rays in $\text{NE}(\varphi)$. We have

$$\text{NE}(\varphi) = F + R_1 + \cdots + R_r \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \text{NE}(\varphi) = \dim F + r,$$

and φ can be factored as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & \swarrow \psi & \downarrow \varphi & \searrow \xi & \\ W & & & & T \\ & \searrow \tilde{\xi} & \downarrow & \swarrow \tilde{\psi} & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

where $\text{NE}(\psi) = R_1 + \cdots + R_r$, $\text{NE}(\xi) = F$, $\text{Exc}(\psi) = E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_r$, $\text{Exc}(\xi) = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_t$, and W is Gorenstein Fano with isolated terminal singularities.

References

- [ABW92] M. Andreatta, E. Ballico, and J. A. Wiśniewski, *Vector bundles and adjunction*, Int. J. Math. **3** (1992), 331–340.
- [ACO04] M. Andreatta, E. Chierici, and G. Occhetta, *Generalized Mukai conjecture for special Fano varieties*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **2** (2004), 272–293.
- [And85] T. Ando, *On extremal rays of the higher dimensional varieties*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 347–357.
- [AW97] M. Andreatta and J. A. Wiśniewski, *A view on contractions of higher dimensional varieties*, Algebraic Geometry - Santa Cruz 1995, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 62, 1997, pp. 153–183.
- [BCD07] L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, and S. Druel, *On covering and quasi-unsplit families of curves*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **9** (2007), 45–57.
- [BCDD03] L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, O. Debarre, and S. Druel, *Sur une conjecture de Mukai*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 601–626.

- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 405–468.
- [BCW02] L. Bonavero, F. Campana, and J. A. Wiśniewski, *Variétés projectives complexes dont l'éclatée en un point est de Fano*, C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris **334** (2002), 463–468.
- [Bea77] A. Beauville, *Prym varieties and the Schottky problem*, Invent. Math. **41** (1977), 149–196.
- [Cas03] C. Casagrande, *Toric Fano varieties and birational morphisms*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **27** (2003), 1473–1505.
- [Cas06] ———, *The number of vertices of a Fano polytope*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), 121–130.
- [Cas08] ———, *Quasi-elementary contractions of Fano manifolds*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), 1429–1460.
- [Cas09] ———, *On Fano manifolds with a birational contraction sending a divisor to a curve*, Michigan Math. J. **58** (2009), 783–805.
- [CM02] M. A. De Cataldo and L. Migliorini, *The hard Lefschetz theorem and the topology of semismall maps*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér. **35** (2002), 759–772.
- [CMSB02] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka, and N. Shepherd-Barron, *Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic geometry*, Higher Dimensional Birational Geometry, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 35, Mathematical Society of Japan, 2002, pp. 1–89.
- [Deb01] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [Deb03] O. Debarre, *Fano varieties*, Higher Dimensional Varieties and Rational Points (Budapest, 2001), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 12, Springer-Verlag, 2003, pp. 93–132.
- [HK00] Y. Hu and S. Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 331–348.
- [Ish91] S. Ishii, *Quasi-Gorenstein Fano 3-folds with isolated non-rational loci*, Compos. Math. **77** (1991), 335–341.
- [KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, and S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, J. Differ. Geom. **36** (1992), 765–779.
- [Kol96] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I*, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [MM81] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $b_2 \geq 2$* , Manuscr. Math. **36** (1981), 147–162. Erratum: **110** (2003), 407.
- [Muk88] S. Mukai, *Problems on characterization of the complex projective space*, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open Problems, Proceedings of the 23rd Symposium of the Taniguchi Foundation at Katata, Japan, 1988, pp. 57–60.
- [NO10] C. Novelli and G. Occhetta, *Rational curves and bounds on the Picard number of Fano manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata, published online on January 3, 2010.
- [Sar82] V. G. Sarkisov, *On conic bundle structures*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), 371–408, Russian. English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. **20** (1982), 355–390.
- [Tsu06] T. Tsukioka, *Classification of Fano manifolds containing a negative divisor isomorphic to projective space*, Geom. Dedicata **123** (2006), 179–186.

- [Wiś90] J. A. Wiśniewski, *On a conjecture of Mukai*, Manuscripta Math. **68** (1990), 135–141.
- [Wiś91] ———, *On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 141–157.

C. Casagrande
Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pavia
via Ferrata 1
27100 Pavia - Italy
cinzia.casagrande@unipv.it