

# Real divergence-free Jacobian maps are shear maps \*

M. Sabatini

December 13, 2018

## Abstract

We show that divergence-free jacobian maps, recently considered in [11], [14], are actually shear maps.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuously differentiable map. By the inverse function theorem, if its Jacobian determinant  $\det J_\Phi$  does not vanish at a point, then  $\Phi$  is locally invertible at such a point. It is well-known that the local invertibility of  $\Phi$ , even if it holds on all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , does not imply  $\Phi$ 's global invertibility. Additional conditions implying  $\Phi$ 's global invertibility, or just injectivity, have been studied in several fields (see [1], [13]). Some conditions have just been conjectured to be sufficient to guarantee the map's invertibility, as in the case of the celebrated Jacobian Conjecture [8]. Originally formulated for complex maps, and successively studied for maps defined on arbitrary fields, such a conjecture asks to prove that a polynomial map with constant non-zero Jacobian determinant is globally invertible, with polynomial inverse. The problem has been attacked in several ways. One can find in [2] an overview of results concerning the Jacobian Conjecture up to 1982. A more recent account is contained in [3]. Among general results concerning such a problem, it is known that it is equivalent to prove or disprove the statement in any field of zero characteristic, that it is sufficient to prove  $\Phi$ 's injectivity in order to get its surjectivity [2], and that  $\Phi$ 's global invertibility implies that  $\Phi^{-1}$  is a polynomial map. The most studied special case is the bidimensional one,  $\Phi(x, y) = (P(x, y), Q(x, y))$ , where the statement was proved under the hypothesis that either  $P$ 's or  $Q$ 's degree is

---

\*2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 14R15. *Key words and phrases*: Jacobian Conjecture, divergence-free, shear map.

4, or prime, or both degrees are  $\leq 100$  (see [2] for a more comprehensive list of results).

Recently, a particular class of maps has been taken into account, namely the so-called divergence-free maps [11]. Such maps have the form  $\Phi(x, y) = (x + p(x, y), y + q(x, y))$ , where  $p(x, y)$  and  $q(x, y)$  are polynomials of order  $> 1$ , with  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0$ . For such maps one has  $\det J_\Phi = 1 + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}\right)$ , hence under the condition  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0$  also the determinant-like term vanishes,  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 0$ . In algebraic terms, this amounts to ask that no terms in the divergence-free part of  $\det J_\Phi$  cancels with any term in its determinant-like part (maps with a linear part different from the identity can be reduced to the above form by multiplying by an invertible linear map). Such a condition is satisfied under some symmetry conditions on  $p(x, y)$  and  $q(x, y)$ , as in the case of  $p(x, y)$  and  $q(x, y)$  even polynomials, since the terms in  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}$  have odd degree, while the terms in  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$  have even degree. In [11] it was proved that such maps are globally invertible. A different proof for real maps, with some extensions, has been given in [14], by applying results proved in relation to the Global Asymptotic Stability Jacobian Conjecture [5], [6], [7].

In this paper we give a complete characterization of real divergence-free maps, proving that every such map has the form

$$\Phi(x, y) = \left( x + \sum_{i=2}^n \varepsilon_i \alpha (\beta x - \alpha y)^i, y + \sum_{i=2}^n \varepsilon_i \beta (\beta x - \alpha y)^i \right), \quad (1)$$

with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . This means that the nonlinear part of such maps essentially depends on the single variable  $\beta x - \alpha y$ , so that after a change of variables the map has the form of a shear map,  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v - f(u))$ . Our approach consists in solving the system of PDEs

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (2)$$

in the class of homogeneous polynomials, then proving that only polynomials with nonlinear part as in (1) solve the system (2). As a consequence, we prove that under some simple algebraic conditions, a jacobian map is the composition of a linear automorphism and a shear map.

## 2 Results

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\Phi(x, y) = (P(x, y), Q(x, y))$  be a real polynomial map. Let  $J_\Phi$  be its jacobian matrix. We say that  $\Phi$  is a *jacobian map* if its jacobian determinant  $\det J_\Phi$  is a non-zero constant. Possibly replacing  $\Phi(x, y)$  with

$\Phi(x, y) - \Phi(0, 0)$ , which has the same jacobian determinant, we may assume that  $\Phi(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ . Moreover one can compose  $\Phi$  with the inverse matrix of  $J_\Phi(0, 0)$ , obtaining another jacobian map with linear part coinciding with the identity. Let us call again  $\Phi$  the map obtained by such operations. Then we can write

$$P(x, y) = x + \sum_{i=2}^n p_i(x, y), \quad Q(x, y) = y + \sum_{i=2}^n q_i(x, y),$$

where  $p_i(x, y), q_i(x, y)$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ . Let us set  $\bar{\Phi}(x, y) = \Phi(x, y) - (x, y)$ . In other words,  $\bar{\Phi}$  is the map consisting only of the nonlinear terms of  $\Phi$ . We say that  $\Phi(x, y)$  is a *divergence-free jacobian map* if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{i=2}^n p_i(x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \sum_{i=2}^n q_i(x, y) = 0.$$

As observed in the introduction, if  $\Phi$  satisfies the above condition, then  $\det J_\Phi = 0$ .

We say that  $\Phi$  is a *shear map* if its nonlinear terms are linear combinations of powers of a homogeneous polynomial of degree 1, as for the map  $\Phi(x, y) = (3x - 4y + (x - y)^2, -2x + y + (x - y)^2)$ . The adjective *shear* comes from the fact the nonlinear part of that such maps substantially acts as a displacement along a given direction. The displacement's amount depends nonlinearly on the position of  $(x, y)$ .

In next lemma we show that a homogeneous polynomial satisfying simultaneously the divergence and the determinant condition is essentially a function of a single variable. We start considering homogeneous polynomials.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $p(x, y), q(x, y)$  be homogeneous polynomials of the same degree  $j > 1$ , not both identically zero. If*

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (3)$$

*then there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$ ,  $\zeta \neq 0$ , such that  $p(x, y) = \zeta\alpha(\beta x - \alpha y)^j, q(x, y) = \zeta\beta(\beta x - \alpha y)^j$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume  $q(x, y)$  not to be constant. Then there exists a line  $l = \{(x, y) : x = t \cos \theta, y = t \sin \theta\}$  such that  $q(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta)$  is non-constant. The gradient  $\nabla q$  does not vanish at any point of  $l$ . By the second equality in (3), in a neighbourhood of the point  $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  there exists an analytic function  $\psi$  such that  $p(x, y) = \psi(q(x, y))$ .

Then there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$  such that

$$\alpha t^j = p(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta) = \psi(q(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta)) = \psi(\beta t^j).$$

One has  $\beta \neq 0$ , otherwise  $q(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta) = \beta t^j$  would be constant. Setting  $s = \beta t^j$ , one has  $t^j = \frac{s}{\beta}$ , hence

$$\psi(s) = \psi(\beta t^j) = \alpha t^j = \frac{\alpha s}{\beta}.$$

This proves that locally  $\beta p(x, y) - \alpha q(x, y) = 0$ . By the identity principle for polynomials, the same equality holds on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . As a consequence, there exist a homogeneous polynomial  $r(x, y)$  of degree  $j$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , such that  $p(x, y) = k\alpha r(x, y)$ ,  $q(x, y) = k\beta r(x, y)$ . Then one has

$$0 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = k\alpha \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + k\beta \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = k(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \nabla r(x, y).$$

This implies that the level curves of  $r(x, y)$  are just the lines  $\beta x - \alpha y = \text{const.}$ , hence there exists a function  $\rho$  such that  $r(x, y) = \rho(\beta x - \alpha y)$ . Choosing  $(x, y) = \left(\frac{\beta t}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, -\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}\right)$  one has  $\beta x - \alpha y = t$  and

$$\rho(t) = r\left(\frac{\beta t}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, -\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}\right) = ht^j.$$

for some  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \neq 0$ . In conclusion,  $r(x, y) = \rho(\beta x - \alpha y) = h(\beta x - \alpha y)^j$ . Setting  $\zeta = hk$  gives the statement.  $\clubsuit$

**Remark 1** *The above lemma shows that a divergence-free jacobian map can be written as follows,*

$$P(x, y) = x + \sum_{i=2}^n \zeta_i \alpha_i (\beta_i x - \alpha_i y)^i, \quad Q(x, y) = y + \sum_{i=2}^n \zeta_i \beta_i (\beta_i x - \alpha_i y)^i, \quad (4)$$

with  $\zeta_i \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2 \neq 0$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . In fact, since “divergence” terms do not cancel with “determinant” terms, every homogeneous couple  $(p_j(x, y), q_j(x, y))$  satisfies the hypotheses of lemma 1.

Something more can be said comparing terms with different degrees. Next lemma is concerned with couples of arbitrary functions both depending only on a first-degree homogeneous polynomial.

**Lemma 2** *Let us set  $p(x, y) = a\phi(\beta x - \alpha y) + b\psi(\delta x - \gamma y)$ ,  $q(x, y) = c\phi(\beta x - \alpha y) + d\psi(\delta x - \gamma y)$ , with  $\phi, \psi$  non-constant functions of class  $C^1$ . If (3) holds, then the lines  $\beta x - \alpha y = 0$  and  $\delta x - \gamma y = 0$  coincide.*

*Proof.* One has

$$0 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = (ad - bc)(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)\phi'(\beta x - \alpha y)\psi'(\delta x - \gamma y).$$

At every point where both  $\phi'$  and  $\psi'$  do not vanish one has  $(ad-bc)(\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma) = 0$ . If  $\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma = 0$ , then the thesis is proved. If  $ad-bc = 0$ , then there exist  $m, \eta, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m \neq 0$ ,  $\eta^2 + \omega^2 \neq 0$  and a function  $r(x, y)$  such that  $p(x, y) = m\eta r(x, y)$ ,  $q(x, y) = m\omega r(x, y)$ . Then, as in lemma 1, one has

$$0 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = m\eta \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + m\omega \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = m(\eta, \omega) \cdot \nabla r(x, y).$$

As in lemma 1, this proves that there exists one-variable function  $\rho(t)$  such that  $r(x, y) = \rho(\omega x - \eta y)$ . Now, assume by absurd that the lines  $\beta x - \alpha y = 0$  and  $\delta x - \gamma y = 0$  do not coincide. Then one can make an invertible change of variables,  $u = \beta x - \alpha y$ ,  $v = \delta x - \gamma y$ , and consider the functions of  $u$  and  $v$  obtained from  $p(x, y), q(x, y), r(x, y)$  by applying the change of variables,

$$p^*(u, v) = a\phi(u) + b\psi(v) = m\eta\rho(k_1u + k_2v),$$

$$q^*(u, v) = c\phi(u) + d\psi(v) = m\omega\rho(k_1u + k_2v),$$

where  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k_1^2 + k_2^2 \neq 0$ . Differentiating both equalities with respect to  $u$  one has

$$a\phi'(u) = m\eta k_1 \rho'(k_1u + k_2v), \quad c\phi'(u) = m\omega k_1 \rho'(k_1u + k_2v).$$

If  $k_2 \neq 0$ , then the above equalities hold only if  $\phi'$  and  $\rho'$  are constant, against the hypothesis, hence  $k_2 = 0$ . Similarly, differentiating with respect to  $v$  one proves that  $k_1 = 0$ . This leads to  $k_1 = 0 = k_2$ , contradiction.  $\clubsuit$

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\Phi(x, y) = (P(x, y), Q(x, y))$  be a divergence-free jacobian map of degree  $n$ . Then there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$P(x, y) = x + \sum_{i=2}^n \varepsilon_i \alpha (\beta x - \alpha y)^i, \quad Q(x, y) = y + \sum_{i=2}^n \varepsilon_i \beta (\beta x - \alpha y)^i, \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be the degree of  $\Phi$ . Due to lemma 1,  $P(x, y)$  and  $Q(x, y)$  are as in remark 1. Let us set  $\alpha = \alpha_n$ ,  $\beta = \beta_n$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = \zeta_n$ . Since we assume  $\Phi$  to be of degree  $n$ ,  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \neq 0$ .

We claim that for  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $\alpha_i = \alpha$ ,  $\beta_i = \beta$ .

Let us proceed by decreasing degree. The functions  $p(x, y) = \zeta_n \alpha (\beta x - \alpha y)^n + \zeta_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} (\beta_{n-1} x - \alpha_{n-1} y)^{n-1}$ ,  $q(x, y) = \zeta_n \beta (\beta x - \alpha y)^n + \zeta_{n-1} \beta_{n-1} (\beta_{n-1} x - \alpha_{n-1} y)^{n-1}$  satisfy the hypotheses of lemma 2, since their terms do not interact with those ones of lower degrees. Then, by lemma 2, the terms  $\beta x - \alpha y$  and  $\beta_{n-1} x - \alpha_{n-1} y$  are proportional. Since  $\beta x - \alpha y$  does not vanish identically, there exist  $\xi_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha_{n-1} = \xi_{n-1} \alpha$ ,  $\beta_{n-1} = \xi_{n-1} \beta$ . As a consequence, the terms of degree  $\geq n-1$  in  $P(x, y)$  and  $Q(x, y)$  are all function of  $\beta x - \alpha y$ . Setting  $\varepsilon_{n-1} = \zeta_{n-1} \xi_{n-1}^n$ , the form of the  $(n-1)$ -degree term in (4) is as in (5).

Now we may repeat the procedure replacing  $n-1$  with  $n-2$ . We apply again lemma 2 to the functions  $p(x, y) = \alpha \left( \varepsilon_n (\beta x - \alpha y)^n + \varepsilon_{n-1} (\beta x - \alpha y)^{n-1} \right) + \zeta_{n-2} \alpha_{n-2} (\beta_{n-2} x - \alpha_{n-2} y)^{n-2}$ ,  $q(x, y) = \beta \left( \varepsilon_n (\beta x - \alpha y)^n + \varepsilon_{n-1} (\beta x - \alpha y)^{n-1} \right) + \zeta_{n-2} \beta_{n-2} (\beta_{n-2} x - \alpha_{n-2} y)^{n-2}$ , in order to prove that  $\beta x - \alpha y$  and  $\beta_{n-2} x - \alpha_{n-2} y$  are proportional. Since  $\beta x - \alpha y$  does not vanish identically, there exist  $\xi_{n-2} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha_{n-2} = \xi_{n-2} \alpha$ ,  $\beta_{n-2} = \xi_{n-2} \beta$ .

Such a procedure can be applied  $n-2$  times in order to prove that every nonlinear term in  $\Phi$  is actually a power of  $\beta x - \alpha y$  multiplied by a constant  $\varepsilon_i = \zeta_i \xi_i^{i+1}$ ,  $i \neq n$ . ♣

In the above theorem it is not assumed that for  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$  one has  $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$ . Actually some degrees may not appear in a divergence-free jacobian map, as in  $\Phi(x, y) = (x - y^2 - y^5, y)$ .

In [11] and [14] some simple algebraic conditions ensuring a jacobian map to be divergence-free were taken into account. We apply them to the present situation.

Given a polynomial  $P$ , we write  $d(P)$  for its degree,  $o(P)$  for its order. We say that a polynomial is *even* if it is the sum of even degree monomials, *odd* if it is the sum of odd degree monomials. Similarly, we say that a polynomial is *x-even* if it contains only terms with even powers of  $x$ , *x-odd* if it contains only terms with odd powers of  $x$ . We say that a non-negative integer is a *gap* of  $P$  if it is the difference of the degrees of two distinct monomials in  $P$ . We denote by  $G(P)$  the gap-set of  $P$ . As an example, the polynomial  $P(x, y) = x^3 + y^3 + x^2 y^2 + y^7$  has gap-set  $G(P) = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ . If  $P$  has exactly one monomial, or if  $P$  is identically zero, then we say that it has empty gap-set.

We say that the couple of polynomials  $(P, Q)$  satisfies the *gap condition* if for every monomial  $M$  in  $P$ , one has  $d(M) - 1 \notin G(Q)$ . The gap condition is not symmetric, as shown by the couple  $(P, Q) = (x + y^2, x^6 + y^2)$ . In such a case one has  $G(P) = \{1\}$ ,  $G(Q) = \{4\}$ , so that  $(P, Q)$  satisfies the gap condition, but  $(Q, P)$  does not.

We say that  $(P, Q)$  satisfies the *symmetric gap condition* if both  $(P, Q)$  and  $(Q, P)$  satisfy the gap condition.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a jacobian map of the type  $\Phi(x, y) = (ax + by + p(x, y), cx + dy + q(x, y))$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $o(p) > 1$ ,  $o(q) > 1$ . If one of the following holds,*

- i)  $\max\{d(p), d(q)\} < o(p) + o(q) - 1$ ,*
- ii) both  $p(x, y)$  and  $q(x, y)$  are even polynomials,*
- iii)  $p$  is odd,  $q$  is even and  $(p, q)$  satisfies the gap condition,*

*iv)  $(p, q)$  satisfies the symmetric gap condition,  
then  $\Psi = \Phi \circ (J_\Phi(0, 0))^{-1}$  is a shear map.*

*Proof.* Let us consider the map  $\Psi = \Phi \circ (J_\Phi(0, 0))^{-1}$ . One has  $\Psi(x, y) = (x + p^*(x, y), y + q^*(x, y))$ , with  $o(p^*) > 1$ ,  $o(q^*) > 1$ . The composition with a linear map does not change the properties in *i), ..., iv)*, hence  $\Psi$  satisfies all of them. In [14] it was proved that any of the above conditions implies  $\Psi$  to be a divergence-free map. Then the conclusion comes from theorem 1. ♣

Next corollary is concerned with a kind of symmetry which is not preserved by composition with linear transformations.

**Corollary 2** *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a jacobian map of the type  $\Phi(x, y) = (x + p(x, y), y + q(x, y))$ ,  $o(p) > 1$ ,  $o(q) > 1$ . If one of the following holds,*

*i)  $p$  is  $x$ -even,  $q$  is  $x$ -odd,*

*ii)  $p$  is  $y$ -odd,  $q$  is  $y$ -even,*

*then  $\Phi$  is a shear map.*

*Proof.* By theorem 2 of [14],  $\Phi$  is a divergence-free jacobian map. Then one can apply theorem 1. ♣

## References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, G. Prodi, *A primer of nonlinear analysis. Corrected reprint of the 1993 original*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **34**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] H. Bass, E. H. Connell, D. Wright, *The jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 287–330.
- [3] M. de Bondt, A. van den Essen, *Recent progress on the Jacobian Conjecture*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 287–330.
- [4] L. Druzkowski, *An effective approach to Keller's Jacobian conjecture*, Math. Ann. **264**, **3** (1983), 303–313.
- [5] R. Fessler, *A proof of the two-dimensional Markus-Yamabe stability conjecture and a generalization*, Ann. Pol. Math. **62**, **1** (1995), 45–74.
- [6] A. A. Glutsyuk, *Complete solution of the Jacobian problem for planar vector fields (Russian)*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **49**, **3** (1994), 179–180, translation in Russian Math. Surveys **49**, **3** (1994)185–186.

- [7] C. Gutierrez, *A solution to the bidimensional global asymptotic stability conjecture*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **12**, **6** (1995), 627–671.
- [8] O. H. Keller, *Ganze Cremona-Transformationen*, Monats. Math. Physik. **47** (1939), 299–306.
- [9] G. Meisters, *Jacobian problems in differential equations and algebraic geometry*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **12** (1982), 679–705.
- [10] L. Markus, H. Yamabe, *Global stability criteria for differential systems*, Osaka Math. Jour. **12** (1960), 305–317.
- [11] J. W. Neuberger, *The divergence-free Jacobian conjecture in dimension two*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **36** (2006), 265–271.
- [12] C. Olech, *On the global stability of an autonomous system in the plane*, Contr. to Diff. Equations **1** (1963), 389–400.
- [13] T. Parthasarathy, *On global univalence theorems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **977**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.
- [14] M. Sabatini, *A note on the divergence-free Jacobian Conjecture in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , arXiv:0903.4626v1 [math.AG].