

Multi-operator brackets acting thrice

Thomas Curtright, Xiang Jin, and Luca Mezincescu

Department of Physics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124-8046, USA

Abstract

We generalize an identity first found by Bremner for Nambu 3-brackets. For odd N -brackets built from associative operator products, we show that

$$[[A [B_1 \cdots B_N] B_{N+1} \cdots B_{2N-2}] B_{2N-1} \cdots B_{3N-3}] = [[AB_1 \cdots B_{N-1}] [B_N \cdots B_{2N-1}] B_{2N} \cdots B_{3N-3}]$$

for any fixed A , when totally antisymmetrized over all the B s.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nambu introduced a multilinear operator bracket in the context of a novel formulation of mechanics [13]. His N -bracket is defined by

$$[A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A_{\sigma_1} \cdots A_{\sigma_N} , \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over all $N!$ permutations of the operators. For example, $[ABC] = ABC - ACB + BCA - BAC + CAB - CBA$. The operator product is assumed to be associative. To avoid ambiguities when some of the entries within a bracket are themselves products, commas are often used to separate the entries. Parentheses also suffice in such cases. For example, $[AD, B, C] = [(AD)BC] = ADBC - ADCB + BCAD - BADC + CADB - CBAD$.

The same construction independently appeared in the mathematical literature [10, 11]. The theory of such multi-operator products, as well as their “classical limits” in terms of multivariable Jacobians, has been studied extensively [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18].

From an algebraic point of view, it is natural to seek the analogue of the Jacobi identity for N -brackets. For the case of even N -brackets, the obvious generalization where one N -bracket acts on another leads to a true identity *if* all entries are totally antisymmetrized (see (5) below). But for odd N -brackets this procedure does not work [1, 4] – the total antisymmetrization over all entries of one odd N -bracket acting on another does not vanish, but rather yields a higher-order $(2N - 1)$ -bracket.

Nevertheless, an interesting generalization of the Jacobi identity was discovered by Bremner for 3-brackets acting thrice [1]. He showed

$$[[A [bcd] e] fg] = [[Abc] [def] g] , \quad (2)$$

where A is fixed, but it is implicitly understood that lower case entries are totally antisymmetrized by summing over all $6!$ signed permutations of them. The point of this short paper is to show that Bremner’s identity generalizes to all odd N -brackets.

Before discussing the general case, we anticipate and indicate a proof for the case of 3-brackets. The Bremner identity can be proved through a resolution of both left- and right-hand sides as a series of canonically ordered words. By direct calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned} [[A [bcd] e] fg] &= 24 Abcdefg - 36 bAcdefg + 36 bcAdefg - 24 bcdAefg \\ &\quad + 36 bcdeAfg - 36 bcdefAg + 24 bcdefgA , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where all lower case entries are implicitly totally antisymmetrized. Precisely the same expansion holds for $[[Abc] [def] g]$, again by direct calculation. Hence the identity is established.

That is to say, both $[[A [bcd] e] fg]$ and $[[Abc] [def] g]$ can be rendered as a 7-bracket plus another 3-bracket containing 3-brackets, when antisymmetrized over lower case entries.

$$[[A [bcd] e] fg] = \frac{1}{20} [Abcdefg] - \frac{1}{6} [A [bcd] [efg]] = [[Abc] [def] g] . \quad (4)$$

Thus the Bremner identity amounts to the combinatorial statement, as written, that there are two distinct ways to present a 7-bracket in terms of nested 3-brackets.

II. RESULTS FOR ANY N

As known, and previously mentioned, even brackets need only act twice to yield an identity. Namely [4, 9],

$$[B_1 \cdots B_{N-1} [B_N \cdots B_{2N-1}]] = 0 \quad \text{for } N \text{ even.} \quad (5)$$

Total antisymmetrization of all the B s is understood [19]. When $N = 2$ this is the familiar Jacobi identity. The proof is by direct calculation and follows as a consequence of associativity.

However, for odd N , $[B_1 \cdots B_{N-1} [B_N \cdots B_{2N-1}]] \neq 0$, but instead produces the $(2N - 1)$ -bracket $[B_1 \cdots B_{2N-1}]$ upon total antisymmetrization [3, 4]. Apparently, the simplest identity obeyed by odd brackets of only one type, that does *not* introduce higher-order brackets, requires that they act at least thrice. For any odd $N = 2L + 1$, a valid relation is the immediate generalization of that found by Bremner for the case of 3-brackets. To show this, we present two easily established lemmata, followed by our main theorem and its proof. Firstly,

Lemma 1

$$[AB_1 \cdots B_J] = J! \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j B_1 \cdots B_j AB_{j+1} \cdots B_J . \quad (6)$$

Total antisymmetrization of the B s is understood. Here we have also used the convention that an empty product equals 1. Explicitly, $B_1 \cdots B_0 = 1 = B_{J+1} \cdots B_J$, so that the first and last terms in the sum are $AB_1 \cdots B_J$ and $(-1)^J B_1 \cdots B_J A$, respectively. It is a simple exercise to use this first lemma to prove (5). Similarly,

Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} [AB_1 \cdots B_J \mathcal{Z}] &= J! \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{J-j} (-1)^k B_1 \cdots B_k AB_{k+1} \cdots B_{J-j} \mathcal{Z} B_{J-j+1} \cdots B_J \\ &\quad - J! \sum_{j=0}^J (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{J-j} (-1)^k B_1 \cdots B_k \mathcal{Z} B_{k+1} \cdots B_{J-j} AB_{J-j+1} \cdots B_J . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Finally, it is rather tedious but fairly straightforward to use both lemmata to prove the following.

Theorem 3 *For associative products, with implicit total antisymmetrization of the B s,*

$$[[A [B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1}] B_{2L+2} \cdots B_{4L}] B_{4L+1} \cdots B_{6L}] = [[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L}] [B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1}] B_{4L+2} \cdots B_{6L}] . \quad (8)$$

Proof of Theorem. The result (8) follows from resolving the left- and right-hand sides into sums of canonically ordered words, as illustrated above for the case of 3-brackets. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L}] [B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1}] B_{4L+2} \cdots B_{6L}] &= \sum_{n=0}^{6L} (-1)^n m_n^{(1)} B_1 \cdots B_n A B_{n+1} \cdots B_{6L} , \\ [[A [B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1}] B_{2L+2} \cdots B_{4L}] B_{4L+1} \cdots B_{6L}] &= \sum_{n=0}^{6L} (-1)^n m_n^{(2)} B_1 \cdots B_n A B_{n+1} \cdots B_{6L} . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

All the coefficients $m_n^{(1,2)}$ in these two resolutions are manifestly positive integers. The theorem is established by showing that $m_n^{(1)} = m_n^{(2)}$ for all n .

By direct calculation, through the use of the two lemmata, we find

$$m_n^{(1)} = m_n^{(2)} = (2L + 1)! (2L)! (2L - 1)! \times c_n , \quad (10)$$

$$c_n = \begin{cases} (n + 1) (4L - n) / 2 & \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq 2L \\ 10L^2 - 6Ln + L + n^2 & \text{for } 2L + 1 \leq n \leq 3L \\ c_{6L-n} & \text{for } 3L + 1 \leq n \leq 6L \end{cases} . \quad (11)$$

The determination of the m_n s is just a matter of enumerating the ways to obtain a particular intercalation of A among the B s.

Consider in more detail some of the calculations involved. As a first step, with the implicit antisymmetrization [20], the internal brackets $[B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1}]$ or $[B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1}]$ may be supplanted by products: $[B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1}] = (2L+1)! (B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1})$ or $[B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1}] = (2L+1)! (B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1})$. Then we may write, on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & [[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L}] [B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1}] B_{4L+2} \cdots B_{6L}] \\ &= - (2L+1)! [[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L}] B_{4L+2} \cdots B_{6L} (B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1})] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In this expression, we may now rename indices, bearing in mind the antisymmetrization.

$$\begin{aligned} & [[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L}] [B_{2L+1} \cdots B_{4L+1}] B_{4L+2} \cdots B_{6L}] \\ &= (2L+1)! [[AB_{2L} \cdots B_{4L-1}] B_1 \cdots B_{2L-1} (B_{4L} \cdots B_{6L})] . \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Next, we apply Lemma 2 for $J = 2L - 1$, and identify $[AB_{2L} \cdots B_{4L-1}]$ with \mathcal{A} , and $(B_{4L} \cdots B_{6L})$ with \mathcal{Z} .

$$[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L-1} \mathcal{Z}] = (2L-1)! \sum_{j=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{2L-1-j} (-1)^k B_1 \cdots B_k \mathcal{A} B_{k+1} \cdots B_{2L-1-j} \mathcal{Z} B_{2L-j} \cdots B_{2L-1} \quad (14a)$$

$$- (2L-1)! \sum_{j=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{2L-1-j} (-1)^k B_1 \cdots B_k \mathcal{Z} B_{k+1} \cdots B_{2L-1-j} \mathcal{A} B_{2L-j} \cdots B_{2L-1} . \quad (14b)$$

To continue, consider first the coefficients $m_n^{(1)}$ where $n \leq 2L$.

For the determination of $m_{n \leq 2L}^{(1)}$, since \mathcal{Z} consists of $(2L+1)$ B s, it *must* be placed to the *right* of \mathcal{A} in the application of Lemma 2. Otherwise there would be too many B s to the left of A . Thus for $m_{n \leq 2L}^{(1)}$ we need keep only the first line in the last relation, (14a). To place a total of n B s to the left of the A contained in $\mathcal{A} = [AB_{2L} \cdots B_{4L-1}]$, with k B s already to the left as in (14a), we then need only the terms in \mathcal{A} with an additional $(n-k)$ B s to the left of A . That is to say, from Lemma 1, with $J = 2L$ and all B indices shifted up by $2L-1$,

$$\mathcal{A} = [AB_{2L} \cdots B_{4L-1}] = (2L)! \sum_{l=0}^{2L} (-1)^n B_{2L} \cdots B_{l+2L-1} \mathcal{A} B_{l+2L} \cdots B_{4L-1} , \quad (15)$$

and from this we need only the term with $l = n - k$. The net result for $m_{n \leq 2L}^{(1)}$ is

$$m_{n \leq 2L}^{(1)} = (2L+1)! (2L)! (2L-1)! \times c_{n \leq 2L} , \quad (16)$$

$$c_{n \leq 2L} = \sum_{j=0}^{2L-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2L-1-j} \sum_{l=0}^{2L} \delta_{l, n-k} \Big|_{n \leq 2L} = \sum_{j=0}^{2L-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n, 2L-1-j)} 1 = \frac{(n+1)(4L-n)}{2} . \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, with similar steps, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[A [B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1}] B_{2L+2} \cdots B_{4L}] B_{4L+1} \cdots B_{6L}] \\ &= (2L+1)! [[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L-1} (B_{2L} \cdots B_{4L})] B_{4L+1} \cdots B_{6L}] . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We again apply Lemma 2 for $J = 2L - 1$, but to $[AB_1 \cdots B_{2L-1} (B_{2L} \cdots B_{4L})]$, so now we identify A with \mathcal{A} , and $(B_{2L} \cdots B_{4L})$ with \mathcal{Z} . As before, consider first only $m_n^{(2)}$ coefficients where $n \leq 2L$. For the determination of $m_{n \leq 2L}^{(2)}$, \mathcal{Z} *must* once again be placed to the *right* of \mathcal{A} , so we need keep only the line (14a). We pick up an additional $(n - k)$ B s by applying again Lemma 1, only this time to the remaining *outside* bracket in (18). The net result for $m_{n \leq 2L}^{(2)}$ is

$$m_{n \leq 2L}^{(2)} = (2L + 1)! (2L)! (2L - 1)! \times c_{n \leq 2L} , \quad (19)$$

with exactly the same expression for $c_{n \leq 2L}$ as before, (17). Thus we have shown $m_{n \leq 2L}^{(1)} = m_{n \leq 2L}^{(2)}$.

Next, consider the coefficients where $2L + 1 \leq n \leq 3L$. There are still contributions to either $m_n^{(1)}$ or $m_n^{(2)}$ from the line (14a), as above, of the form $(2L + 1)! (2L)! (2L - 1)! \times c_n$, and these contributions to either $m_n^{(1)}$ or $m_n^{(2)}$ still turn out to be the same. But in this case the sums contributing to c_n give

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2L-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2L-1-j} \sum_{l=0}^{2L} \delta_{l, n-k} \Bigg|_{2L+1 \leq n \leq 3L} = \sum_{j=0}^{2L-1-(n-2L)} \sum_{k=(n-2L)}^{2L-1-j} 1 = \frac{1}{2} (4L + 1 - n) (4L - n) . \quad (20)$$

Moreover, from applying Lemma 2, there are now contributions to either $m_n^{(1)}$ or $m_n^{(2)}$ from the second line, (14b), where the respective \mathcal{Z} s are placed to the *left* of the \mathcal{A} s. Following steps similar to those above, it is not difficult to see that these other terms contribute the *same amount* to either $m_n^{(1)}$ or $m_n^{(2)}$, for $2L + 1 \leq n \leq 3L$. Namely, $(2L + 1)! (2L)! (2L - 1)! \times$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2L-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2L-1-j} \sum_{l=0}^{2L} \delta_{l, n+j-4L} \Bigg|_{2L+1 \leq n \leq 3L} = \sum_{j=4L-n}^{2L-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2L-1-j} 1 = \frac{1}{2} (n - 2L + 1) (n - 2L) . \quad (21)$$

Thus the net result is $m_{2L+1 \leq n \leq 3L}^{(1)} = m_{2L+1 \leq n \leq 3L}^{(2)} = (2L + 1)! (2L)! (2L - 1)! \times c_{2L+1 \leq n \leq 3L}$ with

$$c_{2L+1 \leq n \leq 3L} = \frac{1}{2} (4L + 1 - n) (4L - n) + \frac{1}{2} (n - 2L + 1) (n - 2L) = 10L^2 - 6Ln + L + n^2 . \quad (22)$$

Finally, consider the coefficients for $3L + 1 \leq n \leq 6L$. These are given by an elementary reflection symmetry: $m_n^{(1)} = m_{6L-n}^{(1)}$ and $m_n^{(2)} = m_{6L-n}^{(2)}$. Thus $m_n^{(1)} = m_n^{(2)} = (2L + 1)! (2L)! (2L - 1)! \times c_{6L-n}$ for $3L + 1 \leq n \leq 6L$. ■

As a check, the coefficients must sum to give the number of generic terms that appear in three nested $(2L + 1)$ -brackets (i.e. in either $[[[\cdots] \cdots] \cdots]$ or $[[\cdots] \cdots [\cdots]]$). That is, $\sum_{n=0}^{6L} m_n = ((2L + 1)!)^3$. Equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{6L} c_n = 2L (2L + 1)^2 . \quad (23)$$

This condition is indeed satisfied by the c_n given in (11).

III. CONCLUSION

Perhaps N -brackets and algebras have an important role to play in physics, as originally suggested by Nambu. Recently there has been considerable interest in N -brackets, especially 3-brackets, as expressed in the physics literature (see [2] and references therein). These ideas await further development.

Acknowledgments

We thank David Fairlie and Cosmas Zachos for sharing their thoughts about Nambu brackets. We also thank the referee for comments which significantly improved the exposition of this paper. One of us (TC) further thanks the Lago Mar Resort for providing the beautiful and stimulating surroundings where portions of this work were completed. This work was supported by NSF Awards 0555603 and 0855386.

-
- [1] M R Bremner, “Identities for the ternary commutator” J Algebra **206** (1998) 615–623; M R Bremner and I Hentzel, “Identities for Generalized Lie and Jordan Products on Totally Associative Triple Systems” J Algebra **231** (2000) 387-405; M R Bremner and L A Peresi, “Ternary analogues of Lie and Malcev algebras” Linear Algebra and its Applications **414** (2006) 1-18. (Similar results were independently found by J Nuyts, *unpublished*, 2008.)
- [2] T Curtright, D Fairlie, X Jin, L Mezincescu, and C Zachos, “Classical and Quantal Ternary Algebras” Phys Lett **B675** (2009) 387-392 [arXiv:0903.4889 [hep-th]].
- [3] T L Curtright and C K Zachos, “Classical and quantum Nambu mechanics” Phys Rev **D68** (2003) 085001 [arXiv:hep-th/0212267].
- [4] J A de Azcárraga, and J C Pérez Bueno, “Higher-order simple Lie algebras” Commun Math Phys **184** (1997) 669-681 [arXiv:hep-th/9605213].
- [5] C Devchand, D Fairlie, J Nuyts, and G Weingart, “Ternutator Identities” [arXiv:0908.1738v2 [hep-th]].
- [6] G Dito and M Flato, “Generalized Abelian Deformations: Application to Nambu Mechanics” Lett Math Phys **39** (1997) 107-125 [arXiv:hep-th/9609114].
- [7] V T Filippov, “n-Lie Algebras” Sib Math Journal **26** (1986) 879-891; “On n-Lie Algebra of Jacobians” Sib Math Journal **39** (1998) 573-581.
- [8] P Gautheron, “Some Remarks Concerning Nambu Mechanics” Lett Math Phys **37** (1996) 103-116.
- [9] P Hanlon and M Wachs, “On Lie k-Algebras” Adv Math **113** (1995) 206-236.
- [10] P Higgins, “Groups with multiple operators” Proc London Math Soc **6** (1956) 366–416.
- [11] A G Kurosh, “Multioperator rings and algebras” Russian Math Surveys **24** (1969) 1-13.
- [12] T Lada and J Stasheff, “Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists” Int J Theor Phys **32** (1993) 1087–1103.
- [13] Y Nambu, “Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics” Phys Rev **D7** (1973) 2405-2412.
- [14] A P Pojidaev, “Enveloping algebras of Filippov algebras” Comm Alg **31** (2003) 883-900.
- [15] M Schlesinger and J D Stasheff, “The Lie algebra structure of tangent cohomology and deformation theory” J Pure Appl Algebra **38** (1985) 313-322.
- [16] L Takhtajan, “On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics” Comm Math Phys **160** (1994) 295–315 [arXiv:hep-th/9301111].
- [17] L Vainerman and R Kerner, “On special classes of n-algebras” J Math Physics **37** (1996) 2553-2565.
- [18] I Vaisman, “A survey on Nambu–Poisson brackets” Acta Math Univ Comenianae **LXVIII**, 2 (1999) 213–241 [arXiv:math/9901047].
- [19] We will not discuss *all* possible symmetrizations of the bracket entries, just particular choices that work to give identities for general N . For a thorough study of other symmetrizations, particularly in the 3-bracket case, see [1], as well as the more recent work of Nuyts, et al. [5].
- [20] To avoid any misunderstanding, by *implicit total antisymmetrization* of the B s in the expression $[[A [B_1 \cdots B_{2L+1}] B_{2L+2} \cdots B_{4L}] B_{4L+1} \cdots B_{6L}]$, we mean:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{6N}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [[A [B_{\sigma_1} \cdots B_{\sigma_{2L+1}}] B_{\sigma_{2L+2}} \cdots B_{\sigma_{4L}}] B_{\sigma_{4L+1}} \cdots B_{\sigma_{6L}}] ,$$

where the sum is over all $(6N)!$ permutations of the indices, $1, \dots, 6N$. Similar meanings apply to the other implicitly antisymmetrized expressions in the paper.