

COMPLETE MONOTONICITY OF SOME FUNCTIONS INVOLVING POLYGAMMA FUNCTIONS

FENG QI, SENLIN GUO, AND BAI-NI GUO

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions $x^\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ and $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)|$ respectively to be monotonic and completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ are scalars, and $\psi^{(i)}(x)$ are polygamma functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Recall [27, Chapter XIII] and [54, Chapter IV] that a function $f(x)$ is said to be completely monotonic on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if $f(x)$ has derivatives of all orders on I and

$$0 \leq (-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

holds for all $k \geq 0$ on I . This definition was introduced in 1921 by F. Hausdorff in [23], who called such functions “total monoton”.

The celebrated Bernstein-Widder Theorem [54, p. 161] states that a function $f(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} d\mu(s), \quad (1.2)$$

where μ is a nonnegative measure on $[0, \infty)$ such that the integral (1.2) converges for all $x > 0$. This means that a function $f(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it is a Laplace transform of the measure μ .

The most important properties of completely monotonic functions can be found in [27, Chapter XIII], [54, Chapter IV], [10, 50] and the related references therein.

The completely monotonic functions have applications in different branches of mathematical sciences. For example, they play some role in combinatorics [8], numerical and asymptotic analysis [18, 55], physics [16, 17], potential theory [11], and probability theory [12, 17, 26].

1.2. It is well-known [1, 51, 52] that the classical Euler gamma function may be defined for $x > 0$ by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt. \quad (1.3)$$

The logarithmic derivative of $\Gamma(x)$, denoted by $\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$, is called psi function, and $\psi^{(k)}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are called polygamma functions.

It should be common knowledge [1, 51, 52] that the special functions $\Gamma(x)$, $\psi(x)$ and $\psi^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are important and basic and that they have much extensive applications in mathematical sciences.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A48, 26A51, 26D20, 33B10, 33B15, 44A10.

Key words and phrases. monotonicity, completely monotonic function, polygamma function, infinite series, Bernoulli numbers.

The first author was partially supported by the China Scholarship Council.

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-L}\mathcal{A}\text{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$.

1.3. In [2, Lemma 1], it was shown that the functions $x^c|\psi^{(k)}(x)|$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are strictly decreasing (or strictly increasing, respectively) on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq k$ (or $c \geq k + 1$, respectively).

In [3, Theorem 4.14], it was obtained that the function $x^c|\psi^{(k)}(x)|$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if either $c \leq k$, or $c = k + 1$, or $c \geq k + 2$. In [3, Remark 4.15], it was pointed out that there does not exist a real number c such that the function $x^c|\psi^{(k)}(x)|$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is concave on $(0, \infty)$.

In [3, Lemma 2.2] and [47, Lemma 5], the functions $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + 1)|$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are proved to be strictly increasing (or strictly decreasing, respectively) on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$ (or $\alpha \leq 0$, respectively).

In [19, Lemma 2.1], the function $x\psi'(x + a)$ is proved to be strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$ for $a \geq 1$.

Motivated by the above results, the first and third authors considered in [22] the monotonicity of a more general function $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ and the complete monotonicity of several related functions as follows: For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$,

- (1) the function $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{\alpha \geq i, \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1\} \cup \{\alpha \geq i, \beta \geq \frac{\alpha - i + 1}{2}\} \cup \{\alpha \geq i + 1, \beta \leq \frac{\alpha - i + 1}{2}\}$ and only if $\alpha \geq i$;
- (2) the function $\frac{\alpha}{x}|\psi^{(i)}(x)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)|$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i + 1$;
- (3) the function $|\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| - \frac{\alpha}{x}|\psi^{(i)}(x)|$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $0 < \alpha \leq i$;
- (4) the function $\frac{\alpha}{x}|\psi^{(i)}(x + 1)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x + 1)|$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$;
- (5) the function $\frac{\alpha}{x}|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)|$ on $(0, \infty)$ is completely monotonic if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{\alpha \geq i + 1, \beta \leq \frac{\alpha - i + 1}{2}\} \cup \{i \leq \alpha \leq i + 1, \beta \geq \frac{\alpha - i + 1}{2}\} \cup \{i \leq \alpha \leq \frac{(i+1)(i+4\beta-2)}{i+2\beta}, \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1\}$ and only if $\alpha \geq i$;
- (6) the function $\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)|$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{i \leq \alpha \leq i + 1, \beta \geq \frac{\alpha - i + 1}{2}\} \cup \{\alpha \geq i + 1, \beta \leq \frac{\alpha - i + 1}{2}\}$ and only if $\alpha \geq i$.

1.4. The first aim of this paper is to present necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ to be monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, which can be summarized as the following Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \geq 0$.*

- (1) *The function $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x)|$ is strictly increasing (or strictly decreasing, respectively) on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i + 1$ (or $\alpha \leq i$, respectively).*
- (2) *For $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the function $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ is strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$.*
- (3) *Let $\delta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be defined by*

$$\delta(t) = \frac{e^t(t-1) + 1}{(e^t - 1)^2} \quad (1.4)$$

and $\delta^{-1} : (0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ stand for the inverse function of δ . If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\alpha \geq i + 1 - \left[\frac{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} + \beta - 1 \right] \delta^{-1}(\beta), \quad (1.5)$$

then the function $x^\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 1, lower and upper bounds for infinite series whose coefficients involve Bernoulli numbers may be derived as follows.

Corollary 1. Let $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and δ^{-1} be the inverse function of δ defined by (1.4). Then the following inequalities holds:

$$\frac{1}{2} > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\frac{t}{2} > \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{2k+2} \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} > \max\left\{0, \frac{t}{2} - 1\right\}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{2k+2} \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)t + \left[\frac{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} - \beta + 1\right]\delta^{-1}(\beta) - 1, \quad (1.8)$$

where $t \in (0, \infty)$ and B_n for $n \geq 0$ represent Bernoulli numbers which may be defined [1, 51, 52] by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^n = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{2j} \frac{x^{2j}}{(2j)!}, \quad |x| < 2\pi. \quad (1.9)$$

1.5. The second aim of this paper is to establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)|$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, which may be stated as the following Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

(1) The function

$$\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \quad (1.10)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i + 1$.

(2) The negative of the function (1.10) is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq i$.

(3) If $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then the function

$$\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)| \quad (1.11)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$.

(4) If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality (1.5) is valid, then the function (1.11) is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

As immediate consequences of Theorem 2, the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 2. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

(1) The function

$$\frac{\alpha}{x}|\psi^{(i)}(x)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \quad (1.12)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i + 1$.

(2) The negative of the function (1.12) is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq i$.

(3) If $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then the function

$$\frac{\alpha}{x}|\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)| \quad (1.13)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$.

(4) If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality (1.5) holds true, then the function (1.13) is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

2. REMARKS

Before proving the above theorems and corollaries, we give several remarks about Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and their applications.

Remark 1. Since $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^+} [\beta \delta^{-1}(\beta)] = 0$ and that the inverse function δ^{-1} is decreasing from $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ onto $(0, \infty)$, we claim that

$$0 < \left[\frac{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} + \beta - 1 \right] \delta^{-1}(\beta) < 1, \quad \beta \in (0, 1). \quad (2.1)$$

Indeed, if replacing $\delta^{-1}(\beta)$ by s , the middle term in (2.1) becomes $\frac{s^2 e^s}{(e^s - 1)^2}$ which is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, 1)$. This implies that the condition (1.5) is not only sufficient but also necessary in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Remark 2. As mentioned in Section 1.3, some conclusions in Theorem 1 have been applied in nearby fields.

- (1) The first conclusion in Theorem 1 was utilized in [2, Theorem 2] to obtain a functional inequality concerning polygamma functions: For $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$, the inequality

$$|\psi^{(k)}(M_n^{[r]}(x_\nu; p_\nu))| \leq M_n^{[s]}(|\psi^{(k)}(x_\nu)|; p_\nu) \quad (2.2)$$

holds if and only if either $r \geq 0$ and $s \geq -\frac{r}{k+1}$ or $r < 0$ and $s \geq -\frac{r}{k}$, where $x_\nu > 0$ and $p_\nu > 0$ with $\sum_{\nu=1}^n p_\nu = 1$, and

$$M_n^{[t]}(x_\nu; p_\nu) = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n p_\nu x_\nu^t \right)^{1/t}, & t \neq 0 \\ \prod_{\nu=1}^n x_\nu^{p_\nu}, & t = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

stands for the discrete weighted power means.

- (2) Some applications of the first two conclusions in Theorem 1 were carried out in [3] as follows.

- (a) The first conclusion in Theorem 1 was applied in [3, Theorem 4.9] to obtain that the inequalities

$$\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{x+s} \right)^n < \frac{\psi^{(n)}(x+s)}{\psi^{(n)}(x+1)} < \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{x+s} \right)^n \quad (2.4)$$

hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ with the best possible constants

$$\alpha = 1 - s \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = s \left[\frac{\psi^{(n)}(s)}{\psi^{(n)}(1)} \right]^{1/n} - s. \quad (2.5)$$

- (b) The special cases for $\beta = 1$ of the third conclusion in Theorem 1 was employed in [3, Theorem 4.8] to derive that the inequalities

$$(n-1)! \exp \left[\frac{\alpha}{x} - n\psi(x) \right] < |\psi^{(n)}(x)| < (n-1)! \exp \left[\frac{\beta}{x} - n\psi(x) \right] \quad (2.6)$$

hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$ if and only if $\alpha \leq -n$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

- (c) Moreover, the convexity of $x^c |\psi^{(k)}(x)|$ was used in [3, Theorem 4.16] to establish that the double inequalities

$$\alpha \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right) < x^n |\psi^{(n)}(x)| - y^n |\psi^{(n)}(y)| < \beta \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y > x > 0$ with the best possible constants $\alpha = \frac{n!}{2}$ and $\beta = n!$.

- (3) The special cases for $\beta = 1$ of the third conclusion in Theorem 1, the monotonic properties of the functions

$$\begin{aligned} x^{2i}\psi^{(2i)}(1+x), & \quad x^{2i+1}\psi^{(2i)}(1+x), \\ x^{2i-1}\psi^{(2i-1)}(1+x) & \quad \text{and} \quad x^{2i}\psi^{(2i-1)}(1+x) \end{aligned}$$

on $[0, \infty)$, were used in [47, 49] to establish the monotonic, logarithmically convex, completely monotonic properties of the functions

$$\frac{[\Gamma(1+x)]^y}{\Gamma(1+xy)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Gamma(1+y)[\Gamma(1+x)]^y}{\Gamma(1+xy)} \quad (2.8)$$

or their first and second logarithmic derivatives.

- (4) The special case for $\beta \geq 1$ and $i = 1$ of the third conclusion in Theorem 1 was employed in [19, Theorem 3.1] to reveal the subadditive property of the function $\psi(a + e^x)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Remark 3. The former two conclusions in Theorem 1, which were ever circulated in the preprint [44], have been employed in the proofs of [41, Theorem 1.4] and [45, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3].

- (1) The special cases for $\alpha = i$ and $\beta = 1$ of the second conclusion in Theorem 1 were made use of to procure the following theorem.

Theorem 3 ([41, Theorem 1.4]). *The function*

$$G_{s,t}(x) = \frac{[\Gamma(1+tx)]^s}{[\Gamma(1+sx)]^t} \quad (2.9)$$

for $x, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1+sx > 0$ and $1+tx > 0$ with $s \neq t$ has the following properties:

- (a) For $t > s > 0$ and $x \in (0, \infty)$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is an increasing function and a logarithmically completely monotonic function of second order in x ;
 - (b) For $t > s > 0$ and $x \in (-\frac{1}{t}, 0)$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function in x ;
 - (c) For $s < t < 0$ and $x \in (-\infty, 0)$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a decreasing function and a logarithmically absolutely monotonic function of second order in x ;
 - (d) For $s < t < 0$ and $x \in (0, -\frac{1}{s})$, $G_{s,t}(x)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function in x ;
 - (e) For $s < 0 < t$ and $x \in (-\frac{1}{t}, 0)$, $G_{t,s}(x)$ is an increasing function and a logarithmically absolutely convex function in x ;
 - (f) For $s < 0 < t$ and $x \in (0, -\frac{1}{s})$, $G_{t,s}(x)$ is a decreasing function and a logarithmically absolutely convex function in x .
- (2) The first two conclusions in Theorem 1 were hired in [45], a simplified version of the preprint [43], to derive the following two theorems.

Theorem 4 ([45, Theorem 2.2]). *For $b > a > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $\frac{[\Gamma(bx)]^a}{[\Gamma(ax)]^b}$ is $(2i+1)$ -log-convex and $(2i)$ -log-concave with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$.*

Theorem 5 ([45, Theorem 2.3]). *For $b > a > 0$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the function $\frac{[\Gamma(bx+\beta)]^a}{[\Gamma(ax+\beta)]^b}$ is $(2i+1)$ -log-concave and $(2i)$ -log-convex with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$.*

For exact definitions of the terminologies such as “logarithmically completely monotonic function of k -th order”, “logarithmically absolutely monotonic function of k -th order”, “ k -log-convex function” and “logarithmically absolutely convex function”, see [41, Definition 1.1, Definition 1.2 and Definition 1.3], or the first paragraph of [45], or related texts in [48].

Remark 4. The former two conclusions in Theorem 2, which were also issued in the preprint [44], have also been applied in the proofs of [42, Theorem 1] and [46, Theorem 1].

- (1) The first result in Theorem 2 was utilized in [42, Theorem 1] to procure upper bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions and the divided differences of the psi and polygamma functions as follows.

Theorem 6 ([42, Theorem 1]). *For $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, inequalities*

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} \right]^{1/(a-b)} \leq e^{\psi(I(a,b))} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\frac{(-1)^n [\psi^{(n-1)}(a) - \psi^{(n-1)}(b)]}{a-b} \leq (-1)^n \psi^{(n)}(I(a,b)) \quad (2.11)$$

hold true, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$I(a,b) = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{1/(b-a)} \quad (2.12)$$

represents the identric or exponential mean.

- (2) The first two results in Theorem 2 were employed in [46, Theorem 1] to acquire lower bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions and the divided differences of the psi and polygamma functions and to refine the inequality (2.11).

Theorem 7 ([46, Theorem 1]). *For $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the inequality*

$$(-1)^i \psi^{(i)}(L_\alpha(a,b)) \leq \frac{(-1)^i}{b-a} \int_a^b \psi^{(i)}(u) du \leq (-1)^i \psi^{(i)}(L_\beta(a,b)) \quad (2.13)$$

holds if $\alpha \leq -i-1$ and $\beta \geq -i$, where i is a nonnegative integer and

$$L_p(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)} \right]^{1/p}, & p \neq -1, 0 \\ \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}, & p = -1 \\ I(a,b), & p = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

stands for the generalized logarithmic mean of order $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

The topic of bounding the ratio of two gamma functions has a history of at least sixty years since [53]. For more information on its history, backgrounds, motivations and recent developments, please refer to, for example, [2, 3, 25, 28, 29, 30, 33, 38, 42, 46, 56, 57], especially to the expository and survey preprint [31] in which plentiful references are collected. For knowledge of mean values, please refer to the celebrated book [13] or the paper [32].

Remark 5. Recall [4, 6, 19] that a function $f(x)$ is said to be subadditive on I if the inequality

$$f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y) \quad (2.15)$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ with $x+y \in I$. If the inequality (2.15) is reversed, then $f(x)$ is called superadditive on I .

The subadditive and superadditive functions play important roles in the theory of differential equations, in the study of semi-groups, in number theory, in the theory of convex bodies, and the like. See [4, 5, 6] and the related references therein.

Some subadditive or superadditive properties of the gamma, psi and polygamma functions have been discovered as follows.

In [5], the function $\psi(a+x)$ is proved to be sub-multiplicative with respect to $x \in [0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \geq a_0$, where a_0 denotes the only positive real number which satisfies $\psi(a_0) = 1$.

In [6], the function $[\Gamma(x)]^\alpha$ was proved to be subadditive on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\frac{\ln 2}{\ln \Delta} \leq \alpha \leq 0$, where $\Delta = \min_{x \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)}$.

In [3, Lemma 2.4], the function $\psi(e^x)$ was proved to be strictly concave on \mathbb{R} .

In [19, Theorem 3.1], the function $\psi(a+e^x)$ is proved to be subadditive on $(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if $a \geq c_0$, where c_0 is the only positive zero of $\psi(x)$.

In [14, Theorem 1], among other things, it was presented that the function $\psi^{(k)}(e^x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is concave (or convex, respectively) on \mathbb{R} if $k = 2n - 2$ (or $k = 2n - 1$, respectively) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By the aid of the monotonicity of the function $x^\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)|$ in Theorem 1, the following subadditive and superadditive properties of the function $|\psi^{(i)}(e^x)|$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ were acquired recently.

Theorem 8 ([37]). For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $|\psi^{(i)}(e^x)|$ is superadditive on $(-\infty, \ln \theta_0)$ and subadditive on $(\ln \theta_0, \infty)$, where $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ is the unique root of the equation $2|\psi^{(i)}(\theta)| = |\psi^{(i)}(\theta^2)|$.

Remark 6. The second conclusion in Theorem 2 was cited in [56, Lemma 2.4] and [57, Remark 2.3].

Remark 7. Theorem 8 and the facts mentioned in Remark 3 to Remark 6 show the potential applicability of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 convincingly.

Remark 8. In passing, we recollect the notion “logarithmically completely monotonic function” which is equivalent to the logarithmically completely monotonic function of 0-th order mentioned in Remark 3. A function $f(x)$ is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if it has derivatives of all orders on I and its logarithm $\ln f$ satisfies

$$0 \leq (-1)^k [\ln f(x)]^{(k)} < \infty \tag{2.16}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ on I . By looking through the database MathSciNet, we find that this phrase was first used in [7], but with no a word to explicitly define it. Thereafter, it seems to have been ignored by the mathematical community. In early 2004, this terminology was recovered in [36] and it was immediately referenced in [40], the preprint of the paper [39]. A natural question that one may ask is: Whether is this notion trivial or not? In [36, Theorem 4], it was proved that all logarithmically completely monotonic functions are also completely monotonic, but not conversely. This result was formally published when revising [35]. Hereafter, this conclusion and its proofs were dug in [9, 20, 21, 48] once and again. Furthermore, in the paper [9], the logarithmically completely monotonic functions on $(0, \infty)$ were characterized as the infinitely divisible completely monotonic functions studied in [24] and all Stieltjes transforms were proved to be logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, where a function $f(x)$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ is called a Stieltjes transform if it can be of the form

$$f(x) = a + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s+x} d\mu(s) \tag{2.17}$$

for some nonnegative number a and some nonnegative measure μ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+s} d\mu(s) < \infty$. For more information, please refer to [9].

It is remarked that many completely monotonic functions founded in a lot of literature such as [25, 28, 50], [27, Chapter XIII] and the related references therein are actually logarithmically completely monotonic.

3. LEMMAS

In order to verify Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and their corollaries in Section 1.4 and Section 1.5, we need the following lemmas, in which Lemma 1 is simple but has been validated in [29, 30, 33, 34] to be especially effectual in proving the monotonicity and (logarithmically) complete monotonicity of functions involving the gamma, psi and polygamma functions.

Lemma 1. *Let $f(x)$ is a function defined on an infinite interval I whose right end is ∞ . If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \delta$ and $f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon) > 0$ hold true for some given scalar $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $x \in I$, then $f(x) > \delta$.*

Proof. By mathematical induction, for all $x \in I$, we have

$$f(x) > f(x + \varepsilon) > f(x + 2\varepsilon) > \cdots > f(x + k\varepsilon) \rightarrow \delta$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete. \square

Lemma 2 ([1, 51, 52]). *The polygamma functions $\psi^{(k)}(x)$ may be expressed for $x > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as*

$$\psi^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^k e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt. \quad (3.1)$$

For $x > 0$ and $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{x^r} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty t^{r-1} e^{-xt} dt. \quad (3.2)$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$,

$$\psi^{(i-1)}(x+1) = \psi^{(i-1)}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!}{x^i}. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the double inequality*

$$\frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} + \frac{k!}{2x^{k+1}} < (-1)^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(x) < \frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} + \frac{k!}{x^{k+1}} \quad (3.4)$$

holds on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. In [25, Theorem 2.1] and [34, Lemma 1.3], the function $\psi(x) - \ln x + \frac{\alpha}{x}$ was proved to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$(-1)^i \left[\psi(x) - \ln x + \frac{\alpha}{x} \right]^{(i)} \geq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for $i \geq 0$, if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$, so is its negative, i.e., the inequality (3.5) is reversed, if and only if $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. In [15] and [28, Theorem 2.1], the function $\frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-\alpha}}$ was proved to be logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$(-1)^k \left[\ln \frac{e^x \Gamma(x)}{x^{x-\alpha}} \right]^{(k)} \geq 0 \quad (3.6)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$, so is its reciprocal, i.e., the inequality (3.6) is reversed, if and only if $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Considering the fact [39, p. 82] that a completely monotonic function which is non-identically zero cannot vanish at any point on $(0, \infty)$ and rearranging either (3.5) for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ or (3.6) for $k \geq 2$ leads to the double inequality (3.4) immediately. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS AND COROLLARIES

Proof of Theorem 1. It is a standard argument to obtain that the function $\delta(t)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Let $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x) = x^\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)|$ on $(0, \infty)$. Direct calculation and rearrangement yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} &= \alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)| \\ &= (-1)^{i+1} [\alpha \psi^{(i)}(x + \beta) + x \psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Making use of (3.4) in (4.1) gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \geq 0$. In virtue of formulas (3.3), (3.2) and (3.1) in sequence, straightforward computation reveals

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} &= (-1)^{i+1} \{ \alpha [\psi^{(i)}(x + \beta) - \psi^{(i)}(x + \beta + 1)] \\ &\quad + x [\psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta) - \psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta + 1)] - \psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta + 1) \} \\ &= \frac{i! \alpha}{(x + \beta)^{i+1}} - \frac{(i+1)! x}{(x + \beta)^{i+2}} - \frac{(i+1)!}{(x + \beta)^{i+2}} + (-1)^{i+2} \psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta) \\ &= (-1)^{i+2} \psi^{(i+1)}(x + \beta) + \frac{i! (\alpha - i - 1)}{(x + \beta)^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)! (\beta - 1)}{(x + \beta)^{i+2}} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}} + (\beta - 1)t + \alpha - i - 1 \right] t^i e^{-(x+\beta)t} dt \\ &\triangleq \int_0^\infty h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) t^i e^{-(x+\beta)t} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

For $\beta = 0$, easy differentiation shows that $h'_{i,\alpha,0}(t) = -\delta(t) < 0$, and so the function $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(\alpha - i - 1, \alpha - i)$. Thus, if $\alpha \geq i + 1$, the functions $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t)$ and

$$\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}}$$

are positive on $(0, \infty)$. Combining this with (4.2) and considering Lemma 1, it is deduced that the functions $\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}}$ and $g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ are positive on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, the function $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $\alpha \geq i + 1$. Similarly, for $\alpha \leq i$, the function $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

For $\beta > 0$, it is easy to see that $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) = -\delta(t) + \beta$, and $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is strictly increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(\beta - \frac{1}{2}, \beta)$. Consequently, if $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the function $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive and $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is strictly increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(\alpha - i, \infty)$. Accordingly, if $\alpha \geq i$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$, that is,

$$\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} > 0 \quad (4.4)$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Combining this with Lemma 1 results in the positivity of $g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, for $\alpha \geq i$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the function $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

For $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, since $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is strictly increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(\beta - \frac{1}{2}, \beta)$, the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ attains its unique minimum at some point $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ with

$\delta(t_0) = \beta$. As a result, the unique minimum of $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ equals

$$\frac{\delta^{-1}(\beta)e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} + (\beta - 1)\delta^{-1}(\beta) + \alpha - i - 1,$$

where δ^{-1} is the inverse function of δ and is strictly decreasing from $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ onto $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, when the inequality (1.5) holds for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$, which means that the inequality (4.4) holds true. Accordingly, making use of the limit (4.2) and Lemma 1 again yields that the function $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality (1.5) is valid. The sufficiency is proved.

If $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$x^{i+1-\alpha}g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) = \alpha x^i |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| < 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Applying (3.4) in (4.5) and letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{i+1-\alpha} g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) \\ &\geq \alpha \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^i \left[\frac{(i-1)!}{x^i} + \frac{i!}{2x^{i+1}} \right] - \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{i+1} \left[\frac{i!}{x^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!}{x^{i+2}} \right] \\ &= (i-1)!(\alpha - i), \end{aligned}$$

which means $\alpha \leq i$.

If $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$x^{i+2-\alpha}g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) = \alpha x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x^{i+2} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| > 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Employing (3.3) and (3.4) in (4.6) and taking $x \rightarrow \infty$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{i+2-\alpha} g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \alpha x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x^{i+2} \left[|\psi^{(i+1)}(x+1)| + \frac{(i+1)!}{x^{i+2}} \right] \right\} \\ &= \alpha \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - (i+1)! - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{i+2} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+1)| \\ &\leq \alpha \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{i+1} \left[\frac{(i-1)!}{x^i} + \frac{i!}{x^{i+1}} \right] - (i+1)! \\ &\quad - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{i+2} \left[\frac{i!}{(x+1)^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!}{2(x+1)^{i+2}} \right] \\ &= i!(\alpha - i - 1), \end{aligned}$$

thus, the necessary condition $\alpha \geq i + 1$ follows.

If the function $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $\beta > 0$, then

$$x^{i+1-\alpha}g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \alpha x^i |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| > 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Utilizing (3.4) in (4.7) and taking limit gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{i+1-\alpha} g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x) \\ &\leq \alpha \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^i \left[\frac{(i-1)!}{(x+\beta)^i} + \frac{i!}{(x+\beta)^{i+1}} \right] - \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{i+1} \left[\frac{i!}{(x+\beta)^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!}{2(x+\beta)^{i+2}} \right] \\ &= (i-1)!(\alpha - i), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $\alpha \geq i$. The proof of Theorem 1 is thus completed. \square

Remark 9. The first two conclusions in Theorem 1 were ever proved by virtue of the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms in [2, Lemma 1] and [3, Lemma 2.2], so we supply a new and unified proof for them here.

Proof of Corollary 1. It is well-known [1, 51, 52] that Bernoulli polynomials $B_k(x)$ may be defined by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!} \quad (4.8)$$

and that Bernoulli numbers B_k and Bernoulli polynomials $B_k(x)$ are connected by $B_k(1) = (-1)^k B_k(0) = (-1)^k B_k$ and $B_{2k+1}(0) = B_{2k+1} = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Using these notations, the functions $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ and $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) &= \frac{te^t}{e^t - 1} + (\beta - 1)t + \alpha - i - 1 \\ &= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(1) \frac{t^k}{k!} \\ &= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k B_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \\ &= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} B_{k+1} \frac{t^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \\ &= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{2k+2} \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) = \beta - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 shows that

- (1) $h'_{i,\alpha,0}(t) < 0$ on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ if $\alpha \geq i + 1$;
- (3) $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t) < 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 < \alpha \leq i$;
- (4) $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ if $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$;
- (5) $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ if $\alpha \geq i$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$;
- (6) $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (1.5) holds true.

Basing on these and by standard argument, Corollary 1 is thus proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. If $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$, then the function

$$\pm \int_0^{\infty} h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) t^i e^{-(x+\beta)t} dt$$

is completely monotonic on $(-\beta, \infty)$, and so, by virtue of (4.3), it is derived that

$$\pm \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} \right]$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^j \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} \\ = (-1)^j \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} - (-1)^j \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

on $(0, \infty)$ for $j \geq 0$. Moreover, formulas (3.4) and (4.1) imply

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^j \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.10) with Lemma 1 concludes that

$$(-1)^j \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} \geq 0,$$

that is, the function

$$\pm \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} = \pm [\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|]$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, if $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. In the proof of Theorem 1, we have demonstrated that $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$ if either $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha \geq i + 1$, or $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \geq i$, or $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality (1.5) is satisfied, and that $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is negative on $(0, \infty)$ if $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha \leq i$. As a result, the sufficient conditions for the function $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ follow.

The derivation of necessary conditions is same as in Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \square

Proof of Corollary 2. It follows easily from Theorem 2 and the facts that

$$\pm \left[\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \right] = \pm \frac{1}{x} \{ \alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \},$$

that the function $\frac{1}{x}$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, and that the product of any finite completely monotonic functions is also completely monotonic on the intersection of their domains. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Reprint of the 1972 edition. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. Selected Government Publications. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York; National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1984.
- [2] H. Alzer, *Mean-value inequalities for the polygamma functions*, Aequationes Math. **61** (2001), no. 1, 151–161.
- [3] H. Alzer, *Sharp inequalities for the digamma and polygamma functions*, Forum Math. **16** (2004), 181–221.
- [4] H. Alzer and S. Koumandos, *Sub- and super-additive properties of Fejér's sine polynomial*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **38** (2006), no. 2, 261–268.
- [5] H. Alzer and O. G. Ruehr, *A submultiplicative property of the psi function*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **101** (1999), 53–60.
- [6] H. Alzer and S. Ruscheweyh, *A subadditive property of the gamma function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **285** (2003), 564–577.
- [7] R. D. Atanassov and U. V. Tsoukrovski, *Some properties of a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **41** (1988), no. 2, 21–23.
- [8] K. Ball, *Completely monotonic rational functions and Hall's marriage theorem*, J. Comb. Th. Ser. B **61** (1994), 118–124.
- [9] C. Berg, *Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function*, Mediterr. J. Math. **1** (2004), no. 4, 433–439.
- [10] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen and P. Ressel, *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups: Theory of Positive Definite and Related Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **100**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.
- [11] C. Berg and G. Forst, *Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, Ergebnisse der Math. **87**, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [12] L. Bondesson, *Generalized Gamma Convolutions and Related Classes of Distributions and Densities*, Lecture Notes in Statistics **76**, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [13] P. S. Bullen, *Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities*, Mathematics and its Applications, Volume 560, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003.
- [14] J. Cao, D.-W. Niu and F. Qi, *Convexities of some functions involving the polygamma functions*, Appl. Math. E-Notes **8** (2008), 53–57.
- [15] Ch.-P. Chen and F. Qi, *Logarithmically completely monotonic functions relating to the gamma function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **321** (2006), no. 1, 405–411.

- [16] W. A. Day, *On monotonicity of the relaxation functions of viscoelastic material*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **67** (1970), 503–508.
- [17] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. **2**, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [18] C. L. Frenzen, *Error bounds for asymptotic expansions of the ratio of two gamma functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18** (1987), 890–896.
- [19] P. Gao, *A subadditive property of the digamma function*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **8** (2005), no. 3, Art. 9; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v8n3.asp>.
- [20] P. Gao, *Some monotonicity properties of gamma and q-gamma functions*, Available online at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1126v2>.
- [21] A. Z. Grinshpan and M. E. H. Ismail, *Completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and q-gamma functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 1153–1160.
- [22] B.-N. Guo, R.-J. Chen, and F. Qi, *A class of completely monotonic functions involving the polygamma functions*, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory **1** (2006), no. 2, 124–134.
- [23] F. Hausdorff, *Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen I*, Math. Z. **9** (1921), 74–109.
- [24] R. A. Horn, *On infinitely divisible matrices, kernels and functions*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Geb. **8** (1967), 219–230.
- [25] M. E. H. Ismail and M. E. Muldoon, *Inequalities and monotonicity properties for gamma and q-gamma functions*, in: R.V.M. Zahar (Ed.), Approximation and Computation: A Festschrift in Honour of Walter Gautschi, ISNM, Vol. **119**, BirkhRauser, Basel, 1994, 309–323.
- [26] C. H. Kimberling, *A probabilistic interpretation of complete monotonicity*, Aequationes Math. **10** (1974), 152–164.
- [27] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1993.
- [28] M. E. Muldoon, *Some monotonicity properties and characterizations of the gamma function*, Aequationes Math. **18** (1978), 54–63.
- [29] F. Qi, *A completely monotonic function involving the divided difference of the psi function and an equivalent inequality involving sums*, ANZIAM J. **48** (2007), no. 4, 523–532.
- [30] F. Qi, *A completely monotonic function involving divided differences of psi and polygamma functions and an application*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **9** (2006), no. 4, Art. 8; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n4.asp>.
- [31] F. Qi, *Bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **11** (2008), no. 3, Art. 1; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v11n3.asp>.
- [32] F. Qi, *Generalized weighted mean values with two parameters*, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **454** (1998), no. 1978, 2723–2732.
- [33] F. Qi, *The best bounds in Kershaw’s inequality and two completely monotonic functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **9** (2006), no. 4, Art. 2; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n4.asp>.
- [34] F. Qi, *Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), no. 7, 503–509.
- [35] F. Qi and Ch.-P. Chen, *A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **296** (2004), 603–607.
- [36] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, *Complete monotonicities of functions involving the gamma and digamma functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **7** (2004), no. 1, Art. 8, 63–72; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v7n1.asp>.
- [37] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, *Subadditive and superadditive properties of polygamma functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **10** (2007), Suppl., Art. 4; Available online at [http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10\(E\).asp](http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10(E).asp).
- [38] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, *Wendel’s and Gautschi’s inequalities: Refinements, extensions, and a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **205** (2008), no. 1, 281–290; Available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.07.005>.
- [39] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo and Ch.-P. Chen, *Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **80** (2006), 81–88.
- [40] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo and Ch.-P. Chen, *Some completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **7** (2004), no. 1, Art. 5, 31–36; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v7n1.asp>.
- [41] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo, S. Guo and Sh.-X. Chen, *A function involving gamma function and having logarithmically absolute convexity*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), no. 11, 837–843.
- [42] F. Qi, S. Guo and Sh.-X. Chen, *A new upper bound in the second Kershaw’s double inequality and its generalizations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **220** (2008), no. 1–2, 111–118; Available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2007.07.037>.

- [43] F. Qi, S. Guo and B.-N. Guo, *A class of k -log-convex functions and their applications to some special functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **10** (2007), no. 1, Art. 21; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n1.asp>.
- [44] F. Qi, S. Guo and B.-N. Guo, *Note on a class of completely monotonic functions involving the polygamma functions*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **10** (2007), no. 1, Art. 5; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n1.asp>.
- [45] F. Qi, S. Guo, B.-N. Guo and Sh.-X. Chen, *A class of k -log-convex functions and their applications to some special functions*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **19** (2008), no. 3, 195–200.
- [46] F. Qi, X.-A. Li and Sh.-X. Chen, *Refinements, extensions and generalizations of the second Kershaw's double inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **11** (2008), no. 3, 457–465.
- [47] F. Qi and W. Li, *Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with gamma function*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **8** (2005), no. 3, Art. 13, 497–493; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v8n3.asp>.
- [48] F. Qi, W. Li and B.-N. Guo, *Generalizations of a theorem of I. Schur*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **9** (2006), no. 3, Art. 15; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v9n3.asp>. Bùdēngshì Yánjiū Tōngxùn (Communications in Studies in Inequalities) **13** (2006), no. 4, 355–364.
- [49] F. Qi, Q. Yang and W. Li, *Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with gamma function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **17** (2006), no. 7, 539–542.
- [50] H. van Haeringen, *Completely Monotonic and Related Functions*, Report 93-108, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [51] Zh.-X. Wang and D.-R. Guo, *Special Functions*, Translated from the Chinese by D.-R. Guo and X.-J. Xia, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1989.
- [52] Zh.-X. Wang and D.-R. Guo, *Tèshū Hánshù Gàilùn (A Panorama of Special Functions)*, The Series of Advanced Physics of Peking University, Peking University Press, Beijing, China, 2000. (Chinese)
- [53] J. G. Wendel, *Note on the gamma function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **55** (1948), no. 9, 563–564.
- [54] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.
- [55] J. Wimp, *Sequence Transformations and their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [56] X.-M. Zhang and Y.-M. Chu, *A double inequality for the gamma and psi functions*, Int. J. Mod. Math. **3** (2008), no. 1, 67–73.
- [57] X.-M. Zhang, T.-Q. Xu, and L.-B. Situ, *Geometric convexity of a function involving gamma function and applications to inequality theory*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2007), no. 1, Art. 17; Available online at <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=830>.

(F. Qi) RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITY THEORY, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN PROVINCE, 454010, CHINA
E-mail address: qifeng618@gmail.com, qifeng618@hotmail.com, qifeng618@qq.com
URL: <http://qifeng618.spaces.live.com>

(S. Guo) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHONGYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, ZHENGZHOU CITY, HENAN PROVINCE, 450007, CHINA
E-mail address: sguo@hotmail.com, senlinguo@gmail.com

(B.-N. Guo) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN PROVINCE, 454010, CHINA
E-mail address: bai.ni.guo@gmail.com, bai.ni.guo@hotmail.com
URL: <http://guobaini.spaces.live.com>