

EFFECTIVE INDICES OF SUBGROUPS IN ONE-RELATOR GROUPS WITH FREE QUOTIENTS

THOMAS KOBERDA

ABSTRACT. Given a one-relator group, we give an effective estimate on the minimal index of a subgroup with a nonabelian free quotient. We show that the index is bounded by a polynomial in the length of the relator word. We also provide a lower bound on the index.

February 1, 2019

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let G be a finitely presented group. We say that G is a **Baumslag-Pride group** or **BP group** if it admits a presentation $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$, with $|S| \geq |R| + 2$. In particular, if G is a one-relator group with at least three generators, then G is a BP group. The terminology stems from a paper of those two authors, where they prove that every such group contains a finite index subgroup which admits a surjection onto a nonabelian free group, i.e. G is **large** (see [BP]).

Throughout this note, we will assume that G is a one-relator group. In their proof, Baumslag and Pride explicitly produce the subgroup H . They choose a special presentation for G in which at least one of the generators appears in the relator word with zero exponent (in fact, they assume that a particular generator appears with zero exponent sum in all the relator words when G is not necessarily a one-relator group). It is always possible to choose a word in the free group automorphism orbit of any given word so that this condition is satisfied. Once such a presentation is found, it is possible to produce H in such a way so that $[G : H]$ is no more than linear in the length of the relator word.

In general, one will not be so lucky as to have a presentation where the relator has zero exponent in one of the generators. Given a relator word $w \in F_n$, there is always an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ such that $\alpha(w)$ has zero exponent sum in some generator, but the word length $\ell(\alpha(w))$ might be somewhat longer than $\ell(w)$. The main result of this note is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $w \in F_n$, $n \geq 2$, and fix a free generating set for F_n . Then there is a polynomial p_n depending only on n and an $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ such $\alpha(w)$ has zero exponent sum in at least one of the generators and such that $\ell(\alpha(w)) \leq p_n(\ell(w))$.*

We thus immediately obtain:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E05; Secondary 20E36.

Key words and phrases. One-relator groups, automorphisms of free groups, combinatorial group theory, large groups.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a one-relator BP group with relator word w . Then there is a polynomial p depending only on the rank of G and a subgroup $H < G$ such that H admits a surjection to F_2 and $[G : H] \leq p(\ell(w))$.*

We remark that the polynomial can be chosen universally, which is to say independently of n . We shall show in the proof of Theorem 1.1 that there is a polynomial which works for F_2 , and hence for all finite rank free groups. The smallest degree that works may decrease as the rank gets large.

For a lower bound, we appeal to work of Abért in [A] to obtain:

Theorem 1.3. *Let N be fixed. Then there is a word $w \in F_n$ of length at most $(5N)!$ such that no subgroup of $F_n/\langle w \rangle$ of index at most N admits a surjection to F_2 .*

2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Miklós Abért, under whose auspices most of this research was done while the author was an undergraduate. The author also thanks Jack Huizenga, Sam Isaacson, and Aaron Silberstein for critically reading some early manuscripts, and Tom Church for several useful comments. The author is supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

3. AUTOMORPHISM ORBITS OF WORDS AND THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We first recall the well-known fact about a generating set for $\text{Aut}(F_n)$: $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is finitely generated by so-called elementary Nielsen transformations (see [LS] for more details). If X is a free generating set for F_n , these amount to replacing some $x \in X$ with x^{-1} , or for distinct $x, y \in X$, replacing x by $x \cdot y$. Though it is not standard, we include $x \mapsto x \cdot y^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the definition of elementary Nielsen transformations. For $w \in F_n$, let $W \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ denote the image of w in the abelianization of F_n . It is evident that in fact W may be viewed as a vector whose entries are exponent sums in the generators. The commutator subgroup of any group is characteristic, so that each automorphism of F_n descends to an automorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n . To understand certain aspects of automorphisms of \mathbb{Z}^n , we need the concept of a **Euclidean walk**. A Euclidean walk starting at $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a sequence of vectors $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that v_{i+1} is obtained from v_i by adding some integral multiple of an entry in v_i to a different entry in v_i . It is easy to see that a sequence of elementary Nielsen transformations amounts to taking a Euclidean walk on \mathbb{Z}^n .

It is now obvious that there is a word in the automorphism orbit of w that has zero exponent sum in at least one of the generators. We are interested in an effective bound on how much this will change the length of the word.

Let e be a Euclidean walk starting at W . Precisely, this is a sequence of operations on W where an integral multiple of some entry is added to a different entry. We will restrict our attention to a particular subset of Euclidean walks, namely the ones that end in the elimination of the first entry in a small number of steps.

Running the Euclidean algorithm on two integers X and Y associates two sequences of numbers $\{n_i\}$ and $\{r_i\}$, where these are the quotients and remainders of each step, respectively. To each Euclidean walk e on \mathbb{Z}^n we can assign similar data, and we only consider walks where each value of $|r_i|$ is minimal and each value of $|n_i|$ is maximal.

Let

$$P_e = \prod_i (|n_i| + 1).$$

We are interested in this quantity because:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{\alpha_i\}_i^k$ be a sequence of elementary Nielsen transformations that induce a Euclidean walk e on the vector W associated to a word w . Then the result of applying the automorphism*

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_k$$

on the length $\ell(\alpha)$ is to increase the length of w by a factor no larger than P_e .

Proof. Let x and y be generators with exponent sums X and Y respectively, and let $Y \mapsto Y + n \cdot X$ be a step in the Euclidean walk. Such a step is induced by the elementary Nielsen transformation $x \mapsto x \cdot y^n$. Note that this automorphism cannot increase the length of w by a factor of more than $n + 1$. \square

We can now provide the proof of the main theorem, which is restated as:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. There is a polynomial p depending only on the rank of F_n such that given any word $w \in F_n$, there exists a Euclidean walk e starting at W such that $P_e \leq p(\ell(w))$. If k_n is the smallest degree polynomial that has this property for groups of rank n , then k_n is a decreasing function of n . In particular, p can be chosen universally.*

Proof. Clearly it suffices to prove the statement for F_2 . Let $w \in F_2$ be fixed. Suppose that x and y are generators with exponent sums X and Y in w respectively. Clearly $|X| + |Y| \leq \ell(w)$, so that there are universal constants C and D such that the algorithm will terminate in $C \log(\ell(w)) + D$ steps.

We may suppose that $|X| \leq |Y|$, so that $|X| \leq \ell(w)/2$. Write $r_1 = Y - n_1 \cdot X$. Suppose that $n_1 \geq \ell(w)/2$. Then the algorithm will terminate in at most two steps, since in this case $|X| \leq 2$. We may therefore pull out a factor of two and assume $n_1 \leq \ell(w)/2 - 1$.

Write $r_2 = X - n_2 \cdot r_1$. If $|r_1| \geq |X|/2$, then $|n_2| \leq 2$. So, we see that either $n_2 \leq 2$ or $|r_1| < |X|/2$, in which case $|n_2| \leq |X|/2 - 1$, or the algorithm terminates in two steps.

By induction, assume that $|r_i| \leq \ell(w)/2^{i+1}$, and write $r_{i+2} = r_i - n_{i+2} \cdot r_{i+1}$. Again, we either have $|n_{i+2}| \leq 2$ or $|r_{i+1}| \leq \ell(w)/2^{i+2}$, or the algorithm terminates in two steps. In the second case, we will have $|n_{i+2}| \leq \ell(w)/2^{i+2} - 1$.

Let $M(w)$ be the least integer greater than $C \log(\ell(w)) + D$. Since $|n_i| \leq \ell(w)$ for each i , we obtain the estimate

$$P_e \leq \ell(w)^2 \cdot 3^M \cdot \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{\ell(w)}{2^i}.$$

The first factor is from the possibility of the algorithm terminating in two steps. The second comes from the possibility of $|n_i| = 2$ at any stage, and the third is the estimate for $|n_i|$ in the remaining possible case.

For compactness of notation, write x for $\ell(w)$. Notice that $M = M(x)$ depends on x , and varies like $\log x$. We take the logarithm of the estimate on P_e . We obtain the expression

$$2 \log x + M \log 3 + M \log x - \frac{(M^2 + M)}{2} \cdot \log 2.$$

For x sufficiently large, we may replace M by a constant N times $\log x$. Rewriting, we get

$$2 \log x + N \log 3 \log x + N(\log x)^2 - (\log 2) \cdot \frac{N^2(\log x)^2 + N \log x}{2}.$$

We can replace N by any sufficiently large constant. We may therefore suppose that the coefficient of $(\log x)^2$ is negative. Let K be large enough so that

$$2 \log x + N \log 3 \log x - \frac{\log 2}{2} \cdot N \log x - K \log x$$

is negative for all x sufficiently large. It follows that if $\ell(w) > 0$,

$$P_e \cdot \ell(w)^{-K} \leq 1,$$

the desired conclusion. \square

To complete the proof of the theorem, we summarize the proof in [BP] that gives a linear bound on the index of H once a good presentation has been found. Let $G = \langle S \rangle$, $t \in S$ and $S' = S \setminus t$. For each n , let H_n be the normal closure of t^n and S' . For simplicity of notation and ideas, we may write $S' = \{a, b\}$, and the single relator word is w .

Notice that the set $\{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{n-1}\}$ is a Schreier transversal for H_n in G . Following the notation of [LS], we find a presentation for H_n using the Reidemeister-Schreier process: set $u_k = \tau(t^{-k} w t^k)$. Then

$$H_n = \langle s, a_j, b_j \mid u_k \rangle$$

where $a_j = t^{-j} a t^j$ and $b_j = t^{-j} b t^j$. By conjugating each w by a suitable power of t if necessary, we may assume that there is a positive integer m so that each u_k can be expressed as a word in the a_j and b_j , $j \leq m$. Note that m and n are independent so that n can be chosen larger than m .

Define the quotient $\overline{H_n}$ be introducing the relations $a_j = b_j = 1$ for $j \leq m - 1$. Applying the necessary Tietze transformations, we obtain the presentation

$$\overline{H_n} = \langle s, a_j, b_j \mid u_k \rangle$$

for $m \leq j \leq n$. This quotient of H_n has $2(n - m) + 1$ generators and n relators. We note that the relators, by their definition, do not involve s . Hence, we write

$$\overline{H_n} = \langle s \rangle * K$$

where K has $2(n - m)$ generators and n relators. Let γ be the number of generators of K and let ρ be the number of relators of K . We have that

$$\gamma - \rho = 2(n - m) - n = n - 2m,$$

so to guarantee the existence of an infinite cyclic quotient of K , we set $n - 2m \geq 1$. We therefore only need to let $n = 2m + 1$.

To obtain the corollary to Theorem 1.1, it suffices to see that $m \leq \ell(w)$. But this is obvious from the definition of m .

4. THE LOWER BOUND

To prove Theorem 1.3, we will need the following result which can be found in [A]:

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finite group. Then for all n there exists a word $w \in F_n$ such that for all g_1, \dots, g_n satisfies w if and only if the subgroup $\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$ is solvable.*

What is meant by an n -tuple of points satisfying a word w is that $w(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a finite non-solvable group. Then for all n , there is a word $w \in F_n$ such that G is not a quotient of $F_n/\langle w \rangle$.*

As is well-known, sufficiently large symmetric groups are all two-generated, hence quotients of the free group F_2 , and are also non-solvable. It follows that given a word w as in the corollary for some n , it follows that F_2 is not a quotient of the one-relator group $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid w \rangle$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In [A], Abért works out the case of $G = S_{5N}$ in detail. He shows that for each n and N there is a word $w \in F_n$ such that no subgroup of index at most N of $F_n/\langle w \rangle$ admits a surjection to F_2 . Furthermore, the length of w is no longer than the longest word in S_{5N} with respect to any pair of generators, and thus has length at most $(5N)!$. \square

5. REMARKS ABOUT THE CASE OF GENERAL BP GROUPS

In order to achieve their main theorem, Baumslag and Pride assume that some generator appears with zero exponent sum in each one of the relators. One way to produce such a presentation of G is as follows: choose one relator and apply automorphisms so that the exponent sum in all the generators is zero except for at most one. This is possible, since we may order the generators and run the Euclidean algorithm on successive pairs of exponent sums. The last generator cannot have its exponent sum eliminated in general.

We then take the next relator and remove the exponent of the first generator. This can be done by applying automorphisms that run the Euclidean algorithm on the first generator's exponent sum and the exponent sum of some generator that now occurs with zero exponent sum in the first relator. This way, the exponent sum of the first generator in the first relator word will not change. Repeating this procedure, we can produce a good presentation on a general BP group. Note that it is in fact essential that G be a BP group.

Note that the composition of two polynomials is again a polynomial. Thus, we obtain:

Theorem 5.1. *There is an automorphism of the free group α and a polynomial p depending only on the rank of G and the number of relators of G*

such that $\alpha(w)$ has zero exponent sum in one fixed generator for all relator words w , and such that $\ell(\alpha(w)) \leq p(\ell(w))$ for all such w .

REFERENCES

- [A] Miklós Abért. On the probability of satisfying a word in a group. *J. Group Theory* 9 (2006), no. 5, pp. 685–694.
- [BP] Benjamin Baumslag and Stephen J. Pride. Groups with two more generators than relators. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 17 (1978), no. 3, 425–426.
- [LS] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. *Combinatorial Group Theory. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, volume 89. Springer-Verlag, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD ST., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

E-mail address: koberda@math.harvard.edu