

# ON THE BETTI NUMBERS OF A LOOP SPACE

SAMSON SANEBLIDZE

*To Tornike Kadeishvili and Mamuka Jibladze*

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  be a special homotopy  $G$ -algebra over a commutative unital ring  $\mathbb{k}$  such that both  $H(A)$  and  $\text{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{k}$ -modules for all  $i$ , and let  $\tau_i(A)$  be the cardinality of a minimal generating set for the  $\mathbb{k}$ -module  $\text{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ . Then the set  $\{\tau_i(A)\}$  is unbounded if and only if  $\tilde{H}(A)$  has two or more algebra generators. When  $A = C^*(X; \mathbb{k})$  is the simplicial cochain complex of a simply connected finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$ , there is a similar statement for the "Betti numbers" of the loop space  $\Omega X$ . This unifies existing proofs over a field  $\mathbb{k}$  of zero or positive characteristic.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $Y$  be a topological space, let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a commutative ring with identity, and assume that the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -cohomology group  $H^i(Y; \mathbb{k})$  of  $Y$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{k}$ -module. We refer to the cardinality of a minimal generating set of  $H^i(Y; \mathbb{k})$ , denoted by  $\beta_i(Y)$ , as the *generalized  $i^{\text{th}}$ -Betti number of  $Y$* .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a simply connected space. If  $H^*(X; \mathbb{k})$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{k}$ -module and  $H^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{k})$  has finite type, then the set of generalized  $i^{\text{th}}$ -Betti numbers  $\{\beta_i(\Omega X; \mathbb{k})\}$  is unbounded if and only if  $\tilde{H}^*(X; \mathbb{k})$  has at least two algebra generators.*

Theorem 1 was proved by Sullivan [11] over fields of characteristic zero and by McCleary [8] over fields of positive characteristic. However, Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following more general algebraic fact: Let  $A' = \{A'^i\}$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , with  $A'^0 = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $A'^1 = 0$ , be a torsion free graded abelian group endowed with a homotopy  $G$ -algebra (hga) structure. Then for  $A = A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$  we have the following theorem whose proof appears in Section 4:

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $H^*(A)$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{k}$ -module and that  $\text{Tor}_*^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$  has finite type. Let  $\tau_i(A)$  denote the cardinality of a minimal generating set of  $\text{Tor}_i^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$ . Then the set  $\{\tau_i(A)\}$  is unbounded if and only if  $\tilde{H}(A)$  has at least two algebra generators.*

Let  $C^*(X; \mathbb{k}) = C^*(\text{Sing}^1 X; \mathbb{k})/C^{>0}(\text{Sing } x; \mathbb{k})$  in which  $\text{Sing}^1 X \subset \text{Sing} X$  is the Eilenberg 1-subcomplex generated by the singular simplices that send the 1-skeleton of the standard  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n$  to the base point  $x$  of  $X$ . To deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1, set  $A = C^*(X; \mathbb{k})$ , and apply Proposition 2 below together with the filtered hga model  $(RH(A), d_h) \rightarrow A$  of  $A$  (a special case of the filtered Hirsch algebra [9]). Let  $BA$  denote the bar construction of  $A$ . When  $\tilde{H}(A)$  has at least

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P35; Secondary 55U20, 55S30.  
*Key words and phrases.* Betti numbers, loop space, filtered model.

two algebra generators, we construct two infinite sequences in the filtered model and take all possible  $\smile_1$ -products of their components to detect a submodule of  $H^*(BA)$  at least as large as the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{k}[x, y]$ .

Each of the sequences mentioned above can be thought of as generalizations of an infinite sequence ( $\infty$ -implications of its first component) introduced by Browder [1]. Indeed, this work arose after writing down these special sequences in the hga resolution of a commutative graded algebra (cga) over the integers via formulas (3.2)–(3.4) below, at which point we realized that their construction mimics that of Massey symmetric products defined by Kraines [7] (see also [9]). In general, a sequence formed from Massey symmetric products is closely related to the one obtained from  $A_\infty$ -operations in an  $A_\infty$ -algebra defined by Stasheff [10] by restricting to the same variables in question. When a differential graded algebra (dga)  $A$  is free as a  $\mathbb{k}$ -module, the sequence of  $A_\infty$ -operations on the homology  $H(A)$  was constructed by Kadeishvili [5].

I am grateful to Jim Stasheff for comments and suggestions and to the referee for comments that helped to improve the exposition.

## 2. SOME PRELIMINARIES AND CONVENTIONS

We adopt the notations and terminology of [9]. We fix a ground ring  $\mathbb{k}$  with identity, a primary example of which is the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{k}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  be the subset defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{k}} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \lambda_{\mathbb{k}} : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, \kappa \rightarrow \lambda\kappa, \text{ is injective}\}.$$

Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}$  denote the smallest integer such that  $\mu\kappa = 0$  for all  $\kappa \in \mathbb{k}$ . Thus if  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{k}$  is a field of characteristic zero).

A (positively) graded algebra  $A$  is 1-reduced if  $A^0 = \mathbb{k}$  and  $A^1 = 0$ . For a general definition of an homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra (hga)  $(A, d, \cdot, \{E_{p,q}\}_{p \geq 0, q=0,1})$  see [3], [4], [6]. The defining identities for an hga are the following: Given  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dE_{k,1}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\epsilon_{i-1}^a} E_{k,1}(a_1, \dots, da_i, \dots, a_k; b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\epsilon_k^a} E_{k,1}(a_1, \dots, a_k; db) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{\epsilon_i^a} E_{k-1,1}(a_1, \dots, a_i a_{i+1}, \dots, a_k; b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\epsilon_k^a + |a_k| |b|} E_{k-1,1}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}; b) \cdot a_k \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|a_1|} a_1 \cdot E_{k-1,1}(a_2, \dots, a_k; b), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{k,1}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b \cdot c) \\ = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{|b|(\epsilon_i^a + \epsilon_k^a)} E_{i,1}(a_1, \dots, a_i; b) \cdot E_{k-i,1}(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_k; c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = k \\ 1 \leq p \leq k+\ell}} (-1)^\epsilon E_{p,1} \left( E_{k_1, \ell_1}(a_1, \dots, a_{k_1}; b'_1), \dots, E_{k_p, \ell_p}(a_{k-k_p+1}, \dots, a_k; b'_p); c \right) \\ = E_{k,1}(a_1, \dots, a_k; E_{\ell,1}(b_1, \dots, b_\ell; c)), \\ b'_i \in \{1, b_1, \dots, b_\ell\}, \quad \epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^p (|b'_i| + 1)(\epsilon_{k_i}^a + \epsilon_k^a), \quad b'_i \neq 1, \\ \epsilon_i^a = |a_1| + \dots + |a_i| + i. \end{aligned}$$

A *morphism*  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  of hga's is a dga map  $f$  commuting with all  $E_{k,1}$ .

**Remark 1.** Note that we do not use axiom (2.3) in the sequel.

Below we review the notion of an hga resolution of a cga as a special Hirsch algebra (the existence of such a resolution is proved in [9]). Given a cga  $H$ , its hga resolution is a multiplicative resolution

$$\rho : (R^*H^*, d) \rightarrow H^*, \quad RH = T(V), \quad V = \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle,$$

endowed with an hga structure

$$E_{k,1} : RH^{\otimes k} \otimes RH \rightarrow RH, \quad k \geq 1,$$

together with a decomposition of  $V$  such that  $V^{*,*} = \mathcal{E}^{*,*} \oplus U^{*,*}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}^{*,*} = \{\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^{<0,*}\}$  is distinguished by an isomorphism of modules

$$E_{k,1} : \bigotimes_{r=1}^k R^{i_r} H^{k_r} \bigotimes V^{j,\ell} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathcal{E}_{k,1}^{s-k,t} \subset V^{k-s,t}, \quad (s,t) = \left( \sum_{r=1}^k i_r + j, \sum_{r=1}^k k_r + \ell \right).$$

Furthermore, if  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, its hga resolution  $(RH, d)$  is automatically endowed with two operations  $\cup_2$  and  $\smile_2$ . The first operation  $\cup_2$  appears because each cocycle  $a \smile_1 a \in \mathcal{E}_{1,1} \cap R^{-1}H^{2j}$ , where  $a \in R^0H^{2j}$ , is killed by some element in  $R^{-2}H^{2j}$ , denoted by  $a \cup_2 a$ . The second operation arises from the non-commutativity of  $\smile_1$ -product in the usual way, and satisfies Steenrod's formula for the  $\smile_2$ -cochain operation. These two operations are related to each other by the initial relations  $a \smile_2 a = 2a \cup_2 a$  and  $a \smile_2 b = a \cup_2 b$ ,  $a \neq b \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle = U$ . Note also that  $a \smile_2 a = a \cup_2 a = 0$  for  $a \in U$  of odd degree. In general,  $U = \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ , with an element of  $\mathcal{T}$  given by  $a_1 \cup_2 \cdots \cup_2 a_n$ ,  $a_i \in U$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . The action of the resolution differential  $d$  on elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $da_i = 0$  is

$$(2.4) \quad d(a_1 \cup_2 \cdots \cup_2 a_n) \\ = \sum_{(\mathbf{i}; \mathbf{j})} (-1)^{|a_{i_1}| + \cdots + |a_{i_k}|} (a_{i_1} \cup_2 \cdots \cup_2 a_{i_k}) \smile_1 (a_{j_1} \cup_2 \cdots \cup_2 a_{j_\ell}),$$

where we sum over all unshuffles  $(\mathbf{i}; \mathbf{j}) = (i_1 < \cdots < i_k; j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell)$  of  $\underline{n}$  with  $(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}) = (a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_\ell})$  if and only if  $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j}$  and  $\smile_1$  denotes  $E_{1,1}$ . In particular, for  $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = a = a^{\cup_2 1}$  and  $n \geq 2$  we get  $da^{\cup_2 n} = \sum_{k+\ell=n} a^{\cup_2 k} \smile_1 a^{\cup_2 \ell}$ ,  $k, \ell \geq 1$ . And in general  $d(a \smile_2 b) = nd(a \cup_2 b)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

An hga resolution  $(RH, d)$  is *minimal* if

$$d(U) \subset \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{D} + \kappa \cdot V$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^{*,*} \subset R^*H^*$  denotes the submodule of decomposables  $RH^+ \cdot RH^+$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{k}$  is non-invertible; For example,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$  when  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\kappa = 0$  when  $\mathbb{k}$  is a field.

Let  $K = \{K^j\}_{j \geq 3}$  with  $K^j = \{a \in \mathcal{V}^{-1,j} \mid da = \lambda b, \lambda \neq \pm 1, b \in \mathcal{V}^{0,j}\}$ . Note that a general form of a relation in (minimal)  $(RH, d)$  starting by variables  $v_i \in K \cup \mathcal{V}^{0,*}$  is

$$(2.5) \quad du = \sum_{s \geq 1} \lambda_s P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{r_s}) + \lambda v, \quad \lambda \neq \pm 1, \lambda_s \neq 0, r_s \geq 1,$$

$$u \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{V}^{-i,*}, \quad v \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{V}^{-i,*} \setminus K,$$

where  $P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{r_s})$  is a monomial in  $\mathcal{D}^{*,*} \subset R^*H^*$ .

Let  $A$  be an hga and let  $\rho : (RH, d) \rightarrow H$  be an hga resolution. A *filtered hga model* of  $A$  is an hga quasi-isomorphism

$$f : (RH, d_h) \rightarrow (A, d_A)$$

in which

$$d_h = d + h, \quad h = h^2 + \dots + h^r + \dots, \quad h^r : R^p H^q \rightarrow R^{p+r} H^{q-r+1}.$$

The equality  $d_h^2 = 0$  implies the sequence of equalities

$$dh^2 + h^2d = 0, \quad dh^3 + h^3d = -h^2h^2, \quad dh^4 + h^4d = -h^2h^3 - h^3h^2, \dots,$$

and  $h$  is referred to as a *perturbation of  $d$* . The map  $h^r|_{R^{-r}H} : R^{-r}H \rightarrow R^0H$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , denoted by  $h^{tr}$ , is referred to as the *transgressive* component of  $h$ . The fact that the perturbation  $h$  acts as a derivation on elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  implies  $h^{tr}|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ . For the existence of the filtered model see [9].

In the sequel,  $A'$  denotes a 1-reduced torsion free hga over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , while  $A$  denotes the tensor product hga  $A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ . Denote also  $H = H^*(A')$  and  $H_{\mathbb{k}} = H^*(A)$ . Assume  $(RH, d)$  is minimal and let  $RH_{\mathbb{k}} = RH \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ ; in particular,  $RH_{\mathbb{k}} = T(V_{\mathbb{k}})$  for  $V_{\mathbb{k}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$ . When  $\mathbb{k}$  is a field of characteristic zero,  $\rho \otimes 1 : RH_{\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k} = H_{\mathbb{k}}$  is an hga resolution of  $H_{\mathbb{k}}$ , which is *not* minimal when  $\text{Tor } H \neq 0$ . In general, given a filtered model  $(RH, d_h)$  of  $A'$ , we obtain an hga model

$$f \otimes 1 : (RH_{\mathbb{k}}, d_h \otimes 1) \rightarrow (A, d_A).$$

for  $(A, d_A)$ . Denote  $\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}} = s^{-1}(V_{\mathbb{k}}^{>0}) \oplus \mathbb{k}$  and define the differential  $\bar{d}_h$  on  $\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}}$  by the restriction of  $d + h$  to  $V_{\mathbb{k}}$  and obtain the cochain complex  $(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}}, \bar{d}_h)$ .

Since the map  $f \otimes 1$  is in particular a homology isomorphism (by the universal coefficient theorem), the following two propositions follow immediately from the results in [2] and the standard isomorphisms  $H^*(BA, d_{BA}) \approx \text{Tor}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$  and  $H^*(BC^*(X; \mathbb{k}), d_{BC}) \approx H^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{k})$ .

**Proposition 1.** *There are isomorphisms*

$$H^*(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}}, \bar{d}_h) \approx H^*(B(RH_{\mathbb{k}}), d_{B(RH_{\mathbb{k}})}) \approx H^*(BA, d_{BA}) \approx \text{Tor}^A(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}).$$

And for  $A = C^*(X; \mathbb{k})$  we obtain:

**Proposition 2.** *There are isomorphisms*

$$H^*(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}}, \bar{d}_h) \approx H^*(BC^*(X; \mathbb{k}), d_{BC}) \approx H^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{k}).$$

Given  $(RH, d)$  and  $x, c \in V$  with  $dx, dc \in \mathcal{D} + \lambda V$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ , let  $\eta_{x,c}$  denote an element of  $\mathcal{E}_{>1,1}$  such that

$$x \smile_1 c := \eta_{x,c} + x \smile_1 c$$

satisfies  $d(x \smile_1 c) \in \mathcal{D} + \lambda V$ . For example, if  $dx \in \lambda V$ , then  $\eta_{x,c} = 0$ , and if  $dx = \sum_i a_i b_i + \lambda v$  with  $da_i, db_i \in \lambda V$ , then  $\eta_{x,c} = \sum_i (-1)^{|a_i|} E_{2,1}(a_i, b_i; c)$ . In general,  $\eta_{x,c}$  can be found as follows: Let  $j : B(RH) \rightarrow \bar{R}\bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{V}$  be the canonical projection used by the proof of the first isomorphism in Proposition 1, and choose  $y \in B(RH)$  so that  $j(y) = \bar{x}$  and  $j\mu_E(y; \bar{c}) = \bar{\eta}_{x,c} + \bar{x} \smile_1 \bar{c}$ , where the product  $\mu_E : B(RH) \otimes B(RH) \rightarrow B(RH)$  is determined by the hga structure on  $RH$ .

The following proposition is simple but useful. Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{k}} \subset RH$  be a subset defined by  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{D}$  for  $\mu = 0$  and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{k}} = \{u + \lambda v \mid u \in \mathcal{D}, v \in V, \lambda \text{ is divisible by } \mu\} \quad \text{for } \mu \geq 2.$$

**Definition 1.** An element  $x \in V$  with  $d_h x \in \mathcal{D} + \lambda V$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ , is  $\lambda$ -homologous to zero, denoted by  $[\bar{x}]_\lambda = 0$ , if there are  $u, v \in V$  and  $z \in \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$d_h u = x + z + \lambda v;$$

$x$  is weakly homologous to zero when  $v = 0$  above.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $c \in V$  and  $d_h c \in \mathcal{D}_k$ . If  $d_h c$  has a summand component  $ab \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $a, b \in V$ ,  $d_h a, d_h b \in \mathcal{D}_k$ , both  $a$  and  $b$  are not weakly homologous to zero, then  $c$  is also not weakly homologous to zero.

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward using the equality  $d_h^2 = 0$ .  $\square$

In particular, for  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ , under hypotheses of the proposition if  $[\bar{a}], [\bar{b}] \neq 0$ , then  $[\bar{c}] \neq 0$  in  $H^*(\bar{V}, \bar{d}_h)$ .

Note that over a field  $\mathbb{k}$ , Proposition 3 reflects the obvious fact that  $x \in H^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{k})$  is non-zero whenever some  $x' \otimes x'' \neq 0$  in  $\Delta x = \sum x' \otimes x''$ .

### 3. FORMAL $\infty$ -IMPLICATION SEQUENCES

Let  $x$  be an element of a Hopf algebra over a finite field. In [1], W. Browder introduced the notion of  $\infty$ -implications (of an infinite sequence) associated with  $x$  in the Hopf algebra. The following can be thought of as a generalization of this: Let  $x^{\smile 1p}$  denote the (right most)  $p^{\text{th}}$ -power of  $x$  with respect to  $\smile_{\mathbf{1}}$ -product with the convention that  $x^{\smile 11} = x$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $x \in V^k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $d_h x \in \mathcal{D}_k$ . A sequence  $\mathbf{x} = \{x(i)\}_{i \geq 0}$  is a formal  $\infty$ -implication sequence (f.i.s.) of  $x$  if

- (i)  $x(0) = x$ ,  $x(i) \in V^{(i+1)k-i}$ , and  $x(i)$  is not  $\mu$ -homologous to zero for all  $i$ ;
- (ii) Either  $x(i) = x^{\smile 1(i+1)}$  or  $x(i)$  is resolved from the following relation in the filtered hga model  $(RH, d_h)$ :

$$(3.1) \quad d_h \mathbf{b}(i) = x^{\smile 1(i+1)} + z(i) + \mu' x(i), \quad \mathbf{b}(i) \in V, z(i) \in \mathcal{D}, \mu' \text{ is divisible by } \mu.$$

We are interested in the existence of an f.i.s. for an odd dimensional  $x \in V$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $x \in V$  be of odd degree with  $d_h x \in \mathcal{D}_k$  such that  $x$  is not  $\mu$ -homologous to zero. For  $\mu \geq 2$ , assume, in addition, there is no relation  $d_h u = \mu x \bmod \mathcal{D}$ , some  $u \in V$ . Then  $x$  has an f.i.s.  $\mathbf{x} = \{x(i)\}_{i \geq 0}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we have constructed  $x(i)$  for  $0 \leq i < n$ . If  $x^{\smile 1(n+1)}$  is not  $\mu$ -homologous to zero, set  $x(n) = x^{\smile 1(n+1)}$ ; otherwise, there is the relation  $d_h u = x^{\smile 1(n+1)} + z + \mu' v$  for some  $u, v \in V$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mu'$  divisible by  $\mu$ . Using (2.1)–(2.2) one can easily establish the fact that  $dx^{\smile 1(n+1)}$  contains a summand component of the form  $-\sum_{k+\ell=n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} x^{\smile 1k} x^{\smile 1\ell}$ ,  $k, \ell \geq 1$ . We have that  $v \neq 0$  in the aforementioned relation since Proposition 3 (applied for  $c = x^{\smile 1(n+1)}$  and  $a \cdot b = -\binom{n+1}{k} x^{\smile 1k} \cdot x^{\smile 1\ell}$ , some  $k$ ). Clearly,  $d_h v = -\frac{1}{\mu'} d_h (x^{\smile 1(n+1)} + z) \in \mathcal{D}$ ; Assuming  $\mu'$  to be maximal  $v$  is not  $\lambda$ -homologous to zero. Set  $x(n) = v$  and  $\mathbf{b}(n) = u$ ,  $z(n) = z$  to obtain (3.1) for  $i = n$ .  $\square$

Thus, for  $\mu = 0$  (when  $\mathbb{k}$  is a field of characteristic zero, for example)  $\mathbf{x} = \{x^{\smile_1(n+1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

**Remark 2.** 1. *The restriction on  $x$  in Proposition 4 that no relation  $d_h u = \mu x \bmod \mathcal{D}$  exists is essential. A counterexample is provided by the exceptional group  $F_4$ : Let  $A = C^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}_3)$  be the cochain complex of the classifying space  $BF_4$ . Then we have the relation  $du = 3x$  in  $(RH, d)$  corresponding to the Bockstein cohomology homomorphism  $\delta x_8 = x_9$  on  $H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}_3)$  (in the notation of [13]), but the element  $x(2)$  does not exist (see [9] for more details).*

2. *Note that if  $du = \mu x$  in Proposition 4, but  $[u][x] \neq 0 \in H_{\mathbb{k}}$ , then one can modify the proof of the proposition to show that  $x$  again has an f.i.s.  $\{x(i)\}_{i \geq 0}$ . Note that in the above example we just have  $[u][x] = 0 \in H_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = H^*(BF_4; \mathbb{Z}_3)$ .*

3. *The existence of  $\infty$ -implications of  $x$  in [1] uses both the  $\smile$ -product and the Pontrjagin product in the loop space (co)homology. In our case each component of the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is determined by item (ii) of Definition 2 in which the first case can be thought of as related to the  $\smile$ -product, and the second with the Pontrjagin product. In particular, primitivity of  $x$  required in [1] is not issue for the existence of  $\infty$ -implications of  $x$ .*

In certain cases, a given odd dimensional  $b \in V$  rises to an infinite sequence  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  with  $b = b_0$  in the hga resolution  $(RH, d)$ . These sequences are built by explicit formulas and include also the case  $du = \lambda b$ , i.e., when the hypothesis of Proposition 4 formally fails (see, for example, Case I of the proof of Proposition 5 below). Namely, we have the following cases:

(i) For  $b \in V^{0,*}$  and  $[b]^2 = 0 \in H$  (i.e., there exists  $b_1 \in V^{-1,*}$  with  $db_1 = b^2$ ; e.g.  $b_1 = ab + \frac{\lambda-1}{2}b \smile_1 b$  for  $da = \lambda b$  with  $\lambda$  odd, some  $a \in V^{-1,*}$ ),  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is given by

$$(3.2) \quad db_n = \sum_{i+j=n-1} b_i b_j$$

and satisfies the following relation with  $\mathbf{c}_i \in V$

$$d\mathbf{c}_n = -(-1)^n((n+1)b_n + b_0 \smile_1 b_{n-1}) + \sum_{i+j=n-1} (-1)^i (\mathbf{c}_j b_i - b_i \mathbf{c}_j), n \geq 1;$$

(ii) For  $b \in V^{0,*}$  and  $[b]^2 \neq 0 \in H$  (and  $b_1 = b \smile_1 b$ ),  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is given by

$$(3.3) \quad db_{2k} = \sum_{i+j=2k-1} b_i b_j, \quad db_{2k+1} = \sum_{i+j=k} (2b_{2i} b_{2j} + b_{2i-1} b_{2j+1}),$$

and satisfies the following relation with  $\mathbf{c}_i \in V$  (below  $\mathbf{c}_1 = 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{c}_{2k} &= -(2k+1)b_{2k} - b_0 \smile_1 b_{2k-1} + \sum_{i+j=k} 2(\mathbf{c}_{2j-1} b_{2i} - b_{2i} \mathbf{c}_{2j-1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i+j=k} (\mathbf{c}_{2j} b_{2i-1} - b_{2i-1} \mathbf{c}_{2j}), \\ d\mathbf{c}_{2k+1} &= (k+1)b_{2k+1} + b_0 \smile_1 b_{2k} + \sum_{i+j=2k} (-1)^i (\mathbf{c}_j b_i - b_i \mathbf{c}_j), k \geq 1; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For  $b \in V^{-1,*}$  and  $db = \mu c$ ,  $\mu \geq 2$ ,  $c \in V^{0,*}$  (below  $\omega_0 := c$ ),  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is given by

$$(3.4) \quad db_n = \sum_{i+j=n-1} b_i b_j + \mu c_n,$$

$$c_n = -\omega_0 \smile_1 b_{n-1} - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n-1 \\ i \geq 1; j \geq 0}} (-1)^i \omega_i \smile_1 b_j - (-1)^n \omega_n, \quad n \geq 1$$

and satisfies the following relation with  $\mathbf{c}_i \in V$

$$d\mathbf{c}_1 = 2b_1 + b_0 \smile_1 b_0 + \mu \omega_0 \cup_2 b_0,$$

$$d\mathbf{c}_n = -(-1)^n ((n+1)b_n + b_0 \smile_1 b_{n-1}) + \sum_{i+j=n-1} (-1)^i (\mathbf{c}_j b_i - b_i \mathbf{c}_j) + \mu \mathbf{a}_n,$$

$$\mathbf{a}_n = \sum_{i+j=n-2} (-1)^j ((\omega_i \cup_2 b_0) \smile_1 b_j + \omega_i \smile_1 \mathbf{c}_{j+1}) + \omega_{n-1} \cup_2 b_0,$$

$$d\omega_k = \sum_{i+j=k-1} \mu \omega_i \smile_1 \omega_j, \quad \omega_k = \mu^k \omega_0^{\cup_2(k+1)}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

For example, in view of Proposition 2, the formulas above are enough to calculate the loop space cohomology algebra with coefficients in  $\mathbb{k}$  for Moore spaces, i.e., the  $CW$ -complexes obtained by attaching an  $(n+1)$ -cell to the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  by a map  $S^n \rightarrow S^n$  of degree  $\mu$ .

**3.1. Odd dimensional element  $l(a)$ .** Given  $m \geq 2$ , let  $H(A)$  be finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{k}$ -module with  $H^i(A) = 0$  for  $i > m$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}$  be the subset of  $RH$  defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{k}} = \mathcal{Z}'_{\mathbb{k}} + \mathcal{Z}''_{\mathbb{k}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{k}},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathbb{k}} = \{v \in V \mid du = \lambda v, \quad u \in V, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}''_{\mathbb{k}} = \{v \in V \mid v = \lambda u, \quad u \in V, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}\}.$$

Given  $x \in V$  with  $d_h x = w \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{k}}$ ,  $w = w' + w'' + z$ , define

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{l.c.m.(\lambda'; \mu)}{\lambda'} (\lambda' x - u), \quad du = \lambda' w', \quad w'' = \lambda'' v'',$$

to obtain  $d_h \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{k}}$ .

Regarding (2.5), define also the following subsets  $K_{\mu}^*, K_0^* \subset \mathcal{V}^{-1,*}$  with  $K_{\mu}^* \subset K^*$  as

$$K_{\mu} = \{a \in K \mid \lambda \text{ is divisible by } \mu\},$$

$$K_0 = \{u \in \mathcal{V}^{-1,*} \setminus \mathcal{E} \mid du \in \mathcal{D}^{0,*}\},$$

and assign to a given even dimensional element  $a \in V^{0,*} \cup K_{\mu}$  an odd dimensional element  $l(a) \in V$  with  $dl(a) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{k}}$  as follows. If  $a \in V^{0,*}$ , let  $l(a) \in K_0$  be an element such that  $dl(a) = a^k$ , where  $k \geq 2$  is chosen to be the smallest. If  $a \in K_{\mu}$  with  $da = \lambda b$  consider the relation

$$(3.5) \quad du_1 = -a^2 + \lambda v_1, \quad dv_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} d(a^2), \quad u_1 \in V^{-3,*}, \quad v_1 \in V^{-2,*},$$

and the perturbation  $hu_1 = h^2u_1 + h^3u_1$ . When  $hu_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_k$ , set  $l(a) = \tilde{u}_1$ , while when  $h^3u_1 \notin \mathcal{Z}_k$ , consider  $\mathbf{u}_1 = h^3u_1|_{V^{0,*}}$ , the component of  $h^3u_1$  in  $V^{0,*}$ , and define  $l(a)$  as  $l(\mathbf{u}_1)$ . When  $h^2u_1 \notin \mathcal{Z}_k$ , and  $h^3u_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_k$ , choose the smallest  $n > 1$  such that there is the relation

$$(3.6) \quad du_n = -ah^2u_{n-1} + \lambda v_n, \quad dv_n = \frac{1}{\lambda}d(ah^2u_{n-1}), \quad u_n \in V^{-3,*}, v_n \in V^{-2,*},$$

with  $h^2u_n \in \mathcal{Z}_k$ .

(The inequality  $(n+1)|a| > m$  guarantees the existence of such a relation, since  $h^2u_i \in \mathcal{D} + K_\mu$ , while  $K_\mu^j = 0$  for  $j > m$  in the minimal  $V \subset RH$ .) Then set  $l(a) = \tilde{u}_n$  for  $h^3u_n \in \mathcal{Z}_k$ ; otherwise, define  $l(a)$  as  $l(\mathbf{u}_n)$  for  $\mathbf{u}_n = h^3u_n|_{V^{0,*}}$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The proof of the theorem relies on the two basic propositions below in which the condition that  $\tilde{H}(A)$  has at least two algebra generators is treated in two specific cases.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $H_k$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -module with  $\mu \geq 2$ . If  $\tilde{H}_k$  has at least two algebra generators and  $\tilde{H}_Q$  is either trivial or has a single algebra generator, there are two sequences of odd degree elements  $\mathbf{x}_k = \{x(i)\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_k = \{y(j)\}_{j \geq 0}$  in  $V_k$  whose degrees form arithmetic progressions such that all  $\bar{x}(i)$ ,  $\bar{y}(j)$  are  $\bar{d}_h$ -cocycles in  $\bar{V}_k$  and the classes  $\{[s^{-1}(x(i) \smile_1 y(j))]\}_{i,j \geq 0}$  are linearly independent in  $H(\bar{V}_k, \bar{d}_h)$ .*

*Proof.* The hypotheses of the proposition imply that  $K_\mu$  defined in subsection 3.1 above is non-empty; also by the restriction on  $\tilde{H}_Q$ , relation (2.5) reduces to

$$da = \lambda b^m, \quad \lambda \neq 0, m \geq 1, (\lambda, m) \neq (1, 1), b \in \mathcal{V}^{0,*}$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{V}^{-1,*}$  to be of the smallest degree.

In the three cases below, we exhibit two odd dimensional elements  $x, y \in V \setminus \mathcal{E}$  that fail to be  $\mu$ -homologous to zero.

Case I. Let  $a \in K_\mu$  be of the smallest degree in  $K_\mu \cup K_0$  with  $da = \lambda b$  and let  $|a|$  be even. Consider the element  $l(a)$ . If it is not  $\lambda$ -homologous to zero, set  $x = l(a)$ ; otherwise, we must have relation (2.5) in which  $v_i = a$  for some  $i$  and  $hu \in \mathcal{Z}_k$  with  $|u| < |l(a)|$ ,  $u \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{V}^{-i,*} \setminus \mathcal{E}$ . By (2.5) choose  $u$  to be of the smallest degree with  $hu \in \mathcal{Z}_k$ ,  $u \neq u_i, a_1$ , where  $u_i$  is given by (3.5)–(3.6) and  $da_1 = -ab + \lambda b_1$ ,  $db_1 = b^2$ . Set  $x = \tilde{u}$  for  $|u|$  odd. If  $|u|$  is even and  $u \in \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{V}^{-i,*} \setminus \mathcal{E}$  set  $x = \tilde{v}$ ; if  $u \in K_0$  and  $du$  contains an odd dimensional  $v_i \in V^{0,*}$  with  $[v_i] \neq 0 \in H_Q$ , set  $x = v_i$ ; otherwise, for each monomial  $P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{r_s})$  choose a variable  $v_i$  with a relation  $du_i = \mu_i v_i$  (for example, we can choose  $v_i$  to be odd dimensional for all  $s$ ). Let  $\lambda$  be the smallest integer divisible by all  $\mu_i$ , and replace  $v_i$  by  $\frac{\lambda}{\mu_i} u_i$  to detect a new relation in  $(RH, d)$  given again by (2.5):

$$dw = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} \frac{\lambda_s \lambda}{\mu_i} P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, u_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{r_s}) + \lambda u, \quad \lambda_s \in \mathbb{Z}_k, w \in \mathcal{V}^{-2,*}.$$

Hence,  $|w|$  is odd, and set  $x = \tilde{w}$  for  $h^2w \in \mathcal{Z}_k$ . If  $h^2w \notin \mathcal{Z}_k$  we have the following two subcases:

(i1) Assume there exists  $v \in K_\mu$  with  $dv = \lambda h^2w$ . If  $[\bar{v}]_\lambda \neq 0$ , set  $x = v$ ; otherwise we have a relation  $d_h u' = v + z + \lambda' v'$ , some  $u', v' \in V, z \in \mathcal{D}$ . Clearly,



$h^{tr}v' = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}h^2w \pmod{\mathcal{D}}$ , and set  $x = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}w + v'$ . Note that  $x$  is not  $\lambda$ -homologous to zero since the component  $\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda'}u$  in  $dx$ .

(i2) Assume  $[h^2w] \neq 0 \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . When  $r_s > 1$  for all  $s$ , choose a variable  $v_j$  different from  $v_i$  in  $P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{r_s})$  to form  $w'$  entirely analogously to  $w$ , and then find  $x$  similarly to the above unless  $[h^2w'] \neq 0 \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , in which case set  $x = \alpha w + \beta w'$ , some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . When  $\mathbf{k} = \{s \in \underline{n} \mid r_s = 1 \text{ in } du\} \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{r_s}) = v_1^{2m_1+1} := v_s^{2m_s+1}$ ,  $m_s \geq 1$ ,  $|v_s|$  is odd for  $s \in \mathbf{k}$  (in particular,  $\mu_s$  is even, since  $[v_s]^2 = 0 \in H$  for  $\mu_s$  odd; c.f. (3.2)), then

$$du' = \begin{cases} \sum_{s \in \mathbf{k}} \frac{\lambda_s \lambda}{2} (v_s \smile_1 v_s) v_s^{2m_s-1} \\ + \sum_{s \in \underline{n} \setminus \mathbf{k}} \frac{\lambda_s \lambda}{\mu_j} P_s(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, u_j, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_{r_s}) + \lambda u, & \mathbf{k} \neq \underline{n}, \\ \sum_{s \in \underline{n}} \lambda_s (v_s \smile_1 v_s) v_s^{2m_s-1} + 2u, & \mathbf{k} = \underline{n} \end{cases}$$

with  $u' \in \mathcal{V}^{-2,*}$ , and by considering  $h^2u'$  we find  $x$  as in item (i1).

To find  $y$ , consider  $b$  and the associated sequence  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_i\}$  given by (3.2) or (3.3). If  $hb_i \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}$  for all  $i$ , set  $y = b$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \{\tilde{b}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ . If  $hb \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}$ , consider the smallest  $p > 0$  such that  $h^{tr}b_p \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Consider  $t_p = h^{tr}b_p|_{V^{0,*}}$ , and if  $[\overline{l(t_p)}]_{\lambda} \neq 0$ , set  $y = l(t_p)$ ; if  $[\overline{l(t_p)}]_{\lambda} = 0$  and  $\alpha h^3u_i + \beta h^{tr}b_p = 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for some  $u_i$  from (3.5)–(3.6), set  $y = \alpha u_i + \beta b_p$ ; otherwise, we obtain  $l(t_p) \in K_0$  different from  $l(a)$  above; consequently, we must have another relation in  $(RH, d)$  given by (2.5) in which  $v_i = t_p$  for some  $i$  and  $hu \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}$  with  $|u| < |l(t_p)|$ , and then  $y$  is found similarly to  $x$ .

Case II. Let  $a \in K_{\mu}$  be of the smallest degree in  $K_{\mu} \cup K_0$  with  $da = \lambda b$  and let  $|a|$  be odd. Set  $x = a$ . Consider  $l(b) \in K_0$ , and then  $y$  is found as in Case I.

Case III. Let  $a \in K_0$  be of smallest degree in  $K_{\mu} \cup K_0$  with  $da = \lambda b^m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , and  $[b] \neq 0 \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Set

$$x = \begin{cases} b, & |b| \text{ is odd} \\ a, & |b| \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

To find  $y$  consider the following two subcases:

(i) Assume  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}$ . When both  $|a|$  and  $|b|$  are odd, set  $y = a$ ; otherwise, either  $|a|$  or  $|b|$  is even, in which case consider  $l(\bar{a})$  or  $l(b)$  respectively, and then  $y$  is found as in Case I.

(ii) Assume  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Since  $K_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$ , this subcase reduces either to Case I or to Case II.

Finally, having found the elements  $x$  and  $y$  in Cases I–III, consider the f.i.s.  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in  $V$  and the induced sequences  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = \{x(i)\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} = \{y(j)\}_{j \geq 0}$  in  $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Then the both sequences  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  consist of  $d_h$ -cocycles in  $\bar{V}_{\mathbf{k}}$  whose degrees form an arithmetic progression respectively. Thus, we obtain that  $[\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{k}}], [\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathbf{k}}] \subset H(\bar{V}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{d}_h)$  are sequences of non-trivial classes. Moreover, they are linearly independent and  $\{[s^{-1}(x(i) \smile_1 y(j))]\}_{i,j \geq 0}$  is the sequence of linearly independent classes in  $H(\bar{V}_{\mathbf{k}}, \bar{d}_h)$  as required.  $\square$

Before proving the second basic proposition we need the following auxiliary statement. Given a cochain complex  $(C^*, d)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $S_C(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} C^n) T^n$  and  $S_{H(C)}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^n(C)) T^n$  be the Poincaré series. As usual, we write

$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n T^n$  if and only if  $a_n \leq b_n$ . The following proposition can be thought of as a modification of Propositions 3 and 4 in [12] for the non-commutative case.

**Proposition 6.** *Given an element  $y \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of total degree  $K_{\mu} \geq 2$  such that  $\bar{d}_h(\bar{y}) = 0$ , let  $y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a subcomplex (additively) generated by the expressions  $\{\bar{y} = s^{-1}y, s^{-1}(y \smile_1 v)\}_{v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Then*

$$(4.1) \quad S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T) \leq (1 + T^{k-1})S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T).$$

*Proof.* Consider the inclusion of cochain complexes  $s^k \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\iota} \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined for  $1 \in \mathbb{Q} = (s^k \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})^k$  by  $\iota(1) = \bar{y}$ , and for  $s^k(\bar{v}) \in (s^k \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{>k}$ ,  $v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{>1}$ , by  $\iota(s^k(\bar{v})) = s^{-1}(y \smile_1 v)$ . Then  $\iota(s^k \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and there is the short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow s^k \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\iota} \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the induced long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{n-k}(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{H^n(\iota)} H^n(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H^n(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H^{n-k+1}(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Let  $I = \bigoplus I_n$ , where  $I_n = \text{Im}(H^n(\iota))$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and form the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow H^n(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H^n(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H^{n-k+1}(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow I_{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $I_0 = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} I_n + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} I_{n+1}) T^n = \frac{(1+T)S_I(T)}{T}.$$

Now apply the Euler-Poincaré lemma for the above exact sequence to obtain the equality

$$\frac{(1+T)S_I(T)}{T} - S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T) + S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T) - T^{k-1}S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/y\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T) = (1 + T^{k-1})S_{H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T) - \frac{(1+T)S_I(T)}{T},$$

and since  $S_I(T) \geq 0$ , we get (4.1) as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $H_{\mathbb{k}}$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{k}$ -module. If  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  has at least two algebra generators and  $A_{\mathbb{Q}} = A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ , the set  $\{\tau_i(A_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Tor}_i^{A_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})\}$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Consider the first two generators  $a_i \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-1,*}$  with  $da_i \in \mathcal{D}^{0,*}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We have two cases:

(i) Both  $|a_1|$  and  $|a_2|$  are odd. Set  $x = a_1$  and  $y = a_2$ . Then both  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are  $\bar{d}_h$ -cocycles and the classes  $[\bar{x}]$  and  $[\bar{y}]$  are non-trivial in  $H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \bar{d}_h)$ . Consequently, the classes

$$(4.2) \quad \{[s^{-1}(x \smile_1^{i-1} y \smile_1^{j-1})]\}_{i,j \geq 1}$$

are linearly independent in  $H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \bar{d}_h)$ .

(ii) Either  $|a_1|$  or  $|a_2|$  is even. Denote the (smallest) even dimensional generator by  $a$  and consider  $da$ . Then for  $a$ , (2.5) reduces to

$$da = uv, \quad u \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{0,2k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in R^0 H_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2\ell}, \quad \text{some } k, \ell \geq 1.$$

There are the following induced relations in  $(RH_{\mathbb{Q}}, d)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} db &= -u(a + u \smile_1 v) - au, & b &\in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-2, 2(2k+\ell+1)} \quad \text{and} \\ dc &= -u(v \smile_1 a + (u \cup_2 v)v + u(v \cup_2 v)) - a^2 + bv, & c &\in V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-3, 4(k+\ell)+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $hc = h^2c + h^3c$ , and in particular,  $dh^2c = h^2b \cdot v$ . Consider the following two cases:

(1) Assume  $hc \in \mathcal{D}$ . Set  $x = u$ ,  $y = c$ , and obtain linearly independent classes in  $H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \bar{d}_h)$  by formula (4.2).

(2) Assume  $hc \notin \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $(\bar{W}, \bar{d}_W) = (\bar{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}/\bar{C}, \bar{d}_W)$ , where  $C \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a subcomplex (additively) generated by the expressions  $hc$  and  $hc \smile_1 z$  for  $z \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Define  $x$  and  $y$  as the projections of the elements  $u$  and  $c$  from  $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$  under the quotient map  $V_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{Q}}/C$ , respectively. Then  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are  $\bar{d}_W$ -cocycles in  $\bar{W}$ . Once again apply formula (4.2) to obtain linearly independent classes in  $H(\bar{W}, \bar{d}_W)$ . Finally, Proposition 6 implies that  $S_{H(\bar{W})}(T) \leq S_{H(V_{\mathbb{Q}})}(T)$ , and an application of Proposition 1 completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.** In view of Proposition 1, the proof reduces to the examination of the  $\mathbb{k}$ -module  $H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}}, \bar{d}_h)$ . If  $\bar{H}_{\mathbb{k}}$  has a single algebra generator  $a$ , then the set  $\{\tau_i(A)\}$  is bounded since  $\tau_i(A) = 1$ . For example, this can be seen from the fact that  $H(\bar{V}_{\mathbb{k}}, \bar{d}_h)$  is generated by a single sequence induced by (3.2) or by (3.3), where  $x = a$  or  $x = l(a)$  for  $|a|$  odd or even respectively, and by  $\smile_1$ -products of its components. If  $\bar{H}_{\mathbb{k}}$  has at least two algebra generators, then the proof follows from Propositions 5 and 7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Browder, Torsion in  $H$ -spaces, *Ann. Math.*, 74 (1961), 24-51.
- [2] Y. Felix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, Adams' cobar equivalence, *Trans. AMS*, 329 (1992), 531-549.
- [3] M. Gerstenhaber and A.A. Voronov, Higher operations on the Hochschild complex, *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, 29 (1995), 1-5.
- [4] E. Getzler and J.D.S. Jones, Operads, homotopy algebra, and iterated integrals for double loop spaces, preprint (1995).
- [5] T. Kadeishvili, On the homology theory of fibre spaces, *Russian Math. Survey*, 35 (1980), 131-138.
- [6] T. Kadeishvili and S. Saneblidze, A cubical model of a fibration, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra*, 196 (2005), 203-228.
- [7] D. Kraines, Massey higher products, *Trans. AMS*, 124 (1966), 431-449.
- [8] J. McCleary, On the mod  $p$  Betti numbers of loop spaces, *Invent. Math.*, 87 (1987), 643-654.
- [9] S. Saneblidze, Filtered Hirsch algebras, preprint math.AT/0707.2165.
- [10] J. D. Stasheff, Homotopy Associativity of  $H$ -spaces I, II, *Trans. AMS*, 108 (1963), 275-312.
- [11] D. Sullivan, Differential forms and the topology of manifolds, *Manifolds-Tokyo 1973*, ed. Akio Hattori, Tokyo Univ. Press, 37-51.
- [12] M. Vigué-Poirrier and D. Sullivan, The homology theory of the closed geodesic problem, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 11 (1976), 633-644.
- [13] H. Toda, Cohomology mod 3 of the classifying space  $BF_4$  of the exceptional group  $F_4$ , *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 13-1 (1973), 97-115.

A. RAZMADZE MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY, M. ALEKSIDZE ST., 1, 0193 TBILISI, GEORGIA  
*E-mail address:* sane@rmi.acnet.ge