

LIMIT THEOREMS FOR SOME CONTINUOUS TIME RANDOM WALKS

M. JARA AND T.KOMOROWSKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the scaled limit of a continuous time random walk (CTRW) based on a Markov chain $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ and two observables $\tau(\cdot)$ and $V(\cdot)$ corresponding to the renewal times and jump sizes. Assuming that these observables belong to the domains of attraction of some stable laws we give sufficient conditions on the chain that guarantee the existence of the scaled limits for CTRW. An application of the results to a process that arises in quantum transport theory is provided. The results obtained in this paper generalize earlier results contained in [2, 21] and recent results of [15, 19], where $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ has been a sequence of i.i.d. random variables.

1. INTRODUCTION

Continuous time random walk (CTRW) has been introduced in [22] and finds its applications in modeling of various phenomena, e.g. in anomalous transport (see e.g. [8, 13, 25, 26, 28]) mathematical finance ([12, 20]), or in hydrology ([3, 4]) to mention just few examples. It can be described as a random walk subordinated to a renewal process. More precisely, suppose that (E, d) is a Polish space with \mathcal{E} the σ -algebra of its Borel subsets and $\tau : E \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $V : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two measurable functions and $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain with an initial distribution π that is stationary. Suppose also that $t_0 := 0$, $t_N := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau(X_k)$, $N \geq 1$ are the renewal times. Particle jumps are given by $V(X_k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Let $S_0 := 0$ and $S_N := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} V(X_k)$. For $t \geq 0$ let $n(t) := \max\{N \geq 0 : t_N \leq t\}$. We define a stochastic process describing the trajectory of the particle performing a *continuous time random walk* by $W(t) := S_{n(t)}$, $t \geq 0$. We are concerned in describing the limiting behavior of scaled processes $\{N^{-\gamma}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$, for an appropriate $\gamma > 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. In case when $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables this problem has been investigated in [2]. In [21] the result is generalized to the case of triangular arrays with rowwise independent random variables.. From Theorem 3.1 of [2] and Theorem 2.1 of [21] it follows in particular that if $\{(N^{-1/\beta}S_{[Nt]}, N^{-1/\alpha}t_{[Nt]}), t \geq 0\}$ converge in law over $D([0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^2)$, with the J_1 -topology, to a Lévy process $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$, whose components have no common jumps then $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ converges in law over $D[0, +\infty)$ with the M_1 topology to

$$\zeta_s := S_{T_s^{-1}}, s \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

Date: November 19, 2018, version 3.

Work of T. K. has been partially supported by Polish MNiSW grant NN 201419139 and by EC FP6 Marie Curie ToK programme SPADE2, MTKD-CT-2004-014508 and Polish MNiSW SPB-M.

where $T_s^{-1} := \inf\{t : T_t > s\}$ (the first passage time) is the right inverse of the α -stable subordinator $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$.

When common jumps of the components of $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ are admitted with positive probability the situation is more delicate and only some partial results concerning convergence are available. In Theorem 3.4 of [2] it has been shown that one dimensional statistics of $N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt)$ weakly converge to the law of ζ_t .

In this paper we formulate sufficient conditions for a Markov chain $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$, see Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 below, that guarantee the convergence in law of $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$. As for the hypotheses made about the Markov chain we assume that measure π satisfies *the spectral gap estimate*, see Condition 2.1. Moreover transition probabilities satisfy some additional regularity assumptions see Conditions 2.2 and 2.4. It has been shown in [18] that under such conditions both $\{N^{-1/\beta}S_{[Nt]}, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{N^{-1/\alpha}t_{[Nt]}, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law over $D([0, +\infty))$, with the J_1 topology, to respective Lévy processes. We strengthen this result and obtain the joint convergence in law of two dimensional processes $\{(N^{-1/\beta}S_{[Nt]}, N^{-1/\alpha}t_{[Nt]}), t \geq 0\}$ to a respective Lévy process, see Theorems 2.5–2.7. We give a sufficient condition, formulated in terms of the joint law of $(V(x), \tau(x))$ under π (see (2.19)), which precludes the possibility of jumps of the limiting Lévy process occurring simultaneously with positive probability. The above plus an argument from [2] yield the convergence of $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$. Furthermore, we prove that when the joint law of $(V(x), \tau(x))$ under π is such that the jumps of the limiting Lévy process have to occur simultaneously a.s., see condition (2.22), then the convergence of CTRW still holds. This is achieved by a careful analysis of the convergence of the right inverses of $\{N^{-1/\alpha}t_{[Nt]}, t \geq 0\}$. We prove that the convergence of these processes holds in a sense that allows us to control the size of the respective plateaus, see Lemma 3.3. This, in turn, suffices to prove the convergence of the relevant CTRW. The limiting process is $\{\zeta_s, s \geq 0\}$, when no common jumps are allowed for the limit $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$, see Theorem 2.8, or $\{\zeta_s^- := S_{T_s^{-1}-}, s \geq 0\}$, see the definition of the process given in (2.25) and Theorem 2.9 below. This result is in agreement with the results obtained in the i.i.d. case in [15] and [19].

Having in mind possible applications we formulate the result for a counterpart of CTRW that arises when $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}S(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ is replaced by a process obtained by linear interpolation of its nodal points. Finally, we apply our results to describe the limiting behavior of a jump process $\{K_s, s \geq 0\}$ on a one dimensional torus that arises in quantum transport theory, see (4.1) below. This process is the projection onto a 0-fiber of the solution of a translation invariant *Lindblad equation*. It possesses a unique σ -finite invariant measure, absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, see Proposition 4.1 below. The dynamics of the process is completely mixing and its one dimensional statistics converges to a mixture of delta type measures supported on the set $[\tau = +\infty]$, see Theorem 4.2. As an application of Theorem 2.8 we conclude also, see Corollary 4.4, the convergence in law of additive functionals of the type $N^{-\alpha/\beta} \int_0^{Nt} V_0(K_s) ds$. In the particular case considered in [8] the torus is the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ whose endpoints are identified, $\tau(-k) = \tau(k)$ and $\tau(k) \sim |k \pm \pi/2|^{-2}$, as $|k \pm \pi/2| \ll 1$, so $\alpha = 1/2$. We assume that V_0 is odd, i.e. $V_0(-k) = -V_0(k)$. In addition we suppose that either $V_0(k) \sim |k \pm \pi/2|$, as $|k \pm \pi/2| \ll 1$,

and V_0 is bounded otherwise, or $V_0(k) \sim |k \pm \pi/2|^\gamma$, as $|k \pm \pi/2| \ll 1$ for some $\gamma > 1$, and $V_0(k) \sim |k \pm k_0|^{-1}$ for some $k_0 \notin \{-\pi/2, \pi/2\}$. The law of $V(k, \tau) := V_0(k)\tau$ belongs then to the normal domain of attraction of the Cauchy law, so $\beta = 1/2$. We conclude therefore that the scaling properties of the limiting process are the same as those of the Brownian motion. We call such a process a *fake diffusion*.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their thanks to an anonymous referee of the previous version of this manuscript for pointing out that the result concerning the case when the limiting process admits common jumps has been erroneously formulated.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENTS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

2.1. A Markov chain. Let (E, d) be a Polish metric space and let \mathcal{E} be its Borel σ -algebra. Assume that $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain with state space E and π - the law of X_0 - is *invariant* and *ergodic* for the chain. We suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

Condition 2.1. *Spectral gap condition:*

$$\sup[\|Pf\|_{L^2(\pi)} : f \perp 1, \|f\|_{L^2(\pi)} = 1] = a < 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Since P is also a contraction in $L^1(\pi)$ and $L^\infty(\pi)$ we conclude, via Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, that for any $p \in [1, +\infty)$:

$$\|Pf\|_{L^p(\pi)} \leq a^{1-|2/p-1|} \|f\|_{L^p(\pi)}, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $f \in L^p(\pi)$, such that $\int f d\pi = 0$.

We suppose also that the absolute continuous part of the transition probability function has some regularity property. Namely, we assume that:

Condition 2.2. *There exist a measurable family of Borel measures $Q(x, dy)$ and a measurable, non-negative function $p(x, y)$ such that*

$$P(x, dy) = P_a(x, dy) + Q(x, dy), \quad \text{for all } x \in E, \quad (2.3)$$

where $P_a(x, dy) := p(x, y)\pi(dy)$ and

$$C(2) := \sup_{y \in E} \int p^2(x, y)\pi(dx) < +\infty \quad (2.4)$$

A simple consequence of (2.3) and the fact that π is invariant is that

$$\int p(x, y)\pi(dy) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int p(y, x)\pi(dy) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in E. \quad (2.5)$$

Another consequence of condition (2.4) is that P extends to a bounded operator from $L^1(\pi)$ to $L^2(\pi)$.

2.2. The renewal process. Suppose that $\tau : E \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is measurable over (E, \mathcal{E}) and satisfies:

Condition 2.3. *There exist $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $c_\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^\alpha \pi(\tau \geq \lambda) = c_\alpha \quad (2.6)$$

and there exists $t_* > 0$ such that

$$\tau(x) \geq t_* > 0, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

This condition is assumed in order to avoid the issue of explosions or accumulation points. Furthermore, we suppose that the tails of τ under the singular part are controlled by those corresponding to the absolutely continuous part uniformly with respect to the initial state, i.e.

Condition 2.4.

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0, x \in E} \frac{Q(x, [\tau \geq \lambda])}{P_a(x, [\tau \geq \lambda])} < +\infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a Markov chain as in the previous section, $t_0 := 0$ and

$$t_N := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau(X_k), \quad \text{for } N \geq 1. \quad (2.8)$$

For a given $t > 0$ define $n(t)$ as the unique (random) integer that satisfies the following condition

$$t \in [t_{n(t)}, t_{n(t)+1}). \quad (2.9)$$

2.3. An observable and the CRTW process. Suppose now that $V : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable. Let $S_0 := 0$

$$S_N := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} V(X_k), \quad \text{for } N \geq 1. \quad (2.10)$$

We shall assume that either

$$V \in L^2(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \int V d\pi = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

or in case V does not belong to $L^2(\pi)$ we assume that there exist $\beta \in (0, 2)$ and two nonnegative constants c_β^+, c_β^- satisfying $c_\beta^+ + c_\beta^- > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(V \geq \lambda) &= \frac{c_\beta^+}{\lambda^\beta} (1 + o(1)), \\ \pi(V \leq -\lambda) &= \frac{c_\beta^-}{\lambda^\beta} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Furthermore V is supposed to be centered when $\beta \in (1, 2)$. In analogy to condition (2.7) we assume that the tails of V under the singular part of $P(x, \cdot)$ are controlled by those of the absolutely continuous part, i.e.

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0, x \in E} \frac{Q(x, [|V| \geq \lambda])}{P_a(x, [|V| \geq \lambda])} < +\infty. \quad (2.13)$$

We define the continuous time random walk (CTRW) process $W(t) := S_{n(t)}$, $t \geq 0$. Its trajectories belong to the space of càdlàg functions $D[0, +\infty)$. To abbreviate we shall denote this space by \mathcal{D} in what follows. Define also the piecewise linear counterpart of CTRW by

$$\widehat{W}(t) := S_{n(t)} + \frac{t - t_{n(t)}}{t_{n(t)+1} - t_{n(t)}} V(X_{n(t)}) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_{n(t)}, t_{n(t)+1}).$$

In our subsequent notation we write $\mathcal{C} := C[0, +\infty)$.

2.4. Convergence to a Lévy process. The results presented in this section extend those of [18] to the case of two dimensional Markov chains. They can be proved using quite similar arguments. For the convenience of a reader we present the main points of the respective proofs in Appendices A and B.

Suppose that the hypotheses made in Sections 2.1 – 2.2 hold and K_N is an increasing sequence converging to infinity. Our immediate concern is the question of the convergence of joint processes $\{(S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Here $T_t^{(N)} := K_N^{-1/\alpha} t_{[K_N t]}$ and $S_t^{(N)} := K_N^{-1/\beta} S_{[K_N t]}$, when $\beta \neq 1$ and

$$S_t^{(N)} := K_N^{-1} S_{[K_N t]} - v_N t, \quad (2.14)$$

when $\beta = 1$ and $v_N := \int V 1_{|V| \leq K_N} d\pi$. Let

$$\psi_\beta(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_\beta(\xi, \lambda) \nu_\beta(d\lambda), \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$e_\beta(\xi, \lambda) := \begin{cases} e^{i\lambda\xi} - 1, & \beta \in (0, 1), \\ e^{i\xi\lambda} - 1 - i\xi\lambda 1_{[-1,1]}(\lambda), & \beta = 1, \\ e^{i\lambda\xi} - 1 - i\lambda\xi, & \beta \in (1, 2) \end{cases}$$

and $\nu_\beta(d\lambda) := \beta c_\beta(\lambda) |\lambda|^{-1-\beta} d\lambda$. Here $c_\beta(\lambda)$ equals c_β^+ for $\lambda > 0$ and c_β^- for $\lambda < 0$. Consider a Lévy process $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ given by $\mathbb{E} e^{i\xi_1 S_t + i\xi_2 T_t} = e^{t\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) &:= \psi_\beta(\xi_1) + \psi_\alpha(\xi_2) \\ &= \int e_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2), \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where the coefficients c_α^+, c_α^- appearing in the respective definition of $\psi_\alpha(\cdot)$ are equal then to c_α (see (2.6)) and 0 respectively,

$$e_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \begin{cases} e^{i(\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2)} - 1, & \beta \in (0, 1), \\ e^{i(\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2)} - 1 - i\xi_1 \lambda_1 1_{[-1,1]}(\lambda_1), & \beta = 1, \\ e^{i(\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2)} - 1 - i\lambda_1 \xi_1, & \beta \in (1, 2) \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2) := \nu_\beta(d\lambda_1)\delta_0(d\lambda_2) + \delta_0(d\lambda_1)\nu_\alpha(d\lambda_2). \quad (2.18)$$

In our first result we adopt a hypothesis that $\tau(x)$ and $|V(x)|$ cannot be large together. Namely, we assume that

$$\pi[\tau \geq \lambda, |V| \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{C_*}{\lambda^\gamma}, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (2.19)$$

for some $C_* > 0$ and $\gamma > \alpha \vee \beta$.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that the assumptions made in (2.12) and (2.19) hold and V is centered when $\beta \in (1, 2)$. Then, the following hold:*

i) if $\beta \neq 1$ then the joint laws of $\{(S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ converge in law, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ on $\mathcal{D}_2 := D([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^2)$ with the J_1 -topology.

ii) if $\beta = 1$, we assume that for some $\beta' > 1$

$$\sup_{N \geq 1} \|PV_N\|_{L^{\beta'}(\pi)} < +\infty, \quad (2.20)$$

where $V_N := V1_{\{|V| \leq K_N\}}$. Then, the conclusion of part i) holds also in this case with the modification of the definition of $S_t^{(N)}$ given in (2.14).

In our next result we allow the jumps of the components of $\{(S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ to occur at the same time. More specifically, let

$$\rho(\lambda) := C_{\alpha, \beta} |\lambda|^{\beta/\alpha}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.21)$$

where $C_{\alpha, \beta} := c_\alpha(c_\beta^- + c_\beta^+)^{-1}$. Suppose that for some $C_* > 0$ and $\gamma > \alpha$ we have

$$\pi[|\tau - \rho \circ V| \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{C_*}{\lambda^\gamma}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Consider now a Lévy process $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ such that

$$\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2), \quad (2.23)$$

where $e_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is given by (2.17) and

$$\nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2) := \delta_0(\lambda_2 - \rho(\lambda_1)) \nu_\beta(d\lambda_1) d\lambda_2. \quad (2.24)$$

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that (2.22) is in force. Then, the convergence statements analogous to the one made in parts i), ii) of Theorem 2.5 still hold. The only difference is that the limiting Lévy process is described by the exponent given in (2.23).*

Finally, when $V \in L^2(\pi)$, i.e. (2.11) holds, we have the following.

Theorem 2.7. *The laws of $\{(S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ converge, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ with the product of the uniform and J_1 topologies to the joint law of independent Lévy processes: $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$. The first component is a zero mean Brownian motion and the Lévy exponent of the second component equals $\psi_\alpha(\xi)$.*

2.5. Convergence of continuous time random walks. Our first result, concerning the convergence of CTRW, is contained in the following.

Theorem 2.8. *Under the assumptions of either Theorem 2.5, or 2.7 the processes $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ converge in law in the M_1 topology of \mathcal{D} , as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\{\zeta_t := S_{s(t)}, t \geq 0\}$, where $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ is an appropriate Lévy process and $\{s(t), t \geq 0\}$ is the right inverse of $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$. The result also holds when process $W(t)$ is replaced by the linear interpolation process $\widehat{W}(t)$. In the latter case, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.7 the convergence in law holds over \mathcal{C} .*

Remark 1. If $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ is such that its first component is a Brownian motion then the components of the process are independent, see Theorem 2.7. In that case $\{\zeta_s = S_{T_s^{-1}}, s \geq 0\}$ is called a *Mittag-Leffler process*. It is non-Markovian and arises as a limit of an appropriately scaled additive functional of a Markov process, whose resolvent, applied at the observable, obeys the power law at the bottom of the spectrum of the generator, see [1, 9]. We refer the reader to e.g. [16] and the references therein for an extensive review of the results concerning this particular case.

Given the Lévy process $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ as described in Theorem 2.6 define

$$\zeta_t^- = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} S_{s(t)-1/N}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.25)$$

The limit is understood almost surely in the J_1 topology of \mathcal{D} . Here $S_t = 0$ when $t < 0$. Observe that although the notation suggests otherwise the process $\{\zeta_t^-, t \geq 0\}$ is càdlàg, as a limit of càdlàg processes in the J_1 topology..

Theorem 2.9. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 the processes $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ converge in law in the J_1 topology of \mathcal{D} , as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\{\zeta_t^-, t \geq 0\}$, defined above.*

Remark 2. We point out here that the limiting processes described in Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 have a scale invariance property. Namely, the laws of $\{\zeta_{at}, t \geq 0\}$ and that of $\{a^{\alpha/\beta}\zeta_t, t \geq 0\}$ are identical for each $a > 0$. The same scaling invariance concerns also the process $\{\zeta_t^-, t \geq 0\}$. This remark follows easily from the fact that in the cases considered in both theorems the Lévy processes $\{(S_{ta^\alpha}, T_{ta^\alpha}), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{(a^{\alpha/\beta}S_t, aT_t), t \geq 0\}$ have identical Lévy exponents. Thus, the joint laws of $\{(S_{ta^\alpha}, T_{ta^\alpha}), t \geq 0\}$ and those of $\{(a^{\alpha/\beta}S_t, aT_t), t \geq 0\}$ over \mathcal{D}_2 are identical. This in turn implies easily the scale invariance property.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.8

3.1. The case when jumps cannot occur together. Here we assume that the sets of discontinuity points for the components of the limiting process $\{S_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$ are a.s. disjoint, i.e. that either the assumptions of Theorem 2.5, or 2.7 hold. Then, the weak convergence of $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ can be proven in exactly the same way as in Theorem 3.1 of [2]. We only show the convergence of the linear interpolation process $N^{-\alpha/\beta}\widehat{W}(Nt)$.

Assume first that the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 hold. For a given $t > 0$ recall that $n(Nt)$ is the (random) integer given by (2.9). Let $K_N := N^\alpha$. We define $S_t^{(N)} := K_N^{-1/\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor K_N t \rfloor - 1} V(X_n)$, $T_t^{(N)} := K_N^{-1/\alpha} t_{\lfloor K_N t \rfloor}$ and $s_N(t)$ the right-continuous inverse of $\{T_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$, i.e. $s_N(t) := \inf[u : T_u^{(N)} > t]$, and $s_N^*(t) := s_N(t) - N^{-\alpha} = \max[u : T_u^{(N)} \leq t]$. Denote by $\widehat{S}_t^{(N)}$ the process whose paths are obtained by the linear interpolation between the points $(mK_N^{-1}, S_{mK_N^{-1}})$, where $m \geq 0$ is an integer. Then $N^{-\alpha/\beta} \widehat{W}(Nt) = \widehat{S}_{s_N^*(t)}^{(N)}$. The following result allows us to replace the first coordinate process in the statement of Theorem 2.5 by its linear interpolation.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 the processes $\{(\widehat{S}_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ converge in law, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ with the product of the M_1 topologies to the Lévy process: $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ as in the statement of Theorem 2.5.*

Before demonstrating the lemma we show how to use it to finish the proof of the theorem. By Skorochod's embedding theorem we define a family of processes $\{(U_t^{(N)}, V_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ such that

- 1) the law of $\{(U_t^{(N)}, V_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ is identical with that of $\{(\widehat{S}_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ for each $N \geq 1$,
- 2) $\{(U_t^{(N)}, V_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ converges a.s., in $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ equipped with the product M_1 topology, to $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$. Here the limiting process is as in Theorem 2.5.

Suppose that $\{u_N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is the right inverse of $\{V_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ and $u_N^*(t) := u_N(t) - 1/N^\alpha$. The law of $\{Y_t^{(N)} := U_{u_N^*(t)}^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ coincides with that of $N^{-\alpha/\beta} \widehat{W}(Nt)$. Moreover, both $\{U_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{u_N^*(t), t \geq 0\}$ converge a.s., as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, in the M_1 topology to $\{S_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{s(t), t \geq 0\}$ (the right inverse of $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$) respectively. In fact, since $\{s(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a.s. continuous, the latter sequence converges in the uniform topology. Theorem 13.2.4 of [27] implies therefore that $\{Y_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ converge in the M_1 topology to $\{Y_t, t \geq 0\}$ a.s., provided that the sets of discontinuities of $\{S_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$ are a.s. disjoint. This however is a simple consequence of the independence of these processes.

The proof of Lemma 3.1. Suppose $T > 0$. Recall how the M_1 topology on $D[0, T]$ can be metrized, see [27], p. 476 for details. For a given $X \in D[0, T]$ we define by Γ_X the graph of X , i.e. the subset of \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$\Gamma_X := \{(t, z) : t \in [0, T], z = cX(t-) + (1 - c)X(t)\}, \text{ for some } c \in [0, 1].$$

On Γ_X we define an order by letting $(t_1, z_1) \leq (t_2, z_2)$ iff $t_1 < t_2$, or $t_1 = t_2$ and $|X(t_1-) - z_1| \leq |X(t_1-) - z_2|$. Denote by $\Pi(X)$ the set of all continuous mappings $\gamma = (\gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma_X$ that are non-decreasing, i.e. $t_1 \leq t_2$ implies that $\gamma(t_1) \leq \gamma(t_2)$. The metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$d(X_1, X_2) := \inf[\|\gamma_1^{(1)} - \gamma_2^{(1)}\|_\infty \vee \|\gamma_1^{(2)} - \gamma_2^{(2)}\|_\infty, \gamma_i = (\gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)}) \in \Pi(X_i), i = 1, 2].$$

This metric provides a metrization of the M_1 topology, see [27], Theorem 13.2.1.

For any $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{S^{(N)}}$ we define $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_{\widehat{S}^{(N)}}$ as follows. Suppose $\gamma_1(t)$ belongs to the graph corresponding to $(t, S_t^{(N)})$, $t \in [mK_N^{-1}, (m+1)K_N^{-1})$ for an integer $m \geq 0$. Let $\gamma_2(t)$ be the nearest neighbor projection of $\gamma_1(t)$ onto the segment joining $(mK_N^{-1}, S_{mK_N^{-1}}^{(N)})$ with $((m+1)K_N^{-1}, S_{(m+1)K_N^{-1}}^{(N)})$. One can use these two parametrizations to estimate the distance d as follows

$$d(S^{(N)}, \widehat{S}^{(N)}) \leq CK_N^{-1/2(1+1/\beta)} \max_{0 \leq n \leq [TK_N]} |V(X_n)|^{1/2}$$

for some deterministic constant $C > 0$ independent of N . Hence for any $\eta > 0$ we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left[d(S^{(N)}, \widehat{S}^{(N)}) \geq \eta \right] \leq CK_N^{-\beta} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. The lemma is then a consequence of (3.1) and Theorem 2.5 \square

When, on the other hand, the assumptions of Theorem 2.7 hold we can conclude that for each $T, \eta > 0$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |S_t^{(N)} - \widehat{S}_t^{(N)}| \geq \eta \right] = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

which implies the weak convergence of the linear interpolation process $\{N^{-\alpha/\beta} \widehat{W}(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ over \mathcal{C} to a Mittag-Leffler process $\{\zeta_t, t \geq 0\}$. To show (3.2) note that the expression under the limit on its left hand side can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{0 \leq n \leq K_N T} |V(X_n)| \geq K_N^{1/2} \eta \right] &\leq K_N T \pi \left(|V| \geq K_N^{1/2} \eta \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K_N T}{(\eta K_N^{1/2})^2} \int_{\{|V| \geq K_N^{-1/2} \eta\}} V^2 d\pi = \frac{T}{\eta^2} \int_{\{|V| \geq K_N^{-1/2} \eta\}} V^2 d\pi \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.

3.2. The case when jumps occur together. We assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.6 and admit $K_N := N^\alpha$, as in the previous section. Using Skorochod's embedding theorem define a family of processes $\{(U_t^{(N)}, V_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ such that:

- 1) the law of $\{(U_t^{(N)}, V_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ coincides with that of $\{(S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ for each $N \geq 1$,
- 2) $\{(U_t^{(N)}, V_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ converges a.s., in the J_1 topology of \mathcal{D}_2 , to $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$. The latter process is as in the statement of Theorem 2.6. The above means that for any $L > 0$ one can find a sequence $\{\lambda_n; n \geq 1\}$ of increasing homeomorphisms in $[0, L]$ such that $\lambda_N(0) = 0$, $\lambda_N(L) = L$ and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, L]} |\lambda_N(t) - t| \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, L]} |U_{\lambda_N(t)}^{(N)} - S_t| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in [0, L]} |V_{\lambda_N(t)}^{(N)} - T_t| \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.5)$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let $u_N(t)$, $s(t)$ be the right inverses of $V_t^{(N)}$, T_t respectively. On the other hand, if $u_N^*(t) := \max[s : U_s^{(N)} \leq t]$ then $u_N^*(t) = u_N(t) - 1/N^\alpha$. Observe that the CTRW $\{N^{\alpha/\beta}W(Nt), t \geq 0\}$ has the same law as $\{U_{u_N^*(t)}^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$.

We show the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 the processes $\{U_{u_N^*(t)}^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law over \mathcal{D} , with the J_1 topology, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to $\{\zeta_t^-, t \geq 0\}$ defined in (2.25).*

Proof. The proof relies on a careful analysis of convergence of processes $u_N(\cdot)$ to $s(\cdot)$. We know that they converge uniformly on any compact interval. In fact as we show in Lemma 3.3 below for t in a plateau of $s(\cdot)$ of a fixed size we have $\lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N(t) = s(t)$ for a sufficiently large N . Matching plateaus of $s(\cdot)$ with those of $\lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N(\cdot)$ we define homeomorphisms Λ_N such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} U_{u_N \circ \Lambda_N}^{(N)} = S_{s(t)}$ uniformly on the set of plateaus of $s(\cdot)$ of a fixed size. To show that this convergence extends also to the entire $[0, T_L]$ we use the fact that the plateaus of $s(\cdot)$ correspond also to the jumps of $\{S_t, t \geq 0\}$, see Lemma 3.4. This is due to the fact that jumps of S_t and T_t are matched by function $\rho(\cdot)$. Therefore, outside the large size plateaus of $s(\cdot)$ the trajectory $\{S_{s(t)}, t \geq 0\}$ cannot suffer large jumps. Since $|u_N \circ \Lambda_N(t) - s(t)|$ is small for sufficiently large N we can easily conclude that $|U_{u_N \circ \Lambda_N}^{(N)}(t) - S_{s(t)}|$ is also small. This fact implies also that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} |U_{u_N^* \circ \Lambda_N}^{(N)}(t) - S_{s(t) - 1/N^\alpha}| = 0$ and the theorem follows.

To start the rigorous proof we need some auxiliary results. For each $\delta > 0$, we denote by $A_\delta = A_\delta(s(\cdot))$ the set of "plateau points" of $s(t)$ of size at least δ , i.e.

$$t \in A_\delta \text{ iff } s(t) \text{ is constant in the interval } (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap [0, T_L]. \quad (3.6)$$

Obviously $A_\delta \subset A_{\delta'}$ if $\delta' \leq \delta$.

Lemma 3.3. *For a fixed $L > 0$ the sequence $\{u_N(\cdot), N \geq 1\}$ converges to $s(\cdot)$ in the following sense:*

- i) $\|\lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N - s\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, a.s. as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, where the supremum norm is taken over $[0, L]$,
- ii) there exists a decreasing sequence $d_N \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, such that $\lambda_n^{-1} \circ u_n(t) = s(t)$ for $t \in A_{d_N}$, $n \geq N$ and all $N \geq 1$.

Proof. Let

$$a_N := \max[\|V^{(N)} \circ \lambda_N - T\|_\infty, \|U^{(N)} \circ \lambda_N - S\|_\infty, \|\lambda_N - \text{id}\|_\infty, \|\lambda_N^{-1} - \text{id}\|_\infty] \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$d_N := \sup_{n \geq N} [\|V^{(n)} \circ \lambda_n - T\|_\infty, \|U^{(n)} \circ \lambda_n - S\|_\infty, \|\lambda_n - \text{id}\|_\infty, \|\lambda_n^{-1} - \text{id}\|_\infty]. \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.4) and (3.5) we have $d_N \rightarrow 0$, thus also, $a_N \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Since each $u_N(t)$ is increasing and $s(t)$ is a.s. continuous in order to prove i) it is enough to show that a.s.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} u_N(t) = s(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We prove first that the convergence holds a.s. for any fixed t . We claim that then

$$u_N(t) \leq s(t + a_N) + a_N, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.9)$$

Indeed, let $\delta > 0$ and $s_* := s(t + a_N) + a_N + \delta$. Then $s(t + a_N) = s_* - a_N - \delta < \lambda_N^{-1}(s_*)$, by definition (3.7). From the definition of the right inverse $t + a_N \leq T_{s(t+a_N)}$. Since $\{T_s, s \geq 0\}$ is a.s. strictly increasing ([24], Theorem 21.3, p. 136)

$$V_{s_*}^{(N)} \geq T_{\lambda_N^{-1}(s_*)} - a_N > T_{s(t+a_N)} - a_N \geq t,$$

so

$$u_N(t) \leq s_* = s(t + a_N) + a_N + \delta.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary (3.9) follows.

Likewise, we prove that $u_N(t) \geq s(t - a_N) - a_N$, a.s. and, as a result, we conclude that there exists \mathbb{D} , a dense subset of $[0, +\infty)$, such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} u_N(t) = s(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{D}$ a.s. Since all the functions u_N are increasing the convergence can be easily extrapolated to the entire $[0, +\infty)$. This ends the proof of part i) of the lemma.

For any $t \in A_{d_N}$ and $n \geq N$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{s(t)-} &\leq t - d_N \leq t + d_N \leq T_{s(t)}, \\ |V_{\lambda_n \circ s(t)-}^{(n)} - T_{s(t)-}| &\leq d_N \quad \text{and} \quad |V_{\lambda_n \circ s(t)}^{(n)} - T_{s(t)}| \leq d_N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $V_{\lambda_n \circ s(t)-}^{(n)} \leq t \leq V_{\lambda_n \circ s(t)}^{(n)}$, which proves that $u_n(t) = \lambda_n \circ s(t)$. This ends the proof of part ii) and thus completes the demonstration of the lemma. \square

For each $t \geq 0$ define $\Delta S_t = S_t - S_{t-}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{d_m, m \geq 1\}$ be as in the statement of Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 we have*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \notin s(A_{d_m})} |\Delta S_t| = 0 \quad \text{in probability} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Let

$$B_m := [\exists t \in [0, L] : |\Delta S_t| \geq \rho^{-1}(4d_m) \text{ and } \Delta T_t \leq d_m],$$

where $\rho(\cdot)$ is given by (2.21). We show that

$$\mathbb{P}[B_m] = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (3.11)$$

Suppose first that $\beta < 1$. Consider the jump process $\{Z_t^{(r)} := (S_t^{(r)}, T_t^{(r)}), t \geq 0\}$ corresponding to the jump measure

$$\nu_*^{(r)}(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2) := 1_{B_r^c(0)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2).$$

Let $z := \nu_*^{(r)}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. This process can be realized as follows: $Z_t^{(r)} = Z_{N(t)}^{(r)}$, where $Z_n^{(r)}$ is a sum of n independent random variables distributed according to $z^{-1} \nu_*^{(r)}(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2)$ and $N(t)$ is an independent of it Poisson process with intensity z . Since the jumps of $\{Z_t^{(r)}, t \geq 0\}$ are vectors whose coordinates belong to the support of $\nu_*^{(r)}(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2)$ (contained in the curve $\{(\lambda, \rho(\lambda)), \lambda > 0\}$) we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_m^{(r)}] = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$B_m^{(r)} := [\exists t \in [0, L] : |\Delta S_t^{(r)}| \geq \rho^{-1}(3d_m) \text{ and } \Delta T_t^{(r)} \leq 2d_m].$$

Let $\{Z_t := (S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$. It is well known, see e.g. [6] Theorem 14.27, that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{t \in [0, L]} |Z_t^{(r)} - Z_t| = 0, \quad \text{in probability.} \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) we conclude (3.11). Thus (3.10) follows.

The case when $\beta \in [1, 2)$ can be concluded similarly. However, then the approximating processes should be of the form $\{Z_t^{(r)} - c^{(r)}t, t \geq 0\}$ for some $c^{(r)} = (c_1^{(r)}, 0)$, where, in general, $c_1^{(r)}$ may diverge, as $r \rightarrow 0^+$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Step 1. First, we show that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} U_{u_N(t)}^{(N)} = S_{s(t)}$ in the J_1 topology. Writing $U_{u_N(t)}^{(N)} = U_{\lambda_N \lambda_N^{-1} u_N(t)}^{(N)}$, we notice that, in light of (3.5), it is enough to show convergence in the J_1 -Skorohod topology of $S_{\lambda_N^{-1} u_N(t)}$ to $S_{s(t)}$. For any $L > 0$ we exhibit increasing homeomorphisms $\Lambda_N : [0, T_L] \rightarrow [0, V_L^{(N)}]$, $N \geq 1$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} S_{\sigma_N(t)} = S_{s(t)} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_N(t) = t, \quad (3.15)$$

uniformly on $[0, T_L]$. Here

$$\sigma_N(t) := \lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N \circ \Lambda_N(t). \quad (3.16)$$

We can conclude from the above argument and from (3.5) that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} [U_{u_N \circ \Lambda_N(t)}^{(N)} - S_{s(t)}] = 0$ uniformly on compact intervals.

We display now the construction of the homeomorphisms $\Lambda_N(t)$ that satisfy (3.14) and (3.15). Suppose that $\{d_n, n \geq 1\}$ is strictly decreasing sequence as in the statement of Lemma 3.3. Let $\{\ell_k, k \geq 1\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that $\mathcal{S}_k := \{t_1, \dots, t_{\ell_k}\} = s(A_{d_k})$. Then,

$$s^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell_k} [T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}] \supset A_{d_k}.$$

Intervals $[T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}]$ are the plateaus of $s(t)$. They are mutually disjoint and each is of length greater than $2d_k$. The complement of $s^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ in $[0, T_L]$ is an open set that is a union of a finite number of open intervals (relative to $[0, T_L]$). Let κ_k be the minimum of the lengths of these intervals. Of course κ_k decreases to 0, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\{m_k, k \geq 1\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that $d_N < \min[\kappa_k/2, d_k - d_{k+1}]$ for all $N \geq m_k$. Recall that then both $|V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)} - T_{t_i-}|$ and $|V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)} - T_{t_i}|$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell_k$ are less than, or equal to d_{m_k} for $N \geq m_k$. Therefore, for each such N the intervals $[V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)}, V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)}]$ (plateaus of u_N) are mutually disjoint for different $i = 1, \dots, \ell_k$.

We say that the interval $[c, d]$ follows $[a, b]$ if $c > b$. Let us take i, j such that their corresponding plateaus $[T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}]$ and $[T_{t_j-}, T_{t_j}]$ are consecutive (in this order). Then

$[V_{\lambda_N(t_j)-}^{(N)}, V_{\lambda_N(t_j)}^{(N)}]$ follows $[V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)}, V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)}]$ for $m_{k+1} > N \geq m_k$. For these N -s we define $\Lambda_N(T_{t_i-}) := V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)}$, and $\Lambda_N(T_{t_i}) = V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)}$ and elsewhere $\Lambda_N(t)$ is defined by a linear interpolation. It is obvious from the construction that $\Lambda_N(t)$ converges uniformly to t on $[0, T_L]$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Combining this with part i) of Lemma 3.3 we obtain also that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} |\sigma_N(v) - s(v)| = 0$ uniformly on $[0, T_L]$.

Since $\Lambda_N(\cdot)$ dilates each $[T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}]$ onto $[V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)}, V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)}]$ with the scale greater than d_{k+1}/d_k for any $t \in A_{d_k}$ we have $\Lambda_N(t) \in A_{d_{k+1}}$ and, thanks to Lemma 3.3, we have then for all $N \geq m_{k+1} (\geq k+1)$

$$S_{\sigma_N(t)} = S_{s \circ \Lambda_N(t)} = S_s(t) = S_{t_i}, \quad (3.17)$$

see (3.16) for the definition of $\sigma_N(t)$. The last equality is a consequence of the fact that both $\Lambda_N(t)$ and t belong to the same $[T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}]$ for some $i = 1, \dots, \ell_k$ and $s(\Lambda_N(t)) = s(t)$. On the other hand we have $u_N \circ \Lambda_N(t) = \lambda_N(t_i)$. Suppose now that $t' \in [T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}]$ and $t \in [T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}] \cap A_{d_k}$. We have then $S_{s(t')} = S_{s(t)} = S_{t_i}$ and, since $\Lambda_N(t), \Lambda_N(t') \in [V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)}, V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)}]$ we have $u_N(\Lambda_N(t)) = u_N(\Lambda_N(t')) = \lambda_N(t_i)$. This implies that

$$S_{\sigma_N(t')} = S_{\sigma_N(t)} \stackrel{(3.17)}{=} S_{s(t)} = S_{s(t')}.$$

We have shown that $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} S_{\sigma_N(t)} = S_{s(t)}$ uniformly on $s^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ for each k .

The statement on the uniform convergence on the entire $[0, T_L]$ follows from Lemma 3.4. Indeed, suppose that n_k is so large that

$$\sup_{v \in [0, T_L]} (|\sigma_N(v) - s(v)| + |\Lambda_N(v) - v|) < d_k \wedge (\kappa_k/2) \quad \text{for } N \geq n_k. \quad (3.18)$$

Assume also that $t \notin s^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k)$. We claim that:

$$\text{no element from } \mathcal{S}_k \text{ lies between } \sigma_N(t) \text{ and } s(t) \text{ for } N \geq n_k. \quad (3.19)$$

Indeed, suppose that $t_i \in \mathcal{S}_k = s(A_{d_k})$ and $s(t) < t_i < \sigma_N(t)$. Then for any $v \in A_{d_k} \cap [T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}]$ we have $v - t > d_k$ and

$$\lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N(v) = s(v) = t_i < \sigma_N(t) = \lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N \circ \Lambda_N(t)$$

and if $v = \Lambda_N(v')$ for some v' then we have to have $v' < t$. This however implies $\Lambda_N(v') - v' > v - t > d_k$, which is impossible in light of (3.18). The case when $s(t) > t_i > \sigma_N(t)$ can be dealt with similarly.

Suppose that $\varepsilon, \varrho > 0$ are arbitrary and $\kappa_k, d_k > 0$ are sufficiently small so that for a certain $0 = s_0 < \dots < s_K = L$ that are κ_k -sparse we have $\omega'_S(\kappa_k; L) < \varepsilon$ and

$$\sup_{s_{i-1} \leq x, y < s_i} |S_y - S_x| \leq \omega'_S(\kappa_k; L) + \varrho.$$

Recall here that

$$\omega'_S(\delta; L) := \inf_{t_i} \sup [|S_t - S_{t'}|, t_i \leq t, t' < t_{i+1}, i = 0, \dots, N-1],$$

where the infimum extends over all partitions $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = L$ that are δ -sparse, i.e. such that $\delta < t_{i+1} - t_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, N-1$, see pp. 109-110 of [5].

Then, for n_k sufficiently large, so that (3.18), thus also claim (3.19), hold for any $N \geq n_k$ between $\sigma_N(t)$ and $s(t)$ there can be at most one s_i . Indeed in the case when there were at least two such s_i -s we could estimate $|\sigma_N(t) - s(t)| \geq \kappa_k$, which would clearly contradict (3.18).

If there is no s_i lying between $\sigma_N(t)$ and $s(t)$ we estimate $|S_{\sigma_N(t)} - S_{s(t)}| \leq \omega'_S(\kappa_k; L) + \varrho$. If, on the other hand, there is such a s_i then according to (3.19) it cannot belong to $s(A_{d_k})$ and we can estimate

$$|S_{\sigma_N(t)} - S_{s(t)}| \leq 2[\omega'_S(\kappa_k; L) + \varrho] + \max_{u \notin s(A_{d_k})} \Delta S_u < 2(\varrho + \varepsilon) + \max_{u \notin s(A_{d_k})} \Delta S_u.$$

Summarizing, we have shown that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T_L]} |S_{\sigma_N(t)} - S_{s(t)}| \leq 2(\varrho + \varepsilon) + \max_{u \notin s(A_{d_k})} \Delta S_u$$

and (3.14) follows.

Step 2. Let $\sigma_N^*(t) := \lambda_N^{-1} \circ u_N^* \circ \Lambda_N(t)$, where, as we recall $u_N^*(t) = u_N(t) - 1/N^\alpha$. Choose an arbitrary $t \in s^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ and suppose that $t \in [T_{t_i-}, T_{t_i}] \cap A_{d_k}$ for some $i = 1, \dots, \ell_k$. Then, as we know, $\Lambda_N(t) \in A_{d_{k+1}} \cap [V_{\lambda_N(t_i)-}^{(N)}, V_{\lambda_N(t_i)}^{(N)}]$. Thus $s(t) = t_i$ and $u_N^* \circ \Lambda_N(t) = \lambda_N(t_i) - 1/N^\alpha$. We conclude therefore that

$$S_{\sigma_N^*(t)} = S_{s(t) - c_N}$$

for some $c_N > 0$ such that $c_N \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. As a result we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} S_{\sigma_N^*(t)} = \zeta_t^-, \quad (3.20)$$

for all $t \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} s^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k)$. On the other hand, if $s(t) \notin \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{S}_k$ then, according to Lemma 3.4, we have $\Delta S_{s(t)} = 0$ and, thanks to (3.14) we conclude that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} S_{\sigma_N^*(t)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} S_{\sigma_N(t)} = \zeta_t = \zeta_t^-$$

We have shown therefore that (3.20) holds for all $t \geq 0$ pointwise and the limiting function is càdlàg. To finish the proof it suffices only to observe that, thanks to (3.14), the sequence of càdlàg functions $\{S_{\sigma_N^*(t)}, t \geq 0\}$ has to converge in the J_1 topology on $D[0, L]$. Its limit has to coincide with the pointwise limit, because the set of discontinuity points of a càdlàg function is at most countable, see Corollary 12.2.1 of [27], p. 473. This ends the proof of the theorem. \square

4. AN APPLICATION TO A JUMP PROCESS ARISING IN A QUANTUM TRANSPORT PROBLEM

We illustrate the results obtained in the previous sections with an application to a jump process that arises in quantum mechanical transport, see [8]. Recall that the one dimensional torus \mathbb{T} is an interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ with the endpoints identified. Suppose that $\{K_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a jump process on \mathbb{T} whose generator is given by

$$Lf(k) = \gamma(k) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(\theta, k) [f(\theta) - f(k)] d\theta \quad (4.1)$$

for $f \in B_b(\mathbb{T})$ - the space of bounded, Borel measurable functions on \mathbb{T} . Function $r_0^{-1} \geq \hat{r}(\theta, k) \geq r_0 > 0$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$, even and *doubly stochastic*, i.e. $\hat{r}(-\theta, -k) = \hat{r}(\theta, k)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(\theta, k) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(k, \theta) d\theta = 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{T}.$$

On the other hand, we assume that $\gamma(k)$ is also even and strictly positive except for a possible set consisting of two points $\{-k_0, k_0\}$. More precisely we have $\gamma(-k) = \gamma(k)$ and there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\gamma(k_0) = 0$ and $\inf_{|k-k_0| \geq \delta} \gamma(k) > 0$ for any $\delta > 0$. We suppose furthermore that $\gamma(k) \leq t_*^{-1}$ for some $t_* > 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma^{-1}(k) dk = +\infty$. This kind of processes appears while considering the transport of particles in quantum systems, see e.g. Section 4.3 of [8]. It is easy to see that $m_*(dk) = \gamma^{-1}(k) m_1(dk)$ is an infinite, reversible, invariant measure for the process. Here $m_1(dk) = dk/(2\pi)$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on the torus. Indeed, for any $f \in B_b(\mathbb{T})$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} Lf(k) m_*(dk) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(\theta, k) [f(\theta) - f(k)] d\theta dk \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\theta) d\theta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(\theta, k) dk - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(\theta, k) f(k) d\theta dk = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The process $\{K_t, t \geq 0\}$ can be realized using a Markov chain and a renewal process that corresponds to the jump times. Consider a skeleton Markov chain $\{(X_n, \rho_n), n \geq 0\}$, defined on $\mathbb{T}_{k_0} \times (0, +\infty)$, where $\mathbb{T}_{k_0} := \mathbb{T} \setminus \{-k_0, k_0\}$, $\{\rho_n, n \geq 0\}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of exponentially distributed random variables with intensity 1 and $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is an independent Markov chain with the state space \mathbb{T}_{k_0} , whose transition probability equals $\hat{r}(\theta, k) d\theta$. Let $\tau(k, \rho) := \gamma^{-1}(k) \rho$, $t_0 := 0$ and $t_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau(X_k, \rho_k)$, $n \geq 1$. We let $K_t := X_n$ for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$.

4.1. Harris recurrence property. Our first result concerns the recurrence property of $\{K_t, t \geq 0\}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $h \in (0, t_*)$. Consider an embedded Markov chain $\{K_{nh}, n \geq 0\}$. It is Harris recurrent w.r.t. measure m_1 , i.e. for any Borel subset B with $m_1[B] > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[\exists n \geq 0 : K_{nh} \in B] = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. To simplify the notation let $h = 1$. Our hypotheses on the skeleton chain guarantee that

$$\mathbb{P}[D] = 1, \quad (4.3)$$

where $D = [X_n \in B, i.o.]$. Let $A_n := [X_n \in B, t_{n+1} - t_n \geq 2]$. To see that (4.2) holds it suffices only to prove that

$$\mathbb{P}[C] = 1, \quad (4.4)$$

where $C := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n$. Note that $1_{C^c}(\omega) \leq f(\omega)$, where

$$f(\omega) := \prod_{n \geq 0} [1_{B^c}(X_n) + 1_B(X_n) 1_{[\tau(X_n, \rho_n) < 2]}].$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \prod_{n \geq 0} [1_{B^c}(X_n) + 1_B(X_n)(1 - e^{-2\gamma(X_n)})] \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \prod_{n \geq 0} [1_{B^c}(X_n) + 1_B(X_n)(1 - e^{-2/t^*})] \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \prod_{n \geq 0} [1_{B^c}(X_n) + 1_B(X_n)(1 - e^{-2/t^*})], D \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and (4.4) follows in light of (4.3). \square

As an immediate corollary from the above proposition and Theorem 1, p. 116 of [14] we obtain that m_* is the unique σ -finite invariant measure under the process that is absolutely continuous w.r.t. m_1 .

Denote by $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ the transition semigroup of the process $\{K_t, t \geq 0\}$. It satisfies the following integral equation

$$P_t f(k) = e^{-t\gamma(k)} f(k) + \gamma(k) \int_0^t e^{-s\gamma(k)} ds \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(k', k) P_{t-s} f(k') dk'. \quad (4.5)$$

For any $N \geq 1$ and $T > 0$ denote by

$$\Delta_N(T) := [(s_0, \dots, s_{N-1}) : s_i \geq 0, i = 0, \dots, N-1, \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} s_i \leq T].$$

Iterating equation (4.5) we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} P_t f(k) &= e^{-t\gamma(k)} f(k) + \sum_{N=1}^{+\infty} \gamma(k) \int_{\Delta_N(t)} \dots \int_{(\mathbb{T})^N} e^{-t\gamma(k_N)} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \{ \gamma(k_i) e^{-s_i(\gamma(k_i) - \gamma(k_N))} \hat{r}(k_i, k_{i-1}) \} f(k_N) ds^{(N)} dk^{(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $k_0 := k$, $ds^{(N)} := ds_0 \dots ds_{N-1}$, $dk^{(N)} := dk_1 \dots dk_N$. The component of transition probability that is absolutely continuous w.r.t. m_1 equals therefore

$$p_t(k, k') = \sum_{N=1}^{+\infty} \gamma(k) \int_{\Delta_N(t)} \dots \int_{(\mathbb{T})^{N-1}} e^{-t\gamma(k')} \prod_{i=1}^N \{ \gamma(k_i) e^{-s_i(\gamma(k_i) - \gamma(k'))} \hat{r}(k_i, k_{i-1}) \} ds^{(N)} dk^{(N-1)}.$$

Here $k' := k_N$. Thus, for every $h > 0$, $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}_{k_0})$ with $\text{dist}(C, \{-k_0, k_0\}) > 0$ and $m_1(C) > 0$ we have $\inf_{k, k' \in C} p_h(k, k') > 0$. The transition probability function of any embedded chain $\{K_{nh}, n \geq 0\}$ is therefore aperiodic in the sense of [17]. Suppose that

$f_0 = d\nu_0/dm_* \in L^2(m_*)$ is a density. Thanks to reversibility of m_* we obtain that $\nu_0 P_t$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. m_1 and its density equals

$$f_t = \frac{d\nu_0 P_t}{dm_*} = P_t f_0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

4.2. Mixing property of the process.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the initial law ν_0 is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure m_1 . Then, $\nu_0 P_t$ converge weakly, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, to the measure $\mu_* := 1/2(\delta_{k_0} + \delta_{-k_0})$. In addition, the process is completely mixing, i.e. if ν_0, ν'_0 are two absolutely continuous initial laws then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\nu_0 P_t - \nu'_0 P_t\|_{TV} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

To prove the above result we first show the following.

Proposition 4.3. *For any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{T}_{k_0}$ and a measure ν_0 as in Theorem 4.2 we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \nu_0 P_t[K] = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Using a density argument it suffices to show (4.7) for measures ν_0 whose density belongs to $L^2(m_*)$. Thanks to strong continuity of semigroup $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ in $L^1(m_*)$ in order to prove (4.7) it suffices only to show that for any $h > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \nu_0 P_{nh}[K] = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

From the Harris recurrence property, see Proposition 4.1, we know that for any set $A \subset \mathbb{T}_{k_0}$ with $m_*[A] > 0$ we have $P_h 1_A(x) > 0$, m_* -a.e. hence from [11], pp. 85-102, we have (4.8) for any K such that $+\infty > m_*[K] > 0$, cf. Theorem C, p. 91 of *ibid.* \square

The proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $h \in (0, t_*)$. Define by $\mathcal{C} := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_n$, where \mathcal{C}_n is the smallest σ -algebra generated by $\{K_{mh}, m \geq n\}$. According to Theorem 1, p. 45 of [17] the tail σ -algebra of the chain that is Harris recurrent and aperiodic has to be trivial. Therefore, according to Lemma 3, p. 43 of *ibid.* (4.6) follows.

Observe that if $u(k)$ is a density w.r.t. $m_*(dk) = \gamma^{-1}(k)dk$ such that $u(-k) = u(k)$ then

$$u P_t(-k) = u P_t(k). \quad (4.9)$$

This follows from the fact that $v_t(k) := u P_t(-k)$ satisfies equation

$$\frac{dv_t}{dt}(k) = v_t L(k), \quad v_0(k) = u(k).$$

Since $u P_t(k)$ satisfies the same equation from the uniqueness of solutions we obtain $u P_t(k) = v_t(k) = u P_t(-k)$. Let $\nu_0(dk) := u(k)m_*(dk)$. Combining (4.9) with Proposition 4.3 and (4.6) we conclude that $\nu_0 P_t \Rightarrow (1/2)(\delta_{-k_0} + \delta_{k_0})$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. From the (already shown) complete mixing property we conclude in particular that for any initial distribution μ_0 , absolutely continuous w.r.t. m_1 , we have $\mu_0 P_t \Rightarrow (1/2)[\delta_{-k_0} + \delta_{k_0}]$, weakly over $C(\mathbb{T})$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4.3. Limit theorems for additive functionals of the process. In this section we shall be concerned with the convergence of the laws of $N^{-\gamma} \int_0^{Nt} \Psi(K_s) ds$ for an appropriate $\gamma > 0$ and $\Psi(k)$. Suppose that $\gamma(k) \sim c_* |k - k_0|^\kappa$, when $|k - k_0| \ll 1$, for some $\kappa > 1$ and $c_* > 0$. Then the law of $\tau(k, \rho) = \gamma^{-1}(k)\rho$ under $m_1 \otimes \lambda$, where $\lambda(d\rho) := e^{-\rho} d\rho$, belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable subordinator with index $\alpha = 1/\kappa$ so Condition 2.3 holds. One can easily verify that the other assumptions about the Markov chain made in Section 2.1 hold for $\{(X_n, \rho_n), n \geq 0\}$ as well.

Note that $\int_0^t \Psi(K_s) ds = \widehat{W}(t)$, where $\widehat{W}(t)$ is the linear interpolation of a CTRW corresponding to renewal times $\{t_N, N \geq 0\}$ and the partial sums $\{S_N, N \geq 0\}$ formed for $V(k, \rho) := \Psi(k)\tau(k, \rho)$. When $V \in L^2(m_1 \otimes \lambda)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_0^{+\infty} V(k, \rho) dk \lambda(d\rho) = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

from Theorem 2.8 it follows that:

Corollary 4.4. *The processes $\{Y_t^{(N)} := N^{-\alpha/2} \int_0^{Nt} \Psi(K_s) ds, t \geq 0\}$ converge over $C[0, +\infty)$ converge, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to the law of the Mittag-Leffler process that corresponds to an α -stable subordinator.*

Assume also that the law of $V(k, \rho)$ under $m_1 \otimes \lambda$ belongs to the domain of attraction of a β -stable law. Denote by $s_N(t)$ the right inverse of $\{T_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that $\beta \neq 1$ and for $\beta \in (1, 2)$ condition (4.10) holds. In addition, assume that $\tau(k, \rho)$ and $V(k, \rho)$ satisfy (2.19). Then, $\{Y_t^{(N)} := N^{-\alpha/\beta} \int_0^{Nt} \Psi(K_s) ds, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law over \mathcal{D} with the M_1 topology to $\{\zeta_t := S_{s(t)}, t \geq 0\}$, where $\{S_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a β -stable process and $s(t)$ is the right inverse of an independent, α -stable subordinator. When $\beta = 1$ the theorem still holds for $\{Y_t^{(N)} - c_N s_N(t), t \geq 0\}$, where $c_N := \int_{\|V(k, \rho)\| \leq N} V(k, \rho) dk \lambda(d\rho)$.*

Remark. The jump process considered in Section 4.3 of [8] has the generator given by $Lf(k) = c \cos^2 k \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{r}(k' - k)[f(k') - f(k)] dk'$ for some constant $c > 0$ and a density function $\hat{r}(k)$ satisfying $r_* \leq \hat{r}(k) \leq r_*^{-1}$ for some $r_* \in (0, 1)$. Theorem 4.2 implies that $\nu_0 P_t \Rightarrow 1/2(\delta_{-\pi/2} + \delta_{\pi/2})$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for any initial measure ν_0 absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure. This answers in the affirmative the conjecture made in the discussed paper. For an observable Ψ such that $V = \Psi\tau \in L^2(m_1 \otimes \lambda)$ the functionals $\{N^{-1/4} \int_0^{Nt} V(K_s) ds, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law to a Mittag-Leffler process. Note that when $\Psi(-k) = -\Psi(k)$ belongs to the normal domain of attraction of a Cauchy law and it is not singular at $\pi/2$ then $\{N^{-1/2} \int_0^{Nt} \Psi(K_s) ds, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, to a process that has the same scaling properties as a Brownian motion but is not Markovian (recall that we call it a fake diffusion).

APPENDIX A. THE PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.5 AND 2.6

Theorems in question can be concluded from the result we formulate below. It is essentially a two dimensional version of Theorem 4.1 p. 840 of [10]. Since its proof is a

simple modification of an argument presented *ibid.* we omit its presentation here. Also, for simplicity we only consider the case when $K_N = N$.

Before formulating the result we introduce some notation. Suppose that $\{Z_{n,N}, n \geq 0, N \geq 1\}$ is an array of \mathbb{R}^2 -valued random vectors on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\{\mathcal{G}_{n,N}, n \geq -1, N \geq 1\}$ is an array of sub σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n,N}, N \geq 1, n \geq 0$. For a fixed $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \in (0, +\infty)^2$ define $Z_t^{(\Delta, N)} := \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor - 1} Z_{n,N}^{(\Delta)}$, where

$$Z_{n,N}^{(\Delta)} := Z_{n,N} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} - \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right]$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_t^{(\Delta, N)} := \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor Nt \rfloor - 1} \left[Z_{n,N} - \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] \right].$$

Let $\|(x_1, x_2)\|_\infty := \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\}$. Suppose that ν_0 is a measure on $\mathbb{R}_*^2 := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_*^2} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu_0(dx) < +\infty$. To simplify the statement we assume that ν_0 is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the two dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Theorem A.1. (See [10]) *Assume that for any $g \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*^2)$ such that $0 \notin \text{supp } g$ we have:*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E} \left[g(Z_{n,N}) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] - \int_{\mathbb{R}_*^2} g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \nu_0(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2) \right| = 0, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[g(Z_{n,N}) \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] \right\}^2 = 0 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and

$$\lim_{|\Delta|_\infty \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t^{(\Delta, N)}|_\infty^2 \right] = 0 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for any $T > 0$. Then, for each $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \in (0, +\infty)^2$ the processes $\{\tilde{Z}_t^{(\Delta, N)}, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law over \mathcal{D}_2 , with the J_1 -topology, to the Lévy process $\{Z_t = (Z_t^{(1)}, Z_t^{(2)}), t \geq 0\}$ such that $\mathbb{E} e^{i\xi_1 Z_t^{(1)} + i\xi_2 Z_t^{(2)}} = e^{t\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2)}$ and

$$\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{|\lambda_i| \geq \Delta_i\}} (e^{i\xi_1 \lambda_1 + i\xi_2 \lambda_2} - 1) \nu_0(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$+ \int_{\{|\lambda_i| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} [e^{i\xi_1 \lambda_1 + i\xi_2 \lambda_2} - 1 - i(\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2)] \nu_0(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

To use the above theorem we transform slightly the process $\{X_t^{(N)} := (S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$. In order to simplify the notation we consider only the case $\beta \in (1, 2)$, the consideration in the case $\beta \in (0, 1]$ can be done similarly (in fact it is even simpler then). Suppose $\gamma \in (1, \beta)$. Thanks to the spectral gap condition (2.1) we can find a unique zero mean solution χ in $L^\gamma(\pi)$ of

$$(I - P)\chi = V. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For a fixed $M > 0$ we let $M_N := MN^{1/\alpha}$ and

$$\tau^{(N)}(x) := \tau(x)1_{[\tau(x) < M_N]}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We let also $Z_{n,N}(M) := (Z_{n,N}^{(1)}, Z_{n,N}^{(2)}(M))$, where

$$Z_{0,N}^{(1)} := 0, \quad Z_{n,N}^{(1)} := \frac{1}{N^{1/\beta}} R_0(X_n, X_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Here $R_0(x, y) := \chi(x) - P\chi(y)$. In addition, $Z_{n,N}^{(2)}(M) := N^{-1/\alpha} \tau^{(N)}(X_n)$, $n \geq 0$. For $N, n \geq 0$ we let $\mathcal{G}_{n,N}$ be the σ -algebra generated by X_0, \dots, X_n . By convention we let $\mathcal{G}_{-1,N}$ be the trivial σ -algebra.

Define a process

$$Z_t^{(N)}(M) = (Z_{t,N}^{(1)}, Z_{t,N}^{(2)}(M)) := \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} Z_{n,N}(M), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$X_t^{(N)} - Z_t^{(N)}(M) = \left(N^{-1/\beta} [\chi(X_0) - P\chi(X_{[Nt]-1})], N^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \tau(X_n) 1_{[\tau(X_n) > M_N]} \right).$$

Hence, due to (A.16) (proved below) and (2.6), for any $T, \sigma > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{(N)} - Z_t^{(N)}(M)|_\infty \geq \sigma \right] = 0. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

To prove Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 it suffices therefore to show the convergence of processes $\{Z_t^{(N)}(M), t \geq 0\}$, $N \geq 1$ for a fixed $M > 0$ to a Lévy process $\{Z_t(M), t \geq 0\}$ whose exponent equals

$$\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [e^{i\xi_1 \lambda_1 + i\xi_2 \lambda_2} - 1 - i(\lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2)] 1_{[|\lambda_2| \leq M]} \nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Since now on we drop M from our subsequent notation of stochastic processes writing $Z_{n,N} := Z_{n,N}(M)$, $Z_t^{(N)} := Z_t^{(N)}(M)$ and $Z_t := Z_t(M)$.

Below we verify that processes $\{Z_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$, $N \geq 1$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem A.1 with measure ν_0 equal ν_* from either Theorem 2.5, or 2.6 correspondingly. Accepting this claim for a moment we show how to reach the conclusions of the aforementioned theorems. Let $\Delta_2 := M$. From Theorem A.1 we deduce that

$$\tilde{Z}_t^{(N)}(\Delta_1) := Z_t^{(N)} - \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N} 1_{[|Z_{n,N}^{(1)}| \leq \Delta_1]} 1_{[|Z_{n,N}^{(2)}| \leq \Delta_2]} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right], \quad t \geq 0 \quad (\text{A.12})$$

converge in law over \mathcal{D}_2 to a Lévy process $\{Z_t, t \geq 0\}$ whose exponent equal $\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ given by (A.4) (with $\Delta_2 = M$). Since $\mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N}^{(1)} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] = 0$ and $|Z_{n,N}^{(2)}| \leq M$ a.s. we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N}^{(1)} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] = - \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N}^{(1)} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(1)}| \geq \Delta_1\}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right]. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

This together with (A.16), (A.15) (proved below) and hypothesis (2.6) imply that for any $T > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{n,N} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(1)}| \leq \Delta_1\}} 1_{\{|Z_{n,N}^{(2)}| \leq M\}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] \right] = 0. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Letting $\Delta_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ we deduce that $\{Z_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ converge in law over \mathcal{D}_2 to a Lévy process with Lévy exponent (A.11).

We start with the following lemma that, among others, allows us to justify the limits in (A.10) and (A.13).

Lemma A.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\chi \geq \lambda) &= \frac{c_\beta^+}{\lambda^\beta} (1 + o(1)), \\ \pi(\chi \leq -\lambda) &= \frac{c_\beta^-}{\lambda^\beta} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

In addition,

$$\|P|\chi|\|_{L^2(\pi)} < +\infty \quad (\text{A.16})$$

and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|P\tau^{(N)}\|_{L^2(\pi)} \leq CN^{1/\alpha-1}, \quad \forall N \geq 1, M > 0. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Proof. Observe first that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P|V|\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 &\stackrel{(2.13)}{\leq} C \int \left(\int_0^{+\infty} P_a(x, |V| > \lambda) d\lambda \right)^2 \pi(dx) \\ &= C \int \left(\int p(x, y) |V(y)| \pi(dy) \right)^2 \pi(dx) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} C \int \left(\int p^2(x, y) \frac{|V(y)| \pi(dy)}{\|V\|_{L^1(\pi)}} \right) \pi(dx) \|V\|_{L^1(\pi)}^2 \leq CC(2) \|V\|_{L^1(\pi)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Since $\chi = (I - P)^{-1}V = \sum_{n \geq 0} P^n V$ from the above we deduce that $P|\chi| \leq (I - P)^{-1}P|V|$, thus $P|\chi| \in L^2(\pi)$. From this and the Poisson equation (A.6) it follows that χ satisfies (A.15).

To show (A.17) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\tau^{(N)}\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 &\leq C(2)\|\tau^{(N)}\|_{L^1(\pi)}^2 \leq C(2)\left(\int_0^{M_N}\pi[\tau > \lambda]d\lambda\right)^2 \\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^{M_N}\frac{d\lambda}{1+\lambda^\alpha}\right)^2 \leq C'N^{2(1/\alpha-1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

The proof of (A.1). Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{P} \left[|Z_{n,N}^{(1)}| \geq \Delta_1, \text{ or } |Z_{n,N}^{(2)}| \geq M \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1, N} \right] \right| \\ &= \lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{P} \left[|Z_{n,N}^{(1)}| \geq \Delta_1 \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1, N} \right] \right| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show (A.1) for $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*^2)$. In that case we can expand $g(Z_{n+1, N})$ using Taylor formula around $z^{(N)}(X_{n+1})$, where $z^{(N)}(x) := (N^{-1/\beta}V(x), N^{-1/\alpha}\tau^{(N)}(x))$, and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} [g(Z_{n+1, N}) \mid \mathcal{G}_n] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} [g(z^{(N)}(X_{n+1})) \mid \mathcal{G}_n] \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} [R(X_{n+1}, X_n) \cdot \nabla g(z_n^{(N)}(\lambda)) \mid \mathcal{G}_n] d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Here $z_n^{(N)}(\lambda) := \lambda R(X_{n+1}, X_n) + z^{(N)}(X_{n+1})$ and

$$R(x, y) := (N^{-1/\beta}[P\chi(x) - P\chi(y)], -N^{-1/\alpha}P\tau^{(N)}(y)).$$

Denote the first and second terms on the right hand side of (A.20) by I_N and II_N respectively. We can write $I_N = I_N^{(1)} + I_N^{(2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_N^{(1)} &:= \sum_{n=1}^N \int g(z^{(N)}(y))P(X_{n-1}, dy) - N \int g(z^{(N)}(x))\pi(dx), \\ I_N^{(2)} &:= N \int g(z^{(N)}(x))\pi(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}|I_N^{(1)}| = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N PG_N(X_{n-1}) \right|, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

where $G_N(x) := g(z^{(N)}(x)) - \int g(z^{(N)}(y))\pi(dy)$. Let $u_N := (I - P)^{-1}PG_N$. By the spectral gap condition (2.2) we have

$$\int u_N^2(x)\pi(dx) \leq \frac{1}{1-a^2} \int (PG_N)^2(x)\pi(dx).$$

Let $a_* := \text{dist}(0, \text{supp } g)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int (PG_N)^2(x) \pi(dx) &\leq 2\|g\|_\infty^2 \left[\int \left(\int_{[\tau(y) \geq a_* N^{1/\alpha}/2]} p(x, y) \pi(dy) \right)^2 \pi(dx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int \left(\int_{[|V(y)| \geq a_* N^{1/\beta}/2]} p(x, y) \pi(dy) \right)^2 \pi(dx) \right] \\ &\leq 2C\|g\|_\infty^2 N^{-1} \left[\int \int_{[\tau(y) \geq a_* N^{1/\alpha}/2]} p^2(x, y) \pi(dy) \pi(dx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int \int_{[|V(y)| \geq a_* N^{1/\beta}/2]} p^2(x, y) \pi(dy) \pi(dx) \right] \leq 2C\|g\|_\infty^2 N^{-1} o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. In addition we can rewrite

$$\sum_{n=1}^N PG_N(X_{n-1}) = u_N(X_0) - u_N(X_{[Nt]}) + \sum_{n=1}^{[Nt]-1} U_n,$$

where $U_n := u_N(X_n) - Pu_N(X_{n-1})$, $n \geq 1$ is a stationary sequence of martingale differences with respect to $\{\mathcal{G}_n, n \geq 0\}$. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}|I_N^{(1)}| \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N PG_N(X_{n-1}) \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq C \left(N \int (PG_N)^2(y) \pi(dy) \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \quad (\text{A.23})$$

by virtue of (A.22). To prove that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| I_N - \int g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) 1_{[0, M]}(\lambda_2) \nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2) \right| = 0. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

it suffices to use the argument above and the following.

Proposition A.3. *Suppose that $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*^2)$. Then, under the assumptions of either Theorem 2.5, or 2.6, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} I_N^{(2)} = \int g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) 1_{[0, M]}(\lambda_2) \nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2), \quad (\text{A.25})$$

where $\nu_*(d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2)$ is given by (2.18), or (2.24) respectively.

Proof. The case (2.19) holds. Suppose that $\gamma > \kappa_1 > \alpha \vee \beta$, where γ is the same as in (2.19), and

$$\begin{aligned} A_N &:= [\tau^{(N)} \geq (a_*/2)N^{1/\kappa_1}, |V| \geq (a_*/2)N^{1/\beta}], \\ B_N &:= [\tau^{(N)} \geq (a_*/2)N^{1/\alpha}, |V| \geq (a_*/2)N^{1/\kappa_1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\pi(A_N) = o(1/N)$ and $\pi(B_N) = o(1/N)$. To compute the limit in (A.25) it suffices therefore to compute $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{K}_N^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, where

$$\mathcal{K}_N^{(i)} := N \int g(z^{(N)}(x)) 1_{C_N^{(i)}} \pi(dx), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_N^{(1)} &:= [\tau^{(N)} \leq (a_*/2)N^{1/\kappa_1}, |V| \geq (a_*/2)N^{1/\beta}], \\ C_N^{(2)} &:= [\tau^{(N)} \geq (a_*/2)N^{1/\alpha}, |V| \leq (a_*/2)N^{1/\kappa_1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Up to a term of order $o(1)$ we have $\mathcal{K}_N^{(i)} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_N^{(i)}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_N^{(i)} := N \int g(\tilde{z}_i^{(N)}(x)) \pi(dx)$, and $\tilde{z}_1^{(N)}(x) := (N^{-1/\beta}V(x), 0)$, $\tilde{z}_2^{(N)}(x) := (0, N^{-1/\alpha}\tau^{(N)}(x))$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_N^{(1)} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} N^{1-1/\beta} \int_0^{+\infty} \partial_1 g(N^{-1/\beta}\lambda, 0) \pi(V > \lambda) d\lambda \\ &+ \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} N^{1-1/\beta} \int_0^{+\infty} \partial_1 g(-N^{-1/\beta}\lambda, 0) \pi(V < -\lambda) d\lambda \\ &\stackrel{(2.12)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \partial_1 g(\lambda, 0) \frac{c_\beta(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^\beta} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_N^{(2)} = \int_0^M \partial_2 g(0, \lambda) \frac{c_\alpha(\lambda)}{\lambda^\alpha} d\lambda$$

and (A.25) follows.

The case (2.22) holds. We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma A.4. *Suppose that (2.22) holds. Then, there exists a constant C_* such that*

$$\pi[|\tau - \rho \circ \chi| \geq \lambda] \leq \frac{C_*}{\lambda^\gamma}. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

The exponent γ is the same as in (2.22).

Proof. The left hand side of (A.26) can be estimated by

$$\pi[|\tau - \rho \circ V| \geq \lambda/2] + \pi[|\rho \circ V - \rho \circ \chi| \geq \lambda/2]. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

The first term can be estimated directly from (2.22). When $\beta \leq \alpha$ we have $|\rho(\lambda_1) - \rho(\lambda_2)| \leq \rho(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The second term in (A.27) can be estimated from (A.16) by

$$\pi[|P\chi| \geq (\lambda/2)^{\alpha/\beta}] \leq \frac{C \|P\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2}{\lambda^{2\alpha/\beta}} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

and (A.26) follows for $\beta \in (0, 2)$.

When, on the other hand $\beta > \alpha$ the second term in (A.27) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi[|\max\{e' \circ V, e' \circ \chi\}| |(V - \chi)| \geq \lambda] \\ &\leq \pi[|e' \circ V P\chi| \geq \lambda/2] + \pi[|e' \circ \chi P\chi| \geq \lambda/2]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

To estimate the first term on the right hand side we recall that according to Young's inequality $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1^p/p + \lambda_2^q/q$ for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ and $p, q > 0$ such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Choose p such that $p_1 := p(\beta/\alpha - 1) < \beta/\alpha$ and $(\beta + 2)/(2\alpha) > q > \beta/\alpha$. The first term in (A.29) can be estimated by

$$\pi[|V| \geq C_1 \lambda^{1/p_1}] + \pi[|P\chi| \geq C_2 \lambda^{1/q}] \quad (\text{A.30})$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of λ . The first term can be estimated by $C\lambda^{-\beta/p_1}$, while the second by $C\lambda^{-2/q}\|P\chi\|_{L^2(\tau)}$. These together yield the desired bound on the first term in (A.29). The second term can be dealt with similarly. \square

Let

$$A_N := [|V| \geq a_*N^{1/\beta}/2, \text{ or } \tau^{(N)} \geq a_*N^{1/\alpha}/2]$$

and for some $\gamma > \kappa > \alpha$ we let $B_N := [|\rho \circ V - \tau| \geq N^{1/\kappa}]$. Observe that from (2.19), (2.22) and Lemma A.4

$$\pi(A_N) \leq \frac{C}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(B_N) \leq \frac{C}{N^{\gamma/\kappa}}. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Let also $\rho^{(N)}(x) := \rho(x)1_{[\rho < M_N]}(x)$. Define

$$\tilde{z}^{(N)}(x) := (N^{-1/\beta}V(x), N^{-1/\alpha}\rho^{(N)} \circ V(x)).$$

Note that $z^{(N)}(x) = \tilde{z}^{(N)}(x) + r^{(N)}(x)$, where

$$r^{(N)}(x) := (0, N^{-1/\alpha}[\tau^{(N)}(x) - \rho^{(N)} \circ V(x)]).$$

Note that $z^{(N)}(x)$ lies outside the support of g on A_N^c . Therefore, the expression under the limit in (A.25) can be written as

$$N \int g(z^{(N)}(x)) 1_{A_N} \pi(dx) = \mathcal{I}_N + \mathcal{J}_N,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_N := N \int g(z^{(N)}(x)) 1_{A_N} 1_{B_N} \pi(dx), \quad \mathcal{J}_N := N \int g(z^{(N)}(x)) 1_{A_N} 1_{B_N^c} \pi(dx).$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{I}_N \leq N \|g\|_\infty \pi(B_N) \stackrel{(\text{A.31})}{\leq} CN^{1-\gamma/\kappa} \|g\|_\infty \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Finally, $\mathcal{J}_N = \mathcal{J}_N^{(1)} + \mathcal{J}_N^{(2)}$, where

$$\mathcal{J}_N^{(1)} := N \int g(\tilde{z}^{(N)}(x)) 1_{A_N} 1_{B_N^c} \pi(dx),$$

$$\mathcal{J}_N^{(2)} := N \int \int_0^1 \nabla g(\tilde{z}^{(N)}(x) + \lambda r^{(N)}(x)) \cdot r^{(N)}(x) 1_{A_N} 1_{B_N^c} \pi(dx) d\lambda.$$

Given $\delta > 0$ we choose N_0 so that for $N \geq N_0$ we have $N^{1/\kappa} < \delta N^{1/\alpha}$. Let

$$C_N^{(1)} := [\rho \circ V < MN^{1/\alpha}, (M + \delta)N^{1/\alpha} \leq \tau],$$

$$C_N^{(2)} := [\tau < MN^{1/\alpha}, (M + \delta)N^{1/\alpha} \leq \rho \circ V]$$

and $D_N := [N^{-1/\alpha}\tau \in (M - \delta, M + \delta)]$. Note that (recall $M_N := MN^{1/\alpha}$)

$$B_N^c \cap (C_N^{(1)} \cup C_N^{(2)} \cup D_N)^c \subset E_N := (B_N^c \cap [\rho \circ V \leq M_N, \tau \leq M_N]) \cup (B_N^c \cap [\rho \circ V \geq M_N, \tau \geq M_N]).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_N^{(2)} &\leq N \|\nabla g\|_\infty \int |r^{(N)}(x)| 1_{A_N} 1_{B_N^\varepsilon} \pi(dx) \\ &\leq CN^{1+1/\kappa-1/\alpha} \|\nabla g\|_\infty \pi(A_N) + N \|\nabla g\|_\infty [\pi(C_N^{(1)}) + \pi(C_N^{(2)}) + \pi(D_N)]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side comes from the estimate $|r^{(N)}(x)| \leq N^{1/\kappa-1/\alpha}$ that holds on E_N . The remaining terms can be estimated by

$$c_\alpha(1 + o(1)) \|\nabla g\|_\infty [(M - \delta)^{-\alpha} - (M + \delta)^{-\alpha}],$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. This expression can be made arbitrarily small when $\delta > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small.

Concerning the term $\mathcal{J}_N^{(1)}$, we can repeat the above argument and justify in that way that it is equal, up to a term of order $o(1)$, to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_N^{(1)} &:= N \int g(\tilde{z}^{(N)}(x)) \pi(dx) \\ &= N \int \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d}{d\lambda} g(N^{-1/\beta} \lambda, N^{-1/\alpha} \rho^{(N)}(\lambda)) 1_{[0 < \lambda < V(x)]} \pi(dx) d\lambda \\ &\quad - N \int \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{d}{d\lambda} g(N^{-1/\beta} \lambda, N^{-1/\alpha} \rho^{(N)}(\lambda)) 1_{[0 > \lambda > V(x)]} \pi(dx) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the first term on the utmost right hand side of the above expression. Integrating over x we obtain that it equals

$$N \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d}{d\lambda} g(N^{-1/\beta} \lambda, N^{-1/\alpha} \rho^{(N)}(\lambda)) \pi[\lambda < V] d\lambda.$$

Changing variables $\lambda' := \lambda N^{1/\beta}$ and letting $N \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain that the limit equals

$$c_\beta^+ \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d}{d\lambda} g(\lambda, 1_{[0, M]}(\lambda) \rho(\lambda)) \lambda^{-\beta} d\lambda = \int_0^{+\infty} g(\lambda, 1_{[0, M]}(\lambda) \rho(\lambda)) \nu_\beta(d\lambda).$$

The limit for the second term is computed in the same way and we obtain (A.25). \square

The proof of (A.2). Since $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ is stationary and Markovian it suffices only to show that for g as in the statement of Theorem A.1 we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} N \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[g(Z_{1, N}) \middle| \mathcal{G}_0 \right] \right\}^2 = 0. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

Let $\delta := 1/2 \text{dist}(0, \text{supp } g) > 0$. We can estimate the expression under the limit by

$$\begin{aligned} N \|g\|_\infty \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{P} \left[|Z_{1, N}| \geq \delta \middle| \mathcal{G}_0 \right] \right\}^2 &\leq 2 \|g\|_\infty \left\{ N \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{P} \left[|R_0(X_1, X_0)| \geq \delta N^{1/\beta} / 2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_0 \right] \right\}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + N \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{P} \left[|\tau^{(N)}(X_1)| \geq \delta N^{1/\alpha} / 2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_0 \right] \right\}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

The first term on the right hand side of (A.33) can be estimated by

$$2\|g\|_\infty N^{1-2/\beta} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|R_0(X_1, X_0)| \middle| \mathcal{G}_0 \right] \right\}^2 \leq CN^{1-2/\beta} \|P|\chi|\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of N . The expression on the right hand side tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, thanks to (A.16) and $\beta \in (1, 2)$.

The second term on the right hand side of (A.33) can be estimated, using (A.17), by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|g\|_\infty N^{1-2/\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\tau^{(N)}(X_1) \middle| \mathcal{G}_0 \right] \right\}^2 \\ & \leq 2\|g\|_\infty N^{1-2/\alpha} \|P\tau^{(N)}\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 \leq CN^{1-2/\alpha} N^{2(1/\alpha-1)} = \frac{C}{N} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C > 0$ independent of N .

The proof of (A.3). Using again stationarity and Doob's inequality for the martingale $\{Z_t^{(\Delta, N)}, t \geq 0\}$ we obtain that the expression under the limit in (A.3) can be estimated from above by

$$CTN \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{1,N}^{(j)} 1_{\{|Z_{1,N}^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} \right]^2 - \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[Z_{1,N}^{(j)} 1_{\{|Z_{1,N}^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_i, i=1,2\}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{0,N} \right] \right]^2 \right\}.$$

The term corresponding to $j = 1$ can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & C_1 TN^{1-2/\beta} \int \chi^2(x) 1_{\{|\chi(x)| \leq \Delta_1 N^{1/\beta}\}} \pi(dx) \tag{A.34} \\ & \leq C_2 TN^{1-2/\beta} \int_0^{\Delta_1 N^{1/\beta}} \lambda \pi(|\chi(x)| \geq \lambda) d\lambda = C_2 TN \int_0^{\Delta_1} \lambda \pi(|\chi(x)| \geq N^{1/\beta} \lambda) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Using the fact that $\pi(|\chi(x)| \geq N^{1/\beta} \lambda) \leq C_3 N^{-1} \lambda^{-\beta}$ for some constant $C_3 > 0$ we conclude that the utmost right hand side of (A.34) can be estimated by

$$CT \int_0^{\Delta_1} \lambda^{1-\beta} d\lambda = C' T \Delta_1^{2-\beta}$$

for some constants $C, C' > 0$. A similar estimate can be obtained also for $j = 2$. Estimate (A.3) then follows.

APPENDIX B. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.7

In this section we retain the notation from the previous section. Assume furthermore that $\beta = 2$. It is well known that under the hypotheses made in Section 2.1, the components of processes $\{X_t^{(N)} := (S_t^{(N)}, T_t^{(N)}), t \geq 0\}$ converge in \mathcal{D} , see e.g. [18, 23], to a Brownian motion and an α -stable subordinator process. This in turn implies tightness of the laws of $\{X_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ over $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ equipped with the uniform and J_1 topologies, respectively. To finish the proof of the theorem in question we only need to show the weak convergence

of finite dimensional distributions of $\{X_t^{(N)}, t \geq 0\}$ to the respective finite dimensional distribution of a Lévy process $\{(S_t, T_t), t \geq 0\}$ with the exponent

$$\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \sigma^2 \xi_1^2 / 2 + \int_0^{+\infty} (e^{i\xi_2 \lambda} - 1) \nu_\alpha(d\lambda) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

for some $\sigma \geq 0$. By a well known Cramér-Wold device, see Theorem 9.5, p. 147 of [10], it suffices to consider only one dimensional distributions i.e. to prove that for $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\xi_1 S_t^{(N)} + \xi_2 T_t^{(N)} \Rightarrow \xi_1 S_t + \xi_2 T_t, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty \quad (\text{B.2})$$

For a fixed $M > 0$ define $\bar{Z}_{N,t}^{(2)}(M) := \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E}[\tau^{(N)}(X_n) | \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}]$ with $\tau^{(N)}(x)$ given by (A.7). Using (A.2) one can argue, as in the proof of (4.3) of [10], that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{Z}_{N,t}^{(2)}(M) = t \int_0^M \lambda \nu_\alpha(d\lambda) \quad (\text{B.3})$$

in probability. Since (A.10) is also in force for $\beta = 2$, thanks to (B.3), it suffices only to show that for a fixed $M > 0$

$$\xi_1 Z_{N,t}^{(1)} + \xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{N,t}^{(2)}(M) \Rightarrow \xi_1 S_t + \xi_2 \tilde{T}_t(M), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $\tilde{Z}_{N,t}^{(2)}(M) = Z_{N,t}^{(2)}(M) - \bar{Z}_{N,t}^{(2)}(M)$, $Z_{N,t}^{(1)}$, $Z_{N,t}^{(2)}(M)$ are given by (A.9) and $\{(S_t, \tilde{T}_t(M)), t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process with the exponent.

$$\psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \sigma^2 \xi_1^2 / 2 + \int_0^M (e^{i\xi_2 \lambda} - 1 - i\xi_2 \lambda) \nu_\alpha(d\lambda) \quad (\text{B.5})$$

for some $\sigma > 0$. In what follows we omit writing M in the notation of processes. Note that $\{(Z_{N,t}^{(1)}, Z_{N,t}^{(2)}), t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale with the increments given by

$$\tilde{Z}_{n,N} = (\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)}, \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)}) := \left(\frac{1}{N^{1/2}} R_0(X_n, X_{n-1}), \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \{ \tau^{(N)}(X_n) - \mathbb{E}[\tau^{(N)}(X_n) | \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] \} \right).$$

We can use therefore the results of [7]. According to Theorem 1 of *ibid.* in order to show (B.4) it suffices to prove the following result.

Proposition B.1. *Suppose that $M_{-1,N} := 0$ and*

$$M_{n,N} := \frac{\xi_1}{N^{1/2}} R_0(X_n, X_{n-1}) + \frac{\xi_2}{N^{1/\alpha}} \{ \tau^{(N)}(X_n) - \mathbb{E}[\tau^{(N)}(X_n) | \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] \}$$

for $n \geq 0$. Then, for any $a < b$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [M_{n,N}^2 1_{[a < M_{n,N} < b]} | \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] = t [G_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(b) - G_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(a)] \quad (\text{B.6})$$

in probability, where the function $G_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\cdot)$ is given by $G_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(\lambda) = 0$, $\lambda < 0$ and

$$G_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(\lambda) = \sigma^2 \xi_1^2 + c_\alpha |\xi_2|^\alpha \lambda^{1-\alpha}.$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and some $\sigma > 0$.

Proof. The proof is done in two steps. First we prove that for any interval (a, b) that does not contain 0 and any C^∞ function g supported in that interval we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [g(M_{n,N}) \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] = t |\xi_2|^\alpha \int_0^M g(\lambda) \nu_\alpha(d\lambda) \quad (\text{B.7})$$

in probability. The argument is essentially a repetition of the proof of (A.2) done in the previous section so we omit it. Next, we show that for any $c > 0$

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [M_{n,N}^2 1_{[|M_{n,N}| < c]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \xi_1^2 \right| = h(c), \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0+} h(c) = 0$. For an arbitrary interval (a, b) , where $a < 0 < b$ we divide it into a sum of three disjoint intervals $(a, -c)$, $(-c, c)$ and (c, b) , where $0 < c < \min[-a, b]$ and conclude using the above results and a standard approximation argument that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [M_{n,N}^2 1_{[a < M_{n,N} < b]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] - t [G_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(b) - G_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(a)] \right| = 0.$$

The proof of (B.8). Suppose that $c > 0$ is arbitrary. We consider only the case when both $\xi_1, \xi_2 \neq 0$. The other cases can be done adjusting (and simplifying) the argument. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [(M_{n,N})^2 1_{[|M_{n,N}| < c]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] = \xi_1^2 \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [(\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)})^2 1_{[|M_{n,N}| < c]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] \\ & + \xi_2^2 \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [(\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)})^2 1_{[|M_{n,N}| < c]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}] + 2\xi_1 \xi_2 \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} [\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)} \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)} 1_{[|M_{n,N}| < c]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N}]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the terms appearing on the right hand side by U_N , V_N and W_N . For an appropriate constant $C > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |W_N| & \leq C \left\{ N \left\{ \mathbb{E} [|\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}|^2] \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \mathbb{E} [|\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(2)}|^2, |\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(2)}| < 10c] \right\}^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. + N |\xi_1 \xi_2| \mathbb{E} \left[|\tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)} \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(2)}|, |\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}| > 9c, |\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(2)}| \geq 10c \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Denote the first and second term appearing in the braces on the right hand side by $W_N^{(1)}$ and $W_N^{(2)}$ respectively. We have

$$\mathbb{P}[|\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)}| > \lambda] \leq \pi[\tau > N^{1/\alpha} \lambda / (2|\xi_2|)] + \pi[P\tau^{(N)} > N^{1/\alpha} \lambda / (2|\xi_2|)].$$

The first term on the right hand side is clearly less than, or equal to $CN^{-1}\lambda^{-\alpha}$ for all $\lambda > 0$, $N \geq 1$ and a certain constant $C > 0$, independent of $n \geq 0$. By the Markov inequality

and (A.17) the second term can be estimated by $\lambda^{-1}N^{-1/\alpha}\|P\tau\|_{L^2(\pi)} \leq C(\lambda N)^{-1}$. One can deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}[|\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)}| > \lambda] \leq C(N\lambda)^{-1}(1 + \lambda^{1-\alpha}) \quad (\text{B.9})$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, $N \geq 1$ and a certain constant $C > 0$, independent of $n, N \geq 0$. Using (B.9) and an elementary estimate $\left\{ \mathbb{E}[[\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}]^2] \right\}^{1/2} \leq CN^{-1/2}\|\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)}$ we obtain

$$W_N^{(1)} \leq C\|\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)} \left[\int_0^{10c} (1 + \lambda^{1-\alpha}) d\lambda \right]^{1/2} \leq C'\|\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)} [c(1 + c^{1-\alpha})]^{1/2}$$

for some constants $C, C' > 0$.

On the other hand, using Chebyshev's inequality we get

$$\mathbb{P}[|\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)}| > \lambda] \leq \frac{C\|\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2}{N\lambda^2} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. The constant $C > 0$ appearing here does not depend on N, n and λ . Thus, for some constants $C, C' > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_N^{(2)} &\leq CNM \mathbb{E} \left[|\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}|, |\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}| > 9c \right] \\ &\leq CNM \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}|^2, |\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}| > 9c \right] \right\}^{1/2} \mathbb{P}^{1/2} \left[|\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}| > 9c \right] \\ &\stackrel{(\text{B.10})}{\leq} C' \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[R_0^2(X_1, X_0), |\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(1)}| > 9c \right] \right\}^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. We have proved therefore that $\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}|W_N| \leq C[c(1 + c^{1-\alpha})]^{1/2}$, where $c > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily. Thus, $\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}|W_N| = 0$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|V_N| &\leq CNE[|\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)}|^2, |\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)}| < 10c] + \\ &+ CN^{1-2/\alpha} \mathbb{E}[\tau^{(N)}(X_0)^2, |\xi_1 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)}| > 9c, |\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(2)}| \geq 10c] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Denote the first and the second terms on the right hand side of (B.11) by $V_N^{(1)}, V_N^{(2)}$ respectively. Estimating in the same way as in (B.9) we deduce $V_N^{(1)} \leq C[c(1 + c^{1-\alpha})]^{1/2}$ for some constant $C > 0$. This term can be made arbitrarily small by choosing a sufficiently small $c > 0$. On the other hand, from Chebyshev's inequality for some constants $C, C' > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_N^{(2)} &\leq CNM^2 \mathbb{P}[|\xi_1 R_0(X_1, X_0)| \geq 9cN^{1/2}] \\ &\leq C'M^2 \mathbb{E}[|\xi_1 R_0(X_1, X_0)|^2, |\xi_1 R_0(X_1, X_0)| \geq 9cN^{1/2}] \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

both a.s. and in the L^1 sense, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Finally, we can write that $U_N = \hat{U}_N - \bar{U}_N$, where

$$\hat{U}_N := \xi_1^2 \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} \mathbb{E} \left[(\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)})^2 \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{U}_N := \xi_1^2 \sum_{n=1}^{[Nt]} \mathbb{E} \left[(\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)})^2 1_{[|\tilde{Z}_{n,N}^{(1)}| > c]} \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-1,N} \right].$$

By the ergodic theorem

$$\widehat{U}_N = \frac{\xi_1^2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{[Nt]-1} [PV^2(X_{n-1}) + P\chi^2(X_{n-1}) - (P\chi)^2(X_{n-1})] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\xi_1^2t, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

both a.s. and in the L^1 sense. Here $\sigma^2 := 2 \left(\|V\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 + \|\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 - \|P\chi\|_{L^2(\pi)}^2 \right)$. Using stationarity we deduce that for a certain constant $C > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}|\bar{U}_N| \leq C\xi_1^2\mathbb{E} \left[R_0^2(X_1, X_0), |\xi_1 R_0(X_1, X_0)| > cN^{1/2}/2, \text{ or } |\xi_2 \tilde{Z}_{1,N}^{(2)}| > c/2 \right] \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. The convergence follows from the L^2 -integrability of $R_0(X_1, X_0)$ and (B.9). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. B. Athreya, *Darling and Kac Revisited*, Sankhya, The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A, Vol. **48**, (1986), pp. 255 -266
- [2] Becker-Kern, P., Meerschaert, M. M., Scheffler, H.-P., *Limit theorem for coupled continuous time random walks*, Ann. of Prob., **32**, (2004), 730-756,
- [3] D. Benson, S. Wheatcraft, M. Meerschaert, *Application of a fractional advection-dispersion equation*, Water Resour. Res. **36** (2000) 14031412.
- [4] D. Benson, S. Wheatcraft, M. Meerschaert, *The fractional-order governing equation of Lévy motion*, Water Resour. Res. **36** (2000) 14131424.
- [5] Billingsley, P., *Convergence of probability measures*, 2-nd edition, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1999,
- [6] Breiman, L., *Probability*, Addison-Wesley (1968).
- [7] Brown, B. M., Eagleson, G. K., *Martingale convergence to infinite divisible laws with finite variance*, TAMS, **162**, 449-453, (1971).
- [8] J. Clark, W. De Roeck, C. Maes *Diffusive behavior from a quantum master equation*. preprint (2009)
- [9] D. A. Darling, M. Kac, *On Occupation Times for Markoff Processes*. T. A. M. S., **84**, (1957), pp. 444-458
- [10] Durrett, R., Resnick, S., *Functional limit theorems for dependent variables*, Ann. of Prob. **6**, 829-846, (1978).
- [11] S. Foguel, *The Ergodic Theory of Markov Processes*, Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1969.
- [12] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, E. Scalas, M. Raberto, Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance. III. The diffusion limit. Mathematical finance (Konstanz, 2000), in: Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 171180
- [13] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, Fractional diffusion processes: Probability distribution and continuous time random walk, Lecture Notes Phys. 621 (2003) 148166.
- [14] Harris, T. E. : *The existence of stationary measures for certain Markov processes*. Proc. Third Berkeley Sympos. Mathematical Statist. Probability II, 113–124 (1956).
- [15] Henry, B.I.; and Straka, P.: *Lagging/leading coupled continuous time random walks, renewal times and their joint limits*. Preprint (2010). arXiv:1005.2369v1 [math.PR]
- [16] Höpfner, R., Löcherbach, E., *Limit Theorems for Null Recurrent Markov Processes*, AMS Memoirs Vol. 161, No. 768 (2003).
- [17] B. Jamison, S. Orey, *Markov chains recurrent in the sense of Harris*, Zeit. Warsch. Geb. **8**, 41-48, (1967).
- [18] M. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *A limit theorem for an additive functional of a Markov chain*, to appear in Ann. Appl. Prob., available at arxiv.org/abs/0809.0177

- [19] Jurlewicz, A.; Kern, P.; Meerschaert, M.M.; and Scheffler, H.-P.: *Anticipating continuous time random walks*. Preprint (2010). <http://www.stt.msu.edu/>
- [20] F. Mainardi, M. Raberto, R. Gorenflo, E. Scalas, *Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance II: The waiting- time distribution*, Physica A 287 (2000) 468481.
- [21] Meerschaert, M. M., Scheffler, H.-P., *Triangular array limits for continuous time random walks*, Stoch. Proc. and their Appl. **118** (2008) 1606-1633.
- [22] Montroll, E. W. and Weiss, G. H. (1965). Random walks on lattices. II. J. Math. Phys. 6 167-181.
- [23] M. Rosenblatt, *Markov Processes. Structure and Asymptotic Behavior*, Springer Verlag (1971).
- [24] K. Sato, *Levy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, Cambridge University Press, (1999)
- [25] Shlesinger, M., Klafter, J. and Wong, Y. M. (1982). Random walks with infinite spatial and temporal moments. J. Statist. Phys. 27 499-512.
- [26] Shlesinger M. F., Zaslavsky G. M., Klafter J., Strange kinetics, 1993, Nature 363: 6424, 31-37.
- [27] W. Whitt, (2002), *Stochastic Process Limits: An Introduction to Stochastic-Process Limits and their Application to Queues*, Springer, New York.
- [28] G.M. Zaslavsky (2002) *Chaos, fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport*, Physics Reports **371** 461 580.

CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534
UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS DAUPHINE,
PLACE DU MARÉCHAL DE LATTRE DE TASSIGNY
75775 PARIS CEDEX 16 - FRANCE.
jara@ceremade.dauphine.fr

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UMCS
PL. MARIJ CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1
LUBLIN 20-031, POLAND
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
ŚNIADECKICH 8
WARSAW 00-956, POLAND
komorow@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl
<http://hektor.umcs.lublin.pl/~komorow>